

Fréchet quotients of spaces of real-analytic functions

by

P. DOMAŃSKI (Poznań), L. FRERICK (Wuppertal) and
D. VOGT (Wuppertal)*Dedicated to Aleksander Pełczyński on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

Abstract. We characterize all Fréchet quotients of the space $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ of (complex-valued) real-analytic functions on an arbitrary open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. We also characterize those Fréchet spaces E such that every short exact sequence of the form $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow 0$ splits.

Let $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ denote the space of complex-valued real-analytic functions on the open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, equipped with its natural locally convex topology (see [15] or [1]). The Fréchet structure of the spaces $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ was closely investigated in recent years and this was a basis of various interesting results. The Fréchet subspaces are characterized in Domański–Langenbruch [5] as being isomorphic to subspaces of $H(\mathbb{D}^d)$ if Ω has finitely many connected components, and of $H(\mathbb{D}^d)^{\mathbb{N}}$ if Ω has infinitely many connected components. In Domański–Vogt [7] it was shown that for Ω connected the space $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ admits only finite-dimensional complemented subspaces, and that led to the proof in [7] (cf. [8]) that no space $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ admits a (Schauder) basis.

About the quotients of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ it was only known that they have the very restrictive property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$. This was a basic ingredient in the proof that all complemented Fréchet subspaces are finite-dimensional. However, it was not known whether $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ admits any infinite-dimensional Fréchet quotient besides the space ω of all sequences. Only recently was it shown in [9] that $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ admits nontrivial Fréchet quotients, but that is far from being an exact picture.

In the present paper we show that a Fréchet space E is isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ for some, equivalently any, open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ if and only if

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46E10; Secondary 46A04, 46A45, 46A63.

Key words and phrases: space of real-analytic functions, Fréchet space, quotient space, countably normed, (DN_{φ}) -property, splitting of short exact sequences.

E has property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ and is $n^{1/d}$ -nuclear (see below for the definition in terms of Kolmogorov diameters), or equivalently (see [25]), if and only if E has property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ and is isomorphic to a quotient of $H(\mathbb{D}^d)$. An essential step is to show that a Fréchet space has $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ if and only if $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{A}(\Omega), E) = 0$, which means that every topologically exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow 0$$

splits. This result is of independent interest.

1. Preliminaries. We use common notation for locally convex spaces, in particular Fréchet spaces. For the notation and general results we refer to [18] or [13]. For homological notions in locally convex spaces see [26].

For any open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ the space $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is equipped with its natural topology given by

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega) = \lim_{\substack{\text{proj} \\ n}} H(K_n)$$

where $K_1 \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_2 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$ is a compact exhaustion of Ω and $H(K_n)$ denotes the (LB)-space of germs of holomorphic functions on K_n . By Martineau [15, Th. 1.9] this topology coincides with the one given by

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega) = \lim_{\omega} \text{ind } H(\omega).$$

Here ω runs through all complex neighborhoods of Ω , and $H(\omega)$ denotes the Fréchet space of holomorphic functions on ω with the compact-open topology.

A Fréchet space with a fundamental system of seminorms $\| \cdot \|_1 \leq \| \cdot \|_2 \leq \dots$ is said to have *property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$* if

$$\forall k \exists l \forall n, 0 < \vartheta < 1 \exists C : \quad \| \cdot \|_l^* \leq C \| \cdot \|_k^{*\vartheta} \| \cdot \|_n^{*1-\vartheta}$$

or equivalently

$$\forall k \exists l \forall n, \varepsilon > 0 \exists C \forall r > 0 : \quad U_l \subset C(r^\varepsilon U_n + r^{-1} U_k).$$

Here we set $\|y\|_k^* = \sup\{|y(x)| \mid x \in U_k\}$ and $U_k = \{x \mid \|x\|_k \leq 1\}$. For the role of property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ see [1], [2], [7], [22], [24]; for the equivalence of both conditions see [18, Lemma 29.13]. For examples of spaces with $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ see [16, Ex. 4.12(5)].

Clearly $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ is a topological linear invariant which is inherited by quotient spaces. We will need another topological invariant.

Let X be a linear space and $V \subset U$ absolutely convex subsets. We define the *nth Kolmogorov diameter of V with respect to U* to be

$$\delta_n(V, U) = \inf\{\delta > 0 \mid V \subset \delta U + F, \dim F \leq n\}.$$

Here F denotes a linear subspace of E .

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots)$ be a nonnegative increasing sequence so that $\lim_n (\log n)/\alpha_n = 0$ and $\sup_n \alpha_{2n}/\alpha_n < \infty$. We call this a *stable exponent sequence*. Using V and U for absolutely convex neighborhoods of zero, we define a locally convex space X to be:

- (1) *weakly α -nuclear* if $\forall U \exists V, t > 0 : \lim_n e^{t\alpha_n} \delta_n(V, U) = 0$,
- (2) *α -nuclear* if $\forall U, t > 0 \exists V : \lim_n e^{t\alpha_n} \delta_n(V, U) = 0$,
- (3) *strongly α -nuclear* if $\forall U \exists V \forall t > 0 : \lim_n e^{t\alpha_n} \delta_n(V, U) = 0$.

The assumptions on (α_n) imply that every weakly α -nuclear space is nuclear (see [20, p. 296]). These are topological linear invariants, inherited by subspaces, quotients, countable products and direct sums, hence by countable projective and inductive limits (see [25, Lemma 1.3, 1.4]).

