

## On the generalized Fermat equation over totally real fields

by

HELINE DECONINCK (Coventry)

**1. Introduction.** The idea that the Fermat equation over totally real fields can be studied using modularity and level lowering (thereby extending the approach of Wiles [13] for the Fermat equation over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) appears first in the papers of Jarvis [7] and Jarvis and Meekin [8]. In particular, Jarvis and Meekin show that the Fermat equation  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  has no non-trivial solutions with  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  and  $n \geq 4$ . This work is extended to other totally real fields in more recent papers of Freitas and Siksek [2], [3].

Let  $K$  be a totally real number field and let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be its ring of integers. In [2], Freitas and Siksek study the Fermat equation  $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$  with  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $p$  prime. For now let  $S$  be the set of primes of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  above 2 and let  $\mathcal{O}_S$  be the ring of  $S$ -integers and  $\mathcal{O}_S^*$  be the group of  $S$ -units. Freitas and Siksek give a criterion for the non-existence of solutions  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K$  with  $abc \neq 0$  for  $p$  sufficiently large in terms of the solutions to the  $S$ -unit equation  $\lambda + \mu = 1$ . The proof uses modularity and level lowering arguments over totally real fields. It is natural to seek an extension of the work of Freitas and Siksek to generalized Fermat equations  $Aa^p + Bb^p + Cc^p = 0$ , for given non-zero coefficients  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . In this paper we show that the results of Freitas and Siksek can indeed be extended to any choice of *odd* coefficients  $A, B, C$ , provided the set  $S$  is enlarged to contain the primes dividing  $ABC$  as well as the primes dividing 2.

We now state our results precisely. As in [2], our results will sometimes be conditional on the following standard conjecture.

**CONJECTURE 1.1** (“Eichler–Shimura”). *Let  $K$  be a totally real field. Let  $f$  be a Hilbert newform of level  $\mathcal{N}$  and parallel weight 2, and write  $\mathbb{Q}_f$  for the*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11D41; Secondary 11F80, 11F03.

*Key words and phrases*: Fermat equation, modularity, Galois representation, level lowering.

Received 18 May 2015.

Published online 2 May 2016.

field generated by its eigenvalues. Suppose that  $\mathbb{Q}_f = \mathbb{Q}$ . Then there is an elliptic curve  $E_f/K$  with conductor  $\mathcal{N}$  having the same L-function as  $f$ .

Let  $A, B, C$  be non-zero elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , and let  $p$  be a prime. Consider the equation

$$(1.1) \quad Aa^p + Bb^p + Cc^p = 0, \quad a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_K;$$

we shall refer to this as the *generalized Fermat equation over  $K$  with coefficients  $A, B, C$  and exponent  $p$* . A solution  $(a, b, c)$  is called *trivial* if  $abc = 0$ , otherwise *non-trivial*. The following notation shall be fixed throughout the paper:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} R &= \text{Rad}(ABC) = \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} | ABC \\ \mathfrak{q} \text{ prime in } K}} \mathfrak{q}, \\ S &= \{\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P} \text{ is a prime of } \mathcal{O}_K \text{ such that } \mathfrak{P} \nmid 2R\}, \\ T &= \{\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P} \text{ is a prime of } \mathcal{O}_K \text{ above } 2\}, \\ U &= \{\mathfrak{P} \in T : f(\mathfrak{P}/2) = 1\}, \quad V = \{\mathfrak{P} \in T : 3 \nmid v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $f(\mathfrak{P}/2)$  denotes the residual degree of  $\mathfrak{P}$ . As in [2], we need an assumption which we refer to throughout the paper as (ES):

$$(ES) \quad \begin{cases} \text{either } [K : \mathbb{Q}] \text{ is odd;} \\ \text{or } U \neq \emptyset; \\ \text{or Conjecture 1.1 holds for } K. \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $K$  be a totally real field satisfying (ES). Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , and suppose that  $A, B, C$  are odd, in the sense that if  $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2$  is a prime of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  then  $\mathfrak{P} \nmid ABC$ . Write  $\mathcal{O}_S^*$  for the set of  $S$ -units of  $K$ . Suppose that for every solution  $(\lambda, \mu)$  to the  $S$ -unit equation*

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda + \mu = 1, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_S^*,$$

there is either

- (A) some  $\mathfrak{P} \in U$  that satisfies  $\max\{|v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda)|, |v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mu)|\} \leq 4 v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2)$ , or
- (B) some  $\mathfrak{P} \in V$  that satisfies both  $\max\{|v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda)|, |v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mu)|\} \leq 4 v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2)$  and  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda\mu) \equiv v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2) \pmod{3}$ .

Then there is some constant  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(K, A, B, C)$  such that the generalized Fermat equation (1.1) with exponent  $p$  and coefficients  $A, B, C$  does not have non-trivial solutions with  $p > \mathcal{B}$ .

