

## On a theorem of Vesentini

by

GERD HERZOG and CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER (Karlsruhe)

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with unit  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  an entire function. Let  $\mathbf{f} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be defined by

$$\mathbf{f}(a) = f(a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}),$$

where  $f(a)$  is given by the usual analytic calculus. The connections between the periods of  $f$  and the periods of  $\mathbf{f}$  are settled by a theorem of E. Vesentini. We give a new proof of this theorem and investigate further properties of periods of  $\mathbf{f}$ , for example in  $C^*$ -algebras.

Throughout this paper  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes a complex unital Banach algebra with unit  $\mathbf{1}$ . For  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we write

$$\sigma(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda\mathbf{1} \text{ is not invertible in } \mathcal{A}\}$$

for the *spectrum* of  $a$ . The center of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the subset  $\mathcal{A}^c$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  given by

$$\mathcal{A}^c = \{x \in \mathcal{A} : xa = ax \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

By  $H(\mathbb{C})$  we denote the collection of all entire functions  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . If  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $f(a)$  is defined by the well known analytic calculus (see [3]). If

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})$$

is the power series representation of  $f$ , then by [3],

$$f(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n a^n = a_0 \mathbf{1} + a_1 a + a_2 a^2 + \dots$$

for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, given  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ , we define the mapping  $\mathbf{f} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by

$$\mathbf{f}(a) = f(a).$$

Hence  $\mathbf{f}' : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{f}'(a) = f'(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n a^{n-1}$$

(thus  $\mathbf{f}'$  does not denote the derivative of the mapping  $\mathbf{f}$ ).

For  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$  put

$$P(f) = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : f(z + \omega) = f(z) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

$$P(\mathbf{f}) = \{p \in \mathcal{A} : \mathbf{f}(a + p) = \mathbf{f}(a) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Observe that  $0 \in P(f)$  and  $0 \in P(\mathbf{f})$ .

Throughout this paper  $f$  will denote an element of  $H(\mathbb{C})$  with power series representation

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_0, a_1, \dots \in \mathbb{C}).$$

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $q^2 = q$ .*

- (1)  $\mathbf{f}(\omega q) = a_0 \mathbf{1} + (f(\omega) - a_0)q$ .
- (2) *If  $\omega \in P(f)$ , then  $\mathbf{f}(\omega q) = a_0 \mathbf{1}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) We have

$$\mathbf{f}(\omega q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \omega^n q^n = a_0 \mathbf{1} + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \omega^n \right) q = a_0 \mathbf{1} + (f(\omega) - a_0)q.$$

- (2) Since  $f(\omega) = f(0) = a_0$ , it follows from (1) that  $\mathbf{f}(\omega q) = a_0 \mathbf{1}$ . ■

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose that  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $ab = ba$  and that  $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is defined by  $\phi(z) = \mathbf{f}(za + b)$  ( $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ). Then  $\phi$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued analytic function and*

$$\phi'(z) = \mathbf{f}'(za + b)a \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (za + b)^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

It follows from [3, §59, §97] that  $\phi$  is analytic and

$$\phi'(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{d}{dz} (za + b)^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Since  $ab = ba$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dz} (za + b)^n = n(za + b)^{n-1}a \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

thus

$$\phi'(z) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n (za + b)^{n-1} \right) a = \mathbf{f}'(za + b)a$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . ■

THEOREM 1. *Let  $\omega \in P(f)$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{A}^c$  and  $q^2 = q$ . Then  $\omega q \in P(\mathbf{f})$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and define  $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by

$$\phi(z) = \mathbf{f}(za + \omega q), \quad \psi(z) = \mathbf{f}(za).$$

Proposition 2 gives

$$\phi^{(k)}(z) = \mathbf{f}^{(k)}(za + \omega q)a^k, \quad \psi^{(k)}(z) = \mathbf{f}^{(k)}(za)a^k$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Hence

$$\phi^{(k)}(0) = \mathbf{f}^{(k)}(\omega q)a^k, \quad \psi^{(k)}(0) = \mathbf{f}^{(k)}(0)a^k$$

for  $k \geq 0$ . Since  $\omega \in P(f^{(k)})$  for  $k \geq 0$ , Proposition 1 shows that

$$\phi^{(k)}(0) = f^{(k)}(0)a^k = \mathbf{f}^{(k)}(0)a^k = \psi^{(k)}(0)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Therefore  $\phi = \psi$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Hence

$$\mathbf{f}(a + \omega q) = \phi(1) = \psi(1) = \mathbf{f}(a).$$

Since  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  was arbitrary,  $\omega q \in P(\mathbf{f})$ . ■

COROLLARY 1.  $\{\omega \mathbf{1} : \omega \in P(f)\} \subseteq P(\mathbf{f})$ .

