

Canonical Banach function spaces generated by Urysohn universal spaces. Measures as Lipschitz maps

by

PIOTR NIEMIEC (Kraków)

Abstract. It is proved (independently of the result of Holmes [Fund. Math. 140 (1992)]) that the dual space of the uniform closure $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ of the linear span of the maps $x \mapsto d(x, a) - d(x, b)$, where d is the metric of the Urysohn space \mathbb{U}_r of diameter r , is (isometrically if $r = +\infty$) isomorphic to the space $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ of equivalence classes of all real-valued Lipschitz maps on \mathbb{U}_r . The space of all signed (real-valued) Borel measures on \mathbb{U}_r is isometrically embedded in the dual space of $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ and it is shown that the image of the embedding is a proper weak* dense subspace of $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)^*$. Some special properties of the space $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ are established.

The unbounded Urysohn space was introduced in [13, 14]. Holmes [3] has proved that this space generates a unique (up to linear isometry) Banach space (for simpler proofs see [4], [8] or [10]). Such metric spaces are called *linearly rigid*. The Banach space generated by a linearly rigid metric space X is isometrically isomorphic to the predual of the space $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ of real-valued Lipschitz maps on X vanishing at a fixed point of X (equipped with the “Lipschitz” norm). It turns out that linearly rigid spaces are necessarily unbounded, provided they have more than two points (see [10]). This means that bounded Urysohn spaces do not generate unique Banach spaces. However, as we shall show, the dual space of some Banach function space generated by a bounded Urysohn space \mathbb{U}_r is isomorphic to the space $\text{Lip}_0(\mathbb{U}_r)$. The fundamental properties of Urysohn spaces will also enable us to link Borel measures with Lipschitz maps by means of a linear isometric map (given by a simple formula). However, the correspondence is not one-to-one, i.e. there are Lipschitz maps which do not “come from” measures.

Notation and terminology. The sets of all nonnegative reals and positive integers are denoted by \mathbb{R}_+ and \mathbb{N}_* , respectively.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 46E27, 26A16.

Key words and phrases: Urysohn’s universal space, spaces of measures, spaces of Lipschitz maps.

For a separable complete metric space X , $\text{Mes}(X)$ stands for the Banach space of all signed (real-valued) Borel measures on X , equipped with the standard total variation norm. It is well known that each nonnegative (finite) Borel measure μ on X is *regular*, i.e. $\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \subset A, K \text{ compact}\}$ for any Borel subset A of X . This implies that the subspace $\text{Mes}_c(X)$ of $\text{Mes}(X)$ consisting of all measures supported on compact sets is dense (with respect to the norm topology) in the whole space.

A *Lipschitz map* between metric spaces (X, d) and (Y, ϱ) is any function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ for which there is a finite constant $M \geq 0$ such that $\varrho(f(x), f(y)) \leq Md(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in X$. We denote by $\text{Lip}(X)$ the space of all real-valued Lipschitz maps on X . If X has more than one point, we equip the space $\text{Lip}(X)$ with the following seminorm:

$$l(f) = \sup_{\substack{x, y \in X \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}, \quad f \in \text{Lip}(X).$$

This is not a norm, because $l(f) = 0$ if and only if f is constant. Therefore we take the quotient space $\text{Lip}(X)/\text{Const}(X)$, where $\text{Const}(X)$ consists of all (real-valued) constant maps on X , and denote it by $\text{LIP}(X)$. The space $\text{LIP}(X)$ is a Banach space with respect to its norm:

$$L(f + \text{Const}(X)) = l(f), \quad f \in \text{Lip}(X).$$

In what follows we shall write, for simplicity, $f \in \text{LIP}(X)$ and $L(f)$ instead of $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$ or $L(f + \text{Const}(X))$. However, one has to remember that $\text{LIP}(X)$ is **not** a function space. Nevertheless, if x and y are two points of X , the functional $\text{LIP}(X) \ni f \mapsto f(x) - f(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ is well defined. Additionally, let $B_L(X)$ stand for the closed unit ball of $\text{LIP}(X)$.

It is easy to see that $\text{LIP}(X)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\text{Lip}_0(X, x)$, the subspace of $\text{Lip}(X)$ consisting of the maps vanishing at x , where x is any fixed point of X . Spaces of type Lip_0 are well studied (see e.g. [15]). It is known that they are dual spaces, and the preduals are well described (the Arens–Eells spaces). For us, the two most important properties of $\text{Lip}_0(X, x)$, after an adaptation to $\text{LIP}(X)$, are (see also [11] for proofs):

- (L1) If X is separable, then the ball $B_L(X)$ is (compact and) metrizable in the weak* topology, and a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ of elements of $B_L(X)$ is weak* convergent to $f \in B_L(X)$ if and only if $f_n(x) - f_n(y) \rightarrow f(x) - f(y)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for all $x, y \in X$ (this condition, in fact, defines the weak* topology on $B_L(X)$).
- (L2) Any weak* continuous functional $\psi : \text{LIP}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the form

$$\psi(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{f(x_n) - f(y_n)}{d(x_n, y_n)},$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ and $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ are two sequences of elements of X such that $x_n \neq y_n$; what is more, the sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ and $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ may be taken so that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$ is arbitrarily close to $\|\psi\|$.