Theorem 1.2 gives a bound on the exponent of non-trivial solutions to the generalized Fermat equation (1.1) provided certain hypotheses are satisfied. There are practical algorithms for determining the solutions to  $S$ -unit equations (e.g. [12]), so these hypotheses can always be checked for specific

$K, A, B, C$ . The following theorem is an example where the  $S$ -unit equation can still be solved, even though the coefficients are not completely fixed.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $d \geq 13$  be squarefree, satisfying  $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , and let  $q \geq 29$  be a prime such that  $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $\left(\frac{d}{q}\right) = -1$ . Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  and assume Conjecture 1.1 holds for  $K$ . Then there is an effectively computable constant  $\mathcal{B}_{K,q}$  such that for all primes  $p > \mathcal{B}_{K,q}$ , the Fermat equation*

$$x^p + y^p + q^r z^p = 0$$

has no non-trivial solutions with exponent  $p$ .

**2. Preliminaries.** We shall need the theoretical machinery of modularity, irreducibility of Galois representations and level lowering. These tools and the way we use them is practically identical to [2] which we refer the reader to for more details.

**2.1. The Frey curve and its modularity.** We shall need the following recently proved theorem [1].

**THEOREM 2.1** (Freitas, Le Hung and Siksek). *Let  $K$  be a totally real field. Up to isomorphism over  $\overline{K}$ , there are at most finitely many non-modular elliptic curves  $E$  over  $K$ . Moreover, if  $K$  is real quadratic, then all elliptic curves over  $K$  are modular.*

We shall associate to a solution  $(a, b, c)$  of (1.1) the following *Frey elliptic curve*:

$$(2.1) \quad E : Y^2 = X(X - Aa^p)(X + Bb^p).$$

Before applying Theorem 2.1 to the Frey curve associated to our generalized Fermat equation (1.1) we shall need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{O}_K$  be odd, and suppose that every solution  $(\lambda, \mu)$  to the  $S$ -unit equation (1.3) satisfies either condition (A) or (B) of Theorem 1.2. Then  $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$  is not a solution to equation (1.1).*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$  is a solution to (1.1). By changing signs of  $A, B, C$ , we may suppose that  $(1, 1, 1)$  is a solution, and therefore that  $A + B + C = 0$ . Let  $\lambda = A/C$  and  $\mu = B/C$ . Clearly  $(\lambda, \mu)$  is a solution to the  $S$ -unit equation (1.3).

Suppose first that (A) is satisfied. Then  $U \neq \emptyset$ , so there is some  $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2$  with residue field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . As  $A, B, C$  are odd, we have  $\mathfrak{P} \nmid ABC$ . Reducing the relation  $A + B + C = 0$  modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$  we obtain  $1 + 1 + 1 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , giving a contradiction.

Suppose now that (B) holds. By (B) there is some  $\mathfrak{P} \in V$  such that  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda\mu) \equiv v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2) \pmod{3}$ . However, as  $A, B, C$  are odd,  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda\mu) = 0$ . Moreover,  $3 \nmid v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2)$  by definition of  $V$ . This gives a contradiction. ■

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{O}_K$  be odd, and suppose that every solution  $(\lambda, \mu)$  to the  $S$ -unit equation (1.3) satisfies either condition (A) or (B) of Theorem 1.2. There is some (ineffective) constant  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(K, A, B, C)$  such that for any non-trivial solution  $(a, b, c)$  of (1.1) with prime exponent  $p > \mathcal{A}$ , the Frey curve  $E$  given by (2.1) is modular.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1, there are at most finitely many possible  $\overline{K}$ -isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over  $K$  that are non-modular. Let  $j_1, \dots, j_n \in K$  be the  $j$ -invariants of these classes. Write  $\lambda = -Bb^p/Aa^p$ . The  $j$ -invariant of  $E_{a,b,c}$  is

$$j(\lambda) = 2^8 \cdot (\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)^3 \cdot \lambda^{-2}(\lambda - 1)^{-2}.$$

Each equation  $j(\lambda) = j_i$  has at most six solutions  $\lambda \in K$ . Thus there are values  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in K$  such that if  $\lambda \neq \lambda_k$  for all  $k$  then  $E$  is modular. If  $\lambda = \lambda_k$  then

$$(-b/a)^p = A\lambda_k/B, \quad (c/a)^p = A(\lambda_k - 1)/C.$$

This pair of equations results in a bound for  $p$  unless  $-b/a$  and  $c/a$  are both roots of unity. But as  $K$  is real, the only roots of unity are  $\pm 1$ . If  $-b/a = \pm 1$  and  $c/a = \pm 1$  then (1.1) has a solution of the form  $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$ , contradicting Lemma 2.2. This completes the proof. ■

**2.2. Irreducibility of mod  $p$  representations of elliptic curves.**

To use a generalized version of level lowering, we need the mod  $p$  Galois representation associated to the Frey elliptic curve to be irreducible. The following theorem of Freitas and Siksek [4, Theorem 2], building on earlier work of David, Momose and Merel, is sufficient for our purpose.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $K$  be a totally real field. There is an effective constant  $C_K$ , depending only on  $K$ , such that the following holds. If  $p > C_K$  is a rational prime, and  $E$  is an elliptic curve over  $K$  which is semistable at some  $\mathfrak{q} | p$ , then  $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$  is irreducible.*

In [4] the theorem is stated for Galois totally real fields  $K$ , but the version stated here follows immediately on replacing  $K$  by its Galois closure.

