

## The weak type inequality for the Walsh system

by

USHANGI GOGINAVA (Tbilisi)

**Abstract.** The main aim of this paper is to prove that the maximal operator  $\sigma^\#$  is bounded from the Hardy space  $H_{1/2}$  to weak- $L_{1/2}$  and is not bounded from  $H_{1/2}$  to  $L_{1/2}$ .

**1. Introduction.** The first result on a.e. convergence of the Walsh–Fejér means  $\sigma_n f$  is due to Fine [1]. Later, Schipp [6] showed that the maximal operator  $\sigma^* f$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$ , from which the a.e. convergence follows by standard arguments. Schipp’s result implies by interpolation also the boundedness of  $\sigma^* : L_p \rightarrow L_p$  ( $1 < p \leq \infty$ ). This fails to hold for  $p = 1$  but Fujii [2] proved that  $\sigma^*$  is bounded from the dyadic Hardy space  $H_1$  to  $L_1$  (see also Simon [8]). Fujii’s theorem was extended by Weisz [11], who proved that the maximal operator of the Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series is bounded from the martingale Hardy space  $H_p(I)$  to  $L_p(I)$  for  $p > 1/2$ . Simon [9] gave an example to show that this does not hold for  $0 < p < 1/2$ . In the endpoint case  $p = 1/2$  Weisz [14] proved that  $\sigma^*$  is bounded from the Hardy space  $H_{1/2}(I)$  to weak- $L_{1/2}(I)$ .

For the two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series Weisz [12] proved that the maximal operator

$$\sigma^* f = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n S_{j,j}(f) \right|$$

is bounded from the two-dimensional dyadic martingale Hardy space  $H_p$  to  $L_p$  for  $p > 2/3$ , and Goginava [4] generalized this result to  $d$ -dimensional Walsh–Fourier series. The a.e. convergence of the arithmetic means of square partial sums of double Vilenkin–Fourier series was studied by Gát [3].

The main aim of this paper is to prove that the maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of the double Walsh–Fourier series is bounded from the dyadic Hardy space  $H_{1/2}$  to weak- $L_{1/2}$  and is not bounded from

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 42C10.

*Key words and phrases*: Walsh function, Hardy space, maximal operator.

This paper was written during the author’s visit at the College of Nyíregyháza, Hungary.

$H_{1/2}$  to  $L_{1/2}$  provided that the supremum in the maximal operator is taken over spatial indices.

**2. Definitions and notation.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  denote the set of positive integers, and  $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$ . Denote by  $Z_2$  the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is,  $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$ , where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on  $Z_2$  is such that the measure of a singleton is  $1/2$ . Let  $G$  be the complete direct product of a countably infinite number of copies of the compact group  $Z_2$ . Elements of  $G$  are of the form  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$  with  $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). The group operation on  $G$  is coordinatewise addition, and the measure (denoted by  $\mu$ ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group  $G$  is called the *Walsh group*. A base of neighborhoods of  $x \in G$  can be given in the following way:

$$I_0(x) := G,$$

$$I_n(x) := I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . These sets are called the *dyadic intervals*. Let  $0 = (0, 0, \dots) \in G$  denote the null element of  $G$ ,  $I_n := I_n(0)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $\bar{I}_n := G \setminus I_n$ . Set  $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) \in G$  with the  $n$ th coordinate 1, and the other zeros. Define

$$x_{i,j} := \sum_{s=i}^j x_s e_s, \quad x_{i,i-1} = 0.$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in G$  set

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k},$$

the  $k$ th Rademacher function. If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$ , where  $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ), i.e.  $n$  is expressed in the number system of base 2. Define  $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$ , that is,  $2^{|n|} \leq n < 2^{|n|+1}$ .

The *Walsh–Paley system* is defined as the sequence of *Walsh–Paley functions*

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k} \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbb{P}).$$

The *Walsh–Dirichlet kernel* is defined by

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k(x).$$

Recall that

$$(1) \quad D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \bar{I}_n. \end{cases}$$

The rectangular partial sums of the 2-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series are defined as follows:

$$S_{M,N}f(x^1, x^2) := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \widehat{f}(i, j) w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2),$$

where the number

$$\widehat{f}(i, j) = \int_{G \times G} f(x^1, x^2) w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2)$$

is said to be the  $(i, j)$ th *Walsh–Fourier coefficient* of the function  $f$ .