Whenever we deal with spaces of real-valued maps, the symbol $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the supremum norm.

Now we pass to the main subject of the paper.

1. DEFINITION. An *Urysohn space* is a separable complete metric space X such that every separable metric space of diameter no greater than $\text{diam } X$ is isometrically embeddable in X , and each isometry between finite subsets of X is extendable to an isometry of X onto itself. An Urysohn space is *nontrivial* if it has more than one point.

For every $r \in [0, +\infty]$ there is a unique (up to isometry) Urysohn space of diameter r . We shall denote it by \mathbb{U}_r , and \mathbb{U} will stand for the unbounded Urysohn space.

A *Katětov map* on a metric space (X, d) is any function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq d(x, y) \leq f(x) + f(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

A *common sphere* is a set of the form

$$S_X(A, f) = \{x \in X \mid \forall a \in A : d(x, a) = f(a)\}$$

with nonempty $A \subset X$ and any function $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. If X is Urysohn, then $S_X(K, f)$ is nonempty for each nonempty compact subset K of X and every Katětov map f on K such that $f(K) \subset [0, \text{diam } X]$. This is a consequence of the Huhunaišvili theorem [5]. For $r \in [0, +\infty]$, we denote by $E_r(X)$ the set of Katětov maps f on X such that $f(X) \subset [0, r]$. For more on Katětov maps, see [6], [8], [1]. The reader interested in Urysohn spaces is referred to [7, 8].

From now on, $r \in (0, +\infty]$, d is the metric of \mathbb{U}_r , and $B_L = B_L(\mathbb{U}_r)$. Let $\varrho : \mathbb{U}_r \rightarrow \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ be defined as follows: $\varrho(x)$ is the equivalence class of the map e_x , where $e_x(y) = d(x, y)$. It is easy to see that $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r) \subset B_L$, and ϱ is continuous when $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is considered with the weak* topology.

First we shall establish the basic properties of the set $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)$.

2. PROPOSITION. *The set $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $n \geq 2$. Suppose that x_1, \dots, x_n are distinct points of \mathbb{U}_r and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are scalars such that the map $u = \alpha_1 e_{x_1} + \dots + \alpha_n e_{x_n}$ is constant. Let $M = \text{diam}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, put $p_j = \min_{k \neq j} d(x_j, x_k) > 0$. Let $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, let $f_0 : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be the constant map with value M , and for $j = 1, \dots, n$, let $f_j : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined as follows: $f_j(x_j) = M - p_j$ and $f_j(x_k) = M$ for $k \neq j$. It is easy to verify that f_0, \dots, f_n are

Katětov maps and take values in $[0, r]$. There are points z_0, \dots, z_n such that $e_{x_j}(z_k) = f_k(x_j)$. Since the map u is constant it follows that $u(z_j) = u(z_0)$, or equivalently $\sum_{m=1}^n \alpha_m (f_j(x_m) - f_0(x_m)) = 0$. But this yields $\alpha_j p_j = 0$ and thus $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$. ■

3. THEOREM. *The weak* closure of $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)$ contains the ball $\frac{1}{2}B_L$. If $r = +\infty$, then $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is weak* dense in B_L .*

Proof. First assume that $r = +\infty$. It is enough to show that for each $f \in B_L$ and any finite nonempty subset A of \mathbb{U} there are $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $f + C = e_x$ on A . Since A is finite, there is C such that $d(a, b) - f(a) - f(b) \leq 2C$ for $a, b \in A$. This implies that $f + C$ is a Katětov map on A . So, there exists $x \in \mathbb{U}$ for which $f(a) + C = d(x, a)$ ($a \in A$). But this means that $f + C = e_x$ on A .

Now assume that r is finite. Take $f \in B_L$ and a finite nonempty subset A of \mathbb{U}_r . We have to prove that there are $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{U}_r$ such that $\frac{1}{2}f + C = e_x$ on A . Observe that since $L(f) \leq 1$ and $\text{diam } \mathbb{U}_r = r$, there is a constant α such that the image of $f + \alpha$ is contained in $[-\frac{1}{2}r, \frac{1}{2}r]$. But then the image of $\frac{1}{2}f + C$, where $C = \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{4}r$, is a subset of $[\frac{1}{2}r, r]$ and thus $f + C$ is a Katětov map on A (because $\frac{1}{2}r \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam } A$). So, as in the first case, it suffices to take $x \in \mathbb{U}_r$ such that $\frac{1}{2}f + C = e_x$ on A . ■

4. COROLLARY. *If $\psi \in \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)^*$ is weak* continuous, then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\psi\| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{U}_r} \psi(\varrho(x)) \leq \|\psi\|,$$

and if $r = +\infty$, then $\|\psi\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{U}_r} \psi(\varrho(x))$.