THEOREM 2. Let  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$  and suppose that  $f$  is non-constant. Then:

- (1)  $\sigma(p) \subseteq P(f)$ .
- (2)  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$ .
- (3)  $p \in P(\mathbf{f}')$ .

*Proof.* (1) We have  $f(p) = \mathbf{f}(p) = \mathbf{f}(0) = a_0 \mathbf{1}$ . Put  $g(z) = f(z) - a_0$ . Then  $g(p) = 0$ . The spectral mapping theorem ([3, Satz 99.2]) gives

$$g(\sigma(p)) = \sigma(g(p)) = \{0\}.$$

Since  $\sigma(p)$  is compact and  $g$  is non-constant,  $\sigma(p)$  is finite, say  $\sigma(p) = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ , and  $g(\omega_j) = 0$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Therefore  $f(\omega_j) = f(0)$ . Fix  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and define  $h \in H(\mathbb{C})$  by  $h(z) = g(z + z_0)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}(a + p) &= \mathbf{g}(a + p + z_0 \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{f}((a + z_0 \mathbf{1}) + p) - a_0 \mathbf{1} \\ &= \mathbf{f}(a + z_0 \mathbf{1}) - a_0 \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{g}(a + z_0 \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{h}(a) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . This shows that  $p \in P(\mathbf{h})$ . As above,  $h(\omega_j) = h(0)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Thus

$$f(z_0) - a_0 = g(z_0) = h(0) = h(\omega_j) = g(\omega_j + z_0) = f(\omega_j + z_0) - a_0$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Consequently,  $\omega_j \in P(f)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

(2) Since  $f$  is non-constant, there is some  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $z_0 = 0$ , so  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Now take  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$(a + p)\mathbf{f}(a) = (a + p)\mathbf{f}(a + p) = \mathbf{f}(a + p)(a + p) = \mathbf{f}(a)(a + p).$$

So  $p\mathbf{f}(a) = \mathbf{f}(a)p$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore

$$p\mathbf{f}(za) = \mathbf{f}(za)p \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This gives

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n p a^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n a^n p$$

for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Comparing coefficients yields

$$a_n p a^n = a_n a^n p \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } n \geq 0.$$

For  $n = 1$  we get  $a_1 p a = a_1 a p$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ). Since  $a_1 \neq 0$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$ .

(3) We have  $\mathbf{f}(za + p) = \mathbf{f}(za)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . According to Proposition 2,

$$\mathbf{f}'(za + p)a = \mathbf{f}'(za)a \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}, a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Thus for  $z = 1$ ,

$$(*) \quad \mathbf{f}'(a + p)a = \mathbf{f}'(a)a \quad \text{for each } a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Now fix  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and define  $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by

$$\phi(z) = \mathbf{f}'(a - z\mathbf{1} + p) - \mathbf{f}'(a - z\mathbf{1}).$$

By (\*),  $\phi(z)(a - z\mathbf{1}) = 0$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $|z| > \|a\|$ , then  $z \notin \sigma(a)$ , thus  $a - z\mathbf{1}$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore  $\phi(z) = 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|z| > \|a\|$ . Since  $\phi$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ , we get  $\phi(z) = 0$  for each  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Consequently,

$$\mathbf{f}'(a - z\mathbf{1} + p) = \mathbf{f}'(a - z\mathbf{1}) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Thus, for  $z = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{f}'(a + p) = \mathbf{f}'(a)$ . Since  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  was arbitrary,  $p \in P(\mathbf{f}')$ . ■

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Suppose that  $f$  is non-constant. Then there exists  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the function  $h \in H(\mathbb{C})$  given by  $h(z) = f(z + z_0) - f(z_0)$  has only simple zeros.*