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space  $L_p(G \times G)$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := \left( \int_{G \times G} |f(x^1, x^2)|^p d\mu(x^1, x^2) \right)^{1/p} \quad (0 < p < \infty).$$

The space weak- $L_p(G \times G)$  consists of all measurable functions  $f$  for which

$$\|f\|_{\text{weak-}L_p(G \times G)} := \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \mu(|f| > \lambda)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the dyadic 2-dimensional cube  $I_k(x^1) \times I_k(x^2)$  of measure  $2^{-k} \times 2^{-k}$  will be denoted by  $F_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Denote by  $f = (f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N})$  a one-parameter martingale with respect to  $(F_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  (for details, see e.g. [10, 13]). The maximal function of the martingale  $f$  is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f^{(n)}|.$$

In case  $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ , the maximal function can also be given by

$$f^*(x^1, x^2) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(x^1) \times I_n(x^2))} \left| \int_{I_n(x^1) \times I_n(x^2)} f(u^1, u^2) d\mu(u^1, u^2) \right|, \quad (x^1, x^2) \in G \times G.$$

For  $0 < p < \infty$  the *Hardy martingale space*  $H_p(G \times G)$  consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty.$$

If  $f \in L_1(G \times G)$  then it is easy to show that the sequence  $(S_{2^n, 2^n}(f) : n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a martingale. If  $f$  is a martingale, that is,  $f = (f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, \dots)$ , then the Walsh–Fourier coefficients must be defined in a somewhat different way:

$$\widehat{f}(i, j) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G \times G} f^{(k)}(x^1, x^2) w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2).$$

The Walsh–Fourier coefficients of  $f \in L_1(G \times G)$  are the same as those of the martingale  $(S_{2^n, 2^n}(f) : n \in \mathbb{N})$  obtained from  $f$ .

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and a martingale  $f$  the *Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means* of order  $2^n$  of the 2-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series of the function  $f$  are given by

$$\sigma_{2^n} f(x^1, x^2) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} S_{j,j} f(x^1, x^2).$$

For the martingale  $f$  we consider the maximal operator

$$\sigma^\# f = \sup_n |\sigma_{2^n} f(x^1, x^2)|.$$

The *2-dimensional Marcinkiewicz-Fejér kernel* of order  $2^n$  of the 2-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series is defined by

$$K_{2^n}(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} D_k(x^1) D_k(x^2).$$

It is easy to show that

$$\sigma_{2^n} f(x^1, x^2) = \int_{G \times G} f(t^1, t^2) K_{2^n}(x^1 + t^1, x^2 + t^2) d\mu(t^1, t^2).$$

A bounded measurable function  $a$  is a *p-atom* if there exists a dyadic 2-dimensional cube  $I \times I$  such that

- (a)  $\int_{I \times I} a d\mu = 0$ ;
- (b)  $\|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I \times I)^{-1/p}$ ;
- (c)  $\text{supp } a \subset I \times I$ .

### 3. Formulation of main results

**THEOREM 1.** *The maximal operator  $\sigma^\#$  is bounded from the Hardy space  $H_{1/2}(G \times G)$  to weak- $L_{1/2}(G \times G)$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *The maximal operator  $\sigma^\#$  is not bounded from  $H_{1/2}(G \times G)$  to  $L_{1/2}(G \times G)$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $p > 1/2$ . Then  $\sigma^\#$  is bounded from the Hardy space  $H_p(G \times G)$  to  $L_p(G \times G)$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $0 < p < 1/2$ . Then  $\sigma^\#$  is not bounded from  $H_p(G \times G)$  to weak- $L_p(G \times G)$ .*

**4. Auxiliary propositions.** We shall need the following lemmas (see [5, 13]).

**LEMMA 1 (Weisz).** *Suppose that an operator  $V$  is sublinear and, for some  $0 < p < 1$ ,*

$$\sup_{\varrho > 0} \varrho^p \mu\{x \in (G \times G) \setminus (I \times I) : |Va(x)| > \varrho\} \leq c_p < \infty$$

for every  $p$ -atom  $a$ , where  $I$  denote the support of the atom. If  $V$  is bounded from  $L_{p_1}$  to  $L_{p_1}$  for a fixed  $1 < p_1 \leq \infty$ , then

$$\|Vf\|_{weak-L_p(G \times G)} \leq c_p \|f\|_{H_p}.$$

LEMMA 2 (Nagy). Let  $A, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \leq n < A$ , and  $(x^1, x^2) \in (I_m \setminus I_{m+1}) \times (I_n \setminus I_{n+1})$ . Then

