

*BRAIDED MONOIDAL CATEGORIES AND DOI-HOPF MODULES
FOR MONOIDAL HOM-HOPF ALGEBRAS*

BY

SHUANGJIAN GUO (Hangzhou and Guiyang), XIAOHUI ZHANG (Qufu)
and SHENGXIANG WANG (Chuzhou and Nanjing)

Abstract. We continue our study of the category of Doi Hom-Hopf modules introduced in [Colloq. Math., to appear]. We find a sufficient condition for the category of Doi Hom-Hopf modules to be monoidal. We also obtain a condition for a monoidal Hom-algebra and monoidal Hom-coalgebra to be monoidal Hom-bialgebras. Moreover, we introduce morphisms between the underlying monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, Hom-comodule algebras and Hom-module coalgebras, which give rise to functors between the category of Doi Hom-Hopf modules, and we study tensor identities for monoidal categories of Doi Hom-Hopf modules. Furthermore, we construct a braiding on the category of Doi Hom-Hopf modules. Finally, as an application of our theory, we get a braiding on the category of Hom-modules, on the category of Hom-comodules, and on the category of Hom-Yetter–Drinfeld modules.

1. Introduction. The category ${}_A\mathcal{M}(H)^C$ of Doi–Hopf modules was introduced in [11], where H is a Hopf algebra, A a right H -comodule algebra and C a left H -module coalgebra. It is the category of those modules over the algebra A which are also comodules over the coalgebra C and satisfy certain compatibility condition involving H . The study of ${}_A\mathcal{M}(H)^C$ turned out to be very useful: it was shown in [11] that many categories such as the module and comodule categories over bialgebras, the Hopf modules category [24], and the Yetter–Drinfeld category [22] are special cases of ${}_A\mathcal{M}(H)^C$. For a further study of Doi–Hopf modules, we refer to [3], [4]. In [2], it is proved that Yetter–Drinfeld modules are special cases of Doi–Hopf modules, therefore the category of Yetter–Drinfeld modules is a Grothendieck category.

Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras were introduced by Makhlof and Silvestrov [18] as generalizations of ordinary algebras and coalgebras in the following sense: the associativity of multiplication is replaced by Hom-associativity, and similarly for Hom-coassociativity. They also described the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 16T05.

Key words and phrases: monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, monoidal category, functor, Doi Hom-Hopf module.

Received 15 January 2015; revised 23 August 2015.

Published online 3 December 2015.

structures of Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras, and extended some important results from ordinary Hopf algebras to Hom-Hopf algebras in [19] and [20]. Recently, more properties and structures of Hom-Hopf algebras have been developed: see [5]–[9], [12]–[14], [16], [25]–[28] and references therein.

Caenepeel and Goyvaerts [1] studied Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras from a categorical view point, and called them monoidal Hom-bialgebras and monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras respectively; these are slightly different from the above Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras. Makhoul and Panaite [17] defined Yetter–Drinfeld modules over Hom-bialgebras and showed that Yetter–Drinfeld modules over a Hom-bialgebra with bijective structure map provide solutions of the Hom–Yang–Baxter equation. Also Liu and Shen [15] studied Yetter–Drinfeld modules over monoidal Hom-bialgebras and called them Hom–Yetter–Drinfeld modules; they showed that the category of Hom–Yetter–Drinfeld modules is a braided monoidal category. Chen and Zhang [8] defined the category of Hom–Yetter–Drinfeld modules in a slightly different way to [15], and showed that it is a full monoidal subcategory of the left center of the left Hom-module category. We have defined in [13] the category of Doi Hom–Hopf modules and we have proved that the category of Hom–Yetter–Drinfeld modules is a subcategory of our category of Doi Hom–Hopf modules.

In this paper, we discuss the following question: how do we make the category of Doi Hom–Hopf modules into a monoidal category? We show in Section 3 that it is sufficient that (A, β) and (C, γ) are monoidal Hom-bialgebras with some extra conditions. As an example, we consider the category of Hom–Yetter–Drinfeld modules, which is well known to be a monoidal category from [15]; this category is a special case of our theory.

In Section 4, we give maps between the underlying monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras, Hom-comodule algebras and Hom-module coalgebras, which give rise to functors between the categories of Doi Hom–Hopf modules. Moreover, we study tensor identities for monoidal categories of Doi Hom–Hopf modules. As an application, we prove that the category of Doi Hom–Hopf modules has enough injective objects.

Suppose that we have a monoidal category of Doi Hom–Hopf modules. How do we define a braiding on this category? In Section 5, we point out this comes down to giving a twisted convolution inverse map $\mathcal{Q} : C \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes A$ satisfying some complicated compatibility conditions. As an application we get a braiding on the category of Hom-modules, on the category of Hom-comodules, and on the category of Hom–Yetter–Drinfeld modules.

Throughout this paper we freely use the Hopf algebra and coalgebra terminology introduced in [10], [21], [23] and [24].

2. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper we work over a commutative ring k ; we recall from [1] and [13] some information about Hom-structures, needed in what follows.

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. We introduce a new category $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ as follows: Objects are couples (M, μ) with $M \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mu \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$. A morphism $f : (M, \mu) \rightarrow (N, \nu)$ is a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{C} such that $\nu \circ f = f \circ \mu$.

Let \mathcal{M}_k denote the category of k -modules. Then $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ will be called the *Hom-category* associated to \mathcal{M}_k . If $(M, \mu) \in \mathcal{M}_k$, then $\mu : M \rightarrow M$ is obviously a morphism in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. It is easy to show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k) = (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k), \otimes, (I, I), \tilde{a}, \tilde{l}, \tilde{r})$ is a monoidal category by [1, Proposition 1.1]: the tensor product of (M, μ) and (N, ν) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ is given by $(M, \mu) \otimes (N, \nu) = (M \otimes N, \mu \otimes \nu)$.

Assume that $(M, \mu), (N, \nu), (P, \pi) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. The associativity and unit constraints are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_{M,N,P}((m \otimes n) \otimes p) &= \mu(m) \otimes (n \otimes \pi^{-1}(p)), \\ \tilde{l}_M(x \otimes m) &= \tilde{r}_M(m \otimes x) = x\mu(m). \end{aligned}$$

An algebra in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ will be called a monoidal Hom-algebra:

DEFINITION 2.1. A *monoidal Hom-algebra* is an object $(A, \alpha) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with a k -linear map $m_A : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and an element $1_A \in A$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(ab) &= \alpha(a)\alpha(b), & \alpha(1_A) &= 1_A, \\ \alpha(a)(bc) &= (ab)\alpha(c), & a1_A &= 1_Aa = \alpha(a), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$. Here we use the notation $m_A(a \otimes b) = ab$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A *monoidal Hom-coalgebra* is an object $(C, \gamma) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with k -linear maps $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$, $\Delta(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$ (summation implicitly understood) and $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow k$ such that

$$\Delta(\gamma(c)) = \gamma(c_{(1)}) \otimes \gamma(c_{(2)}), \quad \varepsilon(\gamma(c)) = \varepsilon(c),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{-1}(c_{(1)}) \otimes c_{(2)(1)} \otimes c_{(2)(2)} &= c_{(1)(1)} \otimes c_{(1)(2)} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(c_{(2)}), \\ \varepsilon(c_{(1)})c_{(2)} &= \varepsilon(c_{(2)})c_{(1)} = \gamma^{-1}(c), \end{aligned}$$

for all $c \in C$.

DEFINITION 2.3. A *monoidal Hom-bialgebra* $H = (H, \alpha, m, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a bialgebra in the symmetric monoidal category $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. This means that (H, α, m, η) is a monoidal Hom-algebra, $(H, \alpha, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a monoidal Hom-

coalgebra, and Δ and ε are morphisms of Hom-algebras, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(ab) &= a_{(1)}b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}b_{(2)}, & \Delta(1_H) &= 1_H \otimes 1_H, \\ \varepsilon(ab) &= \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b), & \varepsilon(1_H) &= 1_H.\end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 2.4. A *monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra* is a monoidal Hom-bialgebra (H, α) together with a linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ such that

$$S * I = I * S = \eta\varepsilon, \quad S\alpha = \alpha S.$$

DEFINITION 2.5. Let (A, α) be a monoidal Hom-algebra. A *right (A, α) -Hom-module* is an object $(M, \mu) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ consisting of a k -module and a linear map $\mu : M \rightarrow M$ together with a morphism $\psi : M \otimes A \rightarrow M$, $\psi(m \cdot a) = m \cdot a$, in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ such that

$$(m \cdot a) \cdot \alpha(b) = \mu(m) \cdot (ab), \quad m \cdot 1_A = \mu(m),$$

for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$. The fact that $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ means that

$$\mu(m \cdot a) = \mu(m) \cdot \alpha(a).$$

A morphism $f : (M, \mu) \rightarrow (N, \nu)$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ is called *right A -linear* if it preserves the A -action, that is, $f(m \cdot a) = f(m) \cdot a$. $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)_A$ will denote the category of right (A, α) -Hom-modules and A -linear morphisms.

DEFINITION 2.6. Let (C, γ) be a monoidal Hom-coalgebra. A *right (C, γ) -Hom-comodule* is an object $(M, \mu) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with a k -linear map $\rho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ (notation $\rho_M(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]}$) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}m_{[0][0]} \otimes (m_{[0][1]} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1]})) &= \mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \otimes \Delta_C(m_{[1]}), \\ m_{[0]}\varepsilon(m_{[1]}) &= \mu^{-1}(m),\end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in M$. The fact that $\rho_M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ means that

$$\rho_M(\mu(m)) = \mu(m_{[0]}) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1]}).$$

Morphisms of right (C, γ) -Hom-comodules are defined in the obvious way. The category of right (C, γ) -Hom-comodules will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)^C$.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. A monoidal Hom-algebra (A, β) is called a *right (H, α) -Hom-comodule algebra* if (A, β) is a right (H, α) Hom-comodule with coaction $\rho_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$, $\rho_A(a) = a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]}$, such that

$$\rho_A(ab) = a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]}b_{[1]}, \quad \rho_A(1_A) = 1_A \otimes 1_H,$$

for all $a, b \in A$.

DEFINITION 2.8. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. A monoidal Hom-coalgebra (C, γ) is called a *left (H, α) -Hom-module coalgebra* if

(C, γ) is a left (H, α) -Hom-module with action $\phi : H \otimes C \rightarrow C$, $\phi(h \otimes c) = h \cdot c$, such that

$$\Delta_C(h \cdot c) = h_{(1)} \cdot c_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot c_{(2)}, \quad \varepsilon_C(h \cdot c) = \varepsilon_C(c) \varepsilon_H(h),$$

for all $c \in C$ and $g, h \in H$.

