

## Extensions of weak type multipliers

by

P. MOHANTY and S. MADAN (Kanpur)

**Abstract.** We prove that if  $\Lambda \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and has compact support then  $\Lambda$  is a weak summability kernel for  $1 < p < \infty$ , where  $M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is the space of multipliers of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group with Haar measure  $\mu$ , and let  $\widehat{G}$  be its dual. We call an operator  $T : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^{p,\infty}(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , a *multiplier of weak type  $(p, p)$*  if it is translation invariant, i.e.  $\tau_x T = T \tau_x$  for all  $x \in G$ , and there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \mu\{x \in G : |Tf(x)| > t\} \leq \frac{C^p}{t^p} \|f\|_p^p$$

for all  $f \in L^p(G)$  and  $t > 0$ . (Here  $L^{p,\infty}$  denotes the standard weak  $L^p$  space.) Asmar, Berkson and Gillespie in [3] proved that for all such operators  $T$  there exists a  $\phi \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$  such that  $(Tf)^\wedge = \phi \widehat{f}$  for all  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p(G)$ . We will also call such  $\phi$ 's *multipliers of weak type  $(p, p)$* . Let  $M_p^{(w)}(\widehat{G})$  denote the space of multipliers of weak type  $(p, p)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and let  $N_p^{(w)}(\phi)$  be the smallest constant  $C$  such that inequality (1.1) holds.

In this paper we are concerned with extensions of weak type multipliers from  $\mathbb{Z}^N$  to  $\mathbb{R}^N$  through summability kernels. For similar results on strong type multipliers, see [6] and [4]. Here we identify  $\mathbb{T}^N$  with  $[0, 1)^N$  and for  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  we define its Fourier transform as  $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Let us define summability kernels for weak type multipliers as follows.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** A bounded measurable function  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a *weak summability kernel for  $M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$*  if for  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  the function  $W_{\phi, \Lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda(\xi - n)$  is defined and belongs to  $M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E30, 42B15.

*Key words and phrases*: weak-type multipliers, transference.

The first author was supported by CSIR.

This definition is just the weak type analogue of summability kernels for strong type multipliers [4]. We first cite two important results regarding the summability kernels of strong type multipliers from the work of Jodeit [6] and of Berkson, Paluszyński, and Weiss [4]:

**THEOREM 1.1 ([6]).** *Let  $S \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\text{supp } S \subseteq [1/4, 3/4]^N$  with  $\tau = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} |\widehat{s}(n)| < \infty$ , where  $s$  is the 1-periodic extension of  $S$ . Then the function defined by  $W_{\phi, \widehat{S}}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \widehat{S}(\xi - n)$  belongs to  $M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , with  $\|W_{\phi, \widehat{S}}\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_p \tau \|\phi\|_{M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)}$ .*

**THEOREM 1.2 ([4]).** *For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let  $\Lambda \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [1/4, 3/4]^N$ . For  $\phi \in M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  define  $W_{\phi, \Lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda(\xi - n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then  $W_{\phi, \Lambda} \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\|W_{\phi, \Lambda}\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_p \|\Lambda\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\phi\|_{M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)}$  where  $C_p$  is a constant. (Further, if  $\Lambda$  has an arbitrary compact support the same result holds except that the constant  $C_p$  necessarily depends on the support of  $\Lambda$ , as shown in [4].)*

Asmar, Berkson and Gillespie proved a weak type analogue of Theorem 1.1 in [2]. In the same paper they also proved that  $\Lambda$  defined by  $\Lambda(\xi) = \prod_{j=1}^N \max(1 - |\xi_j|, 0)$  for  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$  is a weak type summability kernel. In this paper, we prove the weak type analogue of Theorem 1.2 in §2, for  $1 < p < \infty$ . In §3 we relax the hypothesis that  $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [1/4, 3/4]^N$ . For the proof of our main result, as in [4], we will obtain the weak type inequalities by applying the technique of transference couples due to Berkson, Paluszyński, and Weiss [4].

**DEFINITION 1.2.** For a locally compact group  $G$ , a *transference couple* is a pair  $(S, T) = (\{S_u\}, \{T_u\})$ ,  $u \in G$ , of strongly continuous mappings defined on  $G$  with values in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ , where  $X$  is a Banach space, satisfying

- (i)  $C_S = \sup\{\|S_u\| : u \in G\} < \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $C_T = \sup\{\|T_u\| : u \in G\} < \infty$ ,
- (iii)  $S_v T_u = T_{vu}$  for all  $u, v \in G$ .

