

Simplicity of twists of abelian varieties

by

ALEX BARTEL (Coventry)

1. Introduction. Let A/k be an abelian variety over a field, let $R \leq \text{End}(A)$ be a commutative ring of endomorphisms of A (here and below, we regard the abelian varieties as schemes *over a base*, and this is also the category in which our morphisms will live; in particular, $\text{End}(A)$ denotes endomorphisms of A defined over k ; the same remark applies to statements like “ A is principally polarised”, etc.), and let K/k be a finite Galois extension with Galois group G . Let Γ be an $R[G]$ -module, together with an isomorphism $\psi : R^n \rightarrow \Gamma$ for some n . Attached to this data is the so-called *twist* of A by Γ , denoted by $B = \Gamma \otimes_R A$, which is an abelian variety over k with the property that the base change $B_K = B \times_k K$ is isomorphic to $(A_K)^n$.

As soon as $n > 1$, B is, by its very definition, never absolutely simple. But it can be simple over k , and to know when this is the case is important for some applications (see e.g. [4]). If A' is a proper abelian subvariety of A , then $\Gamma \otimes_R A'$ is a proper abelian subvariety of $\Gamma \otimes_R A$. Similarly, if $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$ is an R -free $R[G]$ -submodule of strictly smaller R -rank, then $\Gamma' \otimes_R A$ is isogenous to a proper abelian subvariety of $\Gamma \otimes_R A$. The purpose of this note is to point out that, under some mild additional hypotheses (and in particular over number fields in the generic case, when $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$), these are the only two ways in which B can fail to be simple.

As a concrete example, we mention the following generalisation of Howe’s analysis [4]:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let A/k be a simple abelian variety of dimension 1 or 2 over a number field, let p be an odd prime number and let K/k be a Galois extension with Galois group G of order p . If A is not absolutely simple or not principally polarised, assume that $p > 3$. Let I be the augmentation ideal*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11G05, 11G10, 11R34, 14K05.

Key words and phrases: abelian varieties, Artin representations, endomorphism ring, Galois cohomology.

in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, i.e. the kernel of the map $\mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $g \mapsto 1$ for $g \in G$. Then $I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ is simple if and only if $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ does not contain the quadratic subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$.

REMARK 1.2. If $p = 2$, then $I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ is a quadratic twist of A , and so also simple if A is. Since, for all p , $I \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the unique non-trivial irreducible $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module, the theorem completely deals with simplicity of those twists of elliptic curves and of principally polarised absolutely simple abelian surfaces that are trivialised by a cyclic prime degree extension.

REMARK 1.3. By computing the endomorphism ring of $I \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as a $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module, Howe [4] showed part of one implication in the case when $\dim(A) = 1$: he proved that if E/k is a non-CM elliptic curve, then $I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} E$ is simple. In the proof of the theorem that we present, one does not need to know the endomorphism ring of $I \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ to deduce the result for elliptic curves; one does, however, need to know it to prove the statement for abelian surfaces.

The same technique yields uniform statements for higher-dimensional abelian varieties, where the restriction on p depends on the dimension of the variety:

THEOREM 1.4. *Fix an integer d . There exists an integer p_0 such that for all number fields k , all simple abelian varieties A/k of dimension d , all primes $p > p_0$, and all Galois extensions K/k with cyclic Galois group G of order p , the twist $I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ is simple if and only if $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ does not contain a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$ other than \mathbb{Q} . Here, I is, as in Theorem 1.1, the augmentation ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.*

Similarly concrete results can be obtained for twists by other representations, and we give several more examples in the same vein in the last section.

The tensor construction $\Gamma \otimes_R A$ can be defined in a more general setting, namely when Γ is merely assumed to be R -projective, rather than R -free. The object $\Gamma \otimes_R A$ then represents the functor on k -algebras $T \mapsto \Gamma \otimes_R A(T)$. Since we shall mainly be interested in $R = \mathbb{Z}$, we will not indulge in this generality here.