It is well known that for any open $U \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ the space $H(U)$ of holomorphic functions on U with the compact-open topology is weakly $n^{1/d}$ -nuclear. Since for open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we can write

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega) = \lim_n \text{proj} \lim_k \text{ind} H(K_n + k^{-1}\mathbb{D}^d)$$

we see that $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is weakly $n^{1/d}$ -nuclear. Here $\mathbb{D}^d = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \sup_\nu |z_\nu| < 1\}$.

A locally convex space is called a (PLB)-space ((PLS)-space, resp.) if it is a countable projective limit of (LB)-spaces ((LS)-spaces, resp.). Main examples of (PLB)-spaces are $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, the space $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ of distributions, and all Fréchet and (LB)-spaces; the first two examples are also (PLS)-spaces.

In this paper Ext^1 will always be taken in the category of locally convex spaces, i.e. $\text{Ext}^1(E, F) = 0$ will mean that every topologically exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{j} X \xrightarrow{q} E \rightarrow 0$$

of locally convex spaces splits, i.e., q has a continuous linear right inverse. Recall that the sequence above is *topologically exact* whenever j is a topological isomorphism onto the kernel of q and q is surjective, continuous and open onto its image.

We denote by $L(X, Y)$ the space of all continuous linear maps from a locally convex space X into another such space Y .

Consider an arbitrary projective spectrum of linear spaces:

$$\dots \rightarrow X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{i_n^{n+1}} X_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{i_0^1} X_0.$$

Then $\text{Proj}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^1 X_n := \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n / \text{im } \sigma$, where

$$\sigma : \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n, \quad \sigma((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) := (i_n^{n+1} x_{n+1} - x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Clearly, $\ker \sigma = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{proj} X_n$.

Let E be a Fréchet space, $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ its sequence of local Banach spaces, and $i_n^m : E_m \rightarrow E_n$ the linking maps. There exists the following short

(topologically) exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n \xrightarrow{\sigma} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\sigma((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) := (i_n^{n+1}x_{n+1} - x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which we call the *canonical resolution* ([18, definition after 26.14]). Consider the spectrum $(L(X, E_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where the linking maps are defined as follows:

$$I_n^{n+1} : L(X, E_{n+1}) \rightarrow L(X, E_n), \quad I_n^{n+1}(T) = i_n^{n+1} \circ T.$$

Then $\text{Proj}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^1 L(X, E_n) = 0$ means exactly that every $T \in L(X, \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n)$ lifts with respect to σ , i.e., there is a map $S \in L(X, \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n)$ such that $\sigma \circ S = T$.

We will need some general homological facts.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let E be a Fréchet space with local Banach spaces $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let F be a locally convex space. If either E or F is nuclear then $\text{Ext}^1(F, E) \cong \text{Proj}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^1 L(F, E_n)$.*

Proof. Observe that $L(E, F)$ can be identified with $\lim \text{proj}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L(F, E_n)$. We apply the functor $L(F, \cdot)$ to the canonical resolution of E . By the standard homological argument we obtain the following long exact sequence (see, for instance, [19, Th. 3.4(b)]):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow L(F, E) \rightarrow L\left(F, \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n\right) \rightarrow L\left(F, \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n\right) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(F, E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1\left(F, \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n\right) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

For nuclear F and any Banach space X we have $\text{Ext}^1(F, X) = 0$ ([23]) and for nuclear E we may assume E_n to be injective for every n . In both cases we get $\text{Ext}^1(F, \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n) = 0$. We obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L(F, E_n) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L(F, E_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Proj}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^1 L(F, E_n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow T & & \uparrow T & & \uparrow & & \\ L(F, \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n) & \longrightarrow & L(F, \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}^1(F, E) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the upper row is obtained from the sequence defining Proj^1 for the spectrum $(L(F, E_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and we have omitted the beginning of both rows. Here T denotes the canonical identification. It is clear that the last vertical arrow is an isomorphism we are looking for. ■

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let E be a Fréchet space, F a locally convex space and $F_0 \subset F$ a subspace. If either E or F is nuclear and $\text{Ext}^1(F, E) = 0$ then $\text{Ext}^1(F_0, E) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be local Banach spaces for E . By our previous comments, we only have to show that $\text{Proj}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^1 L(F_0, E_n) = 0$. But this follows easily. Indeed, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 1.1, we show that every $t_n \in L(F_0, E_n)$ can be extended to $T_n \in L(F, E_n)$. We construct the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L(F, E_n) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L(F, E_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Proj}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^1 L(F, E_n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow S_1 & & \downarrow & & \\ \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L(F_0, E_n) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L(F_0, E_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Proj}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^1 L(F_0, E_n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where S_1, S_2 are surjective restriction maps. Thus also the last vertical arrow is surjective and this completes the proof. ■

We are now in a position to formulate our main theorems.

2. Main results

THEOREM 2.1. *A Fréchet space E is isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ if and only if it is $n^{1/d}$ -nuclear and has property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$.*

By [25, Th. 4.1], this has an immediate consequence:

COROLLARY 2.2. *A Fréchet space E is isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ if and only if it has property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ and it is isomorphic to a quotient of $H(\mathbb{D}^d)$.*

THEOREM 2.3. *If E is a Fréchet space then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{A}(\Omega), E) = 0$;
- (b) $\text{Proj}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^1 L(\mathcal{A}(\Omega), E_n) = 0$;
- (c) E has property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$.

REMARK. In fact, analyzing the proof of the above result, it follows that if (c) is satisfied then (a) and (b) also hold for any closed subspace Y of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ in place of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ or, more generally, for every complete nuclear space Y which has (DN_φ) for any φ with $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varepsilon} \varphi(r) = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ (see Definition 4.3 below).

