

Deformation of involution and multiplication in a C^* -algebra

by

H. NAJAFI and M. S. MOSLEHIAN (Mashhad)

Abstract. We investigate the deformations of involution and multiplication in a unital C^* -algebra when its norm is fixed. Our main result is to present all multiplications and involutions on a given C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} under which \mathcal{A} is still a C^* -algebra when we keep the norm unchanged. For each invertible element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we also introduce an involution and a multiplication making \mathcal{A} into a C^* -algebra in which a becomes a positive element. Further, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the center of a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} to be trivial.

1. Introduction. A C^* -algebra is a complex Banach $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} satisfying $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$). By the Gelfand–Naimark theorem, a C^* -algebra is a norm closed $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . A strongly closed $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ containing the identity operator is called a *von Neumann algebra*. By the double commutant theorem a unital $*$ -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra if and only if \mathcal{A} is equal to its double commutant \mathcal{A}^{cc} , where $\mathcal{A}^c = \{B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) : AB = BA \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. By Sakai's characterization of von Neumann algebras, \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra if and only if it is a W^* -algebra, i.e. a C^* -algebra which is the norm dual of a Banach space \mathcal{A}_* . Throughout the paper, \mathcal{A} denotes an arbitrary C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ stands for its center.

For a self-adjoint element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $r(a) = \|a\|$, where $r(a)$ denotes the spectral radius of \mathcal{A} . This implies that the norm of a C^* -algebra is uniquely determined when we fix the involution and the multiplication. Indeed, if \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra under two norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$, then

$$\|a\|_1 = \|a^*a\|_1^{1/2} = r(a^*a)^{1/2} = \|a^*a\|_2^{1/2} = \|a\|_2 \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Bohnenblust and Karlin [BK] showed that there is at most one involution on a Banach algebra with unit 1 making it into a C^* -algebra (see also [R]): Let $*$ and $\#$ be two involutions on a unital Banach algebra \mathcal{A} making it

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46L05; Secondary 46L10.

Key words and phrases: C^* -algebra, von Neumann algebra, involution, multiplication, positive element, center, double commutant.

into C^* -algebras. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Since “an element x of a unital C^* -algebra is self-adjoint if and only if $\tau(x)$ is real for every bounded linear functional τ on \mathcal{A} with $\|\tau\| = \tau(1) = 1$ ” ([KR1, Proposition 4.3.3]), it follows that x is self-adjoint with respect to $*$ if and only if it is self-adjoint with respect to $\#$. Now let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary and $a = a_1 + ia_2$ with self-adjoint parts a_1, a_2 with respect to $*$. Then $a_1^* = a_1^\#$ and $a_2^* = a_2^\#$ and $a^* = a_1 - ia_2 = a^\#$.

There is also another way to show the uniqueness of the involution. Indeed, if $*$ and $\#$ are two involutions on a unital Banach algebra \mathcal{A} making it into C^* -algebras, then the identity map from $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ onto $(\mathcal{A}, \#)$ is positive (see [P, Proposition 2.11]) and so $a^* = a^\#$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

There are several characterizations of C^* -algebras among involutive Banach algebras (see [DT] in which the authors start with a C^* -algebra and modify its structure). We however investigate a different problem in the same setting. In fact we investigate the deformations of involution and multiplication in a unital C^* -algebra when its norm is fixed. Our main result is to present all multiplications \circ and involutions \star on a given C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} under which \mathcal{A} is still a C^* -algebra when we keep the norm unchanged. As an application, for each invertible element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we introduce an involution and a multiplication making \mathcal{A} into a C^* -algebra in which a becomes a positive element. Further, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the center of a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} to be trivial.

Recall that a *Jordan $*$ -homomorphism* is a self-adjoint map preserving squares of self-adjoint operators. Jacobson and Rickart [JR] showed that for every Jordan $*$ -homomorphism ρ of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} with unit 1 into a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{B} there exist central projections $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\rho(1) = p_1 + p_2$ and $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$, where $\rho_1(a) = \rho(a)p_1$ is a $*$ -homomorphism and $\rho_2(a) = \rho(a)p_2$ is a $*$ -antihomomorphism. Kadison [K] showed that an isometry of a unital C^* -algebra onto another C^* -algebra is a Jordan $*$ -isomorphism.

