

Existence of a positive ground state solution for a Kirchhoff type problem involving a critical exponent

LAN ZENG and CHUN LEI TANG (Chongqing)

Abstract. We consider the following Kirchhoff type problem involving a critical non-linearity:

$$\begin{cases} -\left[a + b\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^m\right] \Delta u = f(x, u) + |u|^{2^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 3$) is a smooth bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $a > 0$, $b \geq 0$, and $0 < m < 2/(N-2)$. Under appropriate assumptions on f , we show the existence of a positive ground state solution via the variational method.

1. Introduction and main results. The purpose of this article is to investigate the existence of a ground state solution of the Kirchhoff type problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -(a + b\|u\|^{2m}) \Delta u = f(x, u) + |u|^{2^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 3$) is a smooth bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $0 < m < 2/(N-2)$. Here $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent for the embedding of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $L^p(\Omega)$ for every $p \in [1, 2^*]$, where $H_0^1(\Omega)$ denotes the usual Sobolev space endowed with the norm $\|u\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ and $L^p(\Omega)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space with the norm $\|u\|_p = (\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx)^{1/p}$; and $f : \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

The Kirchhoff equation which included the nonlocal term $M(\|u\|^2)$ was proposed by Kirchhoff [9] in the following problem:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 35A15; Secondary 35B09.

Key words and phrases: Kirchhoff type problem, critical growth, mountain pass lemma, Brézis–Lieb lemma, ground state solution.

Received 29 July 2015; revised 12 January 2016.

Published online 16 May 2016.

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - M(\|u\|^2)\Delta u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x); \end{cases}$$

the above equation as an extension of the classical d’Alembert wave equation for free vibrations of elastic strings. Those kinds of problems were also considered in nonlinear vibration theory [14, 15]. In mathematics, the Kirchhoff equation has also been extensively discussed, for example, in [2, 1, 12, 13, 6, 7, 10, 18, 19, 16].

In recent years, the Kirchhoff problem involving critical growth has attracted much attention:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -M(\|u\|^2)\Delta u = \lambda f(x, u) + |u|^{2^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. Up to now, several existence results have been successfully obtained via the variational and topological methods. By letting the parameter λ be large enough, Alves et al. [1] have verified the existence of a positive solution for problem (1.2) with $N = 3$, and Hamydy et al. [8] have extended their result to the p -Kirchhoff problem. On the basis of [1], Figueiredo et al. [6, 7] have obtained some interesting results by using an appropriate truncation of M .

In the case $N = 3$ and $M(s) = a + bs$, (1.2) has the following form:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} -(a + b\|u\|^2)\Delta u = \lambda f(x, u) + u^5 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

By using the Brézis–Lieb Lemma [4], Xie et al. [19] have obtained a positive solution for problem (1.3) with $\lambda = 1$. D. Naimen has used the Second Concentration-Compactness Lemma of Lions [11] to obtain the following result:

THEOREM A (see [12]) *Let $a > 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Suppose that f satisfies the following assumptions:*

- (f₁) *f is continuous in $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$, $f(x, t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and $f(x, t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.*
- (f₂) *$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(x, t)/t = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(x, t)/t^5 = 0$, uniformly for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.*
- (f₃) *There exists a constant $\theta > 0$ such that $4 < \theta < 6$ and $f(x, t)t - \theta F(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and $t \geq 0$, where $F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) s ds$.*
- (f₄) *There exists a nonempty open set $\omega \subset \Omega$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(x, t)/t^3 = \infty$ uniformly for $x \in \omega$.*

Then problem (1.3) has a positive solution for all $\lambda > 0$.

In [13], D. Naimen attacks the Brézis–Nirenberg problem for a 4-dimensional Kirchhoff type problem with critical growth.

Motivated by the work mentioned above, in this paper we verify the existence of a ground state solution for problem (1.1). On the one hand, by giving a weaker assumption on f , we extend Theorem A. On the other hand, we encounter big problems in proving the local $(PS)_c$ condition and estimating the mountain pass value, and we use a new calculation method to overcome these problems.

To state our main results, we make the following assumptions on f .