5. COROLLARY. *The set $\varrho(\mathbb{U})$ is metrizable in the weak* topology, but is not completely metrizable. In particular, $\varrho : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \varrho(\mathbb{U})$ is not a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose that, on the contrary, $\varrho(\mathbb{U})$ is completely metrizable. Then, by Theorem 3, it is a dense \mathcal{G}_δ -subset of B_L and thus so is $-\varrho(\mathbb{U})$. But $\varrho(\mathbb{U})$ and $-\varrho(\mathbb{U})$ are disjoint (thanks to Proposition 2), contrary to the Baire theorem. ■

Corollary 4 leads us to the following

6. DEFINITION. The *canonical function linear space* (for short, the CFL space) of the Urysohn space \mathbb{U}_r is the space $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ consisting of the maps $f : \mathbb{U}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of type $f(x) = \psi(\varrho(x))$, where ψ is a weak* continuous functional on $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$. Since $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is a subset of B_L , $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ consists of bounded maps. The CFL space is equipped with the supremum norm.

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 4 we obtain

7. THEOREM. The CFL space of the [unbounded] Urysohn space \mathbb{U}_r is [isometrically] isomorphic to the predual of $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ and therefore it is a Banach space. The canonical [isometric] isomorphism $J : \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)_* \rightarrow \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ has the form

$$(J(\psi))(x) = \psi(\varrho(x)) \quad (\psi \in \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)_*, x \in \mathbb{U}_r),$$

and $\max(\|J\|, \|J^{-1}\|) \leq 2$.

Now we will characterize the maps belonging to $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$.

8. THEOREM. A function $f : \mathbb{U}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a member of $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathbb{U}_r$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j = 0$ and for each $x \in \mathbb{U}_r$,

$$(1) \quad \left| f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j d(u_j, x) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. If $f \in \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$, then, by (L2), there are sequences $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in l^1$ and $(x_n, y_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in (\mathbb{U}_r \times \mathbb{U}_r)^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ such that $x_n \neq y_n$ and

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \frac{d(x_n, x) - d(y_n, x)}{d(x_n, y_n)}.$$

So, it is enough to take $N \geq 1$ such that $\sum_{n=N+1}^\infty |a_n| < \varepsilon$ and to express the map $x \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^N a_n (d(x_n, x) - d(y_n, x)) / d(x_n, y_n)$ in the form $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j e_{u_j}$.

Now assume that f is the uniform limit of a sequence of maps of the form $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j e_{u_j}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j = 0$. It is easy to check that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j e_{u_j} \right) (x) = \psi(\varrho(x)),$$

where $\psi : \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a weak* continuous functional given by $\psi(g) = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j g(u_j)$ ($g \in \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$). So, $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j e_{u_j} \in \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ and thus the completeness of $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ finishes the proof. ■

9. COROLLARY. Let f be a nonzero member of the CFL space of \mathbb{U} . Then the image R of f is a bounded interval such that $(-\|f\|, \|f\|) \subset R \subset [-\|f\|, \|f\|]$.

Proof. By Theorem 8, f is continuous and thus R is an interval. Further, thanks to Corollary 4, $\|f\|$ belongs to the closure of R and, similarly, $\| -f \|$ is in the closure of $-R$. This implies that the closure of R coincides with $[-\|f\|, \|f\|]$. Now the assertion is clear. ■

In contrast to the case of the unbounded Urysohn space, for $r < +\infty$ the set $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is not weak* dense in B_L . What is more, the canonical isomorphism $J : \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)_* \rightarrow \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is nonisometric, as shown by

10. PROPOSITION. For $r < +\infty$, the convex hull V of the set $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r) \cup (-\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r))$ is not weak* dense in B_L . In particular, the canonical isomorphism J is nonisometric.

Proof. Take four points p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 of \mathbb{U}_r such that $d(p_j, p_k) = r$ for distinct $j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let $g \in B_L$ be any nonexpansive map such that $g(p_j) = 0$ and $g(p_k) = r$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $k = 3, 4$. We claim that g does not belong to the weak* closure W of V . Suppose, for contradiction, that $g \in W$. This implies that there are numbers t_1, \dots, t_n and points x_1, \dots, x_n of \mathbb{U}_r such that $\sum_{j=1}^n |t_j| = 1$ and the map $g - \sum_{j=1}^n t_j e_{x_j}$ is constant on $A = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$. Since $e_x \in E_r(\mathbb{U}_r)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{U}_r$ and $E_r(A)$ is convex we infer that there is a $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(2) \quad (g + c)|_A \in \text{conv}[E_r(A) \cup (-E_r(A))]$$

(“conv” stands for convex hull). It is easily seen that $g|_A$, as an element of $\text{LIP}(A)$, is an extreme point of $B_L(A)$. Thus, by (2), $g|_A + c = \pm f$ for some $f \in E_r(A)$. This shows that $f(p_1) = f(p_2)$, $f(p_3) = f(p_4)$ and $|f(p_1) - f(p_3)| = r$. But $f(A) \subset [0, r]$ and therefore $f(p_1) = f(p_2) = 0$ and $f(p_3) = f(p_4) = r$ or conversely. So, $\text{card } f^{-1}(\{0\}) > 1$, which contradicts the relation $f \in E_r(A)$.

