### STUDIA MATHEMATICA 199 (2) (2010)

# Best possible sufficient conditions for the Fourier transform to satisfy the Lipschitz or Zygmund condition

## by

# FERENC MÓRICZ (Szeged)

**Abstract.** We consider complex-valued functions  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and prove sufficient conditions in terms of f to ensure that the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  belongs to one of the Lipschitz classes  $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$  and  $\text{lip}(\alpha)$  for some  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , or to one of the Zygmund classes  $\text{Zyg}(\alpha)$  and  $\text{zyg}(\alpha)$  for some  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ . These sufficient conditions are best possible in the sense that they are also necessary in the case of real-valued functions f for which either  $xf(x) \geq 0$  or  $f(x) \geq 0$  almost everywhere.

**1. Introduction.** We consider complex-valued functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ which are integrable in Lebesgue's sense over  $\mathbb{R} := (-\infty, \infty)$ , in symbols:  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . As is well known, the Fourier transform of f defined by

(1.1) 
$$\hat{f}(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-itx} dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

is a continuous function and  $\hat{f}(t) \to 0$  as  $|t| \to \infty$ . For more information see, e.g., [2, Chapter I].

We recall that  $\hat{f}$  is said to satisfy the *Lipschitz condition of order*  $\alpha > 0$ , in symbols:  $\hat{f} \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)$ , if

(1.2) 
$$|\hat{f}(t+h) - \hat{f}(t)| \le Ch^{\alpha}$$
 for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h > 0$ ,

where the constant C does not depend on t or h. Furthermore,  $\hat{f}$  is said to belong to the *little Lipschitz class* lip( $\alpha$ ) for some  $\alpha > 0$  if

$$\lim_{h \to 0} h^{-\alpha} [\hat{f}(t+h) - \hat{f}(t)] = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $\hat{f}$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$  and vanishes at  $\pm \infty$ , it is enough to require the fulfillment of (1.2) for  $0 < h \leq 1$ .

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 42A38; Secondary 26A16.

Key words and phrases: Fourier transform, best possible sufficient conditions, classical function classes  $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha), \operatorname{Iip}(\alpha), \operatorname{Zyg}(\alpha)$  and  $\operatorname{Zyg}(\alpha)$ .

F. Móricz

We recall that the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  is said to satisfy the Zygmund condition of order  $\alpha > 0$ , in symbols:  $\hat{f} \in \text{Zyg}(\alpha)$ , if

(1.3) 
$$|\hat{f}(t+h) - 2\hat{f}(t) + \hat{f}(t-h)| \le Ch^{\alpha} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } h > 0,$$

where the constant C does not depend on t or h. Furthermore,  $\hat{f}$  is said to belong to the *little Zygmund class*  $zyg(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  if

$$\lim_{h \to 0} h^{-\alpha} [\hat{f}(t+h) - 2\hat{f}(t) + \hat{f}(t-h)] = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Again, it is enough to require the fulfillment of (1.3) for  $0 < h \leq 1$ .

It is well known (see, e.g., [1, Chapter 2] or [3, Chapter 2, §3]) that if  $\hat{f} \in \text{lip}(1)$ , in particular if  $\hat{f} \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 1$ , then  $\hat{f} \equiv 0$ . Furthermore, if  $\hat{f} \in \text{zyg}(2)$ , in particular if  $\hat{f} \in \text{Zyg}(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 2$ , then  $\hat{f} \equiv 0$ .

**2. Main results.** Our main results are formulated in the following four theorems.

Theorem 1.

(i) Suppose 
$$f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$$
 is such that  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ . If for some  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,

(2.1) 
$$\int_{|x| < y} |xf(x)| \, dx = O(y^{1-\alpha}) \quad \text{for all } y > 0,$$

then  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\hat{f} \in \operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ .

(ii) Conversely, suppose  $f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $xf(x) \geq 0$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\hat{f} \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)$  for some  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , then condition (2.1) holds.

Theorem 2.

(i) Suppose 
$$f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$$
 is such that  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ . If for some  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ ,

(2.2) 
$$\int_{|x| < y} x^2 |f(x)| \, dx = O(y^{2-\alpha}) \quad for \ all \ y > 0,$$

then  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\hat{f} \in \operatorname{Zyg}(\alpha)$ .