2. Results.

We start this section with the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra of operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $p \in \mathcal{A}$ be a central projection and $u \in \mathcal{A}$ be a unitary. Let \circ be the multiplication and \star be the involution defined on \mathcal{A} by*

$$(2.1) \quad a \circ b = paub + (1 - p)bua \quad \text{and} \quad a^\star = u^* a^* u^*$$

for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Then \mathcal{A} equipped with the multiplication \circ and the involution \star is a unital C^ -algebra.*

Proof. It is easy to check that \mathcal{A} is a complex Banach algebra under the multiplication \circ , and u^* is the unit for this multiplication. By the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = p\mathcal{H} \oplus (1 - p)\mathcal{H}$, we can represent any element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ as the 2×2

matrix $\begin{pmatrix} pa & 0 \\ 0 & (1-p)a \end{pmatrix}$. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, therefore $pa + (1-p)b$ can be identified with $\begin{pmatrix} pa & 0 \\ 0 & (1-p)b \end{pmatrix}$, whence $\|pa + (1-p)b\| = \max(\|pa\|, \|(1-p)b\|)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|a^* \circ a\| &= \|pu^*a^*a + (1-p)aa^*u^*\| = \max(\|pa^*a\|, \|aa^*(1-p)\|) \\ &= \max(\|pa\|^2, \|(1-p)a\|^2) = \max(\|pa\|, \|(1-p)a\|)^2 = \|a\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. ■

The unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} equipped with the multiplication \circ and the involution \star is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$. Next we establish a converse of Lemma 2.1.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra of operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and suppose there exist a multiplication \circ and an involution \star on the normed space \mathcal{A} making it into a C^* -algebra. Then there exists a unitary element $u \in \mathcal{A}$ and a central projection p in the double commutant \mathcal{A}^{cc} of \mathcal{A} such that both equalities (2.1) hold.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{A} is unital, the closed unit ball of \mathcal{A} has an extreme point, hence the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$ is unital. Since $\iota(x) = x$ is an isometric linear map of \mathcal{A} onto $\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$, the unitary elements of $\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$ and those of \mathcal{A} coincide [KR2, Exercise 7.6.17]. Thus if u^* is the unit of $\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$, then u is a unitary of \mathcal{A} . Define $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$ by $\rho(a) = u^*a$. Clearly ρ is a unital isometric linear map of \mathcal{A} onto $\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$. Hence ρ is a positive map. This implies that $u^*a^* = \rho(a^*) = (u^*a)^*$ and so $a^* = u^*a^*u^*$.

To determine the multiplication, define a multiplication \diamond on \mathcal{A}^{cc} (with respect to the original multiplication) by (2.1) with $p = 1$. Then \mathcal{A}^{cc} with the multiplication \diamond is a C^* -algebra. As a Banach space, \mathcal{A}^{cc} is already the dual of a Banach space, so with the new product and the new involution it is a von Neumann algebra. Then the map $\rho(x) = x$ is a unital isometric linear map of $\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$ into the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}^{cc}(\diamond, \star)$. By the result of Kadison [K] it is a Jordan $*$ -isomorphism and by the Jacobson and Rickart theorem [JR] there exists a central projection p' in $\mathcal{A}^{cc}(\diamond, \star)$ such that $\rho_1(x) = p' \diamond \rho(x)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism and $\rho_2(x) = (u^* - p') \diamond \rho(x)$ is a $*$ -antihomomorphism. Therefore for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \circ b &= \rho(a \circ b) = \rho_1(a \circ b) + \rho_2(a \circ b) \\ &= p' \diamond \rho_1(a) \diamond \rho_1(b) + (u^* - p') \diamond \rho_2(b) \diamond \rho_2(a) \\ &= p' \diamond a \diamond b + (u^* - p') \diamond b \diamond a = p'uaub + (u^* - p')ubua \\ &= p'uaub + (1 - p'u)bua. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p = p'u$. Since $(p'u)^2 = p'up'u1 = p' \diamond (p' \diamond 1) = p' \diamond 1 = p'u$ and $(p'u)^* = u^*p'^* = u^*p'^*u^*u = p'^*u = p'u$, it follows that p is a projection in \mathcal{A}^{cc} . A similar argument shows that $\theta : \mathcal{A}^{cc}(\diamond, \star) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{cc}$ defined by $\theta(a) = au$ is a Jordan $*$ -isomorphism. So, by [JR, Corollary 1], $\theta(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}^{cc}(\diamond, \star))) =$

$\mathcal{Z}(\theta(\mathcal{A}^{cc}(\circ, \star)))$. Therefore $pa = \theta(p')\theta(au^*) = \theta(au^*)\theta(p') = ap$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence p is a central projection in \mathcal{A}^{cc} . ■

REMARK 2.3. Note that in general, a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} has many representations. However, the proof of Theorem 2.2 shows that for any representation of \mathcal{A} , we can represent all multiplications and involutions on \mathcal{A} which keep it a C^* -algebra with the same norm by a unitary and a central projection in the double commutant with respect to the same representation. Further, since p in Theorem 2.2 is in $\mathcal{A}^{cc} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, it depends on \mathcal{H} . If \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, then $p \in \mathcal{A}^{cc} = \mathcal{A}$.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal of a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{I} is also an ideal of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$ for any multiplication \circ and any involution \star .*

Proof. It is sufficient to note that $paub$ and $(1-p)bu$ belong to \mathcal{I} when $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $b \in \mathcal{I}$ and so $a \circ b = paub + (1-p)bu \in \mathcal{I}$. ■

It is easy to see that $a \circ b = b \circ a$ if and only if $aub = bua$. We therefore have

COROLLARY 2.5. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra and the normed space \mathcal{A} equipped with a multiplication \circ and an involution \star is a C^* -algebra with unit u^* , where $u \in \mathcal{A}$ is a unitary. Then:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is commutative if and only if so is $\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}1$ if and only if $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)) = \mathbb{C}u^*$.