We have shown that $g \notin W$. By the separation theorem, there is a weak* continuous functional $\psi : \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\psi(u)| \leq 1$ for each $u \in W$ and $\psi(g) > 1$. Hence $\|\psi\| > 1$ and $\|J(\psi)\| \leq 1$, which finishes the proof. ■

Theorem 7 says that the dual of $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ may be identified with $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ (at least in the unbounded case). This identification has the following form: a functional $\varphi \in \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)^*$ corresponds to a map $g \in \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ such that $g(x) - g(y) = \varphi(e_x - e_y)$ ($x, y \in \mathbb{U}_r$). For $f \in \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ and $g \in \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$, we shall write $\int f dg$ or $\int f(x) dg(x)$ for the value at f of the functional corresponding to g . Thus for each $a, b \in \mathbb{U}_r$,

$$(3) \quad \int (e_a - e_b) dg = \int (d(a, x) - d(b, x)) dg(x) = g(a) - g(b).$$

The next result will enable us to link measures with Lipschitz maps on Urysohn spaces.

11. THEOREM. Let K be a (nonempty) compact subset of \mathbb{U}_r and let $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then there is $F \in \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ such that $F|_K = f$ and $\|F\| = \|f\|$. What is more, for a given element z of the common sphere $S_{\mathbb{U}_r}(K, s)$, where $s \in (0, +\infty)$ is such that $\frac{2}{3} \text{diam } K \leq s \leq \frac{4}{5}r$, there are sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ and $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ of elements of \mathbb{U}_r and of positive numbers, respectively, such that $\|F\| = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*} t_n d(x_n, z)$ and $F = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*} t_n (e_{x_n} - e_z)$.

Proof. It is easily seen that $S_{\mathbb{U}_r}(K, s)$ is nonempty and $z \notin K$.

First assume that $f \in \text{Lip}(K)$, $l(f) \leq 1$ and $\|f\| \leq \frac{1}{4}s$. Define a map $g : K \cup \{z\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = f(x) + s$ for $x \in K$ and $g(z) = \|f\|$. The map g

is clearly nonexpansive on K (i.e. $l(g|_K) \leq 1$). What is more, for $x, y \in K$ we have $d(x, y) \leq \frac{3}{2}s \leq g(x) + g(y)$. Further,

$$|g(x) - g(z)| = s - \|f\| + f(x) \leq s = d(x, z) \leq s + f(x) + \|f\| = g(x) + g(z).$$

So, g is a Katětov map and $g(K \cup \{z\}) \subset [0, r]$. This implies that there is $u \in \mathbb{U}_r$ such that $g(y) = d(u, y)$ for $y \in K \cup \{z\}$. But then $f = (e_u - e_z)|_K$ and $d(u, z) = g(z) = \|f\|$, and thus in that case the proof is finished.

Now consider an arbitrary map f . By [12], there are sequences $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ and $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ of positive numbers and real-valued nonexpansive maps on K (respectively) such that $\|f\| = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*} t_n \|f_n\|$ and $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*} t_n f_n$. Replacing, if necessary, the pair (t_n, f_n) by a suitable pair $(t_n/s_n, s_n f_n)$ with $s_n \in (0, 1)$, we may assume that $\|f_n\| \leq \frac{1}{4}s$ for every n . We infer from the first part of the proof that there is a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ of elements of \mathbb{U}_r for which $(e_{x_n} - e_z)|_K = f_n$ and $d(x_n, z) = \|f_n\|$. This implies that $\|f\| = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*} t_n d(x_n, z)$ and therefore the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*} t_n (e_{x_n} - e_z)$ is uniformly convergent. Let F be its uniform limit. By Theorem 7, $F \in \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$. Furthermore, $F|_K = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*} t_n f_n = f$ and thus $\|f\| \leq \|F\|$. On the other hand, $\|F\| \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*} t_n d(x_n, z) = \|f\|$, which finishes the proof. ■

12. COROLLARY. Let K be a (nonempty) compact subset of \mathbb{U}_r and

$$\Phi_K : \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r) \ni f \mapsto f|_K \in \mathcal{C}(K),$$

where $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is the algebra of all real-valued continuous functions on K . Then Φ_K sends the closed unit ball onto the closed unit ball and therefore the adjoint operator $\Phi_K^* : \text{Mes}(K) \rightarrow \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is a weak* continuous isomorphic (and isometric if $r = +\infty$) embedding such that $\max(\|\Phi_K^*\|, \|(\Phi_K^*)^{-1}\|) \leq 2$. If $\mu \in \text{Mes}(K)$ and $g = \Phi_K^*(\mu)$, then for each $f \in \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$,

$$(4) \quad \int_K f \, d\mu = \int f \, dg.$$

In particular, $\Phi_K^*(\delta_a) = \varrho(a)$ for $a \in K$, where δ_a is the Dirac measure at a .

13. LEMMA. Let K and L be two (nonempty) compact subsets of \mathbb{U}_r . If $\mu \in \text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is a measure supported on $K \cap L$ (and therefore μ may be seen as a member of $\text{Mes}(K)$ and $\text{Mes}(L)$), then $\Phi_K^*(\mu) = \Phi_L^*(\mu)$.