*Proof.* First we show that there is some  $c \in f(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $f - c$  has only simple zeros. To this end assume to the contrary that for each  $c \in f(\mathbb{C})$  the function  $f - c$  has a zero of order  $\geq 2$ . Therefore for each  $c \in f(\mathbb{C})$  there is  $z_c \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$f(z_c) = c, \quad f'(z_c) = 0.$$

It follows that  $z_{c_1} \neq z_{c_2}$  if  $c_1 \neq c_2$ . Since  $f$  is non-constant,  $f(\mathbb{C})$  is a region in  $\mathbb{C}$ , hence  $f(\mathbb{C})$  is uncountable. This shows that the set  $\{z_c : c \in f(\mathbb{C})\}$  is uncountable. Hence the set of zeros of  $f'$  is uncountable, a contradiction. Thus we have shown that there is some  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f - f(z_0)$  has only simple zeros. If  $h \in H(\mathbb{C})$  is defined by  $h(z) = f(z + z_0) - f(z_0)$ , then  $h$  has the desired property. ■

The following theorem contains a characterization of the periods of  $\mathbf{f}$ , and is due to E. Vesentini [5]. Vesentini's proof makes extensive use of the Dunford functional calculus and is essentially different from the proof given here.

**THEOREM 3** (Vesentini). *Suppose that  $f$  is non-constant. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(1)  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$ .

(2) *There are  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in P(f)$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathcal{A}^c$  such that*

$$\mathbf{1} = q_1 + \dots + q_n, \quad 0 \neq q_j^2 = q_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n), \quad q_j q_k = 0 \quad (j \neq k)$$

and

$$p = \omega_1 q_1 + \dots + \omega_n q_n.$$

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). By Proposition 3 there is  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the entire function  $h(z) = f(z + z_0) - f(z_0)$  has only simple zeros. It is clear that  $P(h) = P(f)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2,  $p \in P(\mathbf{h})$ . By Theorem 2(1) we derive  $\sigma(p) = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} \subseteq P(h) = P(f)$ . Since  $h(p) = \mathbf{h}(p) = \mathbf{h}(0) = h(0)\mathbf{1} = 0$  and  $h$  has only simple zeros, Proposition 8.11 in [2] shows that there are idempotents  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\}$  with

$$q_j q_k = 0 \quad (j \neq k), \quad q_1 + \dots + q_n = \mathbf{1}, \quad p q_j = \omega_j q_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Thus  $p = p(q_1 + \dots + q_n) = \omega_1 q_1 + \dots + \omega_n q_n$ . From [2, Remark (2), p. 37] it follows that

$$q_j b = b q_j \quad \text{for each } b \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } p b = b p$$

( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). By Theorem 2(2) we derive  $q_j \in \mathcal{A}^c$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Use Theorem 1 to get  $\omega_j q_j \in P(\mathbf{f})$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$ . ■

**EXAMPLES.** (1) If

$$f(z) = \exp(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

then  $p \in P(\mathbf{exp})$  if and only if there are  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathcal{A}^c$  with  $q_j^2 = q_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $p = 2k_1 \pi i q_1 + \dots + 2k_n \pi i q_n$ .

(2) If

$$f(z) = \cos(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^{2n}}{(2n)!},$$

then  $p \in P(\mathbf{cos})$  if and only if there are  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathcal{A}^c$  with  $q_j^2 = q_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $p = 2k_1 \pi q_1 + \dots + 2k_n \pi q_n$ .

(3) Let  $w \in \mathbb{C}^m$  denote the vector  $(1, \dots, 1)$ , and consider the Banach algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m} : \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A^T w = A w = \lambda w\}.$$

Put

$$Q = \frac{1}{m} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\mathbf{1} \neq Q = Q^2$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{A}^c$ . Therefore  $2\pi iQ \in P(\mathbf{exp})$  and  $2\pi Q \in P(\mathbf{cos})$ . ■

(4) Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space and let  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$ . Assume that  $P_0 \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $x \in X, x \neq 0$  are such that  $\exp(P_0)x = x$ . We consider the following  $P_0$ -invariant closed subspace of  $X$ :

$$Y = \overline{[P_0^k x : k \in \mathbb{N}_0]}.$$

Let  $P : Y \rightarrow Y$  be the restriction of  $P_0$  to  $Y$ , and consider the commutative subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(Y)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \overline{[P^k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0]}.$$

Obviously  $\exp(A + P) = \exp(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , that is,  $P \in P(\mathbf{exp})$ . Hence there exist  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $Q_1, \dots, Q_n \in \mathcal{A}^c$  with  $Q_j^2 = Q_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and

$$P = 2k_1\pi iQ_1 + \dots + 2k_n\pi iQ_n.$$

Moreover  $v_j := Q_jx$  satisfies  $Pv_j = 2k_j\pi iv_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ), and

$$x = v_1 + \dots + v_n.$$

Therefore, the eigenvector  $x$  of  $\exp(P_0)$  can be written as a finite sum of eigenvectors of  $P_0$ .