A *Doi Hom-Hopf datum* is a triple (H, A, C) , where H is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, A a right (H, α) -Hom comodule algebra and (C, γ) a left (H, α) -Hom module coalgebra.

DEFINITION 2.9. Given a Doi Hom-Hopf datum (H, A, C) , a *Doi Hom-Hopf module* (M, μ) is a left (A, β) -Hom-module which is also a right (C, γ) -Hom-comodule with the coaction structure $\rho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ defined by $\rho_M(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]}$ such that the following compatibility condition holds: for all $m \in M$ and $a \in A$,

$$\rho_M(a \cdot m) = a_{[0]} \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]} \cdot m_{[1]}.$$

A morphism between left-right Doi Hom-Hopf modules is a k -linear map which is a morphism in the categories ${}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}_k)^C$ at the same time. ${}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ will denote the category of left-right Doi Hom-Hopf modules and morphisms between them.

3. Making the category of Doi Hom-Hopf modules into a monoidal category. Now suppose that C and A are both monoidal Hom-bialgebras.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $(M, \mu) \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$, $(N, \nu) \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. Then $M \otimes N \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ with structure maps*

$$a \cdot (m \otimes n) = a_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes a_{(2)} \cdot n, \quad \rho_{M \otimes N}(m \otimes n) = m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} n_{[1]}$$

if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad a_{(1)[0]} \otimes a_{(2)[0]} \otimes (a_{(1)[1]} \cdot c)(a_{(2)[1]} \cdot d) = a_{[0](1)} \otimes a_{[0](2)} \otimes a_{[1]} \circ (cd)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $c, d \in C$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{C} = {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ is a monoidal category.

Proof. It is easy to see that $M \otimes N$ is a left (A, β) -module and a right (C, γ) -comodule. Now we check that the compatibility condition holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{M \otimes N}(a \cdot (m \otimes n)) &= (a_{(1)} \cdot m)_{[0]} \otimes (a_{(2)} \cdot n)_{[0]} \otimes (a_{(1)} \cdot m)_{[1]} (a_{(2)} \cdot n)_{[1]} \\ &= a_{(1)[0]} \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes (a_{(2)[0]} \cdot n_{[0]}) \otimes (a_{(1)[1]} \cdot m_{[1]}) (a_{(2)[1]} \cdot n_{[1]}) \\ &\stackrel{(3.1)}{=} a_{[0](1)} \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes (a_{[0](2)} \cdot n_{[0]}) \otimes a_{[1]} \cdot (m_{[1]} n_{[1]}) \\ &= a_{[0]} \cdot (m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]}) \otimes a_{[1]} \cdot (m_{[1]} n_{[1]}). \end{aligned}$$

So $M \otimes N \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$.

Conversely, one can easily check that $A \otimes C \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$, let $m = 1 \otimes c$ and $n = 1 \otimes d$ for any $c, d \in C$ and easily get (3.2).

Furthermore, k is an object in ${}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ with structure maps

$$a \cdot x = \varepsilon_A(a)x, \quad \rho(x) = x \otimes 1_C,$$

for all $x \in k$ if and only if

$$(3.2) \quad \varepsilon_A(a)1_C = \varepsilon_A(a_{(0)})(a_{(1)} \cdot 1_C)$$

for all $a \in A$. Then it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{C} = {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C, \otimes, k, \tilde{a}, \tilde{l}, \tilde{r})$ is a monoidal category, where $\tilde{a}, \tilde{l}, \tilde{r}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_{M,N,P}((m \otimes n) \otimes p) &= \mu(m) \otimes (n \otimes \pi^{-1}(p)), \\ \tilde{l}_M(x \otimes m) &= \tilde{r}_M(m \otimes x) = x\mu(m), \end{aligned}$$

for $(M, \mu), (N, \nu), (P, \pi) \in \mathcal{C}$. ■

We call $G = (H, A, C)$ a *monoidal Doi Hom-Hopf datum* if G is a Doi Hom-Hopf datum and A, C are Hom-bialgebras with the additional compatibility relations (3.1) and (3.2).

We will give an example of a monoidal category ${}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. First, we define Yetter–Drinfeld modules over a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra; these were also introduced by Liu and Shen [15] or Guo and Zhang [13] similarly.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. A *left-right (H, α) -Hom-Yetter–Drinfeld module* is an object (M, μ) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ such that (M, μ) a left (H, α) -Hom-module and a right (H, α) -Hom-co-module with the following compatibility condition:

$$(3.3) \quad h_{(1)} \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes h_{(2)}m_{[1]} = \mu((h_{(2)} \cdot \mu^{-1}(m))_{[0]}) \otimes (h_{(2)} \cdot \mu^{-1}(m))_{[1]}h_{(1)}$$

for all $h \in H$ and $m \in M$. We denote by ${}_H\mathcal{HYD}^H$ the category of left-right (H, α) -Hom-Yetter–Drinfeld modules, morphisms being left (H, α) -linear right (H, α) -colinear maps.

PROPOSITION 3.3. (3.3) is equivalent to

$$(3.4) \quad \rho(h \cdot m) = \alpha(h_{(2)(1)}) \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes (h_{(2)(2)}\alpha^{-1}(m_{[1]}))S^{-1}(h_{(1)})$$

for all $h \in H$ and $m \in M$.

Proof. For one direction, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu((h_{(2)} \cdot \mu^{-1}(m))_{[0]}) \otimes ((h_{(2)} \cdot \mu^{-1}(m))_{[1]})h_{(1)} \\ & \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} \mu(\alpha(h_{(2)(2)(1)}) \cdot \mu^{-1}(m_{[0]})) \otimes ((h_{(2)(2)(2)}\alpha^{-2}(m_{[1]}))S^{-1}(h_{(2)(1)}))h_{(1)} \\ & = \alpha(h_{(2)(1)}) \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes (h_{(2)(2)}\alpha^{-1}(m_{[1]}))(S^{-1}(h_{(1)(2)})h_{(1)(1)}) \\ & = h_{(1)} \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes h_{(2)}m_{[1]}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h_{(2)(1)} \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes (h_{(2)(2)} m_{[1]}) S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \\
 & \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} \mu((h_{(2)(2)} \cdot \mu^{-1}(m))_{[0]}) \otimes ((h_{(2)(2)} \cdot \mu^{-1}(m))_{[1]} h_{(2)(1)}) S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \\
 & = \mu((\alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \cdot \mu^{-1}(m))_{[0]}) \otimes \alpha((\alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \cdot \mu^{-1}(m))_{[1]}) (h_{(1)(2)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)(1)})) \\
 & = \mu((\alpha^{-2}(h) \cdot \mu^{-1}(m))_{[0]}) \otimes \alpha^2((\alpha^{-2}(h) \cdot \mu^{-1}(m))_{[1]}) \\
 & = (\alpha^{-1}(h) \cdot m)_{[0]} \otimes \alpha((\alpha^{-1}(h) \cdot m)_{[1]}),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.4). ■

THEOREM 3.4. *Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode.*

- (1) *H can be made into a right $H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$ -Hom-comodule algebra. The coaction $H \rightarrow H \otimes H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$ is given by*

$$h \mapsto \alpha(h_{(2)(1)}) \otimes (S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)})) \otimes h_{(2)(2)}).$$

- (2) *H can be made into a left $H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$ -Hom-module coalgebra. The action of $H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$ on H is given by*

$$(h \otimes k) \triangleright c = (k\alpha^{-1}(c))\alpha(h).$$

- (3) *The category ${}_H\mathcal{HYD}^H$ of left-right Hom-Yetter-Drinfeld modules is isomorphic to a category of Doi Hom-Hopf modules, namely ${}_H\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H^{\text{op}} \otimes H)^H$.*

Proof. (1) We first prove that H is a right $H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$ -Hom-comodule. For any $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha^{-1} \otimes \Delta_{H^{\text{op}} \otimes H}) \rho_H(h) &= h_{(2)(1)} \otimes \Delta_{H^{\text{op}} \otimes H}(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)})) \otimes h_{(2)(2)}) \\
 &= h_{(2)(1)} \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)(2)})) \otimes h_{(2)(2)(1)} \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)(1)})) \otimes h_{(2)(2)(2)} \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)(2)})) \otimes h_{(2)(1)(2)} \\
 &\quad \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)(1)})) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)(2)}) \\
 &= \alpha^2(h_{(2)(2)(1)(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)(1)})) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(2)(1)(2)}) \\
 &\quad \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-2}(h_{(1)})) \otimes h_{(2)(2)(2)} \\
 &= \alpha^2(h_{(2)(1)(2)(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(2)(1)(1)}) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(1)(2)}) \\
 &\quad \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-2}(h_{(1)})) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)(2)}) \\
 &= \rho(\alpha(h_{(2)(1)})) \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-2}(h_{(1)})) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)(2)}) \\
 &= (\rho_H \otimes \alpha^{-1}) \rho_H(h).
 \end{aligned}$$

So H is a right $H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$ -Hom-comodule. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(hg) &= \alpha(h_{(2)(1)}g_{(2)(1)}) \otimes (S^{-1}(h_{(1)}g_{(1)}) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)(2)}g_{(2)(2)})) \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(2)(1)})\alpha(g_{(2)(1)}) \otimes (S^{-1}(h_{(1)})S^{-1}(g_{(1)}) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)(2)})\alpha^{-1}(g_{(2)(2)})) \\
 &= (\alpha(h_{(2)(1)}) \otimes (S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)(2)}))) \\
 &\quad (\alpha(g_{(2)(1)}) \otimes (S^{-1}(g_{(1)}) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(g_{(2)(2)}))) \\
 &= \rho_H(h)\rho_H(g).
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) Now we prove that H is an $H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$ -Hom-comodule. For any $h, l, k, m, c \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha(l) \otimes \alpha(m)) \triangleright [(h \otimes k) \triangleright c] &= (\alpha(l) \otimes \alpha(m)) \triangleright (k\alpha^{-1}(c))\alpha(h) \\
 &= [\alpha(m)[(\alpha^{-1}(k)\alpha^{-2}(c))h]]\alpha^2(l) = [\alpha(m)[k(\alpha^{-2}(c))\alpha^{-1}(h)]]\alpha^2(l) \\
 &= \alpha(mk)[[\alpha^{-1}(c)h]\alpha(l)] = \alpha(mk)[c(hl)] = mk[c\alpha(hl)] \\
 &= (hl \otimes mk) \triangleright \alpha(c) = [(l \otimes m)(h \otimes k)] \triangleright \alpha(c),
 \end{aligned}$$

and this implies that H is an $H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$ -Hom-module.