$$K_{2^A}(x^1, x^2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \exists i \in B_1, x_i^1 \neq x_i^2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2, \exists s \in B_2, x^1 - e_m - e_s \notin I_{n+1}, x_s^1 = 1, \\ 2^{s+m-2} & \text{if } \forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2, \exists s \in B_2, x^1 - e_s - e_m \in I_{n+1}, x_s^1 = 1, \\ 2^{2m-1} & \text{if } x^1 - e_m \in I_{n+1}, \forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2, \end{cases}$$

where  $B_1 = \{n+1, \dots, A-1\}$ ,  $B_2 = \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ .

LEMMA 3 (Nagy). Let  $A, s, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(x^1, x^2) \in I_A \times (I_l \setminus I_{l+1})$  and  $l < s+l < A$ . Then

$$K_{2^A}(x^1, x^2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \exists s, l < s+l < A, x^2 - x_l^2 e_l - e_{s+l} \notin I_A, x_{s+l}^2 \neq 0, \\ 2^{2l+s-2} & \text{if } \exists s, l < s+l < A, x^2 - x_l^2 e_l - e_{s+l} \in I_A, x_{s+l}^2 \neq 0, \\ 2^{l-2} n(A, l) & \text{if } x^2 - x_l^2 e_l \in I_A, \end{cases}$$

where  $n(A, l) = [-2^{l-A}(2^A - 2^{l-1} + 1/2) - (2^A - 2)]$ .

LEMMA 4 ([4]). Let  $(x^1, x^2) \in \bar{I}_N \times \bar{I}_N$ . Then

$$\int_{I_N \times I_N} K_{2^A}(x^1 + t^1, x^2 + t^2) d\mu(t^1, t^2) \leq \frac{c}{2^{3N}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^N-1} D_j(x^1) D_j(x^2) \right|, \quad A \geq N.$$

LEMMA 5 ([4]). Let  $(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times \bar{I}_N$ . Then

$$\int_{I_N \times I_N} K_{2^A}(x^1 + t^1, x^2 + t^2) d\mu(t^1, t^2) \leq \frac{c}{2^{3N}} \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^N-1} D_j(x^1) D_j(x^2) \right| + 2^N \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^N-1} D_j(x^2) \right| \right\}, \quad A \geq N.$$

LEMMA 6. Let  $(x^1, x^2) \in (I_m \setminus I_{m+1}) \times (I_n \setminus I_{n+1})$ ,  $n \geq m$ ,  $m, n = 0, \dots, N-1$ ,  $A > N$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N \times I_N} K_{2^A}(x^1 + t^1, x^2 + t^2) d\mu(t^1, t^2) \\ & \leq \frac{c2^{m-n}}{2^{3N}} \sum_{r=m+1}^{n+1} 2^r D_{2^{n+1}}(x^1 + e_m + e_r) D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_n + x_{n+1, N-1}^1). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 2 and by (1) we can write the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^N-1} D_j(x^1) D_j(x^2) \right| \\ & \leq c2^{m-n} \sum_{r=m+1}^{n+1} 2^r D_{2^{n+1}}(x^1 + e_m + e_r) D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_n + x_{n+1, N-1}^1). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4 we complete the proof.

LEMMA 7. Let  $(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times (I_l \setminus I_{l+1})$ ,  $l = 0, \dots, N-1$ ,  $A > N$ . Then

$$\int_{I_N \times I_N} K_{2^A}(x^1 + t^1, x^2 + t^2) d\mu(t^1, t^2) \leq \frac{c2^l}{2^{3N}} \sum_{m=l+1}^N 2^m D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_l + e_m).$$

*Proof.* Since (see [7] and (1))

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^N-1} D_j(x^2) \right| \leq c \sum_{j=0}^N 2^j D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_j) = c2^l D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_l)$$

and (see Lemma 3)

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^N-1} D_j(x^1) D_j(x^2) \right| \leq c2^l \sum_{m=l+1}^{N-1} 2^m D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_m),$$

from Lemma 4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N \times I_N} K_{2^A}(x^1 + t^1, x^2 + t^2) d\mu(t^1, t^2) \\ & \leq \frac{c2^l}{2^{3N}} \left\{ \sum_{m=l+1}^{N-1} 2^m D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_l + e_m) + 2^N D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_l) \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{c2^l}{2^{3N}} \sum_{m=l+1}^N 2^m D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_l + e_m). \end{aligned}$$

## 5. Proofs of main results

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We shall apply Lemma 1; we may suppose that  $a \in L_\infty$  is a  $1/2$ -atom with support  $I_N \times I_N$ . Since  $\sigma_{2^A} a(x^1, x^2) = 0$  for  $A \leq N$ , we may assume that  $A > N$ .