The proof will consist of a series of lemmas and will take the rest of this paper.

3. Necessity of the conditions. We first quote the following result (Theorem 3.4 of [7]):

LEMMA 3.1. *If E is a Fréchet space isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ then it has property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$.*

Due to the preliminary remarks we know that every quotient of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is weakly $n^{1/d}$ -nuclear. This condition is self-improving by the following.

LEMMA 3.2. *If $W \subset V \subset U$ are absolutely convex sets, $\varepsilon > 0$ and*

$$V \subset C(r^{-1}U + r^\varepsilon W) \quad \text{for all } r > 0,$$

then there is D so that

$$\delta_n(V, U)^\varepsilon \leq D\delta_n(W, V) \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Proof. Let $F \subset E$ be a subspace. We set for the moment $\delta(V, U; F) = \inf\{\delta > 0 \mid V \subset \delta U + F\}$ and assume $\delta > \delta(W, U; F)$. Then, by assumption,

$$V \subset C(r^{-1} + r^\varepsilon \delta)U + F \quad \text{for all } r > 0,$$

hence

$$\delta(V, U; F) \leq C \inf_{r>0} (r^{-1} + r^\varepsilon \delta) = C(1 + \varepsilon)\varepsilon^{-\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)}\delta^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}$$

and therefore $\delta(V, U; F)^{1+\varepsilon} \leq D\delta(W, U; F)$. Since $\delta(W, U; F) \leq \delta(W, V; F) \times \delta(V, U; F)$ we obtain $\delta(V, U; F)^\varepsilon \leq D\delta(W, V; F)$. Taking the infimum over all F with $\dim F \leq n$ we obtain $\delta_n(V, U)^\varepsilon \leq D\delta_n(W, V)$. ■

LEMMA 3.3. *If the Fréchet space E has property $(\overline{\Omega})$ and is weakly α -nuclear then it is strongly α -nuclear. In particular it is α -nuclear.*

Proof. For k we choose $l > k$ according to $(\overline{\Omega})$, i.e. for every n and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C > 0$ so that

$$U_l \subset C(r^{-1}U_k + r^\varepsilon U_n) \quad \text{for all } r > 0.$$

Since E is weakly α -nuclear we can find $t > 0$ so that

$$e^{t\alpha_n} \delta_n(U_n, U_l) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$e^{t\alpha_n} \delta_n(U_l, U_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for every $t > 0$. ■

This completes the proof of the necessity of the conditions in Theorem 2.1. We state it as a separate lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. *If E is a Fréchet space isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ then E is $n^{1/d}$ -nuclear.*

For the proof of the sufficiency we need a further improvement.

LEMMA 3.5. *If the Fréchet space E is strongly α -nuclear then there is a stable exponent sequence β with $\lim_n \alpha_n/\beta_n = 0$ so that E is (strongly) β -nuclear.*

Proof. By a recursive choice of the fundamental system $(U_k)_k$ of neighborhoods of zero we may assume that

$$e^{m\alpha_n} \delta_n(U_{k+1}, U_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for all m and k .

We set $n_0 = 1$ and determine inductively $n_{m+1} > n_m$ so that

$$e^{(m+1)^2\alpha_n} \delta_n(U_{k+1}, U_k) < \frac{1}{m+1}$$

for $n \geq n_{m+1}$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$. Setting $\beta_n = m\alpha_n$ for $n_m \leq n < n_{m+1}$ we obtain the result. ■

To prove the necessity of the conditions in Theorem 2.3 we recall that in Domański–Langenbruch [5] it is shown that the space $\Lambda_0(n^{1/d}) \simeq H(\mathbb{D}^d)$ can be imbedded into $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

LEMMA 3.6. *If E is a Fréchet space and $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{A}(\Omega), E) = 0$ then E has property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$.*

Proof. We choose $\beta_n = n^{1/d}$ and imbed $\Lambda_0(\beta)$ into $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. Then by Corollary 1.2, $\text{Ext}^1(\Lambda_0(\beta), E) = 0$ and the result follows from [24, Theorem 4.2]. ■

4. Sufficiency of conditions in Theorem 2.3. First notice that, by Lemma 1.1, conditions (a) and (b) in Theorem 2.3 are equivalent. We write property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ in a different form. To do this, throughout this section we let φ and ψ denote increasing unbounded functions $(0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$.

DEFINITION 4.1. *E has property (Ω_ψ) if*

$$\forall k \exists l \forall n \exists C \forall r > 0 : \quad U_l \subset C\psi(r)U_n + r^{-1}U_k.$$

REMARK. Equivalently we may write (cf. [18, Lemma 29.13])

$$(1) \quad \forall k \exists l \forall n \exists C \forall r > 0 \forall y \in E' : \quad \|y\|_l^* \leq C\psi(r)\|y\|_n^* + r^{-1}\|y\|_k^*.$$

We obtain:

LEMMA 4.2. *E has property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ if and only if there is a function ψ with $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varepsilon}\psi(r) = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ so that E has property (Ω_ψ) .*

Proof. If E has property (Ω_ψ) with ψ as described, then clearly E has property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$.