Using the fact that (H, α) is an (H, α) -Hom-bimodule algebra, we can deduce that (H, α) is a left $H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$ -Hom-module coalgebra.

(3) Let (M, \cdot) be a left (H, α) -module and (M, ρ_M) a right (H, α) -comodule. Then $M \in {}_H\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H^{\text{op}} \otimes H)^H$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_M(h \cdot m) &= \alpha(h_{(2)(1)}) \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes (S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)})) \otimes h_{(2)(2)}) \triangleright m_{[1]} \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(2)(1)}) \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes (h_{(2)(2)}\alpha^{-1}(m_{[1]}))S^{-1}(h_{(1)})
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H$ and $m \in M$. Thus ${}_H\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H^{\text{op}} \otimes H)^H$ is isomorphic to ${}_H\mathcal{HYD}^H$. ■

EXAMPLE 3.5. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. We have shown that the category ${}_H\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H^{\text{op}} \otimes H)^H$ of Doi Hom-Hopf modules and the category ${}_H\mathcal{HYD}^H$ of Hom-Yetter–Drinfeld modules are isomorphic. Recall from [15] that the latter is a monoidal category; let us check that it is a special case of Proposition 3.3. Indeed, take $A = H$ and $C = H^{\text{op}}$ as monoidal Hom-bialgebras. Let $a = h$, $c = k$ and $d = g$. Then the left-hand side amounts to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &h_{[0](1)} \otimes h_{[0](2)} \otimes h_{[1]} \cdot (k \bullet g) \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(1)}) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(2)}) \otimes (S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)})) \otimes h_{(2)(2)}) \cdot (gk) \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(1)}) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(2)}) \otimes [h_{(2)(2)}\alpha^{-1}(gk)]S^{-1}(h_{(1)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h_{(1)[0]} \otimes h_{(2)[0]} \otimes (h_{1} \cdot k)(h_{[1](2)} \cdot g) \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(1)(2)(1)}) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(2)(1)}) \otimes ((S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)(1)})) \otimes h_{(2)(2)(1)}) \cdot k) \\
 &\quad \bullet ((S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)(2)})) \otimes h_{(2)(2)(2)}) \cdot g) \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(1)(2)(1)}) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(2)(1)}) \otimes ((h_{(2)(2)(2)}\alpha^{-1}(g))S^{-1}(h_{(1)(2)})) \\
 &\quad ((h_{(2)(2)(1)}\alpha^{-1}(k))S^{-1}(h_{(1)(1)})) \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(1)(2)(1)}) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(2)(1)}) \\
 &\quad \otimes ((h_{(2)(2)(2)}\alpha^{-1}(g))[S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)(2)}))h_{(2)(2)(1)}])kS^{-1}(h_{(1)(1)}) \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(1)(1)(2)}) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(2)}) \\
 &\quad \otimes ((\alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)(2)})\alpha^{-1}(g))[S^{-1}(h_{(1)(1)(2)})\alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)(1)})])kS^{-1}(\alpha(h_{(1)(1)(1)})) \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(1)}) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(2)}) \otimes ((h_{(2)(2)(2)}\alpha^{-1}(g))[S^{-1}(h_{(2)(1)(1)})h_{(2)(1)(1)}]) \\
 &\quad kS^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)})) \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(1)}) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(2)}) \otimes ((h_{(2)(2)}g)kS^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)}))) \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(1)}) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(2)}) \otimes [(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)(2)})\alpha^{-1}(g))k]S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \\
 &= \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(1)}) \otimes \alpha(h_{(2)(1)(2)}) \otimes [h_{(2)(2)}\alpha^{-1}(gk)]S^{-1}(h_{(1)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

4. Tensor identities

THEOREM 4.1. *Given Doi Hom-Hopf data (H, A, C) and (H', A', C') , suppose that a morphism $\xi : (H, A, C) \rightarrow (H', A', C')$ consists of three maps $\varphi : H \rightarrow H'$, $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$ and $\phi : C \rightarrow C'$ which are respectively monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, Hom-algebra and Hom-coalgebra maps satisfying*

$$(4.1) \quad \phi(h \cdot c) = \varphi(h) \cdot \phi(c),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \rho_A(\psi(a)) = \psi(a_{[0]}) \otimes \varphi(a_{[1]}),$$

for all $c \in C$, $h \in H$ and $a \in A$. Then we have a functor $F : {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C \rightarrow {}_{A'}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H')^{C'}$, defined as follows:

$$F(M) = A' \otimes_A M,$$

where (A', β') is a left (A, β) -module via ψ and with structure maps defined by

$$(4.3) \quad b' \cdot (a' \otimes_A m) = \beta'^{-1}(b')a' \otimes_A \mu(m),$$

$$(4.4) \quad \rho_{F(M)}(a' \otimes_A m) = a'_{[0]} \otimes_A m_{[0]} \otimes a'_{[1]} \cdot \phi(m_{[1]}),$$

for all $a', b' \in A'$ and $m \in M$.

Proof. Let us show that $A' \otimes_A M$ is an object of ${}_{A'}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H')^{C'}$. It is routine to check that $F(M)$ is a left (A', β') -module. For this, we need to

show that $A' \otimes_A M$ is a right (C', γ') -comodule and satisfies the compatibility condition. Indeed, for any $m \in M$ and $a', b' \in A'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{F(M)}(b' \cdot (a' \otimes_A m)) &= \rho_{F(M)}(\beta'^{-1}(b')a' \otimes_A \mu(m)) \\ &= \beta'^{-1}(b'_{[0]})a'_{[0]} \otimes_A \mu(m_{[0]}) \otimes [\beta'^{-1}(b'_{[1]})a'_{[1]}] \cdot \phi(\gamma(m_{[1]})) \\ &= b'_{[0]}[a'_{[0]} \otimes_A m_{[0]}] \otimes b'_{[1]}[a'_{[1]} \cdot \phi(m_{[1]})] \\ &= b' \cdot (a'_{[0]} \otimes_A m_{[0]} \otimes a'_{[1]} \cdot \phi(m_{[1]})) = b' \rho_{F(M)}(a' \otimes_A m), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the compatibility condition holds. It remains to prove that $A' \otimes_A M$ is a right (C', γ') -comodule. For any $m \in M$ and $a' \in A'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_{F(M)} \otimes \text{id}_{C'})\rho_{F(M)}(a' \otimes_A m) &= (\rho_{F(M)} \otimes \text{id}_{C'})(a'_{[0]} \otimes_A m_{[0]} \otimes a'_{[1]} \cdot \phi(m_{[1]})) \\ &= [a'_{[0][0]} \otimes_A m_{[0][0]} \otimes a'_{[0][1]} \cdot \phi(m_{[0][1]})] \otimes a'_{[1]} \cdot \phi(m_{[1]}) \\ &= [\beta'^{-1}(a'_{[0]}) \otimes_A \mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \otimes a'_{1} \cdot \phi(m_{1})] \otimes \alpha'(a'_{[1](2)}) \cdot \phi(\gamma(m_{[1](2)})) \\ &= a'_{[0]} \otimes_A m_{[0]} \otimes [a'_{1} \cdot \phi(m_{1}) \otimes a'_{[1](2)} \cdot \phi(m_{[1](2)})] \\ &= (\text{id}_{F(M)} \otimes \Delta_{C'})\rho_{F(M)}(a' \otimes_A m), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id}_{F(M)} \otimes \varepsilon)\rho_{F(M)}(a' \otimes_A m) &= (\text{id}_{F(M)} \otimes \varepsilon)(a'_{[0]} \otimes_A m_{[0]} \otimes a'_{[1]} \cdot \phi(m_{[1]})) \\ &= a'_{[0]}\varepsilon(a'_{[1]}) \otimes_A m_{[0]}\varepsilon(\phi(m_{[1]})) = a' \otimes_A m, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

THEOREM 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1, we have a functor $G : {}_A\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H')^{C'} \rightarrow {}_A\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ which is right adjoint to F . It is defined by*

$$G(M') = M' \square_{C'} C,$$

with structure maps

$$(4.5) \quad a \cdot (m' \otimes c) = a_{[0]} \cdot m' \otimes a_{[1]} \cdot c,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \rho_{G(M')}(m' \otimes c) = \mu'^{-1}(m') \otimes c_{(1)} \otimes \gamma(c_{(2)}),$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. We first show that $G(M')$ is an object of ${}_A\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. It is not hard to check that $G(M')$ is a left (A, β) -module. Now we check that $G(M')$ is a right (C, γ) -comodule and satisfies the compatibility condition. For any $m' \in M'$ and $a \in A, c \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{G(M')}(a \cdot (m' \otimes c)) &= \rho_{G(M')}(a_{[0]} \cdot m' \otimes a_{[1]} \cdot c) \\ &= \beta^{-1}(a_{[0]}) \cdot \mu'^{-1}(m') \otimes a_{1} \cdot c_{(1)} \otimes \alpha(a_{[1](2)}) \cdot \gamma(c_{(2)}) \\ &= a_{[0][0]} \cdot \mu'^{-1}(m') \otimes a_{[0][1]} \cdot c_{(1)} \otimes a_{[1]} \cdot \gamma(c_{(2)}) \\ &= a \cdot (\mu'^{-1}(m') \otimes c_{(1)} \otimes \gamma(c_{(2)})) = a \rho_{G(M')}(m' \otimes c), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the compatibility condition holds. It remains to prove that $M' \square_{C'} C$ is a right (C, γ) -comodule. For any $m' \in M'$ and $a \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_{G(M')} \otimes \text{id}_{C'}) \rho_{G(M')} (m' \otimes_A c) &= (\rho_{G(M')} \otimes \text{id}_{C'}) (\mu'^{-1}(m') \otimes c_{(1)} \otimes \gamma(c_{(2)})) \\ &= \mu'^{-2}(m') \otimes c_{(1)(1)} \otimes \gamma(c_{(1)(2)}) \otimes \gamma(c_{(2)}) \\ &= \mu'^{-2}(m') \otimes \gamma^{-1}(c_{(1)}) \otimes \gamma(c_{(2)(1)}) \otimes \gamma^2(c_{(2)(2)}) \\ &= \mu'^{-1}(m') \otimes c_{(1)} \otimes [\gamma(c_{(2)(1)}) \otimes \gamma(c_{(2)(2)})] \\ &= (\text{id}_{G(M')} \otimes \Delta_C) \rho_{G(M')} (m' \otimes c), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id}_{G(M')} \otimes \varepsilon) \rho_{G(M')} (m' \otimes c) &= (\text{id}_{G(M')} \otimes \varepsilon) (\mu'^{-1}(m') \otimes c_{(1)} \otimes \gamma(c_{(2)})) \\ &= \mu'^{-1}(m') \otimes c_{(1)} \varepsilon(c_{(2)}) \otimes 1_C = m' \otimes c, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

