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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the blow-up properties of the non-Newtonian polytropic filtration equation $$
u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m}\right)=f(u)
$$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. The blow-up conditions, upper and lower bounds of the blow-up time, and the blow-up rate are established by using the energy method and differential inequality techniques.


1. Introduction. Consider a compressible fluid flow in a homogeneous isotropic rigid porous medium. Then the volumetric moisture content $\theta(x)$, the macroscopic velocity $\vec{V}$ and the density of the fluid $\rho$ are governed by the following equation [WZYL]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(x) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\operatorname{div}(\rho \vec{V})-\eta(\rho)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta(\rho)$ is the source. For a non-Newtonian fluid, the linear Darcy law is no longer valid, because the influence of many factors such as molecular and ion effects has to be taken into account. Instead, one has the nonlinear relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \vec{V}=-\lambda|\nabla P|^{\sigma-2} \nabla P \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho \vec{V}$ and $P$ denote the momentum velocity and pressure respectively, and $\lambda>0$ and $\sigma \geq 2$ are some physical constants.

If the fluid considered is a polytropic gas, then the pressure and density satisfy the following equation of state:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=c \rho^{\gamma} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $c, \gamma>0$ are some constants. Thus, it follows from (1.1)-(1.3) that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(x) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}=c^{\alpha} \lambda \operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \rho^{\gamma}\right|^{\sigma-2} \nabla \rho^{\gamma}\right)+\eta(\rho) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The parabolic equation (1.4) also appears in population dynamics and chemical reactions, and it is usually called the non-Newtonian polytropic filtration equation (see [K, V1, WZYL and references therein).

In this paper we consider (1.4) with $\theta(x)=1$. Furthermore, we incorporate the zero boundary condition into this problem. Then we get the following initial-boundary problem after changing variables and notation:

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m}\right)=f(u), & (x, t) \in Q_{T},  \tag{1.5}\\ u(x, t)=0, & (x, t) \in S_{T}, \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & x \in \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 1$, with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, $Q_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T), S_{T}=\partial \Omega \times(0, T), m \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2$ are constants, $u_{0}$ is a non-negative function on $\Omega$ such that $u_{0}^{m} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, and $f$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the following condition:
(H) $\lim \sup _{|s| \rightarrow \infty}|f(s)| /|s|^{m(r-1)}<\infty$ and $s^{m} f(s) \geq r F(s) \geq|s|^{m r}$, where $r$ is a positive constant such that $p<r<\infty$ if $N \leq p$, while $p<r \leq$ $N p /(N-p)$ if $N>p$, and

$$
F(s):=m \int_{0}^{s} \tau^{m-1} f(\tau) d \tau
$$

For example, we can choose $f(s)=\left|s^{m}\right|^{r-2} s^{m}$ or $f(s)=s^{q}$ with $q>m(r-1)$ $>1$ to satisfy ( H ).

During the last decade, problem (1.5) has enjoyed a growing attention. Sattinger Sa constructed a stable set, which was used to construct global solutions (see [I, Ts, TY]). Furthermore, a lot of work has been devoted to singularity properties, such as blow-up, extinction and quenching (see [C, GWDW, Le, LM, V1, V2, WZYL, X, XCM, XWY, ZW, ZM1, ZM2, ZM3, and references therein).

In the above works, the authors discussed the blow-up properties by constructing upper and lower solutions. To the best of our knowledge, only a few papers deal with blow-up solutions when the initial energy is positive.

When $m=1$, problem (1.5) degenerates to the $p$-Laplacian equation

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=f(u), & (x, t) \in Q_{T},  \tag{1.6}\\ u(x, t)=0, & (x, t) \in S_{T}, \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & x \in \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

Zhao (Z) studied problem (1.6) and established global existence for $f$ depending on $u$ as well as on $\nabla u$. He also proved a blow-up result for (1.6)
under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{0}\right) d x \leq-\frac{4(p-1)}{p \tilde{T}(p-2)^{2}} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{2} d x \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau) d \tau
$$

More precisely, he showed that if there exists $\tilde{T}>0$ for which (1.7) holds, then the solution blows up before time $\tilde{T}$. This type of results have been extensively generalized and improved by Levine et al. LPS, who proved some global, as well as blow-up, existence theorems. Their results, when applied to 1.6 , require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{0}\right) d x<0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Messaoudi [M] generalized the above result and proved that blow-up can be obtained even for vanishing initial energy. More precisely, he got blow-up under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{0}\right) d x \leq 0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, the above results were improved by Liu and Wang [LW], who showed that certain solutions with positive initial energy can also blow up in finite time. Furthermore, the blow-up time $T_{*}$ was estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{*} \leq \frac{2^{r}}{C r}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2-r} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $p=2$, problem (1.5) degenerates to the porous medium equation

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\Delta u^{m}=f(u), & (x, t) \in Q_{T},  \tag{1.11}\\ u(x, t)=0, & (x, t) \in S_{T}, \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & x \in \Omega\end{cases}
$$

The above problem was recently studied by Wu and Gao [WG]. As in [W], they got blow-up criteria for positive initial energy. Furthermore, the blowup time $T_{*}$ was estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{*} \leq \frac{(m+1)^{m r}}{C(m r-m-1)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{m+1}^{m+1-m r} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the general case of $m \geq 1$ in problem (1.5), Yin, Li and Jin YLJ] studied the problem

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m}\right)=\lambda u^{q}, & (x, t) \in Q_{T}  \tag{1.13}\\ u(x, t)=0, & (x, t) \in S_{T} \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & x \in \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $q>m(p-1) \geq 1$ and $\lambda>0$ are constants. They showed that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}^{m}\right|^{p} d x-\frac{\lambda m}{q+m} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{q+m} d x \leq 0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the solution of 1.13 blows up at a finite time. Furthermore, the blowup time $T_{*}$ was estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{*} \leq \frac{(m+1)(q+m)|\Omega|^{\frac{q-1}{m+1}}}{\lambda(q-1)(q+m-m p)}\left(\frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{m+1}\right)^{(1-q)(m+1)} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated by the above works, in this paper, we study the blow-up solutions of 1.5 . We point out the following four problems:

1. In estimates 1.10 and $1.12, C$ is just some positive constant. Neither the exact value nor a lower bound of $C$ were given in the above mentioned papers, so we cannot derive an exact upper bound of the blow-up time $T_{*}$.
2. In [YLJ], the authors give blow-up conditions when the initial energy is non-positive, but no blow-up conditions for positive initial energy are given.
3. When blow-up occurs, the blow-up time cannot usually be computed exactly. It is therefore of great importance in practice to bound it from above and below (see $\overline{\mathrm{BH}}, \mathrm{BS}, \mathrm{Liu}$, Liu2, $\mathrm{LW}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{PP}, \mathrm{PS}, \mathrm{So}$ and references therein). However, no lower bound was given in the above papers.
4. As mentioned in GV], when studying blow-up problems, it is important to calculate the blow-up rate. But none of the papers did it.

Based on the above questions, the main tasks of this paper are the following:

1. We will study the blow-up of solutions of 1.5 with positive initial energy.
2. We will give exact upper and lower bounds of the blow-up time $T_{*}$.

3 . We will consider the blow-up rate.
It is well known that problem (1.5) is degenerate if $m>1$ or $p>2$, and therefore there is no classical solution in general. By a solution of (1.5), we mean a function $u(x, t)$ with $u^{m} \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right),\left(u^{m}\right)_{t} \in$ $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{Q_{T}}\left(u \psi_{t}-\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m} \cdot \nabla \psi+f \psi\right) d x d t+\int_{\Omega} u_{0} \psi(x, 0) d x=0 \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\psi \in C^{1}\left(\overline{Q_{T}}\right)$ such that $\psi(x, T)=0$ and $\psi=0$ on $\partial \Omega \times(0, T)$.
We first state a local existence theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $(\mathrm{H})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|m u^{m-1} f(u)\right| \leq g\left(u^{m}\right) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C^{1}$ function $g$. Then for any non-negative function $u_{0}$ with $u_{0}^{m} \in$ $L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, there exists $T_{1} \in(0, T]$ such that 1.5) has a non-negative solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying

$$
u^{m} \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T_{1}}\right) \cap L^{p}\left(0, T_{1} ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right), \quad\left(u^{m}\right)_{t} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T_{1}}\right) .
$$

The proof follows the methods of [WG], and will be given in the appendix for the readers' convenience.

Next, we give blow-up results for solutions of (1.5). By assumption (H) we know that there exist positive constants $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r F(s) \leq|s|^{m}|f(s)| \leq|s|^{m}\left(a+b|s|^{m(r-1)}\right) . \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows from the assumptions on $r$ in (H) and 1.18) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{B} & :=\inf _{u^{m} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), u \neq 0} \frac{\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} r F(u) d x\right)^{1 / r}} \\
& \geq \frac{\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}}{\left(a\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+b\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}^{r}\right)^{1 / r}}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega} r F(u) d x\right)^{1 / r} \leq B\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}, \quad \forall u^{m} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) . \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution obtained in Theorem 1.1. The energy functional $E(t)$ related to (1.5) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{m}(x, t)\right|^{p} d x-\int_{\Omega} F(u(x, t)) d x . \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t)=-m \int_{\Omega} u^{m-1} u_{t}^{2} d x=-\frac{4 m}{(m+1)^{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{(m+1) / 2}\right)_{t}^{2} d x \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next results are about the blow-up condition, the upper bound of the blow-up time and the blow-up rate.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $(\mathrm{H})$ and (1.17). Assume the initial value $u_{0}$ with $0 \leq u_{0}^{m} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(0)<E_{1}, \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{0}^{m}\right\|_{p}>\alpha_{1}, \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=B^{-r /(r-p)}, \quad E_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}\right) B^{-\frac{r p}{r-p}} . \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the non-negative solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.5) blows up in finite time. Moreover, the blow-up time $T_{*}$ and the blow-up rate can be estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{*} \leq \frac{(m+1)^{\frac{m r}{m+1}}}{\zeta(m r-m-1)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{m+1}^{m+1-m r} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{m+1} \leq \frac{(m+1)^{\frac{m r}{(m+1)(m r-m-r)}}}{(\zeta(m r-m-1))^{\frac{1}{m r-m-1}}}\left(T_{*}-t\right)^{-\frac{1}{m r-m-r}}, \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\zeta=\frac{(r-p)(m+1)^{\frac{m r}{m+1}}\left(1-\left(r / p-E(0) r \alpha_{1}^{-p}\right)^{-\frac{r}{r-p}}\right)}{r|\Omega|^{\frac{m r}{m+1}-1}} .
$$

Remark 1.3. From $r>p$, (2.3) and (2.13), we know that $m r>m+1$ and $\zeta>0$, so (1.25) and (1.26) are valid.