To prove the converse we find for given k an $l = l(k)$ according to property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$. For $r > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$\psi_{k,n}(r) = \sup_{x \in U_l} \inf_{y \in r^{-1}U_k} \|x - y\|_n + 1.$$

Then, clearly,

$$U_l \subset \psi_{k,n}(r)U_n + r^{-1}U_k$$

for every $r > 0$ and, due to property $(\overline{\Omega})$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we obtain a constant $C > 0$ so that $\psi_{k,n}(r) \leq Cr^\varepsilon + 1$. This implies that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varepsilon} \psi_{k,n}(r) = 0$ for all k, n and $\varepsilon > 0$. It is easily seen that we can find ψ so that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varepsilon} \psi(r) = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and that for all k, n there are $C > 0$ and r_0 with $\psi_{k,n}(r) \leq C\psi(r)$ for all $r > r_0$. ■

Let now X be a locally convex space, let p_0, p, q denote continuous seminorms on X , and let φ be a nondecreasing positive unbounded function.

DEFINITION 4.3. X has *property* (DN_φ) if

$$\exists p_0 \forall p \exists q, C > 0 \forall r > 0 : \quad p \leq C \left(r p_0 + \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} q \right).$$

In this case, p_0 is a norm and it is called a φ -dominating norm.

In the next lemma we assume that X is an (LB)-space, i.e. there is a sequence $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$ of Banach spaces so that $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n$ and X carries the strongest topology so that all the imbeddings are continuous. We denote the norm in X_n by $\| \cdot \|_n$; we may assume that $\| \cdot \|_n \geq \| \cdot \|_{n+1}$ for all n .

LEMMA 4.4. *If there exists a continuous norm $\| \cdot \|$ on X so that for every n there is $0 < \tau_n < 1$ with*

$$\|x\|_{n+1} \leq \|x\|_n^{\tau_n} \|x\|^{1-\tau_n}$$

for all $x \in X_n$, then X has property (DN_φ) for every φ with $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varepsilon} \varphi(r) = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover $\| \cdot \|$ is a φ -dominating norm.

Proof. We set $A = \{x \in X \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$. We choose a neighborhood B of zero in X , which may be assumed to be of the form

$$B := \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \beta_\nu B_\nu := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \beta_\nu B_\nu,$$

where $(\beta_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \in (0, 1)^\mathbb{N}$ is a decreasing null sequence, and B_ν is the closed unit ball of X_ν . It is enough to show the existence of $C, r_0 \geq 1, (\gamma_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \in (0, 1)^\mathbb{N}$ and $l : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l(n) = \infty$ such that

$$\varphi(r)D \cap \frac{1}{2r} A \subset 2CB \quad \text{for all } r \geq r_0,$$

where

$$D := \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \gamma_\nu B_{l(\nu)}.$$

To start we choose an increasing function $\theta : [1, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ with $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \theta(r) = 1$ and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r)(1/r)^{1-\theta(r)} = 0.$$

Then we define an increasing function $n : [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $n(r) \leq r$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} n(r) = \infty$, and $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\varphi(r)(1/r)^{1-\theta(r)} \leq C\beta_{n(r)+1} \quad \text{for all } r \geq 1.$$

We construct an increasing function $l : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $l(n) \leq n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l(n) = \infty$ such that

$$d(n) := \tau_{l(n)} \leq \theta(n) \quad \text{for all } n.$$

This implies that there is $r_0 \geq 1$ such that $\varphi(r_0) \geq 1$ and

$$(2) \quad \varphi(r)(1/r)^{1-d(n(r))} \leq C\beta_{n(r)+1} \quad \text{for all } r \geq r_0$$

and, by assumption, we have

$$(3) \quad \|x\|_{l(n)+1} \leq \|x\|_{l(n)}^{d(n)} \|x\|^{1-d(n)} \quad \text{for all } n.$$

For every n we have $c_n \geq 1$ so that $\| \cdot \| \leq c_n \| \cdot \|_n$. We set

$$\gamma_n := \frac{\beta_n}{c_{l(n)} 2^{n+1}} \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{r\varphi(r)} : n(r) \leq n \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\varphi(r) \sum_{\nu=n(r)+1}^{\infty} \gamma_\nu B_{l(\nu)} \subset \left(\sum_{\nu=n(r)+1}^{\infty} \beta_\nu B_\nu \right) \cap \frac{1}{2r} A \quad \text{for every } r \geq 1.$$

Let now

$$D := \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \gamma_\nu B_{l(\nu)}.$$

If $r \geq r_0$ and $f \in \varphi(r)D \cap \frac{1}{2r}A$ we may write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 \in \varphi(r) \sum_{\nu=1}^{n(r)} \gamma_\nu B_{l(\nu)}$ and $f_2 \in \varphi(r) \sum_{\nu=n(r)+1}^{\infty} \gamma_\nu B_{l(\nu)}$. We obtain

$$f_2 \in \sum_{\nu=n(r)+1}^{\infty} \beta_\nu B_\nu, \quad f_1 \in \varphi(r)B_{l(n(r))} \cap r^{-1}A.$$

To prove that $f \in 2CB$ it is enough to show that $f_1 \in C\beta_{n(r)+1}B_{n(r)+1}$. We apply (2) and (3) to obtain, for $r \geq r_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1\|_{n(r)+1} &\leq \|f_1\|_{l(n(r))+1} \leq \|f_1\|_{l(n(r))}^{d(n(r))} \|f_1\|^{1-d(n(r))} \\ &\leq \varphi(r)^{d(n(r))} (1/r)^{1-d(n(r))} \leq C\beta_{n(r)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

The following lemma is probably well known. We give a proof for the sake of completeness. We set $\|f\|_M = \sup_{x \in M} |f(x)|$ for any function f on the set M . An open bounded subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$ is called *hyperconvex* whenever it is connected and there is a continuous plurisubharmonic negative function

ϱ on Ω such that the sets $\{z \in \Omega \mid \varrho(z) < c\}$ are relatively compact in Ω for every negative c (see [14, p. 80]).

