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#### Abstract

We study mixed weighted weak-type inequalities for families of functions, which can be applied to study classical operators in harmonic analysis. Our main theorem extends the key result of Cruz-Uribe et al. (2005).


1. Introduction and main results. In this work we consider mixed weighted weak-type inequalities of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u v\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{|T(f v)(x)|}{v(x)}>t\right\}\right) \leq \frac{C}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)| M u(x) v(x) d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is either the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator or any CalderónZygmund operator. Similar inequalities were studied by Sawyer [Sa motivated by the work of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden (MW] (see also AM] and (MOS]).
E. Sawyer proved that inequality (1.1) holds in $\mathbb{R}$ when $T=M$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator assuming that the weights $u$ and $v$ belong to the class $A_{1}$. This result can be seen as a very delicate extension of the classical weak type $(1,1)$ estimate. However, the reason why E. Sawyer considered $\sqrt{1.1}$ ) is due to the following interesting observation. Indeed, inequality (1.1) yields a new proof of the classical Muckenhoupt theorem for $M$ assuming that the $A_{p}$ weights can be factored (P. Jones's theorem). This means that if $w \in A_{p}$ then $w=u v^{1-p}$ for some $u, v \in A_{1}$. Now, the operator $f \mapsto M(f v) / v$ is bounded on $L^{\infty}(u v)$ and it is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to the measure $u v d x$ by (1.1). Hence by the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem we recover Muckenhoupt's theorem.

In the same paper, Sawyer conjectured that if $T$ is instead the Hilbert transform the inequality also holds with the same hypotheses on the weights

[^0]$u$ and $v$. This conjecture was proved in [CMP2]. In fact, it is proved in this paper that the inequality (1.1) holds for both the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and for any Calderón-Zygmund operator in any dimension if either the weights $u$ and $v$ both belong to $A_{1}$, or $u$ belongs to $A_{1}$ and $u v \in A_{\infty}$. The method of proof is quite different from that in [Sa] (and also from [MW]) and it is based on certain ideas from extrapolation that go back to the work of Rubio de Francia (see [CMP2] and also the expository paper [CMP3]). Applications of these results can be found in [LOPTT]. The authors conjectured in [CMP2] that their results may hold under weaker hypotheses on the weights. To be more precise, they conjectured that inequality (1.1) is true if $u \in A_{1}$ and $v \in A_{\infty}$. Very recently, some quantitative estimates in terms of the relevant constants of the weights have been obtained in OPR and some new conjectures have been formulated.

Inequalities like (1.1), when $T$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, can also be seen as generalizations of the classical Fefferman-Stein inequality

$$
\|M f\|_{L^{1}, \infty(u)} \leq c\|f\|_{L^{1}(M u)},
$$

where $c$ is a dimensional constant. However, in Section 3, we will see that (1.1) does not hold in general even for weights satisfying strong conditions like $v \in R H_{\infty} \subset A_{\infty}$.

In this work we generalize the extrapolation result in [CMP3] to a larger class of weights (see Theorem 1.1 below). This method of extrapolation is flexible enough with scope reaching beyond the classical linear operators. Indeed, it can be applied to square functions, vector-valued operators as well as multilinear singular integral operators. See Section 2 for some of these applications. In fact, the best way to state the extrapolation theorem is without considering operators and the result can be seen as a property of families of functions. Hereafter, $\mathcal{F}$ will denote a family of ordered pairs of non-negative, measurable functions $(f, g)$. Also we are going to assume that $\mathcal{F}$ has the following property: for some $p_{0}, 0<p_{0}<\infty$, and every $w \in A_{\infty}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x)^{p_{0}} w(x) d x \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(x)^{p_{0}} w(x) d x, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the left-hand side is finite, and where $C$ depends only on the $A_{\infty}$ constant of $w$. By the main theorem in CMP1, this is then true for any exponent $p \in(0, \infty)$ and every $w \in A_{\infty}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x)^{p} w(x) d x \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(x)^{p} w(x) d x \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the left hand side is finite, and where $C$ depends only on the $A_{\infty}$ constant of $w$. See the papers [CMP1, CGMP] and CMP3] for more information and applications, and the book [CMP4] for a general
account. It is also interesting that both (1.2) and (1.3) are equivalent to the following vector-valued version: for all $0<p, q<\infty$ and all $w \in A_{\infty}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left(f_{j}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq C\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left(g_{j}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right\|_{L^{p}(w)}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\left\{\left(f_{j}, g_{j}\right)\right\}_{j} \subset \mathcal{F}$, where these estimates hold whenever the left-hand sides are finite.