In §4, as an application of our result, we prove a weak type analogue of an extension theorem by de Leeuw.

**2. Weak type inequality for transference couples and the main theorem.** Let  $\Lambda \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [1/4, 3/4]^N$ . Consider the following transference couple  $(S, T)$  used by Berkson, Paluszyński, and Weiss in [4]. For  $u \in \mathbb{T}^N$  the family  $T = \{T_u\}$  is given by

$$(2.2) \quad (T_u f)^\wedge(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \Lambda(\xi - n) e^{2\pi i u \cdot n} \widehat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{for } f \in L^p \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and the family  $S = \{S_u\}$  is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad (S_u f)^\wedge(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} b(\xi - n) e^{2\pi i u \cdot n} \widehat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{for } f \in L^p \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where  $b(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^N b_i(\xi_i)$  for  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ , and for each  $i$ ,  $b_i$  is the continuous function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $b_i(x) = 1$  if  $x \in [1/4, 3/4]$ , 0 outside  $[0, 1]$  and linear in  $[0, 1/4] \cup [3/4, 1]$ . It is easy to see that

$$(2.4) \quad S_u f(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \check{\beta}_u(l) f(x + u - l) \quad \text{a.e.},$$

where  $\check{\beta}_u$  is the inverse Fourier transform of the function  $\beta_u(\xi) = b(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot u}$ , given explicitly by

$$\check{\beta}_u(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^N \check{\beta}_{u_i}(\xi_i),$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad \check{\beta}_{u_i}(\xi_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{2e^{2\pi i(\xi_i + u_i)/2}}{\pi^2(\xi_i - u_i)^2} \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(\xi_i - u_i) - \cos \pi(\xi_i - u_i) \right) & \text{if } \xi_i \neq u_i, \\ \frac{3e^{2\pi i(\xi_i + u_i)/2}}{4} & \text{if } \xi_i = u_i. \end{cases}$$

Then by a straightforward calculation using (2.5) we have

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} |\check{\beta}_u(l)| \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) = C < \infty,$$

where  $\beta(l) = \prod_{i=1}^N \beta_i(l_i)$  and

$$\beta_i(l_i) = \begin{cases} 1/(l_i - 1)^2 & \text{if } l_i > 1, \\ 1/(l_i + 1)^2 & \text{if } l_i < 1, \\ \|b_i\|_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the following theorem we shall show that the operator transferred by  $T$  (of the transference couple  $(S, T)$  defined in (2.2) and (2.3)) given by

$$H_k f(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}} f(\cdot) du,$$

where  $k \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , satisfies a weak  $(p, p)$  inequality.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $(S, T)$  be the transference couple as defined in (2.2) and (2.3). Then for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $f \in S$ ,*

$$\lambda \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |H_k f(x)| > t\} \leq \left( \frac{CC_p}{t} C_T N_p^{(w)}(k) \|f\|_p \right)^p,$$

where  $\lambda$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $C = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l)$  as in (2.6),  $C_T$  is the uniform bound for the family  $T = \{T_u\}$ , and  $C_p = p/(p-1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . For  $t > 0$  define  $E_t = \{x : |H_k f(x)| > t\}$ . Notice that

$$H_k f(x) = S_{v^{-1}} S_v H_k f(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \check{\beta}_{v^{-1}}(l) \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x - v - l) du.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \left\{ (v, x) \in \mathbb{T}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N : \left| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \check{\beta}_{v^{-1}}(l) \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x - l) du \right| > t \right\}.$$

Then, using translation invariance of Lebesgue measure, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(E_t) &= \lambda \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \left| S_{v^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x) du \right| > t \right\} \\ &= \lambda \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \left| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \check{\beta}_{v^{-1}}(l) \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x - l) du \right| > t \right\} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_{\mathcal{F}_t}(v, x) dx dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \left\{ v : \left| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \check{\beta}_{v^{-1}}(l) \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x - l) du \right| > t \right\} \right| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|E|$  denotes the measure of the subset  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}^N$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(E_t) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \left\{ v : \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} k(u) T_{u^{-1}v} f(x - l) du \right| > t \right\} \right| dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \left\{ v : \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) |k * F(\cdot, x - l)(v)| > t \right\} \right| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $F(v, x) = T_v f(x)$  a.e.

