

## Ideals in big Lipschitz algebras of analytic functions

by

THOMAS VILS PEDERSEN (Frederiksberg)

**Abstract.** For  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , let  $A_\gamma^+$  be the big Lipschitz algebra of functions analytic on the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  which satisfy a Lipschitz condition of order  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . For a closed set  $E$  on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  and an inner function  $Q$ , let  $J_\gamma(E, Q)$  be the closed ideal in  $A_\gamma^+$  consisting of those functions  $f \in A_\gamma^+$  for which

- (i)  $f = 0$  on  $E$ ,
- (ii)  $|f(z) - f(w)| = o(|z - w|^\gamma)$  as  $d(z, E), d(w, E) \rightarrow 0$ ,
- (iii)  $f/Q \in A_\gamma^+$ .

Also, for a closed ideal  $I$  in  $A_\gamma^+$ , let  $E_I = \{z \in \mathbb{T} : f(z) = 0 \text{ for every } f \in I\}$  and let  $Q_I$  be the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of non-zero functions in  $I$ . Our main conjecture about the ideal structure in  $A_\gamma^+$  is that  $J_\gamma(E_I, Q_I) \subseteq I$  for every closed ideal  $I$  in  $A_\gamma^+$ . We confirm the conjecture for closed ideals  $I$  in  $A_\gamma^+$  for which  $E_I$  is countable and obtain partial results in the case where  $Q_I = 1$ . Moreover, we show that every wk\* closed ideal in  $A_\gamma^+$  is of the form  $\{f \in A_\gamma^+ : f = 0 \text{ on } E \text{ and } f/Q \in A_\gamma^+\}$  for some closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  and some inner function  $Q$ .

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper, we let  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$  unless otherwise stated and denote all constants by  $C$ . Let  $A_\gamma$  be the big Lipschitz algebra of functions  $f$  on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  for which

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq C|z - w|^\gamma$$

for  $z, w \in \mathbb{T}$ . Equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{A_\gamma} = \|f\|_\infty + \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{|z - w|^\gamma} : z, w \in \mathbb{T}, z \neq w \right\} \quad (f \in A_\gamma),$$

it is well known to be a Banach algebra. We shall be concerned with the closed subalgebra

$$A_\gamma^+ = \{f \in A_\gamma : \widehat{f}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\}$$

of  $A_\gamma$  (where  $\widehat{f}(n)$  is the  $n$ th Fourier coefficient of  $f$ ). Since every function in  $A_\gamma^+$  has an extension to a function analytic in the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ , we

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46J20, 46J15, 30H05.

This work was carried out at Université Bordeaux 1 while the author was holding a TMR Marie Curie postdoctoral grant from the European Commission.

deduce that

$$A_\gamma^+ = A_\gamma \cap \mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}),$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  is the usual disc algebra. Moreover, a function  $f$  analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$  belongs to  $A_\gamma^+$  if and only if

$$(1) \quad |f'(z)| \leq C(1 - |z|)^{\gamma-1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

and

$$\|f\|_{A_\gamma^+} = \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|(1 - |z|)^{1-\gamma} \quad (f \in A_\gamma^+)$$

defines an equivalent norm on  $A_\gamma^+$  ([3, Theorem 5.1]). In particular, we have  $f \in A_\gamma^+$  if and only if  $f' \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$  (the algebra of bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ ). In passing, we mention that Dyakonov ([4]) has shown that

$$\|f\|_\infty + \sup \left\{ \frac{||f(z)| - |f(w)||}{|z - w|^\gamma} : z, w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, z \neq w \right\} \quad (f \in A_\gamma^+)$$

defines an equivalent norm on  $A_\gamma^+$ . This is a remarkable result since this norm only depends on the moduli of the functions. However, for practical purposes the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{A_\gamma^+}$  is easier to estimate.

In this paper, we describe certain closed ideals in  $A_\gamma^+$  by means of zero sets and inner functions. For  $f \in A_\gamma^+$ , let

$$Z(f) = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : f(z) = 0\}$$

be the zero set of  $f$  (counting multiplicities on  $\mathbb{D}$ ). Also, for a closed ideal  $I$  in  $A_\gamma^+$ , let

$$Z_I = \bigcap_{f \in I} Z(f)$$

be the hull of  $I$ , let

$$E_I = Z_I \cap \mathbb{T}$$

and let  $Q_I$  be the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of non-zero functions in  $I$  ([6, p. 85]). We shall use the following result of Havin and Shamoyan several times. (See, for instance, [15].)

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $f \in A_\gamma^+$  and  $Q$  is an inner function for which  $f/Q \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ , then  $f/Q \in A_\gamma^+$  and*

$$\|f/Q\|_{A_\gamma^+} \leq C\|f\|_{A_\gamma^+}.$$

*In particular, if  $f$  belongs to a closed ideal  $I$  in  $A_\gamma^+$ , then  $f/Q_I \in A_\gamma^+$ .*

Recall that a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  is called a *Carleson set* if

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log d(e^{i\theta}, E) d\theta > -\infty.$$

Carleson ([2, Theorem 1]) proved that  $E$  is a Carleson set if and only if there exists a function  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $E = Z(f)$ . In this case

$$I_\gamma(E) = \{f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+ : f = 0 \text{ on } E\}$$

is a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $E_{I_\gamma(E)} = E$  and  $Q_{I_\gamma(E)} = 1$ . Now, let  $Q = BS$  be an inner function, where  $B$  is a Blaschke product and  $S$  a singular inner function. Let  $Z(B)$  be the zeros of  $B$  (in  $\mathbb{D}$ ) and let  $\text{supp}(S)$  be the support of the singular measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  that defines  $S$ . It follows from [9, Theorems 2 and 4] that there exists a function  $f \in I_\gamma(E)$  with inner factor  $Q$  if and only if

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log d(e^{i\theta}, E \cup Z(B)) \, d\theta > -\infty, \\ \text{supp}(S) \subseteq E, \\ \overline{Z(B)} \setminus Z(B) \subseteq E. \end{cases}$$

In this case  $f/Q \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  by the previous theorem and

$$I_\gamma(E, Q) = \{f \in I_\gamma(E) : f/Q \in \Lambda_\gamma^+\}$$

is a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $E_{I_\gamma(E, Q)} = E$  and  $Q_{I_\gamma(E, Q)} = Q$ . Clearly,  $I_\gamma(E, Q)$  is the largest closed ideal  $I$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $E_I = E$  and  $Q_I = Q$ .

For  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , our results are motivated by the ideal structure in the little Lipschitz algebra  $\lambda_\gamma^+$ , which is the closed subalgebra of  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  of functions  $f$  satisfying

$$|f(z) - f(w)| = o(|z - w|^\gamma)$$

uniformly as  $|z - w| \rightarrow 0$ . Matheson ([11]) showed that

$$I = \{f \in \lambda_\gamma^+ : f = 0 \text{ on } E_I \text{ and } f/Q_I \in \mathcal{H}^\infty\} = I_\gamma(E_I, Q_I) \cap \lambda_\gamma^+$$

for every closed ideal  $I$  in  $\lambda_\gamma^+$ . In the non-separable algebra  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ , it is not possible to obtain such a result. This is most easily seen for  $\gamma = 1$ . Let  $\chi$  be a character on  $\mathcal{H}^\infty$  belonging to the fiber at  $z = 1$ , that is,  $\chi(\alpha) = 1$ , where  $\alpha$  denotes the function  $z \mapsto z$  (see, for example, [6, Chapter 10]). Then

$$I_\chi = \{f \in I_1(\{1\}) : \chi(f') = 0\}$$

is a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_1^+$  with  $E_{I_\chi} = \{1\}$  and  $Q_{I_\chi} = 1$ . Moreover,  $I_{\chi_1} \neq I_{\chi_2}$  if  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ . Similarly, for  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , we shall see that there are uncountably many closed ideals  $I$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $E_I = \{1\}$  and  $Q_I = 1$ . Nevertheless, we shall obtain certain results about the ideal structure in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ .

In the algebra  $\Lambda_\gamma$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ , Sherbert ([14, Theorem 5.1]) proved that, for a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ , the closed ideal

$$\{f \in \Lambda_\gamma : f = 0 \text{ on } E \text{ and } |f(z) - f(w)| = o(|z - w|^\gamma) \\ \text{as } d(z, E), d(w, E) \rightarrow 0\}$$

is the smallest closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma$  which has  $E$  as hull. We shall prove a

similar result for  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . For a Carleson set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ , let

$$J_\gamma(E) = \{f \in I_\gamma(E) : |f(z) - f(w)| = o(|z - w|^\gamma) \text{ as } d(z, E), d(w, E) \rightarrow 0\}.$$

It is easily seen that  $J_\gamma(E)$  is a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . Also, for a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  and an inner function  $Q$  satisfying (2), let

$$J_\gamma(E, Q) = \{f \in J_\gamma(E) : f/Q \in \mathcal{H}^\infty\}.$$

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that  $J_\gamma(E, Q)$  is a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ , and  $E_{J_\gamma(E, Q)} = E$  and  $Q_{J_\gamma(E, Q)} = Q$  by [9, Theorem 4]. The main result in this paper is that the following conjecture holds when  $E_I$  is countable.

**CONJECTURE.** *Let  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . Then  $J_\gamma(E_I, Q_I) \subseteq I$ .*

The proof of Matheson's result (and of other similar results in separable algebras—see, for instance, [1], [10] and [16]) was to a high extent based on the so-called Carleman transform. (See the next section for the definition.) Apparently, Hedenmalm ([5]) was the first to apply the Carleman transform to a non-separable Banach algebra, when he obtained certain results about the ideal structure in the algebra  $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ .

The proof of our main result uses the Carleman transform and ideas by Bennett and Gilbert ([1]). The Carleman transform of a linear functional  $\varphi$  depends only on the restriction of  $\varphi$  to the separable subalgebra  $\lambda_\gamma^+$  and we therefore find it interesting that it can be used to obtain results about  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . Moreover, we use a representation of the Carleman transform which is different from the one used in [1], and by following the lines of our proof, one can actually obtain a simpler proof of the main result in [1].