We have $G(M') \in {}_A \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ and the functorial properties can be checked in a straightforward way. Finally, we show that G is a right adjoint to F . Take $(M, \mu) \in {}_A \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ and define $\eta_M : M \rightarrow GF(M) = (M \otimes_A A') \square_{C'} C$ as follows: for all $m \in M$,

$$\eta_M(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes_A 1_{A'} \otimes m_{[1]}.$$

It is easy to see that $\eta_M \in {}_A \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. Take $(M', \mu') \in {}_{A'} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H')^{C'}$, and define $\delta_{M'} : FG(M') \rightarrow M'$, where

$$\delta_{M'}(m' \otimes c) \otimes_A a' = \varepsilon_C(c) m' \cdot a',$$

It is easy to check that $\delta_{M'}$ is (A, β) -linear and so $\delta_{M'} \in {}_{A'} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H')^{C'}$. We can also verify η and δ defined above are natural transformations and satisfy

$$G(\delta_{M'}) \circ \eta_{G(M')} = I, \quad \delta_{F(M)} \circ F(\eta_M) = I,$$

for all $M \in {}_A \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ and $M' \in {}_{A'} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H')^{C'}$. ■

A morphism $\xi = (\varphi, \psi, \phi)$ between monoidal Doi Hom-Hopf data is called *monoidal* if φ and ϕ are monoidal Hom-bialgebra maps. We now consider the particular situation where $H = H'$ and $A = A'$. The following result is a generalization of [3].

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $\xi = (\text{id}_H, \text{id}_A, \phi) : (H, A, C) \rightarrow (H, A, C')$ be a monoidal morphism of monoidal Doi Hom-Hopf data. Then*

$$(4.7) \quad G(C') = C.$$

Let $(M, \mu) \in {}_A \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ be flat as a k -module, and take $(N, \nu) \in {}_{A'} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^{C'}$. If (C, γ) is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, then

$$(4.8) \quad M \otimes G(N) \cong G(F(M) \otimes N) \quad \text{in } {}_A \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C.$$

If (C, γ) has a twisted antipode \bar{S} , then

$$(4.9) \quad G(N) \otimes M \cong G(N \otimes F(M)) \quad \text{in } {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C.$$

Proof. We know that $\varepsilon_{C'} \otimes \text{id}_C : C' \square_C C \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism; the inverse map is $(\phi \otimes \text{id}_C)\Delta_C : C \rightarrow C' \square_C C$. It is clear that $\varepsilon_{C'} \otimes \text{id}_C$ is (A, β) -linear and (C, γ) -colinear. This proves (4.7).

Now we define a map

$$\Gamma : M \otimes G(N) = M \otimes (N \square_{C'} C) \rightarrow G(F(M) \otimes N) = (F(M) \otimes N) \square_{C'} C$$

by

$$\Gamma(m \otimes (n_i \otimes c_i)) = (m_{[0]} \otimes n_i) \otimes m_{[1]}c_i.$$

Recall that $F(M) = M$ as an (A, β) -module, with (C', γ') -coaction given by

$$\rho_{F(M)}(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes \phi(m_{[1]}).$$

(1) Γ is well-defined. We have to show that

$$\Gamma(m_i \otimes (n_i \otimes c_i)) \in (F(M) \otimes N) \square'_C C.$$

This may be seen as follows: for any $m \in M$ and $n_i \square_{C'} c \in N \square_{C'} C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_{F(M) \otimes N} \otimes \text{id}_C)((m_{[0]} \otimes n_i) \otimes m_{[1]}c_i) &= (m_{[0][0]} \otimes n_{i[0]}) \otimes \phi(m_{[0][1]})n_{i[1]} \otimes m_{[1]}c_i \\ &= (\mu(m_{[0]}) \otimes \nu(n_i)) \otimes \phi(m_{[0][1]})\phi(c_{i(1)}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1]}c_{i(2)}) \\ &= (m_{[0]} \otimes n_i) \otimes [\phi(m_{[0][1]})\phi(c_{i(1)}) \otimes m_{[1]}c_{i(2)}] \\ &= (\text{id}_{F(M) \otimes N} \otimes \rho_{C'})((m_{[0]} \otimes n_i) \otimes m_{[1]}c_i). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Γ is (A, β) -linear. Indeed, for any $a \in A, m \in M$ and $n_i \square_{C'} c \in N \square_{C'} C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(a \cdot (m \otimes (n_i \otimes c_i))) &= \Gamma(a_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes (a_{(2)[0]} \cdot n_i \otimes a_{(2)[1]} \cdot c_i)) \\ &= (a_{(1)[0]} \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes a_{(2)[0]} \cdot n_i) \otimes (a_{(1)[1]} \cdot m_{[1]})(a_{(2)[1]} \cdot c_i) \\ &= (a_{[0](1)} \cdot m_{[0]} \otimes a_{[0](2)} \cdot n_i) \otimes a_{(1)} \cdot (m_{[1]}c_i) \\ &= a_{[0]} \cdot (m_{[0]} \otimes n_i) \otimes a_{(1)} \cdot (m_{[1]}c_i) = a \cdot \Gamma(m \otimes (n_i \otimes c_i)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Γ is (C, γ) -colinear. Indeed, for any $m \in M$ and $n_i \square_{C'} c \in N \square_{C'} C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \circ \Gamma(m \otimes (n_i \otimes c_i)) &= \rho((m_{[0]} \otimes n_i) \otimes m_{[1]}c_i) \\ &= (\mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \otimes \nu^{-1}(n_i)) \otimes m_{1}c_{i(1)} \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)}c_{i(2)}) \\ &= (m_{[0]} \otimes \nu^{-1}(n_i)) \otimes m_{[0][1]}c_{i(1)} \otimes m_{[1]}\gamma(c_{i(2)}) \\ &= (\Gamma \otimes \text{id}_C)(m_{[0]} \otimes (\nu^{-1}(n_i) \otimes c_{i(1)})) \otimes m_{[1]}\gamma(c_{i(2)}) \\ &= (\Gamma \otimes \text{id}_C) \circ \rho(m \otimes (n_i \otimes c_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Assume (C, γ) has an antipode and define

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &: (F(M) \otimes N) \square_{C'} C \rightarrow M \otimes (N \square_{C'} C), \\ \Psi((m_i \otimes n_i) \otimes c_i) &= \mu^2(m_{i[0]}) \otimes (n_i \otimes S(m_{i[1]})\gamma^{-2}(c_i)). \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that Ψ is well-defined. (M, μ) is flat, so $M \otimes (N \square_{C'} C)$ is the equalizer of the maps

$$\text{id}_M \otimes \text{id}_N \otimes \rho_C : M \otimes N \otimes C \rightarrow M \otimes N \otimes C' \otimes C$$

and

$$\text{id}_M \otimes \rho_N \otimes \text{id}_C : M \otimes N \otimes C \rightarrow M \otimes N \otimes C' \otimes C.$$

Now take $(m_i \otimes n_i) \otimes c_i \in (F(M) \otimes N) \square_{C'} C$. Then

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} (m_{i[0]} \otimes n_{i[0]}) \otimes \phi(m_{i[1]})n_{i[1]} \otimes c_i \\ = (\mu^{-1}(m_i) \otimes \nu^{-1}(n_i)) \otimes \phi(c_{i(1)}) \otimes \gamma(c_{i(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_M \otimes \text{id}_N \otimes \rho_C(\mu^2(m_{i[0]}) \otimes (n_i \otimes S(m_{i[1]})\gamma^{-2}(c_i))) \\ = \mu^2(m_{i[0]}) \otimes (n_i \otimes \phi(S(m_{i[1](2)})\gamma^{-2}(c_{i(1)})) \otimes S(m_{i1})\gamma^{-2}(c_{i(2)})) \\ = m_{i[0]} \otimes \nu^{-1}(n_i) \otimes \phi(S(\gamma(m_{i[1](2)}))\gamma^{-1}(c_{i(1)})) \otimes S(\gamma^2(m_{i1}))c_{i(2)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_M \otimes \rho_N \otimes \text{id}_C(\mu^2(m_{i[0]}) \otimes (n_i \otimes S(m_{i[1]})\gamma^{-2}(c_i))) \\ = \mu^2(m_{i[0]}) \otimes (n_{i[0]} \otimes n_{i[1]} \otimes S(m_{i[1]})\gamma^{-2}(c_i)) \\ = m_{i[0]} \otimes n_{i[0]} \otimes \gamma(n_{i[1]}) \otimes S(\gamma(m_{i[1]}))\gamma^{-1}(c_i). \end{aligned}$$

Applying $(\text{id}_M \otimes \phi \otimes \text{id}_C) \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes (\Delta_C \circ S_C)) \circ \rho_M$ to the first factor of (4.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i[0][0]} \otimes \phi(S(m_{i[0][1](2)})) \otimes S(m_{i[0]1}) \otimes n_{i[0]} \otimes \phi(m_{i[1]})n_{i[1]} \otimes c_i \\ = \mu^{-1}(m_{i[0]}) \otimes \phi(S(\gamma^{-1}(m_{i[1](2)}))) \otimes S(\gamma^{-1}(m_{i1})) \\ \otimes \nu^{-1}(n_i) \otimes \phi(c_{i(1)}) \otimes \gamma(c_{i(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\text{id}_M \otimes \gamma^2 \otimes \text{id}_C \otimes \text{id}_N \otimes \gamma^{-1} \otimes \gamma^{-1}$ to the above identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i[0][0]} \otimes \phi(S(\gamma^2(m_{i[0][1](2)}))) \otimes S(m_{i[0]1}) \otimes n_{i[0]} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(\phi(m_{i[1]})n_{i[1]}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(c_i) \\ = \mu^{-1}(m_{i[0]}) \otimes \phi(S(\gamma(m_{i[1](2)}))) \otimes S(\gamma^{-1}(m_{i1})) \otimes \nu^{-1}(n_i) \\ \otimes \phi(\gamma^{-1}(c_{i(1)})) \otimes c_{i(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the second and the fifth factor, and also the third and sixth, we

have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(m_{i[0]}) \otimes n_{i[0]} \otimes \gamma(n_{i[1]}) \otimes S(\gamma(m_{i[1]}))\gamma^{-1}(c_i) \\ &= \mu(m_{i[0]}) \otimes \nu^{-1}(n_i) \otimes \phi(S(\gamma(m_{i[1](2)}))\gamma^{-1}(c_{i(1)})) \otimes S(\gamma^2(m_{i1}))c_{i(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and applying $\mu^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_N \otimes \text{id}_C \otimes \text{id}_C$ to the above identity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & m_{i[0]} \otimes n_{i[0]} \otimes \gamma(n_{i[1]}) \otimes S(\gamma(m_{i[1]}))\gamma^{-1}(c_i) \\ &= m_{i[0]} \otimes \nu^{-1}(n_i) \otimes \phi(S(\gamma(m_{i[1](2)}))\gamma^{-1}(c_{i(1)})) \otimes S(\gamma^2(m_{i1}))c_{i(2)} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\text{id}_M \otimes \rho_N \otimes \text{id}_C \circ (\Psi((m_i \otimes n_i) \otimes c_i)) = \text{id}_M \otimes \text{id}_N \otimes \rho_C \circ (\Psi((m_i \otimes n_i) \otimes c_i)).$$

