

Sums of squares in rings of integers with 2 inverted

by

GAËL COLLINET (Strasbourg)

Introduction. Let K be a number field with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K . In this paper, an element x of K will be said to be *totally positive* if $\sigma(x) > 0$ for any embedding $\sigma : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Let A be a subring of K containing \mathcal{O}_K . An A -quadratic module $L = (L, q)$ is the datum of a projective A -module of finite rank together with a quadratic form $q : L \rightarrow A$ such that the K -quadratic space $L \otimes_A K$ is non-degenerate. Such a quadratic module is said to be *totally positive definite* if $q(x)$ is totally positive for any *non-trivial* x in L .

A totally positive quadratic module $L = (L, q)$ over A is said to be *absolutely universal* if any totally positive element $a \in A$ is *represented by* L , i.e. $a = q(x)$ for some $x \in L$.

EXAMPLES. For any natural number n , let us denote by I_n the \mathbb{Z} -quadratic module \mathbb{Z}^n together with its standard euclidean quadratic form

$$x \mapsto x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2.$$

For any subring A as above, $I_n \otimes A$ is totally positive definite and

- (1) as is well known, a theorem of Lagrange says that I_4 is absolutely universal;
- (2) a theorem of Niven [4] says that if m is a prime congruent to 3 modulo 4, and if K is the number field $\mathbb{Q}[i\sqrt{m}]$, then $I_3 \otimes \mathcal{O}_K$ is absolutely universal (here, the positiveness conditions are empty).

So (1) above says that any natural integer is a sum of four squares, and (2) says that any integer in the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}[i\sqrt{m}]$ (with $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$) is a sum of three such integers squared.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11E25; Secondary 11P05.

Key words and phrases: sums of squares, rings of (S) -integers, universal forms.

Received 25 November 2015; revised 23 February 2016.

Published online 18 May 2016.

In a recent work [3], V. Kala, pursuing work with Blomer [2], shows that such phenomena cannot be expected for the case of integers in real quadratic fields:

- (3) for any natural number M , there exist infinitely many quadratic number fields K such that no totally positive definite quadratic \mathcal{O}_K -module of rank M can be absolutely universal.

In this note, we shall prove the following:

THEOREM.

- (i) For any number field K , and any subring A of K containing $\mathcal{O}_K[1/2]$, the quadratic module $I_5 \otimes A$ is absolutely universal.
- (ii) There exist number fields K such that, for $A := \mathcal{O}_K[1/2]$, there exist totally positive elements in A that are not represented by $I_4 \otimes A$.

In Section 1, we will prove (i). The method extends and allows us to prove that under the same hypothesis on A , any totally positive integral quadratic A -module of rank k is represented by $I_{k+4} \otimes A$ (we say a module A is *represented* by a module B if there exists an injective isometry $A \rightarrow B$). In Section 2, we will prove (ii) by analyzing what appear to be the smallest counter-examples.

The choice of inverting 2 is not arbitrary. It makes $I_n \otimes A$ maximal among the integral A -lattices on $I_n \otimes K$, an important remark in our argument. We could similarly prove that $E_8 \otimes A$ is absolutely universal whenever either A strictly contains \mathcal{O}_K , or K has a complex place (here E_8 is the unique unimodular positive definite \mathbb{Z} -quadratic module of rank 8).

1. Constructing universal modules

1.1. (S)-arithmetic rings. Let K be a number field, let \mathcal{O}_K be its ring of integers, and let \mathcal{V}_K be the set of equivalence classes of valuations (i.e. the set of places) on K .

Ostrowski’s theorem tells us that \mathcal{V}_K is made up of three parts:

- $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$: the finite set of real archimedean places, corresponding to embeddings $K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,
- $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$: the finite set of complex archimedean places, corresponding to embeddings $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose image does not lie in \mathbb{R} ,
- \mathcal{V}_f : the infinite set of non-archimedean places, consisting of one place for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K , the equivalence class of the \mathfrak{p} -adic valuation $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

The union of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is written \mathcal{V}_{∞} .

Let S be a subset of \mathcal{V}_f . The ring of (S)-integers in K is

$$A = \{x \in K : v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \geq 0 \ \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}_f - S\}.$$

The completion of A at an ideal \mathfrak{p} will be denoted by $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Its fraction field $K \otimes A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ will be denoted by $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This notation is extended to the case of archimedean valuations by allowing \mathfrak{p} to denote an embedding $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In that case, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ both denote the completion of $\mathfrak{p}(K)$ (thus either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}).

1.2. A -lattices on quadratic spaces. Let $V = (V, q)$ be a quadratic space on K . We denote by

$$(x, y) \mapsto x.y := q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y)$$

the associated bilinear form (thus we have $x.x = 2q(x)$ for $x \in V$).

