Sharp estimates of the Jacobi heat kernel

by

ADAM NOWAK (Wrocław) and PETER SJÖGREN (Göteborg)

Abstract. The heat kernel associated with the setting of the classical Jacobi polynomials is defined by an oscillatory sum which cannot be computed explicitly, in contrast to the situation for the other two classical systems of orthogonal polynomials. We deduce sharp estimates giving the order of magnitude of this kernel, for type parameters $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$. Using quite different methods, Coulhon, Kerkyacharian and Petrushev recently also obtained such estimates. As an application of the bounds, we show that the maximal operator of the multi-dimensional Jacobi heat semigroup satisfies a weak type $(1, 1)$ inequality. We also obtain sharp estimates of the Poisson–Jacobi kernel.

1. Introduction. Let $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, be the classical Jacobi polynomials with type parameters $\alpha, \beta > -1$, as defined in Szegö's monograph [26]. The *Jacobi heat kernel* is given by

$$(1) \qquad G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp(-tn(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)) \frac{P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x) P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(y)}{h_n^{\alpha,\beta}},$$

where $x, y \in [-1, 1]$, $t > 0$, and $h_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \int_{-1}^{1} [P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x)]^2 (1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}\, dx$ are normalizing constants. The numbers $n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)$ here are the eigenvalues of the Jacobi differential operator, and it is well known that this kernel gives the solution of the initial-value problem for the Jacobi heat equation, as explained below.

Our main result reads as follows.

THEOREM A. *Assume that $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$. Given any $T > 0$, there exist positive constants C, c_1 and c_2, depending only on α, β and T, such that*

$$\frac{1}{C}[t + \theta\varphi]^{-\alpha-1/2}[t + (\pi - \theta)(\pi - \varphi)]^{-\beta-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-c_1 \frac{(\theta - \varphi)^2}{t}\right)$$
$$\leq G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi)$$
$$\leq C[t + \theta\varphi]^{-\alpha-1/2}[t + (\pi - \theta)(\pi - \varphi)]^{-\beta-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{(\theta - \varphi)^2}{t}\right)$$

[219]

for $\theta, \varphi \in [0, \pi]$ and $0 < t \le T$. Moreover,

$$C^{-1} \le G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) \le C$$

for $x, y \in [-1, 1]$ and $t \ge T$, and $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) \to 1/h_0^{\alpha,\beta}$ as $t \to \infty$, uniformly in $x, y \in [-1, 1]$.

Thus we obtain a qualitatively sharp description of the behavior of $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$. The restriction on α and β is imposed by the methods used.

The multi-dimensional Jacobi heat kernel is a tensor product of one-dimensional kernels, and Theorem A provides similar bounds also in the multi-dimensional setting. As an application, we prove that the maximal operator of the multi-dimensional Jacobi semigroup satisfies a weak type $(1, 1)$ estimate (see Theorem 5.1). This complements analogous results in the Hermite and Laguerre polynomial settings, which were obtained in dimension one by Muckenhoupt [16], and in arbitrary finite dimension by the second author [24] and Dinger [10], respectively. For the Laguerre case, see also the authors' paper [19].

The heat kernels associated with the other two families of classical orthogonal polynomials have been known explicitly for a long time. Already in 1866, Mehler [15] established the formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_n(x)H_n(y)}{2^n n!} r^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \exp\left(\frac{2xyr - (x^2 + y^2)r^2}{1 - r^2}\right), \quad |r| < 1,$$

which makes it possible to sum the heat kernel related to the Hermite polynomials H_n. In the case of the Laguerre polynomials L_n^α, the relevant bilinear generating function is the Hille–Hardy formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)} L_n^\alpha(x) L_n^\alpha(y) r^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-r} \exp\left(-\frac{(x+y)r}{1-r}\right)(xyr)^{-\alpha/2} I_\alpha\left(\frac{2\sqrt{xyr}}{1-r}\right),$$

where $|r| < 1$, $\alpha > -1$ and I_α is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. This identity was found in 1926 by Hille [13] and independently rediscovered later by Hardy [12] (see [28]). An analogue of these formulas in the Jacobi setting is Bailey's formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta) P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\varphi)}{h_n^{\alpha,\beta}} r^n = \frac{2^{1-\gamma} \Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)} \frac{1-r}{(1+r)^\gamma}$$

$$\times F_4\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma+1}{2}; \alpha+1, \beta+1; \left(\frac{2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}}{r^{1/2} + r^{-1/2}}\right)^2, \left(\frac{2\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}}{r^{1/2} + r^{-1/2}}\right)^2\right),$$

where $|r| < 1$, $\alpha, \beta > -1$, $\gamma = \alpha + \beta + 2$ and F_4 is Appel's hypergeometric

function of two variables. This generating function was first stated in 1935 without proof in Bailey's tract [1]. The proof is a straightforward consequence of Watson's formula for hypergeometric functions [27] and was published slightly later [2].

However, in contrast with the Hermite and Laguerre cases, Bailey's formula does not enable one to compute the Jacobi heat kernel. This is because the eigenvalues $n(n+\alpha+\beta+1)$ occurring in the defining series are not linear in n. It is known that in the four simple special cases $\alpha, \beta = \pm 1/2$, the kernel $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$ can be written by means of non-oscillating series. The argument is based on the periodized Gauss–Weierstrass kernel and simple initial-value problems for the classical heat equation in an interval. No further elementary representation for $G_t^{\pm 1/2, \pm 1/2}(x,y)$ seems to be possible. This indicates that there is little hope of deriving a closed formula for the Jacobi heat kernel for general α and β similar to those of Mehler, Hille and Hardy, and Bailey. The estimates in Theorem A are therefore a natural and desirable substitute for an exact expression. These estimates have also been obtained recently for $\alpha, \beta > -1$ by Coulhon, Kerkyacharian and Petrushev [6] by means of Dirichlet spaces and other tools. Nevertheless, our paper was written independently in 2011 (see [22]) and presents a completely different approach, of independent interest. Further, it is worth noting that Demni and Zani [8] have deduced a formula for the kernel involving a sum different from that in (1).

The Jacobi polynomials cover as special cases several other classical families of orthogonal polynomials, including Chebyshev, Legendre and Gegenbauer (also called ultraspherical) polynomials. Special instances of the Jacobi heat kernel exist at least implicitly in the literature since the 19th century, and the question of describing its behavior was an open problem, even though perhaps never stated explicitly in written form. Additional motivation comes from the fact that $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$ is also the transition probability density for the Jacobi diffusion process, which has important applications in stochastic modeling in physics, economics and genetics; see [8, 14] and references given there. According to our knowledge, the behavior of $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$ has not been investigated until recently, except for its positivity. Bochner [3] proved that the ultraspherical heat kernel is non-negative. Strict positivity in the general Jacobi case was shown by Karlin and McGregor [14]. Some later results on the positivity can be found in Gasper [11] and Bochner [4].

We also take the opportunity, see the Appendix, to describe the behavior of the Poisson–Jacobi kernel, which is essentially the sum occurring in Bailey's formula. However, the representation in terms of Appel's function F_4 does not seem to be very useful for this purpose. Instead we employ a double integral representation that was derived recently by the authors [23] from a product formula due to Dijksma and Koornwinder [9].

For short times t, a direct analytic treatment of the heavily oscillating series defining $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$ is practically impossible. Therefore, we develop a method combining several ingredients. These are, among others, the already mentioned product formula of Dijksma and Koornwinder and a resulting *reduction formula*, transference of heat kernel estimates from a sphere, a *comparison principle* relating heat kernels for different type parameters, the semigroup property and, finally, a rough estimate of the series defining $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce three related Jacobi settings appearing in the literature, and explain how the associated heat kernels are connected. Section 3 contains the auxiliary results that form the main tools for our proof of Theorem A. Several of them are interesting in their own right. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem A. Maximal operators of multi-dimensional Jacobi semigroups are treated in Section 5; by means of Theorem A, we prove weak type $(1,1)$ estimates for these operators. Finally, in the Appendix we prove sharp estimates for the Poisson–Jacobi kernel.

Throughout the paper we use standard notation. The letter C will stand for many different positive constants independent of significant quantities. When writing estimates, we use the notation $X \lesssim Y$ to indicate that $X \le CY$. We write $X \simeq Y$ when simultaneously $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$. The notation $\simeq\simeq$ is introduced at the beginning of Section 4. Tracing the proof of Theorem A, it is easy to verify that the constants in the statement come out as claimed.

2. Preliminaries. Given $\alpha, \beta > -1$, the one-dimensional *Jacobi polynomials* of type α, β are defined for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $-1 < x < 1$ by the Rodrigues formula (cf. [26, (4.3.1)])

$$P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!}(1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta}\frac{d^n}{dx^n}[(1-x)^{\alpha+n}(1+x)^{\beta+n}].$$

Note that each $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ is a polynomial of degree n.