In this context, let  $X$  be a normable complete topological subspace of the Fréchet space  $H(\mathbb{C})$  with  $f' \in X$  for each  $f \in X$ . Let  $D : X \rightarrow X$  denote the differential operator  $Df = f'$ , and let  $g \in X$  with  $\omega \in P(g), \omega \neq 0$ . Then

$$(\exp(\omega D)g)(z) = g(z + \omega) = g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Thus  $g = f_1 + \dots + f_n$  with  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in X$  satisfying  $\omega Df_j = 2k_j\pi if_j$ . Therefore  $g$  has the form

$$g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j \exp\left(\frac{2k_j\pi i}{\omega} z\right)$$

with  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{C}$ .

In particular, there is no normable complete topological subspace  $X$  of  $H(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $f' \in X$  for all  $f \in X$ , containing the function

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \exp(2k\pi iz),$$

for example.

The next result contains further characterizations of periods of  $\mathbf{f}$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $f$  is non-constant and  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(1)  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$ .

(2)  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$ ,  $\sigma(p) \subseteq P(f)$  and each  $\omega \in \sigma(p)$  is a simple pole of the resolvent  $r(\lambda, p) = (\lambda \mathbf{1} - p)^{-1}$ .

(3)  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$  and there are  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in P(f)$  such that  $\omega_j \neq \omega_k$  ( $j \neq k$ ) and

$$(p - \omega_1 \mathbf{1}) \dots (p - \omega_n \mathbf{1}) = 0.$$

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). By Theorems 2 and 3,  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$ ,  $\sigma(p) = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} \subseteq P(f)$  and there are  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathcal{A}^c$  such that

$$\mathbf{1} = q_1 + \dots + q_n, \quad 0 \neq q_j^2 = q_j, \quad q_j q_k = 0 \quad (j \neq k)$$

and

$$p = \omega_1 q_1 + \dots + \omega_n q_n.$$

We can assume that  $\omega_j \neq \omega_k$  for  $j \neq k$ . Define the analytic function  $\phi : \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by

$$\phi(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{q_j}{\lambda - \omega_j}.$$

Since  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$  and  $p q_j = \omega_j q_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ),

$$(\lambda \mathbf{1} - p)\phi(\lambda) = \phi(\lambda)(\lambda \mathbf{1} - p) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda q_j - p q_j}{\lambda - \omega_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j = \mathbf{1}.$$

This shows that  $\phi(\lambda) = r(\lambda, p)$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(p)$ ). Since  $q_j \neq 0$ , it follows that each  $\omega_j$  is a simple pole of  $r(\lambda, p)$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). We have  $\sigma(p) = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} \subseteq P(f)$  with  $\omega_j \neq \omega_k$  for  $j \neq k$ . By [2, Proposition 7.9] there exist  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\mathbf{1} = q_1 + \dots + q_n, \quad q_j q_k = 0 \quad (j \neq k), \quad 0 \neq q_j^2 = q_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n),$$

and

$$\sigma(p q_j) = \{0, \omega_j\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n) \quad \text{if } n > 1.$$

Furthermore (see [2, Remark (2), p. 37]),  $q_j a = a q_j$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $p a = a p$ . Since  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$ , we derive  $q_j \in \mathcal{A}^c$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Next we show that  $p q_1 = \omega_1 q_1$ . Let  $r > 0$  be so small that  $\omega_2, \dots, \omega_n \notin U = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \omega_1| < r\}$ . Put  $\gamma(t) = \omega_1 + r e^{it}$  ( $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ ). Then (see [2, Remark (1), p. 37])

