

Optimal L^p -properties of Green's functions for non-divergence elliptic equations in two dimensions

by

GIOCONDA MOSCARIELLO and CARLO SBORDONE (Napoli)

Abstract. A sharp integrability result for non-negative adjoint solutions to planar non-divergence elliptic equations is proved. A uniform estimate is also given for the Green's function.

1. Introduction. Given $K \geq 1$ and a smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, denote by $\mathcal{E}(K)$ the class of symmetric 2×2 matrix-valued functions $A = A(x)$ defined on Ω which satisfy the ellipticity bounds

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{|\xi|^2}{\sqrt{K}} \leq \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq \sqrt{K} |\xi|^2$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$. For $w \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2}(\Omega)$, set

$$\mathcal{M}[w] = \text{Tr}(A(x)D^2w)$$

and for $v \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)$,

$$\mathcal{N}[v] = \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} (a_{ij}(y)v(y)), \quad A = [a_{ij}].$$

This operator is nothing other than the formal adjoint of \mathcal{M} .

In this paper, following the ideas of [FS], we study the interior regularity of non-negative solutions $v \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)$ of the adjoint equation $\mathcal{N}[v] = 0$ (i.e. $v \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)$, $v \geq 0$, and $\int_{\Omega} v \mathcal{M}[\varphi] dx = 0$ for any $\varphi \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ with compact support). It is known [B] that such "adjoint solutions" need not be locally bounded, even if the a_{ij} are continuous. Here we determine the best integrability exponent of v , in terms of the ellipticity constant K .

Namely, we prove that for $2 \leq p < 2K/(K-1)$ the *reverse Hölder inequality*

$$\left(\int_B v(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \leq c(K, p) \int_B v(y) dy$$

holds for all balls $B = B(a, r) \subset B(a, 2r) \subset \Omega$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35J15, 35J70.

The same estimate holds for $v(y) = G(x, y)$ where $G(x, y)$ is the Green's function of \mathcal{M} in Ω , with the constant $c = c(K, p)$ independent of x .

The aforesaid results are optimal.

The main tool for our proof is a generalization of the Aleksandrov–Bakelman–Pucci inequality (see [P], [FM]) recently obtained by Astala–Iwaniec–Martin [AIM].

2. The L^q -version of the Aleksandrov–Bakelman–Pucci inequality. Our discussion here is focused on the second order elliptic equation

$$\mathcal{M}[w] = \text{Tr}(AD^2w) = a_{11}(x) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_1^2} + 2a_{12}(x) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + a_{22}(x) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_2^2} = h$$

with given $h \in L^q(B)$, $q > 1$, defined on the ball $B = B(0, r)$. If $q = 2$ the Dirichlet problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}[w] = h & \text{in } B, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution $w \in W^{2,2}(B) \cap W_0^{1,2}(B)$ (see [C]).

Let us formulate the second order equations in terms of the complex derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right).$$

Upon a few elementary algebraic computations, we arrive at the formula

$$\text{Tr}(AD^2w) = (w_{z\bar{z}} - \mu w_{zz} - \bar{\mu} \bar{w}_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}) \text{Tr } A$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \mu = \mu(z) = \frac{a_{22} - a_{11} - 2ia_{12}}{2(a_{11} + a_{22})}.$$

The ellipticity bounds at (1.1) imply

$$(2.3) \quad |\mu(z)| + |\bar{\mu}(z)| \leq \frac{K - 1}{K + 1} < 1$$

for a.e. $z \in B$.

Using the complex gradient

$$f(z) = w_z = \frac{1}{2} (w_{x_1} - iw_{x_2})$$

we are reduced to the Beltrami equation

$$f_{\bar{z}} - \mu(z) f_z - \overline{\mu(z)} \bar{f}_z = \frac{h(z)}{\text{Tr } A}$$

in B . Optimal L^q -properties for its solutions have recently been established [AIS], [PV]. Precisely, given H defined on B , we set $H = 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B$ and

$\mu(z) = 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B$. Then the equation extends to the entire space \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$F_{\bar{z}} - \mu(z)F_z - \overline{\mu(z)}\overline{F}_z = H.$$

It has a unique solution F such that

$$\|F_{\bar{z}}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq c(q, K)\|H\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

for $2K/(K + 1) < q < 2K/(K - 1)$. With the aid of this estimate the following result has been established in [AIM].

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose $2K/(K + 1) < q \leq 2$, and $w \in W_{loc}^{2,q}(B_r)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{M}[w] = h & \text{a.e. in } B_r = B(0, r), \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_r. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(2.4) \quad \|w\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} \leq c(K, q)r^{2-2/q}\|h\|_{L^q(B_r)}.$$

The estimate no longer holds if $q \leq 2K/(K + 1)$.