The next theorem improves the corresponding theorem from [CMP2].
Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions satisfying (1.2) and let $\theta \geq 1$. Suppose that $u \in A_{1}$ and that $v$ is a weight such that $v^{\delta} \in A_{\infty}$ for some $\delta>0$. Then there is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f / v^{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1 / \theta, \infty}(u v)} \leq C\left\|g / v^{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1 / \theta, \infty}(u v)}, \quad(f, g) \in \mathcal{F} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the following vector-valued extension holds: if $0<q<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\left(\sum_{j}\left(f_{j}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{v^{\theta}}\right\|_{L^{1 / \theta, \infty}(u v)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\left(\sum_{j}\left(g_{j}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{v^{\theta}}\right\|_{L^{1 / \theta, \infty}(u v)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\left\{\left(f_{j}, g_{j}\right)\right\}_{j} \subset \mathcal{F}$.
Observe that the class of singular weights $v(x)=|x|^{-n r}, r \geq 1$, is covered by the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 but not by the corresponding theorem from CMP2.

The proof of (1.6) is immediate since we can extrapolate using as initial hypothesis (1.4) and then applying (1.5).

Corollary 1.2. Let $\mathcal{F}, u$ and $\theta \geq 1$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Suppose now that $v_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, are weights such that for some $\delta_{i}>0$, we have $v_{i}^{\delta_{i}} \in A_{\infty}, i=1, \ldots, m$. Denote $v=\prod_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}$. Then

$$
\left\|f / v^{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1 / \theta, \infty}(u v)} \leq C\left\|g / v^{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1 / \theta, \infty}(u v)}, \quad(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}
$$

and similarly for $0<q<\infty$,

$$
\left\|\frac{\left(\sum_{j}\left(f_{j}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{v^{\theta}}\right\|_{L^{1 / \theta, \infty}(u v)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\left(\sum_{j}\left(g_{j}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{v^{\theta}}\right\|_{L^{1 / \theta, \infty}(u v)},
$$

for any $\left\{\left(f_{j}, g_{j}\right)\right\}_{j} \subset \mathcal{F}$.
This reduces to Theorem 1.1 by choosing $\delta>0$ small enough such that $v^{\delta}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{\delta} \in A_{\infty}$, which follows by convexity since $v_{i}^{\delta_{i}} \in A_{\infty}$, $i=1, \ldots, m$.

To apply Theorem 1.1 to some of the classical operators we need a mixed weak type estimate for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. This is the content of the next theorem which was obtained in dimension one by Andersen and Muckenhoupt (AM, and by Martín-Reyes, Ortega Salvador
and Sarrión Gavián MOS] in higher dimensions. In each case the statement is a consequence of a more general result with the additional hypothesis that $u \in A_{1}$. For completeness we will give an independent and direct proof with the advantage that no condition on the weight $u$ is assumed.

Theorem 1.3. Let $u \geq 0$ and $v(x)=|x|^{-n r}$ for some $r>1$. Then there is a constant $C$ such that for all $t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u v\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{M(f v)(x)}{v(x)}>t\right\}\right) \leq \frac{C}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)| M u(x) v(x) d x \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

REmark 1.4. We remark that the theorem could be false when $r=1$ even in the case $u=1$ (see [AM]). However, we already mentioned that the singular weight $v(x)=|x|^{-n}$ is covered by the extrapolation Theorem 1.1.
2. Some applications. In this section we show the flexibility of the method by giving two applications.
2.1. The vector-valued case. Let $T$ be any singular integral operator with standard kernel and let $M$ be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. We are going to show that starting from the following inequality due to Coifman Coi]: for $0<p<\infty$ and $w \in A_{\infty}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|T f(x)|^{p} w(x) d x \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} M f(x)^{p} w(x) d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

combined with Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 , we get the following corollary.
Corollary 2.1. Let $u \in A_{1}$ and $v(x)=|x|^{-n r}$ for some $r>1$. Also let $1<q<\infty$. Then there is a constant $C$ such that for all $t>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u v\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{\left(\sum_{j} M\left(f_{j} v\right)(x)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{v(x)}>t\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}(x)\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} u(x) v(x) d x \\
& u v\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{\left(\sum_{j}\left|T\left(f_{j} v\right)(x)\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{v(x)}>t\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}(x)\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} u(x) v(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that in particular we have the following scalar version:

$$
u v\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{|T(f v)(x)|}{v(x)}>t\right\}\right) \leq \frac{C}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)| u(x) v(x) d x
$$

This scalar version was proved in MOS.

