On square classes in generalized Fibonacci sequences

by

ZAFER ŞİAR (Bingöl) and REFİK KESKİN (Sakarya)

1. Introduction. Let P and Q be nonzero integers. The generalized Fibonacci and Lucas sequences, $(U_n(P,Q))$ and $(V_n(P,Q))$, are defined as follows:

$$U_0(P,Q) = 0, \quad U_1(P,Q) = 1, U_{n+1}(P,Q) = PU_n(P,Q) + QU_{n-1}(P,Q) \quad \text{for } n \ge 1,$$

and

$$V_0(P,Q) = 2,$$
 $V_1(P,Q) = P,$
 $V_{n+1}(P,Q) = PV_n(P,Q) + QV_{n-1}(P,Q)$ for $n \ge 1,$

respectively. $U_n(P,Q)$ and $V_n(P,Q)$ are called the *n*th generalized Fibonacci number and nth generalized Lucas number, respectively. Since

$$U_n(-P,Q) = (-1)^{n-1}U_n(P,Q)$$
 and $V_n(-P,Q) = (-1)^n V_n(P,Q)$,

it will be assumed that $P \ge 1$. Moreover, we will assume that $P^2 + 4Q > 0$. Sometimes, instead of $U_n(P,Q)$ and $V_n(P,Q)$, we write just U_n and V_n . For more information about these sequences one can consult [7].

For P = Q = 1, we have the classical Fibonacci and Lucas sequences (F_n) and (L_n) . In this paper, we determine all n and m such that $U_n = wU_m x^2$ or $U_n U_m = wx^2$ with w = 1, 2, 3, or 6 under the following assumption:

(1.1)
$$P^2 + 4Q > 0, P \ge 1 \text{ and } Q \text{ are odd}, (P,Q) = 1.$$

Regarding this issue, Keskin and Yosma [2] showed that if $F_n = 2F_m x^2$ for $m \ge 3$, then (m,n) = (3,12) or (6,12); if $F_n = 3F_m x^2$ for $m \ge 3$, then (m,n) = (4,12); and no F_n satisfies $F_n = 6F_m x^2$ for $m \ge 1$. Moreover, Cohn [1] determined all n and m such that $U_n U_m = x^2$ and $U_n U_m = 2x^2$ when P is odd and $Q = \pm 1$.

Received 8 February 2016; revised 8 April 2016. Published online 22 June 2016.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B37, 11B39.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$ generalized Fibonacci numbers, generalized Lucas numbers, congruences.

Also, in this paper, we will solve each of the equations $U_n = kx^2$ and $U_n = 2kx^2$ when $k \mid P, k > 1$, under the assumption (1.1). As an application, we determine all n such that $U_n = 6x^2$. First of all, we will solve the equations $V_n^2 - 3(-Q)^n = wx^2$ and $V_n^2 - (-Q)^n = wx^2$ for $w \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$, which is used to solve $U_n = wU_mx^2$, $U_n = kx^2$, and $U_n = 2kx^2$.

On the other hand, Cohn [1] studied the equations $U_n = kx^2$ and $U_n = 2kx^2$ when $P \ge 1$ is odd and Q = 1, and he obtained the following results, with $r = \min\{n : n > 0 \text{ and } k | U_n\}$:

- 1. If $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $U_n = kx^2$ can occur only for n = r, and $U_n = 2kx^2$ is impossible for n > 0.
- 2. If $r \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, then $U_n = kx^2$ is impossible for n > 0, and similarly for $U_n = 2kx^2$.
- 3. If $r \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, and if $2^{2t+1} \parallel r$, then $U_n = kx^2$ is impossible except if P = 5, k = 455, n = 12; if $2^{2t} \parallel r$, then $U_n = 2kx^2$ is impossible for n > 0.

Moreover, Ribenboim and McDaniel [10] solved the equation $U_n = kx^2$ under the assumption (1.1) and that the Jacobi symbol $\left(\frac{-V_{2u}}{h}\right)$ is defined and equals 1 for each odd divisor h of k with $u \ge 1$. In particular, they solved $U_n = 3x^2$ and gave the solutions as n = 1, 3, 4, or 6 but they must have forgotten writing n = 2.

2. Preliminaries. In this paper, we assume that $P \ge 1$ is an odd integer unless indicated otherwise, and also Q is an odd integer such that (P, Q) = 1. Firstly, we will give a list of properties of generalized Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, which will be needed later. Throughout, the symbol \Box denotes a perfect square.

(2.1) $U_{-n} = -(-Q)^{-n}U_n,$

(2.2)
$$V_{-n} = (-Q)^{-n} V_n,$$

- $(2.3) U_{2n} = U_n V_n,$
- (2.4) $V_{2n} = V_n^2 2(-Q)^n,$

(2.5)
$$U_{3n} = U_n((P^2 + 4Q)U_n^2 + 3(-Q)^n) = U_n(V_n^2 - (-Q)^n),$$

- (2.6) $V_{3n} = V_n (V_n^2 3(-Q)^n),$
- (2.7) $(U_{2n+1}, P) = (U_{n+1}, Q) = 1 \text{ for } n \ge 0,$
- (2.8) $(V_{2n}, P) = (V_n, Q) = 1 \text{ for } n \ge 0,$
- (2.9) $2 | V_n \Leftrightarrow 2 | U_n \Leftrightarrow 3 | n,$
- (2.10) if $U_m \neq 1$, then $U_m | U_n \Leftrightarrow m | n$,

- (2.11) if $V_m \neq 1$, then $V_m | V_n \Leftrightarrow m | n \text{ and } n/m \text{ is odd}$,
- (2.12) if d = (m, n), then $(U_m, U_n) = U_d$,
- $(2.13) (U_m, V_m) = 1 \text{ or } 2,$

(2.14)
$$U_{2n} \equiv nPQ^{n-1} \pmod{P^2} \text{ and } U_{2n+1} \equiv Q^n \pmod{P^2},$$

(2.15)
$$V_{2n} \equiv 2Q^n \pmod{P^2}$$
 and $V_{2n+1} \equiv nPQ^n \pmod{P^2}$,

(2.16)
$$\frac{U_{mn}(P,Q)}{U_m(P,Q)} = U_n(V_m, -(-Q)^m).$$

All the above identities except (2.14)–(2.16) can be found in [3, 9]; (2.16) is given in [8]; and (2.14) and (2.15) can be proved by induction on n. Moreover, when P is even, it is well known that

$$(2.17) U_n ext{ is even} \Leftrightarrow n ext{ is even},$$

 $(2.18) U_n \text{ is odd } \Leftrightarrow n \text{ is odd.}$

Now, we give some theorems and lemmas which will be used in the proofs of the main theorems. The following theorem is proved in [13].

THEOREM 2.1. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

(2.19)
$$U_{2mn+r} \equiv (-(-Q)^m)^n U_r \pmod{V_m},$$

(2.20)
$$V_{2mn+r} \equiv (-(-Q)^m)^n V_r \pmod{V_m},$$

where we require $mn + r \ge 0$ if $Q \ne \pm 1$.

The proofs of the following two lemmas can be found in [9].

LEMMA 2.2. Let m be an odd positive integer and $r \ge 1$.