3. A reverse Hölder inequality for non-negative adjoint solutions. In this section the letter c will denote a constant depending on K and p . It may vary at each occurrence.

We are now ready to prove the following

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume $A = [a_{ij}]$ satisfies (1.1). Let $v \in L^2(\Omega)$, $v \geq 0$ in Ω , satisfy the adjoint equation*

$$\mathcal{N}[v] = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} (a_{ij}(y)v(y)) = 0.$$

Then, for all balls $B_r \subset B_{2r} \subset \Omega$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\int_{B_r} v(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \leq c(K, p) \int_{B_r} v(y) dy,$$

where $2 \leq p < 2K/(K - 1)$.

Proof. We closely follow the arguments in [FS]. Note that here we dispense with the smoothness assumption on the coefficients. For $n = 2$ this assumption is redundant.

We make use of the dual expression of the L^p -norm,

$$(3.2) \quad \left(\int_{B_r} v^p \right)^{1/p} = \sup \left\{ \int_{B_r} vh : h \geq 0, h \in C_0^1(B_r), \|h\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Fix $h \in C_0^1(B_r)$, $\|h\|_{L^q} \leq 1$, $h \geq 0$. Applying (2.1) we solve the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{M}[w] = h & \text{in } B_{2r}, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{2r}. \end{cases}$$

Next, for $w \in W^{2,2}(B_{2r})$ fix $\varphi_r \in C_0^1(B_{3r/2})$ such that $\varphi_r = 1$ on B_r and $|\partial^\alpha \varphi_r / \partial x^\alpha| \leq C_\alpha / r^{|\alpha|}$.

Then we have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{B_r} v h \leq \int_{B_{2r}} v \mathcal{M}[w] \varphi_r = - \int_{B_{2r}} v w \mathcal{M}[\varphi_r] - 2 \int_{B_{2r}} v \langle A \nabla w, \nabla \varphi_r \rangle$$

$$\leq \frac{c}{r^2} \|w\|_{L^\infty(B_{2r})} \int_{B_{3r/2}} v + \frac{c\sqrt{K}}{r} \int_{B_{3r/2}} v |\nabla w|.$$

By (2.4), $\|w\|_{L^\infty(B_{2r})} \leq c(K, q)r^{2/p}$, hence (3.3) implies

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{B_r} v h \leq \frac{c}{r^2} r^{2/p} \int_{B_{3r/2}} v + \frac{c}{r} \left(\int_{B_{3r/2}} v \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_{2r}} v |\nabla w|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We now estimate the last integral in the right hand side, by using the Caccioppoli inequality. By (1.1) we have

$$\int_{B_{2r}} v |\nabla w|^2 \leq \sqrt{K} \int_{B_{2r}} v \langle A \nabla w, \nabla w \rangle = \sqrt{K} \int_{B_{2r}} v [\mathcal{M}[w^2] - 2wh].$$

Since $w^2 = 0$ on ∂B_{2r} , and $\nabla(w^2) = 0$ on ∂B_{2r} , we deduce

$$\int_{B_{2r}} v \mathcal{M}[w^2] = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \mathcal{N}[v] = 0.$$

Using again (2.4) yields

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{B_{2r}} v |\nabla w|^2 \leq 2\sqrt{K} \int_{B_{2r}} v |w| h \leq 2\sqrt{K} \|w\|_{L^\infty(B_{2r})} \int_{B_r} v h$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{K} c r^{2/p} \int_{B_r} v h.$$

By (3.4) and (3.5) it follows that

$$\int_{B_r} v h \leq \frac{c}{r^{2(1-1/p)}} \int_{B_{3r/2}} v + \frac{c}{r^{1-1/p}} \left(\int_{B_{3r/2}} v \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_r} v h \right)^{1/2}.$$

By the elementary inequality $\sqrt{a} \sqrt{b} \leq a/2 + b/2$, we arrive at

$$\int_{B_r} v h \leq \frac{c}{r^{2(1-1/p)}} \int_{B_{3r/2}} v + \frac{c}{r^{2(1-1/p)}} \int_{B_{3r/2}} v + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_r} v h.$$

Rearranging yields

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{B_r} v h \leq \frac{c}{r^{2(1-1/p)}} \int_{B_{3r/2}} v.$$

Since h is arbitrary, by (3.2), (3.6) we obtain

$$\left(\int_{B_r} v^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c \int_{B_{3r/2}} v.$$

An application of the following lemma (Lemma 2.0 in [FS]) concludes the proof.

