Analytically principal part of polynomials at infinity

NGUYỄN THẢO NGUYÊN BÙI (Dalat) and TIÊN-SON PHẠM (Danang and Dalat)

Abstract. Let $f \colon \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{K}$ be a polynomial function, where $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . We give, in terms of the Newton boundary at infinity of f, a sufficient condition for a deformation of f to be analytically (smoothly in the case $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{C}$) trivial at infinity.

1. Introduction. The problem of C^0 -sufficiency of jets is one of the most interesting problems in singularity theory. Roughly speaking, it is the problem of determining a *topologically principal part* of the Taylor expansion of a given function at the origin in \mathbb{K}^n ; here and in the following, $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

N. H. Kuiper [17], T. C. Kuo [18], and J. Bochnak and S. Łojasiewicz [2] proved the following theorem:

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^k function defined in a neighborhood of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with f(0) = 0. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) There are positive constants C and r such that

 $\|\text{grad } f(x)\| \ge C \|x\|^{k-1} \quad \text{for } \|x\| \le r.$

(ii) The k-jet of f is C^0 -sufficient in the class C^k .

Analogous results in the case of complex analytic functions were proved by S. H. Chang and Y. C. Lu [7], B. Teissier [28], and J. Bochnak and W. Kucharz [1]. Similar considerations were also carried out for polynomial mappings in a neighborhood of infinity by P. Cassou-Noguès and H. V. Hà [6], L. Fourrier [10], G. Skalski [27], and T. Rodak and S. Spodzieja [26].

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 14P10, 32S05, 58C27, 58K05.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$ analytically trivial at infinity, non-degeneracy at infinity, polynomials.

Received 2 December 2014; revised 24 September 2015 and 10 April 2016. Published online 2 August 2016.

On the other hand, except for certain degenerate cases the topological type of an analytic function is expected to depend only on its Newton polyhedron. This has been confirmed together with a precise definition of non-degeneracy at the origin of \mathbb{K}^n (see, for example, [8, 9, 11, 16, 22, 31]).

The purpose of this paper is to show that polynomial functions which are convenient and non-degenerate at infinity, are determined up to analytical type by their Newton polyhedra at infinity. More precisely, with the definitions in the next section, the main result of this paper is as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $f: \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{K}$ be a polynomial function. Suppose that f is convenient and non-degenerate at infinity. Then, for any polynomial function $g: \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{K}$ satisfying the condition $\Gamma(g) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\Gamma(f))$, the family f + tg is analytically (resp., smoothly) trivial at infinity along the interval [0,1] in the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ (resp., $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$).

REMARK. (i) The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses only the Curve Selection Lemma at infinity.

(ii) Related results concerning the behavior of complex polynomials under deformations have been established in [3, 4, 5, 12, 13, 24, 25, 29].

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents some definitions and notation. Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 3.

2. Definitions. Throughout this paper, the scalar product (resp., norm) in \mathbb{R}^n is defined by $\langle x, y \rangle$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (resp., $||x|| := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$). We denote by \mathbb{Z}_+ the set of non-negative integers.

2.1. Non-degeneracy at infinity. If $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $x := (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we write x^{α} for the monomial $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$. Let $f : \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{K}$ be a polynomial function. Suppose that f is written as $f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$. Then the support of f, denoted by $\operatorname{supp}(f)$, is defined as the set of those $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ such that $a_{\alpha} \neq 0$. Let $\Gamma(f)$ be the convex hull of $\operatorname{supp}(f) \cup \{0\}$. The Newton boundary at infinity of f, denoted by $\Gamma_{\infty}(f)$, is defined as the union of the closed faces of $\Gamma(f)$ which do not contain the origin in \mathbb{R}^n . Here and below, by face we shall understand a face of any dimension. A vertex is a face of dimension 0. We also set $\operatorname{Int}(\Gamma(f)) := \Gamma(f) \setminus \Gamma_{\infty}(f)$.

The polynomial f is said to be *convenient* if the polyhedron $\Gamma(f)$ intersects each coordinate axis at a point different from the origin. For each closed face Δ of $\Gamma_{\infty}(f)$ we denote by f_{Δ} the polynomial $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$.

The following notion plays an important role in this paper (see [15, 16, 23]).

DEFINITION 2.1. We say that f is (Kouchnirenko) non-degenerate at infinity if

$$\left\{ x \in \mathbb{K}^n \mid \frac{\partial f_{\Delta}}{\partial x_1}(x) = \dots = \frac{\partial f_{\Delta}}{\partial x_n}(x) = 0 \right\} \subset \{ x \in \mathbb{K}^n \mid x_1 \dots x_n = 0 \}$$

for all closed faces Δ of $\Gamma_{\infty}(f)$.

