

Some properties and applications of equicontact sets of operators

by

E. SERRANO, C. PIÑEIRO and J. M. DELGADO (Huelva)

Abstract. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. A subset M of $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ (the vector space of all compact operators from X into Y endowed with the operator norm) is said to be equicontact if every bounded sequence (x_n) in X has a subsequence $(x_{k(n)})_n$ such that $(Tx_{k(n)})_n$ is uniformly convergent for $T \in M$. We study the relationship between this concept and the notion of uniformly completely continuous set and give some applications. Among other results, we obtain a generalization of the classical Ascoli theorem and a compactness criterion in $\mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$, the Banach space of all (finitely additive) vector measures (with compact range) from a field \mathcal{F} of sets into X endowed with the semivariation norm.

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper X and Y will be Banach spaces. As usual, we will denote by $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ the Banach space of all compact operators from X into Y endowed with the operator norm. In [9] the authors introduced the notion of an equicontact set of operators. A set $M \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is said to be *equicontact* if every bounded sequence (x_n) in X has a subsequence $(x_{k(n)})_n$ such that $(Tx_{k(n)})_n$ is uniformly convergent for $T \in M$. They proved that the notions of equicontact set and collectively compact set are dual in the following sense: $M \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is equicontact (respectively, collectively compact) iff $M^* = \{T^* : T \in M\}$ is collectively compact (respectively, equicontact). We recall that M is called *collectively compact* if the set $\bigcup_{T \in M} T(B_X)$ is relatively compact. Thus, the well known Palmer theorem [7] takes the following new form:

THEOREM A. *If M is a subset of $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is relatively compact.
- (ii) M is equicontact and $Mx = \{Tx : T \in M\}$ is relatively compact for every $x \in X$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47B07, 46G10.

Key words and phrases: compact operators, equicontact sets of operators, collectively compact set, vector measures, Ascoli's theorem.

- (iii) M is collectively compact and $M^*y^* = \{T^*y^* : T \in M\}$ is relatively compact for every $y^* \in Y^*$.

In particular, the authors of [9] have obtained the following characterization of compactness in a dual Banach space that we will use throughout this paper.

COROLLARY B. *Let X be a Banach space and $A \subset X^*$ a bounded set. Then A is relatively compact iff every bounded sequence (x_n) in X has a subsequence $(x_{k(n)})_n$ so that $((x_{k(n)}, a))_n$ is uniformly convergent for $a \in A$.*

In [9], the authors proved that a set $M \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is equicontact iff there exists a null sequence (x_n^*) in X^* such that $\|Tx\| \leq \sup_n |\langle x, x_n^* \rangle|$ for all $x \in X$ and $T \in M$. They also proved that equicontact sets are uniformly completely continuous, that is, $\|Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $T \in M$ whenever (x_n) is a weakly null sequence in X . Actually, if the Banach space X does not contain a copy of ℓ_1 , equicontact sets and uniformly completely continuous sets are the same (see Proposition 2.2 below).

In this paper we deepen the study of the relationships between equicontact and uniformly completely continuous sets. Moreover, we obtain a generalization of the classical Ascoli theorem and a characterization of compactness in $\mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$, the Banach space of all (finitely additive) vector measures from \mathcal{F} into X with compact range, \mathcal{F} being a field of subsets of a set Ω .

We use the classical notation in Banach space theory. If X is a Banach space, X^* denotes its dual space, B_X its closed unit ball and S_X its unit sphere. For a subset A of X , $\overline{\text{co}}(A)$ is the closed convex hull of A . As usual, $\ell_1(I, X)$ (respectively $\ell_\infty(I, X)$) stands for the Banach space of all functions $\hat{x} : I \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\sum_{i \in I} \|\hat{x}(i)\| < \infty$ (respectively $\sup\{\|\hat{x}(i)\| : i \in I\} < \infty$) endowed with its natural norm. We will use the following version of the well known Vala compactness criterion in $\ell_\infty^c(I, X)$ (the Banach space of all functions $\hat{x} : I \rightarrow X$ with relatively compact range endowed with the supremum norm).

