

On generalized property  $(v)$  for bounded linear operators

by

J. SANABRIA, C. CARPINTERO, E. ROSAS and O. GARCÍA (Cumaná)

**Abstract.** An operator  $T$  acting on a Banach space  $X$  has property  $(gw)$  if  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$ , where  $\sigma_a(T)$  is the approximate point spectrum of  $T$ ,  $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$  is the upper semi-B-Weyl spectrum of  $T$  and  $E(T)$  is the set of all isolated eigenvalues of  $T$ . We introduce and study two new spectral properties  $(v)$  and  $(gv)$  in connection with Weyl type theorems. Among other results, we show that  $T$  satisfies  $(gv)$  if and only if  $T$  satisfies  $(gw)$  and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ .

**1. Introduction and preliminaries.** Throughout this paper,  $L(X)$  denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on an infinite-dimensional complex Banach space  $X$ . For  $T \in L(X)$ , we denote by  $N(T)$  the null space of  $T$  and by  $R(T) = T(X)$  the range of  $T$ . We denote by  $\alpha(T) := \dim N(T)$  the *nullity* of  $T$  and by  $\beta(T) := \operatorname{codim} R(T) = \dim X/R(T)$  the *defect* of  $T$ .

Other two classical quantities in operator theory are the *ascent*  $p = p(T)$ , defined as the smallest non-negative integer  $p$  such that  $N(T^p) = N(T^{p+1})$  (if no such exists, we put  $p(T) = \infty$ ), and the *descent*  $q = q(T)$ , defined as the smallest non-negative integer  $q$  such that  $R(T^q) = R(T^{q+1})$  (if no such  $q$  exists, we put  $q(T) = \infty$ ). It is well known that if  $p(T)$  and  $q(T)$  are both finite then  $p(T) = q(T)$ . Furthermore,  $0 < p(\lambda I - T) = q(\lambda I - T) < \infty$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is a pole of the resolvent (see [22, Prop. 50.2]).

An operator  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *Fredholm* (resp., *upper semi-Fredholm*, *lower semi-Fredholm*) if  $\alpha(T)$ ,  $\beta(T)$  are both finite (resp.,  $R(T)$  is closed and  $\alpha(T) < \infty$ ,  $\beta(T) < \infty$ ), and *semi-Fredholm* if  $T$  is either upper or lower semi-Fredholm. If  $T$  is semi-Fredholm, its *index* is defined by  $\operatorname{ind} T := \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$ .

Other two important classes of operators in Fredholm theory are the classes of semi-Browder operators. These are defined as follows:  $T \in L(X)$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47A10, 47A11; Secondary 47A53, 47A55.

*Key words and phrases*: property  $(v)$ , property  $(gv)$ , generalized Weyl's theorem, semi-B-Fredholm operator.

is said to be *Browder* (resp. *upper semi-Browder*, *lower semi-Browder*) if it is Fredholm (resp., upper semi-Fredholm, lower semi-Fredholm) and both  $p(T)$ ,  $q(T)$  are finite (resp.,  $p(T) < \infty$ ,  $q(T) < \infty$ ). An operator  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *upper semi-Weyl* (resp., *lower semi-Weyl*) if it is upper Fredholm (resp., lower semi-Fredholm) and  $\text{ind } T \leq 0$  (resp.,  $\text{ind } T \geq 0$ );  $T$  is *Weyl* if it is both upper and lower semi-Weyl, i.e.  $T$  is a Fredholm operator of index 0.

The *Browder spectrum* and the *Weyl spectrum* are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_b(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not Browder}\}, \\ \sigma_W(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not Weyl}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since every Browder operator is Weyl, we have  $\sigma_W(T) \subseteq \sigma_b(T)$ . Analogously, the *upper semi-Browder spectrum*, *upper semi-Weyl spectrum*, *lower semi-Browder spectrum*, and *lower semi-Weyl spectrum* are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ub}(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not upper semi-Browder}\}, \\ \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not upper semi-Weyl}\}, \\ \sigma_{lb}(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not lower semi-Browder}\}, \\ \sigma_{SF_+^+}(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not lower semi-Weyl}\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the classical Fredholm theory we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) &= \sigma_{SF_+^+}(T^*), & \sigma_{SF_+^+}(T) &= \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T^*), \\ \sigma_{ub}(T) &= \sigma_{lb}(T^*), & \sigma_{lb}(T) &= \sigma_{ub}(T^*). \end{aligned}$$

Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $T_n$  the restriction of  $T \in L(X)$  to the subspace  $R(T^n) = T^n(X)$ . According [12] and [15],  $T$  is *semi-B-Fredholm* (resp., *B-Fredholm*, *upper semi-B-Fredholm*, *lower semi-B-Fredholm*) if for some integer  $n \geq 0$ , the range  $R(T^n)$  is closed and  $T_n$ , viewed as an operator from  $R(T^n)$  into itself, is semi-Fredholm (resp., Fredholm, upper semi-Fredholm, lower semi-Fredholm). Analogously,  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *B-Browder* (resp., *upper semi-B-Browder*, *lower semi-B-Browder*) if for some integer  $n \geq 0$  the range  $R(T^n)$  is closed and  $T_n$  is Browder (resp., upper semi-Browder, lower semi-Browder).