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} r(z, p) dz.$$

Since  $\omega_1$  is a simple pole of  $r(\lambda, p)$ , the Laurent expansion of  $r(\lambda, p)$  on  $U \setminus \{\omega_1\}$  has the form

$$r(\lambda, p) = \frac{q_1}{\lambda - \omega_1} + g(\lambda),$$

where  $g : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is analytic (see [3, Satz 97.4]). For  $\lambda \in U \setminus \{\omega_1\}$  it follows that

$$\mathbf{1} = (\lambda \mathbf{1} - p)r(\lambda, p) = \frac{(\lambda \mathbf{1} - p)q_1}{\lambda - \omega_1} + (\lambda \mathbf{1} - p)g(\lambda),$$

thus

$$(\lambda - \omega_1)\mathbf{1} = (\lambda \mathbf{1} - p)q_1 + (\lambda - \omega_1)(\lambda \mathbf{1} - p)g(\lambda).$$

If  $\lambda \rightarrow \omega_1$  it follows that  $pq_1 = \omega_1 q_1$ . A similar proof shows that  $pq_j = \omega_j q_j$  for  $j = 2, \dots, n$ . Then we have

$$p = p(q_1 + \dots + q_n) = \omega_1 q_1 + \dots + \omega_n q_n.$$

Theorem 3 shows now that  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$ .

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let  $h \in H(\mathbb{C})$  be as in the proof of Theorem 3. Then  $P(h) = P(f) = \sigma(p) = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  and  $h(p) = 0$ . Since  $h$  has only simple zeros, Proposition 8.11 in [2] shows that

$$(p - \omega_1 \mathbf{1}) \dots (p - \omega_n \mathbf{1}) = 0.$$

From  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$ , we get  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$  (Theorem 2).

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let  $\varphi(z) = (z - \omega_1) \dots (z - \omega_n)$  ( $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ). Then  $\varphi \in H(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\varphi$  has only simple zeros and  $\varphi(p) = 0$ . Again by [2, Proposition 8.11], there exist non-zero idempotents  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\mathbf{1} = q_1 + \dots + q_n, \quad q_j q_k = 0 \quad (j \neq k), \quad pq_j = \omega_j q_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

It follows from [2, Remark (2), p. 37] that  $q_j a = a q_j$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $ap = pa$ . Since  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$ , also  $q_j \in \mathcal{A}^c$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). From  $p = p(q_1 + \dots + q_n) = \omega_1 q_1 + \dots + \omega_n q_n$  we see now that  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$  (Theorem 3). ■

Now we consider special types of Banach algebras.

A *representation* of  $\mathcal{A}$  on a normed linear space  $X$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  into the algebra  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of all bounded linear operators on  $X$ . A representation  $T$  is said to be *strictly irreducible* if  $T \neq 0$  and if  $\{0\}$  and  $X$  are the only invariant subspaces of  $X$  for  $T$  (i.e.  $Y$  with  $T(a)Y \subseteq Y$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ). We call  $\mathcal{A}$  *primitive* if there is an injective strictly irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on a Banach space.

EXAMPLE. If  $X$  is a complex Banach space, then  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is a primitive Banach algebra (see [1, F.2.2]).

PROPOSITION 4. *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is primitive, then  $\mathcal{A}^c = \{\alpha \mathbf{1} : \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$ .*

*Proof.* [4, Corollary 2.4.5]. ■

THEOREM 5. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a primitive Banach algebra and suppose that  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$  is non-constant. Then*

$$P(\mathbf{f}) = \{\omega \mathbf{1} : \omega \in P(f)\}.$$



*Proof.* That  $\{\omega\mathbf{1} : \omega \in P(f)\} \subseteq P(\mathbf{f})$  follows from Corollary 1. Now take  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$ . By Theorem 2(2) and Proposition 4,  $p = \omega\mathbf{1}$  for some  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ . Theorem 2(1) gives

$$\{\omega\} = \sigma(p) \subseteq P(f).$$

Thus  $\omega \in P(f)$ . ■

REMARK. There is an elementary proof of Theorem 5 if  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  ( $X$  a complex Banach space): Because of Theorem 3 it suffices to show that if  $0 \neq Q^2 = Q \in \mathcal{B}(X)^c$ , then  $Q = I$  (where  $I$  denotes the identity on  $X$ ). Therefore let  $0 \neq Q^2 = Q \in \mathcal{B}(X)^c$ . Then

