

Unitary closure and Fourier algebra of a topological group

by

ANTHONY TO-MING LAU (Edmonton) and JEAN LUDWIG (Metz)

Abstract. This is a sequel to our recent work (2012) on the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ of a topological group G . We introduce the unitary closure \overline{G} of G and use it to study the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ of G . We also study operator amenability and fixed point property as well as other related geometric properties for $A(G)$.

1. Introduction. Let G be a topological group, i.e. a group with a Hausdorff topology such that the mappings $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ from G to G and $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ from $G \times G$ to G are continuous. Let $P(G)$ denote the collection of all continuous *positive definite* functions on G , i.e. continuous complex-valued functions φ on G such that for any complex numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and any a_1, \dots, a_n in G , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\lambda_i} \lambda_j \varphi(a_i^{-1} a_j) \geq 0.$$

Let $B(G)$ denote the linear span of $P(G)$. As shown in [La1], $B(G)$ can be identified with the predual of a von Neumann algebra $W^*(G) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$, where ω is a $*$ -homomorphism of G into the group of unitary operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$, the space of bounded linear operators from a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_ω into \mathcal{H}_ω . Furthermore, $B(G)$, with the predual norm of $W^*(G)$, is a commutative Banach algebra called the *Fourier–Stieltjes* algebra of G .

In a recent paper [La-Lu], we study $B(G)$ when G has a host algebra or a group C^* -algebra, the analogue of the group C^* -algebra of a locally compact group G . Our main challenge is that a topological group cannot have a positive regular Borel measure which is left translation invariant unless G is locally compact.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 22A10; Secondary 43A30.

Key words and phrases: topological groups, unitary representations, Fourier–Stieltjes algebra, Fourier algebra, unitary cover and closure, operator amenability, fixed point property, invariant means.

Received 11 November 2015; revised 1 January 2016.

Published online 9 February 2016.

In Section 3, we introduce the unitary cover and the unitary closure of a topological group and establish their universal properties (Theorems 3.3, 3.6 and 3.7). The unitary closure is then used in Section 4 to define the Fourier algebra $A(G)$. When G is locally compact, $A(G)$ was introduced by P. Eymard in his classical paper [Ey]. We study operator amenability of $B(G)$ and $A(G)$ and the weak fixed point property of $A(G)$ for non-expansive mappings.

In Section 5, we study the universal C^* -algebra $C_\Omega^*(G)$ generated by the continuous representations of G and we derive some of its properties.

In Section 6, we study functions in $B(G)$ arising from the set of left invariant means on an amenable topological group and its extreme points. Some open problems are posed in Section 7.

2. Preliminaries and notation. Let A be a subset of a linear space E . Then $\langle A \rangle$ will denote the linear span of A . If E is also normed, then \overline{A} the closure of A and the closed linear span of A will be denoted by \overline{A} and $\overline{\langle A \rangle}$ respectively if the closure is taken with respect to the norm topology, or by \overline{A}^τ and $\overline{\langle A \rangle}^\tau$ if the closure is taken with respect to some other topology τ on E .

The continuous dual of a normed space E will be denoted by E^* . If $x \in E$ and $\varphi \in E^*$, then the value of φ on x will be denoted by $\varphi(x)$ or $\langle \varphi, x \rangle$. Also if $F \subset E^*$, then $\sigma(E, F)$ will denote the locally convex topology on E determined by the seminorms $\{p_\varphi; \varphi \in F\}$, where $p_\varphi(x) := |\varphi(x)|$ for all $x \in E$. If $F = E^*$, then $\sigma(E, E^*)$ is called the *weak topology* of E . The *weak* topology* on E^* is the locally convex topology determined by the seminorms $p_x(\varphi) = |\langle \varphi, x \rangle|$ for $\varphi \in E^*$ and $x \in E$.

If M is a W^* -algebra (i.e. a C^* -algebra with a predual), then M_* will denote the (unique) predual of M . For each $\varphi \in M_*$, write φ^* for the functional in M^* defined by $\varphi^*(y) := \overline{\varphi(y^*)}$ for $y \in M$. Also the *ultra-weak topology* on M (i.e. the $\sigma(M, M_*)$ topology) will often be referred to as the σ -topology.

Let G be a topological group and let $CB(G)$ be the Banach algebra of bounded continuous complex-valued functions on G . For each $a \in G$, define the left and right translation operators l_a, r_a on $CB(G)$ by

$$l_a f(g) := f(ag), \quad r_a f(g) := f(ga),$$

for $g \in G$, and for f in $CB(G)$ define the supremum norm by

$$\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)|; x \in G\}.$$

DEFINITION 2.1. Let G be a topological group. Define the space $WAP(G)$ of *weakly almost periodic functions* to be the set of all $f \in CB(G)$ such that $LO(f) := \{l_a f; a \in G\}$ is relatively compact in the weak topology of $CB(G)$.

It is well known that $WAP(G)$ is a closed and translation invariant $*$ -subalgebra of $CB(G)$. Furthermore, $f \in WAP(G)$ if and only if $RO(f) := \{r_a f; a \in G\}$ is relatively compact in the weak topology of $CB(G)$ (see [B-J-M]).

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $LUC(G)$ be the space of bounded left uniformly continuous functions on G , i.e. all $f \in CB(G)$ such that the map $a \mapsto l_a f$ from G to $(CB(G), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is continuous.

REMARK 2.3. Let G be a topological group. Then $WAP(G) \subset LUC(G)$ (see [M-P-U] for a proof).

The collection $P(G)$ of continuous positive definite functions is a cone in $CB(G)$, closed under conjugation, involution and product.

We denote

$$P(G)_1 := \{\varphi \in P(G); \varphi(e) = 1\}.$$

It is clear that $P(G)_1$ is a convex subset and a subsemigroup of $P(G)$ with pointwise multiplication. When G is a locally compact group, $P(G)$ corresponds to the set of positive linear functionals on $C^*(G)$, the group C^* -algebra of G .

By a *representation* or *unitary representation* (π, \mathcal{H}_π) of a topological group we shall mean a continuous homomorphism of G into the group of unitary operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$, when $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ has the weak operator topology. If (π, \mathcal{H}_π) is a continuous unitary representation of G and $M_\pi := \overline{\langle \pi(a) : a \in G \rangle}^\sigma$ is the W^* -algebra determined by π , then $\pi : G \rightarrow M_\pi$ is a σ -continuous homomorphism of G into the group of unitary elements of M_π , where σ denotes the ultra-weak topology on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$.

A subspace \mathcal{F} of the representation space \mathcal{H}_π is called *G-invariant* if $\pi(g)\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $g \in G$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$.

The representation (π, \mathcal{H}_π) is called *irreducible* if the only closed G -invariant subspaces of \mathcal{H}_π are the two trivial ones.

A unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}_π) is called *cyclic* with cyclic vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ if the subspace spanned by $\{\pi(a)\xi; a \in G\}$ is dense in \mathcal{H}_π . If π is irreducible, then every non-zero vector in \mathcal{H}_π is cyclic.

A *coefficient* of the representation (π, \mathcal{H}_π) is by definition the continuous function

$$c_{\xi, \eta}^\pi(g) := \langle \pi(g)\xi, \eta \rangle, \quad g \in G,$$

where ξ, η are two elements in \mathcal{H}_π . If $\xi = \eta$, we also write c_ξ^π instead of $c_{\xi, \xi}^\pi$.

We say that a continuous positive definite function φ is *pure* if the corresponding representation $(\pi_\varphi, \mathcal{H}_\varphi)$ is irreducible.

The following proposition follows easily from [Li-Ma, Theorem 3.2]:

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let G be a topological group. Then $\varphi \in P(G)_1$ if and only if there exists a cyclic unitary representation $(\pi_\varphi, \mathcal{H}_\varphi)$ with cyclic vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi$ of length 1 such that $\varphi = c_\xi^{\pi_\varphi}$.*

A function $\varphi \in P(G)_1$ is pure if and only if φ is an extremal point in $P(G)_1$. If G is abelian, then every irreducible unitary representation π of G is one-dimensional, i.e. $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a character of G .

Let G be a topological group. Let

$$B(G) := \langle P(G) \rangle.$$

Then it follows readily from Proposition 2.4 that $\varphi \in B(G)$ if and only if there exists a continuous unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}_π) of G and vectors $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ such that $\varphi(a) = \langle \pi(a)\xi, \eta \rangle$ for all $a \in G$. Furthermore, $B(G) \subset WAP(G) \subset LUC(G)$ by Remark 2.3.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let G be a topological group. The group G acts on the Banach space $A := LUC(G)$ of left uniformly continuous functions on G by left translation. This action is strongly continuous. Hence G also acts on the dual space $LUC(G)^*$ and this action is jointly continuous for the weak* topology on bounded subsets of $LUC(G)^*$. We say that a bounded linear functional n of $LUC(G)$ is a *mean* if n is positive and $\langle n, 1 \rangle = 1$. The mean n is called *left invariant* if $\langle n, l_g f \rangle = \langle n, f \rangle$ for all $g \in G$ and $f \in LUC(G)$. We say that G is *amenable* if there exists a left invariant mean on $LUC(G)$. This is equivalent to the existence, for each $f \in LUC(G)$, of a mean n such that $\langle n, l_g f \rangle = \langle n, f \rangle$ for all $g \in G$ (see [Mi2], [Gre] and [La4]).

We denote by $LIM(G)$ the set of left invariant means on $LUC(G)$ (which is convex and weak*-closed).

A topological group G is called *extremely amenable* if for every jointly continuous action of G on a compact Hausdorff set X , there exists a fixed point in X for this action. If G is extremely amenable, then there exists an element in $LIM(G)$ which is multiplicative on $LUC(G)$, since the set of characters $X = \sigma(LUC(G))$ is compact in the weak* topology and G acts jointly continuously on this compact Hausdorff space. Hence it has a fixed point δ . This character δ is then a left invariant mean on $LUC(G)$. The converse is also true (see [Mi3] and [La3]).

Of course extremely amenable groups are amenable. It has been shown in [Gra-La] that the only extremely amenable locally compact group is the trivial one.

By a σ -continuous representation of G in a W^* -algebra M we shall mean a pair (ω, M) such that ω is a homomorphism of G into $M_u := \{x \in M; x^*x = xx^* = 1\}$, the group of unitaries in M , where 1 is the identity of M , and ω is continuous when M has the σ -topology.