LEMMA 3.1. *There exists a constant c , depending only on K , such that for all non-negative weak solutions v of $\mathcal{N}[v] = 0$ and for all balls B_r with $B_{2r} \subset \Omega$ we have*

$$\int_{B_r} v(y) dy \leq c \int_{B_{r/2}} v(y) dy. \blacksquare$$

4. A reverse Hölder inequality for the Green's function. Recall that the Green's function for \mathcal{M} on a smooth domain Ω is non-negative and $G_\Omega(x, \cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$ for every $x \in \Omega$. We have the identity

$$\varphi(x) = - \int_{\Omega} G_\Omega(x, y) \mathcal{M}\varphi(y) dy$$

for any $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $\varphi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

THEOREM 4.1. *For every $2 \leq p < 2K/(K - 1)$ and for all balls $B_r \subset B_{4r} \subset \Omega$, we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \left[\int_{B_r} G_\Omega(x, y)^p dy \right]^{1/p} \leq c(K, p) \int_{B_r} G_\Omega(x, y) dy$$

for $x \in \Omega$.

Let us first recall some well known properties of Green's functions. The Aleksandrov–Bakelman–Pucci theorem for $n = 2$ reads

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $w \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ satisfy*

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}[w] = h & \text{with given } h \in L^2(\Omega), \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\|w\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c(K)d(\Omega)\|h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

with $d(\Omega) = \text{diam}(\Omega)$.

The solution is unique. In what follows we write it as $w = w_h$ to indicate the dependence on $h \in L^2(\Omega)$. The following result is a well known consequence of Theorem 4.2.

COROLLARY 4.1. *There exists a unique function $G(x, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $G(x, y) \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times \Omega$,*

$$w_h(x) = - \int_{\Omega} G(x, y)h(y) dy$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega} \|G(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c(K)d(\Omega).$$

We need another preliminary fact:

LEMMA 4.1 ([K, Lemma 3.3]). *Let $G_r(x, y)$ denote the Green's function for \mathcal{M} in B_{3r} . Then there exist two positive constants $c_1(K)$, $c_2(K)$ such that*

$$c_1 \leq \int_{B_r} G_r(x, y) dy \leq c_2 \quad \text{for } x \in B_{2r}.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. If $x \notin B_{2r}$ then $G(x, \cdot)$ is an adjoint solution of \mathcal{M} in B_{2r} and then the estimate follows from Theorem 3.1.

Assume now that $x \in B_{2r}$. Let $G_r(x, y)$ be the Green's function for \mathcal{M} in B_{3r} . By the maximum principle we know that $G(x, y) \geq G_r(x, y)$ and thus the function $v(y) = G(x, y) - G_r(x, y)$ is a non-negative solution to $\mathcal{N}[v] = 0$ in B_{2r} . Hence, using Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{B_r} G(x, y)^p dy \leq c \int_{B_r} [G(x, y) - G_r(x, y)]^p dy + c \int_{B_r} G_r(x, y)^p dy \\ \leq c \left\{ \int_{B_r} [G(x, y) - G_r(x, y)] dy \right\}^p + c \int_{B_r} G_r(x, y)^p dy.$$

To estimate the last term we invoke the inequality

$$(4.5) \quad \left[\int_{B_r} G_r(x, y)^p dy \right]^{1/p} \leq c(K, p)r^{2/p},$$

which comes from Theorem 3.1 in the following way. First observe that the solution w to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{M}[w] = h & \text{in } B_{3r}, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{3r}, \end{cases}$$

for $h \in L^q$ ($1/q + 1/p = 1$) can be represented as

$$w(x) = - \int_{B_{3r}} G_r(x, y)h(y) dy.$$

Then (4.5) follows by duality arguments:

$$\left[\int_{B_{3r}} G_r(x, y)^p dy \right]^{1/p} = \sup_{\|h\|_{L^q(B_{3r})} \leq 1} |w(x)| \leq c(K, q)r^{2-2/q} = c(K, p)r^{2/p}.$$

In view of Lemma 4.1 inequality (4.5) implies

$$\left[\int_{B_r} G_r(x, y)^p dy \right]^{1/p} \leq c(K, p) \int_{B_r} G_r(x, y) dy,$$

which, together with (4.4), concludes the proof. ■

The following result parallels Corollary 2.4 in [FS] and can be proved in the same way.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let $G(x, y)$ denote the Green's function corresponding to \mathcal{M} on Ω . Then for every $2 \leq p < 2K/(K - 1)$ there exists a constant $A_p = A_p(K, d)$, $d = \text{diam}(\Omega)$, such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} G(x, y)^p dy \leq A_p.$$

The optimality of the exponent p in Theorem 3.1 and in Theorem 4.1 follows again by duality arguments. Assume that inequality (4.1) holds for $p_0 = 2K/(K - 1)$.