Let us point out that Γ and Ψ are each other's inverses. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \circ \Psi((m_i \otimes n_i) \otimes c_i) &= \Gamma(\mu^2(m_{i[0]}) \otimes (n_i \otimes S(m_{i[1]}\gamma^{-2}(c_i)))) \\ &= (\mu^2(m_{i[0][0]}) \otimes n_i) \otimes \gamma^2(m_{i[0][1]})S(m_{i[1]})\gamma^{-2}(c_i) \\ &= (\mu^2(m_{i[0][0]}) \otimes n_i) \otimes [\gamma(m_{i[0][1]})S(m_{i[1]})]\gamma^{-1}(c_i) \\ &= (\mu(m_{i[0]}) \otimes n_i) \otimes [\gamma(m_{i1})S(\gamma(m_{i[1](2)}))]\gamma^{-1}(c_i) \\ &= (m_i \otimes n_i) \otimes c_i, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \circ \Gamma(m \otimes (n_i \otimes c_i)) &= \Psi((m_{[0]} \otimes n_i) \otimes m_{[1]}c_i) \\ &= \mu^2(m_{[0][0]}) \otimes (n_i \otimes [S(\gamma^{-1}(m_{[0][1]})\gamma^{-2}(m_{[1]}))\gamma^{-1}(c_i)]) \\ &= \mu(m_{[0]}) \otimes (n_i \otimes [S(\gamma^{-1}(m_{1})\gamma^{-1}(m_{[1](2)}))\gamma^{-1}(c_i)]) \\ &= m \otimes (n_i \otimes c_i). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (4.9) is similar and left to the reader. ■

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let (H, A, C) be a monoidal Doi Hom-Hopf datum, and $\Lambda: {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)$ the functor forgetting the (C, γ) -coaction. For any flat Doi Hom-Hopf module (M, μ) , we have an isomorphism*

$$M \otimes C \cong \Lambda(M) \otimes C$$

in ${}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. If k is a field, then ${}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ has enough injective objects, and any injective object in ${}_A\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ is a direct summand of an object of the form $I \otimes C$, where I is an injective (A, β) -module.

We have already proved that the category of Hom-Yetter–Drinfeld modules may be viewed as the category of Doi Hom-Hopf modules corresponding to a monoidal Doi Hom-Hopf datum. Then we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with the bijective antipode. Then the category of Hom-Yetter–Drinfeld modules over (H, α) is a Grothendieck category with enough injective objects.*

We continue with the dual version of Theorem 4.3.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $\chi = (\text{id}_H, \psi, \text{id}_C) : (H, A, C) \rightarrow (H, A', C)$ be a monoidal morphism of monoidal Doi Hom-Hopf data. Then*

$$(4.11) \quad F(A) = A'.$$

Let $(M, \mu) \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ be flat as a k -module, and take $(N, \nu) \in {}_{A'}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. If (A', β') is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, then

$$(4.12) \quad F(M) \otimes N \cong F(M \otimes G(N)) \quad \text{in } {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C.$$

If (A', β') has a twisted antipode \bar{S} , then

$$(4.13) \quad N \otimes F(M) \cong F(G(N) \otimes M) \quad \text{in } {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C.$$

Proof. We only prove (4.12) and similarly for (4.11) and (4.13). Assume that (A', β') is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra and define

$$\Gamma : F(M \otimes G(N)) = A' \otimes_A M \otimes G(N) \rightarrow F(M) \otimes N = (A' \otimes_A M) \otimes N$$

by

$$\Gamma(a' \otimes (m \otimes n)) = (a'_{(1)} \otimes m) \otimes a'_{(2)} \cdot n$$

for all $a' \in A', m \in M$ and $n \in N$. Then Γ is well-defined since

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(a' \psi(a) \otimes (m \otimes n)) &= (a'_{(1)} \psi(a_{(1)}) \otimes m) \otimes a'_{(2)} \psi(a_{(2)}) \cdot n \\ &= (a'_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)} \cdot m) \otimes a'_{(2)} \psi(a_{(2)}) \cdot n \\ &= \Gamma(a' \otimes (a_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes \psi(a_{(2)}) \cdot n)) \\ &= \Gamma(a' \otimes a \cdot (m \otimes n)). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that Γ is (A', β') -linear. Now we shall verify that Γ is (C, γ) -colinear based on (3.1). For any $a' \in A', m \in M$ and $n \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\Gamma(a' \otimes (m \otimes n))) &= \rho((a'_{(1)} \otimes m) \otimes a'_{(2)} \cdot n) \\ &= (a'_{(1)[0]} \otimes m_{[0]}) \otimes (a'_{(2)[0]} \cdot n_{[0]}) \otimes (a'_{(1)[1]} \otimes m_{[1]}) (a'_{(2)[1]} \cdot n_{[1]}) \\ &\stackrel{(3.1)}{=} (a'_{[0](1)} \otimes m_{[0]}) \otimes (a'_{[0](2)} \cdot n_{[0]}) \otimes a'_{[1]}(m_{[1]}n_{[1]}) \\ &= (\Gamma \otimes \text{id}_C)(a'_{[0]} \otimes (m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]})) \otimes a'_{[1]}(m_{[1]}n_{[1]}) \\ &= (\Gamma \otimes \text{id}_C)\rho(a' \otimes (m \otimes n)). \end{aligned}$$

The inverse of Γ is given by

$$\Psi((a' \otimes m) \otimes n) = \beta'^2(a'_{(1)}) \otimes (m \otimes S(a'_{(2)})\nu^{-2}(n))$$

for all $a' \in A', m \in M$ and $n \in N$. One can check that Ψ is well-defined similarly to Γ . Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\Gamma(a' \otimes (m \otimes n))) &= \Psi((a'_{(1)} \otimes m) \otimes a'_{(2)} \cdot n) \\ &= \beta'^2(a'_{(1)(1)}) \otimes (m \otimes S(a'_{(1)(2)})\nu^{-2}(a'_{(2)} \cdot n)) \\ &= \beta'(a'_{(1)}) \otimes (m \otimes [S(\beta'^{-1}(a'_{(2)(1)}))\beta'^{-1}(a'_{(2)(2)})] \cdot \nu^{-1}(n)) \\ &= a' \otimes a' \otimes (m \otimes n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\Psi((a' \otimes m) \otimes n)) &= \Gamma(\beta'^2(a'_{(1)}) \otimes (m \otimes S(a'_{(2)})\nu^{-2}(n))) \\ &= (\beta'^2(a'_{(1)(1)}) \otimes m) \otimes a'_{(2)} \cdot \beta'^2(a'_{(1)(2)}) \cdot S(a'_{(2)})\nu^{-2}(n) \\ &= (\beta'(a'_{(1)}) \otimes m) \otimes a'_{(2)} \cdot [\beta'(a'_{(2)(1)}) \cdot S(\beta'(a'_{(2)}))] \nu^{-1}(n) \\ &= (a' \otimes m) \otimes n, \end{aligned}$$

as needed. ■

5. Braiding on the category of Doi Hom-Hopf modules. Consider now a map $\mathcal{Q} : C \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes A$, with twisted convolution inverse \mathcal{R} such that $(\beta \otimes \beta)\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}(\gamma \otimes \gamma)$ and $(\beta \otimes \beta)\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\gamma \otimes \gamma)$. This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{Q}^1(c_{(2)} \otimes d_{(2)})_{[1]} \cdot \gamma^{-1}(c_{(1)}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(c_{(2)} \otimes d_{(2)})_{[1]} \cdot \gamma^{-1}(d_{(1)})) \\ (\beta(\mathcal{Q}^2(c_{(2)} \otimes d_{(2)})_{[0]}) \otimes \beta(\mathcal{Q}^1(c_{(2)} \otimes d_{(2)})_{[0]})) = \varepsilon_C(c)1_A \otimes \varepsilon_C(d)1_A, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{R}^2(c_{(2)} \otimes d_{(2)})_{[1]} \cdot \gamma^{-1}(c_{(1)}) \otimes \mathcal{R}^1(c_{(2)} \otimes d_{(2)})_{[1]} \cdot \gamma^{-1}(d_{(1)})) \\ (\beta(\mathcal{R}^2(c_{(2)} \otimes d_{(2)})_{[0]}) \otimes \beta(\mathcal{R}^1(c_{(2)} \otimes d_{(2)})_{[0]})) = \varepsilon_C(c)1_A \otimes \varepsilon_C(d)1_A, \end{aligned}$$

for all $c, d \in C$. Sometimes, we write $\mathcal{Q}(c \otimes d) := \mathcal{Q}^1(c \otimes d) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(c \otimes d)$ for all $c, d \in C$.