We will consider three closely related settings of orthogonal systems based on Jacobi polynomials. All of them have deep roots in the existing literature. Below we briefly introduce each setting, for the sake of simplicity in dimension one. Multi-dimensional analogues arise in a standard way as tensor products of one-dimensional systems.

Pure polynomial setting. In this case the relevant system $\{P_n^{\alpha,\beta} : n \ge 0\}$ is formed directly by Jacobi polynomials. This system is an orthogonal basis in $L^2(d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta})$, where $\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the beta-type measure given by

$$d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta dx$$

in the interval $[-1, 1]$. Each $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ is an eigenfunction of the Jacobi differential operator

$$J^{\alpha,\beta} = -(1 - x^2)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - [\beta - \alpha - (\alpha + \beta + 2)x]\frac{d}{dx};$$

more precisely

$$J^{\alpha,\beta} P_n^{\alpha,\beta} = n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)P_n^{\alpha,\beta}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The operator $J^{\alpha,\beta}$ is symmetric and non-negative in $L^2(d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta})$ on the domain $C_c^2(-1, 1)$, and has a natural self-adjoint extension whose spectral resolution is given by the $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$; see [20] for details. The semigroup $T_t^{\alpha,\beta} = \exp(-tJ^{\alpha,\beta})$ is a symmetric diffusion semigroup in the sense of [25, Chapter 3]; in particular, $T_t^{\alpha,\beta}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$. It is also the transition semigroup for the Jacobi diffusion process, which has already received attention; cf. [14] and references there. Some aspects of harmonic analysis in the multi-dimensional Jacobi pure polynomial setting were investigated by the authors in [20].

The integral representation of $T_t^{\alpha,\beta}$, valid for $f \in L^1(d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta})$, is

$$T_t^{\alpha,\beta}f(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x, y)f(y)\,d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}(y),$$

where the Jacobi heat kernel is given by the oscillating series (1). The normalizing constants $h_n^{\alpha,\beta} := \|P_n^{\alpha,\beta}\|_{L^2(d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta})}^2$ in (1) are given by (cf. [26, (4.3.3)])

$$(2) \qquad h_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)}{(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(n + 1)},$$

where for $n = 0$ and $\alpha + \beta = -1$ the product $(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)$ must be replaced by $\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2)$. Notice that $1/h_n^{\alpha,\beta} \simeq n$, $n \geq 1$. As already mentioned, $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x, y)$ is strictly positive for $x, y \in [-1, 1]$ and $t > 0$. Further, $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x, y)$ is continuous (and even smooth) in $(t, x, y) \in (0, \infty) \times [-1, 1]^2$, as well as in $(\alpha, \beta) \in (-1, \infty)^2$. This can be verified by analyzing the defining series, using the corresponding continuity properties of Jacobi polynomials and the bound

$$|P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x)| \lesssim (n + 1)^C, \quad n \geq 0, \ x \in [-1, 1]$$

(see Section 3). By [20, Proposition 3.3], $T_t^{\alpha,\beta}f(x)$ is for any $f \in L^1(d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta})$ a C^∞ function of $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (-1, 1)$ satisfying the heat equation

$$(\partial_t + J^{\alpha,\beta})T_t^{\alpha,\beta}f(x) = 0, \quad x \in (-1, 1), \ t > 0.$$

Finally, we note that (see [20, p. 347]) for $f \in C[-1, 1]$,

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} T_t^{\alpha,\beta}f(x) = f(x), \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

and the convergence is uniform in x. All these facts will be used in what follows.

Trigonometric polynomial setting. This framework emerges if one applies the natural and convenient trigonometric parametrization $x = \cos\theta$, $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, to the Jacobi polynomials. We consider the normalized trigonometric polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta) = 2^{(\alpha+\beta+1)/2}(h_n^{\alpha,\beta})^{-1/2}P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta).$$

The system $\{\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta} : n \geq 0\}$ is orthonormal and complete in $L^2(d\mu_{\alpha,\beta})$, where

$$d\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta) = \left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{2\alpha+1}\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{2\beta+1}d\theta$$

in $[0, \pi]$. Each $\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ is an eigenfunction of the differential operator

$$\mathcal{J}^{\alpha,\beta} = -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - \frac{\alpha - \beta + (\alpha + \beta + 1)\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\frac{d}{d\theta} + \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}\right)^2;$$

indeed,

$$\mathcal{J}^{\alpha,\beta}\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \left(n + \frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}\right)^2\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The operator $\mathcal{J}^{\alpha,\beta}$ has a natural self-adjoint extension whose spectral resolution is given by the $\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}$; see [23] for details. The semigroup $\mathcal{T}_t^{\alpha,\beta} = \exp(-t\mathcal{J}^{\alpha,\beta})$ has the integral representation

$$\mathcal{T}_t^{\alpha,\beta}f(\theta) = \int_0^\pi \mathcal{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \varphi)f(\varphi)\,d\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi),$$

valid for $f \in L^1(d\mu_{\alpha,\beta})$, with the heat kernel defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{-t(n+\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2})^2}\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi).$$

Note that $\mathcal{J}^{\alpha,\beta}$ is obtained by transforming $J^{\alpha,\beta}$ according to the change of variable $x = \cos\theta$ and introducing the zero order term. The latter modification leads to eigenvalues which are squares, and therefore the oscillating series defining the one-dimensional Poisson–Jacobi kernel in this setting,

$$\mathcal{H}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{-t|n+\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}|}\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi),$$

can be represented in a more convenient way; in particular, Bailey's formula applies. On the other hand, the semigroup $\mathcal{T}_t^{\alpha,\beta}$ is submarkovian, but not Markovian in general.

Several fundamental harmonic analysis operators related to the Jacobi trigonometric polynomial setting were studied recently by the authors [23].

The ultraspherical case was widely investigated from a slightly different perspective in the seminal paper of Muckenhoupt and Stein [18], which in 1965 initiated the development in harmonic analysis known as *harmonic analysis of orthogonal expansions*. In both cases, the analysis was based on the one-dimensional Poisson–Jacobi kernel; see [23] for further facts and references.

Trigonometric 'function' setting. This context originates naturally in connection with transplantation problems for Jacobi expansions (see for instance [17, 5] and references there) and is derived from the previous setting by modifying the Jacobi trigonometric polynomials so as to make the resulting system orthogonal with respect to Lebesgue measure $d\theta$ in $[0, \pi]$. More precisely, we consider the functions

$$\phi_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta) = \left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\alpha+1/2} \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\beta+1/2} \mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then the system $\{\phi_n^{\alpha,\beta} : n \geq 0\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(d\theta)$. The associated differential operator is (cf. [26, Section 4.24])

$$\mathbb{J}^{\alpha,\beta} = -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \frac{(\alpha-1/2)(\alpha+1/2)}{4\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}} + \frac{(\beta-1/2)(\beta+1/2)}{4\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}}$$

and we have

$$\mathbb{J}^{\alpha,\beta}\phi_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \left(n + \frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}\right)^2 \phi_n^{\alpha,\beta}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The semigroup $\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\beta} = \exp(-t\mathbb{J}^{\alpha,\beta})$, generated by the natural self-adjoint extension of $\mathbb{J}^{\alpha,\beta}$, has the integral representation, valid for $f \in L^2(d\theta)$,

$$\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\beta}f(\theta) = \int_0^\pi \mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi)f(\varphi)\,d\varphi,$$

where

$$\mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{-t\left(n+\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}\right)^2} \phi_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\phi_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi).$$

Note that $\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\beta}$ is not defined on all $L^p(d\theta)$, $1 < p < \infty$, if $\alpha < -1/2$ or $\beta < -1/2$.

The Poisson–Jacobi kernel in the Jacobi trigonometric 'function' setting is defined by

$$\mathbb{H}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{-t|n+\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}|} \phi_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\phi_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi).$$

Observe that there is a simple analytic connection between the heat kernels

in the three Jacobi frameworks. In fact, we have

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi) = \left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{\alpha+1/2}\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{\beta+1/2}\mathcal{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi)$$

$$= 2^{\alpha+\beta+1}e^{-t\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}\right)^2}\left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{\alpha+1/2}\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{\beta+1/2}$$

$$\times\, G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta,\cos\varphi).$$

Similarly, for the Poisson–Jacobi kernels,

$$\mathbb{H}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi) = \left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{\alpha+1/2}\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{\beta+1/2}\mathcal{H}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi).$$

Thus kernel estimates can be translated between the Jacobi settings.

3. Preparatory results. In this section, we prove several results that will be important ingredients of the proof of Theorem A. They are of independent interest, and so some of them are stated in slightly greater generality than actually needed for our present purposes.