**2.3. Level lowering.** As before,  $K$  is a totally real field. Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve of conductor  $\mathcal{N}$ , and  $p$  a rational prime. For a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $K$  denote by  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{q}}$  the discriminant of a local minimal model for  $E$  at  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Let

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{M}_p := \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} | \mathcal{N} \\ p | v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{q}})}} \mathfrak{q}, \quad \mathcal{N}_p := \mathcal{N} / \mathcal{M}_p.$$

The ideal  $\mathcal{M}_p$  is precisely the product of the primes where we want to lower the level. For a Hilbert eigenform  $\mathfrak{f}$  over  $K$ , denote the field generated by its

eigenvalues by  $\mathbb{Q}_f$ . The following level-lowering recipe is derived by Freitas and Siksek [2] from the works of Fujiwara [5], Jarvis [6] and Rajaei [9].

**THEOREM 2.5.** *With the above notation, suppose that:*

- (i)  $p \geq 5$  and  $p$  is unramified in  $K$ ,
- (ii)  $E$  is modular,
- (iii)  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$  is irreducible,
- (iv)  $E$  is semistable at all  $\mathfrak{q} \mid p$ ,
- (v)  $p \mid v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{q}})$  for all  $\mathfrak{q} \mid p$ .

*Then there is a Hilbert eigenform  $\mathfrak{f}$  of parallel weight 2 that is new at level  $\mathcal{N}_p$ , and some prime  $\varpi$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_f$  such that  $\varpi \mid p$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p} \sim \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{f},\varpi}$ .*

**3. Conductor of the Frey curve.** Let  $(a, b, c)$  be a non-trivial solution to the Fermat equation (1.1). Write

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{G}_{a,b,c} = a\mathcal{O}_K + b\mathcal{O}_K + c\mathcal{O}_K,$$

which we naturally think of as the greatest common divisor of  $a, b, c$ . Over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , or over a number field of class number 1, it is natural to scale the solution  $(a, b, c)$  so that  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b,c} = 1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_K$ , but this is not possible in general. The primes that divide all of  $a, b, c$  can be additive primes for the Frey curve, and additive primes are not removed by the level lowering recipe given above. To control the final level we need to control  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b,c}$ . Following [2], we fix a set

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_h\}$$

of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_i \nmid 2R$ , which is a set of representatives for the ideal classes of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . For a non-zero ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , we denote by  $[\mathfrak{a}]$  the class of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in the class group. We denote  $[\mathcal{G}_{a,b,c}]$  by  $[a, b, c]$ . The following is Lemma 3.2 of [2], and states that we can always scale our solution  $(a, b, c)$  so that the gcd belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $(a, b, c)$  be a non-trivial solution to (1.1). There is a non-trivial integral solution  $(a', b', c')$  to (1.1) such that the following hold.*

- (i) For some  $\xi \in K^*$ ,
- $$a' = \xi a, \quad b' = \xi b, \quad c' = \xi c.$$

- (ii)  $\mathcal{G}_{a',b',c'} = \mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (iii)  $[a', b', c'] = [a, b, c]$ .

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $(a, b, c)$  be a non-trivial solution to the Fermat equation (1.1) with odd prime exponent  $p$ , and scaled as in Lemma 3.1 so that  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b,c} = \mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Write  $E = E_{a,b,c}$  for the Frey curve in (2.1), and let  $\Delta$  be its discriminant. For a prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  we write  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{q}}$  for the minimal discriminant at  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Then at all  $\mathfrak{q} \notin S \cup \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ , the model  $E$  is minimal, semistable, and satisfies*

$p \mid v_q(\Delta_q)$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the conductor of  $E$ , and let  $\mathcal{N}_p$  be as defined in (2.2). Then