Let $(M, \mu), (N, \nu) \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. By Proposition 3.3 we know that $(M \otimes N, \mu \otimes \nu) \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. Define a map

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{M,N} &: M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M, \\ c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) &= \mathcal{Q}(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})(n_{[0]} \otimes m_{[0]}). \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that $c_{M,N}$ is an isomorphism with inverse

$$\begin{aligned} c_{M,N}^{-1} &: N \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes N, \\ c_{M,N}^{-1}(n \otimes m) &= \mathcal{R}(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})(m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]}). \end{aligned}$$

For any $m \in M$ and $n \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & c_{M,N}^{-1} \circ c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) \\
 &= c_{M,N}^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})(n_{[0]} \otimes m_{[0]})) \\
 &= \mathcal{R}((\mathcal{Q}^1(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]}) \cdot n_{[0][1]} \otimes (\mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]}) \cdot m_{[0]})_{[1]}) \\
 &\quad ((\mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]}) \cdot m_{[0]})_{[0]} \otimes (\mathcal{Q}^1(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]}) \cdot n_{[0]})_{[0]}) \\
 &= \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{Q}^1(\gamma(n_{[1](2)}) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)}))_{[1]} \cdot n_{1} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(\gamma(n_{[1](2)}) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)}))_{[1]} \cdot m_{1}) \\
 &\quad (\mathcal{Q}^2(\gamma(n_{[1](2)}) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)}))_{[0]} \cdot \mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^1(\gamma(n_{[1](2)}) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)}))_{[0]} \cdot \nu^{-1}(n_{[0]})) \\
 &= (\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{Q}^1(n_{[1](2)} \otimes m_{[1](2)})_{[1]} \cdot \gamma^{-1}(n_{1}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1](2)} \otimes m_{[1](2)})_{[1]} \cdot \gamma^{-1}(m_{1})) \\
 &\quad (\beta(\mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1](2)} \otimes m_{[1](2)})_{[0]} \otimes \beta(\mathcal{Q}^1(n_{[1](2)} \otimes m_{[1](2)})_{[0]}))) (m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]}) \\
 &= (\varepsilon_C(m_{[1]})1_A \otimes \varepsilon_C(n_{[1]})1_A)(m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]}) = m \otimes n.
 \end{aligned}$$

So $c_{M,N}^{-1} \circ c_{M,N} = \text{id}_{M \otimes N}$. Similarly, we can prove $c_{M,N} \circ c_{M,N}^{-1} = \text{id}_{N \otimes M}$.

Our aim is now to give necessary and sufficient conditions on \mathcal{Q} for $c_{M,N}$ to define a braiding on the monoidal category of Doi Hom-Hopf modules. Recall from [15] that for any $(M, \mu), (N, \nu) \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$, the associativity and unit constraints are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{M,N,P} &: (M \otimes N) \otimes P \rightarrow M \otimes (N \otimes P), \\
 (m \otimes n) \otimes p &\mapsto \mu(m) \otimes (n \otimes \pi^{-1}(p)), \\
 l_M &: k \otimes M \rightarrow M, \quad k \otimes m \mapsto k\mu(m), \\
 r_M &: M \otimes k \rightarrow M, \quad m \otimes k \mapsto k\mu(m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will find conditions under which $c_{M,N}$ is both an (A, β) -module map and a (C, γ) -comodule map, and satisfies the following conditions (for $P \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$):

$$(5.2) \quad a_{N,P,M} \circ c_{M,N \otimes P} \circ a_{M,N,P} = (\text{id}_N \otimes c_{M,P}) \circ a_{N,M,P} \circ (c_{M,N} \otimes \text{id}_P),$$

$$(5.3) \quad a_{N,P,M}^{-1} \circ c_{M \otimes N, P} \circ a_{M,N,P}^{-1} = (c_{M,P} \otimes \text{id}_N) \circ a_{M,P,N}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes c_{N,P}).$$

Recall from [13] that $A \otimes C$ can be made into a Doi Hom-Hopf module as follows: the (A, β) -action and (C, γ) -coaction on $A \otimes C$ are given by the formulas

$$a \cdot (b \otimes c) = \beta^{-1}(a)b \otimes \gamma(c), \quad \rho_{A \otimes C}(b \otimes c) = (b_{[0]} \otimes c_{(1)}) \otimes b_{[1]}c_{(2)},$$

for any $a, b \in A$ and $c \in C$.

To formulate and prove our main result, we need some lemmas:

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $(M, \mu), (N, \nu) \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. Then $c_{M,N}$ is (A, β) -linear if and only if*

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{Q}(a_{(2)[1]} \cdot c \otimes a_{(1)[1]} \cdot d)(a_{(2)[0]} \otimes a_{(1)[0]}) = \Delta(a)\mathcal{Q}(c \otimes d)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $c, d \in C$.

Proof. If $c_{M,N}$ is (A, β) -linear then $a \triangleright c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = c_{M,N}(a \triangleright (m \otimes n))$. We compute the two sides of the equation as follows:

$$a \triangleright c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = (a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}) \mathcal{Q}(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})(n_{[0]} \otimes m_{[0]})$$

and

$$c_{M,N}(a \triangleright (m \otimes n)) = \mathcal{Q}(a_{(2)[1]} \cdot n_{[1]} \otimes a_{(1)[1]} \cdot m_{[1]})(a_{(2)[0]} \cdot n_{[0]} \otimes a_{(1)[0]} \cdot m_{[0]}).$$

Conversely, considering these equations and taking $M = N = A \otimes C$ and $m = 1 \otimes c$ and $n = 1 \otimes d$ for all $c, d \in C$, we get (5.4). ■

Recall from [7] that a *quasitriangular monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra* is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra (H, α) together with an invertible element $R = R^{(1)} \otimes R^{(2)} \in H \otimes H$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(QT1)} \quad & \Delta(R^{(1)}) \otimes R^{(2)} = R^{(1)} \otimes r^{(1)} \otimes R^{(2)} r^{(2)}, \\ \text{(QT2)} \quad & R^1 \otimes \Delta(R^2) = R^1 r^1 \otimes r^2 \otimes R^2, \\ \text{(QT3)} \quad & \varepsilon(R^{(1)}) R^{(2)} = 1_H, R^{(1)} \varepsilon(R^{(2)}) = 1_H, \\ \text{(QT4)} \quad & \Delta^{\text{cop}}(h) R = R \Delta(h), \\ \text{(QT5)} \quad & (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(R) = R, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta^{\text{cop}}(h) = h_{(2)} \otimes h_{(1)}$ for all $h \in H$. Moreover, (H, α) is called *almost cocommutative* if $\Delta^{\text{cop}}(h) R = R \Delta(h)$.

EXAMPLE 5.2. Suppose that $C = k$ and write $R = \mathcal{Q}(1 \otimes 1)$. Then (5.4) takes the form $R \Delta_A^{\text{cop}}(a) = \Delta_A(a) R$, and this means that (A, β) is almost cocommutative.

LEMMA 5.3. Let $(M, \mu), (N, \nu) \in {}_A \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. Then $c_{M,N}$ is (C, γ) -colinear if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5) \quad & \mathcal{Q}(d_{(2)} \otimes c_{(2)})_{[0]} \otimes m_C(\mathcal{Q}(d_{(2)} \otimes c_{(2)})_{[1]}(d_{(1)} \otimes c_{(1)})) \\ & = \mathcal{Q}(d_{(1)} \otimes c_{(1)}) \otimes c_{(2)} d_{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $c, d \in C$.

Proof. If $c_{M,N}$ is (C, γ) -colinear, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{N \otimes M} c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) &= \rho_{N \otimes M}(\mathcal{Q}(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})(n_{[0]} \otimes m_{[0]})) \\ &= \mathcal{Q}(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})_{[0]}(n_{[0][0]} \otimes m_{[0][0]}) \otimes m_C(\mathcal{Q}(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})_{[1]}(n_{[0][1]} \otimes m_{[0][1]})) \\ &= \mathcal{Q}(\gamma^{-1}(n_{[1](2)}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1](2)}))_{[0]}(\nu(n_{[0]}) \otimes \mu(m_{[0]})) \\ & \quad \otimes m_C(\mathcal{Q}(\gamma^{-1}(n_{[1](2)}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1](2)}))_{[1]}(n_{1} \otimes m_{[1](2)})). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{M,N} \otimes \text{id}_C) \rho_{M \otimes N}(m \otimes n) &= \mathcal{Q}(n_{[0][1]} \otimes m_{[0][1]})(n_{[0][0]} \otimes m_{[0][0]}) \otimes (m_{[1]} n_{[1]}) \\ &= \mathcal{Q}(n_{1} \otimes m_{1})(\nu(n_{[0]}) \otimes \mu(m_{[0]})) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1](2)} n_{[1](2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $M = N = A \otimes C$ and take $m = 1 \otimes c$ and $n = 1 \otimes d$ for all $c, d \in C$. Then we can get (5.5). ■

DEFINITION 5.4. A *coquasitriangular monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra* is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra (H, α) together with a bilinear form σ on (H, α) (i.e. $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(H \otimes H, k)$) such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(BR1)} \quad & \sigma(hg, l) = \sigma(h, l_{(2)})\sigma(g, l_{(1)}), \\ \text{(BR2)} \quad & \sigma(h, gl) = \sigma(h_{(1)}, g)\sigma(h_{(2)}, l), \\ \text{(BR3)} \quad & \sigma(h_{(1)}, g_{(1)})g_{(2)}h_{(2)} = h_{(1)}g_{(1)}\sigma(h_{(2)}, g_{(2)}), \\ \text{(BR4)} \quad & \sigma(1_H, h) = \sigma(h, 1_H) = \varepsilon(h), \\ \text{(BR5)} \quad & \sigma(\alpha(h), \alpha(g)) = \sigma(h, g), \end{aligned}$$

for all $h, g, l \in H$. Moreover, (H, α) is called *almost commutative* if

$$\sigma(h_{(1)}, g_{(1)})g_{(2)}h_{(2)} = h_{(1)}g_{(1)}\sigma(h_{(2)}, g_{(2)}).$$

EXAMPLE 5.5. Suppose $A = k$. Then (5.5) takes the form

$$\mathcal{Q}(h_{(1)}, g_{(1)})g_{(2)}h_{(2)} = h_{(1)}g_{(1)}\mathcal{Q}(h_{(2)}, g_{(2)}),$$

and this means that (A, β) is almost commutative.

LEMMA 5.6. Let $(M, \mu), (N, \nu), (P, \pi) \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. Then (5.2) holds if and only if, with $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{Q}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.6) \quad & \mathcal{Q}^1(e \otimes \gamma(d_{(2)})) \otimes (\mathcal{U}^1(\gamma^{-1}(c) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(e \otimes \gamma(d_{(2)}))_{[1]}d_{(1)})) \\ & \otimes \mathcal{U}^2(\gamma^{-2}(c) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(e \otimes c_{(2)})_{[1]}\gamma^{-1}(c_{(1)})) \mathcal{Q}^2(e \otimes \gamma(d_{(2)}))_{[0]} \\ & = \mathcal{Q}^1(e\gamma^{-1}(c) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1]}))_{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^1(e\gamma^{-1}(c) \otimes \gamma(c))_{(2)} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(\gamma^{-1}(e)\gamma^{-2}(c) \otimes d) \end{aligned}$$

for all $c, d, e \in C$.