2.2. Triviality at infinity. Every open set of the form $\mathbb{K}^n \setminus K$, where $K \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ is a compact set, is called a *neighborhood of infinity*.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $f, g: \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{K}$ be polynomial functions. We say that the family f + tg is analytically (resp., smoothly) trivial at infinity along the interval [0, 1] if there exist a neighborhood of infinity $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ and a continuous mapping $\Phi: [0, 1] \times \Omega_0 \to \mathbb{K}^n$, $(t, x) \mapsto \Phi(t, x)$, such that:

- (a) $\Phi_0(x) = x$ for $x \in \Omega_0$;
- (b) for any $t \in [0, 1]$, the mapping $\Phi_t \colon \Omega_0 \to \Phi_t(\Omega_0)$ is a real analytic diffeomorphism (resp., a C^{∞} -diffeomorphism) and $\lim_{x\to\infty} \Phi_t(x) = \infty$;
- (c) $f(\Phi_t(x)) + tg(\Phi_t(x)) = f(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

where $\Phi_t \colon \Omega_0 \to \mathbb{K}^n$ is defined by $\Phi_t(x) := \Phi(t, x)$ for $x \in \Omega_0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

3. Proof of the main result. We will prove Theorem 1.1 for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$; the proof in the complex case is quite similar. So, let $f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be polynomial functions satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1. Let us consider the polynomial function

$$F \colon \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (t, x) \mapsto f(x) + tg(x).$$

For any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f_t(x) := F(t, x)$. By the assumption $\Gamma(g) \subset \text{Int}(\Gamma(f))$, we have $\Gamma(f) \equiv \Gamma(f_t)$ and $\Gamma_{\infty}(f) \equiv \Gamma_{\infty}(f_t)$. Furthermore, f_t is convenient and non-degenerate at infinity.

For simplicity, we let

$$\operatorname{Grad}_{x} F(t,x) := \left(x_{1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}}(t,x), \dots, x_{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}}(t,x) \right).$$

LEMMA 3.1. There exist positive constants C and R such that

$$\|\operatorname{Grad}_{x} F(t, x)\| \ge C|g(x)|, \quad \forall t \in (-2, 2), \forall \|x\| > R.$$

Proof. By contradiction and using the Curve Selection Lemma at infinity [19, 21], we can find an analytic function t(s) and an analytic curve $\varphi(s) = (\varphi_1(s), \ldots, \varphi_n(s))$ for $s \in (0, \epsilon)$ satisfying:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{(a)} & -2 < t(s) < 2; \\ \mbox{(b)} & \|\varphi(s)\| \rightarrow \infty \mbox{ as } s \rightarrow 0^+; \mbox{ and } \end{array}$

(c)
$$\|\operatorname{Grad}_{x} F(t(s),\varphi(s))\|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\varphi_{i}(s) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(t(s),\varphi(s))\right)^{2} \ll |g(\varphi(s))|^{2}.$$

Let $I := \{i \mid \varphi_i \neq 0\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$. By (b), $I \neq \emptyset$. For $i \in I$, we can expand the coordinate φ_i in terms of the parameter: say

 $\varphi_i(s) = x_i^0 s^{a_i} + \text{higher order terms in } s,$

where $x_i^0 \neq 0$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. From (b), we obtain $\min_{i \in I} a_i < 0$. Let $\mathbb{R}^I := \{ \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \alpha_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin I \}$. Since f is convenient, $\Gamma(f) \cap \mathbb{R}^I \neq \emptyset$. We set $a := (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $a_i := 0$ for $i \notin I$. Let d be the minimal value of the linear function $\langle a, \alpha \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \alpha_i$ on $\Gamma(f) \cap \mathbb{R}^{I}$, and let Δ be the (unique) maximal face (1) of $\Gamma(f)$ where the linear function $\sum_{i \in I} a_i \alpha_i$ takes its minimal value d, i.e.,

$$\Delta := \{ \alpha \in \Gamma(f) \mid \langle a, \alpha \rangle = d \}.$$

We have d < 0 and Δ is a closed face of $\Gamma_{\infty}(f)$ because f is convenient and $\min_{i=1,\dots,n} a_i < 0$. Since $\Gamma(g) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\Gamma(f))$ and t(s) is bounded, we can see that