It is convenient to introduce a compact notation for objects related to the ultraspherical setting, i.e., when the Jacobi parameters are equal, say $\alpha = \beta = \lambda$. In such cases, the sub- or superscript λ, λ will be shortened to λ; for instance

$$P_n^\lambda := P_n^{\lambda,\lambda}, \quad \varrho_\lambda := \varrho_{\lambda,\lambda}, \quad h_n^\lambda := h_n^{\lambda,\lambda}.$$

Notice that this convention differs somewhat from the standard notation for the classical ultraspherical (Gegenbauer) polynomials C_n^λ (cf. [26, Section 4.7]). In fact we have (see [26, (4.7.1)])

$$(4) \quad C_n^\lambda(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)}{\Gamma(2\lambda)}\frac{\Gamma(n+2\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1/2)}P_n^{\lambda-1/2}(x), \quad \lambda > -1/2,\ \lambda \neq 0.$$

Reduction formula. The following product formula for Jacobi polynomials was derived by Dijksma and Koornwinder [9]:

$$P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(1-2s^2)P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(1-2t^2) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{\pi n!\,\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1/2)\Gamma(\beta+1/2)}$$

$$\times \int_{-1}^{1}\int_{-1}^{1} C_{2n}^{\alpha+\beta+1}\left(ust+v\sqrt{1-s^2}\sqrt{1-t^2}\right)(1-u^2)^{\alpha-1/2}(1-v^2)^{\beta-1/2}\,du\,dv.$$

This formula is valid for $\alpha, \beta > -1/2$. We shall write it in a more suitable form which, by a limiting argument, will be valid for all $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$.

Let Π_α be the probability measure on the interval $[-1,1]$ defined for $\alpha > -1/2$ by

$$d\Pi_\alpha(u) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha+1/2)}(1-u^2)^{\alpha-1/2}\,du.$$

In the limit case $\alpha = -1/2$, we put

$$\Pi_{-1/2} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\delta_{-1} + \delta_1),$$

where $\delta_{\pm 1}$ denotes a point mass at ± 1. Note that $\Pi_{-1/2}$ is the weak limit of Π_α as $\alpha \to -1/2$. We now rewrite the above product formula with $s = \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$ and $t = \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}$, using (4), the relation between $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}$, the fact that (cf. [26, (4.1.1)])

$$P_n^\lambda(1) = \frac{\Gamma(n + \lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\lambda+1)}$$

and the expression (2) for $h_n^{\alpha,\beta}$. After some computations, one finds that

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 3/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)} \int\!\!\int d\Pi_\alpha(u)\, d\Pi_\beta(v)$$

$$\times \frac{P_{2n}^{\alpha+\beta+1/2}\big(u \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} + v \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}\big) P_{2n}^{\alpha+\beta+1/2}(1)}{h_{2n}^{\alpha+\beta+1/2}}.$$

This formula holds for all $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$, since Jacobi polynomials are continuous functions of their type parameters (see [26, (4.21.2)]).

Multiplying both sides above by $\exp\big(-t\big(n + \frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}\big)^2\big)$ and summing over $n \geq 0$ we get

$$\mathcal{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 3/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)}\, e^{-t(\alpha+\beta+1)^2/4} \int\!\!\int d\Pi_\alpha(u)\, d\Pi_\beta(v)$$

$$\times \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{-tn(n+\alpha+\beta+1)} \frac{P_{2n}^{\alpha+\beta+1/2}\big(u \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} + v \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}\big) P_{2n}^{\alpha+\beta+1/2}(1)}{h_{2n}^{\alpha+\beta+1/2}}.$$

Writing $tn(n+\alpha+\beta+1) = (t/4)2n[2n + (\alpha+\beta+1/2) + (\alpha+\beta+1/2) + 1]$ and taking into account that ultraspherical polynomials of even (odd) orders are even (odd) functions (cf. [26, (4.7.4)]), we see that the last series represents the even part with respect to the first variable of the ultraspherical heat kernel, with the second variable fixed at the endpoint 1. Since for symmetry reasons the corresponding odd part gives no contribution to the integral, we end up with the following reduction formula.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$. Then, for all $\theta, \varphi \in [0, \pi]$ and $t > 0$,*

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi)$$

$$= \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta} \int\!\!\int G_{t/4}^{\alpha+\beta+1/2}\Big(u \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2} + v\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}, 1\Big)\, d\Pi_\alpha(u)\, d\Pi_\beta(v),$$

with the constant $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta} = \sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+3/2)/(2^{\alpha+\beta+1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1))$. ■

Thus we have expressed the general Jacobi heat kernel in terms of the ultraspherical one. The relation between the type parameters here will be essential for our arguments to prove the heat kernel estimates.

Connection with the spherical heat kernel. We now consider the Jacobi polynomial setting in the half-integer ultraspherical case, that is, when

$$\alpha = \beta = N/2 - 1, \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

It is well known that this situation is closely connected with expansions in spherical harmonics on the Euclidean unit sphere of dimension N (see for instance [14, Section III]). In particular, there exists a relation between the heat kernels in the two settings, which we indicate below.

For $N \geq 1$, let S^N be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and denote by σ_N the standard (non-normalized) area measure on S^N. The Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ_N on S^N is symmetric and non-positive in $C^\infty(S^N) \subset L^2(d\sigma_N)$. The classical system of spherical harmonics on S^N is an orthogonal basis in $L^2(d\sigma_N)$ of eigenfunctions of Δ_N. The spherical heat semigroup $U_t^N = \exp(t\Delta_N)$, generated by the self-adjoint extension of Δ_N, has an integral representation

$$U_t^N f(\xi) = \int_{S^N} K_t^N(\xi, \eta) f(\eta) \, d\sigma_N(\eta), \quad \xi \in S^N, \, t > 0,$$

for $f \in L^2(d\sigma_N)$. The spherical heat kernel $K_t^N(\xi, \eta)$ can be expressed explicitly as an oscillatory series of spherical harmonics (see [14, p. 176]). By general theory (cf. [7, Theorem 5.2.1]), $K_t^N(\xi, \eta)$ is a strictly positive and continuous (even smooth) function of $(t, \xi, \eta) \in (0, \infty) \times S^N \times S^N$.

It is well known that the zonal case in the context of Δ_N and expansions with respect to spherical harmonics reduces to the ultraspherical setting in the interval $[-1, 1]$ with the type parameter $\lambda = N/2 - 1$. Indeed, let F be a zonal function on S^N, say $F = f \circ \psi$, where

$$\psi(\xi) = \xi_1, \quad \xi \in S^N,$$

is the zonal projection onto the diameter of S^N determined by the first coordinate axis. Then the expansion of F in spherical harmonics reduces to the expansion of f in ultraspherical polynomials P_n^λ of type $\lambda = N/2 - 1$. The associated heat semigroups are related in a similar way, as stated below.

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume that $N \geq 1$ and $\lambda = N/2 - 1$. Then for $f \in L^2(d\varrho_\lambda)$,*

$$(T_t^\lambda f) \circ \psi(\xi) = U_t^N(f \circ \psi)(\xi), \quad \xi \in S^N, \, t > 0.$$

Proof. Observe that the semigroups considered consist of L^2-bounded linear operators, which for each $t > 0$ map L^2 into the subspaces of continuous functions on $[-1, 1]$ and S^N, respectively. Moreover, $f \in L^2(d\varrho_\lambda)$ if and only if $f \circ \psi \in L^2(d\sigma_N)$. Therefore, since linear combinations of ultraspherical polynomials are dense in $L^2(d\varrho_\lambda)$, we may assume that $f = P_k^\lambda$ for some k.

The identity we must prove has roots in the fact that the ultraspherical operator J^λ is essentially the zonal part of the Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ_N on S^N. Indeed, writing the differential operator Δ_N in hyperspherical coordinates on S^N (see [14, p. 175]) one easily verifies that

$$(J^\lambda P_k^\lambda) \circ \psi = -\Delta_N(P_k^\lambda \circ \psi).$$

Since P_k^λ is an eigenfunction of J^λ, we see that $P_k^\lambda \circ \psi$ is an eigenfunction of $-\Delta_N$, with the same eigenvalue. For smooth functions, the self-adjoint extension of Δ_N coincides with the differential operator, and we conclude that

$$(T_t^\lambda P_k^\lambda) \circ \psi(\xi) = e^{-tk(k+2\lambda+1)} P_k^\lambda \circ \psi(\xi) = U_t^N(P_k^\lambda \circ \psi)(\xi), \quad \xi \in S^N,$$

as desired. ∎

We now establish a connection between the ultraspherical and spherical heat kernels.

THEOREM 3.3. *Assume that $N \geq 1$ and $\lambda = N/2 - 1$. Then*

$$G_t^\lambda(x,y) = \int\limits_{S^{N-1}} K_t^N(\xi, (y, \zeta\sqrt{1-y^2}))\, d\sigma_{N-1}(\zeta),$$

where $x, y \in [-1,1]$, $t > 0$ and $\xi \in \psi^{-1}(\{x\})$ is arbitrary.

