

On the distance between $\langle X \rangle$ and L^∞ in the space of continuous BMO-martingales

by

LITAN YAN (Shanghai) and NORIHIKO KAZAMAKI (Toyama)

Abstract. Let $X = (X_t, \mathcal{F}_t)$ be a continuous BMO-martingale, that is,

$$\|X\|_{\text{BMO}} \equiv \sup_T \|E[|X_\infty - X_T| | \mathcal{F}_T]\|_\infty < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times T . Define the critical exponent $b(X)$ by

$$b(X) = \{b > 0 : \sup_T \|E[\exp(b^2(\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T)) | \mathcal{F}_T]\|_\infty < \infty\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times T . Consider the continuous martingale $q(X)$ defined by

$$q(X)_t = E[\langle X \rangle_\infty | \mathcal{F}_t] - E[\langle X \rangle_\infty | \mathcal{F}_0].$$

We use $q(X)$ to characterize the distance between $\langle X \rangle$ and the class L^∞ of all bounded martingales in the space of continuous BMO-martingales, and we show that the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{4d_1(q(X), L^\infty)} \leq b(X) \leq \frac{4}{d_1(q(X), L^\infty)}$$

hold for every continuous BMO-martingale X .

1. Introduction and preliminaries. Throughout this paper, we fix a filtered complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ with the usual conditions, and we assume that every martingale is uniformly integrable and continuous.

Recall that a uniformly integrable martingale $X = (X_t, \mathcal{F}_t)$ is said to be in BMO_p ($p \geq 1$) if

$$(1.1) \quad \|X\|_{\text{BMO}_p} \equiv \sup_T \|E[|X_\infty - X_T|^p | \mathcal{F}_T]^{1/p}\|_\infty < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times T . In particular,

$$\|X\|_{\text{BMO}_2} = \sup_T \|E[\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T | \mathcal{F}_T]^{1/2}\|_\infty.$$

Then, as is well known, $\|\cdot\|_{\text{BMO}_p}$ is a norm for all $p \geq 1$ and

$$\|X\|_{\text{BMO}_1} \leq \|X\|_{\text{BMO}_p} \leq C_p \|X\|_{\text{BMO}_1},$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 60G44, 60G46.

Key words and phrases: continuous martingales, BMO.

where $C_p > 0$ is a constant depending only on p . For these, see, for example, [3, p. 28].

Now, let BMO be the class of all uniformly integrable martingales X such that $\|X\|_{\text{BMO}_1} < \infty$. Then BMO is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{BMO}_1}$, and we call the martingale X in BMO a BMO-*martingale*. There exist two important subclasses of BMO, namely, the class L^∞ of all bounded martingales and the class H^∞ of all martingales X such that $\langle X \rangle$ is bounded.

For $X \in \text{BMO}$, let $a(X)$ be the supremum of the set of $a > 0$ for which

$$\sup_T \|E[\exp(a|X_\infty - X_T)|\mathcal{F}_T]\|_\infty < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times T , and for $M, N \in \text{BMO}$ we set

$$d_p(M, N) = \|M - N\|_{\text{BMO}_p} \quad (p \geq 1).$$

Then there is a beautiful relationship between $a(X)$ and $d_1(\cdot, \cdot)$:

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{4d_1(X, L^\infty)} \leq a(X) \leq \frac{4}{d_1(X, L^\infty)}$$

for every $X \in \text{BMO}$. This is the Garnett–Jones theorem. For the proof, see [1], [3], [4].

Let now $b(X)$ denote the supremum of the set of $b > 0$ for which

$$\sup_T \|E[\exp(b^2(\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T))|\mathcal{F}_T]\|_\infty < \infty$$

for $X \in \text{BMO}$, where T runs through all stopping times. Then we have (see [3])

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}d_2(X, H^\infty)} \leq b(X) \quad (X \in \text{BMO}).$$

Furthermore, we shall see in Section 2 that $\sqrt{2}a(X) \geq b(X)$ for every $X \in \text{BMO}$.

In this paper, we consider the continuous martingale $q(X)$ defined by

$$q(X)_t = E[\langle X \rangle_\infty | \mathcal{F}_t] - E[\langle X \rangle_\infty | \mathcal{F}_0],$$

where X is a continuous martingale. We use $q(X)$ to characterize the distance between $\langle X \rangle$ and L^∞ in the space of continuous BMO-martingales.

2. Results and proofs. In this section, we give the characterization of the distance between $\langle X \rangle$ and L^∞ in the space of BMO-martingales.