Following [La1], we define $\Omega(G)$ to be the collection of all σ -continuous representations $\alpha = (\omega, M)$ of G such that $\overline{\langle \omega(G) \rangle}^\sigma = M$. Then $B(G)$ is precisely the collection of all complex-valued functions φ on G such that $\varphi = \hat{f}(\alpha)$ for some $f \in M_*$ and some $\alpha = (\omega, M) = (\omega_\alpha, M_\alpha)$ in $\Omega(G)$, where $\hat{f}(\alpha)(a) = \langle \omega(a), f \rangle$ for all $a \in G$. For each φ in $B(G)$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\| &:= \|\varphi\|_{B(G)} \\ &:= \inf\{\|\hat{f}(\alpha)\|; f \in M_*, \varphi = \hat{f}(\alpha) \text{ and } \alpha = (\omega, M) \in \Omega(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also let $M_\Omega := \sum \oplus M_{\omega_\alpha}$, the direct sum of the W^* -algebras $M_\alpha := M_{\omega_\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Omega(G)$ (see [Sa, p. 2]). Define a σ -continuous homomorphism of G into M_Ω by $\omega_G(a)(\alpha) := \omega_\alpha(a)$ for each $\alpha = (\omega_\alpha, M_\alpha)$ in $\Omega(G)$. Write

$$W^*(G) := \overline{\langle \omega_G(G) \rangle}^\sigma.$$

Then

$$\|x\| = \sup\{\|x_\alpha\|; \alpha \in \Omega(G)\}$$

for each

$$x = \sum_i \lambda_i \omega_G(a_i) = \left(x_\alpha = \sum_i \lambda_i \omega_\alpha(a_i) \right)_{\alpha \in \Omega(G)} \in \langle \omega_G(G) \rangle.$$

We call ω_G the *universal representation* of G .

The following theorem follows from [La1, Theorems 3.2 and 4.1].

THEOREM 2.6.

- (a) $B(G)$ is a subalgebra of $WAP(G)$ containing the constant functions. Furthermore $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on $B(G)$ and $(B(G), \|\cdot\|)$ is a commutative Banach algebra isomorphic to the predual $W^*(G)_*$ of $W^*(G)$. More specifically, the map $\rho : W^*(G)_* \rightarrow B(G)$ defined by $\rho(f) := \hat{f}$, $f \in W^*(G)_*$, is a linear isometry from $W^*(G)_*$ onto $B(G)$. Furthermore, $\rho(f)$ is positive definite if and only if f is positive.
- (b) If $\alpha = (\omega, M)$ is any σ -continuous representation of G , then there is a w^* -homomorphism h_ω from $W^*(G)$ into M such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\omega_G} & W^*(G) \\ & \searrow \omega & \swarrow h_\omega \\ & & M \end{array}$$

is commutative. Also if $f \in M_*$, then $\hat{f}(\alpha)(a) = \langle h_\omega(\omega_G(a)), f \rangle$ for all $a \in G$.

- (c) If $\varphi \in B(G)$ and $a \in G$, then the functions $l_a\varphi$, $r_a\varphi$, φ^* , $\bar{\varphi}$ are all in $B(G)$ and $\|l_a\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|$, $\|r_a\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|$, $\|\varphi^*\| = \|\varphi\|$, $\|\bar{\varphi}\| = \|\varphi\|$.

The following theorem has been proved in [La-Lu, Theorem 4.2].

THEOREM 2.7. *Let G be a topological group.*

- (i) *The spectrum $\sigma(B(G))$ of the algebra $B(G)$ consists of all the non-zero elements $T \in W^*(G)$ such that*

$$\pi \otimes \rho(T) = \pi(T) \otimes \rho(T) \text{ for all unitary representations } \pi, \rho \text{ of } G.$$

- (ii) *The spectrum $\sigma(B(G))$ is a compact semitopological semigroup contained in $W^*(G)$ with the weak* topology. Moreover if $T \in \sigma(B(G))$, then $T^* \in \sigma(B(G))$.*

EXAMPLE 2.8. Examples of amenable topological groups which are not locally compact include:

- (1) The group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$ of all order preserving self-bijections of the set \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers with the usual order, equipped with the Polish topology of simple convergence on the set \mathbb{Q} viewed as discrete. This group is extremely amenable (see [Pe]).
- (2) Let A be a C^* -algebra with unit and $U(A)$ be its unitary group with the relative weak topology. Then $U(A)$ is amenable if and only if A is nuclear. This is equivalent to the existence of a left invariant mean on the space of right uniformly continuous bounded complex-valued functions on $U(A)$ (see [Pe] and [Pa]).
- (3) In [He-Ch] a topological group which is abelian, metrizable and admits no non-trivial strongly continuous representations is constructed. This group is also extremely amenable.
- (4) It is known that if a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} has property (P) (for example $VN(G)$ of an amenable [IN] group G , see [La-Pa]), then the topological group $(\mathcal{M}_u, \text{sot})$ is the direct product of a compact group and an extremely amenable topological group (see [Gi-Pe]), where sot denotes the strong operator topology on $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ and $VN(G)$ is the von Neumann algebra in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ generated by left translations (see [Ey]).
- (5) $(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_u, \text{sot})$ (\mathcal{H} separable) is extremely amenable (see [Gro-Mi]).

REMARK 2.9. Let G be a topological group. Let $p \in P(G)_1$ and let $N_p := \{g \in G; p(g) = 1\}$. Choose a unitary representation of G with a cyclic vector ξ of length 1 such that $p = c_\xi^\pi$. Then

$$G_p = \{g \in G; \pi(g)\xi = \xi\}$$

is a closed subgroup of G . Let now

$$\begin{aligned} N_G &= \bigcap_{p \in P(G)_1} G_p \\ &= \{g \in G; \pi(g) = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}} \text{ for any unitary representation } (\pi, \mathcal{H}) \text{ of } G\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$B(G) \equiv B(G/N_G)$$

and $B(G/N_G)$ separates the points of G/N_G .

3. The unitary closure group

DEFINITION 3.1. Let G be a topological group. Let

$$\tilde{G} := \sigma(B(G)) \cap W^*(G)_u, \quad \overline{G} := \overline{\omega_G(G)}^{w^*} \cap W^*(G)_u.$$

Here $W^*(G)_u$ denotes the unitary group of the von Neumann algebra $W^*(G)$ of G . We call \tilde{G} the *unitary cover* of G , and \overline{G} the *unitary closure* of G .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *The subset \tilde{G} equipped with the weak* topology is a topological group contained in a compact semitopological semigroup. The canonical mapping ω_G of G into \tilde{G} is continuous. Furthermore \overline{G} is a closed subgroup of \tilde{G} .*

Proof. It is clear that \tilde{G} is a subgroup in the algebra $W^*(G)$ since $\sigma(B(G))$ is a semigroup and the inverse of every unitary element in $\sigma(B(G))$ is also contained in $\sigma(B(G))$ by [La1, Proposition 5.4]. Since the weak* topology and the strong operator topology coincide on the unitary elements of $W^*(G)$, multiplication in \tilde{G} is weak*-continuous and the same is true for taking the inverse. The representations of G being strongly continuous, it follows that the mapping ω_G of G into \tilde{G} is continuous. Since $\overline{\omega_G(G)}^{w^*}$ is also contained in $\sigma(B(G))$, it follows that $\overline{G} = \overline{\omega_G(G)}^{w^*} \cap W^*(G)_u \subset \sigma(B(G)) \cap W^*(G)_u = \tilde{G}$. ■

THEOREM 3.3. *The Banach algebras $B(G)$ and $B(\overline{G})$ are isomorphic. In particular $\overline{\tilde{G}}$ is isomorphic to \overline{G} .*

Proof. Every unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}_π) of G extends to a weak*-continuous representation of the von Neumann algebra $W^*(G)$ and hence to a representation $\overline{\pi}$ of the group $\overline{G} \subset W^*(G)_u$. If on the other hand $(\overline{\pi}, \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\pi}})$ is a continuous representation of \overline{G} , then we obtain a unitary representation $\pi := \overline{\pi} \circ \omega_G$ of G which is continuous and thus π defines a weak*-continuous representation $\tilde{\pi}'$ of $W^*(G)$. The representation $\tilde{\pi}'$ coincides with $\overline{\pi}$ since $\omega(G)$ is weak*-dense in \overline{G} . This shows that $\text{Rep}(G)$ and $\text{Rep}(\overline{G})$ are in bijection and so the mapping $\theta : B(\overline{G}) \rightarrow B(G)$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \omega_G$, is an isometric Banach algebra isomorphism.

To see that $\overline{\tilde{G}} \simeq \overline{G}$, consider the image $G^\omega := \omega_G(G)$ of G in $W^*(G)$ under the universal representation ω_G . Every unitary representation π of G^ω extends to a unitary representation $\overline{\pi}$ of \overline{G} , and every unitary representation $\overline{\pi}$ of \overline{G} is the extension of the restriction $\overline{\pi}|_{G^\omega}$. In particular the universal

representation $\omega_{\overline{G}}$ is unitarily equivalent to the extension $\overline{\omega_G^\omega}$ of the universal representation ω_{G^ω} , and this equivalence identifies $W^*(G^\omega)$ with $W^*(\overline{G})$. In this way the group $\overline{\overline{G}}$ is the intersection of the weak*-closure of \overline{G} , i.e. of $\omega_G(G)$, with $W^*(G)_u$, which means that $\overline{\overline{G}} = \overline{G}$. ■

DEFINITION 3.4. Let $B_c(\tilde{G})$ be the space of the coefficients of unitary representations of \tilde{G} which are restrictions of weak*-continuous representations of $W^*(G)$.

PROPOSITION 3.5. $B_c(\tilde{G})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $B(G)$.

Since $B_c(\tilde{G})$ is left and right \tilde{G} -invariant, we have a central projection $z_{B(G)} \in W^*(\tilde{G})$ such that

$$B_c(\tilde{G}) = z_{B(G)}B(\tilde{G}).$$

THEOREM 3.6. Let G_1, G_2 be topological groups such that the Banach algebras $B(G_1)$ and $B(G_2)$ are isometrically isomorphic. Then \tilde{G}_1 is isomorphic or anti-isomorphic to \tilde{G}_2 .

Proof. It follows from the proof of [La-Lu, Lemma 5.3] that if G_1 and G_2 are topological groups such that $B(G_1)$ and $B(G_2)$ are isometrically isomorphic, then the von Neumann algebras $W^*(G_1)$ and $W^*(G_2)$ are either isomorphic or anti-isomorphic. Furthermore the groups \tilde{G}_1 and \tilde{G}_2 are then isomorphic or anti-isomorphic, since the elements in \tilde{G}_i , $i = 1, 2$, are the characters of the Fourier–Stieltjes algebras contained in the unitary groups of the corresponding W^* -algebras. ■

THEOREM 3.7. Let G be a topological group.