Next we consider lower bounds of the blow-up time and the blow-up rate. Let $q=m(r-1)$. By assumption (H), there exist positive constants $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s) \leq a+b s^{m(r-1)}=a+b s^{q}, \quad s \geq 0 . \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

To state the first result, we need the following constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1} & =k(k+1) m^{p-1}\left(\frac{p}{k+m(p-1)}\right)^{p} \\
C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) & =(k+1)\left(\frac{a k \epsilon_{0}}{k+q}+b\right) \\
C_{3}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) & =\frac{(k+1) a q|\Omega|}{k+q} \epsilon_{0}^{-k / q}  \tag{1.28}\\
\epsilon_{1} & =\frac{(N-p)(k+1)(q+1)}{p k+m N(p-1)+p-N} \\
\epsilon_{2} & =\frac{k+m(p-1)}{q-1+\epsilon_{1}}-1 \\
\varepsilon & =\frac{C_{1}\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)} C_{S}^{-\frac{p\left(q+1+\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{k+m(p-1)}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{0}$ is any positive constant, $k$ is a constant satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
k>\frac{\epsilon_{1}(k+m(p-1))}{q-1+\epsilon_{1}}, \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $C_{S}$ is the best Sobolev constant of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{N_{p}}{N-p}}(\Omega)$, given by (see Ta)

$$
C_{S}=\pi^{-1 / 2} N^{-1 / p}\left(\frac{p-1}{N-p}\right)^{1-1 / p}\left[\frac{\Gamma(1+N / 2) \Gamma(N)}{\Gamma(N / p) \Gamma(1+N-N / p)}\right]^{1 / N}
$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the $\Gamma$-function.
Let $Y_{1}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}(\rho)=\int_{\rho}^{\infty} \frac{d \eta}{C_{3}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)+C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \frac{\epsilon_{2}}{1+\epsilon_{2}} \varepsilon^{-1 / \epsilon_{2}} \eta^{\frac{\left(k+1-\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{(k+1) \epsilon_{2}}}} \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $Y_{1}$ is a decreasing function, which means its inverse function $Y_{1}^{-1}$ exists and it is also decreasing.

Theorem 1.4. Assume $N>p$, and $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $(\mathrm{H})$ and 1.17 . Let $k$ be so large that it satisfies (1.29) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k>\max \left\{0, \frac{(N-p)(q+1)-m N(p-1)-p+N}{p}\right\} \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& k>\frac{(N-p)(q-1)}{N}-m(p-1)+\frac{N-p}{N} \epsilon_{1}  \tag{1.32}\\
& k>q-1-m(p-1) \epsilon_{1} \tag{1.33}
\end{align*}
$$

If the non-negative solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.5) blows up at a finite time $t=T_{*}$, then $T_{*} \geq Y_{1}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{k+1}^{k+1}\right)$ and $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{k+1} \geq\left(Y_{1}^{-1}\left(T_{*}-t\right)\right)^{1 /(k+1)}$.

REmARK 1.5. We give some remarks about the above theorem.

1. By 1.29 , we know that $\frac{\left(k+1-\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{(k+1) \epsilon_{2}}>1$, so $Y_{1}$ is well-defined.
2. From

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{1}=\frac{(N-p)(q+1)}{p} \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

we know that 1.32 and 1.33 hold if $k$ is large enough. Since $q>1$ and (1.34), we know that (1.29) holds if $k$ is large enough.

3 . If $t$ is close enough to $T_{*}$, then

$$
C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \frac{\epsilon_{2}}{1+\epsilon_{2}} \varepsilon^{-1 / \epsilon_{2}}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{k+1}^{\frac{\left(k+1-\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{\epsilon_{2}}} \geq C_{3}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)
$$

and it follows from $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{k+1} \geq\left(Y_{1}^{-1}\left(T_{*}-t\right)\right)^{1 /(k+1)}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{*}-t & \geq Y_{1}\left(\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{k+1}^{k+1}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \epsilon_{2}^{1 / \epsilon_{2}}}{2 C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \epsilon_{2}} \int_{\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{k+1}^{k+1}}^{\infty} \eta^{-\frac{\left(k+1-\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{(k+1) \epsilon_{2}}} d \eta \\
& =\frac{(k+1)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \epsilon_{2}^{1+1 / \epsilon_{2}}}{2 C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \epsilon_{2}\left(k+1-\epsilon_{1}\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)\right)}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{k+1}^{-\frac{k+1-\epsilon_{1}\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{\epsilon_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{k+1} \geq & \left(\frac{(k+1)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \epsilon_{2}^{1+1 / \epsilon_{2}}}{2 C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \epsilon_{2}\left(k+1-\epsilon_{1}\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)\right)}\right)^{\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{k+1-\epsilon_{1}\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}} \\
& \times\left(T^{*}-t\right)^{-\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{k+1-\epsilon_{1}\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1.4 does not give a lower bound of the blow-up time if $N \leq p$. But for $N=3$, we can obtain the following result using the method of LMX].

Let $Y_{2}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{2}(\rho)=\int_{\rho}^{\infty} \frac{d \eta}{\ell_{1} \eta^{\delta_{1}}+\ell_{2} \eta^{\delta_{2}}} \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell_{i}$ and $\delta_{i}, i=1,2$, are the positive constants given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma & =3 p-m(p-1)+1 \\
\delta_{1} & =\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma} \\
\delta_{2} & =\frac{3 p+3 m+3 q-3 p m}{3 p+m+1-p m}  \tag{1.36}\\
\ell_{1} & =\sigma a|\Omega|^{1 / \sigma} \\
\ell_{2} & =\frac{16 b^{3} \sigma \pi^{2}(3 p)^{2 p} \lambda_{1}^{3 / 2-p}|\Omega|^{2-\delta_{2}}}{27(\sigma-1)^{2} 4^{p} 3^{3 / 2} m^{2(p-1)}}
\end{align*}
$$

here $\lambda_{1}$ is the principal eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta w(x)=\lambda w, & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.37}\\ w(x)=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Obviously, $Y_{2}$ is a decreasing function, which means its inverse function $Y_{2}^{-1}$ exists and is also decreasing.

Theorem 1.6. Let $3 q>2 m(p-1)+1$. Assume $N=3$ and

$$
3> \begin{cases}\frac{m(p-1)}{p} & \text { if } 2 m(p-1)+1<3 q \leq 2 m(p-1)+2  \tag{1.38}\\ \frac{3 q-m(p-1)-2}{p} & \text { if } 3 q>2 m(p-1)+2\end{cases}
$$

and $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $(\mathrm{H})$ and 1.17 . If the non-negative solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.5) blows up at a finite time $t=T_{*}$, then $T_{*} \geq Y_{2}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma}\right)$ and $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\sigma} \geq$ $\left(Y_{2}^{-1}\left(T_{*}-t\right)\right)^{1 / \sigma}$.