- (a) If $3 \mid m$, then $V_{2^r m} \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$.
- (b) If $3 \nmid m$, then

$$V_{2^rm} \equiv \begin{cases} 3 \pmod{8} & if \ r \equiv 1 \ and \ Q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 7 \pmod{8} & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.3. Let r be a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} & \left(\frac{-1}{V_{2^r}}\right) = -1, \\ \text{(ii)} & \left(\frac{2}{V_{2^r}}\right) = \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{-1}{Q}\right) & \text{if } r = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } r \geq 2, \end{cases} \\ \text{(iii)} & \left(\frac{Q}{V_{2^r}}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{Q}\right), \\ \text{(iv)} & \left(\frac{U_3}{V_{2^r}}\right) = \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{-1}{Q}\right) & \text{if } r = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } r \geq 2, \end{cases} \\ \text{(v)} & \left(\frac{P^2 + 3Q}{V_{2^r}}\right) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-1}{Q}\right) & \text{if } r = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } r \geq 2. \end{cases} \\ \end{array}$$

The following lemma can be proved by induction.

LEMMA 2.4. If $3 \nmid P$, then

$$V_{2r} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{3} & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \text{ and } Q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 1 \pmod{3} & \text{if } r \geq 1 \text{ and } Q \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ & \text{or } r \equiv 2 \text{ and } Q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 2 \pmod{3} & \text{if } r \equiv 1, 2 \text{ and } Q \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ & \text{or } r \geq 3 \text{ and } Q \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

and if $3 \mid P$, then $V_{2^r} \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ for $r \geq 2$.

Using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we can see that

(2.21)
$$\left(\frac{3}{V_{2^r}}\right) = 1$$
 if $Q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, r \ge 2$ or $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, r \ge 3$.

We recall the following results from [9] and [14].

LEMMA 2.5. If $V_n = x^2$, then n = 1, 3, or 5; if $V_3 = x^2$, then $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and also $P = \Box$, $P^2 + 3Q = \Box$ or $P = 3\Box$, $P^2 + 3Q = 3\Box$; if $V_5 = x^2$, then $Q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, $P = 5\Box$, and $P^4 + 5P^2Q + 5Q^2 = 5\Box$.

LEMMA 2.6. If $V_n = 2x^2$, then n = 0, 3, or 6; if $V_3 = 2x^2$, then $Q \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$, $P = 3\Box$, and $P^2 + 3Q = 6\Box$; if $V_6 = 2x^2$, then $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $P^2 + 2Q = 3\Box$, and $(P^2 + 2Q)^2 - 3Q^2 = 6\Box$.

LEMMA 2.7. If $V_n = 3x^2$, then n = 1, 2, 3, or 5; $V_1 = 3x^2$ iff $P = 3\Box$; $V_2 = 3x^2$ iff $P^2 + 2Q = 3\Box$ and $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$; $V_3 = 3x^2$ iff $P = \Box$, $P^2 + 3Q = 3\Box$, and $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$; $V_5 = 3x^2$ iff $P = 15\Box$, $P^4 + 5P^2Q + 5Q^2 = 5\Box$, and $Q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$.

LEMMA 2.8. If $V_n = 6x^2$, then n = 3; $V_3 = 6x^2$ iff $P = \Box$, $P^2 + 3Q = 6\Box$, and $Q \equiv 5,7 \pmod{8}$.

THEOREM 2.9. Let k > 1 and k | P. If $V_n = kx^2$ for some integer x, then n = 1, 3, or 5; if $V_5 = x^2$, then $P = 5k\Box$, $P^4 + 5P^2Q + 5Q^2 = 5\Box$, and $Q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$.

THEOREM 2.10. Let k > 1 and k | P. If $V_n = 2kx^2$ for some integer x, then n = 3.

The proofs of the following four theorems can be found in [9] and [10].

THEOREM 2.11. $U_n = x^2$ if and only if either (i) n = 0, 1, 2, or 3, (ii) $n = 6, P = 3\Box, P^2 + Q = 2\Box$, and $P^2 + 3Q = 6\Box$, or (iii) $n = 12, P = \Box$, $P^2 + Q = 2\Box, P^2 + 2Q = 3\Box, P^2 + 3Q = \Box$, and $(P^2 + 2Q)^2 - 3Q^2 = 6\Box$.

THEOREM 2.12. $U_n = 2x^2$ if and only if either (i) n = 0 or 3, or (ii) n = 6, $P = \Box$, $P^2 + Q = 2\Box$, and $P^2 + 3Q = \Box$.

THEOREM 2.13. $U_n = 3x^2$ if and only if either (i) n = 0 or 2, or (ii) n = 3, $P^2 + Q = 3\Box$, and $3 \nmid P$, or (iii) n = 4, $P = \Box$, $P^2 + 2Q = 3\Box$, $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, and $3 \nmid P$, or (iv) n = 6, $P = \Box$, $P^2 + Q = 2\Box$, $P^2 + 3Q = 6\Box$, and $3 \mid P$.

Theorem 2.14.

- (i) If 3 | P, then $3 | U_n \Leftrightarrow n$ is even.
- (ii) If $3 \nmid P$, then

$$3 \mid U_n \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 12 \mid n \text{ and } Q \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}, \text{ or} \\ 4 \mid n, 3 \nmid n, \text{ and } Q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \text{ or} \\ 4 \nmid n, 3 \mid n, \text{ and } Q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

The proof of the following lemma is given in [12].

LEMMA 2.15. If $3 \mid P$, then $3 \mid V_n$ iff n is odd. If $3 \nmid P$, then $3 \mid V_n$ iff $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

Lastly, we will require the following theorem given in [11].

THEOREM 2.16. If P is even, $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, (P,Q) = 1, and n is odd, then $U_n(P,Q) = \Box$ only if $n \equiv \Box$.

3. Auxiliary theorems. From now on, assume that *n* and *m* are positive integers.

The following lemma can be proved by induction and therefore we omit its proof.

LEMMA 3.1. For $k \geq 1$,

 $V_{2^{k+2}} \equiv -Q^{2^{k+1}} \pmod{V_{2^{k+1}} + Q^{2^k}}$ and $V_{2^{k+2}} \equiv -Q^{2^{k+1}} \pmod{V_4 - Q^2}$. By Lemmas 2.3 and 3.1, we can see that

(3.1)
$$J = \left(\frac{V_4 - Q^2}{V_{2^{k+2}}}\right) = 1 \quad \text{for } k \ge 1$$

LEMMA 3.2. Let $w \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$ and $V_n^2 - 3(-Q)^n = wx^2$ for some integer x. Then n = 1 or n = 2.

Proof. If n is odd, it has been shown in [12] that the equation $V_n^2 - 3(-Q)^n = wx^2$ has no solutions for n > 1. So let n be even. Thus, $V_{2n} - Q^n = wx^2$ by (2.4). It is obvious that $wx^2 = V_{2n} - Q^n \equiv 1$ or 6 (mod 8) by Lemma 2.2. When w = 2 or w = 3, we have a contradiction.

Now assume that w = 1 or w = 6. We can write $n = 2^r z$ for some odd positive integer z with $r \ge 1$.