The organization of the paper is as follows. We first obtain some basic facts about the Carleman transform (Section 2) and the ideal  $J_\gamma(E, Q)$  (Section 3). In Section 4 we prove our main result, and in Section 5 we partially confirm our conjecture for closed ideals  $I$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $Q_I = 1$ . Finally, in Section 6 we show that the wk\* closed ideals in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  are exactly the ideals  $I_\gamma(E, Q)$ , where the closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  and the inner function  $Q$  satisfy (2).

**2. The Carleman transform.** For  $\varphi \in (\Lambda_\gamma^+)^*$ , we define the *Carleman transform*  $\Phi$  of  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  by

$$\Phi(z) = \langle (z - \alpha)^{-1}, \varphi \rangle \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}).$$

With  $\widehat{\varphi}(n) = \langle \alpha^n, \varphi \rangle$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\varphi}(n) z^{-(n+1)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}).$$

For  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  and  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $f_r(z) = f(rz)$  ( $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ ). For notational convenience, let

$$\lambda_1^+ = \{f \in \Lambda_1^+ : f' \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})\}.$$

For  $f \in \lambda_\gamma^+$ , it is well known (see, for example, [8, I.2.13]) that  $f_r \rightarrow f$  in  $\lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $r \rightarrow 1_-$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \varphi \rangle &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1_-} \langle f_r, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1_-} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) r^n \widehat{\varphi}(n) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1_+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} \Phi(se^{i\theta}) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

and this was used by Matheson in his proof. However, for  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+ \setminus \lambda_\gamma^+$ , we do not have  $f_r \rightarrow f$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $r \rightarrow 1_-$ , so this method does not work in our case.

Let  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ , let

$$I^\perp = \{\varphi \in (\Lambda_\gamma^+)^* : \langle f, \varphi \rangle = 0 \text{ for every } f \in I\}$$

be the annihilator of  $I$  and let  $\pi : \Lambda_\gamma^+ \rightarrow \Lambda_\gamma^+/I$  be the quotient map. Suppose that  $\varphi \in I^\perp$  ( $= (\Lambda_\gamma^+/I)^*$ ). It is well known that the character space of the algebra  $\Lambda_\gamma^+/I$  equals  $Z_I$ , so the spectrum of  $\pi(\alpha)$  equals  $Z_I$  and the function

$$\Phi(z) = \langle (z - \pi(\alpha))^{-1}, \varphi \rangle \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Z_I)$$

thus extends the domain of  $\Phi$  to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus Z_I$ .

For  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , define  $S_z f$  by

$$(S_z f)(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} & \text{for } w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{z\}, \\ f'(z) & \text{for } w = z. \end{cases}$$

Then  $S_z f \in \Lambda_\gamma \cap \mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \Lambda_\gamma^+$ . It is easily seen that

$$(3) \quad \|(z - \alpha)^{-1}\|_{\Lambda_\gamma} \leq C |1 - |z||^{-(1+\gamma)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{T}),$$

so we have

$$(4) \quad \|S_z f\|_{\Lambda_\gamma^+} \leq C(1 - |z|)^{-(1+\gamma)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

We shall often use the following representation of  $\Phi$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  and let  $\varphi \in I^\perp$ . Then*

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{\langle S_z g, \varphi \rangle}{g(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus Z(g))$$

for  $g \in I$ .

*Proof.* For  $g \in I$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus Z(g)$ , we have  $(z - \alpha)S_z g = g(z) - g$  and thus  $(z - \pi(\alpha))^{-1} = \pi(S_z g)/g(z)$ , so the result follows. ■

The normal approach to the Carleman transform (see, for example, [1], [10], [11] and [16]) is to define  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus Z_I$  by the expression  $\Phi(z) = \langle S_z g, \varphi \rangle / g(z)$  and then show that  $\Phi$  extends analytically to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus Z_I$ . With the present definition, we obtained this as an immediate consequence of the general fact from Banach algebra theory that the character space of the algebra  $\Lambda_\gamma^+ / I$  equals  $Z_I$ .

The following result is similar to [1, Theorem 2.4].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  and let  $\varphi \in I^\perp$ . Suppose that  $z_0 \in Z_I \cap \mathbb{D}$  is of multiplicity  $k$ . Then  $\Phi$  has a pole of order at most  $k$  at  $z_0$ .*

*Proof.* There exist  $g \in I$  and  $h \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $h(z_0) \neq 0$  such that  $g = (\alpha - z_0)^k h$ . By the previous lemma, we thus have

$$(z - z_0)^k \Phi(z) = (z - z_0)^k \frac{\langle S_z g, \varphi \rangle}{g(z)} = \frac{\langle S_z g, \varphi \rangle}{h(z)}$$

for  $z$  in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ , which proves the lemma. ■

For  $\varphi \in (\Lambda_\gamma^+)^*$  and  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ , we define  $\varphi_f (= f\varphi) \in (\Lambda_\gamma^+)^*$  by

$$\langle g, \varphi_f \rangle = \langle fg, \varphi \rangle \quad (g \in \Lambda_\gamma^+).$$

If  $I$  is a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  and  $\varphi \in I^\perp$ , then  $\varphi_f \in I^\perp$  for  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ . We denote the Carleman transform of  $\varphi_f$  by  $\Phi_f$ . Whereas  $\Phi$  depends only on the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $\lambda_\gamma^+$ , the function  $\Phi_f$  depends only on the restriction of  $\varphi$  to the subalgebra  $\lambda_\gamma^+ f$  of  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . Heuristically, this is the reason why the Carleman transform can be successfully applied to the non-separable algebra  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ , let  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  and let  $\varphi \in I^\perp$ . Then*

$$\Phi_f(z) = f(z)\Phi(z) - \langle S_z f, \varphi \rangle$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus Z_I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus Z_I$  and choose  $g \in I$  such that  $g(z) \neq 0$ . Since  $gS_z f \in I$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f(z) - f(z)\Phi(z) &= \frac{\langle S_z g, \varphi_f \rangle - f(z)\langle S_z g, \varphi \rangle}{g(z)} \\ &= \frac{\langle (f - f(z))S_z g, \varphi \rangle}{g(z)} = \frac{\langle (g - g(z))S_z f, \varphi \rangle}{g(z)} = -\langle S_z f, \varphi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

as required. ■

**3. The ideal  $J_\gamma(E, Q)$ .** In this section, we prove some basic facts about  $J_\gamma(E, Q)$ . In order to use the characterization (1) of  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ , we need to describe  $J_\gamma(E)$  in terms of derivatives.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  and  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f \in J_\gamma(E)$ .
- (b)  $f \in I_\gamma(E)$  and  $|f'(z)| = o((1 - |z|)^{\gamma-1})$  as  $d(z, E) \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(z) - f(w)| < \varepsilon|z - w|^\gamma$  for  $z, w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  with  $d(z, E), d(w, E) < \delta$ . Let  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $d(z, E) < \delta/2$  and let  $r = 1 - |z| < \delta/2$ . Then  $d(w, E) < \delta$  for  $|w - z| = r$ , so Cauchy's formula

$$f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w-z|=r} \frac{f(w) - f(z)}{(w-z)^2} dw$$

shows that  $|f'(z)| < \varepsilon r^{\gamma-1}$  as required.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $|f'(z)| < \varepsilon(1 - |z|)^{\gamma-1}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $d(z, E) < \delta_1$ . Choose  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that  $|f(z)| < \varepsilon\delta_1^\gamma$  for  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  with  $d(z, E) < \delta_2$  and let  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ . Let  $z_1, z_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  with  $d(z_k, E) < \delta/3$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ). If  $|z_2 - z_1| \geq \delta_1/3$ , then

$$|f(z_2) - f(z_1)| < 2\varepsilon\delta_1^\gamma \leq 2 \cdot 3^\gamma \varepsilon |z_2 - z_1|^\gamma,$$

so we may assume that  $|z_2 - z_1| < \delta_1/3$ . With  $z_k = r_k e^{i\theta_k}$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ), we may also assume that  $r_1 \geq r_2$  and that  $0 \leq \theta_2 - \theta_1 \leq \pi$ . First, suppose that  $|z_2 - z_1| \leq 1 - r_1$ . Since  $d(w, E) < \delta$  and  $|w| \leq r_1$  for every point  $w$  on the line segment from  $z_1$  to  $z_2$ , we deduce that

$$|f(z_2) - f(z_1)| < |z_2 - z_1| \varepsilon (1 - r_1)^{\gamma-1} \leq \varepsilon |z_2 - z_1|^\gamma.$$

Now, suppose that  $|z_2 - z_1| \geq 1 - r_1$ . Let  $\varrho = 1 - |z_2 - z_1|$  and let  $\Gamma$  be the curve

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \{r e^{i\theta_1} : \varrho \leq r \leq r_1\} \cup \{\varrho e^{i\theta} : \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2\} \\ &\quad \cup \{r e^{i\theta_2} : r \text{ is between } \varrho \text{ and } r_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $d(w, E) < \delta$  for  $w \in \Gamma$ , so

$$|f(\varrho e^{i\theta_1}) - f(z_1)| < \varepsilon \int_{\varrho}^1 (1 - r)^{\gamma-1} dr = (\varepsilon/\gamma) |z_2 - z_1|^\gamma.$$

Similarly, if  $\varrho \leq r_2$ , then

$$|f(z_2) - f(\varrho e^{i\theta_2})| < \varepsilon |z_2 - z_1|^\gamma.$$

If  $\varrho \geq r_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z_2) - f(\varrho e^{i\theta_2})| &< \varepsilon \int_{r_2}^{\varrho} (1 - r)^{\gamma-1} dr \leq (\varepsilon/\gamma) ((1 - r_2)^\gamma - (1 - r_1)^\gamma) \\ &\leq \varepsilon (r_1 - r_2)^\gamma \leq \varepsilon |z_2 - z_1|^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$|f(\varrho e^{i\theta_2}) - f(\varrho e^{i\theta_1})| < \varepsilon(\theta_2 - \theta_1)(1 - \varrho)^{\gamma-1} \leq C\varepsilon|z_2 - z_1|^\gamma,$$

so we obtain

$$|f(z_2) - f(z_1)| < (C + 2)\varepsilon|z_2 - z_1|^\gamma$$

as required. ■

For  $\gamma = 1$ , the previous proposition takes the following form. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_E^\infty = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty : f'(z) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } d(z, E) \rightarrow 0\}$$

for a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ .

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *For a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  and  $f \in \Lambda_1^+$ , we have  $f \in J_1(E)$  if and only if  $f \in I_1(E)$  and  $f' \in \mathcal{H}_E^\infty$ .*

We shall use the notation

$$J_{\gamma,0} = J_\gamma(\{1\}), \quad I_{\gamma,0} = I_\gamma(\{1\}).$$

Also, for  $s > 0$ , let  $\psi_{-s}$  be the singular inner function defined by

$$\psi_{-s}(z) = \exp\left(-s \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \quad (z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{1\})$$

and write

$$J_{\gamma,s} = J_\gamma(\{1\}, \psi_{-s}), \quad I_{\gamma,s} = I_\gamma(\{1\}, \psi_{-s}).$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$K_n = \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 + 1/n - \alpha}.$$

For many separable Banach algebras of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ , it is well known that the sequence  $(K_n)$  is an approximate identity for the maximal ideal of functions vanishing at  $z = 1$ . In our case, the local condition at  $z = 1$  imposed on functions in  $J_{\gamma,0}$  enables us to prove the following result.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *For  $f \in J_{\gamma,0}$ , we have  $K_n f \rightarrow f$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, for  $\gamma < 1$ , the sequence  $(K_n)$  is an approximate identity for the ideal  $J_{\gamma,0}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in J_{\gamma,0}$  and let  $p_n = 1 - K_n = n^{-1}(1 + 1/n - \alpha)^{-1}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Since  $p_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\bar{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{1\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that

$$\sup_{z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}} |p_n(z) f'(z)| (1 - |z|)^{1-\gamma} \rightarrow 0$$



as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |p'_n(z)f(z)|(1-|z|)^{1-\gamma} &\leq \varepsilon(|1-z|) \left| \frac{1-z}{n(1+1/n-z)^2} \right| \\ &= \varepsilon(|1-z|) \left| \frac{1-z}{1+1/n-z} \right| \left| \frac{1}{n(1+1/n-z)} \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon(|1-z|) \quad (z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Since

$$\frac{1-z}{n(1+1/n-z)^2} \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly on compact subsets of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{1\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it thus follows that

$$\sup_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |p'_n(z)f(z)|(1-|z|)^{1-\gamma} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $p_n f \rightarrow 0$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . ■

We finish this section with a description of the ideals  $J_{\gamma,s}$  in terms of generators.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $\beta, s > 0$  and let  $f = (1-\alpha)^\beta \psi_{-s}$ . Then  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  if and only if  $\beta \geq 2\gamma$  and  $f \in J_{\gamma,s}$  if and only if  $\beta > 2\gamma$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$f' = -\beta(1-\alpha)^{\beta-1} \psi_{-s} - 2s(1-\alpha)^{\beta-2} \psi_{-s}.$$

For  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , we write  $1-z = re^{i\theta}$ . Then  $1-|z|^2 = r(2\cos\theta - r)$ , so  $2\cos\theta > r > 0$ . Also,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = \frac{2\cos\theta}{r} - 1,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |1-z|^{\beta-2} |\psi_{-s}(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{1-\gamma} &= r^{\beta-2} \exp \left( -s \left( \frac{2\cos\theta}{r} - 1 \right) \right) (r(2\cos\theta - r))^{1-\gamma} \\ &= r^{\beta-2\gamma} \exp \left( -s \left( \frac{2\cos\theta}{r} - 1 \right) \right) \left( \frac{2\cos\theta}{r} - 1 \right)^{1-\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. ■

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** (a) *For  $\beta > \gamma$ , we have*

$$J_{\gamma,0} = \overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+(1-\alpha)^\beta}.$$

(b) *For  $s > 0$  and  $\beta > 2\gamma$ , we have*

$$J_{\gamma,s} = \overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+(1-\alpha)^\beta \psi_{-s}}.$$

*Proof.* (a) Since  $(1 - \alpha)^\beta \in J_{\gamma,0}$ , we have the inclusion  $\overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+(1 - \alpha)^\beta} \subseteq J_{\gamma,0}$ . Moreover, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that  $J_{\gamma,0} = \overline{J_{\gamma,0}(1 - \alpha)}$  and thus  $J_{\gamma,0} \subseteq \overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+(1 - \alpha)^m}$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , which proves the reverse inclusion.

(b) It follows from the previous lemma that

$$\overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+(1 - \alpha)^\beta \psi_{-s}} \subseteq J_{\gamma,s}.$$

Conversely, let  $f \in J_{\gamma,s}$ . By Lemma 3.3, we have  $K_n f \rightarrow f$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $g = K_n f$ . Let  $0 < a < 1$  and let

$$T^\varepsilon(z) = \exp(-\varepsilon(1 - z)^{-a}) \quad (z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}).$$

Then  $|T| \leq 1$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and

$$T'(z) = -a(1 - z)^{-(a+1)}T(z) \quad (z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}),$$

so  $(T^\varepsilon)$  is a semigroup of outer functions in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . (In Section 6, we shall make use of a more general version of this semigroup.) Moreover,

$$(T^\varepsilon g)' = T^\varepsilon g' + \varepsilon(T'/T)T^\varepsilon g \quad (\varepsilon > 0).$$

Since  $T^\varepsilon \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{1\}$  and since  $|g(z)| \leq C|1 - z|^{\gamma+1}$  for  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , we deduce that  $T^\varepsilon g \rightarrow g$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Finally, using (a), we choose a sequence  $(g_m)$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  such that

$$g_m(1 - \alpha)^\beta \rightarrow g/\psi_{-s}$$

in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . It is easily seen that  $T^\varepsilon \psi_{-s} \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ , so

$$T^\varepsilon g_m(1 - \alpha)^\beta \psi_{-s} \rightarrow T^\varepsilon g$$

in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and it follows that  $f \in \overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+(1 - \alpha)^\beta \psi_{-s}}$ . ■

**4. Ideals with countable hull.** Our main aim in this paper is to prove the following result.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *We have*

$$J_\gamma(E_I, Q_I) \subseteq I$$

for every closed ideal  $I$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  for which  $E_I$  is countable.

Before proceeding to the proof of the theorem, we present a few consequences. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that if  $f \in I_\gamma(E, Q)$ , then  $f/Q \in I_\gamma(E)$ . We do not know whether the corresponding result for  $J_\gamma(E, Q)$  holds in general, but for  $E$  countable it follows easily from the theorem.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Suppose that a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  and an inner function  $Q$  satisfy (2) and that  $E$  is countable. If  $f \in J_\gamma(E, Q)$ , then  $f/Q \in I_\gamma(E)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the closed ideal

$$I = \{f \in J_\gamma(E, Q) : f/Q \in J_\gamma(E)\}$$

in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . We have  $E_I = E$  and  $Q_I = Q$ , so the previous theorem entails that  $J_\gamma(E, Q) \subseteq I$  and the conclusion follows. ■

For  $\gamma = 1$ , Theorem 4.1 can be restated as follows with the use of Corollary 3.2.

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Let  $I$  be a closed ideal  $I$  in  $\Lambda_1^+$  and suppose that  $E_I$  is countable. Then*

$$\{f \in I_1(E_I, Q_I) : f' \in \mathcal{H}_{E_I}^\infty\} \subseteq I.$$

For the primary ideals, more can be said.

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *Let  $s \geq 0$ . The closed ideals  $I$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $E_I = \{1\}$  and  $Q_I = \psi_{-s}$  are exactly the closed subspaces  $I$  of  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with*

$$J_{\gamma,s} \subseteq I \subseteq I_{\gamma,s}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a closed subspace of  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $J_{\gamma,s} \subseteq I \subseteq I_{\gamma,s}$ . For  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  and  $g \in I$ , we have

$$(f - f(1))g \in I_{\gamma,0} \cdot I_{\gamma,s} \subseteq J_{\gamma,s} \subseteq I,$$

so  $fg \in I$  and the result follows. ■

In his paper [5] on the ideal structure in  $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ , Hedenmalm stated the following result, which is now easily deduced from our results.

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *Let  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_1^+$  with  $E_I = \{1\}$  and  $Q_I = 1$ . Then there is a closed subspace  $\mathcal{Z}$  in  $\mathcal{H}^\infty$  containing  $\mathcal{H}_{\{1\}}^\infty$  such that*

$$I = \{f \in I_1(\{1\}) : f' \in \mathcal{Z}\}.$$

*Conversely, every such set  $I$  is a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_1^+$  with  $E_I = \{1\}$  and  $Q_I = 1$ .*

*Proof.* For  $f \in I_1(\{1\})$ , we have  $\|f\|_\infty \leq 2\|f'\|_\infty$ , so  $f \mapsto \|f'\|_\infty$  defines a norm on  $I_1(\{1\})$  which is equivalent to the  $\Lambda_1^+$  norm. Hence  $I \mapsto I' = \{f' : f \in I\}$  defines a bijective correspondence between the closed subspaces  $I$  in  $\Lambda_1^+$  with  $J_1(\{1\}) \subseteq I \subseteq I_1(\{1\})$  and the closed subspaces  $\mathcal{Z}$  in  $\mathcal{H}^\infty$  with  $\mathcal{H}_{\{1\}}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ , so the result follows from the previous corollary. ■

Finally, we shall show that there are uncountably many closed ideals between  $J_{\gamma,s}$  and  $I_{\gamma,s}$ .

