

Matrix subspaces of  $L_1$ 

by

GIDEON SCHECHTMAN (Rehovot)

**Abstract.** If  $E = \{e_i\}$  and  $F = \{f_i\}$  are two 1-unconditional basic sequences in  $L_1$  with  $E$   $r$ -concave and  $F$   $p$ -convex, for some  $1 \leq r < p \leq 2$ , then the space of matrices  $\{a_{i,j}\}$  with norm  $\|\{a_{i,j}\}\|_{E(F)} = \left\| \sum_k \left\| \sum_l a_{k,l} f_l \right\|_{e_k} \right\|$  embeds into  $L_1$ . This generalizes a recent result of Prochno and Schütt.

**1. Introduction.** Recall that a basis  $E = \{e_i\}_{i=1}^N$  of a finite ( $N < \infty$ ) or infinite ( $N = \infty$ ) dimensional real or complex Banach space is said to be  $K$ -unconditional if  $\left\| \sum_i a_i e_i \right\| \leq K \left\| \sum_i b_i e_i \right\|$  whenever  $|a_i| = |b_i|$  for all  $i$ . Given a finite or an infinite 1-unconditional basis,  $E = \{e_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , and a sequence  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$  of Banach spaces, denote by  $(\sum \bigoplus X_i)_E$  the space of sequences  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ ,  $x_i \in X_i$ , for which the norm  $\|x\| = \left\| \sum_i \|x_i\| e_i \right\|$  is finite.

If  $X$  has a 1-unconditional basis  $F = \{f_j\}$  then  $(\sum \bigoplus X)_E$  can be represented as a space of matrices  $A = \{a_{i,j}\}$ , denoted  $E(F)$ , with norm

$$\|A\|_{E(F)} = \left\| \sum_i \left\| \sum_j a_{i,j} f_j \right\|_{e_i} \right\|.$$

In [PS], Prochno and Schütt gave a sufficient condition for bases  $E$  and  $F$  of two Orlicz sequence spaces which ensures that  $E(F)$  embeds into  $L_1$ . Here we generalize this result by giving a sufficient condition on two unconditional bases  $E, F$  which ensures that  $E(F)$  embeds into  $L_1$ . As we shall see, this condition is also “almost” necessary.

Recall that an unconditional basis  $\{e_i\}$  is said to be  $p$ -convex, resp.  $r$ -concave, with constant  $K$  provided that for all  $n$  and all  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in the span of  $\{e_i\}$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (|x_i|^p)^{1/p} \right\| \leq K \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E30, 46B45, 46B15.

*Key words and phrases*: subspaces of  $L_1$ , unconditional basis,  $r$ -concavity,  $p$ -convexity.

resp.

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^r \right)^{1/r} \leq K \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (|x_i|^r)^{1/r} \right\|.$$

Here, for  $x = \sum x(j)e_j$  and a positive  $\alpha$ ,  $|x|^\alpha = \sum |x(j)|^\alpha e_j$ .

In what follows,  $L_p$  will denote  $L_p([0, 1], \lambda)$ ,  $\lambda$  being the Lebesgue measure. As is known and quite easy to prove, any 1-unconditional basic sequence in  $L_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  (resp.  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ), is  $p$ -convex (resp.  $p$ -concave) with constant depending only on  $p$ . It is also worthwhile to remind the reader that any  $K$ -unconditional basic sequence in  $L_p$  is equivalent, with a constant depending only on  $K$ , to a 1-unconditional basic sequence in  $L_p$ . Indeed, if  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a  $K$ -unconditional basic sequence in  $L_p$  and  $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is the Rademacher sequence then clearly the sequence  $\{x_i(s)r_i(t)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  in  $L_p([0, 1]^2)$  (which is isometric to  $L_p$ ) is equivalent to  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ , with a constant depending only on  $K$ . This sequence is clearly also 1-unconditional.

It is due to Maurey [Ma] (see also [Wo, III.H.10]) that, for every  $1 \leq r < p \leq 2$ , the span of every  $p$ -convex 1-unconditional basic sequence in  $L_1$  embeds into  $L_p$  and also embeds into  $L_r$  after a change of density; that is, there exists a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1]$  so that this span is isomorphic (with constants depending on  $r, p$  and the  $p$ -convexity constant only) to a subspace of  $L_r([0, 1], \mu)$  on which the  $L_r(\mu)$  and the  $L_1(\mu)$  norms are equivalent.