- (f₁) f is continuous in $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$, $f(x, t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and $f(x, t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.
- (f₂) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(x, t)/t = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(x, t)/t^{2^*-1} = 0$, uniformly for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.
- (f₃) $\frac{1}{2m+2}f(x, t)t - F(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $t \geq 0$.
- (f₄) There exists a nonempty open set $\omega \subset \Omega$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(x, t)/t^3 = \infty$ uniformly for $x \in \omega$.
- (f₅) There exist constants $\eta, \mu > 0$ such that $f(x, t) \geq \eta t$ for all $x \in \omega$ and $t \in [\mu, \infty)$, where ω is some nonempty open subset of Ω .
- (f₆) There exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that $f(x, t) \geq \eta$ for all $x \in \omega$ and $t \in A$, where $A \subset (0, \infty)$ is a nonempty open interval and ω is a nonempty open subset of Ω .

The main results of this paper are the following theorems.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose $N = 3$, $a > 0$, $b \geq 0$ and $0 < m < 2$. If (f₁), (f'₂), (f₃) and (f₄) hold, then problem (1.1) has a positive ground state solution.*

COROLLARY 1.1. *Let $a > 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Assume that assumptions (f₁), (f'₂), (f₄) are satisfied and*

$$(f''_3) \quad \frac{1}{4}f(x, t)t - F(x, t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

Then problem (1.3) has a positive ground state solution.

REMARK 1.1. Corollary 1.1 essentially extends Theorem A. To see this, it suffices to compare condition (f'₃) with (f''₃): obviously, the latter is weaker. Moreover, there are functions covered by our Corollary 1.1, but not by Theorem A, for example,

$$f(x, t) = 4t^3 \ln(1 + t^2) + \frac{2t^5}{1 + t^2} \quad \text{for } x \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose $N = 4$, $a > 0$, $b \geq 0$ and $0 < m < 1$. If (f₁)–(f₃) and (f₅) hold, then problem (1.1) has a positive ground state solution.*

THEOREM 1.3. *Suppose $N \geq 5$, $a > 0$, $b \geq 0$ and $0 < m < 2/(N - 2)$. If (f₁)–(f₃) and (f₆) hold, then problem (1.1) has a positive ground state solution.*

REMARK 1.2. In this paper, we have to overcome various difficulties. The lack of compactness of the Sobolev embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ is

the most difficult one. Moreover, we have to estimate the critical value. In addition, because of the parameter m , we also encounter some calculational problems which will be solved by a new method.

REMARK 1.3. As far as we know, results similar to Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 for high-dimensional Kirchhoff problems are rare.

2. Proofs of theorems. We make use of the following notation.

- Let S be the best Sobolev constant, that is,

$$S := \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx\right)^{2/2^*}},$$

where $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \partial u / \partial x_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), i = 1, \dots, N\}$.

- $\{u_n\}$ is called a $(PS)_c$ sequence for a functional I if $I(u_n) \rightarrow c$ and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; and I satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition if any $(PS)_c$ sequence has a convergent subsequence.
- C denotes various positive constants.
- $B(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ denotes an open ball with center at x and radius r .

We know that finding a solution of problem (1.1) is equivalent to finding a critical point of the C^1 functional

$$I(u) = \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2} \|u\|^{2m+2} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx,$$

which implies that

$$\langle I'(u), v \rangle = (a + b\|u\|^{2m}) \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u, \nabla v) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)v dx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-1}v dx$$

for all $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

The following lemma plays an important role in proving Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $h(r) = a + bS^{mN/2}r^{2m} - r^{2^*-2}$ ($r > 0$). Then:*

- (1) *the equation $h(r) = 0$ has a unique positive solution r_0 , which satisfies*

$$(2.1) \quad a + bS^{mN/2}r_0^{2m} = r_0^{2^*-2};$$

- (2) *the set of solutions of $h(r) \leq 0$ is $\{r \mid r \geq r_0\}$.*

Proof. (1) Firstly, we show the monotonicity of $h(r)$ on $(0, \infty)$. We have

$$h'(r) = 2mbS^{mN/2}r^{2m-1} - (2^* - 2)r^{2^*-3}.$$

The equation $h'(r) = 0$ has a unique positive solution

$$r_1 = \left(\frac{2mbS^{mN/2}}{2^* - 2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^* - 2m - 2}}.$$

We easily see that $h(r)$ is increasing in $(0, r_1]$ and decreasing in $[r_1, \infty)$. For $h(0) = a > 0$, one has $h(r_1) > 0$. Since $h(r) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $h(r) = 0$ has a unique solution r_0 in $(0, \infty)$.