The second inequality of the corollary follows from the first one by applying inequality (1.6) of Theorem 1.1 with initial hypothesis (2.1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t>0} \operatorname{tuv}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right.\right. & \left.\left.: \frac{\left(\sum_{j}\left|T\left(f_{j}\right)(x)\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{v(x)}>t\right\}\right) \\
& \leq C \sup _{t>0} \operatorname{tuv}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{\left(\sum_{j} M\left(f_{j}\right)(x)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{v(x)}>t\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove the first inequality of Corollary 2.1 we first note that in CGMP it was shown that for all $1<q<\infty$ and $0<p<\infty$ and $w \in A_{\infty}$,

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left(M\left(f_{j}\right)\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq C\left\|M\left(\left(\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} .
$$

To conclude we apply Theorem 1.1 combined with Theorem 1.3 .
2.2. Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators. We now apply our main results to multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators. We follow here the theory developed by Grafakos and Torres [GT1], that is, $T$ is an $m$-linear operator such that $T: L^{q_{1}} \times \cdots \times L^{q_{m}} \rightarrow L^{q}$, where $1<q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}<\infty$, $0<q<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{q_{m}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $T$ is associated with a Calderón-Zygmund kernel $K$ in the usual way:

$$
T\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K\left(x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \ldots f_{m}\left(y_{m}\right) d y_{1} \ldots d y_{m}
$$

whenever $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ are in $C_{0}^{\infty}$ and $x \notin \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} \operatorname{supp} f_{j}$. We assume that $K$ satisfies the appropriate decay and smoothness conditions (see [GT1] for complete details). Such an operator $T$ is bounded on any product of Lebesgue spaces with exponents $1<q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}<\infty$ and $0<q<\infty$ satisfying (2.2). Further, it also satisfies weak endpoint estimates when some of the $q_{i}$ 's are equal to one. There are also weighted norm inequalities for multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators; these were first proved in [GT2] using a good $-\lambda$ inequality and fully characterized in [LOPTT] using the sharp maximal function $\mathcal{M}$ and a new maximal type function which plays a central role in the theory:

$$
\mathcal{M}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)(x)=\sup _{\substack{Q \ni x \\ Q \text { cube }}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|f_{i}(z)\right| d z
$$

where the supremum is taken over cubes with sides parallel to the axes. Indeed, one of the main results of [LOPTT] is that for any $0<p<\infty$ and
any $w \in A_{\infty}$,

$$
\left\|T\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq C\left\|\mathcal{M}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(w)}
$$

Beginning with these inequalities, we can apply Theorem 1.1 to the family $\mathcal{F}\left(T\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)\right)$. Hence, if $u \in A_{1}$ and $v(x)=|x|^{-n r}$ for some $r>1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{T\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)}{v^{m}}\right\|_{L^{1 / m, \infty}(u v)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\mathcal{M}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)}{v^{m}}\right\|_{L^{1 / m, \infty}(u v)} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.2. Let $T$ be a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator as above. Let $u \in A_{1}$ and $v(x)=|x|^{-n r}$ for some $r>1$. Then

$$
\left\|\frac{T\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)}{v^{m}}\right\|_{L^{1 / m, \infty}(u v)} \leq C \prod_{j=1 \mathbb{R}^{n}}^{m}\left|f_{j}\right| u d x .
$$

To prove this corollary we will use the following version of the generalized Hölder inequality: for $1 \leq q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}<\infty$ with

$$
\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{q_{m}}=\frac{1}{q},
$$

there is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{m} h_{j}\right\|_{L^{q, \infty}(w)} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left\|h_{j}\right\|_{L^{q_{j}, \infty}(w)} .
$$

This is proved similarly to the classical generalized Hölder inequality in $L^{p}$ theory.