REMARK 1.7. We give some remarks about the above theorem.

1. Since $3 q>2 m(p-1)+1$, we know $\delta_{2}>1$. Thus $Y_{2}(\rho)$ is well-defined.
2. By 1.38, we know that $\sigma>1$ and $\delta_{2}<2$.
3. If $t$ is close enough to $T_{*}$, then $\ell_{2}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma \delta_{2}} \geq \ell_{1}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma \delta_{1}}$, and since $\delta_{2}>1>\delta_{1}$, it follows from $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\sigma} \geq\left(Y_{2}^{-1}\left(T_{*}-t\right)\right)^{1 / \sigma}$ that

$$
T_{*}-t \geq Y_{2}\left(\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2 \ell_{2}} \int_{\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma}}^{\infty} \eta^{-\delta_{2}} d \eta=\frac{1}{2 \ell_{2}\left(\delta_{2}-2\right)}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma\left(1-\delta_{2}\right)}
$$

which means that

$$
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\sigma} \geq\left(2 \ell_{2}\left(\delta_{2}-2\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{\sigma\left(\delta_{2}-1\right)}}\left(T_{*}-t\right)^{-\frac{1}{\sigma\left(\delta_{2}-1\right)}} .
$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will give the proofs of the main results. The proof of the local existence will be given in Section 3.
2. Proofs of the main theorems. In this section, we prove the main theorems of Section 1. Firstly, we consider Theorem 1.2. To prove it, we need the following two lemmas by using the idea in Vi].

Lemma 2.1. Let $u$ be a solution of (1.5). Assume (1.22) and (1.23) hold. Then there exists a positive constant $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\|\nabla u^{m}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{p} \geq \alpha_{2}, & t \geq 0, \\
\left(r \int_{\Omega} F(u(\cdot, t)) d x\right)^{1 / r} \geq B \alpha_{2}, & t \geq 0 . \\
\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}} \geq\left(\frac{r}{p}-E(0) r \alpha_{1}^{-p}\right)^{1 /(r-p)}>1 . \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Denote $\alpha=\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}$. We deduce from (1.19) and (1.20) that

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t) & =\frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} F(u) d x \geq \frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\frac{1}{r} B^{r}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{r}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\frac{1}{p} \alpha^{p}-\frac{1}{r} B^{r} \alpha^{r}=: h(\alpha) .
\end{align*}
$$

If $h^{\prime}(\alpha)=0$, then $\alpha=\alpha_{1}$. Set $E_{1}=g\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$. From $r>p \geq 2$, we get $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} h(\alpha)=-\infty, h(0)=0, h$ is increasing in $\left[0, \alpha_{1}\right]$ and decreasing in $\left[\alpha_{1}, \infty\right)$. Since $E(0)<E_{1}$, there exists a positive constant $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1}$ such that $E(0)=h\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$. Let $\alpha_{0}=\left\|\nabla u_{0}^{m}\right\|_{p}$. By (1.21), we have $g\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \leq E(0)=g\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$. Since $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{2} \geq \alpha_{1}$, we get $\alpha_{0} \geq \alpha_{2}$. So (2.1) holds for $t=0$.

To prove (2.1), we suppose on the contrary that $\left\|\nabla u^{m}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)\right\|_{p}<\alpha_{2}$ for some $t_{0}>0$. Since $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}$, we may choose $t_{0}$ such that $\left\|\nabla u^{m}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)\right\|_{p}>\alpha_{1}$. Then it follows from (2.4) and monotonicity of $h$ that

$$
E(0)=h\left(\alpha_{2}\right)<h\left(\left\|\nabla u^{m}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)\right\|_{p}\right) \leq E\left(t_{0}\right) .
$$

This is impossible since $E(t) \leq E(0)$ for all $t>0$. Hence (2.1) is established.

It follows from $(1.20),(1.21),(2.1)$ and $(2.4)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} F(u) d x & =\frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{p}-E(t) \geq \frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{p}-E(0) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} \alpha_{2}^{p}-h\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{r}\left(B \alpha_{2}\right)^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (2.2) follows.
To prove (2.3), denote $\beta=\alpha_{2} / \alpha_{1}$. Then $\beta>1$ since $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1}$. So it follows from $E(0)=g\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$ and $B^{r}=\alpha_{1}^{p-r}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(0) & =h\left(\beta \alpha_{1}\right)=\left(\beta \alpha_{1}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r} B^{r}\left(\beta \alpha_{1}\right)^{r-p}\right) \\
& =\left(\beta \alpha_{1}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r} \beta^{r-p}\right) \geq \alpha_{1}^{p}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r} \beta^{r-p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}=\beta \geq\left(\frac{r}{p}-E(0) r \alpha_{1}^{-p}\right)^{1 /(r-p)}
$$

Since $r>p$, to complete the proof we only need to prove $r / p-E(0) r \alpha_{1}^{-p}>1$. Since $E(0)<E_{1}$ and $B^{r}=\alpha_{1}^{p-r}$, it follows from (1.24) that

$$
\frac{r}{p}-E(0) r \alpha_{1}^{-p}>\frac{r}{p}-E_{1} r \alpha_{1}^{-p}=\frac{r}{p}-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}\right) B^{-\frac{r p}{r-p}} r \alpha_{1}^{-p}=1
$$

