

The minimal operator and the John–Nirenberg theorem for weighted grand Lebesgue spaces

by

LIHUA PENG and YONG JIAO (Changsha)

Abstract. We introduce the minimal operator on weighted grand Lebesgue spaces, discuss some weighted norm inequalities and characterize the conditions under which the inequalities hold. We also prove that the John–Nirenberg inequalities in the framework of weighted grand Lebesgue spaces are valid provided that the weight function belongs to the Muckenhoupt A_p class.

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the minimal inequality and the John–Nirenberg theorem in the framework of weighted grand Lebesgue spaces. For a locally integrable function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define the minimal function of f by

$$mf(x) := \inf_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy,$$

where the infimum is taken over all cubes Q which contain x with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. The minimal operator was introduced by Cruz-Uribe and Neugebauer [CN], who used it to study the fine structure of functions which satisfy the reverse Hölder inequality. Furthermore, they proved the following weighted minimal inequality. Let w be a weight, that is, a positive and integrable function on \mathbb{R}^n . Then w belongs to the Muckenhoupt A_p class if and only if the weighted norm inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \|\log mf\|_{L_{p,w}} \leq C_p \|\log f\|_{L_{p,w}}$$

is true for $p > 1$ and all f such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$ and $\log f$ is in $L_{p,w}$. The constant C_p depends only on the A_p constant of w and p . We refer to [C, CNO, ZL] for more information on the minimal operator.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 42B25; Secondary 46E30.

Key words and phrases: grand Lebesgue spaces, minimal inequalities, minimal operators, A_p -weights, John–Nirenberg inequalities.

Received 10 October 2015; revised 4 December 2015.

Published online 12 January 2016.

To state our main results, we need to describe the grand Lebesgue spaces L_p . Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set with Lebesgue measure $|\Omega| < \infty$. For $1 < p < \infty$, the grand Lebesgue space $L_p(\Omega)$ is defined as the set of all measurable functions f on Ω such that

$$\|f\|_p := \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left(\varepsilon \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |f|^{p-\varepsilon} dx \right)^{1/(p-\varepsilon)} < \infty.$$

Then $(L_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a Banach function space. Such Lebesgue spaces were introduced in 1992 by Iwaniec and Sbordone [IS] in the study of the integrability of the Jacobian under minimal hypotheses. Since then the structural properties of grand Lebesgue spaces have been studied in [CF, DF, F, KMR]. Grand Lebesgue spaces play an important role in PDE theory (see [DSS, FMR, FS, G2, GIS]) and in function space theory (see [CFG, FG, FGJ, K, KM]).

In 2008, Fiorenza, Gupta and Jain [FGJ, Theorem 4.1] investigated the maximal theorem for weighted grand Lebesgue spaces. Then it is natural to ask whether the minimal theorem is also true for them. The first goal of this paper is to extend (1.1) to this case. We consider more general grand Lebesgue spaces $L_{p,\varphi,w}(\Omega)$. The space $L_{p,\varphi,w}(\Omega)$, or simply $L_{p,\varphi,w}$, is called a weighted grand Lebesgue space and defined as the set of all measurable functions on Ω such that

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} := \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |f|^{p-\varepsilon} w dx \right)^{1/(p-\varepsilon)} < \infty,$$

where w is a weight on Ω and $\varphi : (0, p-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a finite non-decreasing function with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t) = 0$. If $w = 1$, then $L_{p,\varphi,1}(\Omega) = L_{p,\varphi}(\Omega)$; when in addition $\varphi(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{1/(p-\varepsilon)}$, the space $L_{p,\varepsilon^{1/(p-\varepsilon)}}(\Omega)$ reduces to the grand Lebesgue space $L_p(\Omega)$. In Section 2, we study the weighted norm inequality for the minimal operator defined on $L_{p,\varphi,w}(\Omega)$. Let $p > 1$ and let w be a weight on Ω . We prove that w belongs to the Muckenhoupt class A_p if and only if there exists a positive constant $C_{p,\varphi,w}$ depending only on p , φ and w such that

$$\|\log mf\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} \|\log f\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}}$$

for every measurable function f satisfying $0 \leq f \leq 1$ and $\log f \in L_{p,\varphi,w}$ (see Theorem 2.5).

