

## Character contractibility of Banach algebras and homological properties of Banach modules

by

RASOUL NASR-ISFAHANI and SIMA SOLTANI RENANI (Isfahan)

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\phi$  be a nonzero character on  $\mathcal{A}$ . We give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the left  $\phi$ -contractibility of  $\mathcal{A}$  as well as several hereditary properties. We also study relations between homological properties of some Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules, the left  $\phi$ -contractibility and the right  $\phi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Finally, we characterize the left character contractibility of various Banach algebras related to locally compact groups.

**1. Introduction.** A Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *amenable* if the first cohomology group  $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}^*)$  vanishes for all Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules  $\mathcal{X}$ . Johnson [J] showed that the amenability of the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  for a locally compact group  $G$  is equivalent to the amenability of  $G$ ; however, this equivalence does not remain true for the convolution semigroup algebra  $\ell^1(S)$  of a discrete semigroup  $S$ ; consider, for example the additive semigroup  $\mathbb{N}$  of natural numbers.

Motivated by these considerations, Lau [L] introduced and investigated a large class of Banach algebras which he called *F*-algebras; that is, Banach algebras  $\mathcal{L}$  which are the preduals of  $W^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{M}$  such that the identity element  $u$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  is a character on  $\mathcal{L}$ . Later, in [PI], *F*-algebras were termed *Lau algebras*. A Lau algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be *left amenable* if  $H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{X}^*) = \{0\}$  for all Banach  $\mathcal{L}$ -bimodules  $\mathcal{X}$  with the left action defined by  $l \cdot x = u(l)x$  for all  $l \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ; Lau [L] proved that  $\ell^1(S)$  is left amenable if and only if  $S$  is left amenable (see also Lau and Wong [LW]).

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  is the spectrum of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all characters from  $\mathcal{A}$  into the complex numbers. The Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *left  $\phi$ -amenable* if  $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}^*)$  vanishes for all Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules  $\mathcal{X}$  for which the left module action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46H25, 43A07; Secondary 22D15, 46H05.

*Key words and phrases*: Banach algebra, character amenable, character contractible, injectivity, projectivity, Banach left module, locally compact group.

defined by  $a \cdot x = \phi(a)x$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ). This concept of amenability has recently been introduced and studied by Kaniuth, Lau and Pym [KLP1], [KLP2] under the name of  $\phi$ -amenability.

The Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *left  $\phi$ -contractible* if  $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$  vanishes for any Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{X}$  for which the right module action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined by  $x \cdot a = \phi(a)x$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ); moreover,  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *left character contractible* if it is left  $\phi$ -contractible for all  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$ . These notions were recently introduced and studied by Hu, Monfared and Traynor [HMT] as right  $\phi$ -contractibility and right character contractibility respectively; see also Monfared [M1]. Let us point out that left  $\phi$ -contractibility is significantly stronger than left  $\phi$ -amenability.

On the other hand, there is a considerable progress in the study of homological properties of Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules defined by Helemskii [H2]; in particular, homological properties of several Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -modules have recently been described by several authors: see for example [BNS], [DP], and [NS].

In this paper, we study the relation between the left character contractibility of the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and homological properties of Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. In Section 2, we show that the left  $\phi$ -contractibility of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to the existence of a topological left invariant  $\phi$ -mean in  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is, an element  $m \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(m) = 1$  and  $am = \phi(a)m$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . We also characterize the left  $\phi$ -contractibility of  $\mathcal{A}$  in terms of derivations from  $\mathcal{A}$  into certain Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules.

In Section 3, we study hereditary properties of left  $\phi$ -contractibility. We then investigate the left  $\phi$ -contractibility and left character contractibility of unitizations, second duals and projective tensor products of Banach algebras.

In Section 4, we show that the projectivity of a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ) is equivalent to the left  $\phi$ -contractibility of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

In Section 5, we investigate the injectivity of a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ) in terms of the right  $\phi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We also show that the injectivity of a dual Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ) is equivalent to the right  $\phi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We then show that the injectivity of such Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules is a sufficient, but not necessary condition for the right  $\phi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Finally, in Section 6, we study the left character contractibility of several Banach algebras related to a locally compact group.

**2. Characterization of left  $\phi$ -contractibility.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. A *derivation* is a linear map  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that  $D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

the linear map  $\text{ad}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  defined by  $\text{ad}_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is a derivation. A derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is *inner* if there is  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $D = \text{ad}_x$ .

Let  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$  and recall from Hu, Monfared and Traynor [HMT] that  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible if  $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) = \{0\}$  for all Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules  $\mathcal{X}$  with  $x \cdot a = \phi(a)x$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , that is, every continuous derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{X}$  is inner.

Let us recall that the second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with the first Arens product  $\odot$  defined by the equations

$$(M \odot N)(f) = M(Nf), \quad (Nf)(a) = N(fa), \quad (fa)(b) = f(ab)$$

for all  $M, N \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  is a Banach algebra. It is well-known from Kaniuth, Lau and Pym [KLP1, Theorem 1.1] that the left  $\phi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to the existence of a *topological left invariant  $\phi$ -mean* in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , that is, a linear functional  $M$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  satisfying  $M(\phi) = 1$  and  $a \odot M = \phi(a)M$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Also, they proved that the left  $\phi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to the existence of a *bounded topological left invariant approximate  $\phi$ -mean* in  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is, a bounded net  $(a_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(a_\alpha) \rightarrow 1$  and  $\|aa_\alpha - \phi(a)a_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ; see [KLP1, Theorem 1.4].

Our first result characterizes the left  $\phi$ -contractibility of  $\mathcal{A}$  in terms of topological left invariant  $\phi$ -means in  $\mathcal{A}$ ; we shall frequently use it without explicit reference.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible.
- (ii) There is a topological left invariant  $\phi$ -mean in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Choose  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\phi(b) = 1$ . Note that  $\ker(\phi)$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule by taking the right action to be  $x \cdot a = \phi(a)x$  for all  $x \in \ker(\phi)$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and taking the left action to be the natural one. Then the formula  $D(a) = ab - ba$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  defines a derivation  $D$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\ker(\phi)$ . Therefore there is  $c \in \ker(\phi)$  such that  $D = \text{ad}_c$ . Now, consider  $m = b - c$  and note that  $\phi(m) = 1$  and  $am = \phi(a)m$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). We have to show that any continuous derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  with  $x \cdot a = \phi(a)x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is inner. To that end, choose a topological left invariant  $\phi$ -mean  $m$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and note that

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot D(m) - D(m) \cdot a &= D(a \cdot m) - D(a) \cdot m - D(m) \cdot a \\ &= \phi(a)D(m) - D(a)\phi(m) - \phi(a)D(m) = -D(a) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . This means that  $D = \text{ad}_{-D(m)}$  as required. ■

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1, we have the following result in which we consider the Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\ker(\phi)$  as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible.
- (ii) Any continuous derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \ker(\phi)$  is inner.