An A -lattice on V is a finitely generated A -submodule of V whose K -span is V .

Let L be an A -lattice on V . Its dual lattice is defined by

$$L^{\sharp} := \{v \in V : \forall x \in L, v.x \in A\}.$$

The lattice L is said to be *integral* when $q(L)$ is contained in A . This implies that L is contained in L^{\sharp} .

The set of integral lattices containing a given integral lattice L is finite, since there is a bijection between those lattices and the submodules of the finitely generated torsion module L^{\sharp}/L that are isotropic for the inherited quadratic form $L^{\sharp}/L \rightarrow K/A$. We note that, in particular:

- any integral lattice L on V is contained in a maximal integral lattice,
- a lattice is maximal integral if and only if $L \otimes A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a maximal $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattice on $V \otimes K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at each place $\mathfrak{p} \in S$.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $a \in A$ be represented by the quadratic space V . Then a is represented by a maximal A -lattice on V .*

Proof. The case $a = 0$ is obvious: if V is isotropic then so is any lattice on V . If $a \neq 0$, let $v_1 \in V$ be such that $q(v_1) = a$. Let (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) be any orthogonal basis of V . Up to rescaling, we may assume $q(v_2), \dots, q(v_n)$ are elements of A . The A -lattice generated by this basis is integral, and thus is contained in a maximal integral lattice. ■

1.3. Genera and spinor genera of A -lattices on V . Two lattices L_1 and L_2 on V are said to be *in the same genus* if at any place \mathfrak{p} there exists an isometry $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{O}(V_{\mathfrak{p}})$ sending $L_1 \otimes A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ onto $L_2 \otimes A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Note that for all but finitely many \mathfrak{p} one has $L_1 \otimes A_{\mathfrak{p}} = L_2 \otimes A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

The following result shows that when $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ exists, one can assume without loss of generality that it is a rotation:

PROPOSITION R1 ([5, 91.4]). *Let $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a lattice on $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $\mathrm{O}(L_{\mathfrak{p}})$ contains a reflection.*

The next observation indicates that maximal integral lattices on V form a single genus:

PROPOSITION R2 ([5, 91.2]). *Two maximal lattices on $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are isometric.*

A genus splits in spinor genera. Let us recall that there exists a unique morphism $\text{Sp} : \text{O}(V) \rightarrow K^\times / K^{\times 2}$ taking the value $q(x)$ on the reflection

$$\tau_x : y \mapsto y - \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{q(x)} x.$$

This morphism is called the *spinor norm* and its kernel on $\text{SO}(V)$ is written $\text{SO}'(V)$. Two lattices lying in the same genus are said to lie in the same *spinor genus* if the isometries $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ can be chosen in $\text{SO}'(V_{\mathfrak{p}})$. The following elementary result will be crucial.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let L be an A -lattice on V . Let U be a non-degenerate subspace of V . Let W be the orthogonal complement of U in V . Write $D := L \cap U$. If $W_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is universal at each finite place $\mathfrak{p} \in S$, then for any spinor genus \mathcal{S} in the genus of L there exists a lattice $L' \in \mathcal{S}$ containing D .*

Proof. Let M be a representative of a spinor genus in the genus of L . Let T be the set of places \mathfrak{p} where $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ differ. The set T is finite and its intersection with $\mathcal{V}_{\infty} \cup S$ is empty. At any place $\mathfrak{p} \in T$ we have an isometry $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow L_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Choose any rotation $\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $W_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\text{Sp}(\rho_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\text{Sp}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}})$ coincide, and extend it by the identity on $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to obtain a rotation $\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Finally, write $L'_{\mathfrak{p}} := \theta_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Then $L'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ contains $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $\text{Sp}(\theta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is trivial. Putting all these together, we obtain an element L' containing D in the same spinor genus as M . ■

Being members of a common spinor genus is a strong requirement, as the following result, known as Kneser’s Strong Approximation Theorem, demonstrates

PROPOSITION R3 ([5, 104.5]). *Let L_1 and L_2 be lattices on V lying in the same spinor genus. Assume*

- V is at least 3-dimensional,
- there exists a place $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}_K - S$ such that $V \otimes K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isotropic.

Then L_1 and L_2 are isometric.

1.4. The proof of (i). If a module D is represented by $I_n \otimes A$, then $D \otimes K$ is represented by $I_n \otimes K$. Let us first establish a representation result for spaces.

LEMMA 1.3. *Let P be a totally positive K -space of dimension k . Then P is represented by $I_{k+3} \otimes K$.*

Proof. First we note that any totally positive quadratic space of dimension $r \geq 4$ decomposes as a sum $I_{r-3} \otimes K \perp W$ for some space W . This follows from Witt's Cancellation Theorem and the fact that totally positive spaces of rank 4 are absolutely universal (a well known result, a consequence of the theorem of Hasse–Minkowski [5, 66.4] and the fact that a 4-dimensional space is universal at each ultrametric place \mathfrak{p} [5, 63.18]).