Now we consider the case of $E(0)<E_{1}$ and $\left\|\nabla u_{0}^{m}\right\|_{p}>\alpha_{1}$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=E_{1}-E(t), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have
Lemma 2.2. For all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<H(0) \leq H(t) \leq \int_{\Omega} F(u) d x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (1.21) we see that $H$ is non-decreasing. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t) \geq H(0)=E_{1}-E(0)>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1.20), (1.24), (2.1), (2.4) and $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
H(t) & =E_{1}-\frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\int_{\Omega} F(u) d x  \tag{2.8}\\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}\right) \alpha_{1}^{p}-\frac{1}{p} \alpha_{1}^{p}+\int_{\Omega} F(u) d x \leq \int_{\Omega} F(u) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Then (2.6) follows from (2.7) and (2.8).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(t)=\frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+1}(x, t) d x \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (H), 1.20 and (2.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{\prime}(t) & =\int_{\Omega} u^{m} f(u) d x-\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{2.10}\\
& =\int_{\Omega} u^{m} f(u) d x-p \int_{\Omega} F(u) d x+p H(t)-p E_{1} \\
& \geq(r-p) \int_{\Omega} F(u) d x+p H(t)-p E_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

By using 1.24 and 2.2 , we get

$$
\begin{align*}
p E_{1} & =p\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}\right) B^{r} B^{-\frac{r p}{r-p}-r}=\frac{r-p}{r}\left(B \alpha_{1}\right)^{r}  \tag{2.11}\\
& =\frac{r-p}{r}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{r}\left(B \alpha_{2}\right)^{r} \\
& \leq(r-p)\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{r} \int_{\Omega} F(u) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from 2.10 and 2.11 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\prime}(t) \geq \tilde{C} \int_{\Omega} F(u) d x+p H(t) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{C}=(r-p)\left(1-\left(\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{2}\right)^{r}\right)>0
$$

Since $r>p \geq 2$ and $m>1$, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m r}{m+1}>1 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Hölder's inequality and (H), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(t)^{\frac{m r}{m+1}} \leq \bar{C}\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{r}^{r} \leq r \bar{C} \int_{\Omega} F(u) d x \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{C}=\left(\frac{1}{m+1}\right)^{\frac{m r}{m+1}}|\Omega|^{\frac{m r}{m+1}-1}
$$

So by (2.6), 2.12) and (2.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\prime}(t) \geq C M(t)^{\frac{m r}{m+1}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\tilde{C} /(r \bar{C})$. By (2.13) and 2.15),

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(t) & \geq\left(M(0)^{1-\frac{m r}{m+1}}-\left(\frac{m r}{m+1}-1\right) C t\right)^{1-\frac{m r}{m+1}} \\
& =\left(\left(\frac{1}{m+1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{m+1}^{m+1}\right)^{1-\frac{m r}{m+1}}-\frac{m r-m-1}{m+1} C t\right)^{1-\frac{m r}{m+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}:=\frac{(m+1)^{\frac{m r}{m+1}}}{C(m r-m-1)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{m+1}^{m+1-m r} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $M(t)$ blows up at time $T^{*}$. Therefore, $u(x, t)$ ceases to exist at some finite time $T_{*} \leq T^{*}$. That is, $u(x, t)$ blows up at a finite time $T_{*}$.

To derive an upper bound of $T^{*}$, we need to find a lower bound of $C$. It follows from 2.3 that

$$
\begin{align*}
C & =\frac{\tilde{C}}{r \bar{C}}=\frac{(r-p)(m+1)^{\frac{m r}{m+1}}\left(1-\left(\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{2}\right)^{r}\right)}{r|\Omega|^{\frac{m r}{m+1}-1}}  \tag{2.17}\\
& \geq \frac{(r-p)(m+1)^{\frac{m r}{m+1}}\left(1-\left(r / p-E(0) r \alpha_{1}^{-p}\right)^{-\frac{r}{r-p}}\right)}{r|\Omega|^{\frac{m r}{m+1}-1}}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (1.25) follows from (2.16) and (2.17).
Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{*}} M(t)=\infty$, we integrate 2.15 from $t$ to $T_{*}$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{m+1}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{m+1}^{m+1}=M(t) \leq\left(\frac{C(m r-m-1)}{m+1}\left(T_{*}-t\right)\right)^{-\frac{m+1}{m r-m-1}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then 1.26 follows from (2.17) and (2.18).
Proof of Theorem 1.4. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\int_{\Omega} u^{k+1} d x \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a constant satisfying (1.29) and 1.31 -1.33). Multiplying the first equation of 1.5 by $u^{k}$, integrating by parts and using (1.27), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k+1} \phi^{\prime}(t) & =-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{k} \cdot \nabla u^{m} d x+\int_{\Omega} u^{k} f(u) d x \\
& \leq-k m^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} u^{k-1+(p-1)(m-1)}|\nabla u|^{p} d x+a\|u\|_{k}^{k}+b\|u\|_{k+q}^{k+q} \\
& =-k m^{p-1}\left(\frac{p}{k+m(p-1)}\right)^{p}\left\|\nabla u^{\frac{k+m(p-1)}{p}}\right\|_{p}^{p}+a\|u\|_{k}^{k}+b\|u\|_{k+q}^{k+q}
\end{aligned}
$$

The above inequality and Young's inequality imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(t) \leq-C_{1}\left\|\nabla u^{\frac{k+m(p-1)}{p}}\right\|_{p}^{p}+C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)\|u\|_{k+q}^{k+q}+C_{3}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{0}$ is any positive constant and $C_{1}, C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right), C_{3}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$ are given in 1.28 .