Proof. Let $g = \Phi_K^*(\mu)$ and $h = \Phi_L^*(\mu)$. Then for any $f \in \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ we have

$$\int f \, dg = \int_K f \, d\mu = \int_{K \cap L} f \, d\mu = \int_L f \, d\mu = \int f \, dh,$$

which implies that $g = h$. ■

The above lemma enables us to define an operator $j_0 : \text{Mes}_c(\mathbb{U}_r) \rightarrow \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ by $j_0(\mu) = \Phi_K^*(\mu)$, where K is a compact subset of \mathbb{U}_r such that μ is supported on K . Since the definition is independent of the choice of K ,

the map j_0 is a linear embedding such that $\max(\|j_0\|, \|j_0^{-1}\|) \leq 2$ and thus it is uniquely extendable to an isomorphic embedding of $\text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ in $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$. We introduce the following

14. DEFINITION. The *canonical embedding* of $\text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ in $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is a unique continuous extension $j : \text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r) \rightarrow \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ of j_0 . The canonical embedding is an isomorphism between its domain and range which sends Dirac's measure δ_x to $\varrho(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{U}_r$. What is more, the formula (4) is satisfied for any $\mu \in \text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ with $g = j(\mu)$ and K replaced by \mathbb{U}_r . If $r = +\infty$, then j is isometric.

The next result can be easily obtained from (4) by substituting $f = e_x - e_y$.

15. THEOREM. *Let $\mu \in \text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ and $g = j(\mu)$. Then for each $x, y \in \mathbb{U}_r$,*

$$(5) \quad g(x) - g(y) = \int_{\mathbb{U}_r} (d(x, z) - d(y, z)) d\mu(z).$$

It is rather surprising that j is isometric also for bounded Urysohn spaces. We shall prove this in the following

16. PROPOSITION. *For $r < +\infty$, the canonical embedding $j : \text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r) \rightarrow \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is isometric.*

Proof. Let K be a compact nonempty subset of \mathbb{U}_r and let $\mu \in \text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r)$. Put $g = j(\mu)$. It is enough to check that $\|g\| \geq \|\mu\| - \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the space $\text{Lip}(K)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(K)$, there is $u \in \text{Lip}(K)$ such that $\|u\| = 1$ and $\int_K u d\mu \geq \|\mu\| - \varepsilon$. Take $t > 0$ such that $l(tu) \leq 1$ and $\|tu\| \leq \frac{3}{16}r$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 11 that there are $x, z \in \mathbb{U}_r$ for which $u = \frac{1}{t}(e_x - e_z)|_K$. This yields $t = \|e_x - e_z\| = d(x, z)$ and thus

$$\|\mu\| - \varepsilon \leq \int_K u d\mu = \int_K \frac{e_x - e_z}{d(x, z)} d\mu = \frac{g(x) - g(z)}{d(x, z)} \leq \|g\|. \quad \blacksquare$$

17. COROLLARY. *The norm closure of the linear span of $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)$ in $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is (naturally) isometrically isomorphic to $l^1(\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r))$.*

18. COROLLARY. *For any $\mu \in \text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r)$, the total variation $|\mu|(\mathbb{U}_r)$ of the measure μ satisfies the condition*

$$|\mu|(\mathbb{U}_r) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{U}_r} \frac{d(x, z) - d(y, z)}{d(x, y)} d\mu(z) \right| : x, y \in \mathbb{U}_r, x \neq y \right\}.$$

Our next aim is to prove that the canonical embedding j is nonsurjective. We shall do this using different methods for bounded and unbounded Urysohn spaces.

It is folklore that $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)$ consists of extreme points of the ball B_L . However, $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r) \cup (-\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r))$ is a proper subset of the set of all extreme points

of B_L , as we shall see below. In fact, for $r = +\infty$, this is a consequence of the following

19. LEMMA. *Let (Z, λ) be a metric space and A its nonempty subset. If $f \in \text{LIP}(A)$ is an extreme point of $B_L(A)$, then the Katětov extension \widehat{f} of f is an extreme point of $B_L(Z)$, where $\widehat{f}(z) = \inf_{a \in A}(f(a) + \lambda(a, z))$.*

Proof. It is easily checked that $\widehat{f} \in B_L(Z)$ and \widehat{f} extends f . What is more, \widehat{f} is the greatest element (with respect to the pointwise order) among nonexpansive extensions of f . So, if $g_1, g_2 \in B_L(Z)$ are such that $\widehat{f} = (g_1 + g_2)/2 + C$ for some constant C , then $f = (g_1|_A + g_2|_A)/2 + C$ and thus $f = g_1|_A + C_1 = g_2|_A + C_2$, where C_1 and C_2 are constants with $C_1 + C_2 = 2C$. Thus $g_j + C_j \leq \widehat{f}$ ($j = 1, 2$). But $g_1 + C_1 + g_2 + C_2 = 2\widehat{f}$ and therefore $\widehat{f} = g_1 + C_1 = g_2 + C_2$. ■

20. PROPOSITION. *There are extreme points of B_L which do not belong to $\varrho(\mathbb{U}) \cup (-\varrho(\mathbb{U}))$. In particular, the canonical embedding $j : \text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}) \rightarrow \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U})$ is not surjective.*

