

## Maximal regularity of delay equations in Banach spaces

by

CARLOS LIZAMA and VERÓNICA POBLETE (Santiago)

**Abstract.** We characterize existence and uniqueness of solutions for an inhomogeneous abstract delay equation in Hölder spaces. The main tool is the theory of operator-valued Fourier multipliers.

**1. Introduction.** Partial differential equations with delay have been extensively studied in the last years. In an abstract way they can be written as

$$(1.1) \quad u'(t) = Au(t) + Fu_t + f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $(A, D(A))$  is an (unbounded) linear operator on a Banach space  $X$ ,  $u_t(\cdot) = u(t + \cdot)$  on  $[-r, 0]$ ,  $r > 0$ , and the delay operator  $F$  is supposed to belong to  $\mathcal{B}(C([-r, 0], X), X)$ .

First studies on equation (1.1) go back to J. Hale [8] and G. Webb [12]. A general and systematic study of linear delay equations with emphasis on the qualitative behavior and asymptotic properties can be found in the recent monograph by Bátkai and Piazzera [5]. See also [13]. The problem to find conditions for all solutions of (1.1) to be in the same space as  $f$  arises naturally from recent studies on maximal regularity and their application to nonlinear problems in the theory of evolution equations; see the recent monograph by Denk–Hieber–Prüss [7] and references therein.

Recently, a significant progress has been made in finding sufficient conditions for operator-valued functions to be  $C^\alpha$ -Fourier multipliers (see [3]). In particular, in [4] the theory of operator-valued Fourier multipliers is applied to obtain results on the hyperbolicity of delay equations and in [9] to obtain stability of linear control systems in Banach spaces. Also in [10] existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions for equation (1.1) via  $L^p$ -Fourier multiplier theorems has recently been obtained.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34G10, 34K30, 47D06.

*Key words and phrases*: Fourier multipliers, delay differential equations,  $C_0$ -semi-groups.

The first author is partially supported by FONDECYT Grant #1050084.

In this paper we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions of well-posedness of the delay equation (1.1) in the Hölder spaces  $C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ), under the condition that  $X$  is a  $B$ -convex space. We stress that here  $A$  is not necessarily the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup.

The Fourier multiplier approach allows us to give a direct treatment of the equation, in contrast with the approach using the correspondence between (1.1) and the solutions of the abstract Cauchy problem

$$\mathcal{U}'(t) = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}(t) + \mathcal{F}(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & F \\ 0 & d/d\sigma \end{pmatrix}$ . In the latter case the question of well-posedness of the delay equation reduces to the question whether or not the operator  $(\mathcal{A}, D(\mathcal{A}))$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup; see [5, 6, 11] and references therein.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces and let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . We consider the spaces

$$\dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X : f(0) = 0, \|f\|_\alpha < \infty\}$$

normed by

$$\|f\|_\alpha = \sup_{t \neq s} \frac{\|f(t) - f(s)\|}{|t - s|^\alpha}.$$

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open set. By  $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  we denote the space of all  $C^\infty$ -functions in  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  having compact support in  $\Omega$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}f$  or  $\hat{f}$  the Fourier transform, i.e.

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ist} f(t) dt \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}, f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, X)).$$

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $M : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  be continuous. We say that  $M$  is a  $\dot{C}^\alpha$ -multiplier if there exists a mapping  $L : \dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X) \rightarrow \dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, Y)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Lf)(s)(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot M))(s)f(s) ds$$

for all  $f \in \dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$  and  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ .

Here  $(\mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot M))(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ist} \phi(t)M(t) dt \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . Note that  $L$  is well defined, linear and continuous (cf. [3, Definition 5.2]).

Define

$$C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X : \|f\|_{C^\alpha} < \infty\}$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C^\alpha} = \|f\|_\alpha + \|f(0)\|.$$

Let  $C^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}, X)$  be the Banach space of all  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$  such that  $u' \in \dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$ , equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{C^{\alpha+1}} = \|u'\|_{C^\alpha} + \|u(0)\|.$$

By Definition 2.1 and since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{F}(\phi M)(s))(s) ds = 2\pi(\phi M)(0) = 0,$$

it follows that  $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$  implies  $Lf \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$ . Moreover, if  $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is bounded then  $Lf$  is bounded as well (see [3, Remark 6.3]).