If  $T_n$  is a semi-Fredholm operator, it follows from [15, Proposition 2.1] that so is  $T_m$  for every  $m \geq n$ , and  $\text{ind } T_m = \text{ind } T_n$ . This enables us to define the *index* of a semi-B-Fredholm operator  $T$  as the index of the semi-Fredholm operator  $T_n$ .

Further  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *B-Weyl* [13, Definition 1.1] if it is B-Fredholm of index 0; *upper semi-B-Weyl* if it is upper semi-B-Fredholm with  $\text{ind } T \leq 0$ ; and *lower semi-B-Weyl* if it is lower semi-B-Fredholm with  $\text{ind } T \geq 0$ .

An operator  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *left* (resp. *right*) *Drazin invertible* if  $p(T) < \infty$  (resp.  $q(T) < \infty$ ) and  $R(T^{p(T)+1})$  (resp.  $R(T^{q(T)})$ ) is closed. Moreover,  $T$  is *Drazin invertible* if it has finite ascent and descent. We denote by  $LD(X)$ ,  $RD(X)$  and  $D(X)$  the classes of left Drazin invertible, right Drazin invertible and Drazin invertible operators respectively. It is proved in [12, Theorem 3.6] that a B-Browder (resp., upper semi-Browder, lower semi-Browder) operator is just a Drazin invertible (resp., left Drazin invertible, right Drazin invertible) operator.

The classes of operators defined above motivate the definitions of several spectra. The *left Drazin invertible spectrum* is defined by

$$\sigma_{LD}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \notin LD(X)\},$$

the *right Drazin invertible spectrum* is

$$\sigma_{RD}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \notin RD(X)\},$$

while the *Drazin invertible spectrum* is

$$\sigma_D(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \notin D(X)\}.$$

Clearly,  $\sigma_D(T) = \sigma_{LD}(T) \cup \sigma_{RD}(T)$ . The *B-Weyl spectrum* is defined by

$$\sigma_{BW}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not B-Weyl}\}.$$

The *upper semi-B-Weyl spectrum* and *lower semi-B-Weyl spectrum* are, respectively,

$$\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not upper semi-B-Weyl}\},$$

$$\sigma_{SBF_-^+}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not lower semi-B-Weyl}\}.$$

Obviously,

$$\sigma_{BW}(T) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \cup \sigma_{SBF_-^+}(T), \quad \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_{SBF_-^+}(T^*),$$

$$\sigma_{SBF_-^+}(T) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T^*), \quad \sigma_{LD}(T) = \sigma_{RD}(T^*), \quad \sigma_{RD}(T) = \sigma_{LD}(T^*).$$

Moreover,

$$\sigma_{BW}(T) \subseteq \sigma_D(T), \quad \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{LD}(T), \quad \sigma_{SBF_-^+}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{RD}(T).$$

Another class of operators related to semi-B-Fredholm operators is the class of quasi-Fredholm operators defined below. First, we set

$$\Delta(T) := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : m \geq n, m \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow T^n(X) \cap N(T) \subseteq T^m(X) \cap N(T)\}.$$

The *degree of stable iteration* is defined as  $\text{dis}(T) := \inf \Delta(T)$  if  $\Delta(T) \neq \emptyset$ , while  $\text{dis}(T) = \infty$  if  $\Delta(T) = \emptyset$ .

DEFINITION 1.1.  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *quasi-Fredholm of degree  $d$*  if there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

- (a)  $\text{dis}(T) = d$ ,
- (b)  $T^n(X)$  is a closed subspace of  $X$  for each  $n \geq d$ ,
- (c)  $T(X) + N(T^d)$  is a closed subspace of  $X$ .

By Proposition 2.5 of [11] every semi-B-Fredholm operator is quasi-Fredholm. For further information on quasi-Fredholm operators we refer to [12] and [2].