Suppose that  $\varrho = c2^\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad & \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in \overline{I_N \times I_N} : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda\} \\
 & = \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in \bar{I}_N \times \bar{I}_N : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda\} \\
 & \quad + \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times \bar{I}_N : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda\} \\
 & \quad + \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in \bar{I}_N \times I_N : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(x^1, x^2) \in (I_m \setminus I_{m+1}) \times (I_n \setminus I_{n+1})$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n < N$ . Then from Lemma 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad & \sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2) \\
 & \leq c\|a\|_\infty \sup_{A \geq N} \int_{I_N \times I_N} K_{2^A}(x^1 + t^1, x^2 + t^2) d\mu(t^1, t^2) \\
 & \leq \frac{c2^{4N}2^{m-n}}{2^{3N}} \sum_{r=m+1}^{n+1} 2^r D_{2^{n+1}}(x^1 + e_m + e_r) D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_n + x_{n+1, N-1}^1) \\
 & = c2^{N+m-n} \sum_{r=m+1}^{n+1} 2^r D_{2^{n+1}}(x^1 + e_m + e_r) D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_n + x_{n+1, N-1}^1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_1^\#(x^1, x^2) & := c2^{N+m-n} \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{r=m+1}^{n+1} 2^r D_{2^{n+1}}(x^1 + e_m + e_r) D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_n + x_{n+1, N-1}^1).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is evident (see (1)) that  $\sigma_1^\#(x^1, x^2) \neq 0$  implies that

$$x^1 \in I_N(\bar{0}, x_m^1 = 1, \bar{0}, x_l^1 = 1, \bar{0}, x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{N-1}^1)$$

and

$$x^2 \in I_N(\bar{0}, x_n^2 = 1, x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{N-1}^1)$$

for some  $l$  with  $m < l \leq n+1$ , where  $\bar{0}$  denotes a string of zeros. Consequently,

$$\sigma_1^\#(x^1, x^2) \leq c2^{2N+m+l}.$$

Suppose  $2N + m + l \leq \lambda$ . Then

$$\sigma_1^\#(x^1, x^2) \leq c2^\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\{\sigma_1^\# > c2^\lambda\} = 0.$$

Hence, we can suppose that

$$m + l > \lambda - 2N.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^n \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in (I_m \setminus I_{m+1}) \times (I_n \setminus I_{n+1}) : \sigma_1^\#(x^1, x^2) > c2^\lambda\} \\ &\leq c \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{l=m+1, m+l > \lambda-2N}^{n+1} \sum_{x_{n+1}^1=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_{N-1}^1=0}^1 \\ &\quad \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in I_N(\bar{0}, x_m^1 = 1, \bar{0}, x_l^1 = 1, \bar{0}, x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{N-1}^1) \\ &\quad \times I_N(\bar{0}, x_n^2 = 1, x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{N-1}^1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$A := \{(l, m) : m + l > \lambda - 2N\}, \quad B := \{(l, m) : 0 \leq l \leq n, 0 \leq m \leq l\}.$$

Suppose  $\lambda - 2N \leq 0$ . Then it is evident that

$$A \cap B = \{(l, m) : 0 \leq l \leq n, 0 \leq m \leq l\}.$$

Hence, we can write

$$(4) \quad E \leq c \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^l \frac{2^{N-n}}{2^{2N}} \leq \frac{c}{2^N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{n^2}{2^n} \leq \frac{c}{2^N} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}.$$

Suppose  $\lambda - 2N > 0$  and  $0 \leq n < (\lambda - 2N)/2$ . Then it is easy to show that

$$A \cap B = \emptyset.$$

Suppose  $\lambda - 2N > 0$  and  $(\lambda - 2N)/2 \leq n < \lambda - 2N$ . Then we can write

$$A \cap B = \{(l, m) : (\lambda - 2N)/2 \leq l \leq n, \lambda - 2N - l \leq m \leq l\}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad E &\leq c \sum_{n=[\lambda/2]-N}^{[\lambda]-2N} \sum_{l=[\lambda/2]-N}^n \sum_{m=[\lambda]-2N-l}^l \frac{2^{N-n}}{2^{2N}} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2^N} \sum_{n=[\lambda/2]-N}^{[\lambda]-2N} \frac{(n - (\lambda/2 - N))^2}{2^n} \leq \frac{c}{2^N 2^{\lambda/2 - N}} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}. \end{aligned}$$