(2) Follows from (1) and the monotonicity of $h(r)$. ■

The infimum in the definition of the Sobolev constant S is achieved by the function

$$U(x) = \frac{C}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N-2)/2}},$$

and U satisfies

$$-\Delta U = U^{2^*-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

which implies (see [17])

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U|^{2^*} dx = S^{N/2}.$$

Next, we consider the problem

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\left[a + b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^m \right] \Delta u = u^{2^*-1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases}$$

where $a, b > 0$ and $0 < m < 2/(N-2)$. Let $u = rU$, where $r \in (0, \infty)$, and insert it into (2.3); this yields

$$-\left[a + b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^2 dx \right)^m r^{2m} \right] r \Delta U = r^{2^*-1} U^{2^*-1}.$$

According to (2.2), we have

$$(2.4) \quad a + bS^{mN/2} r^{2m} = r^{2^*-2}.$$

By Lemma 2.1(1), we conclude that r_0U is a positive solution of (2.3), which implies

$$(2.5) \quad aS^{N/2} r_0^2 + bS^{(m+1)N/2} r_0^{2m+2} = S^{N/2} r_0^{2^*}.$$

By taking full advantage of Lemma 2.1, we will verify that

$$I(u) = \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2} \|u\|^{2m+2} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx$$

satisfies the local $(PS)_c$ condition.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let f satisfy (f_2) and (f_3) . Suppose that $c < \Lambda$, where*

$$\Lambda = a/2r_0^2 S^{N/2} + \frac{b}{2m+2} r_0^{2m+2} S^{N(m+1)/2} - \frac{1}{2^*} r_0^{2^*} S^{N/2}.$$

Then I satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I . We claim that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. In fact, since $I(u_n) \rightarrow c$ and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$, by (f_3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + c + o(1)\|u_n\| &\geq I(u_n) - \frac{1}{2m+2} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m+2}\right) a \|u_n\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2m+2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{2^*} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2m+2} f(x, u_n) u_n - F(x, u_n)\right) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m+2}\right) a \|u_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a > 0$, we conclude $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Hence there exist a subsequence (still denoted by $\{u_n\}$) and $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u & \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_n \rightarrow u & \text{strongly in } L^p(\Omega) \text{ for all } 1 \leq p < 2^*, \\ u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) & \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Set $w_n = u_n - u$. We claim that $\|w_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Otherwise, there exists a subsequence (still denoted by $\{w_n\}$) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\| = l,$$

where l is a positive constant. Then

$$(2.6) \quad \|u_n\|^2 = \|w_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + o(1),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \|u_n\|^{2m+2} = (\|w_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2)^{m+1} + o(1).$$

Furthermore, from the Brézis–Lieb Lemma [4],

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{2^*} dx = \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{2^*} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx + o(1).$$

From $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and (2.7), we get

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle I'(u_n), u \rangle &= a\|u\|^2 + b(l^2 + \|u\|^2)^m \|u\|^2 \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) u dx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (f₃) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad I(u) &= \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2}\|u\|^{2m+2} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \, dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} \, dx \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m+2}\right)a\|u\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2m+2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} \, dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2m+2}f(x, u)u - F(x, u)\right) \, dx \\
 &\quad - \frac{b}{2m+2}((l^2 + \|u\|^2)^m - \|u\|^{2m})\|u\|^2 \\
 &\geq -\frac{b}{2m+2}((l^2 + \|u\|^2)^m - \|u\|^{2m})\|u\|^2 =: T.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle &= a\|u_n\|^2 + b\|u_n\|^{2m+2} \\
 &\quad - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)u_n \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{2^*} \, dx = o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (f₂), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist constants $C, d(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)t| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2C}t^{2^*} + d(\varepsilon).$$

Let $\xi = \varepsilon/2d(\varepsilon) > 0$, and suppose $E \subseteq \Omega$ with $\text{meas } E < \xi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_E f(x, u_n)u_n \, dx \right| &\leq \int_E |f(x, u_n)u_n| \, dx \\
 &\leq \int_E d(\varepsilon) \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2C} \int_E |u_n|^{2^*} \, dx \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon = \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Sobolev embedding and the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Therefore, $\{\int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)u_n \, dx : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equi-absolutely continuous. By Vitali's convergence theorem,

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)u_n \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)u \, dx \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying the same method, we can also verify that

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \, dx \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Combining (2.11) with (2.6)–(2.8) and (2.12) yields

$$(2.14) \quad a\|w_n\|^2 + a\|u\|^2 + b(\|w_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2)^{m+1} \\ = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{2^*} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} \, dx + o(1).$$