LEMMA 1. *Let $X, Y \in \text{BMO}$. Assume that $q(X)$ and $q(Y)$ are defined as in Section 1. Then*

$$\|q(X) - q(Y)\|_{\text{BMO}_1} \leq 2(\|X\|_{\text{BMO}_2} + \|Y\|_{\text{BMO}_2})\|X - Y\|_{\text{BMO}_2}.$$

Proof. Observing that

$$\langle X \rangle - \langle Y \rangle = \langle X - Y, X \rangle + \langle X - Y, Y \rangle,$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& q(X)_\infty - q(Y)_\infty - E[q(X)_\infty - q(Y)_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&= \langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle Y \rangle_\infty - E[\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle Y \rangle_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&= (\langle X - Y, X \rangle_\infty - \langle X - Y, X \rangle_T) - E[\langle X - Y, X \rangle_\infty - \langle X - Y, X \rangle_T | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&\quad + l(\langle X - Y, Y \rangle_\infty - \langle X - Y, Y \rangle_T) - E[\langle X - Y, Y \rangle_\infty - \langle X - Y, Y \rangle_T | \mathcal{F}_T].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[|q(X)_\infty - q(Y)_\infty - E[q(X)_\infty - q(Y)_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T]| | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&\leq 2E[|\langle X - Y, X \rangle_\infty - \langle X - Y, X \rangle_T| | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&\quad + 2E[|\langle X - Y, Y \rangle_\infty - \langle X - Y, Y \rangle_T| | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&\leq 2E[|\langle X - Y \rangle_\infty - \langle X - Y \rangle_T| | \mathcal{F}_T]^{1/2} E[\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T | \mathcal{F}_T]^{1/2} \\
&\quad + 2E[|\langle X - Y \rangle_\infty - \langle X - Y \rangle_T| | \mathcal{F}_T]^{1/2} E[\langle Y \rangle_\infty - \langle Y \rangle_T | \mathcal{F}_T]^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2(\|X\|_{\text{BMO}_2} + \|Y\|_{\text{BMO}_2})\|X - Y\|_{\text{BMO}_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

As a consequence of the lemma, we see that $X \in \text{BMO}$ implies $q(X) \in \text{BMO}$. Furthermore, we have

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a uniformly integrable continuous martingale and let $q(X)$ be defined as in Section 1. If $X \in \text{BMO}$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{4d_1(q(X), L^\infty)} \leq b(X) \leq \frac{4}{d_1(q(X), L^\infty)},$$

and furthermore, we have $\sqrt{2}a(X) \geq b(X)$ for all $X \in \text{BMO}$.

Proof. Let $X \in \text{BMO}$. Then for any $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[\exp(\lambda(\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T)) | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&= E[\exp(\lambda E[\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T | \mathcal{F}_T]) \exp(\lambda(\langle X \rangle_\infty - E[\langle X \rangle_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T])) | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&\leq e^{\lambda\|X\|_{\text{BMO}_2}^2} E[\exp(\lambda|\langle X \rangle_\infty - E[\langle X \rangle_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T])| | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&\leq e^{\lambda\|X\|_{\text{BMO}_2}^2} E[\exp(\lambda|q(X)_\infty - q(X)_T|) | \mathcal{F}_T]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[\exp(\lambda|q(X)_\infty - q(X)_T|) | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&= E[\exp(\lambda|\langle X \rangle_\infty - E[\langle X \rangle_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T])| | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&\leq E[\exp(\lambda(\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T)) \exp(\lambda E[\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T | \mathcal{F}_T]) | \mathcal{F}_T] \\
&\leq e^{\lambda\|X\|_{\text{BMO}_2}^2} E[\exp(\lambda(\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T)) | \mathcal{F}_T],
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $b(X) = a(q(X))$. Thus, inequalities (2.1) follow from inequalities (1.2).

On the other hand, it is not difficult to show that the inequality

$$(2.2) \quad E[\exp(\lambda|X_\infty - X_T|) | \mathcal{F}_T] \leq 2E[\exp(2\lambda^2(\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T)) | \mathcal{F}_T]^{1/2}$$

holds for all $\lambda > 0$. Indeed, by using the Schwarz inequality and noting that for $X \in \text{BMO}$ the continuous exponential martingale $\mathcal{E}(X)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{E}(X) = \exp\left(X - \frac{1}{2}\langle X \rangle\right)$$

is uniformly integrable (see Theorem 2.3 in [3, p. 31]), for every real $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\exp(\lambda(X_\infty - X_T)) | \mathcal{F}_T] \\ &= E\left[\frac{\mathcal{E}(\lambda X)_\infty}{\mathcal{E}(\lambda X)_T} \exp(\lambda^2(\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T)) \middle| \mathcal{F}_T\right] \\ &\leq E\left[\frac{\mathcal{E}(2\lambda X)_\infty}{\mathcal{E}(2\lambda X)_T} \middle| \mathcal{F}_T\right]^{1/2} E[\exp(2\lambda^2(\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T)) | \mathcal{F}_T]^{1/2} \\ &\leq E[\exp(2\lambda^2(\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T)) | \mathcal{F}_T]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument works if X is replaced by $-X$. Thus, we obtain (2.2). This shows that $\sqrt{2}a(X) \geq b(X)$. ■