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{m}^{s_m} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \in S} \mathfrak{P}^{r_{\mathfrak{P}}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \mid abc \\ \mathfrak{q} \notin S \cup \{\mathfrak{m}\}}} \mathfrak{q}, \quad \mathcal{N}_p = \mathfrak{m}^{s'_m} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \in S} \mathfrak{P}^{r'_{\mathfrak{P}}},$$

where  $0 \leq r'_{\mathfrak{P}} \leq r_{\mathfrak{P}} \leq 2 + 6 v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2)$  for  $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2$ , and  $0 \leq r'_{\mathfrak{P}} \leq r_{\mathfrak{P}} \leq 2$  for  $\mathfrak{P} \mid R$ , and  $0 \leq s'_m \leq s_m \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* The discriminant of the model given by  $E$  is  $16(ABC)^2(abc)^{2p}$ , thus the primes appearing in  $\mathcal{N}$  will be either primes dividing  $2R$  or dividing  $abc$ . For  $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2$  we have  $r_{\mathfrak{P}} = v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathcal{N}) \leq 2 + 6 v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2)$  by [11, Theorem IV.10.4]; this proves the correctness of the bounds for the exponents in  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_p$  at even primes, and we will restrict our attention to odd primes. As  $E$  has full 2-torsion over  $K$ , the wild part of the conductor of  $E/K$  vanishes [11, p. 380] at all odd  $\mathfrak{q}$ , and so  $v_q(\mathcal{N}_p) \leq v_q(\mathcal{N}) \leq 2$ . This proves the correctness of the bounds for the exponents in  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_p$  at  $\mathfrak{q}$  that divide  $R$  and for  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}$ .

It remains to consider  $\mathfrak{q} \mid abc$  satisfying  $\mathfrak{q} \notin S \cup \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ . It is easily checked that the model (2.1) is minimal and has multiplicative reduction at such  $\mathfrak{q}$ , and it is therefore clear that  $p \mid v_q(\Delta) = v_q(\Delta_q)$ . It follows that  $v_q(\mathcal{N}) = 1$ , and from the recipe for  $\mathcal{N}_p$  in (2.2) that  $v_q(\mathcal{N}_p) = 0$ . ■

#### 4. Level lowering for the Frey curve

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $K$  be a totally real field satisfying (ES). Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{O}_K$  be odd, and suppose that every solution  $(\lambda, \mu)$  to the  $S$ -unit equation (1.3) satisfies either condition (A) or (B) of Theorem 1.2. There is a constant  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(K, A, B, C)$  depending only on  $K$  and  $A, B, C$  such that the following hold. Let  $(a, b, c)$  be a non-trivial solution to the generalized Fermat equation (1.1) with prime exponent  $p > \mathcal{B}$ , and rescale  $(a, b, c)$  as in Lemma 3.1 so that it remains integral and satisfies  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b,c} = \mathfrak{m}$  for some  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Write  $E = E_{a,b,c}$  for the Frey curve given in (2.1). Then there is an elliptic curve  $E'$  over  $K$  such that*

- (i) *the conductor of  $E'$  is divisible only by primes in  $S \cup \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\#E'(K)[2] = 4$ ;*
- (iii)  *$\bar{\rho}_{E,p} \sim \bar{\rho}_{E',p}$ .*

Write  $j'$  for the  $j$ -invariant of  $E'$ . Then:

- (a) *for  $\mathfrak{P} \in U$ , we have  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(j') < 0$ ;*
- (b) *for  $\mathfrak{P} \in V$ , we have either  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(j') < 0$  or  $3 \nmid v_{\mathfrak{P}}(j')$ ;*
- (c) *for  $\mathfrak{q} \notin S$ , we have  $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(j') \geq 0$ .*

*In particular,  $E'$  has potentially good reduction away from  $S$ .*

*Proof.* We first observe, by Lemma 3.2, that  $E$  is semistable outside  $S \cup \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ . By taking  $\mathcal{B}$  to be sufficiently large, we see from Corollary 2.3 that  $E$  is modular, and from Theorem 2.4 that  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$  is irreducible. Applying Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 3.2, we see that  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p} \sim \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{f},\varpi}$  for a Hilbert newform  $\mathfrak{f}$  of level  $\mathcal{N}_p$  and some prime  $\varpi \mid p$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{f}}$ . Here  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  is the field generated by the Hecke eigenvalues of  $\mathfrak{f}$ . The remainder of the proof is identical to the proof of [2, Theorem 9], and so we omit the details, except that we point out that it is here that we make use of assumption (ES). ■

The constant  $\mathcal{B}$  is ineffective as it depends on the ineffective constant  $\mathcal{A}$  in Corollary 2.3. However, if  $K$  is a real quadratic field then we do not need that corollary as we get modularity from Theorem 2.1. In this case the arguments of [2] produce an effective constant  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**5. Elliptic curves with full 2-torsion and solutions to the  $S$ -unit equation.** Theorem 4.1 relates non-trivial solutions of the Fermat equation to elliptic curves with full 2-torsion having potentially good reduction outside  $S$ . There is a well-known correspondence between such elliptic curves and solutions of the  $S$ -unit equation (1.3) that we now sketch.