Proof. (i) Let \mathcal{A} be commutative. By Theorem 2.2 there exist a unitary element $u \in \mathcal{A}$ and a central projection p in \mathcal{A}^{cc} such that

$$a \circ b = paub + (1-p)bu \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Hence

$$a \circ b = paub + (1-p)bu = pbua + (1-p)aub = b \circ a.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$ is commutative. Reversing the roles of \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)$, we reach the converse assertion.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}1$. If $a \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star))$, then $a \circ b = b \circ a$ for any $b \in \mathcal{A}$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we observe that $\theta : \mathcal{A}^{cc}(\circ, \star) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{cc}$ defined by $\theta(a) = au$ is a Jordan $*$ -isomorphism. Hence, by [JR, Corollary 1], $\theta(b)\theta(a) = \theta(a)\theta(b)$, so $aubu = buau$. Since each element of \mathcal{A} is of the form bu for some $b \in \mathcal{A}$, it follows that $au \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. Hence $au = \lambda 1$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}(\circ, \star)) = \mathbb{C}u^*$. Similarly we can deduce the converse. ■

REMARK 2.6. The Arens product on $(c_0)^{**} = l^\infty$ coincides with the usual product in l^∞ [D, Example 2.6.22]. This was extended to arbitrary C^* -algebras in [BD]. We reprove this fact in another way: Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and suppose its second dual \mathcal{A}^{**} is also a C^* -algebra under a multiplication

$(a, b) \mapsto a \cdot b$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ is the same multiplication of \mathcal{A} . We shall show that the Arens product (denoted by \diamond) on \mathcal{A}^{**} is the same as the multiplication \cdot on \mathcal{A}^{**} .

It is known that \mathcal{A}^{**} is a von Neumann algebra under the Arens multiplication [D, Theorem 3.2.37]. By the Kaplansky density theorem, \mathcal{A} is dense in \mathcal{A}^{**} in the weak*-topology, so there exists a net u_α in \mathcal{A} such that $u_\alpha \rightarrow 1$ in the weak*-topology, where 1 denotes the unit of \mathcal{A}^{**} . So

$$b = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} u_\alpha b = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} u_\alpha \diamond b = 1 \diamond b$$

for each $b \in \mathcal{A}$. The Kaplansky density theorem implies that $1 \diamond x = x$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$. Therefore the units of both multiplications \cdot and \diamond are the same. By Theorem 2.2 there exists a central projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$x \diamond y = pxy + (1 - p)yx$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$. On the other hand for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $a \diamond b = ab$. So $(1 - p)ab = (1 - p)ba$. Since \mathcal{A} is dense in \mathcal{A}^{**} in the weak*-topology, we have $(1 - p)xy = (1 - p)yx$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$. Therefore $x \diamond y = pxy + (1 - p)yx = pxy + (1 - p)xy = xy$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$. For instance, we deduce that the Arens product on $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})^{**} = \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is equal to the operator multiplication on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}1$.
- (ii) *If for some invertible operators $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\|axb\| = \|x\|$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that both λa and $(1/\lambda)b$ are unitary.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Note that if $\|a^{-1}xa\| \leq \|x\|$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\varphi(x) = a^{-1}xa$ is a contractive unital linear map on \mathcal{A} . It follows from [P, Proposition 2.11] that φ is positive. Therefore $(a^{-1}xa)^* = a^{-1}x^*a$ and so $aa^*x^* = x^*aa^*$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence $aa^* \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}1$. So $a^*a = \lambda 1$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Therefore $(1/\sqrt{\lambda})a$ is unitary.

First, assume that $\|axb\| = \|x\|$ for positive invertible operators a, b and each $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\|b^{-1}a^{-1}\| = \|a^{-1}b^{-1}\| = \|aa^{-1}b^{-1}b\| = 1$, whence

$$\|a^{-1}xa\| \leq \|axb\| \|b^{-1}a^{-1}\| \leq \|x\|.$$

Therefore there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $(1/\lambda)a$ is unitary. Since $(1/\lambda)a$ is positive and unitary we have $a = \lambda$. A similar argument shows that $b = \lambda'$. It follows from $1 = \|1\| = \|ab\| = \lambda'\lambda$ that $\lambda = 1/\lambda'$.