Suppose  $\lambda - 2N > 0$  and  $\lambda - 2N \leq n < N$ . Then it is evident that

$$A \cap B = \{(l, m) : (\lambda - 2N)/2 \leq l \leq \lambda - 2N, \lambda - 2N - l \leq m \leq l\} \\ \cup \{(l, m) : \lambda - 2N < l \leq n, 0 \leq m \leq l\}.$$

Consequently, we can write

$$(6) \quad E \leq c \sum_{n=[\lambda]-2N}^{N-1} \sum_{l=[\lambda/2]-N}^{[\lambda]-2N} \sum_{m=[\lambda]-2N-l}^l \frac{2^{N-n}}{2^{2N}} \\ + c \sum_{n=[\lambda]-2N}^{N-1} \sum_{l=[\lambda]-2N}^n \sum_{m=0}^l \frac{2^{N-n}}{2^{2N}} \\ \leq \frac{c}{2^N} \frac{(\lambda/2 - N)^2}{2^{\lambda-2N}} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}.$$

Combining (3)–(6) we obtain

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^n \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in (I_m \setminus I_{m+1}) \times (I_n \setminus I_{n+1}) : \sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2) > c2^\lambda\} \\ \leq c/2^{\lambda/2}.$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=n}^{N-1} \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in (I_m \setminus I_{m+1}) \times (I_n \setminus I_{n+1}) : \sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2) > c2^\lambda\} \\ \leq c/2^{\lambda/2}.$$

From (7) and (8) we get

$$(9) \quad \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in \bar{I}_N \times \bar{I}_N : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda\} \leq c/2^{\lambda/2}.$$

Let  $(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times (I_l \setminus I_{l+1})$ . Then from Lemma 7 we have

$$(10) \quad \sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2) \leq c2^{4N} \sup_{A>N} \int_{I_N \times I_N} K_{2A}(x^1 + t^1, x^2 + t^2) d\mu(t^1, t^2) \\ \leq \frac{c2^{4N+l}}{2^{3N}} \sum_{m=l+1}^N 2^m D_{2N}(x^2 + e_l + e_m) \\ = c2^{N+l} \sum_{m=l+1}^N 2^m D_{2N}(x^2 + e_l + e_m).$$

Define

$$\sigma_2^\#(x^1, x^2) := c2^{N+l} \sum_{m=l+1}^N 2^m D_{2N}(x^2 + e_l + e_m).$$

From (1) we can write

$$D_{2^N}(x^2 + e_l + e_m) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & x^2 \in I_N(\bar{0}, x_l = 1, \bar{0}, x_m = 1, \bar{0}), \\ 0, & x^2 \notin I_N(\bar{0}, x_l = 1, \bar{0}, x_m = 1, \bar{0}). \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\sigma_2^\#(x^1, x^2) \neq 0$$

implies that

$$x^2 \in I_N(\bar{0}, x_l = 1, \bar{0}, x_m = 1, \bar{0})$$

for some  $m$  with  $l < m \leq N$ . Consequently,

$$\sigma_2^\#(x^1, x^2) \leq c2^{l+2N+m}.$$

Suppose  $l + 2N + m \leq \lambda$ . Then

$$\sigma_2^\#(x^1, x^2) \leq c2^\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\{\sigma_2^\# > c2^\lambda\} = 0.$$

Hence, we can suppose that

$$l + 2N + m > \lambda.$$

Define

$$T := \{(m, l) : l + m > \lambda - 2N\}, \quad S := \{(m, l) : 0 \leq l \leq m < N\}.$$