THEOREM 1.1 (K. Vala [10, Theorem 1]). *Let $M \subset \ell_\infty^c(I, X)$ be bounded. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is relatively compact.
- (ii) M has the following properties:
 - (a) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite partition $\{D_1, \dots, D_p\}$ of I such that

$$1 \leq k \leq p, i, j \in D_k \Rightarrow \|\hat{x}(i) - \hat{x}(j)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } \hat{x} \in M.$$

- (b) $M(i) = \{\hat{x}(i) : \hat{x} \in M\}$ is relatively compact for all $i \in I$.

Our notation from vector measure theory follows [3]. We only consider vector measures defined on fields of sets. If \mathcal{F} is a field of subsets of a set Ω ,

X is a Banach space and $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ is such a measure, we denote by $\|m\|(A)$ the semivariation of $A \in \mathcal{F}$:

$$\|m\|(A) = \sup\{|x^* \circ m|(A) : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}.$$

The range of m is denoted by $\text{rg}(m)$, that is, $\text{rg}(m) = \{m(A) : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Finally, we denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$ the Banach space of all scalar-valued functions on Ω that are uniform limits of simple functions modeled on \mathcal{F} .

2. Relationships between equicontact and uniformly completely continuous sets

THEOREM 2.1. *Let M be a bounded subset of $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is equicontact.
- (ii) M has the following properties:
 - (a) M is uniformly completely continuous.
 - (b) For every seminormalized sequence (x_n) in X equivalent to the ℓ_1 unit vector basis and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite partition $\{D_1, \dots, D_p\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $\|Tx_n - Tx_m\| < \varepsilon$ for all $T \in M$ whenever $m, n \in D_i$ and $i = 1, \dots, p$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). We only have to prove (b). Let $\phi : \ell_1 \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an isomorphism with $\phi(e_n) = x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, the set $M \circ \phi = \{T \circ \phi : T \in M\}$ is equicontact and, therefore, $\phi^* \circ M^*$ is collectively compact. That is, the set

$$\bigcup_{T \in M} \phi^*(T^*(B_{Y^*})) = \{(\langle x_n, T^*y^* \rangle) : y^* \in B_{Y^*}, T \in M\}$$

is relatively compact in ℓ_∞ . According to Theorem 1.1, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite partition $\{D_1, \dots, D_p\}$ of \mathbb{N} so that

$$n, m \in D_i \Rightarrow |\langle x_n - x_m, T^*y^* \rangle| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } y^* \in B_{Y^*} \text{ and } T \in M,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, p$. This yields $\|Tx_n - Tx_m\| < \varepsilon$ for all $T \in M$ and $i = 1, \dots, p$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let (x_n) be a bounded sequence in X . By Rosenthal's ℓ_1 -theorem, (x_n) has a subsequence which is either weakly Cauchy or equivalent to the unit basis of ℓ_1 (for simplicity, we will go on denoting it by (x_n)). In the first case, (Tx_n) is uniformly convergent for $T \in M$ because M is uniformly completely continuous. In the second case, by hypothesis, there exists a partition $\{D_1, \dots, D_p\}$ of \mathbb{N} so that

$$n, m \in D_i \Rightarrow \|Tx_n - Tx_m\| < 1 \text{ for all } T \in M$$

and $i = 1, \dots, p$. Some of the D_i 's must be infinite, so we can choose $i \leq p$ such that D_i is infinite. If $k_1 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow D_i$ is an increasing bijection, then $(x_{k_1(n)})$ is a sequence equivalent to the unit basis of ℓ_1 ; so repeating this process

inductively, we can determine a sequence of subsequences $(k_p(n))_n$ such that $(k_{p+1}(n))_n$ is a subsequence of $(k_p(n))_n$ and

$$\|Tx_{k_p(n)} - Tx_{k_p(m)}\| < 1/p \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } T \in M$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Now it is easy to deduce that $(Tx_{k_p(p)})_p$ is uniformly convergent for $T \in M$. ■