Proof. Let f be a polynomial on $[-1,1]$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$\int\limits_{-1}^{1} G_t^\lambda(\psi(\xi),y) f(y)(1-y^2)^\lambda\, dy = \int\limits_{S^N} K_t^N(\xi,\eta) f \circ \psi(\eta)\, d\sigma_N(\eta).$$

To treat the last integral, we introduce zonal coordinates on S^N,

$$\Psi \colon [-1,1] \times S^{N-1} \to S^N, \quad \Psi(y,\zeta) = (y, \zeta\sqrt{1-y^2}).$$

Then for reasonable functions F,

$$\int\limits_{S^N} F(\xi)\, d\sigma_N(\xi) = \int\limits_{-1}^{1} \int\limits_{S^{N-1}} F \circ \Psi(y,\zeta)\, d\sigma_{N-1}(\zeta)\, (1-y^2)^{N/2-1}\, dy.$$

Therefore,

$$\int\limits_{-1}^{1} G_t^\lambda(\psi(\xi),y) f(y)(1-y^2)^\lambda\, dy$$
$$= \int\limits_{-1}^{1} \int\limits_{S^{N-1}} K_t^N(\xi, (y, \zeta\sqrt{1-y^2}))\, d\sigma_{N-1}(\zeta)\, f(y)(1-y^2)^\lambda\, dy.$$

Since polynomials are dense in $C[-1,1]$ and the kernels in question are continuous functions of their arguments, it follows that

$$G_t^\lambda(\psi(\xi),y) = \int\limits_{S^{N-1}} K_t^N(\xi, (y, \zeta\sqrt{1-y^2}))\, d\sigma_{N-1}(\zeta)$$

for $t > 0$, $y \in [-1,1]$ and $\xi \in S^N$. ∎

The case $y = 1$ and $\xi = (x, \sqrt{1 - x^2}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ of Theorem 3.3 reveals a particularly simple relation between the ultraspherical and spherical heat kernels.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let* $\lambda = N/2 - 1$ *for some* $N \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$. *Then*

$$G_t^\lambda(x, 1) = \sigma_{N-1}(S^{N-1}) \, K_t^N\big((x, \sqrt{1 - x^2}, 0, \ldots, 0), (1, 0, \ldots, 0)\big)$$

for $x \in [-1, 1]$ *and* $t > 0$.

This expression for the ultraspherical heat kernel in terms of the spherical one will allow us to transfer qualitatively sharp heat kernel bounds on spheres to the ultraspherical setting with half-integer type index. On the other hand, it is interesting to observe that the spherical heat kernel on S^N is completely determined by $G_t^\lambda(x, 1)$ for $\lambda = N/2 - 1$. This is a consequence of Corollary 3.4 and the fact that $K_t^N(\xi, \eta)$ depends on ξ and η only through their spherical distance.

Comparison principle. Given $\epsilon, \delta \geq 0$, define

$$\Phi_{\epsilon,\delta}(x) = (1 - x)^{\epsilon/2}(1 + x)^{\delta/2}, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

with the convention that $(1 \pm x)^0 = 1$ for $x = \mp 1$. This is the square root of the Radon–Nikodym derivative $d\varrho_{\alpha+\epsilon,\beta+\delta}/d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}$. Using a parabolic PDE technique, we shall prove the following result comparing Jacobi heat kernels with different type parameters.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let* $\alpha, \beta > -1$. *Given* $\epsilon, \delta \geq 0$ *and* $\alpha \geq -\epsilon/2$, $\beta \geq -\delta/2$, *we have*

(5) $\Phi_{\epsilon,\delta}(x)\Phi_{\epsilon,\delta}(y) \, G_t^{\alpha+\epsilon,\beta+\delta}(x, y)$

$$\leq \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon + \delta}{2}\left(\alpha + \beta + 1 + \frac{\epsilon + \delta}{2}\right)t\right) G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in [-1, 1]$ *and* $t > 0$.

Translating this estimate to the other two Jacobi settings, we get

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let* $\alpha, \beta, \epsilon, \delta$ *be as in Theorem* 3.5. *Then*

$$\left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^\epsilon \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^\delta \mathcal{G}_t^{\alpha+\epsilon,\beta+\delta}(\theta, \varphi) \leq \mathcal{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \varphi)$$

and

$$\mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha+\epsilon,\beta+\delta}(\theta, \varphi) \leq \mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \varphi),$$

for all $\theta, \varphi \in [0, \pi]$ *and* $t > 0$, *with the natural convention for boundary values in the second inequality.*

The relation in the Jacobi trigonometric 'function' setting is particularly nice since it shows that the heat kernel is decreasing as a function of each

of the type parameters $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$. Note that, by subordination, the estimates of Corollary 3.6 carry over to the corresponding Poisson kernels.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Since the Jacobi heat kernel is a continuous function of its type parameters $\alpha, \beta > -1$, we may assume that $\epsilon, \delta > 0$. We first rewrite (5) in integrated form. By integrating against $f(y)d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}(y)$, we see that (5) implies

(6) $$\Phi_{\epsilon,\delta}(x)T_t^{\alpha+\epsilon,\beta+\delta}(f/\Phi_{\epsilon,\delta})(x) \leq e^{\frac{\epsilon+\delta}{2}(\alpha+\beta+1+\frac{\epsilon+\delta}{2})t}\, T_t^{\alpha,\beta}f(x)$$

for suitable functions $f \geq 0$. Conversely, if (6) holds for all non-negative $f \in C_c^\infty(-1,1)$, then (5) will follow, since $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$ is continuous in $(x,y) \in [-1,1]^2$. We shall thus prove the lemma by verifying (6) for $x \in (-1,1)$ and $t > 0$ and any $0 \leq f \in C_c^\infty(-1,1)$ not identically 0. Our reasoning will rely on a generalization of the minimum principle method used to prove [20, Lemma 3.4].

Denote by $u = u(t,x)$ the left-hand side of (6) and let

$$v = v(t,x) = e^{t\eta}e^{\frac{\epsilon+\delta}{2}(\alpha+\beta+1+\frac{\epsilon+\delta}{2})t}\, T_t^{\alpha,\beta}(f+\eta)(x)$$

for some fixed $\eta > 0$. Since f is smooth, the functions u and v have continuous extensions to $[0,\infty) \times (-1,1)$. Our task will be done once we show that

$$u(t,x) \leq v(t,x), \quad x \in (-1,1),$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and any $\eta > 0$. Let

$$T = \sup\{t' \geq 0 : u(t,x) \leq v(t,x) \text{ for } (t,x) \in [0,t') \times (-1,1)\}.$$

Clearly, $u(0,x) = f(x) < f(x) + \eta = v(0,x)$ for $x \in (-1,1)$. Moreover, $u(t,x) < v(t,x)$ for all $t \geq 0$ provided that $|x|$ is sufficiently close to 1; this is because $u(t,x) < C\Phi_{\epsilon,\delta}(x)$ and $v(t,x) \geq \eta$ for $t \geq 0$, $x \in (-1,1)$. Hence for t small enough $u(t,x) < v(t,x)$, $x \in (-1,1)$, which means that $T > 0$.

Suppose that T is finite. We shall then derive a contradiction, which will end the reasoning. Observe that $u(T,x) \leq v(T,x)$ for all $x \in (-1,1)$, and $u(T,x_0) = v(T,x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in (-1,1)$. We claim that

(7) $$\partial_t(v(t,x) - u(t,x))|_{(t,x)=(T,x_0)} > 0.$$

This would imply that $v(t,x_0) - u(t,x_0) < 0$ for t slightly less than T, a contradiction.

To prove the claim, we compute the derivative in (7). With the aid of the heat equation we get

$$\partial_t(v(t,x) - u(t,x)) = \left(\frac{\epsilon+\delta}{2}\left(\alpha+\beta+1+\frac{\epsilon+\delta}{2}\right)+\eta\right)v(t,x)$$
$$- J^{\alpha,\beta}v(t,x) + \Phi_{\epsilon,\delta}(x)J^{\alpha+\epsilon,\beta+\delta}(u(t,x)/\Phi_{\epsilon,\delta}(x)).$$

Then using the definition of $J^{\alpha,\beta}$ and the fact that $v - u = \partial_x(v-u) = 0$ at the point (T,x_0), we find after somewhat lengthy computations that the

left-hand side of (7) is equal to

$$(1 - x_0^2)\partial_x^2(v - u)(T, x_0) + \left[\frac{\epsilon(\alpha + \epsilon/2)}{1 - x_0} + \frac{\delta(\beta + \delta/2)}{1 + x_0}\right]u(T, x_0) + \eta\, u(T, x_0).$$

The first term above is non-negative, since the function $x \mapsto v(T, x) - u(T, x)$ has a local minimum at $x = x_0$. The factor in the square brackets is obviously non-negative by the assumptions on $\epsilon, \delta, \alpha, \beta$. Finally, $u(T, x_0)$ is strictly positive by the corresponding property of the kernel involved. The claim follows. ∎

We remark that when either $\alpha < -\epsilon/2$ and $\epsilon > 0$ or $\beta < -\delta/2$ and $\delta > 0$, the estimate of Theorem 3.5 (and thus also the estimates of Corollary 3.6) does not hold. This can be shown by means of a counterexample very similar to that of [20, Remark 3.6].