If z = 1, then $n = 2^r$, where $r \neq 1$, i.e., $r \geq 2$ since n > 2. In this case, if w = 1, then

$$x^{2} = V_{2n} - Q^{n} = V_{2 \cdot 2^{r}} - Q^{2^{r}} \equiv 7 - 1 \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$$

by Lemma 2.2. This is impossible. If w = 6, then

$$6x^{2} = V_{2 \cdot 2^{r}} - Q^{2^{r}} \equiv -Q^{2^{r}} V_{0} - Q^{2^{r}} \equiv -3Q^{2^{r}} \pmod{V_{2^{r}}}$$

by (2.20). Consequently, $1 = \left(\frac{-2}{V_{2r}}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{V_{2r}}\right)\left(\frac{2}{V_{2r}}\right) = -1$ by Lemma 2.3, a contradiction.

Thus z > 1. So, we can write $z = 4q \pm 1$ for some q > 0. Hence 2n = $2(2^{r}z) = 2(2^{r+2}q \pm 2^{r}) = 2 \cdot 2^{r+2}q \pm 2^{r+1}.$

Let q be odd. Using (2.2) and (2.20), we get

$$wx^{2} = V_{2n} - Q^{n} \equiv -Q^{2^{r+2}q}V_{2^{r+1}} - Q^{2^{r+2}q+2^{r}} \text{ or } -Q^{2^{r+2}q-2^{r+1}}V_{2^{r+1}} - Q^{2^{r+2}q-2^{r}} \pmod{V_{2^{r+2}}},$$
 i.e.

ı.e.,

$$wx^{2} \equiv -Q^{2^{r+2}q}(V_{2^{r+1}} + Q^{2^{r}}) \text{ or } -Q^{2^{r+2}q-2^{r+1}}(V_{2^{r+1}} + Q^{2^{r}}) \pmod{V_{2^{r+2}}}.$$

In both cases,

$$J = \left(\frac{-w(V_{2^{r+1}} + Q^{2^r})}{V_{2^{r+2}}}\right) = 1.$$

On the other hand, $V_{2^{r+1}} + Q^{2^r} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ by Lemma 2.2. So, $V_{2^{r+1}} + Q^{2^r}$ $= 2^{s}t$ for some odd t and $s \geq 3$. Hence, $V_{2^{r+2}} \equiv -Q^{2^{r+1}} \pmod{t}$ by Lemma 3.1. If w = 1, then we get

$$J = \left(\frac{-(V_{2^{r+1}} + Q^{2^r})}{V_{2^{r+2}}}\right) = -\left(\frac{V_{2^{r+1}} + Q^{2^r}}{V_{2^{r+2}}}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{V_{2^{r+2}}}\right)^s \left(\frac{t}{V_{2^{r+2}}}\right)$$
$$= -(-1)^{(t-1)/2} \left(\frac{V_{2^{r+2}}}{t}\right) = -(-1)^{(t-1)/2} \left(\frac{-1}{t}\right)$$
$$= -(-1)^{(t-1)/2} (-1)^{(t-1)/2} = -1$$

by Lemma 2.3, contrary to J = 1.

Now, let w = 6. If 3 | Q, from the equation $V_{2n} - Q^n = 6x^2$, we have $3 \mid V_{2n}$ and therefore $2n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, i.e., $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ by Lemma 2.15. This contradicts n being even. If $3 \nmid Q$, then we obtain

$$J = \left(\frac{-6(V_{2^{r+1}} + Q^{2^r})}{V_{2^{r+2}}}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{V_{2^{r+2}}}\right) \left(\frac{3}{V_{2^{r+2}}}\right) \left(\frac{2}{V_{2^{r+2}}}\right)^s \left(\frac{t}{V_{2^{r+2}}}\right)$$
$$= -(-1)^{(t-1)/2} \left(\frac{V_{2^{r+2}}}{t}\right) = -(-1)^{(t-1)/2} (-1)^{(t-1)/2} = -1$$

by Lemma 2.3 and (2.21), a contradiction again.

Now, let q be even. Then $2n = 2(2^r z) = 2(2^{r+2}q \pm 2^r) = 2 \cdot 2^{r+k+2}b \pm 2^{r+1}$ with b odd and $k \ge 1$. Similarly, we can see that

$$wx^{2} \equiv -Q^{2^{r+k+2}b}(V_{2^{r+1}} + Q^{2^{r}}) \text{ or } -Q^{2^{r+k+2}b-2^{r+1}}(V_{2^{r+1}} + Q^{2^{r}}) \pmod{V_{2^{r+k+2}}}$$

by (2.2) and (2.20). This shows that

$$J = \left(\frac{-w(V_{2^{r+1}} + Q^{2^r})}{V_{2^{r+k+2}}}\right) = 1.$$

A similar argument shows that this is impossible. \blacksquare

LEMMA 3.3. Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer, $w \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$, and $V_n^2 - (-Q)^n = wx^2$ for some integer x. Then n = 1, 2 or 4. In particular, $V_n^2 - (-Q)^n = x^2$ has a solution only for n = 1; $V_n^2 - (-Q)^n = wx^2$, $w \in \{2, 6\}$, has a solution only for n = 1 or 2; $V_n^2 - (-Q)^n = 3x^2$ has a solution for n = 1, 2, or 4.

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases.

CASE 1: *n* odd. If n = 1, it is obvious that $P^2 + Q = wx^2$ has a solution for $w \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$. So, assume that n > 1. Since *n* is odd, we have $V_n^2 - (-Q)^n = V_{2n} - Q^n = wx^2$ by (2.4). We can write $2n = 2(2^r z \pm 1) = 2 \cdot 2^r z \pm 2$ for some odd positive integer *z* with $r \ge 2$. Thus,

$$wx^2 = V_{2n} - Q^n \equiv -Q^{2^r z} V_2 - Q^{2^r z+1} \text{ or } -Q^{2^r z-2} V_2 - Q^{2^r z-1} \pmod{V_{2^r}},$$

i.e.,

$$wx^2 \equiv -Q^{2^r z}(P^2 + 3Q) \text{ or } -Q^{2^r z - 2}(P^2 + 3Q) \pmod{V_{2^r}}$$

by (2.20). Hence

$$\left(\frac{-w(P^2+3Q)}{V_{2^r}}\right) = 1.$$

If w = 1 or w = 2, then, using Lemma 2.3, it can be easily seen that J = -1. This is impossible.

Let w = 3 and 3 | Q. Then $3 | V_n$ since $V_n^2 - (-Q)^n = 3x^2$. This implies 3 | P by Lemma 2.15, contradicting (P, Q) = 1. Thus $3 \nmid Q$ and therefore $3 \nmid V_n$. This shows that $Q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Consequently,

$$1 = \left(\frac{-3(P^2 + 3Q)}{V_{2r}}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{V_{2r}}\right) \left(\frac{3}{V_{2r}}\right) \left(\frac{P^2 + 3Q}{V_{2r}}\right) = -1$$

by Lemma 2.3 and (2.21), which is impossible.