We now turn to the second objective of this paper, of discussing the John–Nirenberg inequalities in weighted grand Lebesgue spaces. Recall that a locally integrable function f belongs to BMO_p if

$$\|f\|_{BMO_p} := \sup_Q \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_p}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_p}}$$

is finite, where $f_Q = |Q|^{-1} \int_Q f(x) dx$ and the supremum is taken over all cubes Q contained in Ω . For convenience, we denote BMO_1 by BMO . The

classical John–Nirenberg inequality (see e.g. [G1, Theorem 7.1.6]) says that for any $1 < p < \infty$ we have

$$\text{BMO}_p = \text{BMO}, \quad \text{with equivalent norms.}$$

We now define new BMO spaces corresponding to grand Lebesgue spaces and weighted grand Lebesgue spaces, respectively, by setting

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p),\varphi}} := \sup_Q \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p),\varphi}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p),\varphi}}}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p),\varphi,w}} := \sup_Q \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p),\varphi,w}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p),\varphi,w}}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q contained in Ω . In Section 3, we prove that

$$\text{BMO}_{p),\varphi} = \text{BMO} \quad \text{for every } 1 < p < \infty,$$

with equivalent norms. Furthermore, if w belongs to the Muckenhoupt class A_p , we have

$$\text{BMO}_{p),\varphi,w} = \text{BMO} \quad \text{for every } 1 < p < \infty,$$

with equivalent norms.

2. Weighted minimal inequalities. In this section, we study the minimal inequality on weighted grand Lebesgue spaces. Intuitively, the maximal operator controls the behavior of a function where it is large and the minimal operator controls the behavior of a function f where it is small, and therefore any norm inequality needs to reflect this fact. Similarly to [CN, Theorem 3.4], we obtain the minimal inequality in Theorem 2.5 by replacing f by $\log f$, which is large where f is small.

We first state the formal definition of grand Lebesgue spaces [KMR, Definition 3.1].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a finite measure space, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\varphi : (0, p - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a finite non-decreasing function with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t) = 0$. The (*generalized*) *grand Lebesgue space*, denoted by $L_{p),\varphi} := L_{p),\varphi}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, is the set of all measurable functions for which

$$\|f\|_{L_{p),\varphi} := \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |f|^{p-\varepsilon} dx \right)^{1/(p-\varepsilon)}$$

is finite.

It is well known that $L_{p),\varphi}$ is complete [KMR, Theorem 3.6]. From now on, all of the above conditions will be tacitly assumed whenever we speak of grand Lebesgue spaces.

Let w be a *weight* on Ω , that is, a positive and integrable function on Ω . Recall that the weighted Lebesgue space, denoted by $L_{p,w}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ or simply $L_{p,w}$, is the set of all measurable functions f on Ω for which

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,w}} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p w \, dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

We now define *weighted grand Lebesgue spaces*.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $1 < p < \infty$. The space $L_{(p),\varphi,w} := L_{(p),\varphi,w}(\Omega)$ is the collection of all measurable functions f defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ such that

$$\rho(f) := \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |f|^{p-\varepsilon} w \, dx < \infty.$$

We equip this space with the (quasi-)norm

$$\|f\|_{L_{(p),\varphi,w}} := \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |f|^{p-\varepsilon} w \, dx \right)^{1/(p-\varepsilon)}.$$

It is obvious that the (quasi-)norm has the following equivalent expression:

$$\|f\|_{L_{(p),\varphi,w}} \approx \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}},$$

where the equivalence constant depends only on p . Thus, for convenience, we sometimes write $\|f\|_{L_{(p),\varphi,w}} = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}$.

The following definition is taken from [CN, Definition 1.1].

DEFINITION 2.3. If f is an integrable function, define the *minimal function* of f , mf , by

$$mf(x) = \inf_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| \, dy,$$

where the infimum is taken over all cubes Q which contain x with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

It is immediate that mf is a locally bounded function, and by the Lebesgue differentiation theorem, $mf(x) \leq |f(x)| \leq Mf(x)$ almost everywhere. Here, M is the classical Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator defined by the formula

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| \, dy,$$

where Q runs over all non-degenerate cubes with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and $|Q|$ is the Lebesgue measure of Q .