(ii) Conversely, suppose  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f(x) \ge 0$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\hat{f} \in \text{Zyg}(\alpha)$  for some  $0 < \alpha \le 2$ , then condition (2.2) holds.

Modifying the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, in Section 4 we obtain the following two theorems.

THEOREM 3. In case  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , both statements in Theorem 1 remain valid if the right-hand side in (2.1) is replaced by  $o(y^{1-\alpha})$  as  $y \to \infty$ , and  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$  is replaced by  $f \in \operatorname{lip}(\alpha)$ . THEOREM 4. In case  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , both statements in Theorem 2 remain valid if the right-hand side in (2.2) is replaced by  $o(y^{2-\alpha})$  as  $y \to \infty$ , and  $f \in \text{Zyg}(\alpha)$  is replaced by  $f \in \text{zyg}(\alpha)$ .

**3.** Auxiliary results. In this section, we consider nonnegative-valued, measurable functions g defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ . We will prove two lemmas, which are of interest in themselves.

LEMMA 1.  
(i) If 
$$\delta > \gamma \ge 0$$
 and  
(3.1) 
$$\int_{0}^{y} u^{\delta}g(u) \, du = O(y^{\gamma}) \quad for \ all \ y > 0,$$
then  $g \in L^{1}(y, \infty)$  and

(3.2) 
$$\int_{y}^{\infty} g(u) \, du = O(y^{\gamma - \delta}) \quad \text{for all } y > 0.$$

(ii) Conversely, if  $\delta \geq \gamma > 0$  and condition (3.2) holds, then condition (3.1) also holds.

We note that Lemma 1 fails in the endpoint cases not included above. For example, if  $\delta = \gamma > 0$  in (i), then for  $g(u) := u^{-1}$  condition (3.1) is satisfied, while (3.2) is not. If  $\delta > \gamma = 0$  in (ii), then for  $g(u) := u^{-1-\delta}$ condition (3.2) is satisfied, while (3.1) is not.

Proof of Lemma 1. (i) By (3.1), there exists a constant C = C(g) such that for all y > 0,

$$y^{\delta} \int_{y}^{2y} g(u) \, du \leq \int_{y}^{2y} u^{\delta} g(u) \, du \leq C(2y)^{\gamma},$$

whence it follows that

(3.3) 
$$\int_{y}^{2y} g(u) \, du \le 2^{\gamma} C y^{\gamma - \delta},$$

and since  $\gamma < \delta$ , we conclude that

(3.4) 
$$\int_{y}^{\infty} g(u) \, du \leq 2^{\gamma} C y^{\gamma-\delta} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{m} y}^{2^{m+1} y} g(u) \, du$$
$$\leq 2^{\gamma} C y^{\gamma-\delta} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m(\gamma-\delta)} = O(y^{\gamma-\delta}), \quad y > 0$$

This proves (3.2).

(ii) By (3.2), there exists another constant C = C(g) such that for all y > 0,

(3.5) 
$$\int_{y/2}^{y} u^{\delta} g(u) \, du \le y^{\delta} \int_{y/2}^{y} g(u) \, du \le 2^{\delta - \gamma} C y^{\gamma},$$

and since  $\gamma > 0$ , we conclude that

(3.6) 
$$\int_{0}^{y} u^{\delta} g(u) \, du = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{0} \int_{2^{m-1}y}^{2^{m}y} u^{\delta} g(u) \, du$$
$$\leq 2^{\delta - \gamma} C y^{\gamma} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{0} 2^{m\gamma} = O(y^{\gamma}), \quad y > 0.$$

This proves (3.1).

Modifying the proof of Lemma 1, we obtain

Lemma 2.

(i) If 
$$\delta > \gamma > 0$$
 and  
(3.7) 
$$\int_{0}^{y} u^{\delta}g(u) \, du = o(y^{\gamma}) \quad as \ y \to \infty,$$

then  $g \in L^1(y, \infty)$  for large enough y and

(3.8) 
$$\int_{y}^{\infty} g(u) \, du = o(y^{\gamma - \delta}) \quad as \ y \to \infty.$$

(ii) Conversely, if  $\delta > \gamma > 0$ ,  $u^{\delta}g(u) \in L^{1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_{+})$ , and condition (3.8) holds, then condition also holds.