We know that  $\sup_{t>0} t \lambda_f(t)^{1/p} = \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}$  for  $f \in L^{p,\infty}$ . Also, since  $p > 1$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{p,\infty}$  is equivalent to a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p,\infty}^*$  ([8]), using the triangle inequality for norms we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(E_t) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{t^p} \left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) k * F(\cdot, x - l) \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{T}^N)}^p dx \\ &\leq C_p^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{t^p} \left( \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) \|k * F(\cdot, x - l)\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{T}^N)}^* \right)^p dx, \\ &\leq C_p^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{t^p} \left( \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) N_p^{(w)}(k) \|F(\cdot, x - l)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)} \right)^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $N_p^{(w)}(k)$  is the weak-type norm of the convolution operator  $f \mapsto k * f$

for  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(E_t) &\leq C_p^p \frac{1}{t^p} \left( \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) N_p^{(w)}(k) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |T_v f(x-l)|^p dx dv \right)^{1/p} \right)^p \\ &= C_p^p \frac{1}{t^p} \left( \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \beta(l) N_p^{(w)}(k) \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |T_v f(x-l)|^p dx dv \right)^{1/p} \right)^p \\ &\leq \left( \frac{CC_p C_T}{t^p} N_p^{(w)}(k) \|f\|_p \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $H_k f$  satisfies a weak  $(p, p)$  inequality.

In order to prove the weak-type analogue of Theorem 1.2 we need the following lemma proved by Asmar, Berkson, and Gillespie in [1].

LEMMA 2.1 ([1]). *Suppose that  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\{\phi_j\} \subseteq M_p^{(w)}(\widehat{G})$  with  $\sup\{|\phi_j(\gamma)| : j \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \widehat{G}\} < \infty$  and suppose  $\phi_j$  converges pointwise a.e. on  $\widehat{G}$  to a function  $\phi$ . If  $\liminf_j N_p^{(w)}(\phi_j) < \infty$  then  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\widehat{G})$  and  $N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \leq \liminf_j N_p^{(w)}(\phi_j)$ .*

In the following theorem, we use the family of operators  $\{T_u\}$  defined in (2.2) with  $\Lambda \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [1/4, 3/4]^N$ . In this case, by [4] we have  $C_T \leq c_p \|A\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ , where  $c_p$  is a constant.

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\Lambda \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is supported in the set  $[1/4, 3/4]^N$ . For  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  define*

$$W_{\phi, \Lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda(\xi - n) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

*Then  $W_{\phi, \Lambda} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $N_p^{(w)}(W_{\phi, \Lambda}) \leq CN_p^{(w)}(\phi) \|A\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ .*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.1 we first show that it is enough to prove the theorem for  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  having finite support. Suppose the theorem is true for finitely supported  $\phi$ . Then, for arbitrary  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ , define  $\phi_j = \widehat{k}_j \phi$ , where  $k_j$  is the  $j$ th Fejér kernel. Then for each  $j$ ,  $\phi_j$ 's have finite support and  $(T_{\phi_j} f)^\wedge(n) = \phi_j(n) \widehat{f}(n) = (T_\phi(k_j * f))^\wedge(n)$ . So  $\phi_j \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  for each  $j$  and  $N_p^{(w)}(\phi_j) \leq N_p^{(w)}(\phi)$ . Define  $W_{\phi_j, \Lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi_j(n) \Lambda(\xi - n)$ . Now  $\liminf_j W_{\phi_j, \Lambda}(\xi) = W_{\phi, \Lambda}(\xi)$ . Also, by our assumption,

$$N_p^{(w)}(W_{\phi_j, \Lambda}) \leq CN_p^{(w)}(\phi_j) \|A\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq CN_p^{(w)}(\phi) \|A\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

and  $|W_{\phi_j, \Lambda}| \leq 2\|A\|_\infty \|\phi_j\|_\infty \leq 2\|A\|_\infty \|\phi\|_\infty$ . Thus by Lemma 2.1, applied to  $W_{\phi_j, \Lambda}$ 's, we conclude that  $W_{\phi, \Lambda} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Hence it is enough to assume that  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  has finite support.