Rough estimate. We now employ absolute value estimates of Jacobi polynomials to obtain a rough short time bound for the Jacobi heat kernel in terms of t only. This method, of course, distinguishes no subtle effects coming from oscillations. Therefore, the resulting estimate is far from sharp. More accurate upper bounds for the Jacobi heat kernel, involving also dependence on x and y, can be found by means of a more detailed analysis and the estimates for Jacobi polynomials contained in [26, Theorem 7.32.2]; see also [26, (7.32.6), (7.32.7)].

THEOREM 3.7. *Let $\alpha, \beta > -1$ and $T > 0$ be fixed. Then*

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x, y) \lesssim t^{-C_0}, \qquad x, y \in [-1, 1],\, 0 < t \leq T,$$

where the constant C_0 depends only on α and β.

In the proof we will use the following bound for Jacobi polynomials (see [26, (7.32.2)]):

$$(8) \qquad\qquad |P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x)| \lesssim n^\gamma, \qquad n \geq 1,\, x \in [-1, 1],$$

where $\gamma = \max\{\alpha, \beta, -1/2\}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Recall that $1/h_n^{\alpha,\beta} \simeq n$ for $n \geq 1$. Thus

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x, y) \lesssim 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e^{-tn(n+\alpha+\beta+1)} n |P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x)|\, |P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(y)|$$

$$\leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e^{-tn} n |P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x)|\, |P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(y)|,$$

and (8) implies

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x, y) \lesssim 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e^{-tn} n^{2\gamma+1} \lesssim 1 + \frac{1}{t^{2\gamma+1}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e^{-tn/2} \lesssim t^{-2\gamma-2}. \quad ∎$$

4. Proof of Theorem A. The long time behavior of $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$ stated in Theorem A is a consequence of the short time estimate, in the following way. Given $T > 0$, the short time bound implies that

$$G_T^{\alpha,\beta}(z,y) \simeq 1, \quad z,y \in [-1,1].$$

By the semigroup property, for $t \geq T$ and $x,y \in [-1,1]$ one has

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) = \int_{-1}^{1} G_{t-T}^{\alpha,\beta}(x,z) G_T^{\alpha,\beta}(z,y) \, d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}(z).$$

Since

$$\int_{-1}^{1} G_{t-T}^{\alpha,\beta}(x,z) \, d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = T_{t-T}^{\alpha,\beta} \mathbf{1}(x) = 1, \quad x \in [-1,1], \, t \geq T,$$

we get the estimates

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) \simeq 1, \quad x,y \in [-1,1], \, t \geq T.$$

The existence of the uniform limit as $t \to \infty$ follows by combining the oscillating series (1) with the estimate (8) for Jacobi polynomials.

Thus it remains to prove the short time estimates, and we first introduce some further notation. A real number r will be called *dyadic* if $r = n/2^k$ for some integers n,k. We will use the notation $X \simeq\simeq Y \exp(-cZ)$ to indicate that $Y \exp(-c_1 Z) \lesssim X \lesssim Y \exp(-c_2 Z)$ with positive constants c_1 and c_2 independent of significant quantities. Thus the short time estimates of Theorem A can be written

$$(9) \quad G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi) \simeq\simeq \left(t + \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2} \right)^{-\alpha-1/2} \left(t + \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2} \right)^{-\beta-1/2}$$
$$\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-c\frac{(\theta-\varphi)^2}{t} \right).$$

The quantity $T > 0$ will be fixed for the rest of the proof of Theorem A. For the sake of clarity, we divide the proof into several steps, as follows.

1. Estimate $G_t^{\lambda}(x,1)$ for half-integer $\lambda \geq -1/2$ by transferring, via Theorem 3.3, known bounds for the spherical heat kernel.
2. Starting from the bounds of Step 1, iterate the reduction formula (Theorem 3.1) to estimate $G_t^{\lambda}(x,1)$ for all dyadic values of $\lambda \geq -1/2$.
3. Apply the reduction formula to the estimate of Step 2 to prove (9) when $\alpha,\beta \geq -1/2$ and the sum $\alpha + \beta$ is a dyadic number.
4. Combine the estimate of Step 3 with the comparison principle (Theorem 3.5) to obtain a weakened version of (9) in the ultraspherical case for $\alpha = \beta = \lambda > 0$.
5. Use the semigroup property and the rough estimate of Theorem 3.7 to eliminate the weakening in Step 4 and prove (9) for $\alpha = \beta = \lambda > 0$.

6. Use the estimate of Step 5 and iterate with the reduction formula to estimate $G_t^\lambda(x, 1)$ for $-1/2 \le \lambda < 0$. In the final stroke apply again the reduction formula to prove (9) for all $\alpha, \beta \ge -1/2$.

STEP 1. We first invoke the well-known Gaussian bounds for the spherical heat kernel (see [7, Theorems 5.5.6 and 5.6.1]). Let $d(\xi, \eta) = \arccos\langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ be the spherical distance between ξ and $\eta \in S^N$. Given any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$K_t^N(\xi, \eta) \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(\xi, \eta)^2}{4(1+\delta)t}\right), \quad \xi, \eta \in S^N,\, 0 < t \le T,$$

and

$$K_t^N(\xi, \eta) \ge \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(\xi, \eta)^2}{4t}\right), \quad \xi, \eta \in S^N,\, t > 0.$$

These estimates, together with Corollary 3.4 and the fact that $d(\xi, \eta) \simeq |\xi - \eta|$ for $\xi, \eta \in S^N$, lead to the following result.

LEMMA 4.1. *Assume that $\lambda = N/2 - 1$ for some $N \ge 1$. Then*

$$G_t^\lambda(x, 1) \simeq\simeq \frac{1}{t^{\lambda+1}} \exp\left(-c\frac{1-x}{t}\right), \quad x \in [-1, 1],\, 0 < t \le T,$$

or equivalently,

(10) $$G_t^\lambda(\cos\theta, 1) \simeq\simeq \frac{1}{t^{\lambda+1}} \exp\left(-c\frac{\theta^2}{t}\right), \quad \theta \in [0, \pi],\, 0 < t \le T.$$

Notice that this estimate is a special case of (9).

STEP 2. We claim that the bounds of Lemma 4.1 hold for all dyadic values of $\lambda \ge -1/2$. To verify this, it is enough to prove the following lemma, since one can then iterate.

LEMMA 4.2. *Assume that the estimate (10) holds for some $\lambda > -1/2$. Then it holds also with λ replaced by $\lambda' = \lambda/2 - 1/4$.*

To prove this lemma, we need an auxiliary result.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $\nu \ge -1/2$. Then*

$$\int \exp(zs)\, d\Pi_\nu(s) \simeq (1+z)^{-\nu-1/2}\, e^z, \quad z \ge 0.$$

Proof. One can assume that $\nu > -1/2$ and $z > 1$, since otherwise the lemma is trivial. We split the integral and observe that the part over $(0, 1)$ is larger than that over $(-1, 0)$. Thus we need only consider

$$\int_0^1 e^{zs}\, d\Pi_\nu(s) \simeq \int_0^1 e^{zs}(1-s)^{\nu-1/2}\, ds.$$

Here we make the two transformations $t = 1 - s$ and $r = zt$, and get

$$e^z z^{-\nu-1/2} \int_0^z e^{-r} r^{\nu-1/2} \, dr.$$

The conclusion follows. ∎

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Suppose that (10) holds for some $\lambda > -1/2$. By Theorem 3.1,

$$(11) \qquad G_t^{\lambda'}(\cos\theta, 1) = C \, \Pi_{\lambda'}([-1,1]) \int G_{t/4}^\lambda \left(v \cos\frac{\theta}{2}, 1 \right) d\Pi_{\lambda'}(v)$$

$$\simeq\simeq \frac{1}{t^{\lambda+1}} \int \exp\left(-c \frac{1 - v \cos\frac{\theta}{2}}{t} \right) d\Pi_{\lambda'}(v).$$

Applying now Lemma 4.3 to the last integral in (11), or rather to the upper and lower estimates that (11) stands for, we see that

$$G_t^{\lambda'}(\cos\theta, 1) \simeq\simeq \left(1 + \frac{\cos\frac{\theta}{2}}{t} \right)^{-\lambda'-1/2} \frac{1}{t^{\lambda+1}} \exp\left(-c \frac{1 - \cos\frac{\theta}{2}}{t} \right)$$

$$\simeq\simeq \left(t + \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{-\lambda'-1/2} \frac{1}{t^{\lambda'+1}} \exp\left(-c \frac{\theta^2}{t} \right).$$