LEMMA 4.5. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ be open, hyperconvex and connected, and $\omega \subset\subset U \cap \mathbb{R}^d$ open in \mathbb{R}^d and nonempty. Then for every open connected set V with $\omega \subset\subset V \subset\subset U$ there is $0 < \tau < 1$ so that*

$$\|f\|_V \leq \|f\|_U^\tau \|f\|_\omega^{1-\tau}$$

for all bounded holomorphic functions f on U .

Proof. We choose a small ball $E \subset \omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We denote by $v_{E,U}$ the relative extremal function, i.e.

$$v_{E,U}(z) = \sup\{v(z) \mid v \text{ plurisubharmonic on } U, v|_E \leq -1, v \leq 0\}.$$

As U is hyperconvex we have $\lim_{z \rightarrow w} v_{E,U}(z) = 0$ for all $w \in \partial U$ (see [14, Proposition 4.5.2]). We wish to show that $v_{E,U}$ is continuous.

Let V_E be the pluricomplex Green function of E (see [14, pp. 184 ff.]), which is continuous on \mathbb{C}^d ([14, Theorem 5.4.6]). Therefore $2\varepsilon := \inf\{V_E(z) \mid z \in \partial U\} > 0$. This implies that $u = \max(\varepsilon(v_{E,U} + 1), V_E) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ (the class of plurisubharmonic functions of minimal growth, see [14, p. 184]) and $u \leq 0$ on E . Therefore $u \leq V_E$, which implies $v_{E,U} \leq \varepsilon^{-1}V_E - 1$ on U . Because of the continuity of V_E , the upper semicontinuous regularization satisfies $v_{E,U}^* = -1$ on E . Therefore $v_{E,U}$ is continuous (see [14, Proposition 4.5.3]).

We set $\tau = \sup\{v_{E,U}(z) + 1 \mid z \in V\}$. Then $0 < \tau < 1$.

Let f be holomorphic, bounded and nonconstant on U . We put

$$v(z) = \frac{\log |f(z)| - \log \|f\|_U}{\log \|f\|_U - \log \|f\|_E}.$$

Then $v \leq v_{E,U}$ on U , hence $v(z) \leq \tau - 1$ for $z \in V$, which means

$$\frac{\log \|f\|_V - \log \|f\|_U}{\log \|f\|_U - \log \|f\|_E} \leq \tau - 1$$

and therefore

$$\|f\|_V \leq \|f\|_U^\tau \|f\|_E^{1-\tau} \leq \|f\|_U^\tau \|f\|_\omega^{1-\tau}. \blacksquare$$

PROPOSITION 4.6. *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is open and connected and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varepsilon} \varphi(r) = 0$, then $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ has property (DN_φ) .*

Proof. We choose $\omega \subset\subset \Omega$ open. If p is a continuous seminorm on $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, then there is a compact $K \subset \Omega$ so that p extends to a continuous seminorm on $H(K)$. We may assume that $\omega \subset K$. We choose a basis $U_1 \supset\supset U_2 \supset\supset \dots$ of open connected neighborhoods of K . Then Lemma 4.5 and the fact that every open connected subset in \mathbb{R}^d has a basis of hyperconvex neighborhoods in \mathbb{C}^d (this is definitely well known, see [4, proof of Prop. 1] or [12, proof of Props. 6 and 7]; explicitly it follows from [10, Lemma 1.1]) provide the assumption of Lemma 4.4. So $H(K)$ has property (DN_φ) and $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ is a

φ -dominating norm. But then we find a continuous seminorm q on $H(K)$ according to (DN_φ) . The restriction of q to $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ gives the result. ■

PROPOSITION 4.7. *If E is a Fréchet space with property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ then there is a nondecreasing positive function φ such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varepsilon} \varphi(r) = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and every nuclear Fréchet space F having (DN_φ) satisfies $\text{Ext}^1(F, E) = 0$.*

Proof. We proceed in a similar way to [17, Lemma 3]. First we choose, by use of Lemma 4.2, a function ψ so that E has property (Ω_ψ) and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varepsilon} \psi(r) = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. We set $\varphi(r) = \psi(r^2)$. Then φ dominates ψ , i.e. for every R there is D_R with $\psi(Rr) \leq D_R \varphi(r)$, and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varepsilon} \varphi(r) = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let p_0 be a φ -dominating norm in F . If a seminorm $p \geq p_0$ is given, then we choose $q \geq p$ according to (DN_φ) , i.e. we have

$$(4) \quad p \leq D \left(rp_0 + \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} q \right).$$

For $x \neq 0$ and $R > 0$ we put $r = R \frac{p(x)}{p_0(x)}$ in (1) to obtain, for any $y \in E'$,

$$\|y\|_l^* \leq C\psi \left(R \frac{p(x)}{p_0(x)} \right) \|y\|_n^* + \frac{1}{R} \frac{p_0(x)}{p(x)} \|y\|_k^*,$$

hence

$$\|y\|_l^* p(x) \leq C\psi \left(R \frac{p(x)}{p_0(x)} \right) p(x) \|y\|_n^* + \frac{1}{R} \|y\|_k^* p_0(x).$$

Now we put $r = \frac{1}{2D} \frac{p(x)}{p_0(x)}$ in (4) to obtain

$$\varphi \left(\frac{1}{2D} \frac{p(x)}{p_0(x)} \right) \leq 2D \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}$$

and therefore

$$\psi \left(R \frac{p(x)}{p_0(x)} \right) \leq D_{2DR} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2D} \frac{p(x)}{p_0(x)} \right) \leq 2DD_{2DR} \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}.$$