Now let  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  be finitely supported. Define

$$k(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) e^{-2\pi i u \cdot n}.$$

Then  $k \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$  and  $\widehat{k}(n) = \phi(n)$ . For this particular  $k$  and the transference couple  $(S, T)$  defined above, we have

$$(H_k f)^\wedge(\xi) = (T_{W_{\phi, \Lambda}} f)^\wedge(\xi).$$

Thus  $T_{W_{\phi, \Lambda}} f = H_k f$ . Hence from Theorem 2.1 and since  $C_T \leq c_p \|A\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ , we have

$$\lambda\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |T_{W_{\phi, \Lambda}} f(x)| > t\} \leq \left( \frac{C}{t} N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \|A\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|f\|_p \right)^p.$$

### 3. Lattice preserving linear transformations and multipliers.

We shall now relax the hypothesis that  $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [1/4, 3/4]^N$  to allow  $\Lambda$  to have arbitrary compact support. In fact this can be done by a partition of identity argument as in [4]. Here we give a different method by proving Lemma 3.2 below. Particular cases of this lemma occur in [6] and in [2]. Suppose  $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [-M, M]^N$ ; define  $\Lambda_M(\xi) = \Lambda_1(4M\xi)$ , where  $\Lambda_1(\xi) = \Lambda(\xi - 1/2)$ . So  $\text{supp } \Lambda_M \subseteq [1/4, 3/4]^N$ . Thus if we define a non-singular transformation  $A : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $Ax = 4Mx$  then  $\Lambda_M = \Lambda_1 \circ A$ . In order to replace the support condition we need to prove  $\Lambda_M \circ A^{-1}$  is a summability kernel. In the work of Jodeit and of Asmar, Berkson, and Gillespie they assume  $A$  in Lemma 3.2 to be multiplication by 2. We have combined some of the results proved by Gröchenig and Madych [5] in the following lemma which will help us to prove Lemma 3.2. In the proof of Theorem 3.1, we only use the case of a diagonal linear transform, but the more general results proved below are of some interest in their own right.

LEMMA 3.1 ([5]). *Let  $A : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be a non-singular linear transformation which preserves the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^N$  (i.e.  $A(\mathbb{Z}^N) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ ). Then the following are true.*

(i) *The number of distinct coset representatives of  $\mathbb{Z}^N / A\mathbb{Z}^N$  is equal to  $q = |\det A|$ .*

(ii) *If  $Q_0 = [0, 1)^N$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_q$  are the distinct coset representatives of  $\mathbb{Z}^N / A\mathbb{Z}^N$  then the sets  $\{A^{-1}(Q_0 + k_i)\}$  are mutually disjoint.*

(iii) *Let  $Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^q A^{-1}(Q_0 + k_i)$ . Then  $\lambda(Q) = 1$  and  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} (Q + k) \simeq \mathbb{R}^N$ .*

(iv)  *$AQ \simeq \bigcup_{i=1}^q (Q_0 + k_i)$ .*

Here  $E \simeq F$  if  $\lambda(F \triangle E) = 0$ .

Using this lemma, we prove

LEMMA 3.2. Let  $A$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Define  $A^t = B$ , where  $A^t$  is the transpose of  $A$ . For  $\phi \in l_\infty(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  define

$$\psi(n) = \phi(Bn), \quad \eta(n) = \begin{cases} \phi(B^{-1}n) & \text{if } n \in B\mathbb{Z}^N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(i) If  $\phi \in M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  then  $\psi, \eta \in M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  with multiplier norms not exceeding the multiplier norm of  $\phi$ .

(ii) If  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  then  $\psi, \eta \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  with weak multiplier norms not exceeding the weak multiplier norm of  $\phi$ .

*Proof.* (i) For  $f \in L^p(Q_0)$ , we let  $f$  again denote its periodic extension to  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Define  $Sf(x) = f(Ax)$ . Then  $Sf$  is also periodic and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_0} |Sf(x)|^p dx &= \int_{Q_0} |Sf(x)|^p \sum_j \chi_{Q_0}(x-j) dx = \sum_j \int_{Q_0+j} |Sf(x)|^p \chi_{Q_0}(x) dx \\ &= \int_Q |Sf(x)|^p dx = \frac{1}{|\det A|} \int_{AQ} |f(x)|^p dx \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{Q_0+k_i} |f(x)|^p dx \quad (\text{Lemma 3.1(iv)}) \\ &= \int_{Q_0} |f(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $S$  is an isometry, i.e.,  $\|Sf\|_{L^p(Q_0)} = \|f\|_{L^p(Q_0)}$ . Further, from the orthogonality relations for characters (Lemma 1 of [7]) we have

$$(Sf)^\wedge(n) = \begin{cases} \widehat{f}(B^{-1}n) & \text{if } n \in B\mathbb{Z}^N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $f \in L^p(Q_0)$  we define an operator  $W$  on  $L^p(Q_0)$  by