If w = 6, a similar argument shows that $3 \nmid Q$ and $Q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, and therefore

$$1 = \left(\frac{-6(P^2 + 3Q)}{V_{2r}}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{V_{2r}}\right) \left(\frac{2}{V_{2r}}\right) \left(\frac{3}{V_{2r}}\right) \left(\frac{P^2 + 3Q}{V_{2r}}\right) = -1$$

by Lemma 2.3 and (2.21), a contradiction again.

CASE 2: *n* even. Then $V_n^2 - Q^n = wx^2$ and thus $V_{2n} + Q^n = wx^2$ by (2.4). If we write $2n = 2(2^r z)$ for some odd positive integer z with $r \ge 1$, then

$$wx^{2} = V_{2n} + Q^{n} = V_{2(2^{r}z)} + Q^{2^{r}z} \equiv -Q^{2^{r}z}V_{0} + Q^{2^{r}z} \equiv -Q^{2^{r}z} \pmod{V_{2^{r}}}$$

by (2.20). This shows that

$$J = \left(\frac{-w}{V_{2^r}}\right) = 1.$$

When w = 1 or $w = 2, r \ge 2$, it can be seen that J = -1 by Lemma 2.3. This is impossible.

If w = 3 or w = 6, it follows that J = -1 for $r \ge 3$ by Lemma 2.3 and (2.21) when $3 \nmid Q$, a contradiction.

If w = 3 or w = 6, it follows that $3 | V_n$ from the equation $V_n^2 - Q^n = wx^2$ when 3 | Q. This implies $3 \nmid P$ since (P, Q) = 1, and therefore $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ by Lemma 2.15, contradicting 3 | Q.

Now we consider each of the cases w = 2, r = 1 and w = 3 or $6, 3 \nmid Q, r = 1$ or 2. Let r = 1. Then n = 2z. If n = 2, we have $(P^2 + Q)(P^2 + 3Q) = wx^2$. We can see that this equation has a solution for some values of P and Q when $w \in \{2,3,6\}$. Therefore assume that n > 2. Then we can write $n = 2z = 2(4q \pm 1) = 8q \pm 2$ for some q > 0. Assume that q is odd. Thus $wx^2 = V_{2\cdot 8q \pm 4} + Q^{8q \pm 2} \equiv -Q^{8q}V_4 + Q^{8q+2}$ or $-Q^{8q-4}V_4 + Q^{8q-2} \pmod{V_8}$, i.e.,

$$wx^2 \equiv -Q^{8q}(V_4 - Q^2) \text{ or } -Q^{8q-4}(V_4 - Q^2) \pmod{V_8}$$

by (2.20). Hence,

$$J = \left(\frac{-w(V_4 - Q^2)}{V_8}\right) = 1.$$

On the other hand, since $3 \nmid Q$ for w = 3 or w = 6, it can be seen that $\left(\frac{3}{V_8}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{6}{V_8}\right) = 1$ by Lemma 2.3 and (2.21). Thus when $w \in \{2, 3, 6\}$, we have

$$J = \left(\frac{-w(V_4 - Q^2)}{V_8}\right) = -\left(\frac{w}{V_8}\right)\left(\frac{V_4 - Q^2}{V_8}\right) = -1$$

by Lemma 2.3 and (3.1), a contradiction.

Now assume that q is even. Then we can write $q = 2^k s$ for some odd $s \ge 1$ with $k \ge 1$. Thus $n = 8q \pm 2 = 2^{k+3}s \pm 2$. Therefore

$$wx^{2} \equiv -Q^{2^{k+3}s}V_{4} + Q^{2^{k+3}s+2} \text{ or } -Q^{2^{k+3}s-4}V_{4} + Q^{2^{k+3}s-2} \pmod{V_{2^{k+3}}},$$

i.e.,

$$wx^2 \equiv -Q^{2^{k+3}s} (V_4 - Q^2) \text{ or } -Q^{2^{k+3}s-4} (V_4 - Q^2) \pmod{V_{2^{k+3}}}$$

by (2.20). This shows that

$$\left(\frac{-w(V_4 - Q^2)}{V_{2^{k+3}}}\right) = 1.$$

On the other hand, since $3 \nmid Q$ for w = 3 or w = 6, it can be seen that $\left(\frac{3}{V_{2^{k+3}}}\right) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{6}{V_{2^{k+3}}}\right) = 1$ by Lemma 2.3 and (2.21). Thus when $w \in$

 $\{2, 3, 6\}$, we have

$$1 = \left(\frac{-w(V_4 - Q^2)}{V_{2^{k+3}}}\right) = -\left(\frac{w}{V_{2^{k+3}}}\right)\left(\frac{V_4 - Q^2}{V_{2^{k+3}}}\right) = -1$$

by Lemma 2.3 and (3.1), a contradiction.

Now let r = 2, w = 3 or 6, and $3 \nmid Q$. Then n = 4z. Assume that z > 1. Then we can write $n = 4z = 4(4q \pm 1) = 2 \cdot 8q \pm 4$ for some odd positive integer q. A similar argument shows that $V_n^2 - Q^n = wx^2$ has no solutions when q is odd or even. When z = 1, the equation $V_4^2 - Q^4 = 3x^2$ has a solution, at least for P = Q = 1. But $V_4^2 - Q^4 = 6x^2$ has no solutions. Indeed, by (2.4), it follows that $6x^2 = V_4^2 - Q^4 = V_8 + Q^4$ and thus

$$6x^2 = V_8 + Q^4 \equiv -Q^4 V_0 + Q^4 \equiv -Q^4 \pmod{V_4}$$

by (2.20). This shows that

$$1 = J = \left(\frac{-6}{V_4}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{V_4}\right)\left(\frac{3}{V_4}\right) = -\left(\frac{3}{V_4}\right)$$

by Lemma 2.3. On the other hand, if $3 \mid P$, then J = -1 by Lemma 2.4. Therefore $3 \nmid P$. Now, if $Q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then J = -1 by (2.21). This is impossible.

Thus $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ since $3 \nmid Q$. Moreover, the equation $V_4^2 - Q^4 = 6x^2$ implies that

(3.2)
$$\left(\frac{V_4 - Q^2}{6}\right)(V_4 + Q^2) = x^2$$

since $V_4 - Q^2 \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ and $3 \mid (V_4 - Q^2)$ by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4. Thus, (3.2) implies

(3.3)
$$V_4 + Q^2 = (P^2 + Q)(P^2 + 3Q) = \Box$$

since $\left(\frac{V_4 - Q^2}{6}, V_4 + Q^2\right) = 1$. Then (3.3) implies

(3.4)
$$P^2 + Q = 2\Box$$
 and $P^2 + 3Q = 2\Box$

since $(P^2 + Q, P^2 + 3Q) = 2$. It can be easily shown that (3.4) is impossible, by reducing modulo 8.

4. Main theorems

4.1. Solutions of $U_n = kx^2$, $U_n = 2kx^2$ and $U_n = wU_mx^2$

THEOREM 4.1. Let k > 1 be a square free positive divisor of P. If $U_n = kx^2$ for some integer x, then n = 2, 6, or 12.