$$\varphi_i(s)\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(t(s),\varphi(s)) = x_i^0 \frac{\partial f_\Delta}{\partial x_i}(x^0)s^d + \text{higher order terms in } s \quad \text{for } i \in I,$$

where $x^0 := (x_1^0, \ldots, x_n^0)$ and $x_i^0 := 1$ for $i \notin I$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{Grad}_{x} F(t(s),\varphi(s))\|^{2} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\varphi_{i}(s)\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(t(s),\varphi(s))\right)^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i\in I} \left(\varphi_{i}(s)\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(t(s),\varphi(s))\right)^{2} \\ &= s^{2d} \sum_{i\in I} \left(x_{i}^{0}\frac{\partial f_{\Delta}}{\partial x_{i}}(x^{0})\right)^{2} + \text{higher order terms in } s \\ &= s^{2d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_{i}^{0}\frac{\partial f_{\Delta}}{\partial x_{i}}(x^{0})\right)^{2} + \text{higher order terms in } s. \end{aligned}$$

(The last equality follows from the fact that f_{Δ} does not depend on x_i for $i \notin I$.) Since the polynomial f is non-degenerate at infinity,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i^0 \frac{\partial f_{\Delta}}{\partial x_i} (x^0) \right)^2 \neq 0.$$

Therefore

(3.1)
$$\|\operatorname{Grad}_x F(t(s),\varphi(s))\| \simeq s^d \quad \text{as } s \to 0^+.$$

262

⁽¹⁾ Maximal with respect to inclusion.

On the other hand, it follows easily from $\Gamma(g) \subset \text{Int}(\Gamma(f))$ that

$$g(\varphi(s)) = o(s^d)$$
 as $s \to 0^+$.

This, together with (3.1), contradicts (c).

LEMMA 3.2. There exist positive constants C and R such that

$$\|\operatorname{Grad}_x F(t,x)\| \ge C, \quad \forall t \in (-2,2), \, \forall \|x\| > R.$$

Proof. By contradiction and using the Curve Selection Lemma at infinity [19, 21], we can find an analytic function t(s) and an analytic curve $\varphi(s) = (\varphi_1(s), \ldots, \varphi_n(s))$ for $s \in (0, \epsilon)$ satisfying:

(a) -2 < t(s) < 2;(b) $\|\varphi(s)\| \to \infty$ as $s \to 0^+;$ and (c) $\|\operatorname{Grad}_x F(t(s), \varphi(s))\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\varphi_i(s) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(t(s), \varphi(s)))^2 \to 0$ as $s \to 0^+.$

Let $I := \{i \mid \varphi_i \neq 0\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$. By (b), $I \neq \emptyset$. For $i \in I$, we can expand the coordinate φ_i in terms of the parameter: say

$$\varphi_i(s) = x_i^0 s^{a_i} + \text{higher order terms in } s,$$

where $x_i^0 \neq 0$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. From (b), we obtain $\min_{i \in I} a_i < 0$.

Let $\mathbb{R}^{I} := \{ \alpha = (\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \alpha_{i} = 0 \text{ for } i \notin I \}$. Since the polynomial f is convenient, we have $\Gamma(f) \cap \mathbb{R}^{I} \neq \emptyset$. We set $a := (a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $a_{i} = 0$ for $i \notin I$. Let d be the minimal value of the linear function $\sum_{i \in I} a_{i} \alpha_{i}$ on $\Gamma(f) \cap \mathbb{R}^{I}$, and let Δ be the (unique) maximal face of $\Gamma(f)$ where the linear function $\sum_{i \in I} a_{i} \alpha_{i}$ takes its minimal value d. Then it is easy to see that d < 0 and Δ is a closed face of $\Gamma_{\infty}(f)$. Since $\Gamma(g) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\Gamma(f))$ and t(s) is bounded, we can see that

$$\varphi_i(s)\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(t(s),\varphi(s)) = x_i^0 \frac{\partial f_{\Delta}}{\partial x_i}(x^0)s^d + \text{higher order terms in } s \quad \text{ for } i \in I,$$

where $x^0 := (x_1^0, \ldots, x_n^0)$ and $x_i^0 := 1$ for $i \notin I$. Then (c) implies that

$$x_i^0 \frac{\partial f_\Delta}{\partial x_i}(x_i^0) = 0 \quad \text{ for } i \in I.$$

Note that f_{Δ} does not depend on x_i for $i \notin I$. Therefore,

$$x_i^0 \frac{\partial f_\Delta}{\partial x_i}(x_i^0) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This contradicts our assumption that f is non-degenerate at infinity.