- (1) The group \overline{G} has the following property (*): For any continuous unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of G there is a unique continuous unitary representation $(\overline{\pi}, \mathcal{H})$ of \overline{G} such that $\pi = \overline{\pi} \circ \omega_G$ and $\overline{\pi}(\overline{G}) \subset \overline{\pi(G)^{w^*}}$.
- (2) If G' is another topological group and $\psi : G \rightarrow G'$ is a continuous homomorphism satisfying condition (*) (for ψ), then there is a continuous homomorphism $\omega'_G : G' \rightarrow \overline{G}$ such that for every unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}_π) of G there exists a unique unitary representation (π', \mathcal{H}_π) of G' such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{G} & \xleftarrow{\omega'_G} & G' \\ & \searrow \overline{\pi} & \swarrow \pi' \\ & \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) & \end{array}$$

Proof. (1) is clear by Theorem 3.3. To prove (2), by condition (*), we know that there exists a unique unitary representation $(\omega'_G, \mathcal{H}_{\omega_G})$ of G' such that $\omega_G = \omega'_G \circ \psi$ and $\omega'_G(G') \subset W^*(G)_u \cap \overline{\omega_G(G)^{w^*}} = \overline{G}$. For every

continuous unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}_π) of G , we then have by (*) a unique representation (π', \mathcal{H}_π) of G' such that $\pi = \pi' \circ \psi$ and therefore

$$\pi' \circ \psi = \pi = \bar{\pi} \circ \omega_G = (\bar{\pi} \circ \omega'_G) \circ \psi.$$

The fact that $\overline{\pi(\bar{G})} \subset \overline{\pi(G)}^{w^*}$ and the uniqueness of π' tell us then that $\pi' = \bar{\pi} \circ \omega'_G$. ■

REMARK 3.8. If G is locally compact, then $\tilde{G} = \bar{G} = G$ (see [Wa1] and [Wa2]).

4. The Fourier algebra $A(G)$ and its basic properties. In this section, we shall define the Fourier algebra of a topological group.

4.1. The Fourier algebra of a topological group. Let G be a topological group.

DEFINITION 4.1. We define the ideal $A(G)$ inside $B(G)$ as

$$A(G) := \bigcap_{\delta \in \sigma(B(G)), \delta \notin \tilde{G}} \ker \delta.$$

An F -algebra is a Banach algebra A such that A^* is a W^* -algebra and the identity in A^* is multiplicative on A . In this case the set of positive elements in A^* with norm 1 is a semigroup (see [La2]).

THEOREM 4.2. *Let G be a topological group. Then $A(G)$ is a closed translation invariant ideal in $B(G)$. Furthermore, $A(G)^* = z_A W^*(G)$ for a central projection z_A in $W^*(G)$. In particular $A(G)$ is an F -algebra. If $A(G) \neq \{0\}$, then there is a net (φ_α) in $P_1(G) \cap A(G)$ with $\|\varphi\varphi_\alpha - \varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $\varphi \in P_1(G)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $A(G)$ is translation invariant. Indeed, for $s, t \in G$, $\varphi \in B(G)$, and $\delta \in \sigma(B(G))$, we have

$$\langle \delta, l_s r_t \varphi \rangle = \langle \delta_s \delta \delta_t, \varphi \rangle.$$

Since \tilde{G} is a group inside $\sigma(B(G))$, it follows that if $\delta \notin \tilde{G}$, then $\delta_s \delta \delta_t$ is not in \tilde{G} either. Hence $\langle \delta, l_s r_t \varphi \rangle = 0$ for all $\delta \notin \tilde{G}$ and $s, t \in G$, $\varphi \in A(G)$. This means that $l_s r_t \varphi \in A(G)$ whenever $\varphi \in A(G)$. By [Ta1, p. 123, Theorem 2.7], we now have a central projection $z_A \in W^*(G)$ such that $A(G) = z_A B(G)$. Consequently, $A(G)^* = z_A W^*(G)$, which is a W^* -algebra with identity z_A , which is multiplicative on $A(G)$. In particular $A(G)$ is an F -algebra. The last statement follows from [La2, Theorem 4.6]. ■

We are now ready to prove one of our main results:

THEOREM 4.3. *The ideal $A(G)$ of $B(G)$ is different from $\{0\}$ if and only if there exists a continuous homomorphism $i : G \rightarrow H$ into a locally compact group H such that the canonical homomorphism $i_* : B(H) \rightarrow B(G)$,*

$i_*(\psi) := \psi \circ i$, is an isometric isomorphism. In this case, $\overline{G} = \tilde{G}$, \overline{G} is a locally compact group and $A(G)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $A(\overline{G})$.

Proof. Suppose that $A(G) \neq \{0\}$. Take $\varphi \in A(G)$ such that $\varphi(e) = 1$. Let $1/4 > \varepsilon > 0$ and consider the closed neighborhood

$$\begin{aligned} U = U_\varepsilon &:= \{\delta \in \sigma(B(G)); |\langle \delta, \varphi \rangle - \langle \delta_e, \varphi \rangle| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\delta \in \sigma(B(G)); |\langle \delta, \varphi \rangle - 1| \leq \varepsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

of δ_e in $\sigma(B(G))$. Since every $\delta \in \sigma(B(G)) \setminus \tilde{G}$ vanishes on $A(G)$, we see that $U \subset \tilde{G}$. This shows that \tilde{G} contains the compact neighborhood U of $\delta_e \in \sigma(B(G))$ and therefore \tilde{G} is open in $\sigma(B(G))$ and is a locally compact group. Hence also the closure \overline{G} of $G^\omega = \omega_G(G)$ in \tilde{G} is locally compact and the canonical mapping $i := \omega_G$ of G into \overline{G} is continuous. Every $\varphi \in B(G)$ has a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi}$ to \overline{G} defined by $\overline{\varphi}(\delta) := \langle \delta, \varphi \rangle$ for $\delta \in \overline{G}$, i.e. if $\varphi = c_{\xi, \eta}^\pi$, then for $\delta = \lim_i \delta_{g_i} \in \overline{G}$,

$$\overline{\varphi}(\delta) = \langle \delta, \varphi \rangle = \langle \pi(\delta)\xi, \eta \rangle = \lim_i \langle \pi(g_i)\xi, \eta \rangle.$$

Every element $\overline{\varphi} \in B(\overline{G})$ restricts to a continuous function φ on G :

$$\varphi(g) = \overline{\varphi}(\delta_g) = \overline{\varphi} \circ i(g), \quad g \in G.$$

Hence the mapping $\Theta : B(\overline{G}) \rightarrow B(G)$, $\overline{\varphi} \mapsto i_*(\overline{\varphi}) = \overline{\varphi} \circ i$, is an isometric isomorphism of Banach algebras.

Furthermore, every continuous representation $(\tilde{\pi}, \mathcal{H})$ of \overline{G} is determined by its restriction to G . The representation $\pi = \tilde{\pi} \circ i$ of G is continuous, since the mapping $i : G \rightarrow \overline{G}$, $g \mapsto \delta_g$, is continuous, and for $\overline{G} \ni \delta = \lim_j \delta_{g_j}$,

$$\tilde{\pi}(\delta) = \lim_j \tilde{\pi}(\delta_{g_j}) = \lim_j \pi(g_j) \quad \text{weakly.}$$

Conversely, if π is a continuous representation of G , then for $\delta = \lim_j \delta_{g_j} \in \overline{G}$,

$$\langle \tilde{\pi}(\delta)\xi, \eta \rangle = \lim_j \langle \pi(g_j)\xi, \eta \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi,$$

defines a continuous unitary representation of \overline{G} such that $\pi = \tilde{\pi} \circ \omega_G$. Therefore the W^* -algebras $W^*(G)$ and $W^*(\overline{G})$ also coincide and Θ is isometric. Hence

$$\overline{G} = \sigma(B(\overline{G})) \cap W^*(\overline{G})_u = \sigma(B(G)) \cap W^*(G)_u = \tilde{G}$$

using [Wa1, Theorem 1]. Let $H = \tilde{G}$.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a continuous homomorphism $i : G \rightarrow H$ of G into a locally compact group H such that the canonical mapping $i_* : B(H) \rightarrow B(G)$, $i_*(\overline{\varphi}) := \overline{\varphi} \circ i$, is an isometric isomorphism. Let K be the closure of $i(G)$ in H . Let $R : B(H) \rightarrow B(K)$ be the restriction map and denote by j the mapping $j : G \rightarrow K$, $j(g) := i(g)$, $g \in G$. Then $i_* = j_* \circ R$. Hence $j_* : B(K) \rightarrow B(G)$ is bijective and is thus an isometric

algebra isomorphism. Since H is locally compact, we have $B(K) = C^*(K)^*$ and therefore by [La-Lu, Lemma 5.5], there exists an isometric linear mapping $\Psi : W^*(G) \rightarrow W^*(K)$, which is either an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism, such that $\Psi_* : B(K) \rightarrow B(G)$ is an isometric isomorphism. Hence $\Psi(W^*(G)_u) = W^*(K)_u$ and also

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\tilde{G}) &= \Psi(\sigma(B(G)) \cap W^*(G)_u) = \Psi(\sigma(B(G))) \cap \Psi(W^*(G)_u) \\ &= \sigma(B(K)) \cap W^*(K)_u = K \end{aligned}$$

(by [Wa1, Theorem 1]) since K is locally compact. This shows that \tilde{G} and K are isomorphic or anti-isomorphic as topological groups. In particular $\omega_G(G)$ is dense in \tilde{G} and therefore $\tilde{G} = \overline{G}$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_*^{-1}(A(G)) &= \Psi_*^{-1}\left(B(G) \cap \bigcap_{\delta \in \sigma(B(G)) \setminus \tilde{G}} \ker \delta\right) \\ &= B(K) \cap \bigcap_{\delta \in \sigma(B(K)) \setminus K} \ker \delta = A(K). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $A(G) \neq \{0\}$. ■

COROLLARY 4.4. *For every dense subgroup H of a locally compact group equipped with the relative topology, the algebra $A(H)$ is different from $\{0\}$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.3 and [La-Lu, Proposition 3.5]. ■

THEOREM 4.5. *Let G be a topological group.*

(1) *For every $x \in \tilde{G} \setminus \overline{G}$, the annihilator of the subset*

$$\delta_G x = \{\delta_s x; s \in G\}$$

in $B(G)$ is reduced to $\{0\}$.

(2) *The central element z_A is contained in $\sigma(A(G))$.*

(3) *The algebra $A(G)$ has bounded approximate units if and only if its ideal $I_0(G) = \{\varphi \in A(G); \varphi(e) = 0\}$ has bounded approximate units.*

Proof. (1) Let $x \in \tilde{G} \setminus \overline{G}$ and take $\varphi \in B(G)$ such that $\langle \delta_s \delta_x, \varphi \rangle = 0$ for every $s \in G$. We can describe φ as a coefficient of the cyclic representation (π, \mathcal{H}) with cyclic vector η , i.e. $\varphi(g) = \langle \pi(g)\xi, \eta \rangle$, $g \in G$, for some $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$0 = \langle \delta_s x, \varphi \rangle = \langle \pi(s)\pi(x)\xi, \eta \rangle, \quad s \in G.$$

This implies that $\pi(x)\xi = 0$ and so $\xi = 0$, since $\pi(x)$ is invertible. Hence $\varphi = 0$.