Proof. Assume that $U_n = kx^2$ for some integer x and $k \mid P$ with k > 1. Then n is even by (2.14). Let n = 2m. Hence $kx^2 = U_n = U_{2m} = U_m V_m$ by (2.3) and this implies that

(4.1) $U_m = a \Box$ and $V_m = b \Box$

or

$$(4.2) U_m = 2a \Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_m = 2b \Box$$

for some integers a and b with ab = k since $(U_m, V_m) = 1$ or 2 by (2.13).

Assume that (4.1) is satisfied. By Theorem 2.9, we have m = 1, 3, or 5 if b > 1 since $b \mid P$. If b = 1, then $V_m = \Box$ implies m = 1, 3, or 5 by Lemma 2.5. Consequently, n = 2, 6, or 10. But, if n = 10, the equation $U_{10} = U_5V_5 = kx^2$ implies $U_5 = \Box$ by (2.13) and (2.14), which is impossible by Theorem 2.11.

Assume that (4.2) is satisfied. By Theorem 2.10, we have m = 3 if b > 1 since $b \mid P$. If b = 1, then $V_m = 2\Box$ implies m = 3 or 6 by Lemma 2.6. Thus n = 6 or 12.

THEOREM 4.2. Let k > 1 be a square free positive divisor of P. If $U_n = 2kx^2$ for some integer x, then n = 6 or 12.

Proof. Assume that k > 1, k | P, and $U_n = 2kx^2$. Then n is even by (2.14). Let n = 2m. Since $2 | U_n$, it follows that 3 | n by (2.9), and therefore 3 | m. Hence $kx^2 = U_n/2 = U_{2m}/2 = U_m(V_m/2)$ and this implies

$$(4.3) U_m = a \Box and V_m = 2b \Box$$

or

(4.4)
$$U_m = 2a\Box$$
 and $V_m = b\Box$

for some integers a and b with ab = k since $(U_m, V_m) = 1$ or 2 by (2.13). Moreover, it can be easily seen that a = 1, b = k or a = k, b = 1 since either $(U_m, k) = 1$ or $(V_m/2, k) = 1$ by (2.7) and (2.8). Then (4.3) implies that m = 3 or m = 6 by Lemma 2.6 and Theorems 2.10, 2.11, and 4.1 since $3 \mid m$. Similarly, (4.4) implies that m = 3 by Lemma 2.5 and Theorems 2.9 and 2.12. Consequently, n = 6 or n = 12.

COROLLARY 4.3. If $U_n = 6x^2$ for some integer x, then n = 3 or n = 6. $U_3 = 6x^2$ if and only if $P^2 + Q = 6x^2$; $U_6 = 6x^2$ if and only if $P = \Box$, $P^2 + Q = 2\Box$, $P^2 + 3Q = 3\Box$, and $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ or $P = \Box$, $P^2 + Q = \Box$, $P^2 + 3Q = 6\Box$, and $Q \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$.

Proof. Assume that $U_n = 6x^2$. We divide the proof into two cases.

CASE 1: 3 | P. Then, since $U_n = 2 \cdot 3x^2$, it follows that n = 6 or 12 by Theorem 4.2.

If n = 6, it can be seen from $U_6 = 6x^2$ that $V_3 = 3\Box$, $U_3 = 2\Box$ or $V_3 = 6\Box$, $U_3 = \Box$ by Theorem 2.14 and Lemma 2.15. Hence, $P = \Box$, $P^2 + Q = 2\Box$, $P^2 + 3Q = 3\Box$, and $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ or $P = \Box$, $P^2 + Q = \Box$, $P^2 + 3Q = 6\Box$, and $Q \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, respectively, by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 and Theorems 2.11 and 2.12.

If n = 12, then $U_{12} = 6x^2$ implies $U_6 = 3\Box$ and $V_6 = 2\Box$ by Lemma 2.2, Theorem 2.14, and Lemma 2.15. This is impossible by Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.13.

CASE 2: $3 \nmid P$. Since $2 \mid U_n$ and $3 \mid U_n$, it is seen that $12 \mid n, 3 \nmid Q$ or $3 \mid n, 4 \nmid n, and Q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ by (2.9) and Theorem 2.14.

Firstly, assume that $12 \mid n$ and $3 \nmid Q$. Then n = 12m. Hence $6x^2 = U_n = U_{12m} = U_{6m}V_{6m}$, which implies

 $(4.5) U_{6m} = \Box and V_{6m} = 6\Box,$

$$(4.6) U_{6m} = 2\Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_{6m} = 3\Box$$

(4.7)
$$U_{6m} = 3\Box$$
 and $V_{6m} = 2\Box$,

or

$$(4.8) U_{6m} = 6\Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_{6m} = \Box$$

by (2.13). The identities (4.5), (4.6), and (4.8) are impossible by Lemmas 2.5, 2.7 and 2.8, and Theorems 2.11 and 2.12. The identity (4.7) implies that m = 1 by Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.13. Then $U_6 = 3\Box$ and therefore 3 | P by Theorem 2.13. This contradicts $3 \nmid P$.

Secondly, assume that $3|n, 4 \nmid n$, and $Q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Then n = 3m. Hence,

$$2x^{2} = \frac{U_{n}}{3} = \frac{U_{3m}}{3} = U_{m} \left(\frac{V_{m}^{2} - (-Q)^{m}}{3}\right)$$

by (2.5). Since

$$\left(U_m, \frac{(P^2 + 4Q)U_m^2 + 3(-Q)^m}{3}\right) = 1$$

by (2.7), it follows that

(4.9)
$$U_m = \Box \text{ and } V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = 6\Box,$$

or

(4.10)
$$U_m = 2\Box$$
 and $V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = 3\Box$.

Assume that (4.9) is satisfied. Then m = 1 or m = 2 by Theorem 2.11 and Lemma 3.3. Therefore n = 3 or n = 6. The identity (4.10) is impossible by Theorem 2.12 and Lemma 3.3.

In the following four theorems, we assume that $U_m \neq 1$ for all m. When $U_m = 1$, we have $U_n = wx^2$ with $w \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$. In this case, the solutions of these equations are given in Theorems 2.11–2.13 and Corollary 4.3.

THEOREM 4.4. Assume that m > 1 and $U_n = U_m x^2$ for some integer x. Then m = n or (m, n) = (5, 10), (2, 12), or (3, 6). *Proof.* Since $U_m | U_n$, we have n = mr for some integer r by (2.10). Thus,

(4.11)
$$x^{2} = \frac{U_{n}}{U_{m}} = \frac{U_{mr}}{U_{m}} = U_{r}(V_{m}, -(-Q)^{m})$$

by (2.16). If r = 1, then m = n. So, assume that $r \neq 1$.

Let $3 \nmid m$. Then V_m is odd by (2.9) and also $(V_m, -(-Q)^m) = 1$ by (2.8). Hence, (4.11) implies that r = 2, 3, 6, or 12, and therefore

(4.12)
$$V_m = x^2$$
 if $r = 2$,

(4.13)
$$V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = x^2$$
 if $r = 3$,

(4.14)
$$V_m = 3\Box, V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = 2\Box, V_m^2 - 3(-Q)^m = 6\Box$$
 if $r = 6$,

(4.15)
$$V_m = \Box, \ V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = 2\Box,$$

 $V_m^2 - 2(-Q)^m = 3\Box, \ V_m^2 - 3(-Q)^m = \Box \quad \text{if } r = 12,$

by Theorem 2.11. Since $3 \notin m$ and m > 1, (4.12) implies that m = 5 and so n = 10 by Lemma 2.5. The identity (4.13) is impossible by Lemma 3.3 since m > 1. The identity (4.14) implies m = 2 by Lemmas 2.7, 3.2 and 3.3. Therefore n = 12. Lastly, (4.15) is impossible by Lemmas 2.5 and 3.2 since m > 1.