Let  $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a Banach algebra homomorphism and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule under the following module actions:

$$a \cdot b = \Theta(a)b, \quad b \cdot a = \phi(a)b \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}).$$

We denote the above Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule by  $\mathcal{B}_\phi^\Theta$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible.
- (ii) For every Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and every homomorphism  $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , any continuous derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\phi^\Theta$  is inner.
- (iii) For every Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and every injective homomorphism  $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , any continuous derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\phi^\Theta$  is inner.

*Proof.* The implications (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are trivial. We show that (iii) implies (i). Suppose that (iii) holds and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule with the right action  $x \cdot a = \phi(a)x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Also, let  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a continuous derivation. Consider the module extension Banach algebra  $\mathcal{X} \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$ , that is, the space  $\mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{A}$  endowed with the norm

$$\|(x, a)\| = \|x\| + \|a\|$$

and the product

$$(x, a) \cdot_1 (y, b) = (x \cdot b + a \cdot y, ab)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Obviously the map  $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$  defined by  $\Theta(a) = (0, a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is an injective Banach algebra homomorphism. Now, define  $D_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$  by  $D_1(a) = (D(a), 0)$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(ab) &= (D(ab), 0) = (\phi(b)D(a) + aD(b), 0) \\ &= \phi(b)D(a, 0) + (0, a) \cdot_1 (D(b), 0) \\ &= \phi(b)D_1(a) + \Theta(a) \cdot_1 D_1(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $D_1$  is a derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $(\mathcal{X} \oplus_1 \mathcal{A})_\phi^\Theta$ , and so  $D_1$  is inner by assumption. That is, there exist  $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $D_1 = \text{ad}_{(x_0, a_0)}$ . So, for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D(a), 0) &= \text{ad}_{(x_0, a_0)}(a) = \Theta(a) \cdot_1 (x_0, a_0) - \phi(a)(x_0, a_0) \\ &= (0, a) \cdot_1 (x_0, a_0) - \phi(a)(x_0, a_0) = (ax_0 - \phi(a)x_0, aa_0 - \phi(a)a_0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $D = \text{ad}_{x_0}$  and so for each Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{X}$  with the right action  $x \cdot a = \phi(a)x$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , any continuous derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is inner, that is,  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible. ■

**3. Hereditary properties of left  $\phi$ -contractibility.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$  the unitization of  $\mathcal{A}$  and by  $\phi^\sharp \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}^\sharp)$  the unique extension of  $\phi$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible if and only if  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$  is left  $\phi^\sharp$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible. Then there is a topological left invariant  $\phi$ -mean  $m \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $\phi^\sharp((m, 0)) = \phi(m) = 1$  and

$$(a, \lambda)(m, 0) = (am + \lambda m, 0) = \phi^\sharp(a, \lambda)(m, 0).$$

That is,  $(m, 0)$  is a topological left invariant  $\phi^\sharp$ -mean in  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$  is left  $\phi^\sharp$ -contractible. Then there exists a topological left invariant  $\phi^\sharp$ -mean  $(m, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}^\sharp$ , that is,

$$\phi^\sharp(m, \alpha) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (a, \lambda)(m, \alpha) = \phi^\sharp((a, \lambda))(m, \alpha)$$

for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . It follows that

$$\phi(a) + \alpha = 1, \quad \alpha a = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad ma = \phi(a)m.$$

This implies that  $m$  is a topological left invariant  $\phi$ -mean in  $\mathcal{A}$ . ■

It is well-known that  $\Delta(\mathcal{A}^\sharp) = \{\phi^\infty\} \cup \{\phi^\sharp : \phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})\}$ , where  $\phi^\infty(a, \lambda) = \lambda$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a right identity if and only if  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$  is left  $\phi^\infty$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a right identity  $e$ . Then for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  we have  $\phi^\infty(-e, 1) = 1$  and

$$(a, \lambda)(-e, 1) = (-ae - \lambda e + a, \lambda) = (-\lambda e, 1) = \phi^\infty(a, \lambda)(-e, 1).$$

Thus  $(-e, 1)$  is a topological left invariant  $\phi^\infty$ -mean in  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$ , and so  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$  is left  $\phi^\infty$ -contractible.

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$  is left  $\phi^\infty$ -contractible and choose a topological left invariant  $\phi^\infty$ -mean  $(m, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}^\sharp$ . Thus for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\phi^\infty((m, \alpha)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (a, \lambda)(m, \alpha) = \lambda(m, \alpha).$$

It follows that  $am + \alpha a = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$ . So,  $-m$  is a left identity for  $\mathcal{A}$ . ■

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is left character contractible if and only if  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$  is left character contractible.*

This result follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, and the following result from [HMT, Corollary 2.5] and [J, Proposition 1.5].

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. Then:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is left 0-amenable if and only if it has a bounded right approximate identity.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is left 0-contractible if and only if it has a right identity.

Now, let us give another characterization of the left character amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$  by the left character contractibility of its second dual.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -amenable.*

*Proof.* The “only if” part is trivial. For the converse, suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -amenable. Then there is  $M \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  such that  $M(\phi) = 1$  and  $a \odot M = \phi(a)M$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . By the continuity of the first Arens product, we have

$$M(\phi) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N \odot M = N(\phi)M$$

for all  $N \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Therefore  $M$  is a topological left invariant  $\phi$ -mean in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible. ■

We can now introduce a large family of Banach algebras on which left  $\phi$ -amenability coincides with left  $\phi$ -contractibility.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra which is a left or right ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -amenable.*

*Proof.* In view of Proposition 3.5, we only need to note that if  $M$  is a topological left invariant mean in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $a_0$  is an element of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\phi(a_0) = 1$ , then  $a_0 \odot M$  and  $M \odot a_0$  are topological left invariant means in  $\mathcal{A}$ . This means that  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible. ■

We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *left character amenable* if it is left  $\phi$ -amenable for all  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$ ; this is the same as right character amenability in [HMT] (see also [M2]).

It is well-known that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a bounded right approximate identity if and only if  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  has a right identity; see for example [BD, Proposition III.28.7]. So, as a consequence of Propositions 3.4 and 3.5, we have the following.

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. If  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is left character contractible, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is left character amenable.*

In Section 6, we will see that the converse of Corollary 3.7 is not valid. Our next result describes an interaction between the  $\phi$ -contractibility of a Banach algebra and of its closed ideals.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a closed two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\mathcal{I} \not\subseteq \ker(\phi)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{I}$  is left  $\phi|_{\mathcal{I}}$ -contractible.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible.