The Hardy–Littlewood maximal inequality is well known in harmonic analysis. We state it as follows.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $f \in L_p$ and $1 < p \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\|Mf\|_{L_p} \leq C(p')^{1/p} \|f\|_{L_p},$$

where $p' = (p - 1)/p$ is the conjugate index of p .

Let $1 < p < \infty$. In the framework of standard weighted Lebesgue spaces, it is well known that

$$\int_{\Omega} (Mf(x))^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} f(x)^p w(x) dx, \quad f \in L_p,$$

if and only if w satisfies the A_p condition of Muckenhoupt [M]:

$$\sup \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-1/(p-1)} dx \right)^{p-1} =: A_p(w) < \infty.$$

We write by $w \in A_p$ if the weight w satisfies the inequality above.

We now state our main result in this section.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let w be a weight and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then w is in A_p if and only if the weighted-norm inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad \|\log mf\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} \|\log f\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}}$$

holds for all f such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$ and $\log f$ is in $L_{p,\varphi,w}$. The constant $C_{p,\varphi,w}$ depends only on the A_p constant of w , φ and p .

In order to prove the theorem above, we first state a lemma, which was proved in [M, Lemma 5].

LEMMA 2.6. *If $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$ on Ω with $A_p(w) = K$, then there exist constants $\theta > 0$ and $L > 0$ such that $w \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$ on Ω with $A_{p-\varepsilon}(w) \leq L$ for all $0 < \varepsilon < \theta$.*

The lemma below plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 2.5, and also extends [FGJ, Theorem 4.1].

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $w \in A_p$ if and only if there is a constant $C_{p,\varphi,w} > 0$ such that for all bounded functions f ,*

$$\|Mf\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} \|f\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}}.$$

Proof. Let us first assume that $w \in A_p$. By Lemma 2.6, there exists $\theta \in (0, p - 1)$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \theta],$$

for some constant C independent of f and ε .

We now consider $\varepsilon > \theta$. In this case, we let $\varepsilon \in (\theta, p-1)$ be given. Applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}^{p-\varepsilon} &= \int_{\Omega} |Mf|^{p-\varepsilon} w \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |Mf|^{p-\varepsilon} w^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{p-\theta}} w^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{p-\theta}} \, dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} (|Mf|^{p-\varepsilon} w^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{p-\theta}})^{\frac{p-\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{p-\theta}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (w^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{p-\theta}})^{\frac{p-\theta}{\varepsilon-\theta}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{p-\theta}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega} |Mf|^{p-\theta} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{p-\theta}} \left(\int_{\Omega} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{p-\theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

This means

$$\begin{aligned} \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}} &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |Mf|^{p-\theta} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\theta}} \left(\int_{\Omega} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{(p-\theta)(p-\varepsilon)}} \\ &= \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\theta,w}} \left(\int_{\Omega} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{(p-\theta)(p-\varepsilon)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Mf\|_{L_p, \varphi, w} &= \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}} \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < \theta} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}, \sup_{\theta \leq \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < \theta} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}, \sup_{\theta \leq \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\theta,w}} \left(\int_{\Omega} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{(p-\theta)(p-\varepsilon)}} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < \theta} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sup_{\theta \leq \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \varphi(\theta)^{-1} \varphi(\theta) \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\theta,w}} \left(\int_{\Omega} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{(p-\theta)(p-\varepsilon)}} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ 1, \sup_{\theta < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \varphi(\theta)^{-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{(p-\theta)(p-\varepsilon)}} \right\} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq \theta} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$\sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq \theta} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|Mf\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}} \leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < \theta} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}} \leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}.$$

Thus, we get

$$\|Mf\|_{L_p, \varphi, w} \leq \max \left\{ 1, \sup_{\theta < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \varphi(\theta)^{-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{(p-\theta)(p-\varepsilon)}} \right\} \|f\|_{L_p, \varphi, w}.$$

It is not hard to see that $h(t) := \frac{t-\theta}{(p-\theta)(p-t)}$ is an increasing function on