**LEMMA 4.6.** *Let  $f_s = (1 - \alpha)^{2\gamma}\psi_{-s}$  ( $s > 0$ ). For  $0 < t_0 < s_0$ , we have*

$$\|f_s - f_t\|_{\Lambda_\gamma^+/J_{\gamma,0}} \geq C$$

for  $t_0 \leq t < s \leq s_0$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_\gamma^+/J_{\gamma,0}} \geq \limsup_{z \rightarrow 1} |f'(z)|(1-|z|)^{1-\gamma}$$

for  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ . Also,

$$f'_s = -2\gamma(1-\alpha)^{2\gamma-1}\psi_{-s} - 2s(1-\alpha)^{2\gamma-2}\psi_{-s},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_s - f_t\|_{\Lambda_\gamma^+/J_{\gamma,0}} &\geq \limsup_{z \rightarrow 1} |2(s-t)(1-z)^{2\gamma-2}\psi_{-s}(z) \\ &\quad + 2t(1-z)^{2\gamma-2}(\psi_{-s}(z) - \psi_{-t}(z))|(1-|z|)^{1-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we write  $1-z = re^{i\theta}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = \frac{2 \sin \theta}{r},$$

so there exists a sequence  $(z_n)$  tending to 1 such that

$$\operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{1+z_n}{1-z_n} \right) = \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{s-t}$$

and thus

$$|\psi_{-(s-t)}(z_n) - 1| \geq 1 - \operatorname{Re} \psi_{-(s-t)}(z_n) \geq 1.$$

It thus follows from the proof of Lemma 3.4 that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(1-z_n)^{2\gamma-2}(\psi_{-s}(z_n) - \psi_{-t}(z_n))|(1-|z_n|)^{1-\gamma} \geq C.$$

Hence there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\|f_s - f_t\|_{\Lambda_\gamma^+/J_{\gamma,0}} \geq t_0 C$$

for  $0 < s-t < \delta$  and the result follows. ■

**COROLLARY 4.7.** *For  $s \geq 0$ , there are uncountably many closed ideals  $I$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $J_{\gamma,s} \subseteq I \subseteq I_{\gamma,s}$ .*

*Proof.* The inclusion map  $\iota : I_{\gamma,s} \rightarrow I_{\gamma,0}$  induces a bounded linear map  $\tilde{\iota} : I_{\gamma,s}/J_{\gamma,s} \rightarrow I_{\gamma,0}/J_{\gamma,0}$ . Since  $f_t \in I_{\gamma,s}$  for  $t \geq s$ , we deduce from the previous lemma that  $I_{\gamma,s}/J_{\gamma,s}$  is non-separable, so the result follows from Corollary 4.4. ■

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 4.1. Recall the following definitions (with a few modifications) from [1]:

$H_+$ : consists of the analytic functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  for which  $|f(z)| \leq C(1-|z|)^{-N}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$H_-$ : consists of the analytic functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  with  $|f(z)| \leq C(|z|-1)^{-N}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$\mathcal{G}$ : consists of the analytic functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{T}$  for which  $f \in H_-$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $f = g/h$  with  $g \in H_+$  and  $h \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

The following result as well as its proof are similar to [1, Theorem 4.3].

PROPOSITION 4.8. *Let  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  and let  $\varphi \in I^\perp$ . If  $f \in J_\gamma(E_I, Q_I)$ , then  $\Phi_f$  does not have any isolated singularities.*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $Q_I\Phi$  and thus  $f\Phi$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Hence  $\Phi_f$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$  by Lemma 2.3, so the singularities of  $\Phi_f$  belong to  $Z_I \cap \mathbb{T} = E_I$ . Moreover, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we have

$$\Phi_f(z) = \frac{(f(z)/Q(z))\langle S_z g, \varphi \rangle - (g(z)/Q(z))\langle S_z f, \varphi \rangle}{g(z)/Q(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus Z(g))$$

for  $g \in I$ . From (3) and (4), we thus deduce that  $\Phi_f \in \mathcal{G}$ , so it follows from [1, Theorem 3.2(ii)] that any isolated singularity of  $\Phi_f$  is a pole.

Suppose that  $\Phi_f$  has a pole of order  $p$  at (say)  $z = 1$ , so that the function  $\Psi$  defined by

$$(5) \quad \Psi = (1 - \alpha)^p \Phi_f$$

is analytic in a neighborhood  $U$  of 1 and  $a = \Psi(1) \neq 0$ . Since  $f \in J_{\gamma,0}$ , we have  $K_n f \rightarrow f$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by Lemma 3.3. Moreover,  $K_n \in \lambda_\gamma^+$  and the polynomials are dense in  $\lambda_\gamma^+$ , so there exists a sequence  $(p_n)$  of polynomials with  $p_n(1) = 1$  and  $p_n f \rightarrow 0$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\varphi_n = \varphi_{p_n f}$  and let  $\Phi_n$  be the Carleman transform of  $\varphi_n$ . Since  $\varphi_n = (\varphi_f)_{p_n}$ , it follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$(6) \quad \Phi_n(z) = p_n(z)\Phi_f(z) - \langle S_z p_n, \varphi_f \rangle \quad (z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus Z_I)$$

and  $q_n(z) = \langle S_z p_n, \varphi_f \rangle$  is a polynomial in  $z$ . Combining (5) and (6), we obtain

$$(1 - \alpha)^p \Phi_n = p_n \Psi - (1 - \alpha)^p q_n$$

on  $U$ , so the function  $\Psi_n$  defined by  $\Psi_n = (1 - \alpha)^p \Phi_n$  is analytic in  $U$  and  $\Psi_n(1) = a$ .

Choose a circle  $\Gamma$  centered at 1 and contained in  $U$  and a function  $g \in I$  such that  $g(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in \Gamma \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . We have

$$(7) \quad \|\varphi_n\| \leq \|p_n f\|_{\Lambda_\gamma^+} \cdot \|\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so

$$|\Phi_n(z)| \leq C(1 - |z|)^{-(\gamma+1)} \quad (z \in \Gamma \cap \mathbb{D})$$

by (4) and

$$|\Phi_n(z)| \leq C(|z| - 1)^{-(\gamma+1)} \quad (z \in \Gamma \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

by (3). It thus follows from the proof of [8, Lemma VI.8.3] that the sequence  $(\Psi_n)$  is uniformly bounded on some disc centered at 1. By (7), we have  $\Phi_n \rightarrow 0$  pointwise on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus Z_I$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and thus  $\Psi_n \rightarrow 0$  pointwise on  $\Gamma$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $\Psi_n(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by Cauchy's integral formula and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, contradicting  $\Psi_n(1) = a \neq 0$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Let  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ , let  $\varphi \in I^\perp$  and let  $f \in J_\gamma(E_I, Q_I)$ . We will use the same transfinite induction as in [1, p.17] to prove that  $\Phi_f$  is entire. Let  $L_0 = E_I$  and inductively define  $L_\sigma$  for any ordinal  $\sigma$  in the following way: If  $\sigma = \tau + 1$  is not a limit ordinal, we define  $L_\sigma$  to be the set of limit points of  $L_\tau$ , and if  $\sigma$  is a limit ordinal, we let  $L_\sigma = \bigcap_{\tau < \sigma} L_\tau$ . If  $z_0$  is a singularity of  $\Phi_f$ , then  $z_0 \in E_I = L_0$ . Suppose that we have shown that  $z_0 \in L_\tau$  for every ordinal  $\tau < \sigma$ . If  $\sigma = \tau + 1$  is not a limit ordinal, then  $L_\sigma \setminus L_\tau$  consists of isolated points, so it follows from the previous proposition that  $z_0 \in L_\sigma$ . The same conclusion clearly holds if  $\sigma$  is a limit ordinal, so we conclude that  $z_0 \in L_\sigma$  for every ordinal  $\sigma$ . However,  $L_0$  contains no perfect subsets, so  $L_\sigma \subset L_\tau$  for every non-limit ordinal  $\sigma = \tau + 1$ , and it follows that there exists a first ordinal  $\sigma_0$  such that  $L_{\sigma_0}$  is empty. This contradicts our earlier conclusion  $z_0 \in L_{\sigma_0}$ . Consequently,  $\Phi_f$  does not have any singularities, so  $\Phi_f$  is entire. Hence  $\Phi_f = 0$  and since  $\text{span}\{(z - \alpha)^{-1} : z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}\}$  is dense in  $\lambda_\gamma^+$ , this is equivalent to  $\varphi_f = 0$  on  $\lambda_\gamma^+$ . Consequently,

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \langle 1, \varphi_f \rangle = 0$$

and since  $\varphi \in I^\perp$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $f \in I$ . ■

For closed ideals with finite hull, we shall now give a proof of Theorem 4.1 which is more constructive and does not depend on Proposition 4.8. For simplicity, we consider only closed ideals  $I$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $Z_I = \{1\}$ . For  $\gamma < 1$  and  $Q_I = 1$ , the main idea in the proof is to show that if  $\varphi \in I^\perp$ , then  $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = af(1)$  for  $f \in \lambda_\gamma^+$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  (and similarly for  $\gamma = 1$ ).

*Proof of Theorem 4.1 when  $Z_I = \{1\}$ .* First, suppose that  $Q_I = 1$ . For  $\varphi \in I^\perp$ , we have

$$(8) \quad |\Phi(z)| \leq C(|z| - 1)^{-(1+\gamma)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

by (3). Moreover, for  $g \in I$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , it follows from (4) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$|g(z)\Phi(z)| \leq C(1 - |z|)^{-(1+\gamma)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Hence  $\Phi$  has a pole at  $z = 1$  by [1, Theorem 3.2(ii)]. We first consider the case where  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . Then  $z = 1$  is a simple pole of  $\Phi$  by (8) and since  $\Phi(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce that

$$\Phi(z) = a(z - 1)^{-1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\})$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\delta_1 \in (\Lambda_\gamma^+)^*$  denote the point evaluation at  $z = 1$ . Then

$$\langle (z - \alpha)^{-1}, \delta_1 \rangle = (z - 1)^{-1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}),$$

so  $\varphi = a\delta_1$  on the closed span of  $\{(z - \alpha)^{-1} : |z| > 1\}$ , that is, on  $\lambda_\gamma^+$ . In particular,  $\langle 1 - \alpha, \varphi \rangle = 0$ . The Hahn–Banach theorem thus implies that  $1 - \alpha \in I$ , so  $J_{\gamma,0} \subseteq I$  by Proposition 3.5. For  $\gamma = 1$ , the same method works with the following changes. From (8), we deduce that  $\Phi$  has a pole of order 2 at  $z = 1$ , so

$$\Phi(z) = a(z - 1)^{-1} + b(z - 1)^{-2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\})$$

for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . On  $\lambda_1^+$ , we define  $\delta'_1$  by  $\langle g, \delta'_1 \rangle = g'(1)$  ( $g \in \lambda_1^+$ ). Then

$$\langle (z - \alpha)^{-1}, \delta'_1 \rangle = (z - 1)^{-2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}),$$

so  $\varphi = a\delta_1 + b\delta'_1$  on  $\lambda_1^+$ . In particular,  $\langle (1 - \alpha)^2, \varphi \rangle = 0$ , so  $J_{1,0} \subseteq I$  by Proposition 3.5.