Proof. If (5.2) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{id}_N \otimes {}_{CM,P}) \circ a_{N,M,P} \circ ({}_{CM,N} \otimes \text{id}_P)((m \otimes n) \otimes p) \\ & = (\text{id}_N \otimes {}_{CM,P}) \circ a_{N,M,P}(\mathcal{Q}^1(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})n_{[0]} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})m_{[0]} \otimes p) \\ & = (\text{id}_N \otimes {}_{CM,P})(\beta(\mathcal{Q}^1(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]}))\nu(n_{[0]}) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})m_{[0]} \otimes \pi^{-1}(p))) \\ & = \beta(\mathcal{Q}^1(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]}))\nu(n_{[0]}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\gamma^{-1}(p_{[1]}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})_{[1]}m_{[0][1]}) \\ & \quad (\pi^{-1}(p_{[0]}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})_{[0]}m_{[0][0]}) \\ & = \beta(\mathcal{Q}^1(n_{[1]} \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)})))\nu(n_{[0]}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\gamma^{-1}(p_{[1]}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]} \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)}))_{[1]}m_{1})) \\ & \quad (\pi^{-1}(p_{[0]}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]} \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)}))_{[0]}\mu^{-1}(m_{[0]})) \\ & = \beta(\mathcal{Q}^1(n_{[1]} \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)})))\nu(n_{[0]}) \otimes (\mathcal{U}^1(\gamma^{-1}(p_{[1]}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]} \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)}))_{[1]}m_{1})) \\ & \quad \pi^{-1}(p_{[0]}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^2(\gamma^{-1}(p_{[1]}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]} \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)}))_{[1]}m_{1})) \\ & \quad \mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]} \otimes \gamma(m_{[1](2)}))_{[0]}m_{[0]}. \end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_{N,P,M} \circ c_{M,N} \circ P \circ a_{M,N,P}((m \otimes n) \otimes p) \\
&= a_{N,P,M} \circ c_{M,N} \circ P(\mu(m) \otimes (n \otimes \pi^{-1}(p))) \\
&= a_{N,P,M}((\Delta_A \otimes \text{id}_A)(\mathcal{Q}(n_{[1]}\gamma^{-1}(p_{[1]}) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1]})))((n_{[0]} \otimes \pi^{-1}(p_{[0]})) \otimes \mu(m_{[0]}))) \\
&= \beta(\mathcal{Q}^1(n_{[1]}\gamma^{-1}(p_{[1]}) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1]}))_{(1)}) \nu(n_{[0]}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^1(n_{[1]}\gamma^{-1}(p_{[1]}) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1]}))_{(2)} \\
&\quad \pi^{-1}(p_{[0]}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}^2(n_{[1]}\gamma^{-1}(p_{[1]}) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1]})))m_{[0]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, take $M = N = P = A \otimes C$ and $m = 1 \otimes d$, $n = 1 \otimes e$, and $p = 1 \otimes c$ for all $c, d, e \in C$. Then we obtain (5.6). ■

The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of Lemma 5.6.

LEMMA 5.7. *Let $(M, \mu), (N, \nu), (P, \pi) \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$. Then (5.3) holds if and only if the following condition is satisfied, with $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{Q}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.7) \quad & \mathcal{U}^1(\mathcal{Q}^1(c_{(2)} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(e))_{[1]}\gamma^{-1}(c_{(1)}) \otimes \gamma^{-2}(d))\mathcal{Q}^1(\gamma(c_{(2)}) \otimes e)_{[0]} \\
& \quad \otimes \mathcal{U}^2(\mathcal{Q}^1(\gamma(c_{(2)}) \otimes e)_{[1]}c_{(1)} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(d)) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(c \otimes e) \\
&= \mathcal{Q}^1(c \otimes \gamma^{-2}(d)\gamma^{-1}(e)) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(\gamma(c) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(d)e)_{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(\gamma(c) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(d)e)_{(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $c, d, e \in C$.

Proof. If (5.3) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (c_{M,P} \otimes \text{id}_N) \circ a_{M,P,N}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes c_{N,P})(m \otimes (n \otimes p)) \\
&= (c_{M,P} \otimes \text{id}_N) \circ a_{M,P,N}^{-1}(m \otimes \mathcal{Q}(p_{[1]} \otimes n_{[1]})(p_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]})) \\
&= (c_{M,P} \otimes \text{id}_N)((\mu^{-1}(m) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^1(p_{[1]} \otimes n_{[1]})p_{[0]}) \otimes \beta(\mathcal{Q}^2(p_{[1]} \otimes n_{[1]}))\nu(n_{[0]})) \\
&= \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{Q}^1(p_{[1]} \otimes n_{[1]})_{[1]}p_{[0][1]} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1]}))(\mathcal{Q}^1(p_{[1]} \otimes n_{[1]})_{[0]}p_{[0][0]} \otimes \mu^{-1}(m_{[0]})) \\
& \quad \otimes \beta(\mathcal{Q}^2(p_{[1]} \otimes n_{[1]}))\nu(n_{[0]}) \\
&= \{\beta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^1(\mathcal{Q}^1(\gamma(p_{[1](2)}) \otimes n_{[1]})_{[1]}p_{1} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1]})))\mathcal{Q}^1(\gamma(p_{[1](2)}) \otimes n_{[1]})_{[0]}\}p_{[0]} \\
& \quad \otimes \mathcal{U}^2(\mathcal{Q}^1(\gamma(p_{[1](2)}) \otimes n_{[1]})_{[1]}p_{1} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1]}))\mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \\
& \quad \otimes \beta(\mathcal{Q}^2(p_{[1]} \otimes n_{[1]}))\nu(n_{[0]})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_{P,M,N}^{-1} \circ c_{M \otimes N, P} \circ a_{M,N,P}^{-1}(m \otimes (n \otimes p)) \\
&= a_{P,M,N}^{-1} \circ c_{M \otimes N, P}((\mu^{-1}(m) \otimes n) \otimes \pi(p)) \\
&= a_{P,M,N}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}(\gamma(p_{[1]}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1]})n_{[1]})(\pi(p_{[0]}) \otimes (\mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \otimes n_{[0]})) \\
&= \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}^1(\gamma(p_{[1]}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1]})n_{[1]})p_{[0]}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^2(\gamma(p_{[1]}) \\
& \quad \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1]})n_{[1]})_{(1)}\mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \otimes \beta(\mathcal{Q}^2(\gamma(p_{[1]}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1]})n_{[1]}))_{(2)}\nu(n_{[0]}).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, take $M = N = P = A \otimes C$ and $m = 1 \otimes d$, $n = 1 \otimes e$, and $p = 1 \otimes c$ for all $c, d, e \in C$. Then we obtain (5.7). ■

Therefore, we can summarize our results as follows.

THEOREM 5.8. *Let (H, A, C) be a monoidal Doi Hom-Hopf datum, and $\mathcal{Q} : C \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes A$ a twisted convolution invertible map. For $(M, \mu), (N, \nu) \in {}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$, the family of maps*

$$c_{M,N} : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M, \quad c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = \mathcal{Q}(n_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1]})(n_{[0]} \otimes m_{[0]}),$$

defines a braiding on the category of Doi Hom-Hopf modules ${}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ if and only if (5.4)–(5.7) are satisfied.

EXAMPLE 5.9. (1) Take $A = k$ and write

$$R = \mathcal{Q}(1_C \otimes 1_C) = \sum R^{(1)} \otimes R^{(2)} = \sum r^{(1)} \otimes r^{(2)}.$$

Then (5.6) and (5.7) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(R^{(1)}) \otimes R^{(2)} &= R^{(1)} \otimes r^{(1)} \otimes r^{(2)} R^{(2)}, \\ R^{(1)} \otimes \Delta(R^{(2)}) &= r^{(1)} R^{(1)} \otimes r^{(2)} \otimes R^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the braiding is

$$c_{M,N} : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M, \quad c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = R^{(2)} \cdot \nu^{-1}(n) \otimes R^{(1)} \cdot \mu^{-1}(m).$$

Assume that R is α -invariant (i.e. $\alpha(R^{(1)}) \otimes \alpha(R^{(2)}) = R^{(1)} \otimes R^{(2)}$). We conclude that the conditions of Theorem 5.8 are satisfied if and only if (C, R^{-1}) is a quasitriangular monoidal Hom-bialgebra.

(2) If $C = k$, then (5.6) and (5.7) take the form

$$\sigma(hg, l) = \sigma(h, l_{(1)})\sigma(g, l_{(2)}), \quad \sigma(h, gl) = \sigma(h_{(1)}, l)\sigma(h_{(2)}, g),$$

and the braiding is

$$c_{M,N} : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M, \quad c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = \sigma(n_{[1]}, m_{[1]})\nu(n_{[0]}) \otimes \mu(m_{[0]}).$$

Assume that σ is α -invariant (i.e. $\sigma(\alpha(h), \alpha(g)) = \sigma(h, g)$ for all $h, g \in H$). Then the conditions of Theorem 5.8 are satisfied if and only if (A, σ) is a coquasitriangular monoidal Hom-bialgebra.

(3) Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. We have seen that the category ${}_H\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H^{\text{op}} \otimes H)^H$ of Doi Hom-Hopf modules and the category ${}_H\mathcal{HYD}^H$ of Hom-Yetter–Drinfeld modules are isomorphic. Recall from [15] that ${}_H\mathcal{HYD}^H$ is a braided category. The braiding is induced by

$$c_{M,N} : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M, \quad m \otimes n \mapsto \nu(n_{[0]}) \otimes n_{[1]}\mu^{-1}(m).$$

The corresponding map \mathcal{Q} is

$$\mathcal{Q} : H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H, \quad h \otimes k \mapsto \eta(\varepsilon(k)) \otimes h.$$

It is straightforward to check that \mathcal{Q} satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.8.

6. The smash product of monoidal Hom-bialgebras and the Drinfeld double. In this section, we introduce the smash product of monoidal Hom-bialgebras and prove that the Drinfeld double is a quasitriangular monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, which generalizes [4].

Let (A, β) be a right (H, α) -Hom comodule algebra, and (B, ζ) a left (H, α) -Hom module coalgebra. Consider the smash product $A \# B$ with the multiplication given by

$$(a \# b)(c \# d) = a\beta(c_{[0]}) \# (\zeta^{-1}(b) \leftarrow c_{[1]})d.$$

Then $A \# B$ is a monoidal Hom algebra with unit $1_A \# 1_B$.

REMARK 6.1. Here the multiplication of a smash product monoidal Hom-algebra is different from the one defined by Ma and Li [16].