(2) For $\varphi, \psi \in A(G)$, we have $\varphi = z_A \varphi$, $\psi = z_A \psi$, $\varphi \psi = z_A(\varphi \psi)$, and so

$$\langle z_A, \varphi \rangle \langle z_A, \psi \rangle = \varphi(e)\psi(e) = \varphi\psi(e) = \langle z_A, \varphi\psi \rangle.$$

(3) Since $A(G) = A(\overline{G})$, where \overline{G} is a locally compact group, if $A(G) \neq \{0\}$ we can assume that G is locally compact. But then assertion (3) is well known (see [La2, Theorem 4.10]). ■

We now proceed to give an example of a topological group such that $A(G) = \{0\}$.

LEMMA 4.6. *Let G be a topological group containing a closed normal subgroup N such that in $\sigma(B(N))$ there exists an element w which is not invertible in $W^*(N)$ and such that $B(N) = B(G)|_N$. Then $A(G) \cap B(G/N) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. We can consider w to be an element $\tilde{w} \in \sigma(B(G))$, since the restriction mapping $B(G) \rightarrow B(N)$, $\psi \mapsto \psi|_N$, is a surjective continuous homomorphism and so

$$\langle \tilde{w}, \psi \rangle := \langle w, \psi|_N \rangle, \quad \psi \in B(G).$$

Since w is not invertible in $W^*(N)$, its counterpart \tilde{w} is not invertible in $W^*(G)$ either and so $\langle \tilde{w}, A(G) \rangle = \{0\}$, by the definition of $A(G)$. Let now $\varphi \in P(G/N) \cap A(G)$ with $\varphi(e) = 1$. Then $\varphi|_N = 1_N$ and so

$$1 = \langle w, 1_N \rangle = \langle \tilde{w}, \varphi \rangle = 0.$$

This contradiction tells us that $P(G/N) \cap A(G) = \{0\}$. Since every element of $B(G/N) \cap A(G)$ is a finite linear combination of elements of $P(G/N) \cap A(G)$, it follows that $B(G/N) \cap A(G) = \{0\}$. ■

LEMMA 4.7. *Let G be a locally compact group which is not compact. Then $\sigma(B(G))$ contains elements which are not invertible in $W^*(G)$.*

Proof. The algebra $B(G)$ contains a unit element, hence its spectrum $\sigma(B(G))$ is a compact space. We know from [Wa1] that $G \simeq \sigma(B(G)) \cap W^*(G)_r$, where $W^*(G)_r$ denotes the invertible elements in $W^*(G)$. Hence $\sigma(B(G)) \cap W^*(G)_r$ is not compact and so different from $\sigma(B(G))$. ■

THEOREM 4.8. *Let $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ be an infinite family of locally compact, non-compact groups. Then the direct product $G = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} G_\alpha$ is a topological group such that $A(G) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. It is clear that G is a topological group containing the locally compact groups $G_F = \prod_{\alpha \in F} G_\alpha$ (F a finite non-empty subset of \mathcal{A}) as closed subgroups such that $B(G_F) = B(G)|_{G_F}$. Let also $G^{F'} := \prod_{\alpha \notin F} G_\alpha$. The groups G_F and $G/G^{F'}$ are isomorphic and the G_F 's are locally compact but not compact. Hence we know from Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 that $A(G) \cap B(G/G^{F'}) = \{0\}$. Let $\varphi = c_\xi^\pi \in A(G)$. Since φ is continuous, the subset $U_\varepsilon := \{g \in G; \|\pi(g)\xi - \xi\| < \varepsilon\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, is an open neighborhood of e . Hence there exists a finite subset $F' \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $G^{F'} \subset U_\varepsilon$. Then by [La-Lu, Lemma 6.3], there exists a $\delta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ which is $G^{F'}$ -invariant and $\|\delta - \xi\| < \varepsilon$. Since $\varphi \in A(G)$, we have $z_A \varphi = \varphi$, and since z_A is a central projection, we can assume that also $\pi(z_A)\delta = \delta$. Hence $\psi \in A(G)$. Furthermore, for any finite non-empty subset $F \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $F \cap F' = \emptyset$ we have $G_F \subset G^{F'}$ and so

ψ is G_F -invariant. Hence $\psi \in B(G/G_F) \cap A(G) = \{0\}$. Therefore $\delta = 0$ and finally we see that $\xi = 0$ and so $\varphi = 0$. This shows that $A(G) = \{0\}$. ■

4.2. The enveloping von Neumann algebra $W^*(G)$ and operator amenability

DEFINITION 4.9. A Banach algebra which is also an operator space is *completely contractive* if the multiplication map $A \times A \rightarrow A$, $(a, b) \mapsto ab$, is completely contractive.

Given two von Neumann algebras \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} acting on Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} , we have the von Neumann algebra tensor product $\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ generated by the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$. The von Neumann algebras \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{N} and $\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ have unique predual spaces \mathcal{M}_* , \mathcal{N}_* and $(\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N})_*$ respectively. Since von Neumann algebras have a (concrete) operator space structure, so do their duals and their preduals. Hence we may form the operator space tensor product $\mathcal{M}_* \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}_*$. Furthermore $\mathcal{M}_* \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}_*$ and $(\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N})_*$ are completely isometric (see [Ru2]).

A *Hopf-von Neumann algebra* is a pair (\mathcal{M}, Γ^*) , where \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra and Γ^* is a co-multiplication, i.e. a unital, injective weak*-weak*-continuous *-homomorphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$ which is co-associative, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma^*} & \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \\ \Gamma^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{M}} \\ \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \Gamma^*} & \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

is commutative (see [Ta2]). Let (\mathcal{M}, Γ^*) be a Hopf-von Neumann algebra. Since $\Gamma^* : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$ is weak*-continuous, it must be the adjoint of an operator $\Gamma : \mathcal{M}_* \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$. Since Γ^* as *-homomorphism is a complete contraction, so is Γ (see [Ru2]).

Consequently, $\Gamma : \mathcal{M}_* \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$ induces a completely contractive, bilinear map. The commutativity of the diagram above ensures that this bilinear map is an associative multiplication on \mathcal{M}_* . In particular, \mathcal{M}_* equipped with this product is a completely contractive Banach algebra.

For a topological group G , let $W^*(G) = \overline{\langle \omega_G(G) \rangle}^\sigma = B(G)^*$ as in Theorem 2.6, where ω_G denotes the universal representation of G .

Consider the σ -continuous representation of $G \rightarrow W^*(G) \overline{\otimes} W^*(G)$ defined by $x \mapsto \omega_G(x) \otimes \omega_G(x)$ for $x \in G$. By Theorem 2.6, there exists a w^* -homomorphism

$$\Gamma^* : W^*(G) \rightarrow W^*(G) \overline{\otimes} W^*(G)$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \xrightarrow{\omega_G} & W^*(G) \\
& \searrow^{\omega_G \otimes \omega_G} & \swarrow_{\Gamma^*} \\
& & W^*(G) \overline{\otimes} W^*(G)
\end{array}$$

commutes. Clearly, if $\varphi, \psi \in B(G)$, then for $x \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Gamma(\varphi \otimes \psi), \omega_G(x) \rangle &= \langle \varphi \otimes \psi, \Gamma^*(\omega_G(x)) \rangle = \langle \varphi \otimes \psi, \omega_G(x) \otimes \omega_G(x) \rangle \\
&= \varphi(x)\psi(x) = (\varphi\psi)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the bilinear $\Gamma : B(G) \times B(G) \rightarrow B(G)$ agrees with $(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \varphi \cdot \psi$. Hence $B(G)$ is a completely contractive Banach algebra.

THEOREM 4.10. *For any topological group G , $B(G)$ with the operator space structure as the (unique) predual of $W^*(G)$ is a completely contractive Banach algebra.*

REMARK 4.11. Let G be a topological group such that $A(G) \neq \{0\}$. Then by Theorem 4.3 and its proof, there is a locally compact group \overline{G} such that there is an isometric isomorphism from $B(\overline{G})$ onto $B(G)$ and the von Neumann algebras of G and of \overline{G} coincide. Consequently, the operator structures of $B(G)$ and of $B(\overline{G})$ coincide too.

Let $A(G)$ be equipped with the operator space structure from $B(G)$. Then $A(G)$ is also a completely contractive Banach algebra completely isometric to $A(\overline{G})$.

DEFINITION 4.12. A bimodule X over a completely contractive Banach algebra A is called an *operator Banach A -module* if X is also an operator space and the module action $A \times X \rightarrow X$, $(a, x) \mapsto a \cdot x$, is completely bounded.

A completely contractive Banach algebra is called *operator amenable* if for each operator Banach A -module X , each completely bounded derivation $D : A \rightarrow X^*$ is inner; and A is called *operator weakly amenable* if every completely bounded derivation $D : A \rightarrow A^*$ is inner when A is regarded as an operator Banach A -module by left and right multiplication.

REMARK 4.13. Let G be a topological group and let H be a dense subgroup of G . The algebras $LUC(G)$ and $LUC(H)$ are isometrically isomorphic as Banach algebras. Indeed, the restriction map $R : LUC(G) \rightarrow LUC(H)$, $R(\varphi) := \varphi|_H$, $\varphi \in LUC(G)$, is an isometric homomorphism. Since every $\psi \in LUC(H)$ extends to a unique element $\varphi \in LUC(G)$, we see that the mapping R is also surjective.

DEFINITION 4.14. Let G be a topological group and let τ be the weakest topology on G such that all the functions in $B(G)$ are continuous for this

topology. Then τ turns the group G into a topological group G_τ and $B(G) = B(G_\tau)$.

LEMMA 4.15. *Let H be a dense subgroup of the topological group G . Then G is amenable if and only if H is so.*

Proof. If H is amenable, take an H -left invariant mean m on $LUC(H)$. Then the mean \bar{m} defined on $LUC(G)$ by $\bar{m}(\varphi) := m(R(\varphi))$ for $\varphi \in LUC(G)$, where R denotes the restriction map $LUC(G) \rightarrow LUC(H)$, is H -left invariant and so by left uniform continuity also G -left invariant. Hence G is amenable.