Now, suppose that  $Q_I = \psi_{-s}$  for some  $s > 0$ . We have  $(1 - \alpha)^2\psi_{-s} \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  and the division ideal

$$\tilde{I} = \{f \in I_\gamma(\{1\}) : (1 - \alpha)^2\psi_{-s}f \in I\}$$

satisfies  $E_{\tilde{I}} = \{1\}$  and  $Q_{\tilde{I}} = 1$ , so  $J_{\gamma,0} \subseteq \tilde{I}$  by the first part of the proof. Since  $(1 - \alpha)^2 \in J_{\gamma,0}$ , we thus have  $(1 - \alpha)^4\psi_{-s} \in I$ , so the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.5. ■

**5. Ideals with  $Q_I = 1$ .** Our aim in this section is to prove the following result.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  be a Carleson set and let  $F \in J_\gamma(E)$  be an outer function with  $Z(F) = E$ . Then*

$$\overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+ F} = J_\gamma(E).$$

**REMARKS.** (1) We do not know whether a closed ideal  $I$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $Q_I = 1$  necessarily contains an outer function  $F$  with  $Z(F) = E_I$ . However, if this is the case, then the theorem verifies our conjecture for this class of closed ideals. This is seen as follows: Let  $H \in J_\gamma(E_I)$  be an outer function with  $Z(H) = E_I$ . Then  $FH \in I \cap J_\gamma(E_I)$  and  $Z(FH) = E_I$ , so it follows from the theorem that

$$J_\gamma(E_I) = \overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+ FH} \subseteq \overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+ F} \subseteq I$$

as required.

(2) We do not know how to prove a version of the theorem for the ideals  $J_\gamma(E, Q)$  with  $Q \neq 1$ .

For a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$I_\gamma^p(E) = \{f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+ : |f(z)| \leq Cd(z, E)^p \ (z \in \mathbb{T})\}.$$

For  $f \in I_\gamma^p(E)$ , we have  $|f(z)| \leq C|z - w|^p$  ( $z \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $w \in E$ ) and since  $(\alpha - w)^p$  is outer, this holds for  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , so it follows that  $|f(z)| \leq Cd(z, E)^p$  ( $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ ).

Theorem 5.1 is an immediate consequence of the following two results.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  be a Carleson set, let  $F \in J_\gamma(E)$  be an outer function with  $Z(F) = E$  and let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p > 2\gamma$ . Then*

$$J_\gamma(E) \cap I_\gamma^p(E) \subseteq \overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+ F}.$$

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  be a Carleson set and let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $J_\gamma(E) \cap I_\gamma^p(E)$  is dense in  $J_\gamma(E)$ .*

For an outer function  $F$  and a measurable set  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ , let

$$(9) \quad F_\Gamma(z) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Observe that  $|F_\Gamma| = |F|$  a.e. on  $\Gamma$  and  $|F_\Gamma| = 1$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma$ . Also,  $F_\Gamma \rightarrow 1$  pointwise on  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $m(\Gamma) \rightarrow 0$ . The following proof is inspired by [13].

*Proof of Proposition 5.2.* Let  $f \in J_\gamma(E) \cap I_\gamma^p(E)$  and write  $\mathbb{T} \setminus E = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty V_n$ , where  $(V_n)$  is a sequence of pairwise disjoint, open arcs on  $\mathbb{T}$  with endpoints  $a_n$  and  $b_n$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Gamma_N = \bigcup_{n=N+1}^\infty V_n$  and let  $F_N = F_{\Gamma_N}$ . We shall prove that

(i)  $F_N f \rightarrow f$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii)  $F_N f \in \overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+ F}$  for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(i): We have

$$(F_N f - f)' = (F_N - 1)f' + F_N' f.$$

Also,  $F_N \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus E$ , so  $F_N f \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |(F_N(z) - 1)f'(z)|(1 - |z|)^{1-\gamma} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . We shall now prove that

$$(10) \quad |F_N'(z)f(z)| = o((1 - |z|)^{\gamma-1})$$

as  $d(z, E) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $N$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $E_N = E \cap \overline{\Gamma}_N = \partial\Gamma_N$  and let

$$G_{1N} = \{z = re^{it} \in \mathbb{D} : d(e^{it}, E_N) \leq (1 - r)^{1/2}\},$$

$$G_{2N} = \{z = re^{it} \in \mathbb{D} : d(e^{it}, E_N) > (1 - r)^{1/2} \text{ and } e^{it} \notin \Gamma_N\},$$

$$G_{3N} = \{z = re^{it} \in \mathbb{D} : d(e^{it}, E_N) > (1 - r)^{1/2} \text{ and } e^{it} \in \Gamma_N\}.$$

For  $z = re^{it} \in G_{1N}$ , choose  $e^{i\theta} \in E_N$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, E_N)^2 &= |z - e^{i\theta}|^2 = (1 - r)^2 + 4r \sin^2(\theta - t)/2 \\ &= (1 - r)^2 + rd(e^{it}, E_N)^2 \leq 1 - r. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy's inequalities,  $|F_N'(z)| \leq C(1 - r)^{-1}$ , so

$$|F_N'(z)f(z)| \leq Cd(z, E)^p(1 - r)^{-1} \leq C(1 - r)^{p/2-1}.$$



For  $z = re^{it} \in G_{2N}$ , we have  $d(e^{it}, \Gamma_N) = d(e^{it}, E_N)$  and thus  $d(z, \Gamma_N)^2 = (1 - r)^2 + rd(e^{it}, \Gamma_N)^2 = d(z, E_N)^2$ .

Moreover,

$$F'_N(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma_N} \frac{e^{i\theta}}{(e^{i\theta} - z)^2} \log |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \cdot F_N(z),$$

so

$$|F'_N(z)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\log |F(e^{i\theta})|| d\theta \cdot d(z, E_N)^{-2}$$

and thus

$$|F'_N(z)f(z)| \leq Cd(z, E_N)^{p-2}.$$

Also,  $d(z, E_N)^2 = (1 - r)^2 + rd(e^{it}, E_N)^2 \geq 1 - r$ , so

$$|F'_N(z)f(z)| \leq Cd(z, E)^{p-2\gamma}(1 - r)^{\gamma-1}.$$

Now, let  $z = re^{it} \in G_{3N}$ . We have

$$F'_N(z) = \frac{F'(z)F_N(z)}{F(z)} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma_N} \frac{e^{i\theta}}{(e^{i\theta} - z)^2} \log |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \cdot F_N(z).$$

Since  $d(z, \mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma_N) \geq d(z, E_N)$ , the second term can be estimated as for  $z \in G_{2N}$ . For the first term, we apply [13, Lemma 1] with  $\Gamma = \mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma_N$  and  $\eta = 1/2$  and obtain  $|F_N(z)/F(z)| \leq C$ . Since  $F \in J_\gamma(E)$ , we have verified (10).

For  $\delta > 0$ , let  $E_\delta = \{z \in \mathbb{T} : d(z, E) < \delta\}$  and  $U_\delta = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : d(z, E) < \delta\}$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows from (10) that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|F'_N(z)f(z)|(1 - |z|)^{1-\gamma} \leq \varepsilon$$

for  $z \in U_\delta$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\bar{V}_n \cap E \neq \emptyset$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), there exists  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $V_n \subseteq E_{\delta/2}$  for  $n > N_0$  and thus  $\Gamma_N \subseteq E_{\delta/2}$  for  $N \geq N_0$ . Hence  $d(z, \Gamma_N) \geq \delta/2$  for  $z \notin U_\delta$  and  $N \geq N_0$ , so

$$|F'_N(z)| \leq Cd(z, \Gamma_N)^{-2} \int_{\Gamma_N} |\log |F(e^{i\theta})|| d\theta \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus U_\delta$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . We thus conclude that  $F_N f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  and that  $F_N f \rightarrow f$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii): Fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $f \in J_\gamma(E)$ , it follows from (10) that  $F_N f \in J_\gamma(E)$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\mu > 0$ , let

$$K_{a\mu}(z) = \frac{a - z}{(1 + \mu)a - z} \quad (z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}).$$

(This is a generalization of the sequence  $(K_n)$  introduced in Section 3.) With

$$\Phi_\mu = \left( \prod_{n=1}^N K_{a_n \mu} K_{b_n \mu} \right)^p,$$

it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$(11) \quad \Phi_\mu F_N f \rightarrow F_N f$$

in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ . Now, fix  $\mu > 0$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n = 1, \dots, N$ , let  $V_{n\varepsilon}$  be the subarc of  $V_n$  whose endpoints  $c_n$  and  $d_n$  are at a distance  $\varepsilon$  from  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  respectively. Let  $D_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{n=1}^N V_{n\varepsilon}$  and let

$$\Phi_{\mu\varepsilon} = \left( \prod_{n=1}^N K_{c_n\mu} K_{d_n\mu} \right)^p.$$

We shall show that

- (a)  $\Phi_{\mu\varepsilon} F_{D_\varepsilon}^{-1} \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$
- (b)  $\Phi_{\mu\varepsilon} F_{D_\varepsilon}^{-1} F f \rightarrow \Phi_\mu F_N f$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

It then follows from (11) that  $F_N f \in \overline{\Lambda_\gamma^+ F}$ . For simplicity, we only prove (a) and (b) for  $N = 1$ , but the proof is essentially the same in the general case.