The next proposition proves that all uniformly completely continuous sets are equicontact iff X does not contain a copy of ℓ_1 . We denote by $\mathcal{V}(X, Y)$ the vector space of all completely continuous operators from X into Y endowed with the operator norm.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let X be a Banach space. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *For every Banach space Y and every $M \subset \mathcal{V}(X, Y)$, M is equicontact whenever M is uniformly completely continuous.*
- (ii) *There exists a Banach space Y such that every uniformly completely continuous set $M \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is equicontact.*
- (iii) *X does not contain copy of ℓ_1 .*

Proof. If $X \not\hookrightarrow \ell_1$, then $\mathcal{V}(X, Y) = \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ for all Banach spaces Y and (iii) \Rightarrow (i) can be deduced using Theorem 2.1; so we only have to prove (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Assuming (ii), to prove that X does not contain a copy of ℓ_1 , we show that every uniformly completely continuous subset A of X^* is relatively compact [5, Th. 2]. Take $y_0 \in S_Y$ and put $M = A \otimes y_0$. It is obvious that M is a uniformly completely continuous subset of $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$. So, by hypothesis, M is equicontact, which yields the equicontactness of A as a subset of $\mathcal{K}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Finally, a call to Corollary B tells us that A is relatively compact. ■

Recall that an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *conditionally weakly compact* if every bounded sequence (x_n) in X admits a subsequence $(x_{k(n)})_n$ so that $(Tx_{k(n)})_n$ is weakly Cauchy. We denote by $\mathcal{CW}(X, Y)$ the vector space of all conditionally weakly compact operators from X into Y .

PROPOSITION 2.3. *For an operator $Q \in \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $Q \in \mathcal{CW}(X, Z)$.
- (ii) *If $A \subset Z^*$ is uniformly completely continuous, then $Q^*(A)$ is relatively compact.*
- (iii) *For every Banach space Y and every $N \subset \mathcal{V}(Z, Y)$, $N \circ Q$ is equicontact whenever N is uniformly completely continuous.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $A \subset Z^*$ be uniformly completely continuous and $Q \in \mathcal{CW}(X, Z)$. Given a bounded sequence (x_n) in X , there exists a subsequence $(x_{k(n)})_n$ such that $(Qx_{k(n)})_n$ is weakly Cauchy. Then $(\langle Qx_{k(n)}, a \rangle)_n =$

$(\langle x_{k(n)}, Q^*a \rangle)_n$ is uniformly convergent for $a \in A$. Now, Corollary B concludes the proof.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let Y be a Banach space and $N \subset \mathcal{V}(Z, Y)$ uniformly completely continuous. We prove that $Q^* \circ N^*$ is collectively compact. For this, take a sequence $((Q^* \circ S_n^*)y_n^*)_n$ in $\bigcup_{S \in N} Q^* \circ S^*(B_{Y^*})$ and put $A = \{S_n^*y_n^* : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The set A is uniformly completely continuous. In fact, if (z_n) is a weakly null sequence in Z , we have

$$|\langle z_n, S_m^*y_m^* \rangle| = |\langle S_m z_n, y_m^* \rangle| \leq \|S_m z_n\|.$$

Then, by hypothesis, the set $Q^*(A)$ is relatively compact and, therefore, $((Q^* \circ S_n^*)y_n^*)_n$ has a convergent subsequence.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). By hypothesis, $S(Q(B_X))$ is relatively compact for all Banach space Y and all $S \in \mathcal{V}(Z, Y)$. According to [8, p. 377], the set $Q(B_X)$ is conditionally weakly compact. ■

The next theorem shows that every equicontact set M admits a representation of the form $M = N \circ Q$, where N is uniformly completely continuous and Q is conditionally weakly compact.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let M be a subset of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is equicontact.
- (ii) There exist a closed subspace Z of c_0 , $Q \in \mathcal{K}(X, Z)$ and $N \subset \mathcal{K}(Z, Y)$ such that N is equicontact and $M = N \circ Q$.
- (iii) There exist a Banach space Z , $Q \in \mathcal{CW}(X, Z)$ and $N \subset \mathcal{V}(Z, Y)$ such that N is uniformly completely continuous and $M = N \circ Q$.