The following multiplier theorem is due to Arendt–Batty and Bu [3, Theorem 5.3].

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $M \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(X, Y))$  be such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{t \neq 0} \|M(t)\| + \sup_{t \neq 0} \|tM'(t)\| + \sup_{t \neq 0} \|t^2 M''(t)\| < \infty.$$

*Then  $M$  is a  $\dot{C}^\alpha$ -multiplier.*

**REMARK 2.3.** If  $X$  is  $B$ -convex, in particular if  $X$  is a UMD space, Theorem 2.2 remains valid if condition (2.2) is replaced by the weaker condition

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{t \neq 0} \|M(t)\| + \sup_{t \neq 0} \|tM'(t)\| < \infty,$$

where  $M \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(X, Y))$  (cf. [3, Remark 5.5]).

We use the symbol  $\widehat{f}(\lambda)$  for the Carleman transform:

$$\widehat{f}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt, & \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0, \\ - \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt, & \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is of subexponential growth; by this we mean

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon|t|} \|f(t)\| dt < \infty \quad \text{for each } \varepsilon > 0.$$

We remark that if  $u' \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is of subexponential growth, then

$$\widehat{u'}(\lambda) = \lambda \widehat{u}(\lambda) - u(0), \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda \neq 0.$$

**3. A characterization.** In this section we consider the equation

$$(3.1) \quad u'(t) = Au(t) + Fu_t + f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  is a closed linear operator,  $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$ , and, for some  $r > 0$ ,  $F : C([-r, 0], X) \rightarrow X$  is a bounded linear operator. Moreover  $u_t$  is an element of  $C([-r, 0], X)$  defined by  $u_t(\theta) = u(t + \theta)$  for  $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$ .

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let  $\mu : [-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$  be of bounded variation. Let  $F : C([-r, 0], X) \rightarrow X$  be the bounded operator given by the Riemann–Stieltjes integral

$$F(\phi) = \int_{-r}^0 \phi d\mu \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C([-r, 0], X).$$

An important special case involves operators  $F$  defined by

$$F(\phi) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k \phi(\tau_k), \quad \phi \in C([-r, 0], X),$$

where  $C_k \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $\tau_k \in [-r, 0]$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . For concrete equations with the above classes of delay operators see the monograph of Bátkai and Piazzera [5, Chapter 3].

DEFINITION 3.2. We say that (1.1) is  $C^\alpha$ -well posed if for each  $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$  there is a unique function  $u \in C^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, [D(A)])$  such that (1.1) is satisfied.

Set  $e_\lambda(t) := e^{i\lambda t}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and define the operators  $\{F_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$  by

$$(3.2) \quad F_\lambda x = F(e_\lambda x) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } x \in X.$$

We define the *real spectrum* of (3.1) by

$$\sigma(\Delta) = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : isI - F_s - A \in \mathcal{B}([D(A)], X) \text{ is not invertible}\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$  be a closed linear operator. Suppose that (1.1) is  $C^\alpha$ -well posed. Then*

- (i)  $\sigma(\Delta) = \emptyset$ ,
- (ii)  $\{i\eta(i\eta I - A - F_\eta)^{-1}\}_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}}$  is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in D(A)$  and let  $u(t) = e^{i\eta t}x$  for  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $u_t(s) = e^{i\eta s}e^{is\eta}x$ . Thus

$$(3.3) \quad F(u_t) = e^{i\eta t}F(e_\eta x) = e^{i\eta t}F_\eta x.$$

Now if  $(i\eta - A - F_\eta)x = 0$ , then  $u(t)$  is a solution of equation (1.1) when  $f \equiv 0$ . Hence by uniqueness  $x = 0$ . Now let  $L : C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X) \rightarrow C^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}, X)$  be the bounded operator which takes each  $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$  to the unique solution  $u \in C^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}, X)$  of (1.1). Fix  $y \in X$  and  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and define  $f(t) = e^{i\eta t}y$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $u(t)$  be the unique solution of (1.1) such that  $L(f) = u$ .