We have shown that

$$\exists p_0 \forall k \exists l \forall n, p, R \exists q, S \forall x, y : \|y\|_l^* p(x) \leq S \|y\|_n^* q(x) + \frac{1}{R} \|y\|_k^* p_0(x).$$

This is condition $(S_1^*)_0$ in [24]. As F is nuclear, [24, Theorem 3.8] yields the result (cf. also [26, Th. 5.2.6], [11, Th. 3.1]). ■

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is now completed by:

PROPOSITION 4.8. *If E is a Fréchet space with property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ and F is a nuclear locally convex space which has (DN_φ) for every φ satisfying $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varepsilon} \varphi(r) = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\text{Ext}^1(F, E) = 0$. In particular, this holds for any closed subspace F of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)^d$.*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary short topologically exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow X \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0.$$

Weakening the topology of X and F in a suitable way, we easily obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \xrightarrow{q_1} & F_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow J \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{q} & F \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where, by assumption, one can assume that F_1 has (DN_φ) for φ chosen according to Proposition 4.7. Thus J lifts with respect to q_1 and the lower row splits [6, Prop. 1.7(c)]. ■

5. Sufficiency of conditions in Theorem 2.1. We will use a result from [9]. For this we need some notation. Let $\omega : [0, \infty[\rightarrow [0, \infty[$ be a continuous increasing function. We call ω a *quasi-analytic weight function* if it has the following properties:

- (α) $\omega(2t) = O(\omega(t))$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- (β) $\int_0^\infty \frac{\omega(t)}{1+t^2} dt = \infty$.
- (γ) $\log t = o(\omega(t))$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- (δ) $\varphi_\omega : t \mapsto \omega(e^t)$ is convex.
- (ε) $\omega(t) = o(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Let ω be a weight function and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ an open set. We define (cf. [3])

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\Omega) \mid \text{for every } K \subset\subset \Omega \text{ and every } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ we have} \right.$$

$$\left. q_{K,m}(f) = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in K} |f^{(j)}(x)| \exp\left(-m \sum_{\nu=1}^d \varphi_\omega^*\left(\frac{j_\nu}{m}\right)\right) < \infty \right\},$$

where $\varphi_\omega^* : [0, \infty[\rightarrow [0, \infty[$ is the Young conjugate of φ_ω , i.e.,

$$\varphi_\omega^*(y) := \sup\{xy - \varphi_\omega(x) : x \geq 0\}.$$

It is easily seen that φ_ω^* is a convex increasing function. Then $\mathcal{E}_\omega(\Omega)$ is a nuclear Fréchet space which contains $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ continuously.

We denote by $A_s(\alpha)$ the finite type (for $s < \infty$) and infinite type (for $s = \infty$) power series space generated by the exponent sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$ (cf. [18, §29]). If α is a stable exponent sequence ($\sup_n \alpha_{2n}/\alpha_n < \infty$) then $A_s(\alpha) \simeq A_s(\alpha)^2$, in particular, we then have $A_s(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) \cong A_s(\alpha)$, where

$$A_s(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid |x|_t := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\xi_k| e^{t\alpha|k|} < \infty \text{ for all } t < s \right\}.$$

The following result is Lemma 3 of [9].

LEMMA 5.1. *If $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n$ is a stable exponent sequence such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n/\alpha_n = 0$$

then there is a quasi-analytic weight function ω and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_s(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(I) \xrightarrow{L} \mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(I) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $s = 1$ for $I = (-1, 1)$, $s = \infty$ for $I = \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{im } J \subset \mathcal{A}(I)$. The operator J is given by

$$J(\xi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_k e^{\text{sgn}(k)\alpha_{|k|}z},$$

and L is an infinite order differential operator which maps $\mathcal{A}(I)$ into $\mathcal{A}(I)$.

To extend this lemma to open cubes $Q = I_1 \times \dots \times I_d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where the I_ν are open intervals, we need some preparation. Since the exponentials $e^{\xi z} = \prod_{\nu=1}^d e^{\xi_\nu z_\nu}$ are total in $\mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(Q)$ it is easily seen that $\mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(Q) \cong \mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(I_1) \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(I_d)$. We will need to decompose a power series space into a tensor product of such spaces.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let α be a stable exponent sequence with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/d}/\alpha_n = 0$ and $s \in \{0, \infty\}$. Then there exists a stable exponent sequence β with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n/\beta_n = 0$ so that $A_s(\alpha) \cong A_s(\beta)^{\hat{\otimes} d}$.*

Proof. We set $\beta_n = \alpha_{n^d}$. This sequence satisfies the assertions on β . To establish the isomorphism we fix an enumeration $\mathbb{N}^d \ni j \mapsto n = n(j) \in \mathbb{N}$ of \mathbb{N}^d so that $m = m(j) := \max_\nu j_\nu$ is increasing and set $\gamma_n = \beta_{j_1} + \dots + \beta_{j_d}$ for $n = n(j)$. Then clearly $(m-1)^d < n \leq m^d$ and $\beta_m \leq \gamma_n \leq d\beta_m$. So using the stability of β we obtain, with suitable D ,

$$\frac{1}{D} \alpha_n \leq \beta_{\lfloor n^{1/d} \rfloor} \leq \beta_m \leq \gamma_n \leq d\beta_m \leq d\beta_{\lfloor n^{1/d} \rfloor + 1} \leq dD\alpha_n.$$

Since

$$A_s(\beta)^{\hat{\otimes} d} \cong \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^d} \mid |x|_t = \sum_j |\xi_j| e^{t(\beta_{j_1} + \dots + \beta_{j_d})} < \infty \text{ for all } t < s \right\},$$

the map $\xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^d} \mapsto x = (\xi_{j(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ establishes an isomorphism onto $A_s(\alpha)$. ■

We will use the following lemma (we omit the proof).