We note that the endpoint case  $\delta > \gamma = 0$  in (i) makes no sense, unless g(u) = 0 almost everywhere, since the left-hand side in (3.7) is an increasing function of y. In the other endpoint case  $\delta = \gamma \ge 0$ , both (3.7) and (3.8) are trivially satisfied if  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

Proof of Lemma 2. (i) By (3.7), for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $y_0 = y_0(\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $y \ge y_0$ , (3.3) is satisfied with  $\varepsilon$  in place of C. Analogously to (3.4), it follows that

$$\int_{y}^{\infty} g(u) \, du \le 2^{\gamma} \varepsilon y^{\gamma - \delta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{m(\gamma - \delta)}, \quad y \ge y_0.$$

Since  $\delta > \gamma$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this proves (3.8).

202

(ii) By (3.8), for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists another  $y_0 = y_0(\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $y \ge y_0$ , (3.5) is satisfied with  $\varepsilon$  in place of C, that is,

(3.9) 
$$\int_{y/2}^{y} u^{\delta} g(u) \, du \le 2^{\delta - \gamma} \varepsilon y^{\gamma}, \quad y \ge y_0.$$

Due to the assumption  $u^{\delta}g(u) \in L^{1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_{+})$ , there exists  $y_{1} = y_{1}(\varepsilon, y_{0}) > 2y_{0}$  such that

(3.10) 
$$\int_{0}^{y_0} u^{\delta} g(u) \, du \le \varepsilon y_1^{\gamma}.$$

Given any  $y \ge y_1$ , there exists an integer  $m_0 = m_0(y_1) \le -1$  for which  $2^{-m_0-1}y < y_0 \le 2^{m_0}y$ .

Now, by (3.9) and (3.10), we conclude (cf. (3.6)) that for all  $y \ge y_1$  we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{y} u^{\delta}g(u) \, du &\leq \Big\{ \int_{0}^{y_0} + \sum_{m=-m_0}^{0} \int_{2^{m-1}y}^{2^m y} \Big\} u^{\delta}g(u) \, du \\ &\leq \varepsilon y_1^{\gamma} + \sum_{m=-m_0}^{0} 2^{\delta-\gamma} \varepsilon (2^m y)^{\gamma} \leq \varepsilon y^{\gamma} \Big( 1 + 2^{\delta-\gamma} \sum_{m=-m_0}^{0} 2^{m\gamma} \Big). \end{split}$$

Since  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this proves (3.7).

# 4. Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. (i) For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and h > 0, by (1.1) we have (4.1)  $2\pi |\hat{f}(t+h) - \hat{f}(t)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-itx} (e^{-ihx} - 1) dx \right|$  $\leq \left\{ \int_{|x|<1/h} + \int_{|x|>1/h} \right\} |f(x)| |e^{-ihx} - 1| =: I_h + J_h,$ 

say. Since

$$|e^{-ihx} - 1| = \left|2\sin\frac{hx}{2}\right| \le \min\{2, h|x|\},$$

by (2.1) we estimate as follows:

(4.2) 
$$|I_h| \le h \int_{|x|<1/h} |xf(x)| \, dx = hO\left(\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{1-\alpha}\right) = O(h^{\alpha}).$$

Applying Lemma 1(i) in the case of (2.1), we find that

(4.3) 
$$|J_h| \le 2 \int_{|x|>1/h} |f(x)| \, dx = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{-\alpha}\right) = O(h^{\alpha}).$$

Combining (4.1)–(4.3) gives  $\hat{f} \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)$ .