(a): Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It follows from the proof of (10) that

$$|\Phi_{\mu\varepsilon}(z) F'_{D_\varepsilon}(z)| \leq C(1 - |z|)^{\gamma-1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Also, the outer function  $F_{D_\varepsilon}$  is bounded away from zero on  $\mathbb{T}$  and thus on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , so

$$|\Phi_{\mu\varepsilon}(z) (F_{D_\varepsilon}^{-1})'(z)| \leq C(1 - |z|)^{\gamma-1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

and (a) follows.

(b): For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $W_\varepsilon = V_1 \setminus V_{1\varepsilon}$  so that  $\partial W_\varepsilon = \{a_1, c_1, d_1, b_1\}$ . Then

$$F_{V_{1\varepsilon}}^{-1} F = F_{W_\varepsilon} F_1,$$

so

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\Phi_{\mu\varepsilon} F_{V_{1\varepsilon}}^{-1} F f - \Phi_\mu F_1 f)' \\ &= (\Phi_{\mu\varepsilon} F_{W_\varepsilon} F_1 - \Phi_\mu F_1) f' + (\Phi_{\mu\varepsilon} F_{W_\varepsilon} - \Phi_\mu) F_1' f \\ & \quad + (\Phi'_{\mu\varepsilon} F_{W_\varepsilon} - \Phi'_\mu) F_1 f + \Phi_{\mu\varepsilon} F'_{W_\varepsilon} F_1 f. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\Phi_{\mu\varepsilon} \rightarrow \Phi_\mu$  and  $\Phi'_{\mu\varepsilon} \rightarrow \Phi'_\mu$  uniformly on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $F_{W_\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{a_1, b_1\}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| [(\Phi_{\mu\varepsilon} F_{W_\varepsilon} F_1 - \Phi_\mu F_1) f' + (\Phi_{\mu\varepsilon} F_{W_\varepsilon} - \Phi_\mu) F_1' f \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\Phi'_{\mu\varepsilon} F_{W_\varepsilon} - \Phi'_\mu) F_1 f](z) \right| \cdot (1 - |z|)^{1-\gamma} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the last term on the right-hand side of (12), we shall imitate the proof of (10). For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $f_\varepsilon = \Phi_{\mu\varepsilon} f \in I_\gamma^p(\partial W_\varepsilon)$  and let

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1\varepsilon} &= \{z = re^{it} \in \mathbb{D} : d(e^{it}, \partial W_\varepsilon) \leq (1-r)^{1/2}\}, \\ G_{2\varepsilon} &= \{z = re^{it} \in \mathbb{D} : d(e^{it}, \partial W_\varepsilon) > (1-r)^{1/2} \text{ and } e^{it} \notin W_\varepsilon\}, \\ G_{3\varepsilon} &= \{z = re^{it} \in \mathbb{D} : d(e^{it}, \partial W_\varepsilon) > (1-r)^{1/2} \text{ and } e^{it} \in W_\varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $z = re^{it} \in G_{1\varepsilon}$ , we have  $d(z, \partial W_\varepsilon)^2 \leq 1 - r$ , so

$$|F'_{W_\varepsilon}(z)f_\varepsilon(z)| \leq C(1-r)^{p/2-1}$$

uniformly for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Moreover,  $F'_{W_\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ , so

$$\sup_{z \in G_{1\varepsilon}} |F'_{W_\varepsilon}(z)f_\varepsilon(z)|(1-|z|)^{1-\gamma} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Also, for  $z = re^{it} \in G_{2\varepsilon}$ , we have

$$|F'_{W_\varepsilon}(z)f_\varepsilon(z)|(1-r)^{1-\gamma} \leq C \int_{W_\varepsilon} |\log |F(e^{i\theta})|| d\theta \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . For  $z = re^{it} \in G_{3\varepsilon}$ , we have  $d(z, \partial W_\varepsilon)^2 \leq 2d(e^{it}, \partial W_\varepsilon)^2 \leq 2\varepsilon^2$ . Moreover,

$$F'_{W_\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{F'(z)F_{W_\varepsilon}(z)}{F(z)} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T} \setminus W_\varepsilon} \frac{e^{i\theta}}{(e^{i\theta} - z)^2} \log |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \cdot F_{W_\varepsilon}(z),$$

and  $|F_{W_\varepsilon}(z)/F(z)| \leq C$  by [13, Lemma 1], so

$$\begin{aligned} |F'_{W_\varepsilon}(z)f_\varepsilon(z)|(1-r)^{1-\gamma} &\leq Cd(z, \partial W_\varepsilon)^p((1-r)^{\gamma-1} + d(z, \partial W_\varepsilon)^{-2})(1-r)^{1-\gamma} \\ &\leq C(d(z, \partial W_\varepsilon)^p + d(z, \partial W_\varepsilon)^{p-2+2(1-\gamma)}) \leq C(\varepsilon^p + \varepsilon^{p-2\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

All in all, we conclude that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |F'_{W_\varepsilon}(z)f_\varepsilon(z)|(1-|z|)^{1-\gamma} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , so (b) follows from (12). ■

We now turn to the proof of Proposition 5.3. In the proof of the corresponding result for  $\lambda_\gamma^+$  ([12, Theorem A]), the first step is that if  $f \in \lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $f = FQ$ , where  $F$  is an outer and  $Q$  an inner function, then

$$f_t = F^{1+t}Q \rightarrow f$$

in  $\lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , and moreover  $f_t \in I_\gamma^{(1+t)\gamma}(Z(F))$ . In our case, for  $f \in J_\gamma(E)$ , we only have  $f_t \rightarrow f$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  if  $Z(F) = E$ , and this complicates the proof of Proposition 5.3. We shall need the following factorization result, which we find interesting in itself.

**PROPOSITION 5.4.** *Let  $F \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  be an outer function and suppose that  $Z(F) = E_1 \cup E_2$ , where  $E_1, E_2 \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  are closed, disjoint sets. Then there exist outer functions  $F_1, F_2 \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  such that  $F = F_1F_2$  and  $Z(F_k) = E_k$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ).*

*Proof.* Choose open sets  $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2 \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  such that  $E_k \subseteq U_k, \bar{U}_k \subseteq V_k$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ) and such that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are disjoint, and choose  $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \Lambda_\gamma$

such that  $\chi_1 + \chi_2 = 1$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\chi_k = 1$  on  $U_k$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ). For  $k = 1, 2$ , let  $\varphi_k = \chi_k \log |F|$  and define an outer function  $F_k$  by

$$F_k(z) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \varphi_k(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Then  $Z(F_k) = E_k$  and  $F = F_1 F_2$ . Choose  $\psi_k \in \Lambda_\gamma$  such that  $\psi_k = \varphi_k$  on  $\mathbb{T} \setminus U_k$  and let

$$G_k(z) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \psi_k(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right),$$

$$H_k(z) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} (\varphi_k(e^{i\theta}) - \psi_k(e^{i\theta})) d\theta \right)$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , so that  $F_k = G_k H_k$ . Since  $\Lambda_\gamma$  is closed under harmonic conjugation ([17, Theorem III.13.29]), it follows that  $\log G_k \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  and thus  $G_k, G_k^{-1} \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ . For  $e^{i\theta} \in U_1$ , the function  $z \mapsto (e^{i\theta} + z)/(e^{i\theta} - z)$  belongs to  $\Lambda_\gamma(\mathbb{T} \setminus V_1)$ , so we deduce that  $H_1 \in \Lambda_\gamma(\mathbb{T} \setminus V_1)$  and thus  $F_1 \in \Lambda_\gamma(\mathbb{T} \setminus V_1)$ . Similarly  $F_2 \in \Lambda_\gamma(\mathbb{T} \setminus V_2)$ , so  $F_1 = F/F_2 \in \Lambda_\gamma(\mathbb{T} \setminus V_2)$  since  $F_2$  has no zeros on  $\mathbb{T} \setminus V_2$ . Hence  $F_1 \in \Lambda_\gamma$  and thus  $F_1 \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ . Similarly  $F_2 \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ . ■

*Proof of Proposition 5.3.* Let  $f \in J_\gamma(E)$  with  $f = FQ$ , where  $F$  is an outer and  $Q$  an inner function, and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $0 < \delta \leq \varepsilon$  such that

$$|f'(z)| < \varepsilon(1 - |z|)^{\gamma-1}$$

for  $z \in U_\delta$ , where  $U_\delta$  and  $E_\delta$  are as in the proof of Proposition 5.2. It is easily seen that there exist closed, disjoint sets  $E_1, E_2 \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  with  $E \subseteq E_1 \subseteq E_\delta$  and  $Z(F) = E_1 \cup E_2$ , so it follows from the previous proposition that  $F = F_1 F_2$ , where  $F_1, F_2 \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  are outer functions with  $Z(F_k) = E_k$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ). For  $t > 0$ , let

$$f_t = F_1^{1+t} F_2 Q = F_1^t f,$$

so that

$$(13) \quad f'_t = t F_1^{t-1} F_1' f + F_1^t f' = F_1^t (t F_1' F_2 Q + f').$$

Since  $F_1 = 0$  on  $E_1 \supseteq E$ , we deduce that  $f_t \in J_\gamma(E) \cap I_\gamma^{(1+t)\gamma}(E)$ . Moreover,

$$(f_t - f)' = t F_1^t F_1' F_2 Q + (F_1^t - 1) f'.$$

Since  $Z(F_1) \subseteq E_\delta$ , we have  $F_1^t \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus U_\delta$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , so

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \|f_t - f\|_{\Lambda_\gamma^+} \leq C \sup_{z \in U_\delta} |f'(z)| (1 - |z|)^{1-\gamma} < C\varepsilon.$$