*Proof.* First, suppose that (i) holds. Then there exists an element  $m_0 \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(m_0) = 1$  and  $bm_0 = \phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(b)m_0$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Fix  $\iota_0 \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(\iota_0) = 1$  and set  $m := \iota_0 m_0$ . Now,  $\phi(m) = \phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(\iota_0 m_0) = 1$  and for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} am - \phi(a)m &= a\iota_0 m_0 - \phi(a)\iota_0 m_0 = (a\iota_0)m_0 - \phi(a)\phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(\iota_0)m_0 \\ &= \phi(a)\phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(\iota_0)m_0 - \phi(a)\phi(\iota_0)m_0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible.

Conversely, suppose that (ii) holds and let  $m \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that

$$\phi(m) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad am = \phi(a)m$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Choose  $\iota_0 \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\phi(\iota_0) = 1$  and define  $m_0 := \iota_0 m$ . Thus  $m_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $\phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(m_0) = \phi(\iota_0 m) = \phi(m) = 1$  and for each  $b \in \mathcal{I}$  we have

$$bm_0 - \phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(b)m_0 = b\iota_0 m - \phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(b)\iota_0 m = \phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(b)\phi(\iota_0)m - \phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(b)\phi(\iota_0)m = 0;$$

therefore  $\mathcal{I}$  is left  $\phi|_{\mathcal{I}}$ -contractible. ■

As a consequence of Proposition 3.8, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 3.9. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a left character contractible Banach algebra and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a closed two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is left character contractible if and only if it has a right identity.*

This result was also obtained by Hu, Monfared and Traynor [HMT, Lemma 6.8], with a different proof. Before we give our next result, note that if  $\mathcal{I}$  is a closed two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then there is a unique  $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I})$  with  $\psi \circ q = \phi$  if and only if  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \ker(\phi)$ , where  $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  is the canonical epimorphism.

PROPOSITION 3.10. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a closed two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \ker(\phi)$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{I}$  has a right identity and that  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  is  $\psi$ -contractible, where  $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I})$  with  $\psi \circ q = \phi$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\phi$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  is  $\psi$ -contractible, there exists  $n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\psi(n+\mathcal{I}) = 1$  and  $an + \mathcal{I} = \psi(a + \mathcal{I})n + \mathcal{I}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Set  $m := n - ne$ , where  $e$  is a right identity for  $\mathcal{I}$ . Since  $ne \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\phi(n) = 1$ , we have  $\phi(m) = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} am - \phi(a)m &= an - ane - \phi(a)n + \phi(a)ne \\ &= an - \phi(a)n - (an - \phi(a)n)e \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $an - \phi(a)n \in \mathcal{I}$ , it follows that  $am = \phi(a)m$ . That is,  $m$  is a topological left invariant mean in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and so  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\phi$ -contractible. ■

LEMMA 3.11. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be Banach algebras and  $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$ . If there is a continuous epimorphism  $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\psi \circ \Theta$ -contractible, then  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\psi$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* By assumption, there is  $m \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\psi(\Theta(m)) = 1$  and  $am = \psi(\Theta(a))m$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Define  $n := \Theta(m)$  and note that  $\psi(n) = 1$ . Also, for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\Theta(a) = b$ , and hence

$$bn - \psi(b)n = \Theta(a)\Theta(m) - \psi(\Theta(a))\Theta(m) = \Theta(am - \psi(\Theta(a))m) = 0.$$

So,  $n$  is a topological left invariant  $\psi$ -mean in  $\mathcal{B}$ ; hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\psi$ -contractible. ■

As a consequence of Lemma 3.11, we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.12. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a closed two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \ker(\phi)$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\phi$ -contractible. Then  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  is  $\psi$ -contractible, where  $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I})$  with  $\psi \circ q = \phi$ .*

Before we present our next result, let us remark that for each collection  $\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  of Banach algebras, we denote by  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$  the product space of the collection, i.e., the space consisting of all mappings  $a : \Gamma \rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$  for which  $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ , the linear operations being given coordinatewise. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we recall that the  $l^p$  direct sum of the collection is

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma}^p \mathcal{A}_\alpha = \left\{ a \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathcal{A}_\alpha : \|a\| = \left( \sum_{\alpha} \|a_\alpha\|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\},$$

and the  $c_0$  direct sum of the collection is

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma}^0 \mathcal{A}_\alpha = \left\{ a \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathcal{A}_\alpha : \|a\|_\infty = \max_{\alpha} \|a_\alpha\| < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{\alpha} a_\alpha = 0 \right\}.$$

The sum  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma}^p \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ ,  $p \geq 1$  or  $p = 0$ , is a Banach algebra with multiplication being defined coordinatewise. If  $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ , then  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda}^p \mathcal{A}_\alpha$  can be identified with the complemented closed ideal of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma}^p \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ , consisting of all  $a$  with  $a_\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \notin \Lambda$ . It is easy to see that

$$\Delta\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma}^p \mathcal{A}_\alpha\right) = \left\{ \phi_\beta^\oplus : \phi_\beta \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}_\beta), \beta \in \Gamma \right\},$$

where  $\phi_\beta^\oplus$  is defined by  $\phi_\beta^\oplus((a_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \phi_\beta(a_\beta)$  for all  $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma}^p \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ .

THEOREM 3.13. *Let  $(\mathcal{A}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  be a family of Banach algebras,  $\phi_\beta \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}_\beta)$  for some  $\beta \in \Gamma$ , and  $p = 0$  or  $p \geq 1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma}^p \mathcal{A}_\alpha$  is left  $\phi_\beta^\oplus$ -contractible if and only if  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  is left  $\phi_\beta$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* Since for each  $\beta \in \Gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  is a closed two-sided ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\phi_\beta^\oplus$  is the unique extension of  $\phi_\beta$ , the result follows from Proposition 3.8. ■



There is no analogue of the above theorem for left character contractibility. For example, the Banach algebra  $l^p = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^p \mathbb{C}$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , does not have a right identity, and so it is not left character contractible whereas  $\mathbb{C}$  is left character contractible.

Now, we study the relation between the left character contractibility of two Banach algebras and of their projective tensor product. As usual, we denote by  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  the projective tensor product of the Banach algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ ; we recall that for  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $g \in \mathcal{B}^*$ ,  $f \otimes g$  denotes the element of  $(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B})^*$  satisfying  $(f \otimes g)(a \otimes b) = f(a)g(b)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , and we note that  $\Delta(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}) = \{\phi \otimes \psi : \phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}), \psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})\}$ .