Proof. Only (i) \Rightarrow (ii) needs to be proved. According to [9, Prop. 2.2], the equicontactness of M implies that there exists a null sequence (x_n^*) in X^* so that

$$\|Tx\| \leq \sup_n |\langle x, x_n^* \rangle| \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and } T \in M.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\lambda_n = \sqrt{\|x_n^*\|}$ and $b_n^* = \lambda_n^{-1}x_n^*$ (we can assume that $\lambda_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$). Obviously, $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\|b_n^*\| \rightarrow 0$. Now, in a similar way to the proof of [6, Th. 17.1.4], we find a closed subspace Z of c_0 and operators $Q \in \mathcal{K}(X, Z)$ and $S_T \in \mathcal{K}(Z, Y)$ satisfying $T = S_T \circ Q$, for all $T \in M$ ($Q: x \in X \mapsto (\langle x, b_n^* \rangle) \in c_0$, $Z = \overline{\{Qx : x \in X\}}$ and $S_T(\langle x, b_n^* \rangle) = Tx$).

Put $N = \{S_T : T \in M\}$. Since $Z \hookrightarrow c_0$, we have $Z^* \approx \ell_1/Z^\perp$. If (e_n) denotes the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 , it is clear that

$$\langle (\langle x, b_n^* \rangle), \lambda_m[e_m] \rangle = \langle x, \lambda_m b_m^* \rangle = \langle x, x_m^* \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \|\lambda_n[e_n]\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, for all $T \in M$, we have

$$\|S_T(\langle x, b_n^* \rangle)\| = \|Tx\| \leq \sup_m |\langle x, x_m^* \rangle| = \sup_m |\langle \langle x, b_n^* \rangle, \lambda_m[e_m] \rangle|,$$

that is, N is equicontact. Finally, notice that $M = N \circ Q$. ■

3. A generalization of the classical Ascoli theorem. In this section we generalize the notion of equicontact set to a wider class of functions. Let J be an arbitrary set and Z a complete metric space. If M is a set of functions from J into Z with relatively compact range, we say that M is *equicontact* if every sequence (j_n) in J has a subsequence $(j_{k(n)})_n$ such that $(f(j_{k(n)}))_n$ is uniformly convergent for $f \in M$.

If $M \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$, where X and Y are Banach spaces, then M is equicontact (in the original sense) iff $M = \{T|_{B_X} : T \in M\}$ is equicontact. Throughout this section X will be a Banach space and I an infinite index set. The mapping $\psi: \hat{x} \in \ell_\infty^c(I, X) \mapsto \psi(\hat{x}) = T_{\hat{x}} \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_1(I), X)$ defined by $T_{\hat{x}}(\xi)_{i \in I} = \sum_{i \in I} \xi_i \hat{x}(i)$ is an isometric isomorphism. Using a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is easy to prove the next lemma:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let M be a bounded subset of $\mathcal{K}(\ell_1(I), X)$. Then M is equicontact iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite partition $\{D_1, \dots, D_p\}$ of I such that*

$$1 \leq k \leq p, i, j \in D_k \Rightarrow \|Te_i - Te_j\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } T \in M.$$