Consider an elliptic curve over  $K$  with full 2-torsion,

$$(5.1) \quad y^2 = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3),$$

where  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  are distinct. The *cross ratio*

$$\lambda = \frac{a_3 - a_1}{a_2 - a_1}$$

belongs to  $\mathbb{P}^1(K) - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ . Moreover, any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(K) - \{0, 1, \infty\}$  can be written as a cross ratio of three distinct  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  in  $K$  and hence comes from an elliptic curve with full 2-torsion. Write  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  for the symmetric group on three letters. The action of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  on the triple  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  extends via the cross ratio in a well-defined manner to an action on  $\mathbb{P}^1(K) - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ . The orbit of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(K) - \{0, 1, \infty\}$  under the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  is

$$(5.2) \quad \left\{ \lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda}, 1 - \lambda, \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}, \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \right\}.$$

It follows from the theory of Legendre elliptic curves [10, pp. 53–55] that the cross ratio in fact defines a bijection between elliptic curves over  $K$  having full 2-torsion (up to isomorphism over  $\bar{K}$ ), and  $\lambda$ -invariants up to the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$ . Under this bijection, the  $\mathfrak{S}_3$ -orbit of a given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(K) \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$  is associated to the  $\bar{K}$ -isomorphism class of the *Legendre elliptic curve*  $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$ . We would like to understand the  $\lambda$ -invariants that correspond to elliptic curves over  $K$  with full 2-torsion and potentially good reduction

outside  $S$ . The  $j$ -invariant of the Legendre elliptic curve is given by

$$(5.3) \quad j(\lambda) = 2^8 \cdot \frac{(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)^3}{\lambda^2(1 - \lambda)^2}.$$

The Legendre elliptic curve (and therefore its  $\overline{K}$ -isomorphism class) has potentially good reduction outside  $S$  if and only if  $j(\lambda)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_S$ . It easily follows from (5.3) that this happens precisely when both  $\lambda$  and  $1 - \lambda$  are  $S$ -units (recall that  $S$  includes all the primes above 2); in other words, this is equivalent to  $(\lambda, \mu)$  being a solution to the  $S$ -unit equation (1.3), where  $\mu = 1 - \lambda$ . Let  $\Lambda_S$  be the set of solutions to the  $S$ -unit equation (1.3):

$$(5.4) \quad \Lambda_S = \{(\lambda, \mu) : \lambda + \mu = 1, \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_S^*\}.$$

It is easy to see that the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(K) - \{0, 1, \infty\}$  induces a well-defined action on  $\Lambda_S$  given by

$$(\lambda, \mu)^\sigma = (\lambda^\sigma, 1 - \lambda^\sigma).$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_3 \backslash \Lambda_S$  the set of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$ -orbits in  $\Lambda_S$ . We deduce the following.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $\mathcal{E}_S$  be the set of all elliptic curves over  $K$  with full 2-torsion and potentially good reduction outside  $S$ . Define the equivalence relation  $E_1 \sim E_2$  on  $\mathcal{E}_S$  to mean that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are isomorphic over  $\overline{K}$ . There is a well-defined bijection*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{E}_S / \sim \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_3 \backslash \Lambda_S$$

which sends the class of an elliptic curve given by (5.1) to the orbit of

$$\left( \frac{a_3 - a_1}{a_2 - a_1}, \frac{a_2 - a_3}{a_2 - a_1} \right)$$

in  $\mathfrak{S}_3 \backslash \Lambda_S$ ; the map  $\Phi^{-1}$  sends the orbit of  $(\lambda, \mu)$  to the class of the Legendre elliptic curve  $y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - \lambda)$ .

We shall need the following for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let  $E' \in \mathcal{E}_S$  and suppose that its  $\sim$ -equivalence class corresponds via  $\Phi$  to the orbit of  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_S$ . Let  $j'$  be the  $j$ -invariant of  $E'$  and  $\mathfrak{P} \in T$ . Then:*

- (i)  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(j') \geq 0$  if and only if  $\max\{|v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda)|, |v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mu)|\} \leq 4v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2)$ ;
- (ii)  $3 \mid v_{\mathfrak{P}}(j')$  if and only if  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda\mu) \equiv v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2) \pmod{3}$ .

*Proof.* Observe that

$$(5.5) \quad j' = j(\lambda) = 2^8 \cdot \frac{(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)^3}{\lambda^2(\lambda - 1)^2} = 2^8 \cdot \frac{(1 - \lambda\mu)^3}{(\lambda\mu)^2}.$$

From this we immediately deduce (ii). Let

$$m = v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda), \quad n = v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mu), \quad t = \max(|m|, |n|).$$

If  $t = 0$  then  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(j') \geq 8v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2) > 0$ , and so (i) holds. We may therefore suppose that  $t > 0$ . Now the relation  $\lambda + \mu = 1$  forces either  $m = n = -t$ , or  $m = 0$  and  $n = t$ , or  $m = t$  and  $n = 0$ . Thus  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda\mu) = -2t < 0$  or  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda\mu) = t > 0$ . In either case, from (5.3),

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}}(j') = 8v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2) - 2t.$$

This proves (i). ■

**6. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $K$  be a totally real field satisfying assumption (ES). Let  $S, T, U, V$  be as in (1.2). Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be as in Theorem 4.1, and let  $(a, b, c)$  be a non-trivial solution to the Fermat equation (1.1) with exponent  $p > \mathcal{B}$ , scaled so that  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b,c} = \mathfrak{m}$  with  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Applying Theorem 4.1 gives an elliptic curve  $E'/K$  with full 2-torsion and potentially good reduction outside  $S$  whose  $j$ -invariant  $j'$  satisfies:

- (a) for all  $\mathfrak{P} \in U$ , we have  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(j') < 0$ ;
- (b) for all  $\mathfrak{P} \in V$ , we have  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(j') < 0$  or  $3 \nmid v_{\mathfrak{P}}(j')$ .