Now, if we combine this with (2.3) and with the trivial observation that

$$
\mathcal{M}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)(x) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{m} M f_{i},
$$

we have

$$
\left\|\frac{T\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)}{v^{m}}\right\|_{L^{1 / m, \infty}(u v)} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\frac{M f_{j}}{v}\right\|_{L^{1, \infty}(u v)},
$$

Finally, an application of Theorem 1.3 concludes the proof of the corollary.
3. Counterexamples. An interesting point of Theorem 1.3 is that if $v(x)=|x|^{-n r}, r>1$, then the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
u v\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{M(f v)(x)}{v(x)}>t\right\}\right) \leq \frac{C}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)| M u(x) v(x) d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $u \geq 0$. On the other hand, we have already mentioned that the same inequality holds if $u \in A_{1}$ and $v \in A_{1}$, or $u v \in A_{\infty}$ [CMP2. In particular, this is the case if $u \in A_{1}$ and $v \in R H_{\infty}$. Assuming that $v \in R H_{\infty}$,
a natural question is whether inequality (3.1) holds with no assumption on $u$. This would improve the classical Fefferman-Stein inequality. However, we will show in the next example that this is false in general.

Example 3.1. On the real line we let $v(x)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}|x-k| \chi_{I_{k}}(x)$, where $I_{k}$ denotes the interval $|x-k| \leq 1 / 2$. It is not difficult to see that $v \in R H_{\infty}$. If we choose

$$
u(x)=\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ k>10}} \frac{k}{\log (k)} \chi_{J_{k}}(x),
$$

where $J_{k}=[k+1 /(4 k), k+1 / k]$, and $f=\chi_{[-1,1]}$, then there is no finite constant $C$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u v(\{x: M f(x)>v(x)\}) \leq C \int|f| M^{2} u \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. To prove this we will make use of the following observation:
There is a geometric constant such that

$$
M^{2} w(x) \approx M_{L \log L} w(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where

$$
M_{L \log L} f(x)=\sup _{Q \ni x}\|f\|_{L \log L, Q}
$$

and

$$
\|f\|_{L \log L, Q}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right) d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

with $\Phi(t)=t \log (e+t)$ (see [PW] or [G]). Now, by computation one can see that if $x \in[-1,1], M^{2} u(x) \approx M_{L \log L} u(x) \leq C$ then the right hand side of $(3.2)$ is finite, while the left hand side is infinite. Let us check that. For $|x|>2$ we have $M f(x) \geq 1 /|x|$ and if $x \in J_{k} \subset I_{k}$ for $k>10$ then $1 /|x|>1 /(2 k)$. Then it is easy to see that $(k+1 /(4 k), k+1 /(2 k)) \subset\left\{x \in J_{k}\right.$ : $M f(x)>v(x)\}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
u v(\{x: M f(x)>v(x)\}) & >\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\
k>10}} \frac{k}{\log (k)} \int_{k+1 /(4 k)}^{k+1 /(2 k)}(x-k) d x \\
& >\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\
k>10}} \frac{1}{8 k \log (k)}=\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1. The following lemmas will be useful:

Lemma 4.1. If $u, w \in A_{1}$, then there exists $0<\epsilon_{0}<1$ depending only on $[u]_{A_{1}}$ such that $u w^{\epsilon} \in A_{1}$ for all $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}$.

Proof. Since $u \in A_{1}$, we have $u \in R H_{s_{0}}$ for some $s_{0}>1$ depending on $[u]_{A_{1}}$. Let $\epsilon_{0}=1 / s_{0}^{\prime}$ and $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}$. This implies that $u \in R H_{s}$ with $s=(1 / \epsilon)^{\prime}$.

Now since $u, v \in A_{1}$, for any cube $Q$ and almost every $x \in Q$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u(y) w(y)^{\epsilon} d y \leq\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u(y)^{s} d y\right)^{1 / s}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(y) d y\right)^{1 / s^{\prime}} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{[u]_{R H_{s}}}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u(y) d y\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(y) d y\right)^{1 / s^{\prime}} \leq[u]_{R H_{s}}[u]_{A_{1}}[w]_{A_{1}}^{\epsilon} u(x) w(x)^{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $u w^{\epsilon} \in A_{1}$ with $\left[u w^{\epsilon}\right]_{A_{1}} \leq[u]_{R H_{s}}[u]_{A_{1}}[w]_{A_{1}}^{\epsilon}$.
We also need the following version of the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem in the scale of Lorentz spaces. In fact we need a version of this theorem with precise constants. The proof can be found in [CMP2.