Conversely, if G is amenable, then every G -left invariant mean on $LUC(G)$ defines an H -left invariant mean on $LUC(H)$, since every $\psi \in LUC(H)$ extends in a unique way to an element in $LUC(G)$. Hence H is amenable too. ■

THEOREM 4.16. *Let G be a topological group such that $B(G)$ separates the elements of G and $A(G) \neq \{0\}$. Then G_τ is amenable if and only if $A(G)$ is operator amenable.*

Proof. If $A(G)$ is operator amenable, then $A(\overline{G})$ is operator amenable by Theorem 4.3 and Remark 4.11. Hence the locally compact group \overline{G} is amenable by a result of Ruan [Ru1]. Therefore G_τ , which is homeomorphic to $\omega_G(G) \subset \overline{G}$, is also amenable by Lemma 4.15.

Conversely, if G_τ is amenable, then \overline{G} is amenable too by Lemma 4.15, since G_τ and $\omega_G(G)$ are homeomorphic. Again by the result of Ruan, $A(\overline{G})$ is operator amenable. Therefore, since by Theorem 4.3, $A(G) \simeq A(\overline{G})$, we see that $A(G)$ is operator amenable. ■

THEOREM 4.17. *For every topological group G , the algebra $A(G)$ is weakly operator amenable.*

Proof. If $A(G) = \{0\}$, then $A(G)$ is trivially weakly operator amenable. Now if $A(G) \neq \{0\}$, then $A(G) \simeq A(\overline{G})$, where \overline{G} is a locally compact group. Hence $A(\overline{G})$ is weakly operator amenable by [Sp]. So $A(G)$ is weakly operator amenable. ■

An F algebra A is called *left* (resp. *right*) *amenable* if for each two-sided Banach A -module X such that $\varphi \cdot x = \varphi(1)x$ (resp. $x \cdot \varphi = \varphi(1)x$) for all $\varphi \in A$ and $x \in X$, every bounded derivation from A into X^* is inner (see [La2, p. 167]). It was shown in [La2, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.3] that a locally compact group is amenable if and only if the measure algebra $M(G)$ (or the group algebra $L^1(G)$) is left amenable. The following is a consequence of [La2, Example (i), p. 168]:

THEOREM 4.18. *For any topological group G , both F -algebras $B(G)$ and $A(G)$ are left (and right) amenable.*

4.3. Fixed point property for the Fourier algebra. Let E be a Banach space and K a non-empty bounded closed convex subset of E . We say that K has the *fixed point property* (or simply fpp) if every non-expansive mapping $T : K \rightarrow K$ (i.e. $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all x and y in E) has a fixed point. We say that E has the (resp. weak) fixed point property if every bounded closed (resp. weakly compact) convex subset $K \subset E$ has the fixed point property.

It is well known that l^1 has the weak fixed point property (see for instance [Go-Ki] and [Li]), but not the fixed point property. A well known result of Browder (see [Go-Ki]) asserts that if E is uniformly convex, then E has the weak fpp. As shown by Alspach [Al], the Banach space $L^1([0, 1])$ does not have the weak fpp (hence not the fpp). In fact, he exhibited a weakly compact convex subset K of $L^1([0, 1])$ and an isometry $T : K \rightarrow K$ (i.e. $\|Tx - Ty\| = \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in K$) without a fixed point. In particular, the Fourier algebra $A(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq L^1(\Pi)$ does not have the weak fpp. Here $\Pi = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda| = 1\}$ is the circle group with multiplication and \mathbb{Z} is the additive group of the integers. On the other hand, $A(\Pi) \simeq l^1(\mathbb{Z})$ has the weak fpp but not the fpp.

We say that a topological group G is a *[SIN]-group* if the left and right uniformities agree. In the case of a locally compact group this is equivalent to the existence of a basis of the identity e consisting of compact sets V such that $xVx^{-1} = V$ for all $x \in G$ (see [Mil]).

THEOREM 4.19. *Let G be a [SIN]-group. Then $A(G)$ has the weak fixed point property if and only if either $A(G) = \{0\}$, or there exists a continuous embedding $i : G/N_G \rightarrow H$ into a compact group such that $i_* : A(H) \rightarrow A(G/N_G)$, $i_*(\psi) := \psi \circ i$, is an isometric isomorphism.*

Proof. We can assume for the proof that $N_G = \{e\}$. Suppose that $A(G)$ has the weak fixed point property and $A(G) \neq \{e\}$. Then there exists a continuous embedding $i : G \rightarrow H$ into a locally compact group such that $i(G)$ is dense in H and $i_* : C^*(H)^* = B(H) \rightarrow B(G)$ is an isometric isomorphism. Hence by [La-Lu, Lemma 5.3], there exists a linear isometric mapping $\psi : W^*(G) \rightarrow W^*(H)$ which is either an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism such that $\psi_*(A(G)) = A(H)$. In particular $A(H)$ has the weak fixed point property. Now by [La-Lu, Remark 3.4], the restriction map $R : LUC(H) \rightarrow LUC(G)$, $R(\varphi) = \varphi|_G$, is a surjective isometric isomorphism. Since G is a [SIN]-group, we have $LUC(G) = RUC(G)$ and therefore $LUC(H) = RUC(H)$. Hence by [Mil], H is also a [SIN]-group. But then by [La-Le, Corollary 4.2], H must be a compact group.

Conversely, if $A(G) = \{0\}$, then clearly $A(G)$ has the weak fpp. Otherwise there is a continuous embedding $i : G \rightarrow H$ into a compact group such that $i_* : A(H) \rightarrow A(G)$, $i_*(\varphi) = \varphi \circ i$, is an isometric isomor-

phism. By [La-Le], $A(H)$ has the weak fpp. Hence $A(G)$ has the weak fpp as well. ■

REMARK 4.20. If there exists a continuous embedding $i : G/N_G \rightarrow H$ into a compact group such that $i_* : A(H) \rightarrow A(G)$ is an isometric isomorphism, then $A(H) = C^*(H)^*$ and $A(G)$ have the weak* fpp also (i.e. every weak*-compact convex subset of $A(H)$ has a fixed point for non-expansive self-maps, by using again [La-Ma, Theorem 5]). In particular $A(H)$ regarded as the dual of $C^*(H)$ also has the weak* fpp.

Using [La-Le, Theorem 5.7], we can also prove by an argument similar to that for Theorem 4.19:

THEOREM 4.21. *Let G be a topological group. Then $A(G)$ has the fixed point property if and only if G/N_G is finite.*

DEFINITION 4.22. A Banach space E is said to have *UKK (uniform Kadec–Klee property)* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $(x_n)_n$ is a sequence in the unit ball of E converging weakly to x and satisfying $\inf \|x_n - x_m\| > \varepsilon$ then $\|x\| \leq \delta$ (see [Hu]). As is known [Du-Si], if E has UKK, then E has weak fpp.

DEFINITION 4.23. A Banach space E is said to have the *Radon–Nikodym property* (or *RNP*) if each closed convex subset D of E is dentable, i.e. for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $x \in D$ such that $x \notin \overline{\text{co}}(D \setminus B_\varepsilon(x))$, where $B_\varepsilon(x) = \{y \in E; \|x - y\| < \varepsilon\}$ and $\overline{\text{co}}(K)$ is the closed convex hull of a set K in E . It was shown in [L-M-U] that for a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , if its predual \mathcal{M}_* has the *RNP* then \mathcal{M}_* has the fpp.

DEFINITION 4.24. A Banach space E is said to have the *Krein–Milman property* (or *KMP*) if every closed bounded convex subset of E is the closed convex hull of its set of extreme points.

Using [La-Le, Corollary 4.2] (see also [La-Ma] and [L-M-U]) and the arguments of Theorem 4.19, we have

THEOREM 4.25. *Let G be a [SIN]-group. Then $A(G)$ has RNP (resp. KMP, UKK) if and only if either $A(G) = \{0\}$, or there exists a continuous embedding $i : G/N_G \rightarrow H$ into a compact group such that $i_* : A(H) \rightarrow A(G)$ is an isometric isomorphism.*

5. The universal C^* -algebra generated by the continuous representations

DEFINITION 5.1. Let G be a topological group.

- (1) Let $(\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega)$ be a unitary representation of G . Define $C_{\delta, \omega}^*(G) := \overline{\langle \omega(G) \rangle}$, i.e. the C^* -algebra generated by the group $\omega(G)$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$.

- (2) Denote by $(\omega_d, \mathcal{H}_d)$ the universal representation of the group G_d .
(3) Let $C_\Omega^*(G)$ be the completion of the algebra $l^1(G_d)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|a\|_{*,c} := \sup_{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G)} \|\pi(a)\|_{\text{op}}, \quad a \in l^1(G_d),$$

where $\|\pi(a)\|_{\text{op}}$ denotes the operator norm of $\pi(a)$. Then of course $C_\Omega^*(G)$ is isomorphic to $C_{\delta, \omega_G}^*(G)$, an isomorphism being given by the universal representation ω_G .

DEFINITION 5.2. For every continuous unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}_π) of G , we obtain a unitary representation $(\pi', \mathcal{H}_{\pi'})$ of $C_\Omega^*(G)$ defined by

$$\pi' \left(\sum_g c_g \delta_g \right) := \sum_g c_g \pi(g), \quad f = \sum_g c_g \delta_g \in l^1(G_d).$$

On the other hand, every unitary representation $(\pi', \mathcal{H}_{\pi'})$ of $C_\Omega^*(G)$ restricts to a unitary representation $(\bar{\pi}', \mathcal{H}_{\pi'})$ of the group G_d , i.e. the group G equipped with the discrete topology, since G can be considered as being a subgroup of the unitary group of the unital C^* -algebra $C_\Omega^*(G)$. This gives us two injective mappings:

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_\delta &: \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(C_\Omega^*(G)), \quad (\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi) \mapsto (\pi', \mathcal{H}_{\pi'}), \\ \bar{\iota}'_\delta &: \text{Rep}(C_\Omega^*(G)) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G_d), \quad (\pi', \mathcal{H}_{\pi'}) \mapsto (\bar{\pi}', \mathcal{H}'_{\pi'}). \end{aligned}$$

Denote, for a unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}_π) of G_d , its canonical extension to $W^*(G_d)$ by $(\bar{\pi}, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$, i.e. $\pi(g) = \bar{\pi}(\omega_d(g))$ for $g \in G$.