By (2.9) and (2.14), we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad a\|w_n\|^2 + b[(\|w_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2)^{m+1} - (l^2 + \|u\|^2)^m \|u\|^2] \\ = \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{2^*} \, dx + o(1).$$

From (2.15) and $\int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{2^*} \, dx \leq \|w_n\|^{2^*} / S^{2^*/2}$ we get

$$al^2 + bl^{2m+2} \leq al^2 + b[(l^2 + \|u\|^2)^m l^2] \leq l^{2^*} / S^{2^*/2},$$

which implies that

$$a + bS^{mN/2}(lS^{-N/4})^{2m} \leq (lS^{-N/4})^{2^*-2}.$$

By Lemma 2.1(2), we obtain $lS^{-N/4} \geq r_0$, which implies that

$$(2.16) \quad l \geq r_0 S^{N/4}.$$

It follows from (2.6)–(2.8) and (2.13) that

$$I(u_n) = \frac{a}{2}\|w_n\|^2 + \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2}(\|w_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2)^{m+1} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) \, dx \\ - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{2^*} \, dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} \, dx + o(1) \\ = I(u) + \frac{a}{2}\|w_n\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2}[(\|w_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2)^{m+1} - \|u\|^{2m+2}] \\ + \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{2^*} \, dx + o(1).$$

Therefore, by (2.15),

$$I(u) = I(u_n) - \frac{a}{2}\|w_n\|^2 - \frac{b}{2m+2}[(\|w_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2)^{m+1} - \|u\|^{2m+2}] \\ - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{2^*} \, dx + o(1) \\ = I(u_n) - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)a\|w_n\|^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2m+2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)b(\|w_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2)^{m+1} \\ + \frac{b}{2m+2}\|u\|^{2m+2} - \frac{b}{2^*}(l^2 + \|u\|^2)^m \|u\|^2 + o(1).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, by (2.5) and (2.16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u) &= c - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)al^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2m+2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)b(l^2 + \|u\|^2)^{m+1} \\
&\quad + \frac{b}{2m+2}\|u\|^{2m+2} - \frac{b}{2^*}(l^2 + \|u\|^2)^m\|u\|^2 \\
&\leq c - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)al^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2m+2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)bl^{2m+2} + T \\
&\leq c - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)ar_0^2S^{N/2} - \left(\frac{1}{2m+2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)br_0^{2m+2}S^{N(m+1)/2} + T \\
&= c - \frac{a}{2}r_0^2S^{N/2} - \frac{b}{2m+2}r_0^{2m+2}S^{N(m+1)/2} + \frac{1}{2^*}r_0^{2^*}S^{N/2} + T \\
&= c - \Lambda + T < T,
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (2.10). Therefore, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. ■

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that (f₁) and (f₂) hold. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that:*

- (1) *there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $I(u) \geq \alpha > 0$ whenever $\|u\| = \rho$;*
- (2) *there exists $e_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $\|e_0\| > \rho$ and $I(e_0) < 0$.*

Proof. (1) By (f₂), for every ε there exists $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(2.17) \quad F(x, t) \leq \varepsilon t^2 + C(\varepsilon)t^{2^*}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.

According to the Sobolev inequality and (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u) &= \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2}\|u\|^{2m+2} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx \\
&\geq \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2}\|u\|^{2m+2} - \varepsilon|u|_2^2 - C(\varepsilon)|u|_{2^*}^{2^*} - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx \\
&\geq \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2}\|u\|^{2m+2} - C\varepsilon\|u\|^2 - CC(\varepsilon)\|u\|^{2^*} - \frac{C}{2^*}\|u\|^{2^*}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small such that $I(u) \geq \alpha > 0$ for all $\|u\| = \rho$ whenever ε small enough.

(2) Fix $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $v \neq 0$. By (f₁) and (f₂), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I(tv) &= \frac{a}{2}t^2\|v\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2}t^{2m+2}\|v\|^{2m+2} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, tv) dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2^*} dx \\
&\leq \frac{a}{2}t^2\|v\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2}t^{2m+2}\|v\|^{2m+2} - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2^*} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

From the above, we see that $I(tv) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we can choose $t_0 > 0$ large enough such that $\|t_0v\| > \rho$ and $I(t_0v) < 0$. Setting $e_0 = t_0v$ completes the proof. ■

The Mountain Pass Lemma of [3] yields a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c \geq \alpha > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_0(\gamma(u)),$$

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in (C[0,1], H_0^1(\Omega)) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e_0\}.$$