Proposition 4.2. Given $p_{0}, 1<p_{0}<\infty$, let $T$ be a sublinear operator such that

$$
\|T f\|_{L^{p_{0}, \infty}} \leq C_{0}\|f\|_{L^{p_{0}, 1}} \quad \text { and } \quad\|T f\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

Then for all $p_{0}<p<\infty$,

$$
\|T f\|_{L^{p, 1}} \leq 2^{1 / p}\left(C_{0}\left(1 / p_{0}-1 / p\right)^{-1}+C_{1}\right)\|f\|_{L^{p, 1}} .
$$

Fix $u \in A_{1}$ and $v$ such that $v^{\delta} \in A_{\infty}$ for some $\delta>0$. Then by the factorization theorem $v^{\delta}=v_{1} v_{2}$ for some $v_{1} \in A_{1}$ and $v_{2} \in R H_{\infty}$. Define the operator $S_{\lambda}$ by

$$
S_{\lambda} f(x)=\frac{M\left(f u v_{1}^{1 /(\lambda \delta)}\right)}{u v_{1}^{1 /(\lambda \delta)}}
$$

for some large enough constant $\lambda>1$ that will be chosen soon.
By Lemma 4.1, there exists $0<\epsilon_{0}<1$ (that depends only on $[u]_{A_{1}}$ ) such that $u w^{\epsilon} \in A_{1}$ for all $w \in A_{1}$ and $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}$.

Choose $\lambda>1 /\left(\delta \epsilon_{0}\right)$ such that $u v_{1}^{1 /(\lambda \delta)} \in A_{1}$. Hence, $S_{\lambda}$ is bounded on $L^{\infty}(u v)$ with constant $C_{1}=[u]_{A_{1}}$. We will now show that for some larger $\lambda$, $S_{\lambda}$ is bounded on $L^{m}(u v)$. Observe that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} S f(x)^{\lambda} u(x) v(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} M\left(f u v_{1}^{1 /(\lambda \delta)}\right)(x)^{\lambda} u(x)^{1-\lambda} v_{2}(x)^{1 / \delta} d x .
$$

Since $v_{2}=\tilde{v}_{2}^{1-t}$ for some $\tilde{v}_{2} \in A_{1}$ and $t>1$ we have

$$
u^{1-\lambda} v_{2}^{1 / \delta}=u^{1-\lambda} \tilde{v}_{2}^{(1-t) / \delta}=\left(u \tilde{v}_{2}^{(t-1) /(\delta(\lambda-1))}\right)^{1-\lambda} .
$$

By Lemma 4.1 there exists $\lambda$ sufficiently large $\left(\lambda>1+(t-1) /\left(\delta \epsilon_{0}\right)\right)$ such that $u \tilde{v}_{2}^{(t-1) /(\delta(\lambda-1))} \in A_{1}$ and hence $u^{1-\lambda} v_{2}^{1 / \delta} \in A_{\lambda}$. By Muckenhoupt's theorem, $M$ is bounded on $L^{\lambda}\left(u^{1-\lambda} v_{2}^{1 / \delta}\right)$ and therefore $S$ is bounded on
$L^{\lambda}(u v)$ with some constant $C_{0}$. Observe that $\lambda$ depends on the $A_{1}$ constant of $u$. We fix one such $\lambda$ from now on.

By Proposition 4.2 above we know that $S$ is bounded on $L^{q, 1}(u v), q>\lambda$. Hence,

$$
\|S f\|_{L^{q, 1}(u v)} \leq 2^{1 / q}\left(C_{0}(1 / \lambda-1 / q)^{-1}+C_{1}\right)\|f\|_{L^{q, 1}(u v)} .
$$

Thus, for all $q \geq 2 \lambda$ we have $\|S f\|_{L^{q, 1}(u v)} \leq K_{0}\|f\|_{L^{q, 1}(u v)}$ with $K_{0}=$ $4 \lambda\left(C_{0}+C_{1}\right)$. We emphasize that the constant $K_{0}$ is valid for every $q \geq 2 \lambda$.