F. Móricz

(ii) Assume 
$$\hat{f} \in \operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$$
 for some  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . By (1.1), we have  

$$2\pi |\hat{f}(t) - \hat{f}(0)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)(e^{-itx} - 1) \, dx \right| \leq Ct^{\alpha}, \quad t > 0,$$

where the constant C does not depend on t. Taking only the imaginary part of the integral between the absolute value bars, we even have

(4.4) 
$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sin tx \, dx \right| \le Ct^{\alpha}, \quad t > 0.$$

We may integrate the integral in (4.4) with respect to t over the interval (0, h), where h > 0. By Fubini's theorem, we obtain

(4.5) 
$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \frac{1 - \cos hx}{x} \, dx \right| = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x)}{x} \, 2\sin^2 \frac{hx}{2} \, dx \le C \frac{h^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1}$$

where the constant C does not depend on h, and we took into account that  $xf(x) \ge 0$ . Using the well-known inequality

(4.6) 
$$\sin u \ge \frac{2}{\pi}u \quad \text{for } 0 \le u \le \pi/2,$$

it follows from (4.5) that

$$\frac{2h^2}{\pi^2} \int_{|x|<1/h} xf(x) \, dx \le C \frac{h^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1},$$

that is,

$$\int_{|x|<1/h} xf(x) \, dx \le \frac{C\pi^2}{2(\alpha+1)} h^{\alpha-1} = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{1-\alpha}\right), \quad h > 0.$$

This proves (2.1) with y := 1/h, h > 0.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* It runs along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 1, using Lemma 2 instead of Lemma 1. The details are left to the reader.  $\blacksquare$ 

Proof of Theorem 2. (i) For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and h > 0, by (1.1) we have

$$(4.7) \quad 2\pi |\hat{f}(t+h) - 2\hat{f}(t) + \hat{f}(t-h)| \\ = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-itx} (e^{-ihx} - 2 + e^{ihx}) dx \right| \\ \le \left\{ \int_{|x|<1/h} + \int_{|x|>1/h} \right\} |f(x)| |e^{-ihx} - 2 + e^{ihx} |dx =: I_h + J_h,$$

say. Since

$$|e^{-ihx} - 2 + e^{ihx}| = |2(\cos hx - 1)| = 4\sin^2 \frac{hx}{2} \le \min\{4, h^2x^2\},$$

by (2.2) we estimate as follows:

204

Lipschitz or Zygmund condition for the Fourier transform

(4.8) 
$$|I_h| \le h^2 \int_{|x|<1/h} x^2 |f(x)| \, dx = h^2 O\left(\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{2-\alpha}\right) = O(h^{\alpha}).$$

Applying Lemma 1(i) in the case of (2.2), we find that

(4.9) 
$$|J_h| \le 4 \int_{|x|>1/h} |f(x)| \, dx = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{-\alpha}\right) = O(h^{\alpha}).$$

Combining (4.7)–(4.9) gives  $\hat{f} \in \text{Zyg}(\alpha)$ .

(ii) Assume  $\hat{f} \in \text{Zyg}(\alpha)$  for some  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ . By (1.1), we have

$$(4.10) \quad 2\pi |\hat{f}(h) - 2\hat{f}(0) + \hat{f}(-h)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)(2\cos hx - 2) \, dx \right| \\ = 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sin^2 \frac{hx}{2} \, dx \le Ch^{\alpha}, \quad h > 0,$$

where the constant C does not depend on h, and we took into account that  $f(x) \ge 0$ . Making use of inequality (4.6), it follows from (4.10) that

$$\frac{4h^2}{\pi^2} \int_{|x|<1/h} x^2 f(x) dx \le Ch^{\alpha},$$

that is,

$$\int_{|x|<1/h} x^2 f(x) \, dx \le \frac{C\pi^2}{4} h^{\alpha-2} = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{2-\alpha}\right), \quad h > 0.$$

This proves (2.2) with y := 1/h, h > 0.

*Proof of Theorem 4.* It is a repetition of the proof of Theorem 2 with appropriate modifications, using Lemma 2 instead of Lemma 1. The details are left to the reader.  $\blacksquare$ 

#### References

- [1] R. de Vore and G. G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [2] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.
- [3] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Vol. I, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1959.

Ferenc Móricz Bolyai Institute University of Szeged Aradi vértanúk tere 1 H-6720 Szeged, Hungary E-mail: moricz@math.u-szeged.hu

(6868)

205