**THEOREM 3.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be Banach algebras,  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  is left  $\phi \otimes \psi$ -contractible if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible and  $\mathcal{B}$  is left  $\psi$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  is left  $\phi \otimes \psi$ -contractible. Then there is an element  $m \in \mathcal{A}$  with

$$\phi \otimes \psi(m) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (a \otimes b)m = \phi(a)\psi(b)m$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Now, we consider the linear map  $\Upsilon : \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  determined by  $\Upsilon(a \otimes b) = a\psi(b)$ . Then  $\Upsilon(m) \in \mathcal{A}$ . We show that  $\Upsilon(m)$  is a topological left invariant mean. To that end, choose  $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\phi(a_0) = 1$  and  $\psi(b_0) = 1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a\Upsilon(m) &= a\Upsilon((a_0 \otimes b_0)m) = \Upsilon(aa_0 \otimes b_0m) \\ &= \Upsilon(\phi(a)\phi(a_0)\psi(b_0)m) = \phi(a)\Upsilon(m). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since  $\phi \circ \Upsilon = \phi \otimes \psi$ , we have

$$\phi(\Upsilon(m)) = \phi \otimes \psi(m) = 1.$$

Similarly, there is a topological left invariant mean in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible and  $\mathcal{B}$  is left  $\psi$ -contractible. Then, by assumption, there is an element  $m_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  with

$$\phi(m_1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad m_1 = \phi(a)m_1$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, there is an element  $m_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\psi(m_2) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad bm_2 = \psi(b)m_2$$

for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Now, set  $m := m_1 \otimes m_2$  and note that

$$(\phi \otimes \psi)(m_1 \otimes m_2) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (a \otimes b)(m_1 \otimes m_2) = \phi(a)\psi(b)(m_1 \otimes m_2)$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ; it follows that  $w(m_1 \otimes m_2) = \phi \otimes \psi(w) m_1 \otimes m_2$  for all  $w \in \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  is left  $\phi \otimes \psi$ -contractible. ■

**COROLLARY 3.15.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be Banach algebras. Then  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  is left character contractible if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are left character contractible.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.14, it is sufficient to show that  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  is left 0-contractible if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are left 0-contractible. But this follows immediately from Proposition 3.4 together with the fact that  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  has a right identity if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  have right identities; see [LO, Theorem 1]. ■

**4. Left  $\phi$ -contractibility and projectivity.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{E}$  be a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. In this case, the dual  $\mathcal{E}^*$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  is a Banach right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with the action induced via  $\langle \Lambda \cdot a, \xi \rangle = \langle \Lambda, a \cdot \xi \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}^*$ . The Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  is called *faithful* if  $\mathcal{A} \cdot \xi \neq \{0\}$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{0\}$ ; it is called *essential* if the linear span of  $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{E}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then any Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  is faithful and essential.*

*Proof.* The result follows immediately from the assumption that  $a \cdot \xi = \xi$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\phi(a) = 1$ . ■

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be two Banach spaces, and denote by  $B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  the Banach space of all bounded operators from  $\mathcal{E}$  into  $\mathcal{F}$ . An operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is called *admissible* if  $T \circ S \circ T = T$  for some  $S \in B(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ . In the case where  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules,  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  denotes the closed linear subspace of  $B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  consisting of all left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module morphisms. An operator  $T \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is called a *retraction* if there exists  $S \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  with  $T \circ S = I_{\mathcal{F}}$ , and in this case  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a *retract* of  $\mathcal{E}$ ;  $T$  is a *coretraction* if there exists  $S \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  with  $S \circ T = I_{\mathcal{E}}$ .

A Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{P}$  is called *projective* if for any Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ , each admissible epimorphism  $T \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ , and each  $S \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F})$ , there exists  $R \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{E})$  such that  $T \circ R = S$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a Banach space, and let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}$  be endowed with the module operation determined by

$$a \cdot (b \otimes \xi) = ab \otimes \xi \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in \mathcal{E}).$$

So, we can define the canonical morphism  $\pi \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$  by  $\pi(a \otimes \xi) = a \cdot \xi$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ . The following characterization of projective Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules is given in [H2, Proposition IV.1.1].

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{E}$  be an essential Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is projective if and only if the canonical morphism  $\pi \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$  is a retraction.*

Our first result characterizes the projectivity of certain Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules in terms of the left  $\phi$ -contractibility of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) *Any Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  ( $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ) is projective.*
- (ii) *There is a projective Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  ( $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ).*
- (iii) *The Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $a \cdot z = \phi(a)z$  ( $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ) is projective.*
- (iv)  *$\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a projective Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and choose  $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}^*$  such that  $\Lambda(\xi_0) = 1$ . Define the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module morphism  $\gamma : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  by  $\gamma(z) = z\xi_0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . So,  $(\Lambda \circ \gamma)(z) = z$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , and therefore  $\mathbb{C}$  is a retract of  $\mathcal{E}$  as a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Thus  $\mathbb{C}$  is a projective Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module by [H2, Proposition III.1.16].

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Suppose that  $\mathbb{C}$  is a projective Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with  $a \cdot z = \phi(a)z$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then there exists  $\rho : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathcal{A}$  such that  $(\rho \circ \pi)(z) = z$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is canonical embedding. Define  $m := \rho(1) \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $m(\phi) = \phi(m) = \pi(\rho(1)) = 1$  and  $am = \rho(a \cdot 1) = \phi(a)m$ . So,  $m$  is a topological left invariant  $\phi$ -mean in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and thus  $\mathcal{A}$  is a left  $\phi$ -contractible.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that there is a topological left invariant mean  $m$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then for each Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ , the map  $\rho : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}$  with  $\rho(\xi) = m \otimes \xi$  ( $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ) has the following properties:

$$\rho(a \cdot \xi) = m \otimes a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)m \otimes \xi = am \otimes \xi = a \cdot \rho(\xi),$$

and

$$\pi \circ \rho(\xi) = \pi m \otimes \xi = \phi(m)\xi = \xi.$$

Therefore,  $\rho$  is a retraction of  $\pi$ , and so  $\mathcal{E}$  is a projective Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module by Proposition 4.2. ■

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $a \cdot b = \phi(a)b$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ) is projective if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible.*

**REMARK 4.5.** In view of Theorem 4.3, hereditary properties of  $\phi$ -contractibility of Banach algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  in Section 3 can be reformulated in terms of projectivity of Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. For example, Theorem 3.1 can be restated as follows.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ) is projective if and only if  $\mathcal{E}$  is projective

as a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$ -module such that

$$(a, \lambda) \cdot \xi = \phi^\sharp(a, \lambda)\xi \quad ((a, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}^\sharp, \xi \in \mathcal{E}).$$

**5. Right  $\phi$ -amenability and injectivity.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and recall that a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{J}$  is called *injective* if for any Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ , each admissible monomorphism  $T \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ , and each  $S \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{J})$ , there exists  $R \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}B(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{J})$  such that  $R \circ T = S$ . Similar definitions apply for Banach right  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. Note that each retraction of an injective Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module is injective by [H2, Proposition III.1.16].