COROLLARY 1. *If $X \in \text{BMO}$, then $q(X) \in \overline{L^\infty}$ is equivalent to $b(X) = \infty$, where $\overline{L^\infty}$ stands for the BMO-closure of L^∞ .*

Recall that the continuous exponential martingale $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is said to satisfy the (A_p) -condition ($1 < p < \infty$), in symbols $\mathcal{E}(X) \in (A_p)$, if

$$\sup_T \left\| E \left[\left\{ \frac{\mathcal{E}(X)_T}{\mathcal{E}(X)_\infty} \right\}^{1/(p-1)} \middle| \mathcal{F}_T \right] \right\|_\infty < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times T . It is known (see Theorem 3.12 of [3, p. 72]) that $q(X) \in \overline{L^\infty}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{E}(X)$ and $\mathcal{E}(-X)$ satisfying all (A_p) ($1 < p < \infty$). Thus, the following corollary is clear.

COROLLARY 2. *If $X \in \text{BMO}$ and $1 < p < \infty$, then $b(X) = \infty$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{E}(X)$ and $\mathcal{E}(-X)$ satisfying all (A_p) .*

Finally, we consider a subspace \mathcal{H} of BMO,

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \{X \in \text{BMO} : q(X) \in \overline{L^\infty}\}.$$

COROLLARY 3. *Let $\overline{H^\infty}$ denote the BMO-closure of H^∞ . Then*

$$\overline{H^\infty} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \overline{L^\infty}.$$

Proof. $\overline{H^\infty} \subset \mathcal{H}$ follows from (1.3) and Corollary 1, and $\mathcal{H} \subset \overline{L^\infty}$ follows from Theorem 1. ■

LEMMA 2. *The mapping $q : X \mapsto q(X)$ is continuous on BMO.*

Proof. Let $\{X^n\}$ be a sequence of BMO-martingales such that $X^n \rightarrow X$ in BMO. Then

$$K \equiv \sup_n \|X^n\|_{\text{BMO}_2} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|X\|_{\text{BMO}_2} \leq K.$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\|q(X^n) - q(X)\|_{\text{BMO}_1} \leq 4K\|X^n - X\|_{\text{BMO}_2} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that the mapping q is continuous on BMO. ■

THEOREM 2. *\mathcal{H} is a closed linear subspace of BMO.*

Proof. The closedness of \mathcal{H} follows from Lemma 2.

On the other hand, for any two real α, β and any two BMO-martingales X, Y , we have

$$\langle \alpha X + \beta Y \rangle_\infty - \langle \alpha X + \beta Y \rangle_T \leq 2(\alpha^2(\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T) + \beta^2(\langle Y \rangle_\infty - \langle Y \rangle_T))$$

and so, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[\exp(\lambda(\langle \alpha X + \beta Y \rangle_\infty - \langle \alpha X + \beta Y \rangle_T)) \mid \mathcal{F}_T] \\ \leq E[\exp(4\alpha^2\lambda(\langle X \rangle_\infty - \langle X \rangle_T)) \mid \mathcal{F}_T]^{1/2} \\ \times E[\exp(4\beta^2\lambda(\langle Y \rangle_\infty - \langle Y \rangle_T)) \mid \mathcal{F}_T]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $b(\alpha X + \beta Y) = \infty$ for $b(X) = \infty$, $b(Y) = \infty$. Thus, $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$ implies that $\alpha X + \beta Y \in \mathcal{H}$. This completes the proof. ■

Now, it is natural to ask if the relationship $\overline{H^\infty} = \mathcal{H}$ holds. But we have not been able to settle this question so far.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank an anonymous earnest referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and many helpful comments.

References

- [1] M. Emery, *Le théorème de Garnett–Jones, d’après Varopoulos*, in: Séminaire de Probabilités XV, Lecture Notes in Math. 850, Springer, Berlin, 1981, 278–284.
- [2] N. Kazamaki, *A new aspect of L^∞ in the space of BMO-martingales*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 78 (1987), 113–126.
- [3] —, *Continuous Exponential Martingales and BMO*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1579, Springer, Berlin, 1994.

- [4] N. Th. Varopoulos, *A probabilistic proof of the Garnett–Jones theorem on BMO*, Pacific J. Math. 90 (1980), 201–221.

Department of Mathematics
College of Science
Donghua University
1882 West Yan'an Rd.
Shanghai 200051, P.R. China
E-mail: litanyan@dhu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics
Toyama University
3190 Gofuku, Toyama 930-8555, Japan
E-mail: kaz@sci.toyama-u.ac.jp

Received December 30, 2003
Revised version December 23, 2004

(5343)