Let  $(\lambda, \mu)$  be a solution to the  $S$ -unit equation (1.3), whose  $\mathfrak{S}_3$ -orbit corresponds to the  $\bar{K}$ -isomorphism class of  $E'$  as in Lemma 5.1. By Lemma 5.2 and (a), (b) we know that

- (a') for all  $\mathfrak{P} \in U$ , we have  $\max\{|v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda)|, |v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mu)|\} > 4v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2)$ ;
- (b') for all  $\mathfrak{P} \in V$ , we have  $\max\{|v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda)|, |v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mu)|\} > 4v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2)$  or  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\lambda\mu) \not\equiv v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2) \pmod{3}$ .

These contradict assumptions (A) and (B) of Theorem 1.2, completing the proof.

**7. The  $S$ -unit equation over real quadratic fields.** To prove Theorem 1.3 we need to understand the solutions to the  $S$ -unit equation (1.3) for real quadratic fields  $K$ . This is easier when  $S$  is small in size.

LEMMA 7.1. *Suppose  $|S| = 2$ . Let  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_S$ . Then there is  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3$  such that  $(\lambda', \mu') = (\lambda, \mu)^\sigma$  satisfies  $\lambda', \mu' \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .*

*Proof.* As  $\mu' = 1 - \lambda'$  we need only find  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3$  such that  $\lambda' = \lambda^\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Write  $S = \{\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2\}$ . If  $v_{\mathfrak{P}_i}(\lambda) \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then let  $\lambda' = \lambda/(\lambda - 1)$ , which will have non-negative valuation at  $\mathfrak{P}_i$  and so belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Thus without loss of generality we may suppose that  $v_{\mathfrak{P}_1}(\lambda) = 0$ . Now if  $v_{\mathfrak{P}_2}(\lambda) \geq 0$  then  $\lambda' = \lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , and if  $v_{\mathfrak{P}_2}(\lambda) < 0$  then  $\lambda' = 1/\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . ■

For the remainder of this section,  $d$  denotes a squarefree integer  $\geq 13$  that satisfies  $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , and  $q \geq 29$  a prime satisfying  $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $(\frac{d}{q}) = -1$ . Let  $K$  denote the real quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . It follows that both  $q$  and 2 are inert in  $K$ . We let  $S = \{2, q\}$ .

LEMMA 7.2. *Let  $K$  and  $S$  be as above, and let  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_S$ . Then  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $(\lambda, \mu)$  belongs to the  $\mathfrak{S}_3$ -orbit  $\{(1/2, 1/2), (2, -1), (-1, 2)\} \subseteq \Lambda_S$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Q}$ . By Lemma 7.1 we may suppose that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  belong to  $\mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$ , and hence  $\lambda = \pm 2^{r_1} q^{s_1}$  and  $\mu = \pm 2^{r_2} q^{s_2}$  where  $r_i \geq 0$  and  $s_i \geq 0$ . As  $\lambda + \mu = 1$  we see that one of  $r_1, r_2$  is 0, and likewise one of  $s_1, s_2$  is 0. Without loss of generality  $r_2 = 0$ . If  $s_2 = 0$  too then we have  $\lambda \pm 1 = 1$ , which forces  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2, -1)$  as required. We may therefore suppose that  $s_1 = 0$ . Hence  $\pm 2^{r_1} \pm q^{s_2} = 1$ . If  $s_2 = 0$  then again we obtain  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2, -1)$ , so suppose  $s_2 > 0$ .

We now easily check that  $r_1 = 1$  and  $r_1 = 2$  are both incompatible with our hypotheses on  $q$ . Thus  $r_1 \geq 3$  and so  $\mu = \pm q^{s_2} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . As  $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , we have  $\mu = q^{2t}$  for some integer  $t \geq 1$ . Hence  $(q^t + 1)(q^t - 1) = \mu - 1 = -\lambda = \mp 2^{r_1}$ . This implies that  $q^t + 1 = 2^a$  and  $q^t - 1 = 2^b$  where  $a \geq b \geq 1$ . Subtracting we have  $2^a - 2^b = 2$ , and so  $b = 1$  and  $q = 3$ , giving a contradiction. ■

Following [2] we call the elements of the orbit  $\{(1/2, 1/2), (2, -1), (-1, 2)\}$  *irrelevant*, and other elements of  $\Lambda_S$  *relevant*. Next we give a parametrization of all relevant elements of  $\Lambda_S$ . This the analogue of [2, Lemma 6.4], and shows that such a parametrization is possible even though our set  $S$  is larger, containing the odd prime  $q$ .