$$Wf(x) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q f(A^{-1}(x+k_i)),$$

where  $k_1, \dots, k_q$  are distinct coset representatives of  $\mathbb{Z}^N/A\mathbb{Z}^N$ . Then for a trigonometric polynomial  $f$ ,

$$(Wf)^\wedge(n) = \widehat{f}(Bn),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{Q_0} |Wf(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} &= \left( \int_{Q_0} \left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q f(A^{-1}(x+k_i)) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \left( \int_{Q_0} |f(A^{-1}(x+k_i))|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{q^{1/p}}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \left( \int_{A^{-1}(Q_0+k_i)} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|Wf\|_{L^p(Q_0)} \leq q^{(1-p)/p} \|f\|_{L^p(Q_0)}$ , since  $\int_{Q_0} |f(x)|^p dx = \int_Q |f(x)|^p dx$  as above. It is easy to see that

$$(3.7) \quad ST_\phi W = T_\eta,$$

$$(3.8) \quad WT_\phi S = T_\psi.$$

It follows that if  $\phi \in M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ , then  $\|T_\psi f\| \leq C_p \|\phi\|_{M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)} \|f\|_{L^p(Q_0)}$ . Also  $\|T_\eta f\|_{L^p(Q_0)} \leq C_p \|\phi\|_{M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)} \|f\|_{L^p(Q_0)}$ . Hence  $\psi, \eta \in M_p(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ .

(ii) For  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ , we need to calculate the distribution functions of  $Sf$  and  $Wf$ . Define  $E_t = \{x \in Q_0 : |Sf(x)| > t > 0\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |E_t| &= \int_{Q_0} \chi_{E_t}(x) dx = \int_{Q_0} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(|f(Ax)| - t) dx = \frac{1}{q} \int_{AQ} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(|f(x)| - t) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{Q_0+k_i} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(|f(x)| - t) dx = |\{x : |f(x)| > t\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(3.9) \quad |\{x \in Q_0 : |Sf(x)| > t\}| = |\{x \in Q_0 : |f(x)| > t\}|.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in Q_0 : |Wf(x)| > t\}| &= \left| \left\{ x \in Q_0 : \left| \sum_{i=1}^q f(A^{-1}(x+k_i)) \right| > tq \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left\{ x \in Q_0 : \sum_{i=1}^q |f(A^{-1}(x+k_i))| > tq \right\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{Q_0} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(|f(A^{-1}(x+k_i))| - t) dx \\ &= q \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{A^{-1}(Q_0+k_i)} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(|f(x)| - t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.10) \quad |\{x \in Q_0 : |Wf(x)| > t\}| \leq q |\{x \in Q_0 : |f(x)| > t\}|.$$

From the relations (3.7)–(3.10), we conclude that  $\psi, \eta \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  whenever  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ . Also  $N_p^{(w)}(\psi) \leq CN_p^{(w)}(\phi)$  and  $N_p^{(w)}(\eta) \leq CN_p^{(w)}(\phi)$ .

As an application of this lemma we get the following result regarding weak summability kernels.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Suppose  $A$  is a weak (strong) summability kernel. Then  $A \circ B$  and  $A \circ B^{-1}$  are also weak (strong) summability kernels.*



*Proof.* Define  $W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  by

$$W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda \circ B(x - n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \eta(n) \Lambda(Bx - n) = W_{\eta, \Lambda}(Bx).$$

As  $\eta \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  (by Lemma 3.2) and since  $\Lambda$  is a summability kernel we have  $W_{\eta, \Lambda} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Hence  $W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B^{-1}}(x) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda(B^{-1}x - B^{-1}n) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{n \in B\mathbb{Z}^N + p_j} \phi(n) \Lambda(B^{-1}x - B^{-1}n), \end{aligned}$$

$p_1, \dots, p_q$  being distinct coset representatives of  $B\mathbb{Z}^N/\mathbb{Z}^N$  ( $p_1 = 0$ ). We have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B^{-1}}(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(Bn + p_j) \Lambda(B^{-1}x + B^{-1}p_j - n) \\ &= W_{\psi, \Lambda}(B^{-1}x) + \dots + W_{\psi_{p_{q-1}}, \Lambda}(B^{-1}x - B^{-1}p_q) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi_{p_i}(l) = \phi(Bl + p_j)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q$ . As  $\psi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  and  $\Lambda$  is a summability kernel we conclude that  $W_{\phi, \Lambda \circ B^{-1}} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Hence from Lemma 3.3 and the discussion preceding Lemma 3.1 we obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Suppose  $\Lambda \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\text{supp } \Lambda \subseteq [-M, M]$ ; for  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$  define  $W_{\phi, \Lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \phi(n) \Lambda(\xi - n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then  $W_{\phi, \Lambda} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $N_p^{(w)}(W_{\phi, \Lambda}) \leq C_\Lambda N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \|\Lambda\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ , where  $C_\Lambda$  is a constant depending on  $\Lambda$ .*

**4. An application.** As an application of Theorem 3.1, we prove a weak-type version of a result proved by de Leeuw [8].