$$X = Q(X) \oplus N(Q),$$

where  $Q(X) = \{Qx : x \in X\} = \{x \in X : Qx = x\}$  and  $N(Q) = \{x \in X : Qx = 0\}$ . We have to show that  $N(Q) = \{0\}$ . Assume to the contrary that there is  $z_0 \in N(Q)$  with  $z_0 \neq 0$ . Since  $Q \neq 0$  there exists  $y_0 \in Q(X)$  such that  $y_0 \neq 0$ . Now put  $x_0 = y_0 + z_0$ . Since  $z_0 \neq 0$ ,  $x_0 \notin Q(X)$ . Furthermore,  $Q(X)$  is a closed subspace of  $X$ , thus, by the Hahn–Banach Theorem, there is a bounded linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $X$  with

$$\varphi(x_0) \neq 0, \quad \varphi(Qx) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Now define the operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  by

$$Ax = \varphi(x)x_0 \quad (x \in X).$$

Then  $AQx_0 = \varphi(Qx_0)x_0 = 0$  and  $QAx_0 = \varphi(x_0)Qx_0$ . Since  $Q \in \mathcal{B}(X)^c$  and  $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$ , we get  $Qx_0 = 0$ . From  $x_0 = y_0 + z_0$  and  $z_0 \in N(Q)$  it follows that  $Qy_0 = 0$ , thus  $y_0 = Qy_0 = 0$ , a contradiction. ■

PROPOSITION 5. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $q \in \mathcal{A}^c$  and  $q^2 = q$ . Then  $q^* = q$ .*

*Proof.* By [1, BA.4.3] there exists  $e = e^2 = e^* \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $qe = e$  and  $eq = q$ . Since  $q \in \mathcal{A}^c$ , we have  $qe = eq$ , thus  $q = e$  and therefore  $q^* = q$ . ■

For the next result observe that by Corollary 1 and Theorem 2, we have  $P(f) = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow P(\mathbf{f}) = \{0\}$ .

COROLLARY 2. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and suppose that  $f$  is non-constant and that  $P(f) \neq \{0\}$ . Then:*

- (1) *Each  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$  is normal.*
- (2)  *$P(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow p = p^*$  for each  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$ .*
- (3)  *$P(f) \subseteq i\mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow p = -p^*$  for each  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$ .*

*Proof.* For (1), notice that since  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$  (Theorem 2),  $pp^* = p^*p$ . For (2) and (3) let  $\omega_0 \in P(f) \setminus \{0\}$  with  $|\omega_0|$  minimal. If  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$  then, by

Theorem 3, there are  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathcal{A}^c$  with  $q_j^2 = q_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and

$$p = \omega_0(k_1q_1 + \dots + k_nq_n).$$

Proposition 5 gives  $p^* = \bar{\omega}_0(k_1q_1 + \dots + k_nq_n)$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} p - p^* &= (\omega_0 - \bar{\omega}_0)(k_1q_1 + \dots + k_nq_n), \\ p + p^* &= (\omega_0 + \bar{\omega}_0)(k_1q_1 + \dots + k_nq_n). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (2) and (3) hold. ■

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $f$  be as in Corollary 2. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P(\mathbf{f})$  is a  $*$ -subset (i.e.,  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$  implies  $p^* \in P(\mathbf{f})$ ).
- (2)  $P(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  or  $P(f) \subseteq i\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Take  $\omega_0 \in P(f) \setminus \{0\}$ . By Corollary 1,  $\omega_0\mathbf{1} \in P(\mathbf{f})$ , hence  $\bar{\omega}_0\mathbf{1} \in P(\mathbf{f})$ . Theorem 2(1) gives

$$\sigma(\bar{\omega}_0\mathbf{1}) \subseteq P(f);$$

thus  $\bar{\omega}_0 \in P(f)$ . It follows that  $\bar{\omega}_0 = \omega_0$  or  $\bar{\omega}_0 = -\omega_0$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Use Corollary 2. ■

**COROLLARY 4.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $f$  are as in Corollary 2. If the coefficients  $a_0, a_1, \dots$  of  $f$  are real, then  $P(\mathbf{f})$  is a  $*$ -subset.*

*Proof.* For  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $\mathbf{f}(a^*) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(a^*)^n$ , thus  $\mathbf{f}(a^*)^* = \mathbf{f}(a)$ . Now take  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$ . Then, for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\mathbf{f}(a + p^*) = \mathbf{f}((a^*)^* + p^*) = \mathbf{f}((a^* + p)^*) = \mathbf{f}(a^* + p)^* = \mathbf{f}(a^*)^* = \mathbf{f}(a);$$

thus  $p^* \in P(\mathbf{f})$ . ■

In  $C^*$ -algebras each  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$  is normal. The following corollary shows that in a general Banach algebra, elements in  $P(\mathbf{f})$  share some properties of normal operators (on complex Hilbert spaces) with closed range.