Proof. Let A be a subset of \mathbb{U} which is isometric to \mathbb{R} and let $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an isometry. Since the operator $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{R}) \ni u \mapsto u \circ \varphi \in \text{LIP}(A)$ is an isometric isomorphism and the map $f : \mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto t \in \mathbb{R}$ is an extreme point of $B_L(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that $\varphi = f \circ \varphi$ is an extreme point of $B_L(A)$. So, by Lemma 19, $v = \widehat{\varphi}$ is extreme in B_L . Observe that $v(\mathbb{U}) = \mathbb{R}$, from which we infer that $v \notin \varrho(\mathbb{U}) \cup (-\varrho(\mathbb{U}))$. Finally, since the set $j^{-1}(\varrho(\mathbb{U}) \cup (-\varrho(\mathbb{U})))$ consists of all extreme points of the closed unit ball of $\text{Mes}(\mathbb{U})$ (and j is isometric), it follows that v is not the value of j . ■

Now we have to show the same for a bounded Urysohn space. In order to do that, we need the following

21. LEMMA. *Let $r < +\infty$ and let $\{a_n : n \geq 1\}$ be a dense subset of \mathbb{U}_r . If $g \in \text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is an element of the image of j , then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \geq 1$ such that*

$$(6) \quad |g(x) - g(y)| \leq \|g\| \cdot \|(e_x - e_y)|_A\| + \varepsilon$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{U}_r$, where $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$.

Proof. We may assume that $g \neq 0$. Let $\mu = j^{-1}(g)$. There is a compact nonempty subset K of \mathbb{U}_r such that $|\mu|(\mathbb{U}_r \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon/3r$. Since K is compact, there are $x_1, \dots, x_p \in K$ such that $K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^p B(x_j, \varepsilon/6\|g\|)$. Finally, there are positive integers m_1, \dots, m_p such that $d(x_j, a_{m_j}) \leq \varepsilon/6\|g\|$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$. Put $N = \max(m_1, \dots, m_p)$ and $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$. Take $x, y \in \mathbb{U}_r$. By the triangle inequality, for each $z \in K$ there is $a \in A$ for which $|d(x, z) - d(y, z)| \leq |d(x, a) - d(y, a)| + 2\varepsilon/3\|g\|$ and thus $\|(e_x - e_y)|_K\| \leq \|(e_x - e_y)|_A\| + 2\varepsilon/3\|g\|$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 |g(x) - g(y)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{U}_r} (d(x, z) - d(y, z)) \, d\mu(z) \right| \\
 &\leq \int_K |e_x - e_y| \, d|\mu| + \int_{\mathbb{U}_r \setminus K} |e_x - e_y| \, d|\mu| \\
 &\leq \left(\|(e_x - e_y)|_A\| + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3\|g\|} \right) |\mu|(\mathbb{U}_r) + r \cdot |\mu|(\mathbb{U}_r \setminus K) \\
 &\leq \|g\| \cdot \|(e_x - e_y)|_A\| + \varepsilon. \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

And now the announced result:

22. PROPOSITION. *For $r < +\infty$, the canonical embedding j is nonsurjective. There are extreme points of B_L which do not belong to $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r) \cup (-\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r))$.*

Proof. Let $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of nonempty open subsets of \mathbb{U}_r which form a basis of the topology of \mathbb{U}_r . Take any $x_1 \in U_1$ and put $g(x_1) = 0$. Now suppose that we have found points x_1, \dots, x_{3k-2} of \mathbb{U}_r and have defined $g(x_1), \dots, g(x_{3k-2})$ (for some $k \geq 1$) in such a way that for any $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$:

- (1)_j $\{x_1, \dots, x_{3j-2}\} \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$,
- (2)_j $|g(x_p) - g(x_q)| \leq d(x_p, x_q)$ and $g(x_p) \in [0, r]$ for $p, q = 1, \dots, 3j - 2$,
- (3)_j if $j > 1$, then $|g(x_{3j-4}) - g(x_{3j-3})| = r$ and $e_{x_{3j-4}} = e_{x_{3j-3}}$ on the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_{3j-5}\}$.

Take $x_{3k-1}, x_{3k} \in \mathbb{U}_r$ such that $d(x_p, x_q) = r$ for $p = 1, \dots, 3k - 2$ and $q = 3k - 1, 3k$ and $d(x_{3k-1}, x_{3k}) = r$. Put $g(x_{3k-1}) = r$ and $g(x_{3k}) = 0$. Now pick any $x_{3k+1} \in U_{k+1} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{3k}\}$ and define $g(x_{3k+1}) = \min\{g(x_j) + d(x_j, x_{3k+1}) : j \in \{1, \dots, 3k\}\}$. There is no difficulty in checking that $g(x_{3k+1}) \in [0, r]$ and that the conditions (1)_{k+1}–(3)_{k+1} hold. Thus we have obtained sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ and $(g(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ such that the set $D = \{x_n : n \geq 1\}$ is dense in \mathbb{U}_r , the map $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nonexpansive and for any finite subset C of D there are $x, y \in D$ such that $e_x = e_y$ on C and $|g(x) - g(y)| = r$. Let $h \in B_L$ be the unique nonexpansive extension of g . The properties of g and Lemma 21 imply that $h \notin j(\text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r))$.