Now, we introduce an important property in local spectral theory. The localized version of this property has been introduced by Finch [20], and in the framework of Fredholm theory, this property has been characterized in several ways (see Chapter 3 of [1]). An operator  $T \in L(X)$  is said to have the *single valued extension property* at  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  (abbreviated SVEP at  $\lambda_0$ ) if for every open disc  $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda_0} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  centered at  $\lambda_0$  the only analytic function  $f : \mathbb{D}_{\lambda_0} \rightarrow X$  which satisfies the equation

$$(\lambda I - T)f(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{D}_{\lambda_0}$$

is  $f \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda_0}$ . The operator  $T$  is said to have SVEP if it has SVEP at every point  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Evidently, every  $T \in L(X)$  has SVEP at each point of the resolvent set  $\rho(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$ . Moreover, from the identity theorem for analytic functions it is easily seen that  $T$  has SVEP at every point of the boundary  $\partial\sigma(T)$  of the spectrum. In particular,  $T$  has SVEP at every isolated point of the spectrum. Note that (see [1, Theorem 3.8])

$$(1) \quad p(\lambda I - T) < \infty \Rightarrow T \text{ has SVEP at } \lambda,$$

and dually

$$(2) \quad q(\lambda I - T) < \infty \Rightarrow T^* \text{ has SVEP at } \lambda.$$

Recall that  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *bounded below* if  $T$  is injective and has closed range. Denote by  $\sigma_a(T)$  the classical *approximate point spectrum* defined by

$$\sigma_a(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not bounded below}\}.$$

Note that if  $\sigma_s(T)$  denotes the *surjectivity spectrum*

$$\sigma_s(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not onto}\},$$

then  $\sigma_a(T) = \sigma_s(T^*)$  and  $\sigma_s(T) = \sigma_a(T^*)$ .

It is easily seen from the definition of localized SVEP that

$$(3) \quad \lambda \notin \text{acc } \sigma_a(T) \Rightarrow T \text{ has SVEP at } \lambda,$$

where  $\text{acc } K$  means the set of all accumulation points of  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , and

$$(4) \quad \lambda \notin \text{acc } \sigma_s(T) \Rightarrow T^* \text{ has SVEP at } \lambda.$$

REMARK 1.2. The implications (1)–(4) are actually equivalences whenever  $\lambda I - T$  is a quasi-Fredholm operator, in particular when  $\lambda I - T$  is semi-B-Fredholm (see [2]).

LEMMA 1.3 ([3, Lemma 2.4]). *Let  $T \in L(X)$ . Then*

- (i)  *$T$  is upper semi-B-Fredholm and  $\alpha(T) < \infty$  if and only if  $T \in \Phi_+(X)$ .*
- (ii)  *$T$  is lower semi-B-Fredholm and  $\beta(T) < \infty$  if and only if  $T \in \Phi_-(X)$ .*

The following lemma is a particular case of [12, Theorem 3.6].

LEMMA 1.4. *For  $T \in L(X)$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\lambda_0 I - T \in LD(X) \Leftrightarrow \lambda_0 I - T$  *is quasi-Fredholm with finite ascent,*
- (ii)  $\lambda_0 I - T \in RD(X) \Leftrightarrow \lambda_0 I - T$  *is quasi-Fredholm with finite descent,*
- (iii)  $\lambda_0 I - T \in D(X) \Leftrightarrow \lambda_0 I - T$  *is quasi-Fredholm with finite ascent and descent.*

Denote by  $\text{iso } K$  the set of all isolated points of  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . If  $T \in L(X)$  define

$$\begin{aligned} E^0(T) &= \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T) : 0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty\}, \\ E_a^0(T) &= \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T) : 0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty\}, \\ E(T) &= \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T) : 0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T)\}, \\ E_a(T) &= \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T) : 0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Pi^0(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_b(T)$ , i.e.  $\Pi^0(T)$  is the set of all poles of the resolvent of  $T$  having finite rank. Clearly, for every  $T \in L(X)$  we have

$$\Pi^0(T) \subseteq E^0(T) \subseteq E_a^0(T) \quad \text{and} \quad E(T) \subseteq E_a(T).$$

Let  $T \in L(X)$ . Following Coburn [19],  $T$  is said to satisfy *Weyl's theorem*, in symbols  $(W)$ , if  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_W(T) = E^0(T)$ . Following Rakočević ([24], [23]),  $T$  is said to satisfy *a-Weyl's theorem*, in symbols  $(aW)$ , if  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E_a^0(T)$ , and  $T$  is said to have *property (w)* if  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T)$ . According to Berkani and Koliha [14],  $T$  is said to satisfy *generalized Weyl's theorem*, in symbols  $(gW)$ , if  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = E(T)$ . Similarly,  $T$  is said to satisfy *generalized a-Weyl's theorem*, in symbols  $(gaW)$ , if  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E_a(T)$ , and  $T$  is said to have *generalized property (w)*, in symbols  $(gw)$ , if  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$ .