Let $\epsilon_{1}$ be the constant defined in (1.28). By 1.31 and 1.32 , we know that $0<\epsilon_{1}<k+1$ and

$$
\frac{N(k+m(p-1))}{(N-p)\left(q-1+\epsilon_{1}\right)}>1
$$

Thus Hölder's inequality gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{k+q}^{k+q} \leq\|u\|_{k+1}^{k+1-\epsilon_{1}}\left\|u^{\frac{k+m(p-1)}{p}}\right\|_{\frac{N p}{N-p}}^{\frac{p\left(q-1+\epsilon_{1}\right)}{k+m(p-1)}} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying Young's inequality to (2.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{k+q}^{k+q} \leq \frac{\epsilon_{2}}{1+\epsilon_{2}} \varepsilon^{-1 / \epsilon_{2}}\|u\|_{k+1}^{\frac{\left(k+1-\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{\epsilon_{2}}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\epsilon_{2}}\left\|u^{\frac{k+m(p-1)}{p}}\right\|_{\frac{N p}{N-p}}^{\frac{p\left(q-1+\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{k+m-1)}}, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ and $\epsilon_{2}$ are the constants given in (1.28); $\epsilon_{2}$ is positive by (1.33). Then a direct calculation shows that

$$
\frac{\left(q-1+\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{k+m(p-1)}=1
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u^{\frac{k+m(p-1)}{p}}\right\|_{\frac{p p}{N-p}}^{\frac{p\left(q-1+\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{k+m(p-1)}}  \tag{2.23}\\
& \quad \leq C_{S}^{\frac{p\left(q+1+\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{k+m(p-1)}}\left(\left\|\nabla u^{\frac{k+m(p-1)}{p}}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{\left(q-1+\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{k+m(p-1)}} \\
& \quad=C_{S}^{\frac{p\left(q+1+\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{k+m(p-1)}}\left\|\nabla u^{\frac{k+m(p-1)}{p}}\right\|_{p}^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from $2.20,2.22$ and 2.23 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \left(C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) C_{S}^{\frac{p\left(q+1+\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{k+m(p-1)}} \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\epsilon_{2}}-C_{1}\right)\left\|\nabla u^{\frac{k+m(p-1)}{p}}\right\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{2.24}\\
& +\frac{C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \epsilon_{2}}{1+\epsilon_{2}} \varepsilon^{-1 / \epsilon_{2}}\|u\|_{k+1}^{\frac{\left(k+1-\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{\epsilon_{2}}}+C_{3}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By the definition of $\varepsilon$ in (1.28),

$$
C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) C_{S}^{\frac{p\left(q+1+\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{k+m(p-1)}} \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\epsilon_{2}}-C_{1}=0
$$

Then we deduce from (2.24) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{C_{2}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \epsilon_{2}}{1+\epsilon_{2}} \varepsilon^{-1 / \epsilon_{2}} \phi(t)^{\frac{\left(k+1-\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)}{(k+1) \epsilon_{2}}}+C_{3}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{*}} \phi(t)=\infty$, integrating 2.25 from 0 to $T_{*}$ we finally get $T_{*} \geq Y_{1}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{k+1}^{k+1}\right)$. Similarly, we can integrate 2.25 from $t$ to $T_{*}$ to get $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{k+1} \geq\left(Y_{1}^{-1}\left(T_{*}-t\right)\right)^{1 /(k+1)}$.

## Proof of Theorem 1.6. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\int_{\Omega} u^{\sigma} d x \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=3 p-m(p-1)+1$. Since $u^{(m-1)(p-1)+\sigma-2}|\nabla u|^{p}=3^{-p}\left|\nabla u^{3}\right|^{p}$, by a similar calculation to 2.20 and Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{\prime}(t) & =-\frac{\sigma(\sigma-1) m^{p-1}}{3^{p}}\left\|\nabla u^{3}\right\|_{p}^{p}+a \sigma\|u\|_{\sigma-1}^{\sigma-1}+b \sigma\|u\|_{\sigma+q-1}^{\sigma+q-1}  \tag{2.27}\\
& \leq-\frac{\sigma(\sigma-1) m^{p-1}}{3^{p}}\left\|\nabla u^{3}\right\|_{p}^{p}+a \sigma|\Omega|^{1 / \sigma} \phi(t)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}+b \sigma\|u\|_{\sigma+q-1}^{\sigma+q-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

For simplicity, denote $v=u^{3}$ and $\gamma=q-m(p-1)>0$ (as $r>p$ and $q=m(r-1))$. Then 2.27 can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(t) \leq-\frac{\sigma(\sigma-1) m^{p-1}}{3^{p}}\|\nabla v\|_{p}^{p}+a \sigma|\Omega|^{1 / \sigma} \phi(t)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}+b \sigma\|v\|_{p+\gamma / 3}^{p+\gamma / 3} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we estimate the term $\|v\|_{p+\gamma / 3}^{p+\gamma / 3}$. By using the Sobolev inequality (see Ta$]$ )

$$
\|w\|_{6} \leq 4^{1 / 3} 3^{-1 / 2} \pi^{2 / 3}\|\nabla w\|_{2}, \quad \forall w \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Hölder's inequality and Schwarz's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\|v\|_{p+\gamma / 3}^{p+\gamma / 3} & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{2 p} d x\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{(p+\gamma) / 2} d x\right)^{2 / 3}  \tag{2.29}\\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{p} d x\right)^{1 / 6}\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{3 p} d x\right)^{1 / 6}\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{(p+\gamma) / 2} d x\right)^{2 / 3} \\
& \leq 4^{1 / 3} 3^{-1 / 2} \pi^{2 / 3}\left\|\nabla v^{p / 2}\right\|_{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{p} d x\right)^{1 / 6}\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{(p+\gamma) / 2} d x\right)^{2 / 3} .
\end{align*}
$$