Now suppose that the set of all extreme points of B_L coincides with $M = \varrho(\mathbb{U}_r) \cup (-\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r))$. As B_L is metrizable in the weak* topology, the Choquet theorem yields a Borel probability measure λ on M such that

$$(7) \quad \int_M u \, d\lambda(u) = h.$$

Further, since $\mathbb{U}_r \ni x \mapsto \varrho(x) \in \varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is a continuous bijection, $\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)$ is a Borel subset of M and the inverse function is Borel. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ be defined by $\mu_1(A) = \lambda(\varrho(A))$ and $\mu_2(A) = \lambda(-\varrho(A))$ for a Borel subset A of \mathbb{U}_r , and let $\mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2$. Fix $x, y \in \mathbb{U}_r$. Since the functional $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r) \ni$

$u \mapsto u(x) - u(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ is weak* continuous, by (7) and the measure transport theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) - h(y) &= \int_M (u(x) - u(y)) \, d\lambda(u) \\ &= \int_{\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)} (u(x) - u(y)) \, d\lambda(u) + \int_{-\varrho(\mathbb{U}_r)} (u(x) - u(y)) \, d\lambda(u) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{U}_r} (\varrho(z)(x) - \varrho(z)(y)) \, d\mu_1(z) + \int_{\mathbb{U}_r} (-\varrho(z)(x) + \varrho(z)(y)) \, d\mu_2(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{U}_r} (d(x, z) - d(y, z)) \, d\mu(z), \end{aligned}$$

which means that $h = j(\mu)$. But this contradicts the first part of the proof. ■

23. REMARK. The nonsurjectivity of j in the case of a bounded Urysohn space may be immediately deduced from Propositions 10 and 16. Indeed, it is easy to check that if $\Psi : \text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r) \rightarrow \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)^*$ is an operator defined by $\Psi(\mu)(f) = \int_{\mathbb{U}_r} f \, d\mu$, then Ψ is isometric (by Theorem 11 or Corollary 12) and $j = J \circ \Psi$. The same argument shows that $J|_E$ is an isometry between $E = \Psi(\text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r))$ and $F = j(\text{Mes}(\mathbb{U}_r))$. What is more, J , as a dual operator, is a weak* homeomorphism and the spaces E and F are weak* dense in $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)^*$ and $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{U}_r)$, respectively. So, we have obtained an interesting example of a weak* homeomorphism which is isometric on a weak* dense subspace of the domain, but not isometric on the whole domain.

Our last aim is to establish some geometric properties of the space $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$. The property (L2) implies that B_L is the closed convex hull of the set $\text{CFL}_0(\mathbb{U}_r) = \{(e_x - e_y)/d(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{U}_r, x \neq y\}$. The next result shows that the set $\text{CFL}_0(\mathbb{U})$ is transitive with respect to isometric isomorphisms of $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U})$.

24. THEOREM. *For any $f, g \in \text{CFL}_0(\mathbb{U})$ there exists an isometric isomorphism $V : \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}) \rightarrow \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U})$ such that $V(f) = g$.*

Proof. Let (p, q) and (a, b) be pairs of distinct points of \mathbb{U} such that

$$f = \frac{e_p - e_q}{d(p, q)} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \frac{e_a - e_b}{d(a, b)}.$$

There is a bijection $\varphi : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ such that $\varphi(p) = a, \varphi(q) = b$ and $d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = \lambda d(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{U}$, where $\lambda = d(a, b)/d(p, q)$. Now let $V : \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}) \rightarrow \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U})$ be the linear operator defined by $V(h) = h \circ \varphi^{-1}$ ($h \in \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U})$). The map V is well defined, because

$$(8) \quad V(e_x - e_y) = \frac{e_{\varphi(x)} - e_{\varphi(y)}}{\lambda}.$$

It is clearly a bijective isometric map and (8) shows that $V(f) = g$. ■

Now let $\omega \in \mathbb{U}_r$ and $A_\omega = \{e_x - e_\omega : x \in \mathbb{U}_r\} \subset \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$. Note that $0 \in A_\omega$ and the map $m_\omega : \mathbb{U}_r \ni x \mapsto e_x - e_\omega \in A_\omega$ is isometric, so the set A_ω is closed. It is also a total subset of $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$. First we shall prove the following

25. PROPOSITION. *The set $A_\omega \setminus \{0\}$ is linearly independent.*

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be distinct elements of $\mathbb{U}_r \setminus \{\omega\}$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be real numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (e_{x_j} - e_\omega) = 0$. This implies that $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \varrho(x_j) = (\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j) \varrho(\omega)$. So, Proposition 2 finishes the proof. ■

To state the next result, we need an auxiliary notion. For a number $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$, we say that a function $w : P \rightarrow Q$ between metric spaces (P, p) and (Q, q) is λ -isometric if

$$q(w(x), w(y)) = \lambda p(x, y) \quad \text{for each } x, y \in P.$$

Additionally, set $\Lambda(P) = \{1\}$ if P is bounded, and $\Lambda(P) = (0, +\infty)$ otherwise. Now we are ready to present