We claim that  $v(t) := u(t + s_0)$  and  $w(t) := e^{is_0\eta}u(t)$  both satisfy (1.1) when  $f$  is replaced by  $e^{is_0\eta}f(t)$ . First we notice that

$$v_t(s) = u(t + s_0 + s) = u_{t+s_0}(s).$$

Hence  $F(v_t) = F(u_{t+s_0})$ . Then an easy computation shows that  $v(t)$  satisfies (1.1). On the other hand,

$$w_t(s) = w(t+s) = e^{i\eta s_0} u(t+s) = e^{i\eta s_0} u_t(s).$$

Hence  $F(w_t) = e^{i\eta s_0} F(u_t)$ . Thus

$$e^{i\eta s_0} u'(t) = e^{i\eta s_0} (Au(t) + F(u_t) + f(t)) = Aw(t) + F(w_t) + e^{i\eta s_0} f(t),$$

that is,  $w(t)$  satisfies (1.1). By uniqueness we again have

$$u(t+s) = e^{i\eta s} u(t)$$

for all  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, when  $t = 0$  we obtain

$$u(s) = e^{i\eta s} u(0), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now let  $x = u(0) \in D(A)$ . Then  $u(t) = e^{i\eta t} x$  satisfies (1.1), that is, by (3.3),

$$i\eta u(t) = Au(t) + F(u_t) + e^{i\eta t} y = Au(t) + e^{i\eta t} F_\eta x + e^{i\eta t} y.$$

In particular, if  $t = 0$  we obtain

$$i\eta x = Ax + F_\eta x + y,$$

since  $x = u(0)$ . Thus

$$(3.4) \quad (i\eta I - A - F_\eta)x = y$$

and hence  $i\eta I - A - F_\eta$  is bijective. This shows assertion (i) of the proposition.

Next we notice that  $u(t) = (i\eta - A - F_\eta)^{-1} y$  by (3.4). Since  $\|e_\eta \otimes x\|_\alpha = K_\alpha |\eta|^\alpha \|x\|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_\alpha |\eta|^\alpha \|i\eta(i\eta - A - F_\eta)^{-1} y\| &= \|e_\eta \otimes i\eta(i\eta - A - F_\eta)^{-1} y\|_\alpha = \|u'\|_\alpha \\ &\leq \|u\|_{1+\alpha} = \|Lf\|_{1+\alpha} \leq \|L\| \|f\|_\alpha \leq \|L\| (\|f\|_\alpha + \|f(0)\|) \\ &= \|L\| (\|e_\eta \otimes y\|_\alpha + \|y\|) \leq \|L\| (K_\alpha |\eta|^\alpha + 1) \|y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $\varepsilon > 0$  it follows that

$$\sup_{|\eta| > \varepsilon} \|i\eta(i\eta - A - F_\eta)^{-1} y\| \leq \|L\| \sup_{|\eta| > \varepsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{K_\alpha |\eta|^\alpha} \right) < \infty. \quad \blacksquare$$

Recall that a Banach space  $X$  has *Fourier type*  $p$ , where  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , if the Fourier transform defines a bounded linear operator from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R}, X)$ , where  $q$  is the conjugate index of  $p$ . For example, the space  $L^p(\Omega)$ , where  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , has Fourier type  $p$ ;  $X$  has Fourier type 2 if and only if  $X$  is a Hilbert space;  $X$  has Fourier type  $p$  if and only if  $X^*$  has Fourier type  $p$ . Every Banach space has Fourier type 1;  $X$  is *B-convex* if it has Fourier type  $p$  for some  $p > 1$ . Every uniformly convex space is *B-convex*.