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a Banach space. Then  $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule for the following two module operations:

$$(a \cdot T)(b) = T(ba), \quad (T \cdot a)(b) = T(ab)$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ ; see [D, Example 2.6.2(viii)]. Now, we can consider the canonical embedding  $\Pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$  defined by the formula

$$\Pi(\xi)(a) = a \cdot \xi \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in \mathcal{E}).$$

The following characterization of injective modules is given in [H2, Proposition III.1.31]:

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra, and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a faithful Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is injective if and only if the canonical embedding  $\Pi$  is a coretraction of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules.*

Note that injectivity can be characterized in terms of the functor  $\text{Ext}$  whose definition can be found in [H2]. Indeed, a left Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{J}$  is injective if and only if  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{J}) = \{0\}$  for each Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$ ; see [H2, Proposition III.4.5].

We are now ready to characterize the injectivity of some Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules by the right  $\phi$ -amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$ ; that is,  $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}^*)$  vanishes for every Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{X}$  with the right module action  $x \cdot a = \phi(a)x$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{X}$ ). Note that this concept is equivalent to the existence of an element  $M$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  such that  $M(\phi) = 1$  and  $M \odot a = \phi(a)M$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ; such an element  $M$  is called a *topological right invariant  $\phi$ -mean*.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *Any dual Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  ( $\xi \in \mathcal{E}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ ) is injective.*
- (ii) *The Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $a \cdot z = \phi(a)z$  ( $z \in \mathbb{C}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ ) is injective.*
- (iii) *There is an injective Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  ( $\xi \in \mathcal{E}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ ).*
- (iv)  *$\mathcal{A}$  is right  $\phi$ -amenable.*

*Proof.* The implications (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are trivial.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Suppose that (iii) holds, and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be as in (iii). Then there is a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module morphism  $\rho : B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  such that  $\rho \circ \Pi = I_{\mathcal{E}}$ . For each  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , let  $T_f \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$  be defined by  $T_f(a) = f(a)\xi_0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\xi_0$  is a fixed nonzero element of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Now, define the functional  $M \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  by  $M(f) = (\Lambda_0 \circ \rho)(T_f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , where  $\Lambda_0$  is an element of  $\mathcal{E}^*$  with  $\Lambda_0(\xi_0) = 1$ . Then

$$M(\phi) = (\Lambda_0 \circ \rho)(T_\phi) = (\Lambda_0 \circ \rho)(\Pi(\xi_0)) = \Lambda_0(\xi_0) = 1.$$

Also, for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , we have  $T_{af} = a \cdot T_f$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} (M \odot a)(f) &= M(af) = (\Lambda_0 \circ \rho)(a \cdot T_f) = \Lambda_0(a \cdot \rho(T_f)) \\ &= \phi(a)\Lambda_0(\rho(T_f)) = \phi(a)M(f), \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $M$  is a topological right invariant  $\phi$ -mean in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , and so (iv) holds.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that (iv) holds and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a dual Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ . To prove that  $\mathcal{E}$  is injective, it is sufficient to show  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}) = \{0\}$  for all Banach right  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules  $\mathcal{F}$ . To that end, choose a Banach right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_*$  with  $z \cdot a = \phi(a)z$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{E}_*$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $(\mathcal{E}_*)^* = \mathcal{E}$ . Then for each Banach right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}) = H^1(\mathcal{A}, B(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})) = H^1(\mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{F} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_*)^*) = H^1(\mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{E}_* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{F})^*).$$

Moreover,  $\mathcal{E}_* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule with

$$(z \otimes y) \cdot a = \phi(a)(z \otimes y)$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{E}_*$  and  $y \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is right  $\phi$ -amenable, it follows that  $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}^*) = \{0\}$  for all Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules  $\mathcal{X}$  with  $a \cdot x = \phi(a)x$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ; in particular,  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}) = \{0\}$  as required. ■

**COROLLARY 5.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{A}^*$  with  $a \cdot f = \phi(a)f$  ( $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ) is injective if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is right  $\phi$ -amenable.*

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a closed two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{I} \not\subseteq \ker(\phi)$ . Then a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ) is injective if and only if  $\mathcal{E}$  is injective as a Banach left  $\mathcal{I}$ -module with  $i \cdot \xi = \phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(i)\xi$  ( $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ).*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}$  is injective as a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then by Proposition 5.1, there is a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module morphism  $\rho : B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  such that  $\rho \circ \Pi = I_{\mathcal{E}}$ . For each  $T \in B(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})$ , let  $\tilde{T} \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$  be defined by  $\tilde{T}(a) = T(\iota_0 a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\iota_0$  is a fixed nonzero element of  $\mathcal{I}$  such that  $\phi(\iota_0) = 1$ . Also, define the left  $\mathcal{I}$ -module morphism  $\tilde{\rho} : B(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  by the formula  $\tilde{\rho}(T) = \rho(\tilde{T})$

for all  $T \in B(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})$ . Since  $\tilde{\Pi}(\xi) = \Pi(\xi)$  and  $(i \cdot T)^\sim = i \cdot \tilde{T}$ , for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $T \in B(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})$ , we have

$$\tilde{\rho}(i \cdot T) = i \cdot \tilde{\rho}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\rho} \circ \tilde{\Pi} = I_{\mathcal{E}},$$

where  $\tilde{\Pi} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})$  is the canonical embedding. Thus  $\mathcal{E}$  is injective as a Banach left  $\mathcal{I}$ -module such that  $i \cdot \xi = \phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(i)\xi$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{E}$  is injective as a Banach left  $\mathcal{I}$ -module such that  $i \cdot \xi = \phi|_{\mathcal{I}}(i)\xi$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then by Proposition 5.1, there is a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module morphism  $\rho : B(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  such that  $\rho \circ \Pi = I_{\mathcal{E}}$ . We define the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module morphism  $\tilde{\rho} : B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  by the formula  $\tilde{\rho}(T) = \rho(T_{\mathcal{I}})$  for all  $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ . Since  $\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathcal{I}}(\xi) = \Pi(\xi)$  and  $i \cdot T_{\mathcal{I}} = (i \cdot T)_{\mathcal{I}} = T(ia)$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ , we have

$$\tilde{\rho}(a \cdot T) = a \cdot \tilde{\rho}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\rho} \circ \tilde{\Pi} = I_{\mathcal{E}},$$

where  $\tilde{\Pi} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$  is the canonical embedding. Therefore by Proposition 5.1,  $\mathcal{E}$  is injective as a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module such that  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ . ■

As a consequence of Theorem 5.4, we have the following result.