Now let $3 \mid m$. If r is even, then r = 2a and therefore n = mr = 2ma. Hence, using (2.3) we get

$$x^2 = \frac{U_n}{U_m} = \frac{U_{2ma}}{U_m} = \frac{U_{ma}}{U_m} V_{ma},$$

and this implies

(4.16)
$$U_{ma} = U_m \Box$$
 and $V_{ma} = \Box$

$$(4.17) U_{ma} = 2U_m \Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_{ma} = 2\Box$$

since $(U_{ma}/U_m, V_{ma}) = 1$ or 2 by (2.13).

Assume that (4.16) is satisfied. Then m = 3, a = 1 by Lemma 2.5 since $3 \mid m$. Thus n = 6.

Assume that (4.17) is satisfied. Then m = 3, a = 1 or m = 3, a = 2, or m = 6, a = 1 by Lemma 2.6. It can be seen that neither m = 3, a = 1 nor m = 6, a = 1 is possible for the equation $U_{ma} = 2U_m \square$. If m = 3 and a = 2, then we get $V_6 = 2\square$ and $V_3 = 2\square$, which is impossible by Lemma 2.6.

Assume that r is odd. Since $3 \mid m$, we can write m = 3s.

If s is even, then s = 2b and so n = mr = 3sr = 6br. Hence, using (2.3) and (2.11), we get

$$x^2 = \frac{U_n}{U_m} = \frac{U_{6br}}{U_{6b}} = \frac{U_{3br}}{U_{3b}} \frac{V_{3br}}{V_{3b}},$$

and this implies

(4.18) $U_{3br} = U_{3b} \Box$ and $V_{3br} = V_{3b} \Box$,

or

$$(4.19) U_{3br} = 2U_{3b} \square \quad \text{and} \quad V_{3br} = 2V_{3b} \square$$

since $(U_{3br}/U_{3b}, V_{3br}/V_{3b}) = 1$ or 2 by (2.13). Each of (4.18) and (4.19) is impossible, by [12, Theorems 3.2 and 3.3] respectively.

Now let s be odd. Then m is odd too.

Let $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then writing $n = mr = m(r-1) + m = 2 \cdot 2^k mz + m$ for some odd positive integer z with $k \ge 1$, we get

$$U_m x^2 = U_n = U_{2 \cdot 2^k m z + m} \equiv -Q^{2^k m z} U_m \pmod{V_{2^k}}$$

by (2.19). Since $(U_m, V_{2^k}) = 1$ by (2.13), the above congruence yields

$$x^2 \equiv -Q^{2^k m z} \pmod{V_{2^k}}.$$

This shows that $\left(\frac{-1}{V_{2k}}\right) = 1$, which is impossible by Lemma 2.3.

If $r \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $n = mr = m(r+1) - m = 2 \cdot 2^k mz - m$ with z odd and $k \geq 1$. Thus

$$U_m x^2 = U_n = U_{2 \cdot 2^k m z - m} \equiv -Q^{2^k m z - m} U_m \pmod{V_{2^k}}$$

by (2.1) and (2.19). Since $(U_m, V_{2^k}) = 1$ by (2.13), we obtain

$$x^2 \equiv -Q^{2^k m z - m} \pmod{V_{2^k}}$$

This shows that $\left(\frac{-Q}{V_{2k}}\right) = 1$. If $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then, by Lemma 2.3,

$$1 = \left(\frac{-Q}{V_{2^k}}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{V_{2^k}}\right) \left(\frac{Q}{V_{2^k}}\right) = -\left(\frac{-1}{Q}\right) = -1,$$

a contradiction. If $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then (4.11) implies that r is a perfect square by Theorem 2.16, contrary to $r \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$.

THEOREM 4.5. Assume that m > 1 and $U_n = 2U_m x^2$ for some integer x. Then (m, n) = (2, 6), (3, 6), (3, 12), or (6, 12).

Proof. Since $U_m | U_n$, it follows that n = mr for some positive integer r by (2.10). Thus,

(4.20)
$$2x^{2} = \frac{U_{n}}{U_{m}} = \frac{U_{mr}}{U_{m}} = U_{r}(V_{m}, -(-Q)^{m})$$

by (2.16).

Firstly, let $3 \nmid m$. Then V_m is odd by (2.9) and also $(V_m, -(-Q)^m) = 1$ by (2.8). Hence, r = 3 or 6 by Theorem 2.12. If r = 3, then we obtain $V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = 2x^2$ from (4.20). Thus m = 2, and therefore n = 6 by Lemma 3.3. If r = 6, then $V_m = \Box$, $V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = 2\Box$, and $V_m^2 - 3(-Q)^m = \Box$ by Theorem 2.12. This is impossible by Lemmas 2.5, 3.2, and 3.3.

Secondly, let $3 \mid m$. Then V_m is even by (2.9). Thus, since $2 \mid U_r, r$ is even by (2.17). Let r = 2a. Hence, using (2.3), we get

$$x^2 = \frac{U_n}{2U_m} = \frac{U_{2ma}}{2U_m} = \frac{U_{ma}}{U_m} \cdot \frac{V_{ma}}{2},$$

and this implies

(4.21) $U_{ma} = U_m \Box$ and $V_{ma} = 2\Box$,

or

$$(4.22) U_{ma} = 2U_m \Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_{ma} = \Box$$

since $(U_{ma}/U_m, V_{ma}/2) = 1$ or 2 by (2.13).

Assume that (4.21) holds. Then (m, a) = (3, 1), (6, 1), or (3, 2) by Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 4.4. Thus (m, n) = (3, 6), (6, 12), or (3, 12).

Assume that (4.22) is satisfied. Then m = 3, a = 1 by Lemma 2.5 since $3 \mid m$. But these values are impossible for $U_{ma} = 2U_m \square$.

THEOREM 4.6. Assume that m > 1 and $U_n = 3U_m x^2$ for some integer x. Then (m, n) = (2, 4), (2, 6), (3, 6), (4, 12), or (5, 10).

Proof. Since $U_m | U_n$, we have n = mr for some integer r by (2.10). Thus

(4.23)
$$3x^2 = \frac{U_n}{U_m} = \frac{U_{mr}}{U_m} = U_r(V_m, -(-Q)^m)$$

by (2.16).