Our main result in this paper establishes that the converse of Proposition 3.3 is true.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $A$  be a closed linear operator defined on a  $B$ -convex space  $X$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *Equation (1.1) is  $C^\alpha$ -well posed.*
- (ii)  *$\sigma(\Delta) = \emptyset$  and  $\sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}} \|i\eta(i\eta I - A - F_\eta)^{-1}\| < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Define the operator  $M(t) = (B_t - A)^{-1}$ , with  $B_t = itI - F_t$ . Note that by hypothesis  $M \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(X, [D(A)]))$ .

We claim that  $M$  is a  $C^\alpha$ -multiplier. In fact, by hypothesis it is clear that  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|M(t)\| < \infty$ . On the other hand, we have

$$M'(t) = -M(t)B'_tM(t)$$

with  $B'_t = iI - F'_t$  and  $F'_t(x) = F(e'_t x)$  where  $e'_t(s) = ise^{ist}$ . Note that for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad \|F_t x\|_X \leq \|F(e_t x)\|_X \leq \|F\| \|e_t x\|_\infty \leq \|F\| \|x\|_X,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \|F'_t x\|_X \leq \|F(e'_t x)\|_X \leq \|F\| \|e'_t x\|_\infty \leq r \|F\| \|x\|_X.$$

Hence  $B'_t$  is uniformly bounded with respect to  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and we conclude from the hypothesis that

$$(3.7) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|tM'(t)\| = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|[tM(t)]B'_tM(t)\| < \infty,$$

and hence the claim follows from Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.3.

Now, define  $N \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(X))$  by  $N(t) = (id \cdot M)(t)$ , where  $id(t) := it$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We will prove that  $N$  is a  $C^\alpha$ -multiplier. In fact, with a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} tN'(t) &= itM(t) + it^2M'(t) = itM(t) + i[itM(t)]B'_t[itM(t)] \\ &= N(t) + iN(t)B'_tN(t). \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis and (3.6) it follows that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|tN'(t)\| \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|N(t)\| + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|N(t)B'_tN(t)\| < \infty,$$

hence from Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.3 the claim is proved.

A similar calculation proves that  $P \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(X))$  defined by  $P(t) = F_tM(t)$  is a  $C^\alpha$ -multiplier. In fact, we have  $tP'(t) = F'_tN(t) + F_t tM'(t)$ , and hence from (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) we see that  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|P(t)\| + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|tP'(t)\| < \infty$ .

Let  $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$ . Since  $M, N$  and  $P$  are  $C^\alpha$ -multipliers, there exist  $\bar{u} \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, [D(A)])$ ,  $v \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$  and  $w \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(s)(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot M)(s)f(s) ds,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(s)(\mathcal{F}\psi)(s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\psi \cdot id \cdot M)(s)f(s) ds,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(s)(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\varphi \cdot F.M)(s)f(s) ds,$$

for all  $\phi, \psi, \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

Note that for  $x \in X$  and  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{F}(\phi F.M)(s)x = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ist} \phi(t) F_t M(t)x dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ist} \phi(t) F(e_t M(t)x) dt,$$

where  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ist} \phi(t) e_t M(t)x dt \in C([-r, 0], X)$ . Now, for all  $\theta \in [-r, 0]$  we have

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ist} \phi(t) e_t(\theta) M(t)x dt \right\|_X \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(t)| \|M(t)x\|_X dt.$$

Since  $F$  is bounded, we deduce that

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot F.M)(s)x = F(\mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot e.M)(s)x).$$

Furthermore, observe that for  $\theta \in [-r, 0]$  fixed we have  $e_*(\theta)\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

Using (3.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(s + \theta)(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(s + \theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ist} \phi(t) dt ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(s + \theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(s+\theta)t} e_t(\theta) \phi(t) dt ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(s + \theta)(\mathcal{F}e_*(\theta)\phi)(s + \theta) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(s)(\mathcal{F}e_*(\theta)\phi)(s) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(e_*(\theta)\phi \cdot M)(s)f(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}_s(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(e_*\phi \cdot M)(s)f(s) ds$ .