We know that S is also attained by the functions

$$y_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{C_\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon + |x|^2)^{(N-2)/2}}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Let

$$U_\varepsilon(x) = y_\varepsilon(x)/C_\varepsilon.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 \in \omega$, where ω is some nonempty open set in Ω . Moreover, we choose a cut-off function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq R, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 2R, \end{cases}$$

where $B_{2R}(0) \subset \Omega$. Set

$$(2.18) \quad u_\varepsilon(x) = \phi(x)U_\varepsilon(x),$$

$$(2.19) \quad v_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{u_\varepsilon(x)}{(\int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^{2^*} dx)^{1/2^*}}.$$

Then

$$(2.20) \quad \int_\Omega |v_\varepsilon|^{2^*} dx = 1,$$

$$(2.21) \quad \|v_\varepsilon\|^{2m+2} = S^{m+1} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2/2}),$$

$$(2.22) \quad \int_\Omega |v_\varepsilon|^q dx = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^{q(N-2)/4}), & 1 < q < N/(N-2), \\ O(\varepsilon^{q(N-2)/4} |\ln \varepsilon|), & q = N/(N-2), \\ O(\varepsilon^{2N-q(N-2)/4}), & N/(N-2) < q < 2^*. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.4. *Let f satisfy (f_1) and (f_2) . Assume that there is a function $m(u)$ such that $f(x, u) \geq m(u) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in \omega$ and all $u \geq 0$, and the primitive $M(t) = \int_0^t m(s) ds$ satisfies*

$$(2.23) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} M \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}{1+s^2} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \right] s^{N-1} ds = \infty.$$

Then there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} I(tv_\varepsilon) < \Lambda \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0).$$

Proof. We define the functions

$$g(t) = I(tv_\varepsilon) = \frac{a}{2}t^2\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2}t^{2m+2}\|v_\varepsilon\|^{2m+2} - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, tv_\varepsilon) dx,$$

$$\tilde{g}(t) = \frac{a}{2}t^2\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2}t^{2m+2}\|v_\varepsilon\|^{2m+2} - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*}.$$

Notice that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{g}(t) = -\infty$, $\tilde{g}(0) = 0$, and $\tilde{g}(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ small enough. Hence there exists $t_\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tilde{g}'(t_\varepsilon) = t_\varepsilon(a\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 + b\|v_\varepsilon\|^{2m+2}t_\varepsilon^{2m} - t_\varepsilon^{2^*-2}) \\ &= t_\varepsilon[a(S + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2})) + b(S^{m+1} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}))t_\varepsilon^{2m} - t_\varepsilon^{2^*-2}] \\ &= t_\varepsilon[aS + bS^{m+1}t_\varepsilon^{2m} - t_\varepsilon^{2^*-2} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2})(a + bt_\varepsilon^{2m})] \\ &= t_\varepsilon[aS + bS^{m+1}t_\varepsilon^{2m} - t_\varepsilon^{2^*-2} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2})], \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(2.24) \quad aS + bS^{m+1}t_\varepsilon^{2m} - t_\varepsilon^{2^*-2} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$a + bS^{Nm/2} \left(\frac{t_\varepsilon}{S^{(N-2)/2}} \right)^{2m} = \left(\frac{t_\varepsilon}{S^{(N-2)/2}} \right)^{2^*-2} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}).$$

According to Lemma 2.1(1),

$$\frac{t_\varepsilon}{S^{(N-2)/2}} = r_0 + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}),$$

and so

$$(2.25) \quad t_\varepsilon = r_0 S^{(N-2)/2} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}).$$

The function $\tilde{g}(t)$, actually, attains its maximum at t_ε and is increasing in the interval $[0, t_\varepsilon]$.

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = -\infty$, $g(0) = 0$, and $g(t) > 0$ as t small enough, it follows that $\sup_{t \geq 0} g(t)$ is attained for some $t_\varepsilon^0 > 0$, and

$$0 = g'(t_\varepsilon^0) = t_\varepsilon^0 \left(a\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 + b\|v_\varepsilon\|^{2m+2}(t_\varepsilon^0)^{2m} - (t_\varepsilon^0)^{2^*-2} - \frac{1}{t_\varepsilon^0} \int_{\Omega} f(x, t_\varepsilon^0 v_\varepsilon) v_\varepsilon dx \right).$$