LEMMA 3.3. For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let

$$W_i(t,x) := \begin{cases} \frac{-g(x)}{\|\operatorname{Grad}_x F(t,x)\|^2} x_i^2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(t,x) & \text{if } \operatorname{Grad}_x F(t,x) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then there exist positive constants C and R such that the mapping $W: (-2,2) \times \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x|| > R\} \to \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (t,x) \mapsto (W_1(t,x), \dots, W_n(t,x)),$ is analytic and satisfies

$$||W(t,x)|| \le C||x||, \quad d_x F(t,x) W(t,x) = -g(x),$$

where $d_x F$ stands for the derivative of F with respect to x.

Proof. Let C and R be positive constants for which Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 hold true. Then W is well-defined and analytic. Furthermore, for all $t \in (-2, 2)$ and ||x|| > R we have

$$\begin{split} \|W(t,x)\| &\leq \frac{|g(x)|}{\|\operatorname{Grad}_{x}F(t,x)\|^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}| \left| x_{i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(t,x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|g(x)|}{\|\operatorname{Grad}_{x}F(t,x)\|^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_{i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(t,x) \right)^{2}} \\ &= \frac{|g(x)|}{\|\operatorname{Grad}_{x}F(t,x)\|} \|x\|. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we conclude that

$$||W(t,x)|| \le ||x||/C.$$

Finally, for $t \in (-2, 2)$ and ||x|| > R we have

$$d_x F(t,x) W(t,x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(t,x) W_i(t,x)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-g(x)}{\sum_{k=1}^n \left(x_k \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k}(t,x)\right)^2} \left(x_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(t,x)\right)^2 = -g(x). \quad \bullet$$

LEMMA 3.4 (see [27, Lemmas 1 and 2]). Let $D := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x|| > R\}$, R > 0, and let $W : (-2, 2) \times D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous mapping such that for some C > 0 we have

 $\|W(t,x)\| \leq C \|x\| \quad \text{ for all } (t,x) \in (-2,2) \times D.$

Assume that $h: (\alpha, \beta) \to D$ is a maximal solution of the system of differential equations u'(t) = W(t, v(t))

$$y(t) = W(t, y(t)).$$

If $0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$, $h(0) = x$, and $||x|| > Re^{C}$, then $\beta > 1$ and
 $e^{-C} ||x|| \le ||h(1)|| \le e^{C} ||x||.$
If $1 \in (\alpha, \beta)$, $h(1) = x$, and $||x|| > Re^{C}$, then $\alpha < 0$ and
 $e^{-C} ||x|| \le ||h(0)|| \le e^{C} ||x||.$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first consider the real case. There exist positive constants C and R such that the mapping

$$W \colon (-2,2) \times \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x|| > R \} \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

defined in Lemma 3.3 is analytic. Consider the system of differential equations

(3.2)
$$y'(t) = W(t, y(t)).$$

Let $D := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x|| > R\}$. Since W is analytic in $(-2, 2) \times D$, it follows from [20, Theorem 1.8.12] (see also [14]) that for any $(s, x) \in (-2, 2) \times D$, there exists a unique solution $\phi_{(s,x)}$ of (3.2) defined on an open interval I(s, x) of \mathbb{R} and satisfying the initial condition $\phi_{(s,x)}(s) = x$. Moreover, the mapping

$$\{(s, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \mid s \in (-2, 2), \|x\| > R, t \in I(s, x)\} \to \mathbb{R}^n,$$
$$(s, x, t) \mapsto \phi_{(s, x)}(t),$$

is analytic.

Set
$$\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x|| > Re^C\}$$
 and define $\Phi, \Psi \colon [0, 1] \times \Omega \to D$ by
 $\Phi(t, x) = \phi_{(0,x)}(t)$ and $\Psi(t, y) = \phi_{(t,y)}(0).$

By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, the mappings Φ, Ψ are well defined, analytic, and satisfy

(3.3)
$$e^{-C} \|x\| \le \|\Phi(t,x)\| \le e^{C} \|x\|$$
 for $t \in [0,1], x \in \Omega$,
 $e^{-C} \|y\| \le \|\Psi(t,y)\| \le e^{C} \|y\|$ for $t \in [0,1], y \in \Omega$.

Let $\Omega_0 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x|| > Re^{2C}\}$ and $\Omega_t := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \Psi(t, y) \in \Omega_0\}$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. Then for each $t \in [0, 1]$, Ω_t is an open subset of Ω and

 $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||y|| > Re^{3C}\} \subset \Omega_t,$

and therefore Ω_t is a neighborhood of infinity.