LEMMA 7.3. *Up to the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$ , every relevant  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_S$  has the form*

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda &= \frac{\eta_1 \cdot 2^{2r_1} \cdot q^{2s_1} - \eta_2 \cdot q^{2s_2} + 1 + v\sqrt{d}}{2}, \\ \mu &= \frac{\eta_2 \cdot q^{2s_2} - \eta_1 \cdot 2^{2r_1} \cdot q^{2s_1} + 1 - v\sqrt{d}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= \pm 1, & \eta_2 &= \pm 1, & r_1 &\geq 0, \\ s_1, s_2 &\geq 0, & s_1 \cdot s_2 &= 0, & v &\in \mathbb{Z}, & v &\neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

are related by

$$(7.3) \quad (\eta_1 \cdot 2^{2r_1} \cdot q^{2s_1} - \eta_2 \cdot q^{2s_2} + 1)^2 - dv^2 = \eta_1 \cdot 2^{2r_1+2} \cdot q^{2s_1},$$

$$(7.4) \quad (\eta_2 \cdot q^{2s_2} - \eta_1 \cdot 2^{2r_1} \cdot q^{2s_1} + 1)^2 - dv^2 = \eta_2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot q^{2s_2}.$$

*Proof.* If  $\eta_1, \eta_2, r_1, s_1, s_2$  and  $v$  satisfy (7.2)–(7.4) and  $\lambda, \mu$  are given by (7.1), it is clear that  $(\lambda, \mu)$  is a relevant element of  $\Lambda_S$ .

Conversely, suppose  $(\lambda, \mu)$  is a relevant element of  $\Lambda_S$ . By Lemma 7.2, we may suppose that  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\lambda, \mu \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . As  $S = \{2, q\}$  we can write  $\lambda = 2^{r_1} q^{s_1} \lambda'$  and  $\mu = 2^{r_2} q^{s_2} \mu'$  where  $\lambda'$  and  $\mu'$  are units. As  $\lambda + \mu = 1$  we

have  $r_1 r_2 = 0$  and  $s_1 s_2 = 0$ . Swapping  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  if necessary, we can suppose that  $r_2 = 0$ . Let  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  denote conjugation in  $K$ . Then

$$\lambda \bar{\lambda} = \eta_1 \cdot 2^{2r_1} \cdot q^{2s_1}, \quad \mu \bar{\mu} = \eta_2 \cdot q^{2s_2}, \quad \eta_1 = \pm 1, \quad \eta_2 = \pm 1.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda + \bar{\lambda} &= \lambda \bar{\lambda} - (1 - \lambda)(1 - \bar{\lambda}) + 1 = \lambda \bar{\lambda} - \mu \bar{\mu} + 1 \\ &= \eta_1 \cdot 2^{2r_1} \cdot q^{2s_1} - \eta_2 \cdot q^{2s_2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we can write  $\lambda - \bar{\lambda} = v\sqrt{d}$ , where  $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and as  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $v \neq 0$ . The expressions for  $\lambda + \bar{\lambda}$  and  $\lambda - \bar{\lambda}$  give the expression for  $\lambda$  in (7.1), and we deduce the expression for  $\mu$  from  $\mu = 1 - \lambda$ . Finally, (7.3) follows from the identity

$$(\lambda + \bar{\lambda})^2 - (\lambda - \bar{\lambda})^2 = 4\lambda \bar{\lambda},$$

and (7.4) from the corresponding identity for  $\mu$ . ■

LEMMA 7.4. *Let  $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  be squarefree  $\geq 13$ , and  $q \geq 29$  a prime such that  $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $\left(\frac{d}{q}\right) = -1$ . Then there are no relevant elements of  $A_S$ .*

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 7.3. In particular,  $s_1 s_2 = 0$ . Suppose first that  $s_1 > 0$ . Thus  $s_2 = 0$ . As  $\left(\frac{d}{q}\right) = -1$ , we deduce from (7.3) that  $q^{s_1} \mid v$  and  $q^{s_1} \mid (\eta_2 - 1)$ . Hence  $\eta_2 = 1$ . Now (7.3) can be rewritten as

$$2^{4r_1} q^{2s_1} - d(v/q^{s_1})^2 = \eta_1 2^{2r_1+2}.$$

Thus  $\left(\frac{d}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{-\eta_1}{q}\right) = 1$  as  $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . This is a contradiction.

Thus, henceforth,  $s_1 = 0$ . Next suppose that  $s_2 = 0$ . We will consider the subcases  $\eta_2 = -1$  and  $\eta_2 = 1$  separately and obtain contradictions in both subcases showing that  $s_2 > 0$ .