2. Endomorphisms of twists of abelian varieties. In this section we begin by recalling (see [9, §III.1.3]) the definition of a twist of an abelian variety by an Artin representation, and then give sufficient conditions for the endomorphism ring of such a twist to be an integral domain, equivalently for the twist to be simple. We strongly recommend [6] for a very thorough treatment of twists of abelian varieties, and, more generally, of commutative algebraic groups.

Let Y/k be an abelian variety, and K/k a finite Galois extension with Galois group G . A K/k -form of Y is a pair (X, f) , where X/k is an abelian variety, and $f : Y_K \rightarrow X_K$ is an isomorphism, defined over K . There is an obvious notion of isomorphism between such pairs, and the set of isomorphism classes of K/k -forms of Y is in bijection with the pointed set $H^1(G, \text{Aut } Y_K)$, where the G -action on $\text{Aut } Y_K$ is given by $\phi^\sigma = \sigma \circ \phi \circ \sigma^{-1}$ for $\sigma \in G$ and $\phi \in \text{Aut } Y_K$ (we adhere to the common convention that the superscript for the action is written on the right, even though this is actually a left action). The bijection is given by assigning to a K/k -form (X, f) the cocycle represented by $\sigma \mapsto f^{-1}f^\sigma$, where, as before, f^σ is defined to be $\sigma \circ f \circ \sigma^{-1}$.

Now, suppose that A/k is an abelian variety, and $R \leq \text{End}(A)$ a commutative ring. With K/k and G as above, let Γ be an $R[G]$ -module, together with an R -module isomorphism $\psi : R^n \rightarrow \Gamma$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the map $a_\Gamma : \sigma \mapsto \psi^{-1}\psi^\sigma = \psi^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \psi \in \text{GL}_n(R) \leq \text{Aut}(A_K)^n$ defines a cocycle in $H^1(G, \text{Aut}(A_K)^n)$. Indeed, note that since G acts trivially on automorphisms of A^n that are defined over k , as is the case for $\text{GL}_n(R) \leq \text{Aut}(A_K)^n$, 1-cocycles whose image lies in $\text{GL}_n(R)$ are simply group homomorphisms. The twist B of A by Γ , written $B = \Gamma \otimes_R A$ is, by definition, the K/k -form of A^n corresponding to the cocycle a_Γ .

We now come to the endomorphism ring of B . Our aim is to find criteria for B to be simple, equivalently for $\text{End}(B)$ to be a division ring. In theory, one can easily describe $\text{End}(B)$ in terms of the G -module structure of $\text{End}(A_K)$ and $\text{End}_R(\Gamma)$, as follows.

LEMMA 2.1. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\text{End}(\Gamma \otimes_R A) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{End}_R(\Gamma) \otimes \text{End}(A_K))^G.$$

Proof. This immediately follows from [6, Proposition 1.6], by noting that the absolute Galois group of k acts on Γ through the quotient G . ■

However, in the most general form, this description is not easy to use for determining when the right hand side of the equation is a division ring. On the other hand, generically the situation is much better.

ASSUMPTION 2.2. For the rest of this section, assume that $\text{End}(A) = \text{End}(A_K)$. Since we are interested in criteria for B to be simple, we will also assume from now on that A itself is simple, therefore so is A_K by the previous assumption.

REMARK 2.3. This assumption is generically satisfied over number fields in the following sense. Fix an abelian variety A over a number field k , and a Galois group G . The ring $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$ is a module under the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ of k . Let L be the fixed field under the maximal subgroup of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ that acts trivially. Then $\text{End}(A_K) = \text{End}(A)$ whenever $K \cap L = k$.

See also [7, 10] for a more in-depth discussion on fields of definition of endomorphisms.