Since  $\theta \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}_s(\theta)(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds \in C([-r, 0], X)$  (see [3, p. 25]), from the boundedness of  $F$  and (3.12) it follows that

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot F.M)(s)f(s) ds &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} F\mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot e.M)(s)f(s) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} F\bar{u}_s(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $F.M$  is a  $C^\alpha$ -multiplier, from (3.10) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} w(s)(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F\bar{u}_s(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds$$

for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . We conclude that there exists  $y_1 \in X$  satisfying  $w(t) = F\bar{u}_t + y_1$ , proving that  $F\bar{u} \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$ .

Choosing  $\phi = id \cdot \psi$  in (3.8) we deduce from (3.9) that

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(s)\mathcal{F}(id \cdot \psi)(s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(s)(\mathcal{F}\psi)(s) ds,$$

and it follows from Lemma 6.2 in [3] that  $\bar{u} \in C^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}, X)$  and  $\bar{u}' = v + y_2$  for some  $y_2 \in X$ .

Since  $(id I - F, -A)M = I$  we have  $id \cdot M = I + F.M + AM$  and replacing in (3.9) gives

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(s)(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot (I + F.M + AM))(s)f(s) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{F}\phi)(s)f(s) ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot F.M)(s)f(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot AM)(s)f(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

Since  $\bar{u}(t) \in D(A)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot M)(s)x \in D(A)$  for all  $x \in X$ , using the fact that  $A$  is closed and inserting (3.8) and (3.13) in (3.15) we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(s)(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} F\bar{u}_s(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} A\bar{u}(s)(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s)f(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s)(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . By Lemma 5.1 in [3] this implies that for some  $y_3 \in X$  one has

$$v(t) = F\bar{u}_t + A\bar{u}(t) + f(t) + y_3, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consequently,  $\bar{u}'(t) = v(t) + y_2 = F\bar{u}_t + A\bar{u}(t) + f(t) + y$  where  $y = y_2 + y_3$ . In particular  $A\bar{u} \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$ . Now, by hypothesis we can define  $x = (A + F)^{-1}y \in D(A)$ , and then it is clear that  $u(t) := \bar{u}(t) + x$  is in  $C^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, [D(A)])$  and satisfies (1.1). We have shown that a solution of (1.1) exists.

In order to prove uniqueness, suppose that

$$(3.17) \quad u'(t) = Au(t) + Fu_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $u \in C^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, [D(A)])$  and, as shown,  $Au, Fu \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$ .



We claim that  $\widehat{u}_\cdot(\lambda) \in C([-r, 0], X)$  for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \neq 0$ . In fact, let  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\lambda t} u_t\|_\infty &= \sup_{\theta \in [-r, 0]} \|e^{-\lambda t} u(t + \theta)\|_X \leq \sup_{\theta \in [-r, 0]} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \lambda t} (1 + |t + \theta|^\alpha) \\ &\leq e^{-\operatorname{Re} \lambda t} (1 + (|t| + r)^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $e^{-\operatorname{Re} \lambda t} (1 + (|t| + r)^\alpha) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , applying the dominated convergence theorem we obtain the claim. Analogously we argue for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$ .

Now, note that for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$  and  $\theta \in [-r, 0]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} u_t(\theta) dt &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} u(t + \theta) dt = \int_\theta^\infty e^{-\lambda(t-\theta)} u(t) dt \\ &= e^{\lambda\theta} \int_\theta^\infty e^{-\lambda t} u(t) dt = e^{\lambda\theta} \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} u(t) dt + \int_\theta^0 e^{-\lambda t} u(t) dt \right) \\ &= e^{\lambda\theta} \widehat{u}(\lambda) + e^{\lambda\theta} \int_\theta^0 e^{-\lambda t} u(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously if  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$  and  $\theta \in [-r, 0]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\lambda t} u_t(\theta) dt &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\lambda t} u(t + \theta) dt = - \int_{-\infty}^\theta e^{-\lambda(t-\theta)} u(t) dt \\ &= -e^{\lambda\theta} \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\lambda t} u(t) dt - \int_\theta^0 e^{-\lambda t} u(t) dt \right) \\ &= e^{\lambda\theta} \widehat{u}(\lambda) + e^{\lambda\theta} \int_\theta^0 e^{-\lambda t} u(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F$  is bounded, we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad \widehat{Fu}_\cdot(\lambda) = F\widehat{u}_\cdot(\lambda) = Fg\widehat{u}(\lambda) + Fgh \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \neq 0$$

where  $g(\theta) = e^{\lambda\theta}$  and  $h(\theta) = \int_\theta^0 e^{-\lambda t} u(t) dt$ . Note that  $gh \in C([-r, 0], X)$ .