**THEOREM 4.1.** *For  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\{\phi_\varepsilon\} \subseteq M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{Z})$  satisfy*

- (i)  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \phi_\varepsilon([x/\varepsilon]) = \phi(x)$  a.e.,
- (ii)  $\sup_\varepsilon N_p^{(w)}(\phi_\varepsilon) = K < \infty$ .

*Then  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \leq \sup_\varepsilon N_p^{(w)}(\phi_\varepsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , define  $W_{\phi_\varepsilon}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$(4.11) \quad W_{\phi_\varepsilon}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_\varepsilon(n) \chi_{[0,1)}(x - n).$$

As  $\chi_{[0,1)} \in M_p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ , from Theorem 3.1 we have  $W_{\phi_\varepsilon} \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $N_p^{(w)}(W_{\phi_\varepsilon}) \leq C N_p^{(w)}(\phi_\varepsilon) \leq CK$ . We define another function  $\psi_\varepsilon$ , for each

$\varepsilon > 0$ , by  $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = W_{\phi_\varepsilon}(x/\varepsilon)$ . Then  $\psi_\varepsilon \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$(4.12) \quad N_p^{(w)}(\psi_\varepsilon) \leq N_p^{(w)}(W_{\phi_\varepsilon}) \leq CK.$$

From (4.11) we have

$$\psi_\varepsilon(x) = W_{\phi_\varepsilon}(x/\varepsilon) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_\varepsilon(n) \chi_{[0,1)}(x/\varepsilon - n) = \phi_\varepsilon([x/\varepsilon]).$$

So from our hypothesis

$$(4.13) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \psi_\varepsilon(x) = \phi(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Also we have  $|\psi_\varepsilon(x)| < \infty$  (as  $\sup_{\varepsilon, n} |\phi_\varepsilon(n)| < \infty$ ).

Hence from (4.11)–(4.13) along with Lemma 2.1 we have  $\phi \in M_p^{(w)}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $N_p^{(w)}(\phi) \leq \lim_\varepsilon N_p^{(w)}(\phi_\varepsilon) \leq CK$ .

### References

- [1] N. Asmar, E. Berkson, and T. A. Gillespie, *Maximal estimates on measure spaces by weak type multipliers*, J. Geom. Anal. 5 (1995), 167–179.
- [2] —, —, —, *Generalized de Leeuw theorems and extension theorems for weak type multipliers*, in: Interaction between Functional Analysis, Harmonic Analysis and Probability, N. Kalton *et al.* (eds.), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 175, Marcel Dekker, 1996, 41–67.
- [3] —, —, —, *Note on norm convergence in the space of weak type multipliers*, J. Operator Theory 39 (1998), 139–149.
- [4] E. Berkson, M. Paluszynski, and G. Weiss, *Transference couples and their applications to convolution operators and maximal operators*, in: Interaction between Functional Analysis, Harmonic Analysis, and Probability, N. Kalton *et al.* (eds.), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 175, Marcel Dekker, 1996, 69–84.
- [5] M. Gröchenig and W. R. Madych, *Multiresolution analysis, Haar basis, and self-similar tilings of  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 38 (1992), 556–568.
- [6] M. Jodeit, *Restrictions and extensions of Fourier multipliers*, Studia Math. 34 (1970), 215–226.
- [7] W. R. Madych, *Some elementary properties of multiresolution analyses of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$* , in: Wavelets. A Tutorial in Theory and Applications, C. K. Chui (ed.), Wavelet Anal. Appl. 2, Academic Press, 1992, 259–294.
- [8] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Math. Ser. 32, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.

Indian Institute of Technology  
 Kanpur 208016, India  
 E-mail: parasar@iitk.ac.in  
 madan@iitk.ac.in

*Received January 22, 2001*  
*Revised version March 25, 2003*

(4671)