If (C, γ) is a faithfully projective left (H, α) -Hom module coalgebra, then (C^*, γ^*) is a right (H, α) -Hom-module algebra. The right (H, α) -action is given by

$$(c^* \leftarrow h, c) = (c^*, h \cdot c).$$

Given $(M, \mu) \in {}_A \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$, we define an $A \# C^*$ -action on (M, μ) as follows:

$$(a \# c^*) \cdot m = \langle c^*, m_{[1]} \rangle a \cdot m_{[0]}.$$

Assume that (A, β) and (B, ζ) are both monoidal Hom-bialgebras, and consider $\Delta_{A\#B}$ and $\varepsilon_{A\#B}$ defined by

$$\Delta_{A\#B}(a \# b) = (a_{(1)} \# b_{(1)}) \otimes (a_{(2)} \# b_{(2)}), \quad \varepsilon_{A\#B}(a \# b) = \varepsilon_A(a)\varepsilon_B(b).$$

PROPOSITION 6.2. *Under the notation introduced above, we have*

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_A(\beta(a_{[0]})) \otimes \Delta_A(\zeta^{-1}(b) \leftarrow a_{[1]}) \\ = \beta(a_{(1)[0]}) \otimes \beta(a_{(2)[0]}) \otimes (\zeta^{-1}(b_{(1)}) \leftarrow a_{1}) \otimes (\zeta^{-1}(b_{(2)}) \leftarrow a_{[1](2)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.2) \quad \varepsilon_A(a_{[0]}) \otimes \varepsilon_B(b \leftarrow a_{[1]}) = \varepsilon_A(a)\varepsilon_B(b),$$

for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, so $A \# B$ is a monoidal Hom-bialgebra. If (A, β) and (B, ζ) are both monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, then $A \# B$ is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras with antipode given by

$$S_{A\#B}(a \# b) = S(\beta(a))_{[0]} \# (S(\zeta^{-1}(b)) \leftarrow S(a)_{[1]}).$$

Proof. We leave it to the reader to show that $\Delta_{A\#B}$ is multiplicative if and only if (6.1) holds, and $\varepsilon_{A\#B}$ is multiplicative if and only if (6.2) holds.

We show that the antipode defined above is convolution invertible. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (a_{(1)} \# b_{(1)})S_{A\#B}(a_{(2)} \# b_{(2)}) \\
 &= (a_{(1)} \# b_{(1)})(S(\beta(a_{(2)}))_{[0]} \otimes (S(\zeta^{-1}(b_{(2)})) \leftarrow S(a_{(2)})_{[1]})) \\
 &= a_{(1)}S(\beta^2(a_{(2)}))_{[0][0]} \\
 &\quad \# (\zeta^{-1}(b_{(1)}) \leftarrow S(\beta(a_{(2)}))_{[0][1]})(S(\zeta^{-1}(b_{(2)})) \leftarrow S(a_{(2)})_{[1]}) \\
 &= a_{(1)}S(\beta(a_{(2)}))_{[0]} \\
 &\quad \# (\zeta^{-1}(b_{(1)}) \leftarrow S(\beta(a_{(2)}))_{1})(S(\zeta^{-1}(b_{(2)})) \leftarrow S(\beta(a_{(2)}))_{[1](2)}) \\
 &= a_{(1)}S(\beta(a_{(2)}))_{[0]} \# (\zeta^{-1}(b_{(1)})S(\zeta^{-1}(b_{(2)}))) \leftarrow S(\beta(a_{(2)}))_{[1]} \\
 &= \varepsilon_A(a)\varepsilon_B(b),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S_{A\#B}(a_{(1)} \# b_{(1)})(a_{(2)} \# b_{(2)}) \\
 &= (S(\beta(a_{(1)}))_{[0]} \otimes (S(\zeta^{-1}(b_{(1)})) \leftarrow S(a_{(1)})_{[1]}))(a_{(2)} \# b_{(2)}) \\
 &= S(\beta(a_{(1)}))_{[0]}\beta(a_{(2)})_{[0]} \# (S(\zeta^{-1}(b_{(1)})) \leftarrow S(a_{(1)})_{[1]}a_{(2)})_{[1]}b_{(2)} \\
 &= \varepsilon_A(a)\varepsilon_B(b),
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

PROPOSITION 6.3. *Let (H, A, C) be a monoidal Doi Hom-Hopf datum. Assume that (C, γ) is faithfully projective as a k -module. Then (A, β) and (C^*, γ^*) satisfy (6.1), (6.2), and ${}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ and the category of $A \# C^*$ -Hom-modules are isomorphic as monoidal categories.*

Proof. Apply the arguments used in [4, p. 94]. The details are left to the reader. ■

Inspired by [7], we have the following example.

EXAMPLE 6.4. Assume that (H, α) is faithfully projective as a k -module. The monoidal Hom-algebra $A \# C^*$ is nothing else than the Drinfeld double $D(H) = H \# H^*$. Then we define multiplication by the formula

$$(h \# f)(k \# g) = h\alpha^2(h_{(2)(1)}) \# \langle \alpha^{*-2}(f), \alpha(h_{(2)(2)}) \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h)) \rangle g.$$

Now let (H, A, C) be a monoidal Doi Hom-Hopf datum, and $\mathcal{Q} : C \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes A$ a twisted convolution invertible map satisfying (5.4)–(5.7). Then \mathcal{Q} induces the map

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} : k \rightarrow (A \# C^*) \otimes (A \# C^*).$$

The braiding on ${}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H)^C$ translates into a braiding on $A \# C^*$ -Hom-modules. This means that $A \# C^*$ is a quasitriangular monoidal Hom-Hopf-algebra. ■

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to the referee and Professor D. Simson for carefully reading the manuscript and for many valuable comments which greatly improved the article. The work is supported by the TianYuan Special Funds of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11426073), the NSF of Jiangsu Province (No. BK2012736), the Fund of Science and Technology Department of Guizhou Province (No. 2014GZ81365), the Chuzhou University Excellent Young Talents Fund Project (No. 2013RC001), and the NSF of Chuzhou University (No. 2014PY08, 2014qd008). The work of S. J. Guo is also supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2015M580508), Program for Science and Technology Innovation Talents of the Education Department of Guizhou Province (No. KY[2015]481) and “125” Science and Technology Grand Project sponsored by the Education Department of Guizhou Province (No. [2012]011).

References

- [1] S. Caenepeel and I. Goyvaerts, *Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras*, Comm. Algebra 39 (2011), 2216–2240.
- [2] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru and S. L. Zhu, *Crossed modules and Doi–Hopf modules*, Israel J. Math. 100 (1997), 221–247.
- [3] S. Caenepeel and S. Raianu, *Induction functors for the Doi–Koppinen unified Hopf modules*, in: Abelian Groups and Modules, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995, 73–94.
- [4] S. Caenepeel, F. Van Oystaeyen and B. Zhou, *Making the category of Doi–Hopf modules into a braided monoidal category*, Algebras Represent. Theory 1 (1998), 75–96.
- [5] Y. Y. Chen, Z. W. Wang and L. Y. Zhang, *Integrals for monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras and their applications*, J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013), 073515, 22 pp.
- [6] Y. Y. Chen, Z. W. Wang and L. Y. Zhang, *The FRT–type theorem for the Hom–Long equation*, Comm. Algebra 41 (2013), 3931–3948.
- [7] Y. Y. Chen, Z. W. Wang and L. Y. Zhang, *Quasitriangular Hom–Hopf algebras*, Colloq. Math. 137 (2014), 67–88.
- [8] Y. Y. Chen and L. Y. Zhang, *The category of Yetter–Drinfeld Hom–modules and the quantum Hom–Yang–Baxter equation*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), 031702, 18 pp.
- [9] Y. Y. Chen and X. Zhou, *Separable and Frobenius monoidal Hom–algebras*, Colloq. Math. 137 (2014), 229–251.
- [10] S. Dăscălescu, C. Năstăsescu and S. Raianu, *Hopf Algebras. An Introduction*, Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math. 235, Dekker, New York, 2001.
- [11] Y. Doi, *Unifying Hopf–modules*, J. Algebra 153 (1992), 373–385.
- [12] S. J. Guo and X. L. Chen, *A Maschke type theorem for relative Hom–Hopf modules*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 64 (2014), 783–799.
- [13] S. J. Guo and X. H. Zhang, *Separable functors for the category of Doi Hom–Hopf modules*, Colloq. Math. 143 (2016), 23–37.
- [14] H. Li and T. Ma, *A construction of Hom–Yetter–Drinfeld category*, Colloq. Math. 137 (2014), 43–65.
- [15] L. Liu and B. L. Shen, *Radford’s biproducts and Yetter–Drinfeld modules for monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), 031701, 16 pp.

- [16] T. Ma, H. Li and T. Yang, *Cobraided Hom-smash product Hopf algebra*, Colloq. Math. 134 (2014), 75–92.
- [17] A. Makhlouf and F. Panaite, *Yetter–Drinfeld modules for Hom-bialgebras*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), 013501, 17 pp.
- [18] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2 (2008), 51–64.
- [19] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, *Hom-Lie admissible Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras*, in: Generalized Lie Theory in Mathematics, Physics and Beyond, Springer, Berlin, 2009, 189–206.
- [20] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras*, J. Algebra Appl. 9 (2010), 553–589.
- [21] D. Radford, *Hopf Algebras*, Ser. Knots and Everything 49, World Sci., 2012.
- [22] D. E. Radford and J. Towber, *Yetter–Drinfeld categories associated to an arbitrary bialgebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 87 (1993), 259–279.
- [23] D. Simson, *Coalgebras of tame comodule type, comodule categories, and a tame-wild dichotomy problem*, in: Representation of Algebras and Related Topics (Tokyo, 2010), A. Skowroński and K. Yamagata (eds.), EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011, 561–660.
- [24] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [25] Z. W. Wang, Y. Y. Chen and L. Y. Zhang, *The antipode and Drinfeld double of Hom-Hopf algebras*, Sci. Sinica Math. 42 (2012), 1079–1093.
- [26] S. X. Wang and S. J. Guo, *Symmetries and the u-condition in Hom-Yetter–Drinfeld categories*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), 081708, 18 pp.
- [27] D. Yau, *Hom-bialgebras and comodule algebras*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 8 (2010), 45–64.
- [28] D. Yau, *The Hom-Yang–Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras*, J. Phys. A. 42 (2010), 165202, 12 pp.

Shuangjian Guo
Department of Mathematics
Zhejiang University
Hangzhou, 310027, P.R. China
and
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Guizhou University of Finance and Economics
Guiyang, 550025, P.R. China
E-mail: shuangjguo@gmail.com

Xiaohui Zhang
School of Mathematical Sciences
Qufu Normal University
Qufu 273165, P.R. China
E-mail: zxhui-000@126.com

Shengxiang Wang (corresponding author)
School of Mathematics and Finance
Chuzhou University
Chuzhou 239000, P.R. China
and
Department of Mathematics
Nanjing University
Nanjing 210093, P.R. China
E-mail: wangsx-math@163.com