REMARK 3.2. If $\psi(M) \subset \mathcal{K}(\ell_1(I), X)$ is equicontact, then M is equicontact and bounded, but, in general, an equicontact set M in $\ell_\infty^c(I, X)$ is not necessarily bounded. To see this, take an equicontact and bounded sequence (\hat{x}_k) in $\ell_\infty^c(I, X)$ and choose $x_0 \in S_X$. Now, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\hat{z}_k \in \ell_\infty^c(I, X)$ the function defined by $\hat{z}_k(i) = \hat{x}_k(i) + kx_0$ for all $i \in I$. It is easy to prove that (\hat{z}_k) is an equicontact sequence but, nevertheless, it is not bounded.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let M be a bounded subset of $\ell_\infty^c(I, X)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is equicontact.
- (ii) $\psi(M)$ is equicontact.
- (iii) There exists a null sequence $(\hat{\beta}_n)$ in $\ell_\infty(I)$ so that

$$\|\hat{x}(i) - \hat{x}(j)\| \leq \sup_n |\hat{\beta}_n(i) - \hat{\beta}_n(j)| \quad \text{for all } i, j \in I \text{ and } \hat{x} \in M.$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Consider the operator $U: \ell_1(I) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(M, X)$ defined by $U(e_i) = (\hat{x}(i))_{\hat{x} \in M}$ for all $i \in I$ ($(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is the canonical basis of $\ell_1(I)$). By (i), U is compact and, therefore, the set $\psi(M)$ is equicontact [9, Prop. 2.2].

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). According to [9, Prop. 2.2], there exists a null sequence $(\widehat{\beta}_n)$ in $\ell_\infty(I)$ satisfying $\|T_{\widehat{x}}(\xi)\| \leq \sup_n |\langle \xi, \widehat{\beta}_n \rangle|$ for all $\xi \in \ell_1(I)$ and $\widehat{x} \in M$. In particular, for $i, j \in I$ we have $\|\widehat{x}(i) - \widehat{x}(j)\| \leq \sup_n |\widehat{\beta}_n(i) - \widehat{\beta}_n(j)|$ for all $\widehat{x} \in M$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Given a sequence (i_n) in I , there exists a subsequence $(i_{k(n)})_n$ such that $(\langle e_{i_{k(n)}}, \widehat{\beta}_m \rangle)_n$ is uniformly convergent for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ because of the compactness of $\{\widehat{\beta}_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (Corollary B). Now, from the uniform convergence of $(\widehat{\beta}_m(i_{k(n)}))_n$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and (iii), it is easy to obtain (i). ■

REMARK 3.4. According to Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.3, a bounded subset M of $\ell_\infty^c(I, X)$ is equicompact iff it satisfies condition (ii)(a) in Vala's theorem (Th. 1.1). As usual, if Ω is a compact topological space, $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$ is the Banach space of all continuous functions $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ endowed with the supremum norm. Obviously, $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$ is a subspace of $\ell_\infty^c(\Omega, X)$.

Now we are ready to show the main result of this section: a generalization of the classical Ascoli theorem [4, Th. 7.5.7].

THEOREM 3.5. *Let M be a subset of $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$, Ω being an arbitrary compact topological space. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is relatively compact.
- (ii) M has the following properties:
 - (a) M is equicompact.
 - (b) $M(\omega) = \{\phi(\omega) : \phi \in M\}$ is relatively compact for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). follows directly from Theorem 1.1, Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.1. According to Remark 3.4, to prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i) we only need to show that M is bounded. To see this, consider the function $F: \omega \in \Omega \mapsto F(\omega) = (\phi(\omega))_{\phi \in M} \in \ell_\infty(M, X)$. Then F is well defined and has compact range since M is equicompact. ■

The next proposition lists some elementary properties of equicompact sets of functions that allow us to consider the above theorem as a generalization of the classical Ascoli–Arzelà theorem.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let Ω be an arbitrary compact topological space and M a bounded subset of $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$.*

- (1) *If M is equicompact, then it is sequentially equicontinuous.*
- (2) *If, in addition, Ω is metrizable, then M is equicompact iff it is equicontinuous.*

Proof. (1) Suppose (ω_n) is a sequence in Ω with limit $\omega_0 \in \Omega$. By continuity, for each $\phi \in M$, we have $\phi(\omega_n) \rightarrow \phi(\omega_0)$. As M is equicompact, by contradiction, it is easy to prove that $\phi(\omega_n) \rightarrow \phi(\omega_0)$ uniformly in $\phi \in M$.