For  $T \in L(X)$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_a^0(T) &= \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{ub}(T), \\ \Pi(T) &= \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_D(T), \\ \Pi_a(T) &= \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{LD}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Following [16], an operator  $T \in L(X)$  is said to have *property (b)* (resp. *(gb)*) if  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = \Pi^0(T)$  (resp.  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \Pi(T)$ ). It is shown in [16, Theorem 2.3] that *(gb)* implies *(b)* but not conversely. According to [17], an operator  $T \in L(X)$  has *property (ab)* (resp. *(gab)*) if  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_W(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$  (resp.  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = \Pi_a(T)$ ). It is proved in [17, Theorem 2.2] that *(gab)* implies *(ab)*, but not conversely. According also to [17],  $T \in L(X)$  has *property (aw)* (resp. *(gaw)*) if  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_W(T) = E_a^0(T)$  (resp.  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = E_a(T)$ ). In [17, Theorem 3.3], it is shown that *(gaw)* implies *(aw)*, but not conversely.

Following [25], we say that  $T \in L(X)$  has *property (z)* (resp. *(gz)*) if  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E_a^0(T)$  (resp.  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E_a(T)$ ). Property *(gz)* extends *(z)* to the context of B-Fredholm theory. It is shown in [25, Theorem 2.2] that *(gz)* implies *(z)*, but not conversely. Following [25],  $T \in L(X)$  is said to have *property (az)* (resp. *(gaz)*) if  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$  (resp.  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \Pi_a(T)$ ). In [25, Corollary 3.5], it is shown that *(gaz)* is equivalent to *(az)*, and [25, Corollary 3.7] states that  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies *(gz)* if and only if it satisfies *(gaz)* and  $E_a(T) = \Pi_a(T)$ .

According to [5],  $T \in L(X)$  has *property (R)* if  $\Pi_a^0(T) = E^0(T)$ . It is shown in [5, Theorem 2.4] that *(w)* implies *(R)*, but not conversely. Also in [5] it is shown that property *(R)* and Weyl’s theorem are independent. According to [6], an operator  $T \in L(X)$  has the *generalized property (R)*, abbreviated *(gR)*, if  $\Pi_a(T) = E(T)$ . In [6, Theorem 2.2], it is shown that *(gR)* implies *(R)*, but not conversely.

**2. Generalized property (v).** According to [21],  $T \in L(X)$  has *property (Bw)* if  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = E^0(T)$ . By [21, Theorem 2.4], if  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies *(Bw)*, then generalized Browder’s theorem holds for  $T$  and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ .

In this section we introduce and study two new spectral properties that are independent of *(Bw)*.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** An operator  $T \in L(X)$  is said to have *property (v)* if  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T)$ , and *generalized property (v)*, abbreviated *(gv)*, if  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *If  $T \in L(X)$  has property (gv), then it has property (v).*

*Proof.* Assume that  $T$  satisfies *(gv)* and let  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$ . Since  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ , we have  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ . As  $T$  satisfies *(gv)*, it follows that  $\lambda \in E(T)$ . Thus  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$  and  $\alpha(\lambda I - T) > 0$ . Since  $\lambda I - T$  is upper semi-Weyl, it is upper semi-Fredholm. Therefore,  $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$ , thus  $\lambda \in E^0(T)$ . This shows  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) \subseteq E^0(T)$ .

Conversely, let  $\lambda \in E^0(T)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$  and  $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$ . Since  $T$  satisfies (gv) and  $E^0(T) \subseteq E(T)$ , we have  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  and  $\lambda I - T$  is upper semi-B-Weyl. Thus,  $\lambda I - T$  is upper semi-B-Fredholm and  $\alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$ . By Lemma 1.3,  $\lambda I - T$  is upper semi-Fredholm, and hence upper semi-Weyl. Therefore,  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$  and consequently we have  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T)$ . ■

The converse of Theorem 2.2 does not hold in general, as we can see in the following example.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $Q$  be defined, for  $x = (\xi_i) \in \ell^1$ , by

$$Q(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = (0, \alpha_1 \xi_1, \alpha_2 \xi_2, \dots),$$

where  $(\alpha_i)$  is a sequence of complex numbers such that  $0 < |\alpha_i| \leq 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i < \infty$ . It follows from [14, Example 3.12] that

$$\overline{R(Q^n)} \neq R(Q^n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Define an operator  $T$  on  $X = \ell^1 \oplus \ell^1$  by  $T = Q \oplus 0$ . Then  $N(T) = \{0\} \oplus \ell^1$ ,  $\sigma(T) = \{0\}$ ,  $E(T) = \{0\}$ ,  $E^0(T) = \emptyset$ . Since  $R(T^n) = R(Q^n) \oplus \{0\}$ ,  $R(T^n)$  is not closed for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; so  $T$  is not upper semi-B-Weyl (or upper semi-Weyl) and  $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \{0\}$  (and  $\sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = \{0\}$ ). We thus have

$$\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \neq E(T), \quad \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T).$$

Hence,  $T$  satisfies (v), but not (gv).