Write  $\mathbb{T} \setminus E_1 = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty W_n$ , where  $(W_n)$  is a sequence of pairwise disjoint, open arcs on  $\mathbb{T}$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Omega_N = \bigcup_{n=N+1}^\infty W_n$  and let

$$F_{1N} = (F_1)_{\Omega_N}$$

(see (9)). Fix  $t > 0$  and let  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have  $F_{1N}^q \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D} \setminus E_1$  and  $f_t = 0$  on  $E_1$ , so  $F_{1N}^q f_t \rightarrow f_t$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{D}$ . To estimate  $(F_{1N}^q)' f_t = q F_{1N}^{q-1} F_{1N}' f_t$  on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus U_\delta$ , we choose  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Omega_N \subseteq E_{\delta/2}$  for  $N \geq N_0$ . We have

$$|F_{1N}'(z)| \leq C d(z, \Omega_N)^{-2} \int_{\Omega_N} |\log |F(e^{i\theta})|| d\theta \leq C \delta^{-2} \int_{\Omega_N} |\log |F(e^{i\theta})|| d\theta \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly for  $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus U_\delta$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . To estimate  $(F_{1N}^q)' f_t$  on  $U_\delta$ , we repeat the proof of [12, Theorem B] (for  $q$  sufficiently large) with  $d(z) = d(z, E_1)$  and use the fact that

$$|f(z)| \leq C |F_1(z)| \leq C d(z, E_1)^\gamma \leq C \varepsilon^\gamma,$$

and obtain

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in U_\delta} |(F_{1N}^q)'(z) f_t(z)| (1 - |z|)^{1-\gamma} = \kappa(\varepsilon),$$

where  $\kappa(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, by (13), we have

$$\sup_{z \in U_\delta} |f_t'(z)| (1 - |z|)^{1-\gamma} \leq C \sup_{z \in U_\delta} |F_1^t(z)| \leq C \delta^{t\gamma} \leq C \varepsilon^{t\gamma},$$

so

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|f_t - F_{1N}^q f_t\|_{\Lambda_\gamma^+} = \tilde{\kappa}(\varepsilon)$$

where  $\tilde{\kappa}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Now, fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows from the above that  $F_{1N}^q f_t \in J_\gamma(E)$ . Moreover,

$$|F_{1N}^q(z)| \leq C d(z, \partial\Omega_N)^p \quad (z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

for  $q \geq p/\gamma$ . Since  $\partial(\mathbb{T} \setminus E_1) = E_1$ , we deduce that  $E \setminus \partial\Omega_N$  is finite, say  $E \setminus \partial\Omega_N = \{a_1, \dots, a_M\}$ . By Lemma 3.3, we then have

$$\left( \prod_{m=1}^M K_{a_m \mu} \right)^p F_{1N}^q f_t \rightarrow F_{1N}^q f_t$$

in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ , and since

$$\left( \prod_{m=1}^M K_{a_m \mu} \right)^p F_{1N}^q \in J_\gamma(E) \cap I_\gamma^p(E),$$

this finishes the proof. ■

**6. Weak-star closed ideals.** In this section, we characterize the  $\text{wk}^*$  closed ideals in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . We begin by describing the  $\text{wk}^*$  topology on  $\Lambda_\gamma$  and  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ , let  $\delta_z \in \Lambda_\gamma^*$  be the point evaluation functional at  $z$ , and let

$$Y_\gamma = \overline{\text{span}\{\delta_z : z \in \mathbb{T}\}}$$

(norm closure in  $\Lambda_\gamma^*$ ). Johnson ([7, Section 4]) proved that

$$Y_\gamma^* = \Lambda_\gamma.$$

Moreover, a bounded net in  $\Lambda_\gamma$  converges  $\text{wk}^*$  to zero in  $\Lambda_\gamma$  if and only if it converges pointwise to zero on  $\mathbb{T}$ , and in this case it actually converges uniformly to zero on  $\mathbb{T}$ . When  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , we further have  $Y_\gamma = \lambda_\gamma^*$  and thus  $\Lambda_\gamma = \lambda_\gamma^{**}$  ([7, Theorem 4.7]).

LEMMA 6.1. *Multiplication is separately  $\text{wk}^*$  continuous in  $\Lambda_\gamma$ .*

*Proof.* The space  $Y_\gamma^{**} = \Lambda_\gamma^*$  is a Banach  $\Lambda_\gamma$ -module under the action

$$\langle f, g\varphi \rangle = \langle fg, \varphi \rangle \quad (f, g \in \Lambda_\gamma, \varphi \in Y_\gamma^{**}).$$

For  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $g \in \Lambda_\gamma$ , we have

$$\langle f, g\delta_z \rangle = f(z)g(z) \quad (f \in \Lambda_\gamma),$$

so  $g\delta_z = g(z)\delta_z$ . Hence  $Y_\gamma$  is a  $\Lambda_\gamma$ -submodule and the conclusion follows. ■

Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  which converges  $\text{wk}^*$  to  $f$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\widehat{f_n}(m) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(m)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. Hence  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ , so  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  is  $\text{wk}^*$  closed by the Krein–Šmulian theorem. Denoting the quotient space  $Y_\gamma/\perp(\Lambda_\gamma^+)$  by  $Y_\gamma^+$ , we thus have

$$\Lambda_\gamma^+ = (Y_\gamma^+)^*.$$

The next result often provides us with the easiest way to show  $\text{wk}^*$  convergence in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ .

LEMMA 6.2. *Let  $(f_n)$  be a bounded sequence in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  which converges pointwise to zero on  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $f_n \rightarrow 0$   $\text{wk}^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $w \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $|z - w| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $f_n(w) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and since  $(f_n)$  is bounded in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ , it follows that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(z)| \leq C\varepsilon^\gamma$ . Hence  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  pointwise on  $\mathbb{T}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and the result follows. ■

We now turn our attention to  $\text{wk}^*$  closed ideals in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ .

PROPOSITION 6.3. *Suppose that a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  and an inner function  $Q$  satisfy (2). Then  $I_\gamma(E, Q)$  is a  $\text{wk}^*$  closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence in  $I_\gamma(E, Q)$  and suppose that  $f_n \rightarrow f$   $\text{wk}^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ . Then  $f \in I_\gamma(E)$  and it follows from Theorem 1.1 that  $(f_n/Q)$  is a bounded sequence in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . Moreover,  $f_n/Q \rightarrow f/Q$  pointwise on  $\mathbb{T}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so we deduce that  $f_n/Q \rightarrow f/Q$   $\text{wk}^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular,  $f \in I_\gamma(E, Q)$ . The Krein–Šmulian theorem thus implies that  $I_\gamma(E, Q)$  is  $\text{wk}^*$  closed. ■

The aim of this section is to prove the following result, which states that the ideals  $I_\gamma(E, Q)$  are the only  $\text{wk}^*$  closed ideals in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ .

THEOREM 6.4. *Let  $I$  be a  $\text{wk}^*$  closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . Then*

$$I = I_\gamma(E_I, Q_I).$$

The proof of the theorem takes up the rest of this paper. The idea in the proof is similar to that of [10] and [11]. Firstly, the Carleman transform is used to show that a  $\text{wk}^*$  closed ideal  $I$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $Q_I = 1$  necessarily contains a certain class of functions. Secondly, we show that every function in  $I_\gamma(E, Q)$  can be approximated by sufficiently smooth functions. Finally, the result is deduced from these two facts.

For a ( $\text{wk}^*$ ) closed ideal  $I$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ , we let

$${}^\perp I = \{\varphi \in Y_\gamma^+ : \langle \varphi, f \rangle = 0 \text{ for every } f \in I\} = I^\perp \cap Y_\gamma^+.$$

Also, for an inner function  $Q$ , a closed set  $Z \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $p > 0$ , let

$$I_\gamma^p(Z, Q) = \{f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+ : f/Q \in \Lambda_\gamma^+ \text{ and } |f(z)| \leq Cd(z, Z)^p \text{ (} z \in \mathbb{T})\},$$

so that  $I_\gamma^p(E) = I_\gamma^p(E, 1)$  for a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  (see the previous section). For  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ , we have  $\|f_r\|_{\Lambda_\gamma^+} \leq \|f\|_{\Lambda_\gamma^+}$  for  $r < 1$  and thus  $f_r \rightarrow f$   $\text{wk}^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $r \rightarrow 1_-$ , so we can use a method from [10] in the proof of the next result.

LEMMA 6.5. *Let  $I$  be a  $\text{wk}^*$  closed ideal in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $Q_I = 1$ . Then*

$$I_\gamma^{2(1+\gamma)}(E_I, 1) \subseteq I.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in I_\gamma^{2(1+\gamma)}(E_I, 1)$  and suppose that  $\varphi \in {}^\perp I$ . Then

$$\langle \varphi, f \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1_-} \langle \varphi, f_r \rangle = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1_+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} \Phi(se^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

From the proof of [10, Lemma 3.3] (see also [11, Theorem 5]), we deduce that

$$|\Phi(z)| \leq Cd(z, E_I)^{-2(1+\gamma)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}),$$

so it follows from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\langle \varphi, f \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} \Phi(e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

By the Beurling–Rudin theorem, the space  $I$  is dense in the Hardy space  $\mathcal{H}^2$ , so there exists a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $I$  converging to 1 in  $\mathcal{H}^2$ . Since  $f f_n \in I$ , we thus have

$$\langle \varphi, f \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(e^{i\theta}) f_n(e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} \Phi(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi, f f_n \rangle = 0.$$

Hence  $f \in I$  by the Hahn–Banach theorem. ■

The main difficulty in the proof of Theorem 6.4 is contained in the following approximation result.

PROPOSITION 6.6. *Let  $p > 0$  and suppose that a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  and an inner function  $Q$  satisfy (2). Let  $Z = E \cup Z(B)$ . Then  $I_\gamma^p(Z, Q)$  is  $wk^*$  dense in  $I_\gamma(E, Q)$ .*

In order to prove the proposition, we shall need a series of lemmas. The following result should be compared with the comments before the proof of Proposition 5.4.