We also recall the fact, used in [PS] and due to Bretagnolle and Dacunha-Castelle [BD], that if  $M$  is an Orlicz function then the Orlicz space  $\ell_M$  embeds into  $L_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , if and only if  $M(t)/t^p$  is equivalent to an increasing function and  $M(t)/t^2$  is equivalent to a decreasing function. This happens if and only if the natural basis of  $\ell_M$  is  $p$ -convex and 2-concave.

Theorem 2.1 below states in particular that if  $E$  and  $F$  are two 1-unconditional basic sequences in  $L_1$  with  $E$   $r$ -concave and  $F$   $p$ -convex for some  $1 \leq r < p \leq 2$  then  $E(F)$  embeds into  $L_1$ . When specializing to Orlicz spaces, this implies the main result of [PS].

### 2. The main result

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $E = \{e_i\}$  be a 1-unconditional basic sequence in  $L_1$  with  $\{e_i\}$   $r$ -concave with constant  $K_1$ , and let  $X$  be a subspace of  $L_1([0, 1], \mu)$  for some probability measure  $\mu$  satisfying  $\|x\|_{L_r([0,1],\mu)} \leq K_2 \|x\|_{L_1([0,1],\mu)}$  for some constant  $K_2$  and all  $x \in X$ . Then  $(\sum \oplus X)_E$  embeds into  $L_1$  with a constant depending on  $K_1, K_2$  and  $r$  only.*

*Consequently, if  $E = \{e_i\}$  and  $F = \{f_i\}$  are two 1-unconditional basic sequences in  $L_1$  with  $E$   $r$ -concave with constant  $K_1$  and  $F$   $p$ -convex with constant  $K_2$ , for some  $1 \leq r < p \leq 2$ , then the space of matrices  $A = \{a_{k,l}\}$*

with norm

$$\|A\|_{E(F)} = \left\| \sum_k \left\| \sum_l a_{k,l} f_l \right\| e_k \right\|$$

embeds into  $L_1$  with a constant depending only on  $r, p, K_1$  and  $K_2$ .

*Proof.* The  $p$ -convexity of  $\{f_i\}$  implies that after a change of density the  $L_1$  and  $L_r$  norms are equivalent on the span of  $\{f_i\}$  (see [Ma]). That is, there exists a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1]$  and a constant  $K_3$ , depending only on  $r, p$  and  $K_2$ , such that  $\|\sum a_j \tilde{f}_j\|_{L_r([0,1],\mu)} \leq K_3 \|\sum a_j \tilde{f}_j\|_{L_1([0,1],\mu)}$  for some sequence  $\{\tilde{f}_j\}$  1-equivalent, in the relevant  $L_1$  norm, to  $\{f_j\}$ , and for all coefficients  $\{a_i\}$ . Therefore, the second part of the theorem follows from the first part.

To prove the first part, in  $L_1([0, 1] \times [0, 1], \lambda \times \mu)$  consider the tensor product of the span of  $\{e_i\}$  and of  $X$ , that is, the space of all functions of the form  $\sum_i e_i \otimes x_i$ ,  $x_i \in X$  for all  $i$ , where  $e_i \otimes x_i(s, t) = e_i(s)x_i(t)$ . Then, by the 1-unconditionality of  $\{e_i\}$  and the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_i e_i \otimes x_i \right\|_1 &= \int \left\| \sum_i |x_i(t)| e_i \right\|_{L_1([0,1],\lambda)} d\mu(t) \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_i \left( \int |x_i(t)| d\mu(t) \right) e_i \right\|_{L_1([0,1],\lambda)} = \left\| \sum_i \|x_i\| e_i \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the 1-unconditionality and the  $r$ -concavity with constant  $K_1$  of  $\{e_i\}$  (used in integral instead of summation form),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_i e_i \otimes x_i \right\|_1 &= \int \int \left| \sum_i |x_i(t)| e_i(s) \right| d\lambda(s) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \left( \int \left( \int \left| \sum_i |x_i(t)| e_i(s) \right| d\lambda(s) \right)^r d\mu(t) \right)^{1/r} \\ &= \left( \int \left\| \sum_i |x_i(t)| e_i \right\|_{L_1([0,1],\lambda)}^r d\mu(t) \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq K_1 \left\| \sum_i \left( \int |x_i(t)|^r d\mu(t) \right)^{1/r} e_i \right\|_{L_1([0,1],\lambda)} \\ &\leq K_1 K_2 \left\| \sum_i \int |x_i(t)| d\mu(t) e_i \right\|_{L_1([0,1],\lambda)} = K_1 K_2 \left\| \sum_i \|x_i\| e_i \right\|. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