Let $P_\Omega(G) \subset B(G_d)$ be the set of positive linear functionals defined on $C_\Omega^*(G)$ and let $P_{\Omega,1}(G)$ be the elements in $P_\Omega(G)$ of length 1.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *The subset $P_{\Omega,1}(G)$ of $B(G_d)$ is the weak*-closure of the convex subset $P_1(G)$ in $B(G_d)$.*

Proof. By definition of $C_\Omega^*(G)$, the ideal $I_{\text{Rep}(G)} := \bigcap_{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G)} \ker \pi'$ in $C_\Omega^*(G)$ is $\{0\}$. But then every representation ρ' of $C_\Omega^*(G)$ is weakly contained in the set $\{\pi'; \pi \in \text{Rep}(G)\}$, and therefore by [Di, Theorem 3.4.4], every $p' \in P_{\delta,1}(G)$ is a weak*-limit of a net contained in $P_1(G)$. ■

PROPOSITION 5.4. *The C^* -algebra $C_\Omega^*(G)$ is a $B(G)$ -module.*

Proof. Let $a = \sum_g c_g \delta_g$ be a finite sum in $C_\Omega^*(G)$, let $u = c_{\xi, \eta}^\pi \in B(G)$ and let

$$u \cdot a := \sum_g u(g) c_g \delta_g,$$

which is also in $C_\Omega^*(G)$. For any continuous unitary representation $(\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega)$

of G and $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_\omega$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega(u \cdot a)x, y \rangle &= \sum_g u(g)c_g \langle \omega(g)x, y \rangle = \sum_g c_g \langle \pi(g)\xi, \eta \rangle \langle \omega(g)x, y \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_g c_g (\omega \otimes \pi)(g)(x \otimes \xi), y \otimes \eta \right\rangle \\ &= \langle (\omega \otimes \pi)'(a)(x \otimes \xi), y \otimes \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\|\omega(u \cdot a)\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|u\|_{B(G)} \|a\|_{*,c}$. Consequently, the multiplication $B(G) \times C_\Omega^*(G) \rightarrow C_\Omega^*(G)$, $(u, a) \mapsto u \cdot a$, is well defined and the multiplication in $B(G)$ induces a $B(G)$ -module structure on $C_\Omega^*(G)$. ■

DEFINITION 5.5. Let G be a topological group.

(1) For a unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}_π) of G_d let

$$\mathcal{H}_\pi^c := \{\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\pi; \text{ the map } G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\pi, g \mapsto \pi(g)\xi, \text{ is continuous}\}.$$

Then the subspace \mathcal{H}_π^c of \mathcal{H}_π is closed and G_d -invariant. We call an element of \mathcal{H}^c a *continuous vector*.

(2) In particular, the restriction π^c of π to the invariant subspace \mathcal{H}_π^c is continuous and all the associated coefficients $u = c_{\xi, \eta}^\pi$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi^c$, are continuous functions on G , i.e. $u \in B(G)$.

The orthogonal complement $\mathcal{H}_\pi^{c,\perp}$ contains only elements $\xi \neq 0$ for which the mapping $g \mapsto \pi(g)\xi$ is not continuous. We say that $\mathcal{H}_\pi^{c,\perp}$ is the *totally discontinuous part* of π .

REMARK 5.6. (1) Let G be a topological group and let (π, \mathcal{H}_π) be an irreducible unitary representation of the group G_d . Then π is either continuous or totally discontinuous.

(2) For the universal representation $(\omega_d, \mathcal{H}_d) := (\omega_{G_d}, \mathcal{H}_{\omega_{G_d}})$ of G_d , we obtain the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}_d = \mathcal{H}_d^c \oplus \mathcal{H}_d^{c,\perp}.$$

Then \mathcal{H}_d^c is an orthogonal sum $\mathcal{H}_d^c = \sum_{p \in C}^\oplus \mathcal{H}_p$ for a certain subset C of $P(G)_1$. On the other hand, the subspace $\sum_{p \in P(G)_1}^\oplus \mathcal{H}_p$ is contained in \mathcal{H}_d^c . This means that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\omega_G} = \sum_{p \in P(G)_1}^\oplus \mathcal{H}_p = \mathcal{H}_d^c,$$

i.e. the restriction of ω_d to \mathcal{H}_d^c is our universal representation ω_G . Let z^c be the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H}_d onto \mathcal{H}_d^c . Then z^c is central in $W^*(G_d)$.

(3) Note that $B(G)$ is a closed translation invariant subspace of $B(G_d)$. By [Ta1, Theorem 2.7(i), p. 127], $B(G)$ is invariant in $B(G_d)$ as a predual of $W^*(G_d)$, i.e. for all $a \in W^*(G_d)$ and $\varphi \in B(G)$, we have $a \cdot \varphi, \varphi \cdot a \in B(G)$, where $\langle a \cdot \varphi, b \rangle = \langle \varphi, ba \rangle$ and $\langle \varphi \cdot a, b \rangle = \langle \varphi, ab \rangle$ for all $b \in W^*(G)$. So, by

[Ta1, Theorem 2.7(iii), p. 127], there is a central projection $z \in W^*(G_d)$ such that

$$zB(G_d) = B(G).$$

Hence the polar of $zB(G_d)$,

$$(zB(G_d))^0 = \{a \in W^*(G_d); \langle a, \varphi \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in B(G)\}$$

is the ideal $(1 - z)W^*(G)$ of $W^*(G_d)$ and $zW^*(G_d) \simeq W^*(G)$. We write $z = z_{B(G)}$.

PROPOSITION 5.7. *Let (π, \mathcal{H}_π) be a unitary representation of the group G_d . Then $\pi(z_{B(G)})$ is the orthogonal projection onto the subspace \mathcal{H}_π^c . In particular*

$$z^c = \omega_d(z_{B(G)}).$$

Proof. Take $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi^c$. Then the function $u = c_{\xi, \eta}^\pi$ of G_d is in $B(G)$. Hence $z_{B(G)}u = u$ and so for every $g \in G$,

$$\langle \pi(g)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \pi(g)\pi(z_{B(G)})\xi, \eta \rangle.$$

Thus $\pi(z_{B(G)})\xi = \xi$.

If now $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\pi^{c\perp}$, then for any $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ and $u = c_{\xi, \eta}^\pi$ we have $z_{B(G)}u \in B(G)$, hence $z_{B(G)}u$ is a continuous function on G . Therefore the function $G_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)\pi(z_{B(G)})\xi, \eta \rangle$, is continuous for every $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$. Hence the vector $\pi(z_{B(G)})\xi$ is weakly continuous and so also continuous. This shows that $\pi(z_{B(G)})\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\pi^c \cap \mathcal{H}_\pi^{c\perp} = \{0\}$. Hence $\pi(z_{B(G)})(\mathcal{H}_\pi^{c\perp}) = \{0\}$ and $\pi(z_{B(G)})$ is the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{H}_π^c .

In particular, for the universal representation ω_{G_d} , we get

$$\omega_{G_d}(z_{B(G)}) = \text{orthogonal projection onto } \mathcal{H}_d^c = z^c. \blacksquare$$

DEFINITION 5.8. We denote

$$\text{Rep}(C_\Omega^*(G))^c := \{(\pi', \mathcal{H}_{\pi'}) \in \text{Rep}(C_\Omega^*(G)); \overline{\pi'}(z_{B(G)}) = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\pi'}}\}.$$

THEOREM 5.9. *The mapping*

$$\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(C_\Omega^*(G))^c, \quad (\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi) \mapsto (\pi', \mathcal{H}_{\pi'}),$$

is a bijection between the space $\text{Rep}(G)$ of continuous unitary representations of the topological group G and the subspace $\text{Rep}(C_\Omega^(G))^c$ of the space $\text{Rep}(C_\Omega^*(G))$ of unitary representations of $C_\Omega^*(G)$.*

Proof. Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi) \in \text{Rep}(G)$. Then π defines a representation π' of $C_\Omega^*(G)$, and also a representation $(\bar{\pi}, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$ of the von Neumann algebra $W^*(G_d)$. But then $\bar{\pi}(z_{B(G)}) = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\pi}$, since $\mathcal{H}_\pi = \mathcal{H}_\pi^c$ by Proposition 5.7.

If on the other hand $(\pi', \mathcal{H}_{\pi'})$ is a cyclic unitary representation of $C_\Omega^*(G)$ such that $\bar{\pi}(z_{B(G)}) = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_{\pi'}}$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\pi'} = \mathcal{H}_{\pi'}^c$ by Proposition 5.7 and $\pi := \bar{\pi}|_G$ is a continuous representation of G . Therefore π' is the extension of π to $C_\Omega^*(G)$. \blacksquare

DEFINITION 5.10 (see [La-Lu]). Let G be a topological group. We say that G has a group C^* -algebra if there exists a C^* -algebra A and a linear isometric isomorphism $\Phi : A^* \rightarrow B(G)$ such that multiplication in $B(G)$ induces a $B(G)$ -module structure on A . This means the following: for every $a \in A$ and $\varphi \in B(G)$, we have an element $\varphi \cdot a \in A^{**}$ defined by

$$\langle \varphi \cdot a, \delta \rangle := \langle \Phi^{-1}(\varphi\Phi(\delta)), a \rangle, \quad \delta \in A^*.$$

We demand now that $\varphi \cdot a \in A$ for every $a \in A$ and $\varphi \in B(G)$. In particular then

$$\|\varphi \cdot a\| \leq \|a\| \|\varphi\|.$$

PROPOSITION 5.11. *Let G be a topological group. Then $C_{\Omega}^*(G)$ is a group C^* -algebra of G if and only if $B_{\Omega}(G) = B(G)$.*

Proof. We already know that $C_{\Omega}^*(G)$ is a $B(G)$ -module by Proposition 5.4 and that $B(G) \subset B_{\delta}(G)$. Hence $C_{\Omega}^*(G)$ is a group C^* -algebra of G if and only if the canonical injection $B(G) \rightarrow B_{\Omega}(G)$ is a bijection. ■

REMARK 5.12. Let G be a topological group. Suppose G admits a group C^* -algebra A . Let $\Phi : A^* \rightarrow B(G)$ be a linear isometric isomorphism. Then, according to [La-Lu, Lemma 5.2], the adjoint map Φ^t is an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism of $W^*(G)$ onto the von Neumann algebra \tilde{A} of A and Φ maps positive linear functionals on A to continuous positive definite functions on G . In particular the irreducible representations of A correspond to irreducible continuous representations of G . This shows that the topological group mentioned in [Gl], i.e. the group $L^0(X, \mu; \Pi)$ of all measurable maps from a standard Lebesgue measure space X into the circle rotation group with pointwise multiplication and the $L^1(\mu)$ -norm, which admits a faithful unitary representation by multiplication operators, yet no non-trivial unitary irreducible representations, cannot have a group C^* -algebra.

6. Functions in $B(G)$ arising from invariant means. In this section we study functions in $B(G)$ arising from the set of left invariant means on an amenable topological group and its extreme points.

The following lemma has been proved in [Hu]. We present another proof for completeness.