Since  $\widehat{u}'(\lambda) = \lambda\widehat{u}(\lambda) - u(0)$  for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \neq 0$ , one has  $\widehat{u}(\lambda) \in D(A)$  and

$$(3.19) \quad \widehat{u}'(\lambda) = \widehat{Au}(\lambda) + \widehat{Fu}_\cdot(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \neq 0.$$

Using the fact that  $A$  is closed, from (3.18) and (3.19) we get

$$(\lambda I - Fg - A)\widehat{u}(\lambda) = u(0) + Fgh \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $i\mathbb{R} \subset \varrho(A)$ , it follows that the Carleman spectrum  $\operatorname{sp}_\mathbb{C}(u)$  of  $u$  is empty. Hence  $u \equiv 0$  by [2, Theorem 4.8.2]. ■

We denote by  $\mathcal{K}_F(X)$  the class of operators in  $X$  satisfying (ii) in the above theorem. If  $A \in \mathcal{K}_F(X)$  we have  $u', Au, Fu_\cdot \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$ , and hence we deduce the following result.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let  $X$  be  $B$ -convex and  $A \in \mathcal{K}_F(X)$ . Then*

- (i) *(1.1) has a unique solution in  $Z := C^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, [D(A)])$  if and only if  $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$ .*
- (ii) *There exists a constant  $M > 0$  independent of  $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$  such that*

$$(3.20) \quad \|u'\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)} + \|Au\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)} + \|Fu\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)} \leq M\|f\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)}.$$

REMARK 3.6. The inequality (3.20) is a consequence of the closed graph theorem and known as the *maximal regularity property* for equation (1.1). From it we deduce that the operator  $L$  defined by

$$D(L) = Z, \quad (Lu)(t) = u'(t) - Au(t) - Fu_t,$$

is an isomorphism onto. In fact, since  $A$  is closed, the space  $Z$  becomes a Banach space under the norm

$$\|u\|_Z := \|u\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)} + \|u'\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)} + \|Au\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)}.$$

Such isomorphisms are crucial for the treatment of nonlinear versions of (1.1).

Assume  $X$  is  $B$ -convex and  $A \in \mathcal{K}_F(X)$  and consider the semilinear problem

$$(3.21) \quad u'(t) = Au(t) + Fu_t + f(t, u(t)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Define the Nemytskiĭ superposition operator  $N : Z \rightarrow C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$  by  $N(v)(t) = f(t, v(t))$ , and the bounded linear operator

$$S : C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X) \rightarrow Z$$

by  $S(g) = u$  where  $u$  is the unique solution of the linear problem

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + Fu_t + g(t).$$

Then to solve (3.21) we have to show that the operator  $H : Z \rightarrow Z$  defined by  $H = SN$  has a fixed point.

For related information we refer to Amann [1] where results on quasilinear delay equations involving the method of maximal regularity are presented.

We finish this paper with the following result which gives us a useful criterion to verify condition (ii) in the above theorem.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let  $X$  be a  $B$ -convex space and let  $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$  be a closed linear operator such that  $i\mathbb{R} \subset \varrho(A)$  and  $\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \|A(isI - A)^{-1}\| =: M < \infty$ . Suppose that*

$$(3.22) \quad \|F\| < \frac{1}{\|A^{-1}\|M}.$$

*Then for each  $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, X)$  there is a unique function  $u \in C^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, [D(A)])$  such that (1.1) is satisfied.*