$(\theta, p - 1)$. So it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ 1, \sup_{\theta < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(\theta)^{-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{(p-\theta)(p-\varepsilon)}} \right\} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ 1, \sup_{\theta < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(\theta)^{-1} \sup_{\theta \leq \varepsilon < p-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{(p-\theta)(p-\varepsilon)}} \right\} \\ & \leq \sup_{\theta < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(\theta)^{-1} \left(1 + \int_{\Omega} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1-\theta}{p-\theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\|Mf\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} \|f\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}},$$

where

$$C_{p,\varphi,w} := \sup_{\theta < \varepsilon < p-1} \left(1 + \int_{\Omega} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1-\theta}{p-\theta}} \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(\theta)^{-1} < \infty.$$

To prove the converse, we assume that for all bounded functions f ,

$$(2.3) \quad \|Mf\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} \|f\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}}.$$

Let $Q \subseteq \Omega$ be a non-degenerate cube with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. By the definition of maximal operator, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| \right) \chi_Q \leq M(f\chi_Q).$$

Using (2.3), we obtain

$$\|M(f\chi_Q)\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} \|f\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}}.$$

By Hölder’s inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| \, dx \right) \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} = \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| \, dx \right) \chi_Q \right\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \\ & \leq \|M(f\chi_Q)\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} \|f\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \\ & = C_{p,\varphi,w} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \left(\int_Q |f|^{p-\varepsilon} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \\ & = C_{p,\varphi,w} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \left(\int_Q |f|^{p-\varepsilon} w^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{p}} w^{\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \\ & \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \left(\int_Q (|f|^{p-\varepsilon} w^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{p}})^{\frac{p}{p-\varepsilon}} \, dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_Q (w^{\frac{\varepsilon}{p}})^{\frac{p}{\varepsilon}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-\varepsilon)}} \\ & = C_{p,\varphi,w} \left(\int_Q |f|^p w \, dx \right)^{1/p} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) w(Q)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-\varepsilon)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= C_{p,\varphi,w} \left(\int_Q |f|^p w \, dx \right)^{1/p} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) w(Q)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} w(Q)^{-1/p} \\
 &= C_{p,\varphi,w} w(Q)^{-1/p} \left(\int_Q |f|^p w \, dx \right)^{1/p} \|\chi_Q\|_{L_p,\varphi,w},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $w(Q) = \int_Q w \, dx$. This means that for all bounded functions f ,

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| \, dx \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} w(Q)^{-1/p} \left(\int_Q |f|^p w \, dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Let g be an arbitrary integrable function and let $g_n = g\chi_{\{|g| \leq n\}}$. Then (2.4) implies that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |g_n| \, dx \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} w(Q)^{-1/p} \left(\int_Q |g_n|^p w \, dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get for every integrable function g ,

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |g| \, dx \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} w(Q)^{-1/p} \left(\int_Q |g|^p w \, dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Let now $g = w^{-1/(p-1)}$. Then we have $g^p w = w^{-1/(p-1)}$ and also

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-1/(p-1)} \, dx \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} w(Q)^{-1/p} \left(\int_Q w^{-1/(p-1)} \, dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Thus we get

$$\sup \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \, dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-1/(p-1)} \, dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty.$$

The proof is complete.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.5.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Suppose first that w is in A_p . Let f be as in the hypotheses. Then by Jensen's inequality, at each point x ,

$$\log \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(y) \, dy \right) \geq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \log f(y) \, dy$$

for all cubes Q which contain x . Since $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $0 \leq mf(x) \leq 1$, we have

$$|\log mf(x)| \leq \left| \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \log f(y) \, dy \right| \leq \sup \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\log f(y)| \, dy = M(\log f)(x).$$

Since w is in A_p , by Lemma 2.7, the maximal operator is bounded on $L_{p,\varphi,w}$, that is,

$$\|M(\log f)\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} \|\log f\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}}.$$

Then we get

$$\|\log mf\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \leq \|M(\log f)\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \leq C_{p,\varphi,w} \|\log f\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}}.$$

To prove the converse, suppose w is such that (2.1) holds for all f . Fix $0 < a < 1$. Define $\Phi_a(t) = (\log(1/t))^a$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. A simple calculation shows that Φ_a is decreasing and concave on $(e^{a-1}, 1)$. Now let f be any function on Ω such that $\alpha < f(x) < 1$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then there exists $k > 0$ such that $f(x)^k > e^{a-1}$. Therefore, by Jensen’s inequality, for any cube Q , we have $|Q|^{-1} \int_Q \Phi_a(f(x)^k) dx \leq \Phi_a(|Q|^{-1} \int_Q f(x)^k dx)$, or equivalently,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\log(f(x)^k)|^a dx \right)^{1/a} \leq \left| \log \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(x)^k dx \right) \right|.$$