Second, assume that $\|axb\| = \|x\|$ for invertible operators a, b and each $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Utilizing the polar decompositions of a and b^* , there exist unitary

operators u, v such that $a = u|a|$ and $b = |b^*|v$. Hence

$$\| |a|x|b^*| \| = \| u|a|x|b^*|v \| = \| axb \| = \| x \|$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$. The above argument shows that $|a| = \lambda$ and $|b^*| = 1/\lambda$ for some $\lambda > 0$, so $a = \lambda u$ and $b = (1/\lambda)v$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Note that each central invertible element a of \mathcal{A} is a scalar multiple of a unitary element. In fact, $\|a^{-1}xa\| = \|a^{-1}ax\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, so λa is unitary for some $\lambda > 0$. Let $a \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ be a positive element and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \text{sp}(a)$ be distinct. Then there exists an invertible continuous function f on $\text{sp}(a)$ such that $f(\lambda_1) = 1/2$ and $f(\lambda_2) = 1$. Hence $f(a)$, which is a central invertible element, should be a scalar multiple of a unitary. On the other hand, $1/2, 1 \in \text{sp}(f(a))$, which is impossible. Hence the spectrum of a is a singleton, so $a = \|a\|$. Since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ is a C^* -algebra, any one of its elements is a linear combination of four positive elements. Therefore $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}1$. ■

Let $\mathcal{A}(u, p)$ denote the C^* -algebra given via Lemma 2.1 corresponding to a unitary u and a central projection p in \mathcal{A} . The self-adjoint elements of $\mathcal{A}(u, p)$ are the elements a such that $au = u^*a^*$, a fact which is independent of the choice of p . Also a self-adjoint element a is positive in $\mathcal{A}(u, p)$ if and only if $a = b \circ b = pbub + (1-p)bub = bub$ for some self-adjoint $b \in \mathcal{A}(u, p)$, and this occurs if and only if a is positive in $\mathcal{A}(u, 1)$.

THEOREM 2.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be invertible. Then there exists a unique unitary $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that a is a positive element of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}(u^*, p)$ for any central projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Let $a = u|a|$ be the polar decomposition of a . Then $u = a|a|^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$. So $a = u|a|^{1/2}|a|^{1/2} = |a|^{(1/2)^*} \circ |a|^{1/2}$, where \circ is defined in $\mathcal{A}(u^*, 1)$ by (2.1). So a is positive in $\mathcal{A}(u^*, p)$ for every central projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$.

To see the uniqueness, note that if a is invertible and a, wa are positive for a unitary w , then $a = w^*(wa)$. By the uniqueness of polar decomposition, we have $w = 1$. Now if a is positive in $\mathcal{A}(v^*, 1)$, then $a = b^* \circ b = vb^*b$. Hence $v^*u|a| = v^*a = b^*b$ is positive. Therefore $v^*u|a|$ and $|a|$ are positive and so $v = u$ according to what we just proved. ■

REMARK 2.9. The invertibility condition in Theorem 2.8 is essential. For example let $\mathcal{A} = C[-1, 1]$ and $f(t) = t$. If f is positive in $C[-1, 1](u, 1)$ for a unitary function u , then there exists $g \in C[-1, 1]$ such that $t = f(t) = u(t)|g(t)|^2$ for each $t \in [-1, 1]$. So $u(t) = 1$ for each $t \in (0, 1]$ and $u(t) = -1$ for each $t \in [-1, 0)$, which is impossible.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to sincerely thank Professor Marcel de Jeu and Professor Jun Tomiyama for their valuable comments.

References

- [BK] H. F. Bohnenblust and S. Karlin, *Geometrical properties of the unit sphere of Banach algebras*, Ann. of Math. 62 (1955), 217–229.
- [BD] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 80, Springer, New York, 1973.
- [D] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser. 24, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [DT] M. de Jeu and J. Tomiyama, *A characterisation of C^* -algebras through positivity of functionals*, Ann. Funct. Anal. 4 (2013), 61–63.
- [JR] N. Jacobson and C. Rickart, *Homomorphisms of Jordan rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1950), 479–502.
- [K] R. V. Kadison, *Isometries of operator algebras*, Ann. of Math. 54 (1951), 325–338.
- [KR1] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, Vol. I, Elementary Theory*, Pure Appl. Math. 100, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [KR2] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, Vol. II, Advanced Theory*, Pure Appl. Math. 100, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1986.
- [P] V. I. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 78, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [R] C. E. Rickart, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1960.

H. Najafi, M. S. Moslehian
Department of Pure Mathematics
Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS)
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad
P.O. Box 1159
Mashhad 91775, Iran
E-mail: hamednajafi20@gmail.com
moslehian@um.ac.ir
moslehian@member.ams.org

Received October 14, 2012
Revised version April 23, 2013

(7654)