By virtue of the Rayleigh principle, we have

$$
\lambda_{1}\|w\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}, \quad \forall w \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Then it follows from 2.29 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{p+\gamma / 3}^{p+\gamma / 3} \leq 4^{1 / 3} 3^{-1 / 2} \pi^{2 / 3} \lambda_{1}^{-1 / 6}\left\|\nabla v^{p / 2}\right\|_{2}^{4 / 3}\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{(p+\gamma) / 2} d x\right)^{2 / 3} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\nabla v^{p / 2}\right|^{2}=\left(p^{2} / 4\right) v^{p-2}|\nabla v|^{2}$, it follows by Hölder's inequality that

$$
\left\|\nabla v^{p / 2}\right\|_{2} \leq \frac{p}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{p}\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{p} d x\right)^{1 / 2-1 / p} \leq \frac{p}{2} \lambda_{1}^{1 / p-1 / 2}\|\nabla v\|_{p}\left\|\nabla v^{p / 2}\right\|_{2}^{1-2 / p}
$$

which implies

$$
\left\|\nabla v^{p / 2}\right\|_{2} \leq(p / 2)^{p / 2} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2-p / 4}\|\nabla v\|_{p}^{p / 2}
$$

By the above inequality and 2.30 , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|v\|_{p+\gamma / 3}^{p+\gamma / 3}  \tag{2.31}\\
& \quad \leq 4^{1 / 3} 3^{-1 / 2} \pi^{2 / 3}(p / 2)^{2 p / 3} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2-p / 3}\|\nabla v\|_{p}^{2 p / 3}\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{(p+\gamma) / 2} d x\right)^{2 / 3}
\end{align*}
$$

Now by Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} v^{(p+\gamma) / 2} d x=\int_{\Omega} u^{3(p+\gamma) / 2} d x \leq|\Omega|^{1-\delta_{2} / 2} \phi(t)^{\delta_{2} / 2}, \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\delta_{2}=\frac{3 p+3 m+3 q-3 p m}{3 p+m+1-p m} \in(1,2)
$$

Then it follows from (2.31) and (2.32) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|v\|_{p+\gamma / 3}^{p+\gamma / 3}  \tag{2.33}\\
& \quad \leq 4^{1 / 3} 3^{-1 / 2} \pi^{2 / 3}(p / 2)^{2 p / 3} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2-p / 3}|\Omega|^{\left(2-\delta_{2}\right) / 3} \phi(t)^{\delta_{2} / 3}\|\nabla v\|_{p}^{2 p / 3}
\end{align*}
$$

We now use Young's inequality to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)^{\delta_{2} / 3}\|\nabla v\|_{p}^{2 p / 3} \leq \frac{1}{3 \epsilon^{2}} \phi(t)^{\delta_{2}}+\frac{2 \epsilon}{3}\|\nabla v\|_{p}^{p} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is any positive constant. Choosing

$$
\epsilon=\frac{(\sigma-1) m^{p-1} \lambda_{1}^{p / 3-1 / 2}}{3^{p-3 / 2} 4^{5 / 6} \pi^{2 / 3}(p / 2)^{2 p / 3}|\Omega|^{\left(2-\delta_{2}\right) / 3} b}
$$

yields $\sigma(\sigma-1) m^{p-1} / 3^{p}=b \sigma 4^{1 / 3} 3^{-1 / 2} \pi^{2 / 3}(p / 2)^{2 p / 3} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2-p / 3}|\Omega|^{\left(2-\delta_{2}\right) / 3} 2 \epsilon / 3$. From 2.28, 2.33) and 2.34), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(t) \leq \ell_{1} \phi(t)^{\delta_{1}}+\ell_{2} \phi(t)^{\delta_{2}} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\delta_{1}=\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}, \ell_{1}=a \sigma|\Omega|^{1 / \sigma}, \ell_{2}=\frac{b \sigma 4^{1 / 3} 3^{-1 / 2} \pi^{2 / 3}\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{2 p / 3} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2-p / 3}|\Omega|^{\left(2-\delta_{2}\right) / 3}}{3 \epsilon^{2}}$.
Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{*}} \phi(t)=\infty$, integrating 2.35 from 0 to $T_{*}$, we finally get $T_{*} \geq$ $Y_{2}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma}\right)$. Similarly, we can integrate 2.35 from $t$ to $T_{*}$ to get $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\sigma} \geq$ $\left(Y_{2}^{-1}\left(T_{*}-t\right)\right)^{1 / \sigma}$.
3. Appendix. In this section we prove the local existence result by using the methods of [WG].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In the proof, we denote by $c$ a positive constant independent of $n$, which may change from line to line. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}+1 / n\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla u^{m}\right)=f(u), & (x, t) \in Q_{T}  \tag{3.1}\\ u(x, t)=1 / n, & (x, t) \in S_{T} \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0 n}(x)+1 / n, & x \in \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $n=1,2, \ldots, 0 \leq u_{0 n} \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(u_{0 n}+1 / n\right)^{m}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|\left(u_{0}+1\right)^{m}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \left\|\nabla\left(u_{0 n}\right)^{m}\right\|_{p} \leq c\left\|\nabla\left(u_{0}\right)^{m}\right\|_{p} \\
& \left(u_{0 n}+1 / n\right)^{m} \rightarrow\left(u_{0}\right)^{m} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text { strongly in } W^{1, p}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

By [LSU, Theorem 4.1], (3.1) has a classical solution $u_{n}$. By the maximum principle for parabolic equations, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(x, t) \geq 1 / n \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we claim that there exists $T_{1} \in(0, T]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T_{1}}\right)} \leq c \quad \text { for all } n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, let $w(t)$ be the solution of the ODE