**COROLLARY 5.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ) is injective if and only if  $\mathcal{E}$  is injective as a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}^\sharp$ -module with  $(a, \lambda) \cdot \xi = \phi^\sharp(a, \lambda)\xi$  ( $(a, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}^\sharp$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ).*

Note that a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is right  $\phi$ -amenable if any Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  is injective; this follows from Theorem 5.2. We note that this is an “if and only if” statement for certain Banach algebras. First, we state another result on those Banach algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  which are right  $\phi$ -contractible; that is,  $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) = \{0\}$  for every Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{X}$  with the left module action  $a \cdot x = \phi(a)x$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**LEMMA 5.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is right  $\phi$ -contractible, then any Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is right  $\phi$ -contractible. Then by a similar proof to that of Theorem 2.1, there exists  $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\phi(a_0) = 1$  and  $a_0a = \phi(a)a_0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Define  $\rho : B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  by  $\rho(T) = T(a_0)$  for all  $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ . Then for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\rho(a \cdot T) = (a.T)(a_0) = T(a_0a) = \phi(a)T(a_0) = a \cdot \rho(T)$$

and

$$(\rho \circ \Pi)(\xi) = \Pi(\xi)(a_0) = \phi(a_0)\xi = \xi.$$

Therefore by Proposition 5.1,  $\mathcal{E}$  is injective. ■

As a consequence of Corollary 3.6 and Lemma 5.6, we have the following result.

**THEOREM 5.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra which is a left or right ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is right  $\phi$ -amenable if and only if any Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module such that  $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  is injective.*

The following example shows that Theorem 5.7 does not remain valid for all Banach algebras.

**EXAMPLE 5.8.** Let  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  denote the convolution semigroup algebra of the additive semigroup  $\mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\phi_{\mathbb{N}} \in \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}))$  be defined by  $\phi_{\mathbb{N}}((a_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  for all  $(a_n) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ . Then  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  is right  $\phi_{\mathbb{N}}$ -amenable. But we shall show that  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ , the space of null sequences, is not injective as a Banach left  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ -module with the action

$$(a_n) \cdot (g_n) = \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m g_n \right)$$

for all  $(a_n) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  and  $(g_n) \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$ . On the contrary, suppose that  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$  is an injective Banach left  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ -module. Then by Proposition 5.1, there exists a left  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ -module morphism  $\rho : B(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}), c_0(\mathbb{N})) \rightarrow c_0(\mathbb{N})$  such that  $\rho \circ \Pi = I_{c_0(\mathbb{N})}$ , where  $\Pi : c_0(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow B(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}), c_0(\mathbb{N}))$  is the canonical embedding defined by  $\Pi((g_n))((a_n)) = (a_n) \cdot (g_n)$  for all  $(g_n) \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$  and  $(a_n) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ .

Now, let  $P : \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow B(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}), c_0(\mathbb{N}))$  be the continuous map given by the formulae

$$P((f_n))((a_n)) = \left( \left( \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m \right) f_n \right)_n,$$

for all  $(f_n) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  and  $(a_n) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ , where  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  is the space of bounded sequences.

We show that the map  $\rho \circ P$  is a projection of  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  onto  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ , which contradicts [H1, Theorem 0.1.16]. To that end, it suffices to show that  $\rho \circ P$  is the identity map on  $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ , the space of sequences with finite support. Take  $(h_n) \in c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$  and define  $T_0 = P((h_n)) - \Pi((h_n))$ . If  $l$  is a natural number with  $h_n = 0$  for all  $n > l$ , then we define  $(b_n) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  by

$$b_n = \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \leq n \leq l, \\ -1, & l + 1 \leq n \leq 2l, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\phi_{\mathbb{N}}((b_n)) = 0$  and  $T_0 = (b_n) \cdot S$ , where  $S : \ell^1(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow c_0(\mathbb{N})$  is defined by  $S((a_n)) = (a_n h_n)$  for all  $(a_n) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ . Therefore

$$\rho(T_0) = \rho((b_n) \cdot S) = \phi_{\mathbb{N}}((b_n))\rho(S) = 0.$$

That is,  $\rho \circ P = \rho \circ \Pi$  as required.

**6. Applications to group algebras.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with left Haar measure  $\lambda_G$  and let  $L^1(G)$  be the group algebra of  $G$  as defined in [HR] endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and the convolution product  $*$ . Let  $L^\infty(G)$  be the usual Lebesgue space with the essential supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ , and let  $M(G)$  be the measure algebra of  $G$  as defined in [HR]. Let  $X$  be a *left introverted* subspace of  $L^\infty(G)$ , i.e.,  $Ff, fh \in X$  for all  $F \in X^*$ ,  $f \in X$  and  $h \in L^1(G)$ , where

$$(Ff)(h) = F(fh) \quad \text{and} \quad (fh)(k) = f(h * k)$$

for all  $k \in L^1(G)$ . In this case,  $X^*$  is a Banach algebra with the first Arens multiplication  $\odot$  defined by  $(F \odot H)(f) = F(Hf)$  for all  $F, H \in X^*$  and  $f \in X$ . Examples of closed left introverted subspace of  $L^\infty(G)$  include the space  $LUC(G)$  of all left uniformly continuous functions on  $G$  and the space  $L_0^\infty(G)$  of all  $f \in L^\infty(G)$  which vanish at infinity; this space was introduced and extensively studied by Lau and Pym in [LP].

Let  $\widehat{G}$  denote the dual group of  $G$  consisting of all continuous homomorphisms from  $G$  into the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$ . For  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ , define  $\phi_\rho$  to be the character induced by  $\rho$  on  $L^1(G)$ , that is,

$$\phi_\rho(h) = \int_G \overline{\rho(s)} h(s) d\lambda_G(s) \quad (h \in L^1(G)).$$

Note that there is always at least one character on  $L^1(G)$ , namely, the augmentation character  $\phi_1$ . It is well-known that there is no other character on  $L^1(G)$ ; that is,

$$\Delta(L^1(G)) = \{\phi_\rho : \rho \in \widehat{G}\};$$

see for example [HR, Theorem 23.7]. Let  $\phi_\rho$  also denote the natural extensions of  $\phi_\rho$  from  $L^1(G)$  to a character on any one of the Banach algebras  $M(G)$ ,  $L_0^\infty(G)^*$ ,  $LUC(G)^*$  and  $L^1(G)^{**}$ . Finally, let us recall that  $G$  is called *amenable* if  $L^1(G)$  is  $\phi_1$ -amenable; it is well-known that all locally compact abelian groups and compact groups are amenable, but the free group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  on two generators is not amenable; see [R] for more details.

**THEOREM 6.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L^1(G)$  is left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible.
- (ii)  $M(G)$  is left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible.
- (iii)  $L_0^\infty(G)^*$  is left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible.
- (iv)  $G$  is compact.

*Proof.* It is well-known that  $L^1(G)$  is a closed two-sided ideal in  $L_0^\infty(G)^*$  and  $M(G)$ ; see [LP, Proposition 2.2] and [HR, Theorem 19.18]. So, the equivalences (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) are trivial by Proposition 3.8.