Fix $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the left hand side of (1.5) is finite. We let $r$ be such that $\theta<r<\theta(2 \lambda)^{\prime}$, to be chosen soon. Now, by the duality of $L^{r, \infty}$ and $L^{r^{\prime}, 1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f v^{-\theta}\right\|_{L^{1 / \theta, \infty}(u v)}^{1 / r} & =\left\|\left(f v^{-\theta}\right)^{1 / r}\right\|_{L^{r / \theta, \infty}(u v)} \\
& =\sup \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x)^{1 / r} h(x) u(x) v(x)^{1-\theta / r} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all non-negative $h \in L^{(r / \theta)^{\prime}, 1}(u v)$ with $\|h\|_{L^{(r / \theta)^{\prime}, 1(u v)}}=1$. Fix such a function $h$. We are going to build a larger function $\mathcal{R} h$ using Rubio de Francia's method such $\mathcal{R} h u v^{1-\theta / r} \in A_{\infty}$. Hence we will use the hypothesis (1.3) with $p=\theta / r$ (recall that this is equivalent to $\left(1.2\right.$ ) ) with the weight $\mathcal{R} h u v^{1-\theta / r} \in A_{\infty}$.

We let $r$ be such that $(r / \theta)^{\prime}>2 \lambda$ and hence $S_{(r / \theta)^{\prime}}$ is bounded on $L^{(r / \theta)^{\prime}, 1}(u v)$ with constant bounded by $K_{0}$. Now apply the Rubio de Francia algorithm (see [GR]) to define the operator $\mathcal{R}$ on $h \in L^{(r / \theta)^{\prime}, 1}(u v), h \geq 0$, by

$$
\mathcal{R} h(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_{(r / \theta)^{\prime}}^{j} h(x)}{2^{j} K_{0}^{j}},
$$

Recall that the operator $S_{(r / \theta)^{\prime}}$ is defined by

$$
S_{(r / \theta)^{\prime}} f(x)=\frac{M\left(f u v_{1}^{1 /\left((r / \theta)^{\prime} \delta\right)}\right)}{u v_{1}^{1 /\left((r / \theta)^{\prime} \delta\right)}} .
$$

Also, recall that by the choice of $r$ we have $u v_{1}^{1 /\left((r / \theta)^{\prime} \delta\right)} \in A_{1}$.
It follows immediately from this definition that:
(a) $h(x) \leq \mathcal{R} h(x)$;
(b) $\|\mathcal{R} h\|_{L^{(r / \theta)^{\prime}, 1}(u v)} \leq 2\|h\|_{L^{(r / \theta)^{\prime}, 1(u v)}}$;
(c) $S_{(r / \theta)^{\prime}}(\mathcal{R} h)(x) \leq 2 K_{0} \mathcal{R} h(x)$.

In particular, (c) and the definition of $S$ imply that $\mathcal{R} h u v_{1}^{1 /\left((r / \theta)^{\prime} \delta\right)} \in A_{1}$ and therefore $\mathcal{R} h u v^{1 /(r / \theta)^{\prime}}=\mathcal{R} h u v_{1}^{1 /\left(\delta(r / \theta)^{\prime}\right)} v_{2}^{1 /\left(\delta(r / \theta)^{\prime}\right)} \in A_{\infty}$.

To apply the hypothesis (1.3) we must first check that the left-hand side is finite, but this follows at once from Hölder's inequality and (b):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x)^{1 / r} \mathcal{R} h(x) u(x) v(x)^{1-\theta / r} d x & \leq\left\|\left(f v^{-\theta}\right)^{1 / r}\right\|_{L^{r / \theta, \infty}(u v)}\|\mathcal{R} h\|_{L^{(r / \theta)^{\prime}, 1}(u v)} \\
& \leq 2\left\|f v^{-\theta}\right\|_{L^{1 / \theta, \infty}(u v)}^{1 / r}\|h\|_{L^{(r / \theta)^{\prime}, 1(u v)}}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus since $\mathcal{R} h u v^{1 /(r / \theta)^{\prime}} \in A_{\infty}$, by (1.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x)^{1 / r} h(x) u(x) v(x)^{1-\theta / r} d x & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x)^{1 / r} \mathcal{R} h(x) u(x) v(x)^{1-\theta / r} d x \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(x)^{1 / r} \mathcal{R} h(x) u(x) v(x)^{1-\theta / r} d x \\
& \leq C\left\|\left(g v^{-\theta}\right)^{1 / r}\right\|_{L^{r / \theta, \infty}(u v)}\|\mathcal{R} h\|_{L^{(r / \theta)^{\prime}, 1}(u v)} \\
& \leq 2 C\left\|g v^{-\theta}\right\|_{L^{1 / \theta, \infty}(u v)}^{1 / r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $C$ is independent of $h$, inequality (1.5) follows, finishing the proof of the theorem.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