As in [AIM, Sect. 7], for $x \in B = B(0, 1)$ let

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{M} = \text{Tr}(A(x)D^2),$$

$$(4.7) \quad A(x) = \left(\sqrt{K} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \right) \frac{x \otimes x}{|x|^2} + \frac{I}{\sqrt{K}}, \quad x \otimes x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 x_2 \\ x_2 x_1 & x_2^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(4.8) \quad w_N(x) = \varphi_N(|x|) \quad \text{for } N > 1,$$

where

$$(4.9) \quad \varphi_N(r) = \begin{cases} (\log r)r^{1-1/K} + \left(\log N - \frac{K}{K-1} \right) (r^{1-1/K} - 1) & \text{if } 1/N \leq r, \\ -\log N + \frac{K}{K-1} (1 - N^{-1+1/K}) & \text{if } 0 \leq r < 1/N, \end{cases}$$

and define

$$h_N(x) = \left(\sqrt{K} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \right) |x|^{-1-1/K} \chi_{1/N < |x| < 1}(x).$$

It is easy to check that $w_N(x)$ is the solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{M}[w_N] = h_N & \text{in } B, \\ w_N = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases}$$

and therefore $w_N(x)$ can be represented as

$$(4.10) \quad w_N(x) = - \int_B G(x, y) h_N(y) dy, \quad x \in B,$$

for G the Green's function of \mathcal{M} with respect to B . An elementary calculation reveals that

$$(4.11) \quad \|h_N\|_{L^{q_0}(B)} = \left(\sqrt{K} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \right) (2\pi \log N)^{(2K+1)/2K}$$

where

$$q_0 = \frac{2K}{K+1} = \frac{p_0}{p_0-1}$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad \|w_N\|_{L^\infty(B)} \geq c(K) (\log N)^{1+(K+1)/2K}.$$

By (4.11) and (4.12) it follows that

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{\|w_N\|_{L^\infty}}{\|h_N\|_{L^{q_0}}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

An application of Hölder's inequality and Corollary 4.2 yield the estimates

$$|w_N(x)| \leq \|G(x, \cdot)\|_{L^{p_0}(B)} \cdot \|h_N\|_{L^{q_0}(B)} \leq A_{p_0}(K) \|h_N\|_{L^{q_0}(B)},$$

which are not consistent with (4.13).

Acknowledgments. The research of both authors has been supported by MIUR-PRIN 02 and GNAMPA-INdAM.

References

- [AIM] K. Astala, T. Iwaniec and G. Martin, *Pucci's conjecture and the Aleksandrov inequality for elliptic PDEs in the plane*, to appear.
- [AIS] K. Astala, T. Iwaniec and E. Saksman, *Beltrami operators in the plane*, Duke Math. J. 107 (2001), 27–56.
- [B] P. Bauman, *Equivalence of Green's functions for diffusion operators in \mathbb{R}^n : a counterexample*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 91 (1984), 64–68.
- [C] S. Campanato, *Un risultato relativo ad equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine di tipo non variazionale*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 21 (1967), 701–707.
- [FS] E. B. Fabes and D. W. Stroock, *The L^p -integrability of Green's functions and fundamental solutions for elliptic and parabolic equations*, Duke Math. J. 51 (1984), 997–1016.
- [FM] M. Franciosi and G. Moscarriello, *A note on the maximum principle for second order nonvariational linear elliptic equations*, Ricerche Mat. 35 (1986), 279–290.
- [K] C. Kenig, *Potential theory of non-divergence form elliptic equations*, in: Dirichlet Forms (Varenna, 1992), Lecture Notes in Math. 1563, Springer, 1993, 89–128.

- [PV] S. Petermichl and A. Volberg, *Heating of the Ahlfors–Beurling operator; weakly quasiregular maps on the plane are quasiregular*, *Duke Math. J.* 112 (2002), 281–305.
- [P] C. Pucci, *Limitazioni per soluzioni di equazioni ellittiche*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) 74 (1966), 15–30.

Dipartimento di Matematica “R. Caccioppoli”

Università di Napoli “Federico II”

Via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy

E-mail: gmoscari@unina.it

sbordone@unina.it

Received August 2, 2004

Revised version February 8, 2005

(5470)