Now, suppose that (i) holds. Then there exists  $h_0 \in L^1(G)$  such that  $\phi_\rho(h_0) = 1$  and  $h * h_0 = \phi_\rho(h)h_0$  for all  $h \in L^1(G)$ . So,  $\bar{\rho}h_0 \in L^1(G)$ ,  $\phi_1(\bar{\rho}h_0) = 1$  and  $h * \bar{\rho}h_0 = \phi_1(h)\bar{\rho}h_0$  for all  $h \in L^1(G)$ . It follows that  $\bar{\rho}h_0$  is a topological left invariant  $\phi_1$ -mean in  $L^1(G)$ , and hence  $G$  is compact; see for example [R, Exercise 1.1.7]. Conversely, suppose that  $G$  is a compact group endowed with a normalized left Haar measure. Then  $\rho \in L^1(G)$ ,  $\phi_\rho(\rho) = 1$  and  $h * \rho = \phi_\rho(h)\rho$  for all  $h \in L^1(G)$ . Therefore  $L^1(G)$  is left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible. ■

**COROLLARY 6.2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L^1(G)$  is left character contractible.
- (ii)  $M(G)$  is left character contractible.
- (iii)  $L_0^\infty(G)^*$  is left character contractible.
- (iv)  $G$  is finite.

*Proof.* Suppose that (i) holds. By Proposition 3.4,  $L^1(G)$  has a right identity. So,  $G$  is discrete; see [HR, Theorem 20.25]. Therefore  $L_0^\infty(G)^* = M(G) = L^1(G)$  and thus (ii) and (iii) hold. So, the equivalences (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) follow from Corollaries 3.7 and 3.9.

The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) follows from Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 6.1. ■

**COROLLARY 6.3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L^1(G)^{**}$  is left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible.
- (ii)  $LUC(G)^*$  is left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible.
- (iii)  $G$  is amenable.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $L^1(G)^{**}$  is left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible and note that the restriction map  $\Theta : L^1(G)^{**} \rightarrow LUC(G)^*$  is a continuous epimorphism. This together with Lemma 3.11 implies that  $LUC(G)^*$  is  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible. Now, suppose that (ii) holds. Then there is an  $M \in LUC(G)^*$  such that  $M(\phi_\rho) = 1$  and  $N \odot M = N(\phi_\rho)M$  for all  $N \in LUC(G)^*$ . Define  $M_\rho(f) := M(f_\rho)$  for all  $f \in LUC(G)$ , where  $f_\rho(s) = f(s)\overline{\rho(s)}$  for  $s \in G$ . Then  $f_\rho \in LUC(G)$ , and therefore  $M_\rho$  is well-defined. We also have

$$M_\rho(\phi_1) = M(\phi_\rho) = 1.$$

Indeed,  $\phi_{1_\rho}(s) = \phi_1(s)\bar{\rho}(s) = \phi_\rho(s)$ . On the other hand, for each  $h \in L^1(G)$  and  $f \in LUC(G)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h \odot M_\rho)(f) &= M_\rho(fh) = M((fh)_\rho) = (\rho h \odot M)(f_\rho) \\ &= \phi_\rho(\rho h)M_\rho(f) = \phi_1(h)M_\rho(f). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $M_\rho$  is a topological left invariant mean on  $LUC(G)$  and so  $G$  is amenable by [R, Lemma 1.1.7 and Theorem 1.1.9].

Now, suppose that  $G$  is amenable. Then  $L^1(G)$  is left  $\phi_\rho$ -amenable by [M2, Corollary 2.4]. So, Proposition 3.5 shows that  $L^1(G)^{**}$  is left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible. ■

REMARK 6.4. As in [LL, Corollary 4.4], it would be interesting to characterize the left  $\phi$ -contractibility on  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , the dual Banach algebra of an introverted subspace  $\mathcal{X}$  of the space of essentially bounded functions on  $G$ ; see also [LL, Theorem 3.9].

COROLLARY 6.5. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L^1(G)^{**}$  is left character contractible.
- (ii)  $LUC(G)^*$  is left character contractible.
- (iii)  $G$  is finite.

*Proof.* Suppose that (i) holds and note that the restriction map from  $L^1(G)^{**}$  onto  $LUC(G)^*$  is a continuous epimorphism. This together with [HMT, Lemma 6.8] implies that  $LUC(G)^*$  is character contractible. Now, suppose that (ii) holds. Then the restriction map from  $LUC(G)^*$  onto  $M(G)$  is a continuous epimorphism. Therefore, [HMT, Lemma 6.8] implies that  $M(G)$  is character contractible, and so  $G$  is finite by Corollary 6.2.

If  $G$  is finite, it is trivial that  $L^1(G)^{**}$  is left character contractible. ■

In the following, let  $A(G)$  denote the Fourier algebra of  $G$  as defined in [E], and recall the well-known fact that  $\Delta(A(G)) = \{\phi_s : s \in G\}$ , where  $\phi_s(k) = k(s)$  for all  $k \in A(G)$ .

PROPOSITION 6.6. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $s \in G$ . Then  $A(G)$  is left  $\phi_s$ -contractible if and only if  $G$  is discrete.*

*Proof.* First, suppose that  $A(G)$  is left  $\phi_s$ -contractible. Therefore there exists  $m \in A(G)$  such that  $m(\phi_s) = 1$  and  $k(t)m(t) = k(s)m(t)$  for all  $x \in G$ . Thus  $k = k(s)\chi_{\text{coz}(m)}$  for all  $k \in A(G)$ . This shows that  $m = \chi_{\{s\}}$ . Since  $m \in A(G)$ , it follows that  $G$  is discrete. For the converse, suppose that  $G$  is discrete. Then  $\chi_{\{s\}}$  is an element of  $A(G)$  with  $\phi_s(\chi_{\{s\}}) = 1$  and  $k\chi_{\{s\}} = \phi_s(k)\chi_{\{s\}}$  for all  $k \in A(G)$ . This means  $A(G)$  is  $\phi_s$ -contractible. ■

COROLLARY 6.7. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $A(G)$  is left character contractible if and only if  $G$  is finite.*

*Proof.* We only need to recall from Propositions 3.4 and 6.6 that  $A(G)$  is left character contractible if and only if  $G$  is discrete and  $A(G)$  has a right identity; that is,  $G$  is finite. ■

To prepare for the setting in our last results, set

$$\mathcal{L}A(G) := L^1(G) \cap A(G)$$

and define

$$\|h\| := \|h\|_1 + \|h\|_{A(G)} \quad (h \in \mathcal{L}A(G)).$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is a Banach space; this space was introduced and extensively studied by Ghahramani and Lau [GL].