Let $3 \nmid m$. Then V_m is odd by (2.9) and also $(V_m, -(-Q)^m) = 1$ by (2.8). Thus (4.23) implies r = 2, 3, 4, or 6. Therefore, by Theorem 2.13,

(4.24) $V_m = 3x^2$ if r = 2,

(4.25) $V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = 3x^2$ if r = 3,

(4.26) $V_m = \Box$ and $V_m^2 - 2(-Q)^m = 3\Box$ if r = 4,

(4.27) $V_m = \Box, \ V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = 2\Box \text{ and } V_m^2 - 3(-Q)^m = 6\Box \text{ if } r = 6.$

The identity (4.24) implies that m = 2 or m = 5 by Lemma 2.7 since $3 \nmid m$ and m > 1. Thus n = 4 or n = 10. The identity (4.25) implies that m = 2 or m = 4 by Lemma 3.3 and therefore n = 6 or n = 12.

Assume that (4.26) is satisfied. Since $V_{2m} = V_m^2 - 2(-Q)^m$ by (2.4), we have $V_m = \Box$ and $V_{2m} = 3\Box$. This is impossible by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7. The identity (4.27) is impossible by Lemmas 2.5, 3.2, and 3.3.

Now let $3 \mid m$. Firstly, assume that r is even. Then r = 2a and thus n = mr = 2ma. Hence, using (2.3), we have

$$3x^2 = \frac{U_n}{U_m} = \frac{U_{2ma}}{U_m} = \frac{U_{ma}}{U_m} \cdot V_{ma},$$

and this implies that

(4.28) $U_{ma} = U_m \Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_{ma} = 3\Box,$ (4.29) $U_m = 3U_m \Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_m = \Box$

$$(4.29) \qquad \qquad U_{ma} = 5U_m \Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_{ma} = \Box,$$

$$(4.30) U_{ma} = 2U_m \sqcup \quad \text{and} \quad V_{ma} = 6 \sqcup,$$

or

$$(4.31) U_{ma} = 6U_m \Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_{ma} = 2\Box.$$

The identity (4.28) implies that m = 3, a = 1 by Lemma 2.7 since $3 \mid m$. Thus n = 6. The identity (4.29) implies that m = 3, a = 1 by Lemma 2.5. But this is impossible for the equation $U_{ma} = 3U_m \square$. It can be seen that (4.30) is impossible by Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 4.5. The identity (4.31) implies (m, a) = (3, 1), (6, 1), or (3, 2) by Lemma 2.6. It can be seen that (m, a) = (3, 1) or (6, 1) is impossible. If m = 3, a = 2, then $V_6 = 2\square$ and $V_3 = 6\square$. This is impossible by Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.8.

Secondly, assume that r is odd. Then, since $3 | U_r$ by (4.23), it follows that 3 | r by Theorem 2.14. Let r = 3s for some positive integer s. Then n = mr = 3ms and thus

$$3x^{2} = \frac{U_{n}}{U_{m}} = \frac{U_{3ms}}{U_{m}} = \frac{U_{ms}}{U_{m}} \left(V_{ms}^{2} - (-Q)^{ms} \right)$$

by (2.5). Since

$$\left(\frac{U_{ms}}{U_m}, (P^2 + 4Q)U_{ms}^2 + 3(-Q)^{ms}\right) = 1,3$$

by (2.7), it follows that

(4.32) $U_{ms} = U_m \Box$ and $V_{ms}^2 - (-Q)^{ms} = 3\Box$

(4.33)
$$U_{ms} = 3U_m \Box$$
 and $V_{ms}^2 - (-Q)^{ms} = \Box$.

But (4.32) and (4.33) are impossible by Lemma 3.3 since $3 \mid m$.

THEOREM 4.7. Assume that m > 1 and $U_n = 6U_m x^2$ for some integer x. Then (m, n) = (2, 6) or (3, 6).

Proof. Since $U_m | U_n$, it follows that n = mr for some integer r by (2.10). Hence,

(4.34)
$$6x^2 = \frac{U_n}{U_m} = \frac{U_{mr}}{U_m} = U_r \left(V_m, -(-Q)^m \right)$$

by (2.16).

Firstly, let $3 \nmid m$. Then V_m is odd by (2.9) and also $(V_m, -(-Q)^m) = 1$ by (2.8). Thus (4.34) implies that r = 3 or 6 by Corollary 4.3. If r = 3, we have $V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = 6\square$. Thus m = 2 by Lemma 3.3 since m > 1. Therefore

n = 6. If r = 6, then

$$V_m = \Box$$
, $V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = 2\Box$, $V_m^2 - 3(-Q)^m = 3\Box$

or

$$V_m = \Box, \quad V_m^2 - (-Q)^m = \Box, \quad V_m^2 - 3(-Q)^m = 6\Box$$

by Corollary 4.3. But both of these are impossible by Lemmas 2.5, 3.2, and 3.3.

Secondly, let $3 \mid m$. Then V_m is even by (2.9). Thus, since $2 \mid U_r$ by (4.34), r is even by (2.17). Let r = 2s. Hence, using (2.3), we have

$$3x^{2} = \frac{U_{n}}{2U_{m}} = \frac{U_{mr}}{2U_{m}} = \frac{U_{2ms}}{2U_{m}} = \frac{U_{ms}}{U_{m}} \cdot \frac{V_{ms}}{2}$$

since $3 \mid m$. This implies

(4.35)
$$U_{ms} = U_m \Box$$
 and $V_{ms} = 6\Box_s$

$$(4.36) U_{ms} = 3U_m \Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_{ms} = 2\Box,$$

 $(4.37) U_{ms} = 2U_m \Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_{ms} = 3\Box,$

or

 $(4.38) U_{ms} = 6U_m \Box \quad \text{and} \quad V_{ms} = \Box$

since $(U_{ms}/U_m, V_{ms}/2) = 1$ or 2 by (2.13).

The identity (4.35) implies m = 3, s = 1 by Lemma 2.8 and so n = 6. The identity (4.36) implies m = 3, s = 2 by Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 4.6. But, in this case, we obtain $V_3 = 3\Box$ and $V_6 = 2\Box$. This is impossible by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7. The identity (4.37) is impossible by Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 4.5. The identity (4.38) implies m = 3, s = 1 by Lemma 2.5. But this is impossible for the equation $U_{ms} = 6U_m\Box$.

4.2. On square classes in a generalized Fibonacci sequence. In [4, 5, 8], the authors defined $U_n \sim U_m$ iff there exist nonzero integers x and y such that $x^2U_n = y^2U_m$, or equivalently, $U_nU_m = \Box$. If $U_n \sim U_m$, then U_n and U_m are said to be in the same square class, and a square class containing more than one term of the sequence (U_n) is called *non-trivial*.