Suppose  $\lambda - 2N \leq 0$ . Then it is evident that

$$T \cap S = \{(m, l) : 0 \leq m < N, 0 \leq l \leq m\}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad & \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times (I_l \setminus I_{l+1}) : \sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2) > c2^\lambda\} \\ & \leq \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=l+1}^{N-1} \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times I_N(\bar{0}, x_l = 1, \bar{0}, x_m = 1, \bar{0}) : \\ & \hspace{25em} \sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2) > c2^\lambda\} \\ & \leq c \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=l+1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2^{2N}} \leq \frac{cN^2}{2^{2N}} < \frac{c}{2^N} < \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $2N < \lambda \leq 3N$ . Then it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} T \cap S &= \{(m, l) : \lambda/2 - N \leq m < \lambda - 2N, \lambda - 2N - m \leq l \leq m\} \\ &\cup \{(m, l) : \lambda - 2N \leq m < N, 0 \leq l \leq m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
(12) \quad & \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times (I_l \setminus I_{l+1}) : \sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2) > c2^\lambda\} \\
& \leq c \sum_{m=[\lambda/2]-N}^{[\lambda]-2N} \sum_{l=[\lambda]-2N-m}^m \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times I_N(\bar{0}, x_l = 1, \bar{0}, x_m = 1, \bar{0}) : \\
& \quad \sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2) > c2^\lambda\} \\
& \quad + \sum_{m=[\lambda]-2N}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^m \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times I_N(\bar{0}, x_l = 1, \bar{0}, x_m = 1, \bar{0}) : \\
& \quad \sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2) > c2^\lambda\} \\
& \leq c \sum_{m=[\lambda/2]-N}^{[\lambda]-2N} \sum_{l=[\lambda]-2N-m}^m \frac{1}{2^{2N}} + \sum_{m=[\lambda]-2N}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{1}{2^{2N}} \\
& \leq c \sum_{m=[\lambda/2]-N}^{[\lambda]-2N} \frac{m - (\lambda/2 - N)}{2^{2N}} + \frac{cN^2}{2^{2N}} \leq \frac{cN^2}{2^{2N}} \leq \frac{c\lambda^2}{2^{(2/3)\lambda}} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\lambda > 3N$ . Then

$$T \cap S = \{(m, l) : \lambda/2 - N \leq m < N, \lambda - 2N - m \leq l \leq m\}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
(13) \quad & \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times (I_l \setminus I_{l+1}) : \sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2) > c2^\lambda\} \\
& \leq c \sum_{m=[\lambda/2]-N}^{N-1} \sum_{l=[\lambda]-2N-m}^m \frac{1}{2^{2N}} \leq \frac{c(2N - \lambda/2)^2}{2^{2N - \lambda/2}} \frac{1}{2^{\lambda/2}} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (11)–(13) we obtain

$$(14) \quad \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times \bar{I}_N : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda\} \leq c/2^{\lambda/2}.$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$(15) \quad \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in \bar{I}_N \times I_N : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda\} \leq c/2^{\lambda/2}.$$

From (9), (14) and (15) we obtain

$$\mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in \overline{I_N \times I_N} : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda\} \leq c/2^{\lambda/2}.$$

Theorem 1 is proved.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $A \in \mathbb{P}$  and

$$f_A(x^1, x^2) := (D_{2^{A+1}}(x^1) - D_{2^A}(x^1))(D_{2^{A+1}}(x^2) - D_{2^A}(x^2)).$$

It is evident that

$$\widehat{f}_A(i, k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i, k = 2^A, \dots, 2^{A+1} - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can write that

$$(16) \quad S_{k,k}(f_A; x^1, x^2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0, \dots, 2^A, \\ (D_k(x^1) - D_{2^A}(x^1))(D_k(x^2) - D_{2^A}(x^2)) & \text{if } k = 2^A + 1, \dots, 2^{A+1} - 1, \\ f_A(x^1, x^2) & \text{if } k \geq 2^{A+1}. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$f_A^*(x^1, x^2) = \sup_k |S_{2^k, 2^k}(f_A; x^1, x^2)| = |f_A(x^1, x^2)|, \\ \|f_A\|_{H_p} = \|f_A^*\|_p = \|D_{2^A}\|_p^2 = 2^{2A(1-1/p)}.$$