LEMMA 6.1. *Let G be a topological group. Suppose that G contains an increasing net $(G_i)_{i \in I}$ of closed amenable locally compact subgroups such that $G_0 = \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i$ is dense in G . Then G is amenable.*

Proof. Choose for every $i \in I$ a left invariant mean m_i on the space $CB(G_i)$. It defines a mean n_i on $LUC(G)$ by

$$n_i(\varphi) := m_i(\varphi|_{G_i}), \quad \varphi \in LUC(G).$$

The mean n_i is left G_i -invariant. Let n be a cluster point in $(LUC(G))^*$ of the net $(n_i)_i$. Then n is a *LIM* on $LUC(G)$. Indeed, there exists a subnet $(n'_j := n_{i_j})_j$ of $(n_i)_i$ such that $n = \lim_j n'_j$. Let $x_i \in G_i$, $i \in I$. There exists an index j such that $i_j \geq i$ and therefore $x_i \in G_{i_k}$ for every $k \geq j$. Hence the means n'_k , $k > j$, are x_i -invariant. Consequently, n is G_0 -invariant. Since G acts strongly on $LUC(G)$, n must be in $LIM(G)$, since G_0 is dense in G . ■

DEFINITION 6.2. Let G be an amenable topological group and let $n \in LIM(G)$. We define an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n$ on $LUC(G)$ by letting

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_n := n(\varphi\bar{\psi}), \quad \varphi, \psi \in LUC(G).$$

Let $I_n := \{\varphi \in LUC(G); n(|\varphi|^2) = 0\}$. Then the quotient space $LUC(G)/I_n$ is a pre-Hilbert space with $\langle [\varphi], [\psi] \rangle_n := n(\varphi\bar{\psi})$, where $[\varphi]$ denotes the equivalence class of φ in $LUC(G)/I_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$, with \mathcal{H}_n denoting the completion of $LUC(G)/I_n$.

For $\varphi \in LUC(G)$, we can define a bounded operator $\pi_n(\varphi)$ on \mathcal{H}_n by

$$\pi_n(\varphi)[\psi] := [\varphi\psi], \quad \psi \in LUC(G).$$

Then of course $\|\pi_n(\varphi)[\psi]\|^2 = n(|\varphi\psi|^2) \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty^2 n(|\psi|^2) = \|\varphi\|_\infty^2 \|\psi\|^2$. Hence $\|\pi_n(\varphi)\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$.

We can extend the mean n to a bounded linear functional \tilde{n} on \mathcal{H}_n . It suffices to remark that $n(\varphi) = \langle [\varphi], [1] \rangle_n$. Hence if we take $\tilde{n}(\xi) := \langle \xi, [1] \rangle_n$, we have such an extension, which has the property that $|\tilde{n}(\xi)| \leq \|\xi\|$, by Cauchy's inequality.

We can also define a unitary representation π_n of the group G on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_n by setting

$$\pi_n(g)[\psi] := [l_{g^{-1}}\psi], \quad g \in G, \psi \in LUC(G).$$

Since n is a left invariant mean, the action of $g \in G$ on \mathcal{H}_n is isometric, and because G acts strongly continuously on $LUC(G)$, the representation π_n of G is strongly continuous.

Since $B(G)$ is contained in $LUC(G)$, we have:

LEMMA 6.3. *The function $G \ni g \mapsto n(l_{g^{-1}}\varphi\psi) =: h(g)$ ($\varphi, \psi \in LUC(G)$) is in $LUC(G)$.*

THEOREM 6.4. *Let G be an amenable topological group and let $n \in LIM(G)$. Let $\pi := \pi_n$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The mean n is an extreme point in $LIM(G)$.*
- (2) *For each $m \in LIM(G)$ and all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$, we have $m(c_{\xi, \eta}^\pi) = \tilde{n}(\xi)\overline{\tilde{n}(\eta)}$.*
- (3) *For each $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$, $\tilde{n}(\xi)[1] \in \overline{\text{co}} \|\{\pi(x)\xi; x \in G\}$.*

(4) For each $\varphi \in LUC(G)$, there exists a sequence

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k}) \right)_k$$

of convex combinations of operators $\pi(x)$, $x \in G$, such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k}) \varphi \right\|_n^2 \rightarrow |n(\varphi)|^2.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\psi \in LUC(G)$ and $m \in LIM(G)$. For $\varphi \in LUC(G)$, define $\varphi^n \in LUC(G)$ by

$$\varphi^n(x) := n((l_x \varphi) \psi) = \langle \pi(x^{-1})[\varphi], [\bar{\psi}] \rangle = \overline{\langle \pi(x)[\bar{\psi}], [\varphi] \rangle}, \quad x \in G.$$

Then for $x, y \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (l_y \varphi)^n(x) &= n((l_x(l_y \varphi)) \psi) \\ &= n((l_{yx} \varphi) \psi) = \varphi^n(yx) = l_y(\varphi^n)(x), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $(l_y \varphi)^n = l_y(\varphi^n)$. Let

$$\theta(\varphi) := m(\varphi^n) - n(\varphi)n(\psi).$$

Since m and n are left invariant, $\theta(l_y \varphi) = \theta(\varphi)$ for every $y \in G$. Also $n + \theta$ and $n - \theta$ are in $LIM(G)$, since both are G -invariant and for $\varphi \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n + \theta)(\varphi) &= n(\varphi) + m(\varphi^n) - n(\varphi)n(\psi) \\ &= n(\varphi)(1 - n(\psi)) + m(\varphi^n) \geq 0, \\ (n - \theta)(\varphi) &= n(\varphi) - m(\varphi^n) + n(\varphi)n(\psi) \\ &\geq n(\varphi)n(\psi) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} (n + \theta)(1) &= n(1) + m(n(1\psi)1) - n(1)n(\psi) = n(1) = 1, \\ (n - \theta)(1) &= n(1) - m(n(1\psi)1) + n(1)n(\psi) = n(1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

But n is extreme. It follows that $\theta = 0$, i.e. (2) holds for all $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$. Consequently, (2) must hold for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let $(n_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} \lambda_{i,\alpha} \delta_{x_{i,\alpha}})_\alpha$ be a net of convex combinations of point evaluations such that $\langle n_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \langle n, \varphi \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in LUC(G)$. So for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$, the function $G \ni y \mapsto \langle \pi(y)\xi, \eta \rangle_n =: c_{\xi,\eta}(y)$ being a coefficient of a unitary representation of G , we have

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} \lambda_{i,\alpha} \pi(x_{i,\alpha}) \xi, \eta \right\rangle_n = \langle n_\alpha, c_{\xi,\eta} \rangle \rightarrow n(c_{\xi,\eta}) = \tilde{n}(\xi) \tilde{n}(\eta) = \langle \tilde{n}(\xi)[1], \eta \rangle$$

by (2). Hence the vector $\tilde{n}(\xi)[1]$ is in the weak closed convex hull of $\{\pi(x)\xi; x \in G\}$, hence also in the norm closed convex hull of this set.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). If (3) holds, then for $\varphi \in LUC(G)$, we can find a sequence $(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k}))_k$ of convex linear combinations such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k})[\varphi] - \tilde{n}([\varphi])[1] \right\|_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k})[\varphi] - \tilde{n}([\varphi])[1] \right\|_n^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k})[\varphi] \right\|_n^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\bar{n}(\varphi) \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k})[\varphi], [1] \right\rangle_n \right) + |n(\varphi)|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k})[\varphi] \right\|_n^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{n}(\varphi)n(\varphi)) + |n(\varphi)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we see that $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k})[\varphi] \right\|_n^2 - |n(\varphi)|^2 \rightarrow 0$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that n is not extreme. Then there exist two means n_1, n_2 in $LIM(G)$ such that $n \neq n_1$ and $n = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2)$. Let $\varphi \in LUC(G)$. There exists a sequence of convex linear combinations $\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k})$ such that for $\varphi_k := \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k})\varphi$, we have $\|\varphi_k\| \rightarrow |n(\varphi)|$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} |n_1(\varphi)|^2 &= \left| n_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{i,k} \pi(x_{i,k})\varphi \right) \right|^2 = |n_1(\varphi_k)|^2 \\ &\leq n_1(1)n_1(|\varphi_k|^2) \leq 2n(|\varphi_k|^2) \rightarrow 2|n(\varphi)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $n(\varphi) = 0$ implies $n_1(\varphi) = 0$. Therefore $n_1 = cn$ for some complex number c . But since $n_1(1) = 1 = n(1)$, necessarily $n = n_1$, a contradiction. ■

COROLLARY 6.5. *Suppose that the topological group G is extremely amenable. Then there exists a net $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ in G such that for every extreme point $n \in LIM(G)$,*

$$n((l_{x_\alpha} \varphi)\psi) \rightarrow n(\varphi)n(\psi), \quad \varphi, \psi \in LUC(G).$$

Proof. Since G is extremely amenable, there exists a net $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ in G such that the point evaluations $\delta_{x_\alpha^{-1}}$ converge pointwise to a multiplicative LIM m on $LUC(G)$.

Hence for every $\varphi, \psi \in LUC(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \quad n((l_{x_\alpha} \varphi)\psi) &= c_{[\varphi], [\psi]}^{\pi_n}(x_\alpha^{-1}) = \langle \delta_{x_\alpha^{-1}}, c_{[\varphi], [\psi]}^{\pi_n} \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \langle m, c_{[\varphi], [\psi]}^{\pi_n} \rangle = n(\varphi)n(\psi) \end{aligned}$$

by condition (2) in Theorem 6.4. ■

REMARK 6.6. Condition (3) of the preceding theorem is an analogue of the following result (due to [C-N-P] for discrete semigroups). Let S be

a semitopological semigroup. Then $LUC(S)$ has a LIM if and only if for any $f \in LUC(S)$, the pointwise closure $\overline{\text{co}}^P\{r_s f; s \in S\}$ of the convex hull of the right orbit of f contains a constant function $\lambda 1$. Furthermore, if $\lambda 1 \in \overline{\text{co}}^P\{r_s f; s \in S\}$, then there exists a LIM on $LUC(G)$ such that $m(f) = \lambda$ (see [Mi1] and [La4]).

In order to combine these two actions, we form the cross-product

$$C_n(G) := G \rtimes LUC(G) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n),$$

which is the uniform closure of the set of operators of the form $\sum_j \pi_n(g_j) \circ \pi_n(\varphi_j)$, and we obtain a bounded representation σ_n of the algebra $C_n(G)$ on \mathcal{H}_n .