The result is then a consequence of the remark that for any totally positive k -dimensional quadratic module Q , the quadratic spaces $(Q)^{\perp 4}$ and I_{4k} are isomorphic (one easily sees that $(L)^{\perp 4}$ is isomorphic to $I_4 \otimes K$ for any totally positive quadratic line L over K). ■

REMARK 1.4. Thus any totally positive quadratic A -module is represented by a maximal lattice on $I_{k+3} \otimes K$. When 2 is invertible in A , these maximal modules form the genus of $I_{k+3} \otimes A$.

LEMMA 1.5. *Assume A contains $1/2$ and P is a totally positive A -quadratic module of rank k . Then P is represented by $I_{k+4} \otimes A$.*

Proof. By Remark 1.4, P is represented by an element in the genus of $I_{k+4} \otimes A$. Since for any finite place \mathfrak{p} the K -space P^\perp is non-degenerate and 4-dimensional, it is universal, so Lemma 1.2 applies and P is represented by an element in the spinor genus of $I_{k+4} \otimes A$, say M . Finally, since $I_{k+4} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is at least 5-dimensional, it is isotropic at any finite place \mathfrak{p} , in particular at dyadic places, so Proposition R3 applies and M is isometric to $I_{k+4} \otimes A$. ■

REMARK 1.6. This in particular implies (i). Nevertheless, when P has rank 1, we can do better.

LEMMA 1.7. *Assume A contains $1/2$ and a is a totally positive element of A . Then a is represented by a maximal lattice on $I_4 \otimes K$ that belongs to the same spinor genus as $I_4 \otimes A$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.2 it is enough to prove that, for any vector v in $V := I_4 \otimes K$, the orthogonal P of v in V is universal at any non-dyadic place \mathfrak{p} . Now at such a place, V is a sum of two hyperbolic planes. Thus P is non-degenerate and isotropic. ■

In order to derive a universality result for I_4 , we need to use the Strong Approximation Theorem. If K has complex places, all the conditions required are satisfied, and this will also be the case if $I_4 \otimes K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isotropic at some ultrametric place outside of S .

DEFINITION 1.8. Let A be the ring of (S) -integers in a number field K . We say that A is a *bad* ring if the following conditions are satisfied:

- S is the union of the archimedean and the dyadic places (thus $A = \mathcal{O}_K[1/2]$),

- K is totally real,
- for any dyadic prime \mathfrak{p} , the extension $K_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_2$ has odd degree.

We say A is a *good ring* if it contains $\mathcal{O}_K[1/2]$ but is not bad.

LEMMA 1.9. *If A is a good ring, then any totally positive element of A is represented by $I_4 \otimes A$.*

Proof. We are just left with verifying that when K is totally real and $A = \mathcal{O}_K[1/2]$, and at least one of the extensions $K_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_2$ has even degree, strong approximation applies. But at a dyadic place, $I_3 \otimes K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isotropic if and only if the Hilbert symbol $(\frac{-1, -1}{\mathfrak{p}})$ is trivial. A theorem of Bender [1] says that this happens exactly when the degree $[K_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_2]$ is even. ■

2. Examples of rings A such that $I_4 \otimes A$ is not universal. By Lemma 1.9 we have to look for such counterexamples among bad rings.

PROPOSITION R4 ([5, 91.1]). *Let K be a field such that $\mathcal{O}_K[1/2]$ is a bad ring. Let L be a maximal A -lattice on $V := I_4 \otimes K$. Then the subset $L_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ of vectors x in L that satisfy $q(x) \in \mathcal{O}_K$ is a (maximal integral) \mathcal{O}_K -lattice on V .*

The simplest bad ring is $A = \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. Thus let us consider the case when V is the space $I_4 \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, whose canonical basis is denoted by $\underline{e} = (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4)$, and L is the A -lattice with basis \underline{e} . The \mathbb{Z} -lattice $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is known as the *Hurwitz lattice H* ; setting $u := \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4)$, we see it has (e_1, e_2, e_3, u) as a basis, in which the Gram matrix of q has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words, the \mathbb{Z} -quadratic module $H := (H, q|_H)$ is isometric to (\mathbb{Z}^4, q') with

$$q'(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + (x_1x_4 + x_2x_4 + x_3x_4).$$

This module is absolutely universal: it contains the standard lattice I_4 , which is absolutely universal by Lagrange’s theorem.