NOTATION 2.4. The following notation will be retained throughout the paper:

- K/k — a Galois extension of fields with Galois group G ;
- A/k — a simple abelian variety;
- $S = \text{End}(A)$;
- $R \leq S$ — a commutative subring;
- Γ — an R -free $R[G]$ -module;
- $B = \Gamma \otimes_R A$ — the twist of A by Γ , which is an abelian variety over k ;
- $D = S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ — a division algebra;
- $F = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ — a field contained in D .

Under Assumption 2.2, Lemma 2.1 becomes

$$(2.5) \quad \text{End}(B) \cong \text{End}_{R[G]}(\Gamma) \otimes_R S.$$

In general, it is a subtle question with a rich literature when the tensor product of two division rings over a common subring is a division ring. But for a generic polarised abelian variety, $S = \mathbb{Z}$. More generally, if S is commutative, Schur's Lemma furnishes an elementary answer to the question of simplicity of B .

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Assume, in addition to Assumption 2.2, that S is commutative, i.e. D is a field. Then B is simple if and only if $\Gamma \otimes_R D$ is a simple $D[G]$ -module.*

Proof. The twist B is simple if and only if $\text{End}(B)$ is a division ring, which in turn is equivalent to

$$\text{End}(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \text{End}_{R[G]}(\Gamma) \otimes_R D$$

being a division algebra. An elementary computation shows that when S is commutative, $\text{End}_{R[G]}(\Gamma) \otimes_R D$ is isomorphic to the endomorphism ring of the $D[G]$ -module $\Gamma \otimes_R D$. The isomorphism is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_{R[G]}(\Gamma) \otimes_R D &\rightarrow \text{End}_{D[G]}(\Gamma \otimes_R D), \\ \alpha \otimes f &\mapsto (\gamma \otimes g \mapsto \alpha(\gamma) \otimes fg). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that, by Schur's Lemma, B is simple if and only if $\Gamma \otimes_R D$ is a simple $D[G]$ -module. ■

There is a slightly different way of phrasing this discussion, which is closer to Howe's original proof. Since A_K is assumed to be simple, S is a division ring, and $\text{End}_K(A^n) \cong M_n(S)$, the n -by- n matrix ring over S . Since the base change of B to K is isomorphic to $(A_K)^n$, any endomorphism of B gives rise

to an endomorphism of $(A_K)^n$, i.e. an element of $M_n(S)$. Conversely, it is easy to characterise the elements of $M_n(S)$ that descend to endomorphisms of B , as follows.

PROPOSITION 2.7 ([4, Proposition 2.1]). *An element of $M_n(S)$ descends to an endomorphism of B if and only if it commutes with all elements of the image of G under the cocycle $a_\Gamma : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R) \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(S)$.*

Now, we merely need to observe that, as we remarked above, the cocycle a_Γ is in fact nothing but the group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut} \Gamma$ with respect to an R -basis on Γ . The commutant of its image in $M_n(S)$ is the intersection of $M_n(S)$ with the commutant of the image of a_Γ in $M_n(D)$, where $D = S \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is, as in Proposition 2.6, assumed to be a field. Moreover, since for any $x \in M_n(D)$, some integer multiple of x lies in $M_n(S)$, the commutant of $a_\Gamma(G)$ in $M_n(S)$ is a division ring if and only if its commutant in $M_n(D)$ is a division algebra. By Schur's Lemma, the latter is the case if and only if $\Gamma \otimes_R D$ is simple.

Another example in which equation (2.5) can be completely analysed is when $D = S \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a quaternion algebra over $F = R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. In that case, a theorem of Risman [8] asserts that if D' is any division algebra over F , then $D \otimes_F D'$ has zero-divisors if and only if D' contains a splitting field for D . So we immediately deduce the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Assume, in addition to Assumption 2.2, that D is a quaternion algebra over $F = R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then B is simple if and only if $\mathrm{End}_{F[G]}(\Gamma \otimes F)$ contains no splitting field of D .*