Now we briefly summarize the relevant known facts. Ribenboim [5] has explicitly shown that if $m \neq 1, 2, 3, 6, 12$, then the square classes of F_m is trivial. That is, if $m \neq 1, 2, 3, 6, 12$ and $F_nF_m = \Box$, then m = n. It should be pointed out that more generally, Cohn [1] determined the square classes of the sequence $(U_n(P,Q))$ when $Q = \pm 1$ and P is odd. Ribenboim [6] has determined the square classes of the sequences $U_n(Q+1,Q)$. Moreover, when P and Q are nonzero relatively prime integers such that $P^2 + 4Q \neq 0$, Ribenboim and McDaniel [8] showed that each square class of the sequences (U_n) and (V_n) is finite, and its elements are effectively computable. Moreover, in [4] they showed that for all odd relatively prime integers P and Q

with P > 0 and $P^2 + 4Q > 0$, if $U_n U_m = \Box$ for $1 \le m < n$, then either $(m, n) = (1, 2), (1, 6), (1, 12), (2, 3), (2, 12), (3, 6), (5, 10), \text{ or } (10, 15), \text{ or } n = 3m, 3 \nmid m, m \text{ odd. But } (m, n) = (10, 15)$ is impossible: if (m, n) = (10, 15), then

$$U_{15}U_{10} = U_5^2(V_5^2 + Q^5)V_5 = \Box$$

by (2.3) and (2.5) and this implies that

$$V_5 = \Box$$
 and $V_5^2 + Q^5 = \Box$

since $(V_5^2 + Q^5, V_5) = 1$ by (2.8). The equation $V_5^2 + Q^5 = \Box$ has no solutions by Lemma 3.3. Moreover, we will prove in Theorem 4.8 that m may only be 1, and therefore n = 3, in case $U_n U_m = \Box$ for $n = 3m, 3 \nmid m, m$ odd. Lastly, Siar [12] determined all n and m such that $V_n V_m = w \Box$ with $w \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$.

Now let a and b be square-free positive integers such that (a, b) = 1. Then we define an equivalence relation as follows: $aU_n \sim bU_m$ iff there exist non-zero integers x and y such that $x^2 aU_n = y^2 bU_m$, or equivalently, $U_n U_m = ab\Box$.

Now, we consider the equivalence relation $aU_n \sim bU_m$ when $ab \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$. In the following four theorems, we assume (1.1).

THEOREM 4.8. Assume that $U_n U_m = x^2$ for $1 \le m \le n$. Then m = n or (m, n) = (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 6), (1, 12), (2, 3), (2, 12), (3, 6), or (5, 10).

Proof. It is obvious that m = n is a solution. So, let $m \neq n$. Let d = (m, n). Then $(U_m, U_n) = U_d$ by (2.12) and therefore

$$\frac{U_n}{U_d} \frac{U_m}{U_d} = \left(\frac{x}{U_d}\right)^2.$$

Since $(U_n/U_d, U_m/U_d) = 1$, it follows that $U_n = U_d \square$ and $U_m = U_d \square$. Assume that $U_d \neq 1$. Then it is obvious that d > 1. Thus, by Theorem 4.4,

(4.39) n = d, or (d, n) = (5, 10), (2, 12), or (3, 6),

(4.40) m = d, or (d, m) = (5, 10), (2, 12), or (3, 6).

The identities (4.39) and (4.40) imply that (m, n) = (5, 10), (2, 12), or (3, 6).If $U_d = 1$, then $U_n = \Box$ and $U_m = \Box$, and these imply that

$$(m,n) = (1,2), (1,3), (1,6), (1,12), (2,3), (2,6), \text{ or } (2,12)$$

by Theorem 2.11. But (m, n) = (2, 6) is impossible for $U_n U_m = x^2$.

THEOREM 4.9. Assume that $U_n U_m = 2x^2$ for $1 \le m \le n$. Then (m, n) = (1, 3), (1, 6), (2, 3), (2, 6), (3, 6), (3, 12), or (6, 12).

Proof. It is obvious that $m \neq n$. Let d = (m, n). Then $(U_m, U_n) = U_d$ by (2.12) and therefore

$$\frac{U_n}{U_d}\frac{U_m}{U_d} = 2\left(\frac{x}{U_d}\right)^2.$$

Since $(U_n/U_d, U_m/U_d) = 1$, it follows that (4.41) $U_n = U_d \square$ and $U_m = 2U_d \square$

or

(4.42)
$$U_n = 2U_d \Box$$
 and $U_m = U_d \Box$.

Assume that $U_d \neq 1$. Then it is obvious that d > 1. Thus (4.41) and (4.42) imply that (m, n) = (6, 12) and (m, n) = (2, 6), (3, 6), (3, 12), or (6, 12) by Theorems 4.4 and 4.5. If $U_d = 1$, then $U_n = \Box$, $U_m = 2\Box$ or $U_n = 2\Box$, $U_m = \Box$. From these equations, we can see that (m, n) = (1, 3), (1, 6), (2, 3), or (2, 6) by Theorems 2.11 and 2.12.

Similarly, the following theorems can be proved using Theorems 2.11–2.13, Corollary 4.3, and Theorems 4.6 and 4.7. Therefore we omit their proofs.

THEOREM 4.10. If $U_n U_m = 3x^2$ for $1 \le m \le n$, then (m, n) = (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (1, 6), (2, 3), (2, 4), (2, 6), (3, 6), (4, 12), or (5, 10).

THEOREM 4.11. If $U_n U_m = 6x^2$ for $1 \le m \le n$, then (m, n) = (1, 3), (1, 6), (2, 3), (2, 6), (3, 4), (3, 6), or (4, 6).

References

- J. H. E. Cohn, Squares in some recurrent sequences, Pacific J. Math. 41 (1972), 631–646.
- [2] R. Keskin and Z. Yosma, On Fibonacci and Lucas numbers of the form cx², J. Integer Sequences 14 (2011), art. 11.9.3, 12 pp.
- W. L. McDaniel, The g.c.d. in Lucas sequences and Lehmer number sequences, Fibonacci Quart. 29 (1991), 24–30.
- [4] W. L. McDaniel and P. Ribenboim, Square-classes in Lucas sequences having odd parameters, J. Number Theory 73 (1998), 14–27.
- [5] P. Ribenboim, Square-classes of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, Portugal. Math. 46 (1989), 159–175.
- [6] P. Ribenboim, Square classes of $\frac{a^n-1}{a-1}$ and $a^n + 1$, J. Sichuan Univ. 26 (1989), 196–199.
- [7] P. Ribenboim, My Numbers, My Friends, Springer, New York, 2000.
- [8] P. Ribenboim and W. L. McDaniel, Square classes of Lucas sequences, Portugal. Math. 48 (1991), 469–473.
- P. Ribenboim and W. L. McDaniel, *The square terms in Lucas sequences*, J. Number Theory 58 (1996), 104–123; Addendum, ibid. 61 (1996), 420.
- [10] P. Ribenboim and W. L. McDaniel, On Lucas sequence terms of the form kx², in: Number Theory, in memory of Kustaa Inkeri (Turku, 1999), de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001, 293–303.
- [11] A. Rotkiewicz, Applications of Jacobi's symbol to Lehmer's numbers, Acta Arith. 42 (1983), 163–187.
- [12] Z. Şiar, On square classes in generalized Lucas sequences, Int. J. Number Theory 11 (2015), 661–672.

- [13] Z. Şiar and R. Keskin, Some new identities concerning generalized Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, Hacettepe J. Math. Statist. 42 (2013), 211–222.
- [14] Z. Şiar and R. Keskin, The square terms in generalized Lucas sequence with parameters P and Q, Math. Scand. 118 (2016), 13–26.

Zafer Şiar Mathematics Department Bingöl University 12000 Bingöl, Turkey E-mail: zsiar@bingol.edu.tr Refik Keskin Mathematics Department Sakarya University 54050 Sakarya, Turkey E-mail: rkeskin@sakarya.edu.tr