(2) In case Ω is metrizable, equicontinuity and sequential equicontinuity are the same. So, we only have to prove the sufficiency. Assume M is equicontinuous. Given a sequence (ω_n) in Ω , as Ω is metrizable and compact, there is a convergent subsequence $(\omega_{k(n)})_n$. Suppose that $\omega_{k(n)} \rightarrow \omega_0 \in \Omega$. Since M is equicontinuous it follows that $\phi(\omega_{k(n)}) \rightarrow \phi(\omega_0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $\phi \in M$. ■

4. Compactness in $\mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$. As in Section 3, we say that a set $M \subset \mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$ is *equicompact* if every sequence (A_n) in \mathcal{F} has a subsequence $(A_{k(n)})_n$ such that $(m(A_{k(n)}))_n$ is uniformly convergent for $m \in M$.

By [3, I.5.3], all vector measures in $\mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$ are strongly additive. To start, we prove that equicompact sets of vector measures are *uniformly strongly additive*. We recall that a set M of strongly additive vector measures is called uniformly strongly additive if, for every sequence (A_n) of pairwise disjoint members of \mathcal{F} , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} m(A_k)\| = 0$ uniformly in $m \in M$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If M is an equicompact set of vector measures, then it is uniformly strongly additive.*

Proof. According to [3, Proposition I.1.17], we have to prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|m(A_n)\| = 0$ uniformly in $m \in M$ whenever (A_n) is a sequence of pairwise disjoint members of \mathcal{F} . Arguing by contradiction, suppose there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, a sequence (m_n) in M and a subsequence $(A_{k(n)})_n$ so that

$$(1) \quad \|m_n(A_{k(n)})\| > \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By hypothesis, $(A_{k(n)})_n$ has a subsequence $(A_{h(n)})_n$ such that $\lim_n m(A_{h(n)}) = 0$ uniformly in $m \in M$, which contradicts (1). ■

If $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ is a finite additive measure with compact range, then the integration map $I_m : f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}) \mapsto \int_{\Omega} f \, dm \in X$ is compact. In fact, in [3, p. 263] it is proved that the sums of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i m(A_i)$, $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n \leq 1$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, belong to $\text{co}(\text{rg}(m))$. This yields the inclusion

$$\left\{ \int_{\Omega} f \, dm : f \in B_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})} \right\} \subset \overline{\text{co}}(\text{rg}(m)) - \overline{\text{co}}(\text{rg}(m)).$$

Then the operator I_m is compact.

Now we are ready to state our main result.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let M be a subset of $\mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is relatively compact.
- (ii) M is equicompact and $M(A)$ is relatively compact for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Put $\widehat{M} = \{I_m : m \in M\}$. As there is an isometry between $\mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}), X)$ defined by $m \leftrightarrow I_m$, \widehat{M} is a relatively

compact subset of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}), X)$. By Theorem A, \widehat{M} is equicompact and $\widehat{M}(f) = \{\int_{\Omega} f dm : m \in M\}$ is relatively compact, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$. So, in particular, (ii) holds.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). We consider the vector measure

$$G : A \in \mathcal{F} \mapsto (m(A))_{m \in M} \in \ell_{\infty}(M, X),$$

which has compact range since M is equicompact. Thus the integration map $I_G : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}(M, X)$ is compact and defined by $I_G(f) = (I_m(f))_{m \in M}$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$. From the compactness of I_G it follows that $\widehat{M} = \{I_m : m \in M\}$ is equicompact. To prove that \widehat{M} is relatively compact in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}), X)$, we only have to show that $\widehat{M}(f) = \{\int_{\Omega} f dm : m \in M\}$ is relatively compact for all $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$. Given $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$, choose a sequence $(\phi_n)_n$ of simple functions so that $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f - \phi_n\| < \varepsilon/s$, where $s = \sup\{\|m\|(\Omega) : m \in M\}$. For all $m \in M$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f dm = \int_{\Omega} (f - \phi_n) dm + \int_{\Omega} \phi_n dm \in M(\phi_n) + \varepsilon B_X,$$