PROPOSITION 6.7. *Let G be an amenable topological group. Let n be an extremal point in $LIM(G)$. Then the representation π_n of the algebra $C_n(G)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_n \setminus \{0\}$. We must show that ξ is cyclic. Let V be the $C_n(G)$ -invariant subspace generated by ξ and suppose that $V \neq \mathcal{H}_n$. Choose a vector η in \mathcal{H}_n orthogonal to V . Then

$$\langle \pi_n(a)\eta, \pi_n(g)\pi_n(b)\xi \rangle_n = 0, \quad a, b \in LUC(G), g \in G.$$

Let $c_{\pi_n(b)\xi, \pi_n(a)\eta}^{\pi_n}$ be the coefficient of π_n associated to the vectors $\pi_n(b)\xi$, $\pi_n(a)\eta$. By Theorem 6.4,

$$\tilde{n}(\pi_n(b)\xi)\overline{\tilde{n}(\pi_n(a)\eta)} = n(c_{\pi_n(b)\xi, \pi_n(a)\eta}^{\pi_n}) = n(0) = 0.$$

Hence $\tilde{n}(\pi_n(b)\xi)\tilde{n}(\pi_n(a)\eta) = 0$ for all $a, b \in LUC(G)$. Since $\xi \neq 0$, we have $\tilde{n}(\pi_n(a)\eta) = 0$ for every a and so $\eta = 0$. Hence π_n is an irreducible representation of the algebra $C_n(G)$. ■

7. Remarks and open problems. (1) For G locally compact, let ρ be the left regular representation of G and let $C_{\delta, \rho}^*(G) = \langle \rho(G) \rangle \subset W_\rho^*(G)$. Then we have a canonical surjection

$$C_{\delta, \rho}^*(G) \rightarrow C_\rho^*(G_d),$$

and by [B-K-L-S] the following relations hold:

- (a) $C_{\delta, \omega_G}^*(G) \simeq C_\rho^*(G_d) \Leftrightarrow G$ contains an open subgroup which is amenable as discrete ([B-K-L-S, Theorem 1]).
- (b) $C_d^*(G) \simeq C_{\delta, \omega_G}^*(G) \Leftrightarrow G$ is amenable.

From the above, for G amenable as discrete, we have

$$B(G_d) = C_{\delta, \rho}^*(G)^* = C_{\delta, \omega_G}^*(G)^*.$$

So

$$B(G) = C_{\delta, \omega_G}^*(G)^* \Leftrightarrow G \text{ is discrete}$$

in this case.

(2) If G is a compact group such that $C_\rho^*(G) \subset C_\delta^*(G)$, where $C_\delta^*(G)$ denotes the C^* -algebra generated by $\{\rho(g); g \in G\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ (see [C-L-R] for examples), then

$$C_\delta^*(G) \simeq C_{\delta, \omega_G}^*(G)$$

since G is amenable (see [B-K-L-S]). But in general $C_\delta^*(G)$ is only a homomorphic image of $C_{\delta, \omega}^*(G)$.

(3) Let $B_{\delta, \omega_G}(G) := C_{\delta, \omega_G}^*(G)^*$. Then $B_{\delta, \omega_G}(G)$ is always a commutative Banach algebra, since $\omega \otimes \omega \simeq \omega$ [La-Lu, Theorem 3.3].

(4) When is $B(G) = C_{\delta, \omega_G}^*(G)^*$, i.e., when is $C_\Omega^*(G)$ a group C^* -algebra of G ?

(5) Let $\Theta : C^*(G_d) \rightarrow C_{\delta, \omega_G}^*(G)$ be the canonical projection (G locally compact). How big is $\ker \Theta$? For which groups is Θ injective?

(6) Can we characterize extremely amenable groups in terms of $B(G)$? Note that for G locally compact: G extremely amenable $\Leftrightarrow B(G) \equiv \mathbb{C}$. Also: G extremely amenable $\Rightarrow G$ has no non-trivial finite-dimensional representations (see [Gra-La]).

(7) (see [C-L-R]) If $C_\rho^*(G) \cap C_{\delta, \rho}^*(G) \neq \{0\}$ (G locally compact) then $C_\rho^*(G) \subset C_{\delta, \rho}^*(G)$. What can be said in the topological group case?

(8) (see [C-L-R]) If a nondiscrete locally compact group G contains a dense subgroup with property (T) (as a discrete group), does it follow that $C_\rho^*(G) \cap C_{\delta, \rho}^*(G) \neq \{0\}$?

(9) Let G be a topological group. We know that $\tilde{G} \subset \mathcal{M}_u, \mathcal{M} = W^*(G)$. If G is locally compact, we have $\tilde{G} = G$. How big is \tilde{G} in the topological group case?

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading of our paper and many valuable suggestions.

This research was supported by NSERC Grant MSI00 and by the University Paul Verlaine Metz.

References

- [Al] D. Alspach, *A fixed point free nonexpansive map*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1981), 423–424.
- [B-K-L-S] M. B. Bekka, E. Kaniuth, A. T. M. Lau and G. Schlichting, *On C^* -algebras associated with locally compact groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 3151–3158.
- [B-J-M] J. F. Berglund, H. D. Junghenn and P. Milnes, *Analysis on Semigroups*, Canad. Math. Soc. Ser. Monogr. Adv. Texts, Wiley, New York, 1989.
- [C-L-R] C. Chou, A. T. M. Lau and J. Rosenblatt, *Approximation of compact operators by sums of translations*, Illinois J. Math. 29 (1985), 340–350.
- [C-N-P] G. Converse, I. Namioka and R. R. Phelps, *Extreme invariant positive operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1969), 375–385.

- [Di] J. Dixmier, *C*-algebras*, North-Holland Math. Library 15, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [Du-Si] D. van Dulst and B. Sims, *Fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and Chebyshev centers in Banach spaces with norms of type (KK)*, in: Banach Space Theory and its Applications (Bucharest, 1981), Lecture Notes in Math. 991, Springer, Berlin, 1983, 35–43.
- [Ey] P. Eymard, *L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 92 (1964), 181–236.
- [Gi-Pe] T. Giordano and V. Pestov, *Some extremely amenable groups related to operator algebras and ergodic theory*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 6 (2007), 279–315.
- [Gl] E. Glasner, *On minimal actions of Polish groups*, in: 8th Prague Topological Symposium on General Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Topology Appl. 85 (1998), 119–125.
- [Go-Ki] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 28, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [Gra-La] E. Granirer and A. T. M. Lau, *Invariant means on locally compact groups*, Illinois J. Math. 19 (1971), 249–257.
- [Gre] F. P. Greenleaf, *Invariant Means on Topological Groups and Their Applications*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1964.
- [Gro-Mi] M. Gromov and V. D. Milman, *A topological application of the isoperimetric inequality*, Amer. J. Math. 105 (1983), 843–854.
- [He-Ch] W. Herer, J. Christensen and R. Peter, *On the existence of pathological submeasures and the construction of exotic topological groups*, Math. Ann. 213 (1975), 203–210.
- [Hu] R. Huff, *Banach spaces which are nearly uniformly convex*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 10 (1980), 743–749.
- [La1] A. T. M. Lau, *The Fourier–Stieltjes algebra of a topological semigroup with involution*, Pacific J. Math. 77 (1978), 165–181.
- [La2] A. T. M. Lau, *Analysis on a class of Banach algebras with applications to harmonic analysis on locally compact groups and semigroups*, Fund. Math. 118 (1983), 161–175.
- [La3] A. T. M. Lau, *Topological semi groups with invariant means in the convex hull of multiplicative means*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (1970), 69–84.
- [La4] A. T. M. Lau, *Semigroup of operators on dual Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1976), 393–396.
- [La-Le] A. T. M. Lau and M. Leinert, *Fixed point property and the Fourier algebra of a locally compact group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), 6389–6402.
- [La-Lu] A. T. M. Lau and J. Ludwig, *Fourier–Stieltjes algebra of a topological group*, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), 2000–2023.
- [La-Ma] A. T. M. Lau and P. Mah, *Normal structure in dual Banach spaces associated with a locally compact group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 310 (1988), 341–353.
- [L-M-U] A. T. M. Lau, P. Mah and A. Ülger, *Fixed point property and normal structure for Banach spaces associated to locally compact groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 2021–2027.
- [La-Pa] A. T. M. Lau and A. L. T. Paterson, *Inner amenable locally compact groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 325 (1991), 155–169.
- [Li] T. C. Lim, *Asymptotic centers and nonexpansive mappings in conjugate Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 90 (1980), 135–143; *On asymptotic centers and fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Canad. J. Math. 32 (1980), 421–430.

- [Li-Ma] R. J. Lindahl and P. H. Maserick, *Positive-definite functions on involution semigroups*, Duke Math. J. 38 (1971), 771–782.
- [M-P-U] M. G. Megrelishvili, V. G. Pestov and V. V. Uspenskij, *A note on the precompactness of weakly almost periodic groups*, in: Nuclear Groups and Lie Groups (Madrid, 1999), Res. Exp. Math. 24, Heldermann, Lemgo, 2001, 209–216.
- [Mil] P. Milnes, *Uniformity and uniformly continuous functions for locally compact groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1990), 567–570.
- [Mi1] T. Mitchell, *Constant functions and left invariant means on semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1965), 244–261.
- [Mi2] T. Mitchell, *Topological semigroups and fixed points*, Illinois J. Math. 14 (1970), 630–641.
- [Mi3] T. Mitchell, *Fixed points and multiplicative left invariant means*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1966), 195–202.
- [Pa] A. L. T. Paterson, *Nuclear C^* -algebras have amenable unitary groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1992), 719–721.
- [Pe] V. Pestov, *Dynamics of Infinite-Dimensional Groups. The Ramsey–Dvoretzky–Milman Phenomena*, Univ. Lecture Ser. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., 2005.
- [Ru1] Z.-J. Ruan, *The operator amenability of $A(G)$* , Amer. J. Math. 117 (1995), 1449–1474.
- [Ru2] Z.-J. Ruan, *Amenability of Hopf von Neumann algebras and Kac algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 139 (1996), 466–499.
- [Sa] S. Sakai, *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 60, Springer, New York, 1971.
- [Sp] N. Spronk, *Operator weak amenability of the Fourier algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), 3609–3617.
- [Ta1] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras. I*, Springer, New York, 1979.
- [Ta2] M. Takesaki, *Duality and von Neumann algebras*, in: Lectures on Operator Algebras; Tulane Univ. Ring and Operator Theory Year, 1970–1971, Vol. II; Lecture Notes in Math. 247, Springer, Berlin, 1972, 665–786.
- [Wa1] M. E. Walter, *W^* -algebras and nonabelian harmonic analysis*, J. Funct. Anal. 11 (1972), 17–38.
- [Wa2] M. E. Walter, *On the structure of the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra*, Pacific J. Math. 58 (1975), 267–281.

Anthony To-Ming Lau
 Department of Mathematical
 and Statistical Sciences
 University of Alberta
 Edmonton T6G-2G1, Canada
 E-mail: tlau@math.ualberta.ca

Jean Ludwig
 Institut Élie Cartan de Lorraine
 Université de Lorraine-Metz
 Bâtiment A, Ile du Saulcy
 F-57045 Metz, France
 E-mail: jean.ludwig@univ-lorraine.fr