The last expression is what we need except for the first factor. However, since we consider $\lambda' > -1/2$,

$$\left(t + \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{-\lambda'-1/2} \gtrsim 1, \qquad \theta \in [0, \pi], \, 0 < t \leq T,$$

and, on the other hand,

$$\left(t + \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{-\lambda'-1/2} \lesssim \exp\left(\epsilon \frac{\theta^2}{t} \right), \qquad \theta \in [0, \pi], \, t > 0,$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, as can easily be seen by examining separately the cases $\theta \leq \pi/2$ and $\theta > \pi/2$. So this factor is insignificant, and Lemma 4.2 follows. ∎

STEP 3. Let $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$ be such that $\alpha + \beta$ is a dyadic number. Then $\lambda = \alpha + \beta + 1/2 \geq -1/2$ is also a dyadic number, so in view of Theorem 3.1 and Step 2 we may write

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi)$$

$$= \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta} \int\int G_{t/4}^\lambda \left(u \sin\frac{\theta}{2} \sin\frac{\varphi}{2} + v \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \cos\frac{\varphi}{2}, 1 \right) d\Pi_\alpha(u) \, d\Pi_\beta(v)$$

$$\simeq\simeq \frac{1}{t^{\lambda+1}} \int d\Pi_\alpha(u) \int \exp\left(-c \frac{1 - u \sin\frac{\theta}{2} \sin\frac{\varphi}{2} - v \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \cos\frac{\varphi}{2}}{t} \right) d\Pi_\beta(v).$$

Applying now Lemma 4.3 as in Step 2, first to the integral in v and then to that in u, and observing that

$$1 - \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2} - \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2} = 2\sin^2\frac{\theta-\varphi}{4} \simeq (\theta-\varphi)^2, \quad \theta,\varphi \in [0,\pi],$$

we get

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi) \simeq\simeq \frac{1}{t^{\lambda+1}}\left(1+\frac{\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}}{t}\right)^{-\alpha-1/2}\left(1+\frac{\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}}{t}\right)^{-\beta-1/2}$$
$$\times \exp\left(-c\frac{(\theta-\varphi)^2}{t}\right).$$

From this, (9) follows. In the next steps, we will remove the restriction that $\alpha + \beta$ is dyadic.

STEP 4. Suppose that $\lambda > 0$ is arbitrary. Then there exist $\epsilon, \epsilon' > 0$ such that $2\lambda - \epsilon$ and $2\lambda + \epsilon'$ are dyadic numbers and $\lambda - \epsilon > 0$. Applying Theorem 3.5 twice, with $\delta = 0$, $\beta = \lambda$ and either $\alpha = \lambda - \epsilon$ or $\alpha = \lambda$, we obtain

$$\Phi_{\epsilon,0}(x)\Phi_{\epsilon,0}(y)G_t^\lambda(x,y) \le e^{(\epsilon/2)(2\lambda+1-\epsilon/2)t}G_t^{\lambda-\epsilon,\lambda}(x,y),$$
$$\Phi_{\epsilon',0}(x)\Phi_{\epsilon',0}(y)G_t^{\lambda+\epsilon',\lambda}(x,y) \le e^{(\epsilon'/2)(2\lambda+1+\epsilon'/2)t}G_t^\lambda(x,y)$$

for all $x, y \in [-1,1]$ and $t > 0$. This implies

$$\left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{\epsilon'}G_t^{\lambda+\epsilon',\lambda}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi)$$
$$\lesssim G_t^\lambda(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi) \lesssim \left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{-\epsilon}G_t^{\lambda-\epsilon,\lambda}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi),$$

uniformly in $\theta, \varphi \in [0,\pi]$ and $0 < t \le T$; here and later on, endpoint values are understood in a limiting sense, if necessary, and may be infinite. In an analogous way, we may vary the second type parameter and use Theorem 3.5 to get

$$\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{\epsilon'}G_t^{\lambda,\lambda+\epsilon'}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi)$$
$$\lesssim G_t^\lambda(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi) \lesssim \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{-\epsilon}G_t^{\lambda,\lambda-\epsilon}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi),$$

uniformly in $\theta, \varphi \in [0,\pi]$ and $0 < t \le T$.

Next we combine these estimates with those obtained in Step 3. For some positive constants c_1 and c_2 and all $\theta, \varphi \in [0, \pi]$ and $0 < t \leq T$, this leads to

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{F_t(\theta, \varphi)^{\epsilon'}} \left(t + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{-\lambda - 1/2} \left(t + \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{-\lambda - 1/2}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-c_1 \frac{(\theta - \varphi)^2}{t}\right)$$

$$\lesssim G_t^\lambda(\cos \theta, \cos \varphi)$$

$$\lesssim F_t(\theta, \varphi)^\epsilon \left(t + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{-\lambda - 1/2} \left(t + \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{-\lambda - 1/2}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{(\theta - \varphi)^2}{t}\right),$$

where the auxiliary function F_t is defined by

$$F_t(\theta, \varphi) = \min\left(1 + \frac{t}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}}, \; 1 + \frac{t}{\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}}\right).$$

It is easy to verify that

$$F_t(\theta, \varphi) \simeq 1 + \frac{t}{\cos \frac{\theta - \varphi}{2}}.$$

Notice that the bounds in (12) coincide with those of (9), except for the factors involving F_t. In the next step, we will show how to deal with these factors.

STEP 5. We shall see how (12) implies (9) with $\alpha = \beta = \lambda > 0$. Clearly, the factors F_t^ϵ and $1/F_t^{\epsilon'}$ in (12) are of importance only when θ and φ are close to opposite endpoints of the interval $[0, \pi]$. Indeed, we have

$$F_t(\theta, \varphi)^{\epsilon'} \simeq 1 \simeq F_t(\theta, \varphi)^\epsilon \quad \text{if } |\theta - \varphi| \leq 2\pi/3, \quad 0 < t \leq T.$$

Thus from now on we may assume that $|\theta - \varphi| > 2\pi/3$. Moreover, for symmetry reasons it is enough to consider the case $\theta < \pi/3$ and $\varphi > 2\pi/3$.

Observe that under these assumptions, on the right-hand side of (9) only the exponential factor is significant, since it behaves like $\exp(-c/t)$ and the other factors are essentially contained between 1 and some negative power of t. So what we must prove is simply that

$$(13) \quad G_t^\lambda(\cos \theta, \cos \varphi) \simeq\simeq \exp(-c/t)$$

for $0 < t \leq T$. We first verify (13) under the additional assumption that $\theta \geq e^{-c_0/t}$ or $\varphi \leq \pi - e^{-c_0/t}$, where $c_0 > 0$ is a sufficiently small constant. Clearly, either of these conditions implies $\cos \frac{\theta - \varphi}{2} \gtrsim e^{-c_0/t}$. Then for $0 < t \leq T$,

$$F_t(\theta, \varphi)^\epsilon \simeq \left(1 + \frac{t}{\cos \frac{\theta - \varphi}{2}}\right)^\epsilon \lesssim (1 + T e^{c_0/t})^\epsilon \lesssim e^{c_0 \epsilon/t},$$

and analogous bounds hold for $F_t(\theta,\varphi)^{\epsilon'}$. This implies that if c_0 is taken small enough, the factors F_t^ϵ and $1/F_t^{\epsilon'}$ will be insignificant in (12), and (13) follows.

Thus we have proved the following.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $\lambda > 0$. There exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that* (9) *with $\alpha = \beta = \lambda > 0$ holds for all $\theta,\varphi \in [0,\pi]$ and $0 < t \leq T$, except possibly when $\theta \leq e^{-c_0/t}$ and $\varphi \geq \pi - e^{-c_0/t}$ or vice versa.* ∎

Finally, suppose that $\theta < e^{-c_0/t}$ and $\varphi > \pi - e^{-c_0/t}$. By the semigroup property,

$$G_{2t}^\lambda(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi) = \int_0^\pi G_t^\lambda(\cos\theta, \cos\psi) G_t^\lambda(\cos\psi, \cos\varphi)(\sin\psi)^{2\lambda+1}\, d\psi.$$

We split the interval of integration into $D_1 = (0, e^{-c_0/t})$, $D_2 = (e^{-c_0/t}, \pi - e^{-c_0/t})$ and $D_3 = (\pi - e^{-c_0/t}, \pi)$, and observe that $D_2 \supset [\pi/3, 2\pi/3]$. Denote the resulting integrals by $\mathcal{I}_1$, $\mathcal{I}_2$ and $\mathcal{I}_3$, respectively. To estimate $\mathcal{I}_2$, we apply Lemma 4.4, getting

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \simeq\simeq \int_{e^{-c_0/t}}^{\pi-e^{-c_0/t}} \left[\left(t + \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\psi}{2}\right)\left(t + \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\psi}{2}\right)\right.$$

$$\times \left.\left(t + \sin\frac{\psi}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)\left(t + \cos\frac{\psi}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)\right]^{-\lambda-1/2}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{t}\exp\left(-c\frac{(\theta-\psi)^2 + (\psi-\varphi)^2}{t}\right)(\sin\psi)^{2\lambda+1}\, d\psi.$$

Here $(\theta-\psi)^2 + (\psi-\varphi)^2 \simeq 1$, which means that the exponential in the integrand makes all the other factors insignificant. Thus

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \simeq\simeq \exp\left(-\frac{c}{t}\right).$$

To bound $\mathcal{I}_1$, we apply the rough estimate of Theorem 3.7 to the two kernels in the integrand. We have

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \lesssim \int_0^{e^{-c_0/t}} t^{-C}(\sin\psi)^{2\lambda+1}\, d\psi \lesssim \exp\left(-\frac{c_0}{2t}\right).$$

Since the case of $\mathcal{I}_3$ is analogous, it follows that $\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3$ and thus also $G_t^\lambda(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi)$ satisfy the estimate (13).