Therefore let us study the case when $A = \mathbb{Z}[1/2, \sqrt{p}]$ where p is a prime. This ring is bad if p is a square in \mathbb{Q}_2 , i.e. if p is congruent to 1 modulo 8. In the following, we assume that p can be written in the form $p = (2m + 1)^2 - 8$, and we write $\omega = (1 + \sqrt{p})/2$, so that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. Here are the first few such primes:

$$p = 17, 41, 73, 113, 281, 353, 433, 521, 617, 953, 1217, 1361, 2017, \dots$$

A special case of a conjecture of Bunyakovskii says that there should exist infinitely many such primes.

We write $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ for the Galois automorphism of K . Let $\pi = m + \omega$. We see that π is a totally positive integer whose norm equals 2 and whose trace equals $2m + 1$ (and we have a factorization $(2) = (\pi)(\bar{\pi})$ in the monoid of ideals of \mathcal{O}_K).

LEMMA 2.1. *The integer π cannot be written as the sum of two totally positive elements of \mathcal{O}_K .*

Proof. Assume we can write $\pi = x + y$ with x and y totally positive in \mathcal{O}_K . We would have the inequalities $x < \pi$ and $\bar{x} < \pi$, and hence we would get

$$N(x) < N(\pi) = 2, \quad \text{Tr}(x) < \text{Tr}(\pi) = 2m + 1 = \sqrt{p+8}.$$

If we write $x = (a + b\sqrt{p})/2$, with a and b rational, these inequalities translate into

$$a^2 = 4 + pb^2, \quad a^2 < p + 8.$$

Thus b is an element of $\{0, \pm 1\}$. The case $b = 0$ cannot occur: we would have $x = 1$, and $\pi - 1$ would be totally positive, which it is not, since $\bar{\pi} - 1 = \frac{\sqrt{p+8} - \sqrt{p-2}}{2} < 0$ (recall that $p \geq 17$). The case $b = 1$ cannot occur, since it would imply that y is a rational integer. Finally the case $b = -1$ would imply $\bar{\pi} \geq 1$. ■

Now let us assume there exists an $x \in \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $q'(x) = \pi$. Then the identity

$$q'(x) = (x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_4)^2 + (x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_4)^2 + (x_3 + \frac{1}{2}x_4)^2 + \frac{1}{4}x_4^2$$

shows that, up to reindexing, $(x_1 + x_4/2)^2 \leq \pi/3$. We also have $(\overline{x_1 + x_4/2})^2 \leq \bar{\pi}$. Thus, writing $y = 2x_1 + x_4$, we obtain

$$(*) \quad \text{Tr}(y^2) \leq \frac{4}{3}(\pi + 3\bar{\pi}) \quad \text{and} \quad N(y)^2 \leq \frac{16}{3}N(\pi).$$

Setting $y = \frac{a+b\sqrt{p}}{2}$, with a and b rational integers of the same parity, we can rewrite the first part of (*) as

$$(1) \quad a^2 + pb^2 \leq \frac{16}{3}(\sqrt{p+8} - \sqrt{p}).$$

Since $p \geq 17$, this implies $b = 0$. So a is even and y is a rational integer whose fourth power, by the second part of (*), cannot exceed 10. So y is either 0 or ± 1 . For $p \geq 73$, it cannot be ± 1 , since this would imply that $\pi - 1/4$ is a sum of squares, so $\bar{\pi} - 1/4$ is positive, which is not the case. We deduce that y is zero, x_4 is a multiple of 2, and finally π is a sum of four squares in \mathcal{O}_K . By Lemma 2.1, this cannot happen.

Thus, for $p \geq 73$, the equation $q'(x) = \pi$ has no solution. For $p = 17$ and $p = 41$, a computer assisted calculation shows that the same holds. In conclusion, we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let p be a prime of the form $p = (2m + 1)^2 - 8$. Let A be the ring $\mathbb{Z}[1/2, \sqrt{p}]$. Then $I_4 \otimes A$ does not represent the totally positive integer $(2m + 1 + \sqrt{p})/2$.*

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Pete L. Clark for pointing out references [3] and [4], and the referee for valuable remarks.

References

- [1] E. A. Bender, *A lifting formula for the Hilbert symbol*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1973), 63–65.
- [2] V. Blomer and V. Kala, *Number fields without n -ary universal quadratic forms*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 159 (2015), 239–252.
- [3] V. Kala, *Universal quadratic forms and elements of small norm in real quadratic fields*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., to appear; arXiv:1507.04237.
- [4] I. Niven, *Integers of quadratic fields as sums of squares*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1940), 405–417.
- [5] O. T. O’Meara, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Classics Math., Springer, Berlin, 2000.

Gaël Collinet
 IRMA, Université de Strasbourg et CNRS
 7 rue René Descartes
 67084 Strasbourg, France
 E-mail: collinet@math.unistra.fr