This yields

$$(2.26) \quad a\|v_\varepsilon\|^2 + b\|v_\varepsilon\|^{2m+2}(t_\varepsilon^0)^{2m} = (t_\varepsilon^0)^{2^*-2} + \frac{1}{t_\varepsilon^0} \int_{\Omega} f(x, t_\varepsilon^0 v_\varepsilon) v_\varepsilon dx.$$

By (f₂), for all δ , there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)t| \leq \delta t^{2^*} + Ct^2$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Therefore,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, t_{\varepsilon}^0 v_{\varepsilon}) v_{\varepsilon}}{t_{\varepsilon}^0} dx \right| \leq \delta (t_{\varepsilon}^0)^{2^*-2} \int_{\Omega} v_{\varepsilon}^{2^*} dx + C \int_{\Omega} v_{\varepsilon}^2 dx = \delta (t_{\varepsilon}^0)^{2^*-2} + C \int_{\Omega} v_{\varepsilon}^2 dx$$

for all δ . Connecting this with (2.22), we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, t_{\varepsilon}^0 v_{\varepsilon}) v_{\varepsilon}}{t_{\varepsilon}^0} dx \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Combining (2.24)–(2.26) with (2.21), we get

$$(2.27) \quad t_{\varepsilon}^0 \rightarrow r_0 S^{(N-2)/2}.$$

By (2.21),

$$\begin{aligned} (2.28) \quad g(t_{\varepsilon}^0) &\leq \tilde{g}(t_{\varepsilon}) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, t_{\varepsilon}^0 v_{\varepsilon}) dx \\ &= \frac{a}{2} t_{\varepsilon}^2 \|v_{\varepsilon}\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2} t_{\varepsilon}^{2m+2} \|v_{\varepsilon}\|^{2m+2} - \frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2^*}}{2^*} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} F(x, t_{\varepsilon}^0 v_{\varepsilon}) dx \\ &= \frac{a}{2} (r_0 S^{(N-2)/2})^2 (S + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2})) - \frac{1}{2^*} (r_0 S^{(N-2)/2})^{2^*} \\ &\quad + \frac{b}{2m+2} (r_0 S^{(N-2)/2})^{2m+2} (S + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}))^{m+1} \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} F(x, t_{\varepsilon}^0 v_{\varepsilon}) dx \\ &= \frac{a}{2} r_0^2 S^{N/2} + \frac{b}{2m+2} r_0^{2m+2} S^{N(m+1)/2} - \frac{1}{2^*} r_0^{2^*} S^{N/2} \\ &\quad + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, t_{\varepsilon}^0 v_{\varepsilon}) dx \\ &= \Lambda + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, t_{\varepsilon}^0 v_{\varepsilon}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

According to (2.18), (2.19), (2.27) and the assumption on f , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, t_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}) dx \geq \int_{|x| < R} M \left(\frac{C \varepsilon^{(N-2)/4}}{(\varepsilon + |x|^2)^{(N-2)/4}} \right) dx$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

In the following, we will verify that

$$(2.29) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}} \int_{|x| < R} M \left(\frac{C \varepsilon^{(N-2)/4}}{(\varepsilon + |x|^2)^{(N-2)/4}} \right) dx = \infty.$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}} \int_{|x|<R} M\left(\frac{C\varepsilon^{(N-2)/4}}{(\varepsilon+|x|^2)^{(N-2)/4}}\right) dx \\ = \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}} \int_0^R M\left(\frac{C\varepsilon^{(N-2)/4}}{(\varepsilon+r^2)^{(N-2)/4}}\right) r^{N-1} dr \\ = C\varepsilon \int_0^{R\varepsilon^{-1/2}} M\left[C\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}{1+s^2}\right)^{(N-2)/2}\right] s^{N-1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

When $R \leq 1$,

$$\varepsilon \int_{R\varepsilon^{-1/2}}^{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} M\left[C\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}{1+s^2}\right)^{(N-2)/2}\right] s^{N-1} ds \leq C\varepsilon M(C\varepsilon^{(N-2)/4})\varepsilon^{-N/2},$$

which is bounded as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Combining this with (2.23), we get (2.29).

On the other hand, when $R \geq 1$, according to (2.23), we have (2.29) obviously. This implies that $\max_{t \geq 0} I(tv_\varepsilon) < \Lambda$ for ε small enough. ■

The following lemma is based on [5, proof of Corollary 2.3].