A generalisation in a slightly different direction is the special case that $L = \mathrm{End}_{R[G]}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a field.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Assume, in addition to Assumption 2.2, that L is a field. Suppose also that R is contained in the centre of $\mathrm{End}(A)$. Then B is simple if and only if L intersects every splitting field of D in $F = R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. The proof will use the general theory of division algebras (see e.g. [1, §74A]). Let Z be the centre of D . If $L \cap Z \neq F$, then certainly $L \otimes_F D$ is not a division algebra, since $L \otimes_F Z$ is not a field. Suppose that $L \cap Z = F$, so that $L \otimes_F Z$ is a field. Then $L \otimes_F D$ is a simple algebra with centre $L \otimes_F Z$. The dimension of D over F is equal to the dimension of $L \otimes_F D$ over L , and their respective dimensions over their centres are therefore also equal. So L intersects a splitting field of D in a field that is bigger than F if and only if the index of $L \otimes_F D$ is smaller than that of D if and only if $L \otimes_F D$ has zero divisors. ■

3. Consequences. We first deduce Theorem 1.1 from Propositions 2.6 and 2.8.

Let G be cyclic of odd prime order p . Recall that $I \leq \mathbb{Z}[G]$ is defined to be the augmentation ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, $I = \ker(\sum_{g \in G} n_g g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} n_g)$. The complexification $I \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of all non-trivial simple $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules, which are all Galois conjugate. It is therefore easy to see that $I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a simple $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module, and that moreover, given any number field D , $I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} D$ is reducible if and only if D intersects $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$ non-trivially.

First, let A/k be an elliptic curve over a number field. Then $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a field, and the fact that $\text{End}(A) = \text{End}(A_K)$ for an odd degree extension K/k follows from classical CM theory (see e.g. [5, Chapter 3]). Thus, the dimension 1 case of Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 2.6.

The dimension 2 case is more subtle. Let A/k be an absolutely simple abelian surface over a number field. Then $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is one of the following:

- (1) \mathbb{Q} ,
- (2) a real quadratic number field,
- (3) a CM field of degree 4,
- (4) an indefinite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} .

We first claim that in all four cases, $\text{End}(A) = \text{End}(A_K)$ for an odd degree extension K/k . This is clear in case (1), and in case (3) this follows from classical CM theory (see e.g. [5, Chapter 3]). For case (2), observe that the absolute Galois group of k acts on $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by \mathbb{Q} -algebra automorphisms. If the endomorphism algebra is a quadratic field, then the action factors through a quotient of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ of index at most 2, which proves the claim. Finally, case (4) is handled by [2, Theorem 1.3].

If A/\bar{k} is isogenous to a product of elliptic curves, then there are more possibilities for the structure of $\text{End}(A)$, which have been classified in [3, Theorem 4.3]. It follows from this classification that if $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a division algebra, then it is still either isomorphic to \mathbb{Q} or a quadratic field or a quaternion algebra, and that moreover $\text{End}(A) = \text{End}(A_K)$ for any extension K/k of degree coprime to 6. So the dimension 2 case of Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 2.6 when $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a field, and from Proposition 2.8 when it is a quaternion algebra, which covers all possible cases.

To deduce Theorem 1.4 from Proposition 2.9, we use a result of Silverberg [10], which we will rephrase slightly for our purposes: for any fixed d , there exists a bound b depending only on d (specifically, $b = 4(9d)^{4d}$ is enough), such that for all abelian varieties over number fields A/k of dimension d , and all extensions K/k of prime degree greater than b , $\text{End}(A) = \text{End}(A_K)$. Theorem 1.4 is an immediate consequence of this result together with Proposition 2.9, because $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$.