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d w}{d t}=g(w)  \tag{3.4}\\
w(0)=\left\|\left(u_{0}+1\right)^{m}\right\|_{\infty}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By [ZFC, Chapter 2, Theorem 5.1], there exists a $T_{0} \in(0, T)$, which depends on the initial value $\left\|\left(u_{0}+1\right)^{m}\right\|_{\infty}$, such that $w$ exists on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$. Let $\varphi=$ $\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}-w$. By (1.17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m\left(u_{n}\right)^{m-1} f\left(u_{n}\right)-g(w) & \leq\left(\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}-w\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\theta\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}+(1-\theta) w\right) d \theta \\
& =C_{n}(x, t) \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\varphi$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
\varphi_{t}-m(\varphi+w)^{(m-1) / m} \operatorname{div}\left(\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right.\right. & \left.+1 / n)^{(p-2) / p} \nabla \varphi\right) & \\
& \quad-C_{n}(x, t) \varphi \leq 0, & & (x, t) \in Q_{T_{0}} \\
\varphi(x, t) \leq(1 / n)^{m}-\left\|\left(u_{0}+1\right)^{m}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 0, & & (x, t) \in S_{T_{0}} \\
\varphi(x, 0)=\left(u_{0 n}(x)+1 / n\right)^{m}-\left\|\left(u_{0}+1\right)^{m}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 0, & & x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the comparison theorem, we have $\varphi(x, t) \leq 0$ for $(x, t) \in Q_{T_{0}}$, which means that

$$
\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T_{0}}\right)} \leq \max _{\left[0, T_{0}\right]} w(t)
$$

Setting $T_{1}=T_{0} / 2$ and $c=w\left(T_{1}\right)$ we obtain (3.3).
Multiplying the first equation of (3.1) by $\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}$ and integrating over $Q_{T_{1}}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{m+1}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}\right)^{m+1}\left(x, T_{1}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0 n}+1 / n\right)^{m+1} d x\right) \\
& =\iint_{S_{T_{1}}}\left(\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{2}+1 / n\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m} \cdot \vec{\nu}\left(u_{n}\right)^{m} d s d t \\
& \quad-\quad \int_{Q_{T_{1}}}\left(\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{2}+1 / n\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{2} d x d t+\iint_{Q_{T_{1}}} f\left(u_{n}\right)^{m} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\vec{\nu}$ is the unit outward normal vector on $\partial \Omega$. By the second equation of (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (3.5) } \quad \iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{p} d x d t \leq \iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}\left(\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{2}+1 / n\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{2} d x d t  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{m+1}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0 n}+1 / n\right)^{m+1} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}\right)^{m+1}\left(x, T_{1}\right) d x\right)+c \iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}|f| d x d t \leq c .
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying the first equation of (3.1) by $\left(\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right)_{t}$ and integrating, then using Young's inequality and (3.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\iint_{Q_{T_{1}}} & m\left(u_{n}\right)^{m-1}\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{t}\right|^{2} \\
= & \iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}\left(\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{2}+1 / n\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m} \cdot \nabla\left(\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right)_{t} d x d t \\
& +\iint_{Q_{T_{1}}} m\left(u_{n}\right)^{m-1}\left(u_{n}\right)_{t} f d x d t \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int_{\Omega}^{\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}(x, t)\right|} \int_{0}(s+1 / n)^{(p-2) / 2}\right) d t+\iint_{Q_{T_{1}}} m\left(u_{n}\right)^{m-1}\left(u_{n}\right)_{t} f d x d t \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}^{\left|\nabla\left(u_{0 n}\right)^{m}\right|^{2}} \int_{0}(s+1 / n)^{(p-2) / 2} d s d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}^{\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\left(x, T_{1}\right)\right|^{2}} \int_{0}(s+1 / n)^{(p-2) / 2} d s d x+\iint_{Q_{T_{1}}} m\left(u_{n}\right)^{m-1}\left(u_{n}\right)_{t} f d x d t \\
= & \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla\left(u_{0 n}\right)^{m}\right|^{2}+1 / n\right)^{p / 2} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\left(x, T_{1}\right)\right|^{2}+1 / n\right)^{p / 2} d x+\iint_{Q_{T_{1}}} m\left(u_{n}\right)^{m-1}\left(u_{n}\right)_{t} f d x d t \\
\leq & c+\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{T_{1}}} m\left(u_{n}\right)^{m-1} f^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2} \iint_{Q_{T_{1}}} m\left(u_{n}\right)^{m-1}\left(\left(u_{n}\right)_{t}\right)^{2} d x d t .
\end{array}
$$

Then it follows from the above inequalities and (3.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}\left|\frac{\partial\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x d t=m \iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}\left(u_{n}\right)^{m-1}\left(m\left(u_{n}\right)^{m-1}\left|\frac{\partial\left(u_{n}\right)}{\partial t}\right|^{2}\right) \leq c \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p \geq 2$, we deduce from (3.5) that

$$
\iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}(1 / n)^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{p /(p-1)} \leq c \iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}(1 / n)^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{2} \leq c
$$

The above inequality and (3.5) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}\left|\left(\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{2}+1 / n\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \frac{\partial\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p /(p-1)} d x d t  \tag{3.7}\\
& \quad \leq c\left(\iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{p} d x d t+(1 / n)^{\frac{p(p-2)}{2(p-1)}} \iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{p /(p-1)} d x d t\right) \\
& \quad \leq c\left(\iint_{Q_{T_{1}}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{p} d x d t+c(1 / n)^{\frac{p-2}{2(p-1)}}\right) \leq c .
\end{align*}
$$

Inequalities $(3.2),(3.3)$ and $(3.5)-(3.7)$ imply that there is a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ (denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ again) and a non-negative function $u \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T_{1}}\right)$ such that:

- $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ and $f\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow f(u)$ a.e. on $Q_{T_{1}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- $\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m} \rightharpoonup \nabla u^{m}$ weakly in $L^{p}\left(Q_{T_{1}}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- $\frac{\partial\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u^{m}}{\partial t}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(Q_{T_{1}}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- $\left(\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}\right|^{2}+1 / n\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \frac{\partial\left(u_{n}\right)^{m}}{\partial x_{i}} \rightharpoonup w_{i}$ weakly in $L^{p /(p-1)}\left(Q_{T_{1}}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By [Li, p. 12, Lemma 1.3], we know that $w_{i}=\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2}\left(u^{m}\right)_{x_{i}}$. Theorem 1.1 follows by a standard limiting process (see for example [Li, pp. 13-14]).
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