We recall that  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  with the convolution product is a Banach algebra and it is a dense left ideal of  $L^1(G)$  such that  $\|h * k\| \leq \|h\|_1 \|k\|$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{L}A(G)$  and  $h \in L^1(G)$ . Ghahramani and Lau [GL] called  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  endowed with convolution product the *Lebesgue–Fourier algebra* of  $G$ .

PROPOSITION 6.8. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ . If the Lebesgue–Fourier algebra  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  is  $\phi_\rho|_{\mathcal{L}A(G)}$ -contractible, then  $G$  is compact.*

*Proof.* First note that  $\phi_\rho$  is linear and multiplicative on  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  and we have

$$|\phi_\rho(h)| \leq \|\phi_\rho\| \|h\|_1 \leq \|\phi_\rho\| \|h\|$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{L}A(G)$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  is dense in  $L^1(G)$ ,  $\phi_\rho|_{\mathcal{L}A(G)}$  is a nonzero character on  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  is a left ideal of  $L^1(G)$  and  $\|h\|_1 \leq \|h\|$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{L}A(G)$ , an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 3.8 shows that  $L^1(G)$  is  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible, and so  $G$  is compact by Theorem 6.1. ■

COROLLARY 6.9. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then the Lebesgue–Fourier algebra  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  is character contractible if and only if  $G$  is finite.*

*Proof.* The result follows immediately from Proposition 6.8 and the fact that  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  has an identity if and only if  $G$  is discrete. ■

Let us recall from [GL] that  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  with the pointwise product is a Banach algebra which is a dense ideal of  $A(G)$  and  $\|k \cdot h\| \leq \|k\|_{A(G)} \|h\|$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{L}A(G)$  and  $k \in A(G)$ .

PROPOSITION 6.10. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  endowed with the pointwise product is character contractible if and only if  $G$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  endowed with the pointwise product is character contractible. First note that  $\phi \in \Delta(A(G))$  is linear and multiplicative on  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  and we have

$$|\phi(h)| \leq \|\phi\| \|h\|_1 \leq \|\phi\| \|h\|$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{L}A(G)$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  is dense in  $A(G)$ ,  $\phi|_{\mathcal{L}A(G)}$  is a nonzero character on  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$ . Now, since  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  is an ideal of  $A(G)$  and  $\|h\|_1 \leq \|h\|$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{L}A(G)$ , the same argument in the proof of Lemma 3.8 shows that  $A(G)$  is  $\phi$ -contractible, and so  $G$  is discrete by Proposition 6.6. It is

well-known that  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  endowed with the pointwise product has an identity if and only if  $G$  is compact; see [GL, Proposition 2.6]. Therefore the result follows from Theorem 3.8.

Conversely, if  $G$  is finite, then  $A(G)$  is character contractible by Corollary 6.7, and hence  $\mathcal{L}A(G) = A(G)$ . Thus the norms  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{A(G)}$  are equivalent on  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  by the open mapping theorem, and so  $\mathcal{L}A(G)$  is character contractible. ■

We conclude the paper by the following result on the Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -module  $L^1(G)$  which does not remain valid for all Banach algebras; see Example 5.8.

**COROLLARY 6.11.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $L^1(G)$  is injective as a Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -module such that  $h \cdot k = \phi_1(h)k$  for all  $h, k \in L^1(G)$  if and only if  $G$  is amenable.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.2, the “only if” part is clear. Now suppose that  $G$  is amenable. Then  $L^1(G)$  is right  $\phi_1$ -amenable. So,  $M(G)$  is injective as a Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -module with  $h \cdot \mu = \phi_1(h)\mu$  for all  $\mu \in M(G)$  and  $h \in L^1(G)$ , by Theorem 5.2. We also know  $L^1(G)$  is a retract of  $M(G)$ ; thus,  $L^1(G)$  is injective as a Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -module. ■

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Professor Anthony To-Ming Lau for his comments on the manuscript. They would also like to thank the Center of Excellence for Mathematics at the Isfahan University of Technology.

## References

- [BNS] F. Bahrami, R. Nasr-Isfahani and S. Soltani Renani, *Homological properties of certain Banach modules over group algebras*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., to appear.
- [BD] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [D] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. 24, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [DP] H. G. Dales and M. E. Polyakov, *Homological properties of modules over group algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 89 (2004), 390–426.
- [E] P. Eymard, *L’algèbre de Fourier d’un groupe localement compacte*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 92 (1964), 181–236.
- [GL] F. Ghahramani and A. T. Lau, *Approximate weak amenability, derivations and Arens regularity of Segal algebras*, Studia Math. 169 (2005), 189–205.
- [H1] A. Ya. Helemskii, *Banach and Locally Convex Algebras*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1993.
- [H2] —, *The Homology of Banach and Topological Algebras*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [HR] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis II*, Springer, New York, 1970.

- [HMT] Z. Hu, M. S. Monfared and T. Traynor, *On character amenable Banach algebras*, *Studia Math.* 193 (2009), 53–78.
- [J] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (1972).
- [KLP1] E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau, and J. Pym, *On  $\phi$ -amenability of Banach algebras*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 144 (2008), 85–96.
- [KLP2] —, —, —, *On character amenability of Banach algebras*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 344 (2008), 942–955.
- [L] A. T. Lau, *Analysis on a class of Banach algebras with application to harmonic analysis on locally compact groups and semigroups*, *Fund. Math.* 118 (1983), 161–175.
- [LL] A. T. Lau and R. J. Loy, *Weak amenability of Banach algebras on locally compact groups*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 145 (1997), 175–204.
- [LP] A. T. Lau and J. Pym, *Concerning the second dual of the group algebra of a locally compact group*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 41 (1990), 445–460.
- [LW] A. T. Lau and J. C. S. Wong, *Invariant subspaces for algebras of linear operators and amenable locally compact groups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102 (1988), 581–586.
- [LO] R. J. Loy, *Identities in tensor products of Banach algebras*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 2 (1970), 253–260.
- [M1] M. S. Monfared, *On certain products of Banach algebras with applications to harmonic analysis*, *Studia Math.* 178 (2007), 277–294.
- [M2] —, *Character amenability of Banach algebras*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 144 (2008), 697–706.
- [NS] R. Nasr-Isfahani and S. Soltani Renani, *On homological properties for some modules of uniformly continuous functions over convolution algebras*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [P] A. T. Paterson, *Amenability*, *Math. Surveys Monogr.* 29, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [PI] J. P. Pier, *Amenable Banach Algebras*, *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.* 172, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1988.
- [R] V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability*, Springer, New York, 2002.

Rasoul Nasr-Isfahani, Sima Soltani Renani  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Isfahan University of Technology  
Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran  
E-mail: isfahani@cc.iut.ac.ir  
simasoltani@math.iut.ac.ir

Received January 29, 2010

(6803)