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose $N = 3$, and f satisfies (f_1) and (f_4) . Then the assumption of Lemma 2.4 holds.*

Proof. We define $m(u) = \inf_{x \in \omega} f(x, u)$. According to (f_4) , for all $Q > 0$, there exists a constant $G > 0$ such that $M(u) \geq Qu^4$ for all $u \geq G$. It follows that

$$\varepsilon \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} M\left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}{1+s^2}\right)^{1/2}\right] s^2 ds \geq Q\varepsilon \int_0^{C\varepsilon^{-1/4}} \frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{(1+s^2)^2} s^2 ds.$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} M\left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}{1+s^2}\right)^{1/2}\right] s^2 ds \geq Q \int_0^\infty \frac{s^2}{(1+s^2)^2} ds$$

for all $Q > 0$, which completes the proof. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Applying Lemma 2.3, we find that I has a mountain pass geometry. Then from the Mountain Pass Lemma, there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfying $I(u_n) \rightarrow c \geq \alpha > 0$ and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, $c < \Lambda$ by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\{u_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence (still denoted by $\{u_n\}$). Suppose that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. By the continuity of I' , u_0 is a solution of problem (1.1). Furthermore, $u_0 \neq 0$ for $c > 0$.

For the existence of a ground state solution, we define

$$E = \{I(u) \mid I'(u) = 0, u \neq 0\}.$$

Then $E \neq \emptyset$ since $u_0 \neq 0$ and $I'(u_0) = 0$. Now, we claim that E has an infimum. In fact, for any $u \in E$,

$$(2.30) \quad \langle I'(u), u \rangle = a\|u\|^2 + b\|u\|^{2m+2} - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} \, dx = 0.$$

According to (2.30) and (f₃),

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2m+2}\|u\|^{2m+2} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \, dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} \, dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m+2}\right)a\|u\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2m+2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2m+2}f(x, u)u - F(x, u)\right) \, dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m+2}\right)a\|u\|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can define

$$E_0 = \inf\{I(u) \mid I'(u) = 0, u \neq 0\}.$$

We get $\{v_n\}$ such that $I(v_n) \in E$ and $I(v_n) \rightarrow E_0$. Since we know $I'(u_0) = 0$ and $I(u_0) = c$, we have $E_0 \leq c < \Lambda$. By Lemma 2.2, $\{v_n\}$ has a strongly convergent subsequence (still denoted by $\{v_n\}$). Hence, there exists $v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v_0$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then $I(v_0) = E_0$ and $I'(v_0) = 0$.

Finally, we prove $v_0 \neq 0$. By (f₂), there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$f(x, t)t \leq \frac{a}{2}\lambda_1 t^2 + Ct^{2^*}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, where $\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \|u\|^2 / |u|^2$. From $\langle I'(v_n), v_n \rangle = 0$ and the Sobolev inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a\|v_n\|^2 &\leq a\|v_n\|^2 + b\|v_n\|^{2m+2} = \int_{\Omega} f(x, v_n)v_n \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{2^*} \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{a}{2}\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^2 \, dx + (C+1) \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{2^*} \, dx \leq \frac{a}{2}\|v_n\|^2 + C\|v_n\|^{2^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{a}{2}\|v_n\|^2 \leq C\|v_n\|^{2^*},$$

which implies $0 < C \leq \|v_n\|$ for all n . Hence, $v_0 \neq 0$. Furthermore, $\langle I'(v_0), v_0^- \rangle = 0$, where $v_0^- = \max\{-v_0, 0\}$. Hence, $v_0 \geq 0$. According to the strong

maximum principle, v_0 is a positive solution of problem (1.1), completing the proof of Theorem 1.1. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Because (f_3) is (f_3'') in the case $m = 1$, Corollary 1.1 is a special case of Theorem 1.1. ■

The following lemma is based on [5, proof of Corollary 2.2].

LEMMA 2.6. *Suppose $N = 4$, and f satisfies (f_1) and (f_5) . Then the assumption of Lemma 2.4 holds.*

Proof. By (f_1) and (f_5) , we obtain

$$f(x, u) \geq \eta u \chi_{[\mu, \infty)}(u) = m(u)$$

for all $x \in \omega$, and $u \geq 0$, where $\chi_{[\mu, \infty)}$ is the characteristic function of $[\mu, \infty)$. Thus,

$$M(u) = \frac{1}{2} \eta (u^2 - \mu^2) \quad \text{for } u \geq \mu.$$

Therefore,

$$\varepsilon \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} M \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}{1+s^2} \right) \right] s^3 ds \geq \frac{1}{4} \eta \varepsilon \int_0^{C\varepsilon^{-1/4}} \frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{(1+s^2)^2} s^3 ds = C |\ln \varepsilon|.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} M \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}{1+s^2} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \right] s^{N-1} ds = \infty. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By using Lemmas 2.1–2.4 and 2.6, much as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can easily show that problem (1.1) has a positive ground state solution. ■

The following lemma is based on [5, proof of Corollary 2.1].