The following two examples show that properties (Bw) and (v) (or (gv)) are independent.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let  $R$  be the unilateral right shift operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . Then,  $\sigma(R) = \mathbf{D}(0, 1)$ , the closed unit disc on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and so  $\text{iso } \sigma(R) = E^0(R) = \emptyset$ ,  $E(R) = \emptyset$ . Moreover,  $\sigma_a(R) = \Gamma$ ,  $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(R) = \Gamma$ ,  $\sigma_{SF_+^-}(R) = \Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  denotes the unit circle of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $R$  does not satisfy (v) or (gv), since  $\sigma(R) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(R) = \mathbf{D}(0, 1) \setminus \Gamma \neq \emptyset = E^0(R)$  and  $\sigma(R) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(R) = \mathbf{D}(0, 1) \setminus \Gamma \neq \emptyset = E(R)$ . On the other hand,  $\sigma_{BW}(R) = \mathbf{D}(0, 1)$ , and so  $\sigma(R) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(R) = \emptyset = E^0(R)$ . Hence,  $R$  satisfies (Bw).

EXAMPLE 2.5. Consider the operator  $T = 0$  on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . Then  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T) = \{0\}$ ,  $\sigma_{BW}(T) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \emptyset$  and  $E_a^0(T) = \emptyset$ . Since  $E^0(T) \subseteq E_a^0(T)$ , we have  $E^0(T) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = E^0(T)$ . Thus,  $T$  does not satisfy (Bw). Moreover,  $E(T) = \{0\}$ . Consequently,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \{0\} = E(T)$ , and so  $T$  satisfies (gv) and hence (v).

THEOREM 2.6. Let  $T \in L(X)$ . Then  $T$  has property (v) if and only if it satisfies Weyl's theorem and  $\sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_W(T)$ .

*Proof. Sufficiency:* Suppose that  $T$  satisfies  $(v)$ , i.e.  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T)$ . If  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_W(T)$ , then  $\lambda I - T$  is a Weyl operator, and hence it is upper semi-Weyl. Thus,  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T)$ , and so  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_W(T) \subseteq E^0(T)$ .

To show the opposite inclusion, let  $\lambda \in E^0(T)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$ , and so  $\lambda I - T$  is upper semi-Fredholm. Since  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ , both  $T, T^*$  have SVEP at  $\lambda$ . Thus,  $0 < p(\lambda I - T) = q(\lambda I - T) < \infty$ . This implies that  $\lambda I - T$  is a Browder operator, and so a Weyl operator. Therefore,  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_W(T)$ , and hence  $T$  satisfies Weyl's theorem. Consequently,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T)$  and  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_W(T) = E^0(T)$ . Hence,  $\sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_W(T)$ .

*Necessity:* Suppose that  $T$  satisfies Weyl's theorem and  $\sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_W(T)$ . Then  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_W(T) = E^0(T)$ , and hence  $T$  satisfies  $(v)$ . ■

Similarly to Theorem 2.6, we have the following result.

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $T \in L(X)$ . Then  $T$  has property  $(gv)$  if and only if  $T$  satisfies generalized Weyl's theorem and  $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T)$ .*

*Proof. Sufficiency:* Suppose that  $T$  satisfies  $(gv)$ , i.e.  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$ . If  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T)$ , then  $\lambda I - T$  is a B-Weyl operator, and hence it is upper semi-B-Weyl. Thus,  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$ , and so  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) \subseteq E(T)$ .

To show the opposite inclusion, let  $\lambda \in E(T)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ , so that  $\lambda I - T$  is upper semi-B-Fredholm, hence quasi-Fredholm, and both  $T, T^*$  have SVEP at  $\lambda$ . By Remark 1.2 and Lemma 1.4,  $\lambda I - T$  is Drazin invertible, and hence B-Weyl. Therefore,  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T)$ , and so  $E(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T)$ . This shows that  $T$  satisfies generalized Weyl's theorem. Consequently,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$  and  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = E(T)$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T)$ .

*Necessity:* Suppose that  $T$  satisfies generalized Weyl's theorem and  $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T)$ . Then  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = E(T)$ , and so  $T$  satisfies  $(gv)$ . ■

The next example shows that, in general, Weyl's theorem (resp. generalized Weyl's theorem) does not imply property  $(v)$  (resp.  $(gv)$ ).

**EXAMPLE 2.8.** Let  $R$  be the shift operator defined in Example 2.4. Then  $\sigma_{BW}(R) = \mathbf{D}(0, 1)$ ,  $\sigma_W(R) = \mathbf{D}(0, 1)$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(R) \setminus \sigma_W(R) = \emptyset = E^0(R)$  and  $\sigma(R) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(R) = \emptyset = E(R)$ . Thus,  $R$  satisfies both Weyl's theorem and generalized Weyl's theorem, but neither  $(v)$  nor  $(gv)$ .