*Proof.* From the identity

$$isI - A - F_s = (isI - A)(I - F_s(isI - A)^{-1}), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

it follows that  $isI - A - F_s$  is invertible whenever  $\|F_s(isI - A)^{-1}\| < 1$ . Next observe that

$$(3.23) \quad \|F_s\| \leq \|F\|,$$

and hence

$$\|F_s(isI - A)^{-1}\| = \|F_s A^{-1} A(isI - A)^{-1}\| \leq \|F\| \|A^{-1}\| M =: \alpha.$$

Therefore, under the condition (3.22) we obtain  $\sigma(\Delta) = \emptyset$  and the identity

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} (isI - A - F_s)^{-1} &= (isI - A)^{-1}(I - F_s(isI - A)^{-1}) \\ &= (isI - A)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [F_s(isI - A)^{-1}]^n. \end{aligned}$$

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|is(isI - A)^{-1}[F_s(isI - A)^{-1}]^n\| &\leq \|is(isI - A)^{-1}\| \|F_s A^{-1} A(isI - A)^{-1}\|^n \\ &\leq \|is(isI - A)^{-1}\| \|F_s A^{-1}\|^n \|A(isI - A)^{-1}\|^n \\ &\leq \|is(isI - A)^{-1}\| \|A^{-1}\|^n \|F_s\|^n \|A(isI - A)^{-1}\|^n. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|is(isI - A)^{-1}[F_s(isI - A)^{-1}]^n\| &\leq \|is(isI - A)^{-1}\| \|A^{-1}\|^n \|F\|^n M^n \\ &= \|is(isI - A)^{-1}\| \alpha^n. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (3.24), one has

$$\|is(isI - A - F_s)^{-1}\| \leq \|is(isI - A)^{-1}\| \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \leq \frac{M + 1}{1 - \alpha}.$$

This proves that  $\{is(isI - A - F_s)^{-1}\}$  is bounded and the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.4. ■

## References

- [1] H. Amann, *Quasilinear parabolic functional evolution equations*, preprint.
- [2] W. Arendt, C. Batty, M. Hieber and F. Neubrander, *Vector-Valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, Monogr. Math. 96, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [3] W. Arendt, C. Batty and S. Bu, *Fourier multipliers for Hölder continuous functions and maximal regularity*, Studia Math. 160 (2004), 23–51.
- [4] A. Bátkai, E. Fašanga and R. Shvidkoy, *Hyperbolicity of delay equations via Fourier multipliers*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 69 (2003), 131–145.
- [5] A. Bátkai and S. Piazzera, *Semigroups for Delay Equations*, Res. Notes Math. 10, A.K. Peters, Boston, MA, 2005.

- [6] A. Bátkai and S. Piazzera, *Semigroups and linear partial differential equations with delay*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 264 (2001), 1–20.
- [7] R. Denk, M. Hieber and J. Prüss, *R-boundedness, Fourier multipliers and problems of elliptic and parabolic type*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 166 (2003), no. 788.
- [8] J. K. Hale, *Functional Differential Equations*, Appl. Math. Sci. 3, Springer, 1971.
- [9] Y. Latushkin and F. Răbiger, *Operator valued Fourier multipliers and stability of strongly continuous semigroups*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 51 (2005), 375–394.
- [10] C. Lizama, *Fourier multipliers and periodic solutions of delay equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., to appear.
- [11] M. Stein, H. Vogt and J. Voigt, *The modulus semigroup for linear delay equations III*, J. Funct. Anal. 220 (2005), 388–400.
- [12] G. Webb, *Functional differential equations and nonlinear semigroups in  $L^p$ -spaces*, J. Differential Equations 29 (1976), 71–89.
- [13] J. Wu, *Theory and Applications of Partial Differential Equations*, Appl. Math. Sci. 119, Springer, 1996.

Departamento de Matemática  
Facultad de Ciencias  
Universidad de Santiago de Chile  
Casilla 307, Correo 2  
Santiago, Chile  
E-mail: clizama@lauca.usach.cl  
vpoblete@lauca.usach.cl

*Received November 16, 2005*  
*Revised version March 6, 2006*

(5803)