**COROLLARY 5.** *For  $p \in P(\mathbf{f})$  we have:*

- (1) *There is  $q \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $pqp = p$  and  $qpq = q$  (hence  $p$  has a pseudo-inverse).*
- (2)  $p\mathcal{A} = \{pa : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is closed.
- (3)  $\mathcal{A} = p\mathcal{A} \oplus \{a \in \mathcal{A} : pa = 0\}$ .
- (4) *If  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $p^2a = 0$ , then  $pa = 0$  (hence the ascent of  $p$  is  $\leq 1$ ).*
- (5)  $p^2\mathcal{A} = p\mathcal{A}$  (hence the descent of  $p$  is  $\leq 1$ ).

*Proof.* By Theorems 2 and 3,  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$ ,  $\sigma(p) = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} \subseteq P(f)$  ( $\omega_j \neq \omega_k$  for  $j \neq k$ ) and there are  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathcal{A}^c$  with

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= q_1 + \dots + q_n, & 0 \neq q_j &= q_j^2 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n), \\ q_jq_k &= 0 \quad (j \neq k), & p &= \omega_1q_1 + \dots + \omega_nq_n. \end{aligned}$$

If  $0 \notin \sigma(p)$ , then we are done. Hence let  $0 \in \sigma(p)$ . We can assume that  $\omega_1 = 0$ . Thus  $p = \omega_2 q_2 + \dots + \omega_n q_n$ .

(1) Put  $q = \omega_2^{-1} q_2 + \dots + \omega_n^{-1} q_n$ . Then  $pq = q_2 + \dots + q_n = \mathbf{1} - q_1$ . Thus  $pqp = (\mathbf{1} - q_1)p = p - pq_1 = p - \omega_1 q_1 = p$  and  $qpq = q(\mathbf{1} - q_1) = q - qq_1 = q$ .

(2) Put  $r = pq$ . Then  $r^2 = pqpq = pq = r$ , thus  $r\mathcal{A}$  is closed. But

$$r\mathcal{A} = pq\mathcal{A} \subseteq p\mathcal{A} = pqp\mathcal{A} \subseteq pq\mathcal{A} = r\mathcal{A},$$

hence  $p\mathcal{A} = r\mathcal{A}$ .

(3) Since  $r^2 = r$ , we have  $\mathcal{A} = r\mathcal{A} \oplus (\mathbf{1} - r)\mathcal{A} = p\mathcal{A} \oplus (\mathbf{1} - r)\mathcal{A}$ . It is easy to see that  $(\mathbf{1} - r)\mathcal{A} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : pa = 0\}$ .

(4) Let  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $p^2 a = 0$ . Then  $pa \in p\mathcal{A} \cap (\mathbf{1} - r)\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$ .

(5) It is clear that  $p^2\mathcal{A} \subseteq p\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $pqp = p$  and  $p \in \mathcal{A}^c$ , it follows that  $p\mathcal{A} = p^2 q\mathcal{A} \subseteq p^2\mathcal{A}$ . ■

### References

- [1] B. A. Barnes, G. J. Murphy, M. R. F. Smyth and T. T. West, *Riesz and Fredholm Theory in Banach Algebras*, Pitman, 1982.
- [2] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer, 1973.
- [3] H. Heuser, *Funktionalanalysis*, Teubner, 1986.
- [4] C. E. Rickart, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, Van Nostrand, 1960.
- [5] E. Vesentini, *Periodic entire functions and reducible Banach algebras*, in: *Several Complex Variables I* (College Park, MD, 1970), Springer, 1970, 203–211.

Mathematisches Institut I  
 Universität Karlsruhe  
 D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany  
 E-mail: gerd.herzog@math.uni-karlsruhe.de  
 christoph.schmoeger@math.uni-karlsruhe.de

Received November 14, 2003

(5311)