LEMMA 6.7. *Let  $f = FQ \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ , where  $F$  is an outer and  $Q$  an inner function. Then  $f_t = F^{1+t}Q \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  for  $t > 0$  and  $f_t \rightarrow f$   $wk^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $F \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  by Theorem 1.1. Since  $f' = F'Q + FQ'$ , it thus follows that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |F(z)Q'(z)|(1 - |z|)^{1-\gamma} < \infty.$$

Moreover,  $f'_t = (1+t)F^tF'Q + F^{1+t}Q'$ , so we deduce that  $(f_t)$  is bounded in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Finally,  $f_t \rightarrow f$  pointwise on  $\mathbb{T}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , so  $f_t \rightarrow f$   $wk^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . ■

For  $a \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\mu > 0$ , let  $K_{a\mu}$  be as in the previous section. For  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  with  $f(a) = 0$ , it follows from the proof of Lemma 3.3 that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |K_{a\mu}'(z)f(z)|(1 - |z|)^{1-\gamma} \leq C$$

for  $\mu > 0$ . Hence  $(K_{a\mu}f)$  is bounded in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ , and since  $K_{a\mu}f \rightarrow f$  pointwise on  $\mathbb{T}$ , we deduce that  $K_{a\mu}f \rightarrow f$   $wk^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ . From this, it is easy to deduce the following result.

LEMMA 6.8. *Let  $p \geq 1$ , let  $f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  and let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_N\} \subseteq Z(f) \cap \mathbb{T}$ . Then*

$$\left( \prod_{n=1}^N K_{a_n\mu} \right)^p f \rightarrow f$$

*$wk^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ .*

For an outer function  $F$  and a measurable set  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ , recall the definition of  $F_\Gamma$  from (9). From the proof of [12, Theorem B], we obtain the following result.

LEMMA 6.9. *Let  $F$  be an outer function,  $Q$  an inner function and suppose that  $FQ \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ . Let  $t > 0$  and let  $f = F^{1+t}Q$ . Then there exists  $q_0$  such that, for  $q \geq q_0$ , we have*

$$F_\Gamma^q f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+ \quad \text{with} \quad \|F_\Gamma^q f\|_{\Lambda_\gamma^+} \leq C$$

*for every open set  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  with  $\partial\Gamma \subseteq Z(f)$  (where  $\partial\Gamma$  denotes the boundary of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{T}$ ).*

*Proof of Proposition 6.6.* By Lemma 6.7, it is sufficient to prove that, whenever a function  $f \in I_\gamma(E, Q)$  is of the form  $f = F^{1+t}Q$ , where  $t > 0$ ,



$F$  is an outer function and  $Q$  an inner function such that  $FQ \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$ , then  $f$  can be approximated in the  $\text{wk}^*$  topology on  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  by functions from  $I_\gamma^p(Z, Q)$ . Let  $q = \max\{q_0, p/\gamma\}$ . As in the proof of Proposition 5.2, let  $\mathbb{T} \setminus E = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty V_n$ , where  $(V_n)$  is a sequence of pairwise disjoint, open arcs on  $\mathbb{T}$  with endpoints  $a_n$  and  $b_n$ , and for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Gamma_N = \bigcup_{n=N+1}^\infty V_n$  and  $F_N = F_{\Gamma_N}$ . As  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $m(\Gamma_N) \rightarrow 0$  and thus  $F_N \rightarrow 1$  pointwise on  $\mathbb{D}$ , so it follows from Lemmas 6.2 and 6.9 that  $F_N^q f \rightarrow f$   $\text{wk}^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  for every  $q \geq q_0$ .

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed. We have  $E \setminus \bar{\Gamma}_N \subseteq \{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_N, b_N\}$  and

$$\left( \prod_{n=1}^N K_{a_n \mu} K_{b_n \mu} \right)^p F_N^q f \rightarrow F_N^q f$$

$\text{wk}^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  by Lemma 6.8,

Fix  $\mu > 0$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n = 1, \dots, N$ , let  $V_{n\varepsilon}$  be the subarc of  $V_n$  whose endpoints  $c_n$  and  $d_n$  are at a distance  $\varepsilon$  from  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  respectively. Let

$$g_\varepsilon = \left( \prod_{n=1}^N K_{a_n \mu} K_{c_n \mu} K_{d_n \mu} K_{b_n \mu} \right)^{p/2} \left( \prod_{n=1}^N F_{V_n \setminus V_{n\varepsilon}} \right)^q F_N^q f.$$

It follows from the proof of [12, Theorem B] that  $(g_\varepsilon)$  is bounded in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , so

$$g_\varepsilon \rightarrow \left( \prod_{n=1}^N K_{a_n \mu} K_{b_n \mu} \right)^p F_N^q f$$

$\text{wk}^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  by Lemma 6.2.

Finally, fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For  $z \in \bar{\Gamma}_N$ , we have  $|F_N(z)| = |f(z)|$ , and for  $z \in V_n \setminus V_{n\varepsilon}$  for some  $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , we have  $|F_{V_n \setminus V_{n\varepsilon}}(z)| = |f(z)|$ . In both cases, we thus have

$$|g_\varepsilon(z)| \leq C|f(z)|^q \leq Cd(z, Z)^p.$$

Clearly, this also holds for  $z \in \bigcup_{n=1}^N \bar{V}_{n\varepsilon}$ , so  $g_\varepsilon \in I_\gamma^p(Z, Q)$ , which finishes the proof. ■

It follows from Lemma 6.5 and Proposition 6.6 that Theorem 6.4 holds for closed ideals  $I$  with  $Q_I = 1$ . We now finish the proof of the general case.

*Proof of Theorem 6.4.* Korenblum ([9], see also [10]) has shown that there exists an outer function  $T$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $T^\varepsilon Q_I \in \Lambda_\gamma^+$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,
- (ii)  $Z(T) = E_I$ ,
- (iii)  $|T'(z)/T(z)| \leq Cd(z, Z_I)^{-2}$  ( $z \in \mathbb{T}$ ).

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and consider the division ideal

$$I_\varepsilon = \{f \in \Lambda_\gamma^+ : T^\varepsilon Q_I f \in I\}$$

in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$ . Since multiplication is separately  $\text{wk}^*$  continuous in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  (Lemma 6.1), it follows that  $I_\varepsilon$  is  $\text{wk}^*$  closed. Moreover, for  $g \in I$ , we have  $g/Q_I \in I_\varepsilon$ , so we deduce that  $Q_{I_\varepsilon} = 1$  and  $E_{I_\varepsilon} = E_I$ . As mentioned before the proof, we thus have  $I_\varepsilon = I_\gamma(E_I, 1)$ .

Now, let  $g \in I_\gamma^2(Z_I, Q_I)$ . Then  $g/Q_I \in I_\gamma(E_I, 1) = I_\varepsilon$ , so  $T^\varepsilon g \in I$ . It follows from (iii) that

$$|(T^\varepsilon)'(z)g(z)| = |\varepsilon T^\varepsilon(z)(T'(z)/T(z))g(z)| \leq C \quad (z \in \mathbb{T})$$

for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Hence  $T^\varepsilon g$  is bounded in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and since  $T^\varepsilon g \rightarrow g$  pointwise on  $\mathbb{T}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $T^\varepsilon g \rightarrow g$   $\text{wk}^*$  in  $\Lambda_\gamma^+$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , so  $g \in I$ . Finally,  $I_\gamma^2(Z_I, Q_I)$  is  $\text{wk}^*$  dense in  $I_\gamma(E_I, Q_I)$  by Proposition 6.6, so the result follows. ■

## References

- [1] C. Bennett and J. E. Gilbert, *Homogeneous algebras on the circle. I. Ideals of analytic functions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 22 (1972), no. 3, 1–19.
- [2] L. Carleson, *Sets of uniqueness for functions regular in the unit circle*, Acta Math. 87 (1952), 325–345.
- [3] P. L. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1970.
- [4] K. M. Dyakonov, *Equivalent norms on Lipschitz-type spaces of holomorphic functions*, Acta Math. 178 (1997), 143–167.
- [5] H. Hedenmalm, *Bounded analytic functions and closed ideals*, J. Anal. Math. 48 (1987), 142–166.
- [6] K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1962.
- [7] J. A. Johnson, *Banach spaces of Lipschitz functions and vector-valued Lipschitz functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (1970), 147–169.
- [8] Y. Katznelson, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [9] B. I. Korenblum, *Functions holomorphic in a disc and smooth in its closure*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 200 (1971), 24–27 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 12 (1971), 1312–1315.
- [10] —, *Closed ideals in the ring  $A^n$* , Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 6 (1972), no. 3, 38–52 (in Russian); English transl.: Funct. Anal. Appl. 6 (1972), 203–214.
- [11] A. L. Matheson, *Closed ideals in rings of analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, in: Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions (Kent, OH, 1976), J. Baker et al. (eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 604, Springer, Berlin, 1977, 67–72.
- [12] —, *Approximation of analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Michigan Math. J. 25 (1978), 289–298.
- [13] —, *Cyclic vectors for invariant subspaces in some classes of analytic functions*, Illinois J. Math. 36 (1992), 136–144.
- [14] D. R. Sherbert, *The structure of ideals and point derivations in Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1964), 240–272.

- [15] N. A. Shirokov, *Division and multiplication by inner functions in spaces of analytic functions smooth up to the boundary*, in: Complex Analysis and Spectral Theory (Leningrad, 1979/80), V. P. Khavin and N. K. Nikol'skiĭ (eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 864, Springer, Berlin, 1981, 413–439.
- [16] B. A. Taylor and D. L. Williams, *Ideals in rings of analytic functions with smooth boundary values*, *Canad. J. Math.* 22 (1970), 1266–1283.
- [17] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2nd ed., 1959.

Department of Mathematics and Physics  
The Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University  
Thorvaldsensvej 40  
DK-1871 Frederiksberg C, Denmark  
E-mail: vils@dina.kvl.dk

*Received May 13, 2002*  
*Revised version March 31, 2003*

(4945)