In the next theorem we give conditions for the equivalence between (v) and (w) (resp. (gv) and (gw)).

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $T \in L(X)$ . Then:*

- (i)  *$T$  has property (v) if and only if  $T$  has property (w) and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ .*
- (ii)  *$T$  has property (gv) if and only if  $T$  has property (gw) and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $T$  satisfies (v) and let  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$ . Since  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T)$ , we have  $\lambda \in \pi_{00}(T)$ . Thus,  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) \subseteq E^0(T)$ . Now if  $\lambda \in E^0(T)$ , then  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$  and  $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$ . Consequently,  $\lambda I - T$  is not injective, and hence not bounded below. So,  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ . Since  $T$  satisfies (v) and  $\lambda \in E^0(T)$ , it follows that  $\lambda I - T$  is upper semi-Weyl. Therefore,  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$ . Thus,  $E^0(T) \subseteq \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$  and  $T$  satisfies (w). Consequently,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T)$  and  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T)$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$  and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $T$  satisfies (w) and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ . Then  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T)$ . Hence,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T)$  and  $T$  satisfies (v).

(ii) Suppose that  $T$  satisfies (gv) and let  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ . Since  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$ , we have  $\lambda \in E(T)$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \subseteq E(T)$ . Now if  $\lambda \in E(T)$ , then  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$  and  $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T)$ . Consequently,  $\lambda I - T$  is not injective, and hence not bounded below. Thus,  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ . As  $T$  satisfies (gv) and  $\lambda \in E(T)$ , it follows that  $\lambda I - T$  is upper semi-B-Weyl. Hence,  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ , so  $E(T) \subseteq \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$  and  $T$  satisfies (gw). Consequently,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$  and  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$  and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $T$  satisfies (gw) and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ . Then  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$ . Thus,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$  and  $T$  satisfies (gv). ■

The following example shows that property (gw) (resp. (w)) does not imply (gv) (resp. (v)).

**EXAMPLE 2.10.** Let  $R$  be the shift operator defined in Example 2.4. Then  $R$  does not satisfy (v) or (gv). On the other hand,  $\sigma_a(R) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(R) =$

$\emptyset = E^0(R)$  and  $\sigma_a(R) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(R) = \emptyset = E(R)$ . Therefore,  $R$  satisfies  $(w)$  and  $(gw)$ .

Recall that  $T \in L(X)$  is said to satisfy *a-Browder's theorem* (resp. *generalized a-Browder's theorem*) if  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$  (resp.  $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \Pi_a(T)$ ). From [10, Theorem 2.2] (see also [4, Theorem 3.2(ii)]), *a-Browder's theorem* and *generalized a-Browder's theorem* are equivalent. It is well known that *a-Browder's theorem* for  $T$  implies *Browder's theorem* for  $T$ , i.e.  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_W(T) = \Pi^0(T)$ . Also by [10, Theorem 2.1] *Browder's theorem* for  $T$  is equivalent to *generalized Browder's theorem* for  $T$ , i.e.  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(T) = \Pi(T)$ .

We prove in Theorem 2.2 that property  $(gv)$  implies  $(v)$ . The next result gives the precise relationships between these properties.

**THEOREM 2.11.** *For  $T \in L(X)$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T$  has property  $(gv)$ ,
- (ii)  $T$  has property  $(v)$  and  $E(T) = \Pi_a(T)$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that  $T$  satisfies  $(gv)$ ; then it also satisfies  $(v)$ . If  $\lambda \in E(T)$ , then  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ . Since  $T$  satisfies  $(gv)$ ,  $\lambda I - T$  is upper semi-B-Fredholm. Therefore,  $T$  has SVEP at  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda I - T$  is quasi-Fredholm. By Remark 1.2 and Lemma 1.4,  $\lambda I - T$  is left Drazin invertible, and so  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{LD}(T)$ . By Theorem 2.9,  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ , and hence  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{LD}(T) = \Pi_a(T)$ . This shows the inclusion  $E(T) \subseteq \Pi_a(T)$ .