Proposition 2.6 has an application to questions of simplicity of Weil restrictions of scalars. If A/k is a simple abelian variety, and K/k is a finite Galois extension with Galois group G , then the Weil restriction of scalars $R_{K/k}(A_K)$ is never simple, since there is a surjective trace map $R_{K/k}(A_K) \rightarrow A$. Its kernel is, up to isogeny, precisely the twist $I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$, where I is the augmentation ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. The following is therefore an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.6.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let A/k be an abelian variety with $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) = \mathbb{Z}$. Let K/k be a finite Galois extension with Galois group G . The kernel of the trace map $R_{K/k}(A_K) \rightarrow A$ is simple over k if and only if G has prime order.*

Proof. Cyclic groups of prime order are precisely the finite groups with only two rational irreducible representations, i.e. those for which $I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a simple $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module. ■

If K/k is Galois with dihedral Galois group G of order $2p$, p an odd prime, then there is a unique intermediate quadratic extension $k' = k(\sqrt{d})/k$, and for any abelian variety A/k , $R_{K/k}(A_K) \sim A \times A_d \times X^2$, where A_d is the quadratic twist of A by k'/k . The remaining factor X (up to isogeny) is the twist of A by a lattice in the $(p-1)$ -dimensional irreducible rational representation ρ of G , which is the sum of all the two-dimensional complex representations of G .

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let E/k be an elliptic curve over a number field, and $K/k, X$ as above. Then X is simple.*

Proof. The values of each irreducible two-dimensional character of G generate the maximal real subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)^+$ of the p th cyclotomic field, and they are all Galois conjugate over \mathbb{Q} . They will therefore remain conjugate over any imaginary quadratic field, so the conclusion holds even when E has CM. ■

We conclude with an amusing example of a “symplectic twist”. Let E/k be an elliptic curve over a number field, let K/k be Galois with Galois group Q_8 , the quaternion group. There are three intermediate quadratic fields, and correspondingly, the Weil restriction $R_{K/k}(E_K)$ has, up to isogeny, four factors E, E_1, E_2, E_3 that are quadratic twists of E . Write $R_{K/k}(E_K) \sim E \times E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3 \times H$.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $K/k, E/k, H$ be defined as above. Then H is simple, unless E has CM by an imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ with d equal to the sum of three squares, in which case H is isogenous to a product of two isomorphic simple factors.*

Proof. The factor H is (up to isogeny) the twist of E by two copies of the standard representation of Q_8 . The endomorphism algebra of this representation is isomorphic to Hamilton's quaternions, which is split by precisely the imaginary quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ for which d is the sum of three squares. ■

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Barinder Banwait for bringing Howe's paper to my attention, which motivated this work. Many thanks are due to Victor Rotger for very helpful email correspondence. I gratefully acknowledge the financial support by the Royal Commission for the Exhibition of 1851.

References

- [1] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory, with Applications to Finite Groups and Orders*, Vol. 2, Wiley, New York, 1987.
- [2] L. V. Dieulefait and V. Rotger, *The arithmetic of QM -abelian surfaces through their Galois representations*, J. Algebra 281 (2004), 124–143.
- [3] F. Fite, K. Kedlaya, V. Rotger and A. Sutherland, *Sato–Tate distributions and Galois endomorphism modules in genus 2*, Compos. Math. 148 (2012), 1390–1442.
- [4] E. Howe, *Isogeny classes of abelian varieties with no principal polarizations*, in: Moduli of Abelian Varieties (Texel Island, 1999), Progr. Math. 195, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, 203–216.
- [5] S. Lang, *Complex Multiplication*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 255, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [6] B. Mazur, K. Rubin and A. Silverberg, *Twisting commutative algebraic groups*, J. Algebra 314 (2007), 419–438.
- [7] K. A. Ribet, *Endomorphisms of semi-stable abelian varieties over number fields*, Ann. of Math. 101 (1975), 555–562.
- [8] L. J. Risman, *Zero divisors in tensor products of division algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 35–36.
- [9] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, 5th ed., Lecture Notes in Math. 5, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [10] A. Silverberg, *Fields of definition for homomorphisms of abelian varieties*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 77 (1992), 253–262.

Alex Bartel
 Department of Mathematics
 Warwick University
 Coventry CV4 7AL, UK
 E-mail: a.bartel@warwick.ac.uk