5.1. Proof of (1.7). The following lemma is important in the proof.

Lemma 5.1. Let $f$ be a positive and locally integrable function. Then for every $r>1$ there exists a positive real number a depending on $f$ and $\lambda$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{|y| \leq a^{1 /(r-1)}} f(y) d y\right) a^{n}=\lambda .
$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$
g(a)=\left(\int_{|y| \leq a^{1 /(r-1)}} f(y) d y\right) a^{n} \quad \text { for } a \geq 0 .
$$

By the hypothesis, $g$ is a continuous and non-decreasing function. Furthermore, $g(0)=0$ and $g(\infty)=\infty$, and therefore by the mean value theorem there exists $a$ which satisfies the conditions of the lemma.

Let $u \geq 0$ and $v(x)=|x|^{-n r}$ with $r>1$. By homogeneity we can assume that $\lambda=1$. Also, for simplicity we denote $g=f v$. Now, for each integer $k$ we denote $G_{k}=\left\{2^{k}<|x| \leq 2^{k+1}\right\}, I_{k}=\left\{2^{k-1}<|x| \leq 2^{k+2}\right\}$, $L_{k}=\left\{2^{k+2}<|x|\right\}, C_{k}=\left\{|x| \leq 2^{k-1}\right\}$.

It will be enough to prove the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} u v\left\{x \in G_{k}: M\left(g \chi_{I_{k}}\right)(x)>\frac{1}{|x|^{n r}}\right\} \leq C_{r, n} \int g M u  \tag{5.1}\\
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} u v\left\{x \in G_{k}: M\left(g \chi_{L_{k}}\right)(x)>\frac{1}{|x|^{n r}}\right\} \leq C_{r, n} \int g M u  \tag{5.2}\\
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} u v\left\{x \in G_{k}: M\left(g \chi_{C_{k}}\right)(x)>\frac{1}{|x|^{n r}}\right\} \leq C_{r, n} \int g M u . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account that in $G_{k}, v(x)=|x|^{-n r} \sim 2^{-k n r}$, using the $(1,1)$ weak type inequality for $M$ with respect to the pair of weights ( $u, M u$ ) and since the subsets $I_{k}$ overlap at most three times, we obtain (5.1).

To prove inequality (5.2) we will estimate $M\left(g \chi_{L_{k}}\right)(x)$. Observe that if $x \in G_{k}$ and $y \in L_{k}=\left\{2^{k+2}<|y|\right\}$, and if $|y-x| \leq \rho$, we have $|y| / 2 \leq \rho$, and so

$$
\frac{1}{\rho^{n}} \int_{|y-x| \leq \rho} g(y) \chi_{L_{k}}(y) d y \leq C_{n} \int_{2^{k+2}<|y|} \frac{g(y)}{|y|^{n}} d y \leq C_{n} \int_{|x|<|y|} \frac{g(y)}{|y|^{n}} d y
$$

If we denote $F(x)=\int_{|x|<|y|} \frac{g(y)}{|y|^{n}} d y$, the left hand side of $(5.2)$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k r n} u\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: F(x)>C 2^{-k n r}\right\} \approx \int_{0}^{\infty} t u\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: F(x)>t\right\} \frac{d t}{t} \\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F(x) u(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{|x|<|y|} \frac{g(y)}{|y|^{n}} d y u(x) d x \\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(y) \frac{1}{|y|^{n}} \int_{|x|<|y|} u(x) d x d y \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(y) M u(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove (5.3) we estimate $M\left(g \chi_{C_{k}}\right)(x)$ for $x \in G_{k}$. Indeed, if $y \in C_{k}$ then $2|y|<|x|$, and since $M\left(g \chi_{C_{k}}\right)(x) \leq\left(c_{n} /|x|^{n}\right) \int_{C_{k}} g(y) d y$, we obtain

$$
M\left(g \chi_{C_{k}}\right)(x) \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{n}} \int_{C_{k}} g \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{n}} \int_{|y| \leq|x| / 2} g .
$$