To show the opposite inclusion, let  $\lambda \in \Pi_a(T)$ . Since  $T$  satisfies  $(gv)$ , it follows that  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{LD}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{LD}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$ . Therefore,  $\Pi_a(T) \subseteq E(T)$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that  $T$  satisfies  $(v)$  and  $E(T) = \Pi_a(T)$ . By Theorem 2.9,  $T$  satisfies  $(w)$  and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ . Property  $(w)$  implies by [8, Theorem 2.6] that  $T$  satisfies *a-Browder's theorem*, or equivalently, *generalized a-Browder's theorem*. Therefore,  $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_{LD}(T)$ . Since  $E(T) = \Pi_a(T)$ , we have  $E(T) = \Pi_a(T) = \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{LD}(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ . Thus,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E(T)$  and  $T$  satisfies  $(gv)$ . ■

**COROLLARY 2.12.** *Let  $T \in L(X)$ . Then:*

- (i)  $T$  has property  $(v)$  if and only if  $T$  has property  $(z)$ .
- (ii)  $T$  has property  $(gv)$  if and only if  $T$  has property  $(gz)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $T$  satisfies  $(v)$ . By Theorem 2.9(i),  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ , and so  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) = E^0(T) = E_a^0(T)$ . Therefore,  $T$  satisfies  $(z)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $T$  satisfies (z). By [25, Theorem 2.4(i)],  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$ , and so  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = E_a^0(T) = E^0(T)$ . Therefore,  $T$  satisfies (z).

(ii) The proof is similar to the proof of (i). Just use both Theorem 2.9(ii) and [25, Theorem 2.4(ii)]. ■

**THEOREM 2.13.** *Suppose that  $T \in L(X)$  has property (gv). Then:*

- (i)  $T$  satisfies generalized  $a$ -Browder's theorem and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ .
- (ii)  $T$  satisfies generalized Browder's theorem and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ .

*Proof.* (i) By [7, Theorem 2.4] it is sufficient to prove that  $T$  has SVEP at every  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ . Let  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ . We have the following two cases.

CASE 1:  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$ .

CASE 2:  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ .

In Case 1, clearly  $T$  has SVEP at  $\lambda$ . In Case 2, we have  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$  and since  $T$  satisfies (gv), it follows that  $\lambda \in E(T)$ . Therefore,  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ , and so  $T$  has SVEP at  $\lambda$  again.

To show the equality  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ , observe first that  $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$  holds for every  $T \in L(X)$ . To show the opposite inclusion, suppose that  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  and  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ . Then  $\lambda \in E(T)$ , since  $T$  satisfies (gv). Therefore,  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ , and so  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ . This shows that  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ .

(ii) Follows from (i), by using the fact that generalized  $a$ -Browder's theorem implies generalized Browder's theorem, and the equality  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$  implies the inclusion  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_{BW}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ , leading to  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ . ■

For  $T \in L(X)$ , define  $\Pi_+(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{LD}(T)$ . The precise relationship between generalized  $a$ -Browder's theorem and property (gv) is described by the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.14.** *If  $T \in L(X)$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T$  has property (gv),
- (ii)  $T$  satisfies generalized  $a$ -Browder's theorem and  $\Pi_+(T) = E(T)$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume that  $T$  satisfies (gv). Then, by Theorem 2.13, it is sufficient to prove  $\Pi_+(T) = E(T)$ . Indeed,  $E(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) =$

$\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{LD}(T) = \Pi_+(T)$ , since  $T$  satisfies  $(gv)$  and generalized  $a$ -Browder theorem by Theorem 2.13.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). If  $T$  satisfies generalized  $a$ -Browder's theorem and  $\Pi_+(T) = E(T)$ , then  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{LD}(T) = \Pi_+(T) = E(T)$ . Therefore,  $T$  satisfies  $(gv)$ . ■

**COROLLARY 2.15.** *If  $T \in L(X)$  has SVEP at each  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ , then  $T$  has property  $(gv)$  if and only if  $E(T) = \Pi_+(T)$ .*

*Proof.* The hypothesis that  $T$  has SVEP at each  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$  implies that  $T$  satisfies generalized  $a$ -Browder's theorem. Therefore, if  $E(T) = \Pi_+(T)$ , then  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{LD}(T) = \Pi_+(T) = E(T)$ . ■

For  $T \in L(X)$ , define  $\Pi_+^0(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{ub}(T)$ . The proofs of the following three theorems are analogous to the proofs of Theorems 2.13–2.15.

**THEOREM 2.16.** *Suppose that  $T \in L(X)$  has property  $(v)$ . Then:*

- (i)  *$T$  satisfies  $a$ -Browder's theorem and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ .*
- (ii)  *$T$  satisfies Browder's theorem and  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_W(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ .*

**THEOREM 2.17.** *If  $T \in L(X)$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$T$  has property  $(v)$ ,*
- (ii)  *$T$  satisfies  $a$ -Browder's theorem and  $\Pi_+^0(T) = E^0(T)$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.18.** *If  $T \in L(X)$  has SVEP at every point  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$ , then  $T$  has property  $(v)$  if and only if  $E^0(T) = \Pi_+^0(T)$ .*