LEMMA 5.3. *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow X_k \xrightarrow{j_k} Y_k \xrightarrow{l_k} Z_k \rightarrow 0, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

be exact sequences of nuclear Fréchet spaces. Then

$$0 \rightarrow X_1 \hat{\otimes} X_2 \xrightarrow{J} Y_1 \hat{\otimes} Y_2 \xrightarrow{L} (Z_1 \hat{\otimes} Y_2) \oplus (Y_1 \hat{\otimes} Z_2) \xrightarrow{K} Z_1 \hat{\otimes} Z_2 \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence, where $J = j_1 \otimes j_2$, $L = (l_1 \otimes \text{id}) \oplus (\text{id} \otimes l_2)$ and $K(u_1 \oplus u_2) = (\text{id} \otimes l_2)u_1 - (l_1 \otimes \text{id})u_2$.

Now we are in a position to give a d -dimensional analogue to Lemma 5.1.

LEMMA 5.4. *If α is a stable exponent sequence with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n/\alpha_n = 0$, then there is a quasi-analytic weight function ω and an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda_s(\alpha, \mathbb{Z})^{\hat{\otimes} d} \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(Q) \xrightarrow{L} X \rightarrow 0,$$

where $s = 1$ for $Q = (-1, 1)^d$, $s = \infty$ for $Q = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\text{im } J \subset \mathcal{A}(Q)$ and X is a closed topological subspace of $\mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(Q)^d$. The operator J is given by

$$J(\xi) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \xi_j \exp\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^d \text{sgn}(j_\nu) \alpha_{|j_\nu|} z_\nu\right)$$

and $Lf = (L_1 f, \dots, L_d f)$, L_ν being the operator from Lemma 5.1 acting on the ν th variable. Moreover

$$L(\mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(Q)) = X := \{(f_1, \dots, f_d) \in \mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(Q)^d \mid L_\nu f_\mu = L_\mu f_\nu \text{ for all } \nu, \mu\},$$

and

$$L(\mathcal{A}(Q)) \subseteq Y := \{(f_1, \dots, f_d) \in \mathcal{A}(Q)^d \mid L_\nu f_\mu = L_\mu f_\nu \text{ for all } \nu, \mu\},$$

where Y is a closed topological subspace of $\mathcal{A}(Q)^d$.

Proof. This follows by induction on dimension by applying Lemma 5.3 to the $(d - 1)$ -dimensional exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda_s(\alpha, \mathbb{Z})^{\hat{\otimes}(d-1)} \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(Q) \xrightarrow{L} \text{im } L \rightarrow 0,$$

and the 1-dimensional exact sequence of Lemma 5.1. ■

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.1 for cubes, hence for all Cartesian product sets in \mathbb{R}^d . To do it for all open sets we need some geometrical preparation.

LEMMA 5.5. *Every open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is real-analytically diffeomorphic to an open set $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ so that $(-1, 1)^d \subset \Omega' \subset (-\infty, 1)^d$.*

Proof. For $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ this is clear, so assume $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^d$. We choose $y \in \Omega$ and then a point $w \in \partial B \cap \partial \Omega$ where B is the largest open ball with center y which is contained in Ω . By an affine transformation we may assume that $w = 0$ and $\{x \mid x_\nu > 0 \text{ for all } \nu, |x| < \varepsilon\} \subset \Omega$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

The reflection $x \mapsto |x|^{-2}x$ maps Ω onto Ω_1 with $\{x \mid x_\nu > 0 \text{ for all } \nu, |x| > r\} \subset \Omega_1$ for some $r > 0$.

Finally $x \mapsto \left(\frac{2s}{\pi} \arctan x_1 + 1 - s, \dots, \frac{2s}{\pi} \arctan x_d + 1 - s\right)$ for $s > 0$ large enough maps Ω_1 onto a set as claimed. ■

The proof of 2.1 is now completed by:

PROPOSITION 5.6. *If E is an $n^{1/d}$ -nuclear Fréchet space with property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ then E is isomorphic to a quotient space of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. We may assume that Ω is of the form described in Lemma 5.5. We set $Q = (-1, 1)^d$. According to Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 there is a stable exponent sequence α with $\lim_n n^{1/d}/\alpha_n = 0$ so that E is α -nuclear. By use of Lemma 5.2 we find a stable exponent sequence β with $\lim_n n/\beta_n = 0$ so that $\Lambda_0(\alpha) \cong \Lambda_0(\beta)^{\hat{\otimes} d}$. Since E has property $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$, hence $(\overline{\overline{\Omega}})$ (see [18]), it is isomorphic to a quotient space of $\Lambda_0(\alpha) \cong \Lambda_0(\beta)^{\hat{\otimes} d} \cong \Lambda_1(\beta)^{\hat{\otimes} d}$ by [21]. Let $q : \Lambda_1(\beta)^{\hat{\otimes} d} \rightarrow E$ be a quotient map.