Fix x and take the supremum over all Q containing x ; this yields

$$M(|\log(f^k)|^a)^{1/a} \leq |\log m(f^k)|.$$

Since the maximal operator and the minimal operator are positive homogeneous, by (2.1) we see that

$$(2.5) \quad \|M(|\log f|^a)^{1/a}\|_{L_p, \varphi, w} \leq C_{p, \varphi, w} \|\log f\|_{L_p, \varphi, w}.$$

However, the constant in (2.4) is independent of a , so we get

$$(2.6) \quad \|M(\log f)\|_{L_p, \varphi, w} \leq C_{p, \varphi, w} \|\log f\|_{L_p, \varphi, w}.$$

Indeed, since $|\log f|$ is a bounded function, it follows that $|\log(f)|^a \rightarrow |\log(f)|$ in L_∞ when $a \rightarrow 1$, and therefore it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 1} \|M(|\log f|^a)^{1/a} - M(\log f)\|_\infty = 0.$$

Noting that $L_\infty \hookrightarrow L_p, \varphi, w$, we get (2.6). Thus, for all bounded functions g we have

$$\|M(g)\|_{L_p, \varphi, w} \leq C_{p, \varphi, w} \|g\|_{L_p, \varphi, w}.$$

By Lemma 2.7, w is in A_p . The proof of the theorem is complete.

3. The new John–Nirenberg theorem. For f an integrable function on Ω , set

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - f_Q| dx,$$

where $f_Q = |Q|^{-1} \int_Q f(x) dx$ and the supremum is taken over all cubes Q in Ω . The function f is called *of bounded mean oscillation* if $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} < \infty$, and $\text{BMO}(\Omega)$ is the set of all integrable functions f on Ω with $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} < \infty$. It is well known that BMO is a linear space; moreover, BMO/\mathbb{C} is a Banach space. Obviously,

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} = \sup_Q \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_1}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_1}}.$$

The classical John–Nirenberg theorem (see e.g. [G1, Theorem 7.1.6]) says that there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any $\alpha > 0$ and all

cubes $Q \subseteq \Omega$,

$$|\{x \in Q : |f(x) - f_Q| > \alpha\}| \leq C_1 \exp\left[-\frac{C_2\alpha}{\|f\|_{\text{BMO}}}\right] |Q|.$$

This statement is equivalent to the following: There exists an absolute constant C such that for all $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$(3.1) \quad \|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq \sup_{Q \subseteq \Omega} \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_p}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_p}} \leq Cp\|f\|_{\text{BMO}}.$$

We define $\text{BMO}_p(\Omega)$ to be the set of all integrable functions f on Ω such that

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_p} = \sup_{Q \subseteq \Omega} \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_p}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_p}}$$

is finite. By (3.1), we get $\text{BMO} = \text{BMO}_p$ with equivalent norms.

We now define new bounded mean oscillation norms on grand Lebesgue spaces and weighted grand Lebesgue spaces.

DEFINITION 3.1. For f an integrable function on Ω , set

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi}} = \sup_Q \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi}}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q in Ω . $\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi}(\Omega)$ is the set of all integrable functions f on Ω with $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi}} < \infty$.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let w be a weight and let f be an integrable function on Ω . Set

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi,w}} = \sup_Q \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q in Ω . $\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi,w}(\Omega)$ is the set of all integrable functions f on Ω with $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi,w}} < \infty$.

When $w \equiv 1$ the space $\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi,1}(\Omega)$ reduces to $\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi}(\Omega)$, and when $\varphi \equiv 1$ the space $\text{BMO}_{p,1,w}(\Omega)$ reduces to the classical weighted bounded mean oscillation space $\text{BMO}_{p,w}(\Omega)$, i.e.,

$$\text{BMO}_{p,w} = \{f \in L_1(\Omega) : \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p,w}} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p,w}} = \sup_{Q \subseteq \Omega} \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,w}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,w}}}.$$

When $p = 1$ the space $\text{BMO}_{1,w}(\Omega)$ reduces to BMO_w .

Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 below are the main results in this section.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \text{BMO}_{p,\varphi} = \text{BMO},$$

with equivalent norms.

Proof. By Hölder’s inequality, we have

$$\frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_1}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_1}} \leq \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_p}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_p}} \quad \text{for any } 1 < p < \infty.$$

Given $1 < p < \infty$, we obtain

$$\frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_1}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_1}} \leq \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}}$$

for any $0 < \varepsilon < p - 1$. Thus

$$\frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_1}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_1}} \cdot \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}} \leq \|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_1}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_1}} &= \frac{\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_1}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_1}} \cdot \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}}{\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}}{\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}} = \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all cubes $Q \subseteq \Omega$, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_1} \leq \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi}}.$$

Conversely, from (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi}}} &= \frac{\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}}{\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left\{ \frac{\varphi(\varepsilon) \|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}}{\varphi(\varepsilon) \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}} \right\} \\ &= \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left\{ \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon}}} \right\} \\ &\leq C \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} (p - \varepsilon) \|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq Cp \|f\|_{\text{BMO}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all cubes $Q \subseteq \Omega$, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi}} \leq Cp \|f\|_{\text{BMO}}.$$

To sum up, we have

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi}} \leq Cp \|f\|_{\text{BMO}}.$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. Then*

$$\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi,w} = \text{BMO},$$

with equivalent norms.

LEMMA 3.5 ([G1]). *If an integrable function $w : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ belongs to A_p , then there exist a $\sigma > 0$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that for any cube $Q \subseteq \Omega$ and all measurable subsets E of Q , we have*

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{w(E)}{w(Q)} \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|} \right)^\sigma.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let us first prove $\text{BMO} \hookrightarrow \text{BMO}_{p,\varphi,w}$. By the John–Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$|\{x \in Q : |f(x) - f_Q| > \alpha\}| \leq C_1 \exp\left[-\frac{C_2\alpha}{\|f\|_{\text{BMO}}}\right] |Q|.$$

According to (3.3), we get

$$w(\{x \in Q : |f(x) - f_Q| > \alpha\}) \leq CC_1^\sigma \exp\left[-\frac{C_2\sigma\alpha}{\|f\|_{\text{BMO}}}\right] w(Q)$$

for some $C > 0$. Thus there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that for any $Q \subseteq \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p,\varphi,w}} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}} \\ & \leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left\{ \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}^{p-\varepsilon}}{w(Q)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \\ & = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left\{ \frac{(p - \varepsilon) \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-\varepsilon-1} w(\{x \in Q : |f(x) - f_Q| > \alpha\}) d\alpha}{w(Q)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \\ & \leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left\{ CC_1^\sigma (p - \varepsilon) \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-\varepsilon-1} \exp\left[-\frac{C_2\sigma\alpha}{\|f\|_{\text{BMO}}}\right] d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \\ & \leq C_3 \|f\|_{\text{BMO}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $w(Q) = \int_Q w(x) dx$.

We now prove $\text{BMO}_{p,\varphi,w} \hookrightarrow \text{BMO}$. By Lemma 2.6, there exists $\theta \in (0, p - 1)$ such that $w \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \theta]$. Applying Hölder’s inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q |f(x) - f_Q| dx &= \int_Q |f(x) - f_Q| w(x)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_Q |f(x) - f_Q|^{p-\varepsilon} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \left(\int_Q w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-\varepsilon-1}{p-\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_Q |f(x) - f_Q|^{p-\varepsilon} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \frac{|Q|}{w(Q)^{1/(p-\varepsilon)}}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_1}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_1}} \cdot \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}} \leq \|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon,w}}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_1}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_1}} &= \frac{\sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq \theta} \varphi(\varepsilon) \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_1}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_1}} \cdot \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon, w}}}{\sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq \theta} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon, w}}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq \theta} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon, w}}}{\sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq \theta} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon, w}}} \\ &\leq C_{p, \theta} \frac{\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon, w}}}{\sup_{\theta \leq \varepsilon < p-1} \frac{\varphi(\theta)}{\varphi(\varepsilon)} \cdot \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon) \|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p-\varepsilon, w}}} \\ &= C_{p, \theta} \sup_{\theta \leq \varepsilon < p-1} \frac{\varphi(\varepsilon)}{\varphi(\theta)} \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p, \varphi, w}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p, \varphi, w}}}. \\ &\leq C_{p, \theta} \frac{\varphi(p-1)}{\varphi(\theta)} \frac{\|(f - f_Q)\chi_Q\|_{L_{p, \varphi, w}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L_{p, \varphi, w}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all cubes $Q \subseteq \Omega$, we get