Altogether, we have proved the following.

LEMMA 4.5. *The estimates* (9) *hold for $\alpha = \beta = \lambda > 0$ and all $\theta,\varphi \in [0,\pi]$ and $0 < t \leq T$.* ∎

STEP 6. From Lemma 4.5 with $\varphi = 0$, we deduce as in the last part of Step 2 that

$$(14) \qquad G_t^\lambda(\cos\theta, 1) \simeq\simeq \frac{1}{t^{\lambda+1}} \exp\left(-c\frac{\theta^2}{t}\right), \qquad \theta \in [0, \pi], \, 0 < t \leq T,$$

provided that $\lambda \geq 0$; the case $\lambda = 0$ is covered by Step 1. Applying repeatedly Lemma 4.2 as in Step 2, we conclude that (14) holds for each $\lambda \geq -1/2$, since the case $\lambda = -1/2$ follows again from Step 1.

Finally, we combine (14) for arbitrary $\lambda = \alpha + \beta + 1/2 \geq -1/2$ with the reduction formula, as done in Step 3 for dyadic λ. This establishes the short time bound of Theorem A for general $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$.

The proof of Theorem A is complete. ∎

5. The heat maximal operators. We shall now consider d-dimensional Jacobi settings. Each of the semigroups $T_t^{\alpha,\beta}$, $\mathcal{T}_t^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\beta}$ has a natural d-dimensional extension, see [20, Section 2]. In particular, their kernels are simply tensor products of the corresponding one-dimensional heat kernels. Letting now $\alpha, \beta \in (-1, \infty)^d$ denote type multi-parameters, we can use the same notation as before for the semigroups, their kernels and other related notions. The corresponding measure spaces will then be $([-1, 1]^d, d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta})$, $([0, \pi]^d, d\mu_{\alpha,\beta})$ and $([0, \pi]^d, d\theta)$, respectively, where

$$d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d d\varrho_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}, \qquad d\mu_{\alpha,\beta} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d d\mu_{\alpha_i,\beta_i},$$

and $d\theta$ is the d-dimensional Lebesgue measure in $[0, \pi]^d$.

This allows us to introduce multi-dimensional maximal operators

$$T_*^{\alpha,\beta} f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |T_t^{\alpha,\beta} f(x)|$$

and $\mathcal{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ with analogous definitions. Using Theorem A, we shall show that these operators satisfy weak type $(1, 1)$ estimates in the corresponding measure spaces.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $d \geq 1$ and assume that $\alpha, \beta \in [-1/2, \infty)^d$. Then*

(i) $T_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ *is bounded from $L^1(d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta})$ to weak $L^1(d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta})$;*
(ii) $\mathcal{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ *is bounded from $L^1(d\mu_{\alpha,\beta})$ to weak $L^1(d\mu_{\alpha,\beta})$;*
(iii) $\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ *is bounded from $L^1(d\theta)$ to weak $L^1(d\theta)$.*

An important consequence of Theorem 5.1 is the almost everywhere boundary convergence for the Jacobi semigroups applied to L^1 functions. Note that by the subordination principle, Theorem 5.1 implies weak type $(1, 1)$ estimates, and thus also convergence results, for the multi-dimensional Poisson–Jacobi semigroups.

We briefly discuss L^p-bounds for these operators. For $\alpha, \beta \in (-1, \infty)^d$, the boundedness of $T_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ in $L^p(d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta})$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, follows by Stein's general maximal theorem [25, Chapter 3]; see [20, p. 346]. In the restricted range $\alpha, \beta \in [-1/2, \infty)^d$, it can also be obtained by interpolation between Theorem 5.1(i) and the trivial boundedness in L^∞. The case of $\mathcal{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ is much the same. In fact, $\mathcal{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ is controlled by $T_*^{\alpha,\beta}$, as can be seen from the proof given below, and so it inherits the L^p mapping properties of $T_*^{\alpha,\beta}$. The L^p-boundedness of $\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ is even simpler. Indeed, as pointed out in the proof below, Theorem A implies that when $\alpha, \beta \in [-1/2, \infty)^d$, the operator $\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ is controlled by the standard maximal function in $[0, \pi]^d$ and hence L^p-bounded for $p > 1$. When α or β are not both in $[-1/2, \infty)^d$, the estimates of Theorem A can be expected to hold in the same form, and this suggests that the behavior of $\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ admits a similar anomaly to that occurring in certain Laguerre function settings and called the *pencil phenomenon* [21].

Proof of Theorem 5.1. From Theorem A it follows that for large t the three Jacobi heat kernels are bounded. Thus, from now on, we need to consider only the maximal operators defined by taking suprema in the restricted range $0 < t \leq 1$.

We first treat (iii). In view of Theorem A and (3),

$$\mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \varphi) \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} \exp\left(-c\frac{|\theta - \varphi|^2}{t}\right), \quad \theta, \varphi \in [0, \pi]^d,\, 0 < t \leq 1,$$

where $c > 0$ depends only on α and β. The right-hand side here is essentially the standard Gaussian kernel, and so $\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ can be controlled by the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator restricted to $[0, \pi]^d$. Therefore, $\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta}$ is of weak type $(1, 1)$.

Next, we show that (ii) follows from (i). Observe that for $f \in L^1(d\mu_{\alpha,\beta})$ we have

$$\mathcal{T}_t^{\alpha,\beta} f(\theta) = e^{-t \sum_{i=1}^d (\frac{\alpha_i + \beta_i + 1}{2})^2} T_t^{\alpha,\beta}(f \circ \arccos)(\cos \theta), \quad \theta \in [0, \pi]^d,$$

where cos and arccos are applied in each coordinate. Ergo, $|\mathcal{T}_t^{\alpha,\beta} f(\theta)| \leq |T_t^{\alpha,\beta}(f \circ \arccos)(\cos \theta)|$. Thus (i) implies (ii).

We pass to proving (i). The Hopf–Dunford–Schwartz ergodic maximal theorem (see [25, p. 48]) tells us that the operator

$$\widetilde{T}_*^{\alpha,\beta} f(x) = \sup_{t>0} \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T_s^{\alpha,\beta} f(x)\, ds \right|$$

is of weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to the measure $d\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}$. This operator is the pointwise supremum of the operators defined by the kernels

$$\widetilde{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x, y) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t G_s^{\alpha,\beta}(x, y)\, ds.$$

So to obtain the weak type estimate for $T_*^{\alpha,\beta}$, it is enough to verify that

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) \lesssim \widetilde{G}_{At}^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$$

for some $A > 0$. Clearly, this follows if

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) \lesssim G_s^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y), \quad At/2 < s < At.$$

To verify the last bound, consider first the exponential factors in the short time estimates of Theorem A, which can be written $\exp(-c_1|\theta - \varphi|^2/t)$ and $\exp(-c_2|\theta - \varphi|^2/t)$ for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$. Choosing $A > 2c_1/c_2$, one has

$$\exp\left(-c_2\frac{|\theta - \varphi|^2}{t}\right) \lesssim \exp\left(-c_1\frac{|\theta - \varphi|^2}{s}\right), \quad At/2 < s < At.$$

The other factors have only a polynomial type dependence on t, and thus satisfy a similar estimate since $s \simeq t$. The desired bound follows.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is complete. ∎

Appendix: Poisson kernel estimates. We complement the Jacobi heat kernel estimates by showing the following sharp bounds for the Poisson–Jacobi kernel in the Jacobi trigonometric polynomial setting. Clearly, this result can easily be transferred to the Jacobi trigonometric 'function' setting. In contrast to the preceding argument, the proof is based on an exact, positive representation of the kernel.

THEOREM A.1. *Assume that $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$. Given any $T > 0$, we have*

$$\mathcal{H}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi)$$
$$\simeq (t^2 + \theta^2 + \varphi^2)^{-\alpha-1/2}(t^2 + (\pi - \theta)^2 + (\pi - \varphi)^2)^{-\beta-1/2}\frac{t}{t^2 + (\theta - \varphi)^2},$$

uniformly in $\theta, \varphi \in [0, \pi]$ and $0 < t \leq T$, and

$$\mathcal{H}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi) \simeq \exp\left(-t\frac{\alpha + \beta + 1}{2}\right),$$

uniformly in $\theta, \varphi \in [0, \pi]$ and $t \geq T$.