Since

$$D_{k+2^A} - D_{2^A} = w_{2^A} D_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2^A,$$

from (16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^\# f_A(x^1, x^2) &= \sup_n |\sigma_{2^n}(f_A; x^1, x^2)| \geq \sigma_{2^{A+1}}(f_A; x^1, x^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{A+1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^{A+1}-1} S_{k,k}(f_A; x^1, x^2) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{A+1}} \left| \sum_{k=2^A+1}^{2^{A+1}-1} (D_k(x^1) - D_{2^A}(x^1))(D_k(x^2) - D_{2^A}(x^2)) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{A+1}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{2^A-1} (D_{k+2^A}(x^1) - D_{2^A}(x^1))(D_{k+2^A}(x^2) - D_{2^A}(x^2)) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{A+1}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{2^A-1} D_k(x^1) D_k(x^2) \right| = \frac{1}{2} |K_{2^A}(x^1, x^2)|. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(x^1, x^2) \in I_A(\bar{0}, x_m^1 = 1, \bar{0}, x_n^1 = 1, x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \\ \times I_A(\bar{0}, x_n^2 = 1, x_{n+1}^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2).$$

Then from Lemma 2 we obtain

$$|K_{2^A}(x^1, x^2)| = 2^{m+n-2}.$$

Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G \times G} |K_{2^A}(x^1, x^2)|^{1/2} d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\
& \geq \sum_{m=0}^{A-1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{A-1} \sum_{x_{n+1}^1=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_{A-1}^1=0}^1 \int_{I_A(\bar{0}, x_m^1=1, \bar{0}, x_n^1=1, x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \times I_A(\bar{0}, x_n^1=1, x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1)} \\
& \quad |K_{2^A}(x^1, x^2)|^{1/2} d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\
& = \sum_{m=0}^{A-1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{A-1} 2^{(m+n-2)/2} \sum_{x_{n+1}^1=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_{A-1}^1=0}^1 \int_{G \times G} 1_{I_A(\bar{0}, x_m^1=1, \bar{0}, x_n^1=1, x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1)}(x^1) \\
& \quad \times 1_{I_A(\bar{0}, x_n^2=1, x_{n+1}^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2)}(x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\
& \geq c \sum_{m=0}^{A-1} 2^{m/2} \sum_{n=m}^{A-1} 2^{n/2} \frac{1}{2^{2A}} 2^{A-n} \geq \frac{cA}{2^A},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\|\sigma^\# f_A\|_{1/2}}{\|f_A\|_{1/2}} \geq \frac{cA^2}{2^{2A} 2^{2A(1-2)}} \geq cA^2 \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } A \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

Since  $\sigma^\#$  is bounded from  $L_\infty(G \times G)$  to  $L_\infty(G \times G)$  the validity of Corollaries 3 and 4 follows by interpolation (see Weisz [13]) from Theorems 1 and 2.

**Acknowledgments.** The author wishes to express his gratitude to the referees for helpful remarks.

## References

- [1] J. Fine, *Cesàro summability of Walsh–Fourier series*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 41 (1955), 558–591.
- [2] N. J. Fujii, *Cesàro summability of Walsh–Fourier series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), 111–116.
- [3] G. Gát, *Convergence of Marcinkiewicz means of integrable functions with respect to two-dimensional Vilenkin systems*, Georgian Math. J. 11 (2004), 467–478.
- [4] U. Goginava, *Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of  $d$ -dimensional Walsh–Fourier series*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 307 (2005), 206–218.
- [5] K. Nagy, *Some convergence properties of the Walsh–Kaczmarz system with respect to the Marcinkiewicz means*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. 76 (2005), 503–516.
- [6] F. Schipp, *Certain rearrangements of series in the Walsh system*, Mat. Zametki 18 (1975), 193–201 (in Russian).
- [7] F. Schipp, W. R. Wade, P. Simon and J. Pál, *Walsh Series, an Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*, Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1990.
- [8] P. Simon, *Investigations with respect to the Vilenkin system*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. 27 (1984), 87–101.

- [9] P. Simon, *Cesàro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh system*, Monatsh. Math. 131 (2000), 321–334.
- [10] F. Weisz, *Martingale Hardy Spaces and their Applications in Fourier Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [11] —, *Cesàro summability of one and two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series*, Anal. Math. 22 (1996), 229–242.
- [12] —, *Convergence of double Walsh–Fourier series and Hardy spaces*, Approx. Theory Appl. 17 (2001), no. 2, 32–44.
- [13] —, *Summability of Multi-Dimensional Fourier Series and Hardy Spaces*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [14] —,  *$\vartheta$ -summability of Fourier series*, Acta Math. Hungar. 103 (2004), 139–176.

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics  
Tbilisi State University  
Chavchavadze St. 1  
Tbilisi 0128, Georgia  
E-mail: z\_goginava@hotmail.com

*Received November 25, 2005*  
*Revised version January 20, 2008*

(5813)