Suppose  $\eta_2 = -1$ . From (7.4) we have  $2^{4r_1} - dv^2 = -4$ . If  $r_1 = 0$  or 1 then  $d = 5$ , and if  $r_1 \geq 2$  then  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , giving a contradiction.

Hence suppose  $\eta_2 = 1$ . From (7.3), we have  $2^{4r_1} - dv^2 = \eta_1 2^{2r_1+2}$ . If  $r_1 = 0, 1, 2$  then  $dv^2 = 1 \pm 4$ ,  $dv^2 = 16 \pm 16$ ,  $dv^2 = 256 \pm 64$ , all of which contradict the assumptions on  $d$  or the fact that  $v \neq 0$  (by (7.2)). If  $r_1 \geq 3$  then  $2^{2r_1-2} - \eta_1 = d(v/2^{r_1+1})^2$ , which forces  $d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ , a contradiction.

We are reduced to  $s_1 = 0$  and  $s_2 > 0$ . From (7.4), as  $\left(\frac{d}{q}\right) = -1$ , we have  $q^{s_2} \mid v$  and

$$(7.5) \quad q^{s_2} \mid (\eta_1 2^{2r_1} - 1).$$

The conditions  $q \geq 29$  and  $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  force  $r_1 \geq 5$ . Write  $v = 2^t w$  where  $2 \nmid w$ . Suppose  $t \leq r_1 - 1$ . From (7.3) we have  $\eta_1 2^{2r_1} - \eta_2 q^{2s_2} + 1 = 2^t w'$  where  $2 \nmid w'$ . Thus  $w'^2 - dw^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ , contradicting  $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . We may therefore suppose  $t \geq r_1$ . Hence  $2^{r_1} \mid (\eta_2 q^{2s_2} - 1)$ . Thus  $\eta_2 = 1$ .

Therefore  $2^{r_1} \mid (q^{s_2} - 1)(q^{s_2} + 1)$ . Since  $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , we have  $2 \parallel (q^{s_2} + 1)$  and so

$$2^{r_1-1} \mid (q^{s_2} - 1).$$

As  $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $r_1 \geq 5$ , we see that  $s_2$  must be even, and that  $2^{r_1-2} \mid (q^{s_2/2} - 1)$ . We can write  $q^{s_2/2} = k \cdot 2^{r_1-2} + 1$ . From (7.5),

$$k^2 2^{2r_1-4} + k 2^{r_1-1} + 1 = q^{s_2} \leq 2^{2r_1} + 1.$$

Hence  $k = 1, 2$  or  $3$ . Moreover, as  $q^{s_2/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , we have  $4 \mid s_2$ . Hence

$$(q^{s_2/4} - 1)(q^{s_2/4} + 1) = k 2^{r_1-2}.$$

Again as  $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  we have  $2 \parallel (q^{s_2/4} + 1)$  and so  $q^{s_2/4} + 1 = 2$  or  $6$ , both of which are impossible. This completes the proof. ■

**8. Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We apply Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 7.4 all solutions to (1.3) are irrelevant, and the irrelevant solutions satisfy condition (A) of Theorem 1.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**Acknowledgements.** The author's research is funded by an EPSRC studentship.

### References

- [1] N. Freitas, B. V. Le Hung and S. Siksek, *Elliptic curves over real quadratic fields are modular*, Invent. Math. 201 (2015), 159–206.
- [2] N. Freitas and S. Siksek, *An asymptotic Fermat's Last Theorem for five-sixths of real quadratic fields*, Compos. Math. 151 (2015), 1395–1415.
- [3] N. Freitas and S. Siksek, *Fermat's Last Theorem for some small real quadratic fields*, Algebra Number Theory 9 (2015), 875–895.
- [4] N. Freitas and S. Siksek, *Criteria for irreducibility of mod  $p$  representations of Frey curves*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 27 (2015), 67–76.
- [5] K. Fujiwara, *Level optimisation in the totally real case*, arXiv:0602586v1 (2006).
- [6] F. Jarvis, *Level lowering for modular mod  $\ell$  representations over totally real fields*, Math. Ann. 313 (1999), 141–160.
- [7] F. Jarvis, *Correspondences on Shimura curves and Mazur's principle at  $p$* , Pacific J. Math. 213 (2004), 267–280.
- [8] F. Jarvis and P. Meekin, *The Fermat equation over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$* , J. Number Theory 109 (2004), 182–196.
- [9] A. Rajaei, *On the levels of mod  $\ell$  Hilbert modular forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 537 (2001), 33–65.
- [10] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Grad. Texts in Math. 106, Springer, 1986.
- [11] J. H. Silverman, *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Grad. Texts in Math. 151, Springer, 1994.
- [12] N. P. Smart, *The Algorithmic Resolution of Diophantine Equations*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts 41, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.

- [13] A. Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem*, Ann. of Math. 141 (1995), 443–551.

Helene Deconinck  
Mathematics Institute  
University of Warwick  
Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom  
E-mail: helene.deconinck@gmail.com