The representation formula we shall use is [23, Proposition 4.1], which says that for $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$,

$$(15) \qquad \mathcal{H}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi) = c_{\alpha,\beta} \sinh\frac{t}{2} \int\int \frac{d\Pi_\alpha(u)\, d\Pi_\beta(v)}{\left(\cosh\frac{t}{2} - 1 + q(\theta,\varphi,u,v)\right)^{\alpha+\beta+2}},$$

with $c_{\alpha,\beta} = 2^{-\alpha-\beta-1}/\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(0,\pi)$ and

$$q(\theta,\varphi,u,v) = 1 - u\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2} - v\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2},$$

where $\theta, \varphi \in [0, \pi]$ and $u, v \in [-1, 1]$. This is based on the same product formula due to Dijksma and Koornwinder that we used in Section 3. The

behavior of the double integral in (15) can be described by means of the following technical result.

LEMMA A.2. *Let $\kappa \geq 0$ and γ and ν be such that $\gamma > \nu + 1/2 \geq 0$. Then*

$$\int\limits_{[-1,1]} \frac{d\Pi_\nu(s)}{(D - Bs)^\kappa (A - Bs)^\gamma} \simeq \frac{1}{(D - B)^\kappa A^{\nu + 1/2} (A - B)^{\gamma - \nu - 1/2}},$$

uniformly in $0 \leq B < A \leq D$.

Proof. We may assume that $B = 1$, since one can factor out a power of B from both sides of the formula. The case $\nu = -1/2$ is trivial, so it is enough to consider $\nu + 1/2 > 0$. Observe that

$$\int\limits_{-1}^{1} \frac{(1 - s^2)^{\nu - 1/2}\, ds}{(D - s)^\kappa (A - s)^\gamma} \simeq \int\limits_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - s)^{\nu - 1/2}\, ds}{(D - s)^\kappa (A - s)^\gamma}$$

$$= \int\limits_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\nu - 1/2}\, du}{(D - 1 + u)^\kappa (A - 1 + u)^\gamma}.$$

Thus it suffices to analyze the last integral, which we denote by $\mathcal{I}$. Now $A > 1$, and we consider the following two cases.

CASE 1: $A \geq 2$. Since in this case $D - 1 + u \simeq D - 1$ and $A - 1 + u \simeq A - 1 \simeq A$ for $u \in (0, 1)$, the conclusion is immediate.

CASE 2: $1 < A < 2$. We split $\mathcal{I}$ as

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ \int\limits_{0}^{A-1} + \int\limits_{A-1}^{1} \right\} \frac{u^{\nu - 1/2}\, du}{(D - 1 + u)^\kappa (A - 1 + u)^\gamma} \equiv \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \simeq \frac{1}{(D - 1)^\kappa (A - 1)^\gamma} \int\limits_{0}^{A-1} u^{\nu - 1/2}\, du \simeq \frac{1}{(D - 1)^\kappa (A - 1)^{\gamma - \nu - 1/2}},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \lesssim \frac{1}{(D - 1)^\kappa} \int\limits_{A-1}^{1} u^{\nu - 1/2 - \gamma}\, du \lesssim \frac{1}{(D - 1)^\kappa (A - 1)^{\gamma - \nu - 1/2}}.$$

Since $A \simeq 1$, this implies the conclusion. ∎

Proof of Theorem A.1. We use (15) and apply Lemma A.2 twice, first to the integral against $d\Pi_\beta(v)$, with the parameters $\nu = \beta$, $\kappa = 0$, $\gamma = \alpha + \beta + 2$, $A = \cosh \frac{t}{2} - u \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}$, $B = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}$, and then to the resulting integral against $d\Pi_\alpha(u)$, with the parameters $\nu = \alpha$, $\kappa = \beta + 1/2$, $\gamma = \alpha + 3/2$, $D = \cosh \frac{t}{2}$, $A = \cosh \frac{t}{2} - \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}$ and $B = \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}$. This leads to the

estimates

$$\mathcal{H}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi) \simeq \frac{1}{\left(\cosh\frac{t}{2} - \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{\alpha+1/2}\left(\cosh\frac{t}{2} - \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{\beta+1/2}}$$

$$\times \frac{\sinh\frac{t}{2}}{\cosh\frac{t}{2} - \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2} - \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left(\cosh\frac{t}{2} - 1 + \sin^2\frac{\theta-\varphi}{4} + \sin^2\frac{\theta+\varphi}{4}\right)^{\alpha+1/2}}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\left(\cosh\frac{t}{2} - 1 + \sin^2\frac{(\pi-\theta)-(\pi-\varphi)}{4} + \sin^2\frac{(\pi-\theta)+(\pi-\varphi)}{4}\right)^{\beta+1/2}}$$

$$\times \frac{\sinh\frac{t}{2}}{\cosh\frac{t}{2} - 1 + 2\sin^2\frac{\theta-\varphi}{4}}.$$

As easily verified, the conclusion now follows. ∎

References

[1] W. N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge 1935.

[2] W. N. Bailey, *The generating function of Jacobi polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. 13 (1938), 8–12.

[3] S. Bochner, *Sturm–Liouville and heat equations whose eigenfunctions are ultraspherical polynomials or associated Bessel functions*, in: Proc. Conf. on Differential Equations, Univ. of Maryland Book Store, College Park, MD, 1956, 23–48.

[4] S. Bochner, *Positivity of the heat kernel for ultraspherical polynomials and similar functions*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 70 (1979), 211–217.

[5] Ó. Ciaurri, A. Nowak and K. Stempak, *Jacobi transplantation revisited*, Math. Z. 257 (2007), 355–380.

[6] T. Coulhon, G. Kerkyacharian and P. Petrushev, *Heat kernel generated frames in the setting of Dirichlet spaces*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 18 (2012), 995–1066.

[7] E. B. Davies, *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 92, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[8] N. Demni and M. Zani, *Large deviations for statistics of the Jacobi process*, Stoch. Process. Appl. 119 (2009), 518–533.

[9] A. Dijksma and T. K. Koornwinder, *Spherical harmonics and the product of two Jacobi polynomials*, Indag. Math. 33 (1971), 171–196.

[10] U. Dinger, *Weak type $(1,1)$ estimates of the maximal function for the Laguerre semigroup in finite dimensions*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. 8 (1992), 93–120.

[11] G. Gasper, *Positivity and the convolution structure for Jacobi series*, Ann. of Math. 93 (1971), 112–118.

[12] G. H. Hardy, *Summation of a series of polynomials of Laguerre*, J. London Math. Soc. 7 (1932), 138–139; Addendum, ibid., 192.

[13] E. Hille, *On Laguerre's series. I, II, III*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 12 (1926), 261–269; 348–352.

[14] S. Karlin and J. McGregor, *Classical diffusion processes and total positivity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1 (1960), 163–183.

[15] F. G. Mehler, *Ueber die Entwicklung einer Function von beliebig vielen Variabeln nach Laplaceschen Functionen höherer Ordnung*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 66 (1866), 161–176.

[16] B. Muckenhoupt, *Poisson integrals for Hermite and Laguerre expansions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (1969), 231–242.

[17] B. Muckenhoupt, *Transplantation theorems and multiplier theorems for Jacobi series*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1986), no. 356.

[18] B. Muckenhoupt and E. M. Stein, *Classical expansions and their relation to conjugate harmonic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1965), 17–92.

[19] A. Nowak and P. Sjögren, *Weak type $(1,1)$ estimates for maximal operators associated with various multi-dimensional systems of Laguerre functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 56 (2007), 417–436.

[20] A. Nowak and P. Sjögren, *Riesz transforms for Jacobi expansions*, J. Anal. Math. 104 (2008), 341–369.

[21] A. Nowak and P. Sjögren, *The multi-dimensional pencil phenomenon for Laguerre heat-diffusion maximal operators*, Math. Ann. 344 (2009), 213–248.

[22] A. Nowak and P. Sjögren, *Sharp estimates of the Jacobi heat kernel*, arXiv: 1111.3145v1, 2011.

[23] A. Nowak and P. Sjögren, *Calderón–Zygmund operators related to Jacobi expansions*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 18 (2012), 717–749.

[24] P. Sjögren, *On the maximal function for the Mehler kernel*, in: Harmonic Analysis (Cortona, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math. 992, Springer, Berlin, 1983, 73–82.

[25] E. M. Stein, *Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood–Paley Theory*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 63, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.

[26] G. Szegö, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, 4th ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975.

[27] G. N. Watson, *The product of two hypergeometric functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 20 (1922), 189–195.

[28] G. N. Watson, *Notes on generating functions of polynomials: (1) Laguerre polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. 8 (1933), 189–192.

Adam Nowak
Institute of Mathematics
Polish Academy of Sciences
Śniadeckich 8
00-956 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail: anowak@impan.pl

Peter Sjögren
Mathematical Sciences
University of Gothenburg
and
Mathematical Sciences
Chalmers University of Technology
SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden
E-mail: peters@chalmers.se