For β we find ω according to Lemma 5.4 and obtain from that lemma the middle row of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & Z_1 & \xrightarrow{Q} & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow S & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{id} & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_1(\beta, \mathbb{Z})^{\hat{\otimes} d} & \xrightarrow{J} & \mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(Q) & \xrightarrow{L} & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \varrho_1 & & \uparrow \varrho_2 & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_1(\beta)^{\hat{\otimes} d} & \xrightarrow{J} & \mathcal{A}(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{L} & Y & &
 \end{array}$$

Here ι is the natural imbedding, i.e. for $\xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ we set $(\iota\xi)_j = \xi_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $(\iota\xi)_j = 0$ otherwise. This is an imbedding onto a complemented subspace so the quotient map q yields the surjective map S . Moreover, X (resp. Y) is the set of elements in $\mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(Q)^d$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)^d$) satisfying the compatibility conditions. We denote by ϱ_1 the restriction map $\mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(Q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(Q)$ and analogously ϱ_2 in the last column. The upper row is obtained via the standard procedure as in [6, Prop. 1.7(a)]. The diagram is commutative, the upper and middle rows are topologically exact.

We have to show that the map J in the lower row, which is the restriction to $\Lambda_0(\beta)^{\hat{\otimes} d}$ of the map J described in Lemma 5.4, has values in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. The reason is that for $\xi \in \Lambda_1(\beta)^{\hat{\otimes} d}$, we have

$$J(\xi) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^d} \xi_j \exp \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^d j_\nu \beta_{j_\nu} z_\nu \right).$$

This series converges uniformly on compact subset of $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \text{Re } z_\nu < 1 \text{ for all } \nu\}$, hence defines a holomorphic function on this set. Therefore it defines a real-analytic function on the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_\nu < 1 \text{ for all } \nu\}$ which contains Ω .

By Proposition 4.8 we have $\text{Ext}^1(Y, E) = 0$ and therefore ϱ_2 lifts to Z_1 with respect to Q . A standard proof (cf. [6, Prop. 1.7(c)]) shows that this

implies existence of a map $B : \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow E$ such that $B \circ J = S \circ \iota$. Since $B \circ J = S \circ \iota = q$ is surjective and open, so is B . ■

References

- [1] J. Bonet and P. Domański, *Real analytic curves in Fréchet spaces and their duals*, Monatsh. Math. 126 (1998), 13–36.
- [2] —, —, *Parameter dependence of solutions of partial differential equations in spaces of real analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), 495–503.
- [3] R. Braun, R. Meise and B. A. Taylor, *Ultradifferentiable functions and Fourier analysis*, Results Math. 17 (1990), 207–237.
- [4] H. Cartan, *Variétés analytiques réelles et variétés analytiques complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 85 (1957), 77–99.
- [5] P. Domański and M. Langenbruch, *Composition operators on spaces of real analytic functions*, Math. Nachr. 254-255 (2003), 68–86.
- [6] P. Domański and D. Vogt, *A splitting theory for the space of distributions*, Studia Math. 140 (2000), 57–77.
- [7] —, —, *The space of real-analytic functions has no basis*, *ibid.* 142 (2000), 187–200.
- [8] —, —, *Linear topological properties of the space of real-analytic functions on the real line*, in: Recent Progress in Functional Analysis (Valencia, 2000), K. D. Bierstedt *et al.* (eds.), North-Holland Math. Stud. 189, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, 113–132.
- [9] —, —, *A nontrivial Fréchet quotient of the space of real analytic functions*, Arch. Math. (Basel), to appear.
- [10] —, —, *Infinite systems of linear equations for real analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [11] L. Frerick and J. Wengenroth, *A sufficient condition for vanishing of the derived projective limit functor*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 67 (1996), 296–301.
- [12] H. Grauert, *On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real-analytic manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 68 (1958), 460–472.
- [13] M. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1980.
- [14] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [15] A. Martineau, *Sur la topologie des espaces de fonctions holomorphes*, Math. Ann. 163 (1966), 62–88.
- [16] R. Meise, *Sequence space representations for (DFN)-algebras of entire functions modulo closed ideals*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 363 (1985), 59–95.
- [17] R. Meise and D. Vogt, *A characterization of the quasi-normable Fréchet spaces*, Math. Nachr. 122 (1985), 141–150.
- [18] —, —, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997.
- [19] M. S. Osborne, *Basic Homological Algebra*, Springer, New York, 2000.
- [20] S. Rolewicz, *Metric Linear Spaces*, PWN, Warszawa, 1984.
- [21] D. Vogt, *Eine Charakterisierung der Potenzreihenräume von endlichem Typ und ihre Folgerungen*, Manuscripta Math. 37 (1982), 269–301.
- [22] —, *Frécheträume, zwischen denen jede stetige lineare Abbildung beschränkt ist*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 345 (1983), 182–200.
- [23] —, *Some results on continuous linear maps between Fréchet spaces*, in: Functional Analysis: Surveys and Recent Results III, K. D. Bierstedt and B. Fuchssteiner (eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 349–381.
- [24] —, *On the functors $\text{Ext}^1(E, F)$ for Fréchet spaces*, Studia Math. 85 (1987), 163–197.

- [25] D. Vogt, *On the characterization of subspaces and quotient spaces of stable power series spaces of finite type*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 50 (1988), 463–469.
- [26] J. Wengenroth, *Derived Functors in Functional Analysis*, Lecture Notes Math. 1810, Springer, Berlin, 2003.

Faculty of Mathematics
and Computer Science
A. Mickiewicz University Poznań
and
Institute of Mathematics
Polish Academy of Sciences
(Poznań branch)
Umultowska 87
61-614 Poznań, Poland
E-mail: domanski@amu.edu.pl

FB Mathematik
Bergische Universität Wuppertal
Gaußstr. 20
D-42097 Wuppertal, Germany
E-mail: frerick@math.uni-wuppertal.de
dvogt@math.uni-wuppertal.de

Received November 8, 2002
Revised version March 13, 2003

(5075)