It is proved in [18, Lemma 2.1] that if  $T^*$  has SVEP at every  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$  (resp.,  $T$  has SVEP at every  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SF_+^+}(T)$ ), then  $\sigma_W(T) = \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$  and  $\sigma_a(T) = \sigma(T)$  (resp.,  $\sigma_W(T^*) = \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T^*)$  and  $\sigma_a(T^*) = \sigma(T^*)$ ). Also, it is proved in [18, Lemma 2.4] that if  $T^*$  has SVEP at every  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$  (resp.,  $T$  has SVEP at every  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^+}(T)$ ), then  $\sigma_{BW}(T) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_D(T)$  and  $\sigma_a(T) = \sigma(T)$  (resp.,  $\sigma_{BW}(T^*) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T^*) = \sigma_D(T^*)$  and  $\sigma_a(T^*) = \sigma(T^*)$ ). By the above results, we clearly see that if  $T^*$  has SVEP at every  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ , then properties  $(R)$ ,  $(w)$ ,  $(v)$ ,  $(z)$ ,  $(b)$ ,  $(az)$ ,  $(aw)$ ,  $(ab)$ , Weyl's theorem and  $a$ -Weyl's theorem are equivalent for  $T$ . In the same form, we obtain equivalence for the respective “generalized” properties for  $T$ . The same equivalences hold for  $T^*$  if  $T$  has SVEP at  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^+}(T)$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The authors thank the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions which have greatly contributed to this paper.

## References

- [1] P. Aiena, *Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory, with Applications to Multipliers*, Kluwer, 2004.
- [2] P. Aiena, *Quasi-Fredholm operators and localized SVEP*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 73 (2007), 251–263.
- [3] P. Aiena, E. Aponte and E. Balzan, *Weyl type theorems for left and right polaroid operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 66 (2010), 1–20.
- [4] P. Aiena, M. T. Biondi and C. Carpintero, *On Drazin invertibility*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), 2839–2848.
- [5] P. Aiena, J. Guillen and P. Peña, *Property (R) for bounded linear operators*, Mediterr. J. Math. 8 (2011), 491–508.
- [6] P. Aiena, J. Guillen and P. Peña, *Property (gR) and perturbations*, submitted.
- [7] P. Aiena and L. Miller, *On generalized  $\alpha$ -Browder's theorem*, Studia Math. 180 (2007), 285–299.
- [8] P. Aiena and P. Peña, *Variations on Weyl's theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 324 (2006), 566–579.
- [9] M. Amouch and M. Berkani, *On the property (gw)*, Mediterr. J. Math. 5 (2008), 371–378.
- [10] M. Amouch and H. Zguitti, *On the equivalence of Browder's theorem and generalized Browder's theorem*, Glasgow Math. J. 48 (2006), 179–185.
- [11] M. Berkani, *On a class of quasi-Fredholm operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 34 (1999), 244–249.
- [12] M. Berkani, *Restriction of an operator to the range of its powers*, Studia Math. 140 (2000), 163–175.
- [13] M. Berkani, *Index of B-Fredholm operators and generalization of a Weyl theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), 1717–1723.
- [14] M. Berkani and J. Koliha, *Weyl type theorems for bounded linear operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 69 (2003), 359–376.
- [15] M. Berkani and M. Sarih, *On semi B-Fredholm operators*, Glasgow Math. J. 43 (2001), 457–465.
- [16] M. Berkani and H. Zariouh, *Extended Weyl type theorems*, Math. Bohemica 134 (2009), 369–378.
- [17] M. Berkani and H. Zariouh, *New extended Weyl type theorems*, Mat. Vesnik 62 (2010), 145–154.
- [18] M. Berkani, M. Sarih and H. Zariouh, *Browder-type theorems and SVEP*, Mediterr. J. Math. 8 (2011), 399–409.
- [19] L. A. Coburn, *Weyl's theorem for nonnormal operators*, Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 285–288.
- [20] J. K. Finch, *The single valued extension property on a Banach space*, Pacific J. Math. 58 (1975), 61–69.
- [21] A. Gupta and N. Kashayap, *Property (Bw) and Weyl type theorems*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 3 (2011), 1–7.
- [22] H. Heuser, *Functional Analysis*, Dekker, New York, 1982.
- [23] V. Rakočević, *On a class of operators*, Mat. Vesnik 37 (1985), 423–426.
- [24] V. Rakočević, *Operators obeying  $\alpha$ -Weyl's theorem*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 34 (1989), 915–919.

- [25] H. Zariouh, *Property (gz) for bounded linear operators*, Mat. Vesnik 65 (2013), 94–103.

J. Sanabria, C. Carpintero, E. Rosas, O. García  
Departamento de Matemáticas  
Escuela de Ciencias  
Núcleo de Sucre  
Universidad de Oriente  
Cumaná, Venezuela  
E-mail: jesanabri@gmail.com  
carpintero.carlos@gmail.com  
ennisrafael@gmail.com  
ogarciam554@gmail.com

*Received April 25, 2012*  
*Revised version October 5, 2012*

(7494)