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C_{p, \theta, \phi} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{p, \varphi, w}},$$

where $\theta \in (0, p - 1)$ is a fixed constant depending only on w . The proof of the theorem is complete.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referee for pointing out a gap in the proof of Theorem 2.5 in the original manuscript. This research is partly supported by NSFC (11471337) Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation (14JJ1004).

References

- [CF] C. Capone and A. Fiorenza, *On small Lebesgue spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. 3 (2005), 73–89.
- [CFG] C. Capone, M. R. Formica and R. Giova, *Grand Lebesgue spaces with respect to measurable functions*, Nonlinear Anal. 85 (2013), 125–131.
- [C] D. Cruz-Uribe, *The minimal operator and the geometric maximal operator in \mathbb{R}^n* , Studia Math. 144 (2001), 1–37.
- [CN] D. Cruz-Uribe and C. J. Neugebauer, *The structure of the reverse Hölder classes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 2941–2960.
- [CNO] D. Cruz-Uribe, C. J. Neugebauer and V. Olesen, *Weighted norm inequalities for a family of one-sided minimal operators*, Illinois J. Math. 41 (1997), 77–92.
- [DF] G. Di Fratta and A. Fiorenza, *A direct approach to the duality of grand and small Lebesgue spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009), 2582–2592.
- [DSS] L. D’Onofrio, C. Sbordone and R. Schiattarella, *Grand Sobolev spaces and their applications in geometric function theory and PDE*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 13 (2013), 309–340.
- [FG] F. Farroni and R. Giova, *The distance to L^∞ in the grand Orlicz spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. 2013, art. ID 658527, 7 pp.

- [F] A. Fiorenza, *Duality and reflexivity in grand Lebesgue spaces*, Collect. Math. 51 (2000), 131–148.
- [FGJ] A. Fiorenza, B. Gupta and P. Jain, *The maximal theorem for weighted grand Lebesgue spaces*, Studia Math. 188 (2008), 123–133.
- [FMR] A. Fiorenza, A. Mercaldo and J. M. Rakotoson, *Regularity and uniqueness results in grand Sobolev spaces for parabolic equations with measure data*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems 8 (2002), 893–906.
- [FS] A. Fiorenza and C. Sbordone, *Existence and uniqueness results for solutions of nonlinear equations with right hand side in L_1* , Studia Math. 127 (1998), 223–231.
- [G1] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis*, Grad. Texts in Math. 250, Springer, 2009.
- [G2] L. Greco, *A remark on the equality $\det Df = \text{Det } Df$* , Differential Integral Equations 6 (1993), 1089–1100.
- [GIS] L. Greco, T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, *Inverting the p -harmonic operator*, Manuscripta Math. 92 (1997), 249–258.
- [IS] T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, *On the integrability of the Jacobian under minimal hypotheses*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 119 (1992), 129–143.
- [K] V. Kokilashvili, *Boundedness criteria for singular integrals in weighted grand Lebesgue spaces*, J. Math. Sci. 170 (2010), 20–33.
- [KM] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, *A note on the boundedness of the Hilbert transform in weighted grand Lebesgue spaces*, Georgian Math. J. 16 (2009), 547–551.
- [KMR] V. Kokilashvili, A. Meskhi and H. Rafeiro, *Grand Bochner–Lebesgue space and its associate space*, J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014), 2125–2136.
- [M] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165 (1972), 207–226.
- [ZL] H. L. Zuo and P. D. Liu, *The minimal operator and weighted inequalities for martingales*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 26 (2006), 31–40.

Lihua Peng, Yong Jiao
 School of Mathematics and Statistics
 Central South University
 410085 Changsha, China
 E-mail: lihuap@csu.edu.cn
 jiaoyong@csu.edu.cn