LEMMA 2.7. *Suppose $N \geq 5$, and f satisfies (f_1) and (f_6) . Then the assumption of Lemma 2.4 holds.*

Proof. By (f_1) and (f_6) , we have

$$f(x, u) \geq \eta \chi_A(u) = m(u)$$

for all $x \in \omega$ and $u \geq 0$. Since A is nonempty, there exist constants $d \in A$ and $\xi > 0$ such that

$$M(u) \geq \xi > 0 \quad \text{for all } u \geq a.$$

If $\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}{1+s^2} \geq a^{2/(N-2)}$, then

$$M \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}{1+s^2} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \right] \geq \xi \quad \text{as } s \leq C\varepsilon^{-1/4}.$$

Therefore,

$$\varepsilon \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} M \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}{1+s^2} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \right] s^{N-1} ds \geq \xi \varepsilon \int_0^{C\varepsilon^{-1/4}} s^{N-1} ds = C\varepsilon^{1-N/4}.$$

Since $N \geq 5$, we get $1 - N/4 < 0$. Hence $C\varepsilon^{1-N/4} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By using Lemmas 2.1–2.4 and 2.7, and reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can easily prove that problem (1.1) has a positive ground state solution. ■

Acknowledgements. The authors thank anonymous referees for a careful reading and some helpful comments, which greatly improved the manuscript.

References

- [1] C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa and G. M. Figueiredo, *On a class of nonlocal elliptic problems with critical growth*, Differential Equations Appl. 23 (2010), 409–417.
- [2] C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa and T. F. Ma, *Positive solution for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type*, Comput. Math. Appl. 49 (2005), 85–93.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti and P. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. 41 (1973), 349–381.
- [4] H. Brézis and E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983), 486–490.
- [5] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983), 437–477.
- [6] G. M. Figueiredo, *Existence of a positive solution for a Kirchhoff problem type with critical growth via truncation argument*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 401 (2013), 706–713.
- [7] G. M. Figueiredo and J. R. Santos Jr., *Multiplicity of solutions for a Kirchhoff equation with subcritical or critical growth*, Differential Integral Equations 25 (2012), 853–868.
- [8] A. Hamydy, M. Massar and N. Tsouli, *Existence of solutions for p-Kirchhoff type problems with critical exponent*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2011, no. 105, 8 pp.
- [9] G. Kirchhoff, *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [10] S. Liang and S. Shi, *Soliton solutions to Kirchhoff type problems involving the critical growth in \mathbb{R}^N* , Nonlinear Anal. 81 (2013), 31–41.
- [11] P.-L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case, Part 1*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. 1 (1985), 145–201.
- [12] D. Naimen, *Positive solutions of Kirchhoff type elliptic equations involving a critical Sobolev exponent*, Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 21 (2014), 885–914.
- [13] D. Naimen, *The critical problem of Kirchhoff type elliptic equations in dimension four*, J. Differential Equations 257 (2014), 1168–1193.
- [14] R. Narasimha, *Non-linear vibration of an elastic string*, J. Sound Vibration 8 (1968), 134–146.
- [15] D. W. Oplinger, *Frequency response of a nonlinear stretched string*, J. Acoust. Soc. Amer. 32 (1960), 1529–1538.

- [16] Y. Sun and X. Liu, *Existence of positive solutions for Kirchhoff type problems with critical exponent*, J. Partial Differential Equations 25 (2012), 187–198.
- [17] G. Talenti, *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. of Math. 110 (1976), 353–372.
- [18] J. Wang, L. Tian, J. Xu and F. Zhang, *Multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a Kirchhoff type problem with critical growth*, J. Differential Equations 253 (2012), 2314–2351.
- [19] Q.-L. Xie, X.-P. Wu and C.-L. Tang, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for Kirchhoff type problem with critical exponent*, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. 12 (2013), 2773–2786.

Lan Zeng, Chun Lei Tang (corresponding author)
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Southwest University
400715 Chongqing, People's Republic of China
E-mail: zenglan1206@126.com
tangcl@swu.edu.cn