since $\|\int_{\Omega} (f - \phi_n) dm\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $m \in M$. It is obvious that $M(\phi_n)$ is relatively compact, so we have proved that $\widehat{M}(f)$ is relatively compact for all $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$. ■

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let (m_n) be an equicompact sequence in $\mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(A)$ exists for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then (m_n) is convergent.*

REMARK 4.4. A uniformly strongly additive set is not necessarily equicompact. For an example, take a noncompact and weakly compact subset W of $L_1(\mu)$, μ being Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. Denote by $M(W)$ the set of indefinite integrals $\lambda_f = \int_{(\cdot)} f d\mu$ with f running over W . By [1, Th. VII.13], $M(W)$ is uniformly countably additive, nevertheless, it is not equicompact in view of Theorem 4.2.

Examples of equicompact sets can be obtained in the following way: take a uniformly completely continuous set $N \subset \mathcal{V}(Z, X)$, Z being an arbitrary Banach space, and a vector measure $m \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$. It is easy to prove either directly or using Proposition 2.4 that the set $M = N \circ m$ is equicompact.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let M be a bounded subset of $\mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$. Then M is equicompact iff there exist a Banach space Z , a vector measure $m \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$ and a uniformly completely continuous set $N \subset \mathcal{V}(Z, X)$ so that $M = N \circ m$.*

Proof. We only have to prove the necessity. So, let $M \subset \mathcal{M}_c(\mathcal{F}, X)$ be bounded and equicompact. As in the proof of the above theorem, we can consider the vector measure

$$G : A \in \mathcal{F} \mapsto (m(A))_{m \in M} \in \ell_{\infty}(M, X).$$

Again, the integration map $I_G : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(M, X)$ is compact because G has compact range. Moreover, $I_G(f) = (\int_\Omega f dm)_{m \in M}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$. This proves that $\widehat{M} = \{I_m : m \in M\}$ is equicontact. According to Theorem 2.4, there exist a closed subspace Z of c_0 , an operator $Q \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}), Z)$ and an equicontact set $N \subset \mathcal{K}(Z, X)$ such that $\widehat{M} = N \circ Q$. If m_Q denotes the representing measure of Q , we have $M = N \circ m_Q$. Finally, m_Q is strongly additive because Q is compact (see [3, Th. VI.1.1]). ■

References

- [1] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Grad. Texts in Math. 92, Springer, 1984.
- [2] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 43, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [3] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys Monogr. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1977.
- [4] J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of Modern Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1960.
- [5] G. Emmanuele, *A dual characterization of Banach spaces not containing ℓ_1* , Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 34 (1986), 155–160.
- [6] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [7] T. W. Palmer, *Totally bounded sets of precompact operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 101–106.
- [8] H. P. Rosenthal, *Pointwise compact subsets of the first Baire class*, Amer. J. Math. 99 (1977), 362–378.
- [9] E. Serrano, C. Piñeiro and J. M. Delgado, *Equicontact sets of operators defined on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 689–695.
- [10] K. Vala, *On compact sets of compact operators*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I 351 (1964), 47–55.

Departamento de Matemáticas
 Facultad de Ciencias Experimentales
 Campus Universitario del Carmen
 Avda. de las Fuerzas Armadas s/n
 E-21071 Huelva, Spain
 E-mail: eserrano@uhu.es
 candido@uhu.es
 jmdelga@uhu.es

Received February 3, 2006
Revised version March 6, 2007

(5856)