26. THEOREM. *Let $\omega, \tau \in \mathbb{U}_r$. Let K be a nonempty compact subset of A_ω and let $v : K \rightarrow A_\tau$ be λ -isometric with $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathbb{U}_r)$. Then there is an isometric isomorphism $V : \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r) \rightarrow \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ and $f_0 \in A_\tau$ such that $v(f) = \lambda V(f) + f_0$ for every $f \in K$.*

Proof. Let $K_0 = m_\omega^{-1}(K)$ and $u : K_0 \ni x \mapsto m_\tau^{-1}(v(m_\omega(x))) \in \mathbb{U}_r$. The set K_0 is compact and u is λ -isometric, so there is a bijective λ -isometric map $U : \mathbb{U}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_r$ which extends u . Now put $V : \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r) \ni f \mapsto f \circ U^{-1} \in \text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$ and $f_0 = e_{U(\omega)} - e_\tau \in A_\tau$. As in the proof of Theorem 24, V is an isometric isomorphism such that $V(e_x - e_y) = (e_{U(x)} - e_{U(y)})/\lambda$. So, if $x \in \mathbb{U}_r$ and $f = e_x - e_\omega$, then

$$\begin{aligned} v(f) &= v(m_\omega(x)) = m_\tau(u(x)) = m_\tau(U(x)) = e_{U(x)} - e_\tau \\ &= \lambda V(e_x - e_\omega) + e_{U(\omega)} - e_\tau = \lambda V(f) + f_0. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

27. REMARK. As mentioned at the beginning of the paper, the fact that the dual of $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U})$ is linearly isometric to $\text{LIP}(\mathbb{U})$ is a consequence of the Holmes theorem [3, 4]. Namely, he has shown that if $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is any Banach space such that $\mathbb{U} \subset E$ and $\|x - y\| = d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{U}$, then for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = 0$ one has

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j \right\| = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j f(x_j) \right| : f \in B_L(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) \right\}.$$

However, other properties of $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U})$ cannot be deduced from the above fact, and Holmes' theorem applies only to the unbounded Urysohn space.

We end the paper with the following two questions. In both of them, r is finite.

QUESTION 1. The universality of an unbounded Urysohn space \mathbb{U} and the results of Godefroy and Kalton [2] imply that the space $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U})$ is universal for separable Banach spaces (this was observed by Melleray [9]). These arguments do not work in the case of a bounded Urysohn space. Is the space $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ isometrically or isomorphically embeddable in $\text{CFL}(\mathbb{U}_r)$?

QUESTION 2. Suppose that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space such that $\mathbb{U}_r \subset E$ and $\|x - y\| = d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{U}_r$. Does there exist a constant $c > 0$ such that whenever x_1, \dots, x_n are points of \mathbb{U}_r and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are real numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = 0$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j \right\| \geq c \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j g(x_j) \right| : g \in B_L(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) \right\}?$$

Does there exist a universal constant $c > 0$ for which the above estimate holds (independently of the space E)?

References

- [1] S. Gao and A. S. Kechris, *On the classification of Polish metric spaces up to isometry*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 161 (2003), no. 766.
- [2] G. Godefroy and N. J. Kalton, *Lipschitz-free Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 159 (2003), 121–141.
- [3] M. R. Holmes, *The universal separable metric space of Urysohn and isometric embeddings thereof in Banach spaces*, Fund. Math. 140 (1992), 199–223.
- [4] —, *The Urysohn space embeds in Banach spaces in just one way*, Topology Appl. 155 (2008), 1479–1482.
- [5] G. E. Huhunaišvili, *On a property of Urysohn’s universal metric space*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 101 (1955), 332–333 (in Russian).
- [6] M. Katětov, *On universal metric spaces*, in: General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra VI (Prague, 1986), Z. Frolík (ed.), Heldermann, Berlin, 1988, 323–330.
- [7] J. Melleray, *On the geometry of Urysohn’s universal metric space*, Topology Appl. 154 (2007), 384–403.
- [8] —, *Some geometric and dynamical properties of the Urysohn space*, *ibid.* 155 (2008), 1531–1560.
- [9] —, *Computing the complexity of the relation of isometry between separable Banach spaces*, Math. Logic Quart. 53 (2007), 128–131.
- [10] J. Melleray, F. V. Petrov and A. M. Vershik, *Linearly rigid metric spaces and the embedding problem*, Fund. Math. 199 (2008), 177–194.
- [11] P. Niemiec, *Integration and Lipschitz functions*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 57 (2008), 391–399.
- [12] —, *Strengthened Stone–Weierstrass type theorem*, to appear.

- [13] P. S. Urysohn, *Sur un espace métrique universel*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 180 (1925), 803–806.
- [14] —, *Sur un espace métrique universel*, Bull. Sci. Math. 51 (1927), 43–64, 74–96.
- [15] N. Weaver, *Lipschitz Algebras*, World Sci., 1999.

Institute of Mathematics
Jagiellonian University
Łojasiewicza 6
30-348 Kraków, Poland
E-mail: piotr.niemiec@uj.edu.pl

Received March 25, 2008
Revised version November 28, 2008

(6322)