As is explained in the introduction, the main result of [PS] follows as corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *If  $M$  and  $N$  are Orlicz functions such that  $M(t)/t^r$  is equivalent to a decreasing function,  $N(t)/t^p$  is equivalent to an increasing function and  $N(t)/t^2$  is equivalent to a decreasing function, then  $\ell_M(\ell_N)$  embeds into  $L_1$ .*

REMARK. The role of  $L_1$  in Theorem 2.1 can easily be replaced with  $L_s$  for any  $1 \leq s \leq r$ .

REMARK. If the bases  $E$  and  $F$  are infinite, say, and the smallest  $r$  such that  $E$  is  $r$ -concave is larger than the largest  $p$  such that  $F$  is  $p$ -convex, then  $E(F)$  does not embed into  $L_1$ . This follows from the fact that in this case it is known that the  $\ell_r^n$  uniformly embed as blocks of  $E$ , and the  $\ell_p^n$  uniformly embed as blocks of  $F$ , for some  $r > p$ , while it is known that in this case the spaces  $\ell_r^n(\ell_p^n)$  do not uniformly embed into  $L_1$ .

This still leaves open the case  $r = p$ , which is not covered in Theorem 2.1:

- *If  $E$  and  $F$  are two 1-unconditional basic sequences in  $L_1$  with  $E$   $r$ -concave and  $F$   $r$ -convex, does  $E(F)$  embed into  $L_1$ ?*

In the case that  $E$  is an Orlicz space the problem above has a positive solution. We only sketch it. By the factorization theorem of Maurey mentioned above ([Wo, III.H.10] is a good place to read it), and a simple compactness argument (to pass from the finite to the infinite case), it is enough to consider the case that  $F$  is the  $\ell_r$  unit vector basis. If the basis of  $\ell_M$  is  $r$ -concave, then the  $2/r$ -convexification of  $\ell_M$  (which is the space with norm  $\|\{|a_i|^{2/r}\}\|_{\ell_M}^{r/2}$ ) embeds into  $L_{2/r}$ . This is again an Orlicz space, say,  $\ell_{\tilde{M}}$ . Now, tensoring with the Rademacher sequence (or a standard Gaussian sequence) we find that  $\ell_{\tilde{M}}(\ell_2)$  embeds into  $L_{2/r}$ . We now want to  $2/r$  concavify back, staying in  $L_1$ , so as to ensure that  $\ell_M(\ell_r)$  embeds into  $L_1$ . This is known to be possible (and is buried somewhere in [MS]): If  $\{x_i\}$  is a 1-unconditional basic sequence in  $L_s$ ,  $1 < s \leq 2$ , then its  $s$ -concavification (which is the space with norm  $\|\{|a_i|^{1/s}\}\|_{\ell_M}^s$ ) embeds into  $L_1$ . Indeed, let  $\{f_i\}$  be a sequence of independent  $2/s$  symmetric stable random variables normalized in  $L_1$  and consider the span of the sequence  $\{f_i \otimes |x_i|^s\}$  in  $L_1$ .

**Acknowledgements.** This research was supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation.

### References

[BD] J. Bretagnolle et D. Dacunha-Castelle, *Application de l'étude de certaines formes linéaires aléatoires au plongement d'espaces de Banach dans des espaces  $L^p$* , Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 2 (1969), 437–480.

[Ma] B. Maurey, *Théorèmes de factorisation pour les opérateurs linéaires à valeurs dans les espaces  $L^p$* , Astérisque 11 (1974).

[MS] B. Maurey and G. Schechtman, *Some remarks on symmetric basic sequences in  $L_1$* , Compos. Math. 38 (1979), 67–76.

[PS] J. Prochno and C. Schütt, *Combinatorial inequalities and subspaces of  $L_1$* , Studia Math. 211 (2012), 21–39.

- [Wo] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach Spaces for Analysts*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 25, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.

Gideon Schechtman  
Department of Mathematics  
Weizmann Institute of Science  
Rehovot, Israel  
E-mail: gideon@weizmann.ac.il

*Received March 27, 2013*

(7767)