Thus, since the subsets $G_{k}$ are disjoint, the left hand side in 5.3 is bounded by

$$
u v\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{C}{|x|^{n}} \int_{|y| \leq|x| / 2} g>\frac{1}{|x|^{n r}}\right\}
$$

Now, if $a$ denotes the positive real number that appears in Lemma 5.1 (i.e., $a$ satisfies $1=\left(\int_{|y| \leq a^{1 /(r-1)}} g\right) a^{n}$ ), we express the last quantity in the
following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (5.4) } u v\left(\left\{x: \frac{C}{|x|^{n}} \int_{|y| \leq|x| / 2} g>\frac{1}{|x|^{n r}}\right\}\right) \\
& =u v\left(\left\{|x| \leq a^{1 /(r-1)}: \frac{C}{|x|^{n}} \int_{|y| \leq|x| / 2} g>\frac{1}{|x|^{n r}}\right\}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u v\left(\left\{x: 2^{k} a^{1 /(r-1)}<|x| \leq 2^{k+1} a^{1 /(r-1)} \text { and } \frac{C}{|x|^{n}} \int_{|y| \leq|x| / 2} g>\frac{1}{|x|^{n r}}\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $|x| \leq a^{1 /(r-1)}$, since $|y| \leq|x| / 2$ we have $|y| \leq a^{1 /(r-1)}$, and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{|x| \leq a^{1 /(r-1)}\right. & \left.: \frac{C}{|x|^{n}} \int_{|y| \leq|x| / 2} g>\frac{1}{|x|^{n r}}\right\} \\
& \subset\left\{|x| \leq a^{1 /(r-1)}:|x|^{n(r-1)}>C\left(\int_{|y| \leq a^{1 /(r-1)}} g\right)^{-1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account the last inclusion and since $\left(\int_{|y| \leq a^{1 /(r-1)}} g\right)^{-1}=a^{n}$, the first summand in the second term in (5.4) is bounded by

$$
u v\left(\left\{|x|^{r-1}>C a\right\}\right)=u v\left(\left\{|x|>c a^{r^{\prime}-1}\right\}\right) .
$$

Using again Lemma 5.1, the last term can be estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x|>C a^{r^{\prime}-1}} u v d x \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(2^{k} a^{r^{\prime}-1}\right)^{n r}} \int_{c 2^{k-1} a^{r^{\prime}-1} \leq|x|<c 2^{k} a^{r^{\prime}-1}} u(x) d x \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k(r-1) n}} \frac{1}{a^{n}} \frac{1}{\left(c 2^{k} a^{r^{\prime}-1}\right)^{n}} \int_{|x| \leq c 2^{k} a^{r^{\prime}-1}} u(x) d x \\
&=C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k(r-1) n}} \int_{|y| \leq a^{r^{\prime}-1}} g(y) d y \frac{1}{\left(c 2^{k} a^{r^{\prime}-1}\right)^{n}} \int_{|x| \leq c 2^{k} a^{r^{\prime}-1}} u(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and this is bounded by

$$
\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k(r-1) n}} \int_{|y| \leq a^{r^{\prime}-1}} g(y) M u(y) d y \leq C \int g M u
$$

To finish, we must estimate the series in (5.4). It is clear that it is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u v\left(\left\{x \in 2^{k} a^{r^{\prime}-1}<|x|\right.\right. & \left.\left.\leq 2^{k+1} a^{r^{\prime}-1}\right\}\right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(2^{k} a^{r^{\prime}-1}\right)^{n r}} \int_{2^{k-1} a^{r^{\prime}-1} \leq|x|<2^{k} a^{r^{\prime}-1}} u d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and arguing as before we conclude the proof of (5.3).
Remark 5.2 . We observe that the proof only uses the following conditions for a sublinear operator $T$ : (a) $T$ is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to the pair of weights $(u, M u)$ and (b) $T$ is a convolution type operator such that the associated kernel satisfies the usual standard condition:

$$
|K(x)| \leq c /|x|^{n} .
$$

In particular if $u \in A_{1}$, this observation can be applied to the usual CalderónZygmund singular integral operators and moreover to strongly singular integral operators (see Ch and [F]).
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