On the other hand, from the global uniqueness of solutions of (3.2), it is easy to see that for any $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

- (a) $\Phi(0, x) = x$ for $x \in \Omega_0$; (b) $\Psi(t, \Phi(t, x)) = x$ for $x \in \Omega_0$; and
- (c) $\Phi(t, \Psi(t, y)) = y$ for $y \in \Omega_t$.

Summing up, for each $t \in [0, 1]$ the mappings $\Phi_t \colon \Omega_0 \to \Omega_t, x \mapsto \Phi(t, x)$, and $\Psi_t \colon \Omega_t \to \Omega_0, y \mapsto \Psi(t, y)$, are analytic diffeomorphisms of neighborhoods of infinity and $\Psi_t = \Phi_t^{-1}$. Moreover, by (3.3), we have $\|\Phi_t(x)\| \to \infty$ if, and only if, $\|x\| \to \infty$.

Finally, thanks to Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dF}{dt}(t,\phi_{(0,x)}(t)) &= g(\phi_{(0,x)}(t)) + d_x F(t,\phi_{(0,x)}(t))\phi'_{(0,x)}(t) \\ &= g(\phi_{(0,x)}(t)) + d_x F(t,\phi_{(0,x)}(t))W(t,\phi_{(0,x)}(t)) \\ &= g(\phi_{(0,x)}(t)) - g(\phi_{(0,x)}(t)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $F(t,\phi_{(0,x)}(t)) = f(x)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$, and so $f(\Phi_t(x)) + tg(\Phi_t(x)) = f(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_0.$

This proves the theorem in the real case.

We next consider the complex case, i.e., $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, \overline{z} stands for the complex conjugate of z; the norm of $x := (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined by $||x|| := \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + \cdots + |x_n|^2}$.

Let F(t, x) := f(x) + tg(x) as before and consider the system

$$y'(t) = W(t, y(t))$$

where $W(t, x) := (W_1(t, x), ..., W_n(t, x))$ with $W_i, i = 1, ..., n$, defined by

$$W_i(t,x) := \begin{cases} \frac{-g(x)}{\|\operatorname{Grad}_x F(t,x)\|^2} |x_i|^2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(t,x) & \text{if } \operatorname{Grad}_x F(t,x) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the rest of the argument goes as in the real case. We leave the verification of the details to the reader as an exercise. \blacksquare

REMARK. The method used here to prove Theorem 1.1 modifies the method used in [17, 18] (see also [11, 26, 27, 30, 31]).

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for careful reading and for finding a mistake in an earlier version of this paper.

The authors are partially supported by the National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.04-2016.05.

References

- J. Bochnak et W. Kucharz, Sur les germes d'applications différentiables à singularités isolées, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 252 (1979), 115–131.
- J. Bochnak and S. Łojasiewicz, A converse of the Kuiper-Kuo theorem, in: Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities-Symposium, I, 1969/1970, Lecture Notes in Math. 192, Springer, Berlin, 1971, 254–261.
- [3] A. Bodin, Invariance of Milnor numbers and topology of complex polynomials, Comment. Math. Helv. 78 (2003), 134–152.
- [4] A. Bodin, Newton polygons and families of polynomials, Manuscripta Math. 113 (2004), 371–382.
- [5] A. Bodin and M. Tibăr, Topological equivalence of complex polynomials, Adv. Math. 199 (2006), 136–150.

- [6] P. Cassou-Noguès et H. V. Hà, Théorème de Kuiper-Kuo-Bochnak-Łojasiewicz à l'infini, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 5 (1996), 387-406.
- [7] S. H. Chang and Y. C. Lu, On C⁰-sufficiency of complex jets, Canad. J. Math. 25 (1973), 874–880.
- [8] J. Damon, Topological equivalence for nonisolated singularities and global affine hypersurfaces, in: Contemp. Math. 90, Amer. Math. Soc., 1989, 21–53.
- [9] J. Damon and T. Gaffney, Topological triviality of deformations of functions and Newton filtrations, Invent. Math. 72 (1983), 335–358.
- [10] L. Fourrier, Topologie d'un polynôme de deux variables complexes au voisinage de l'infini, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 46 (1996), 645–687.
- [11] T. Fukui and E. Yoshinaga, The modified analytic trivialization of family of real analytic functions, Invent. Math. 82 (1985), 467–477.
- [12] H. V. Hà and T. S. Pham, Invariance of the global monodromies in families of polynomials of two complex variables, Acta Math. Vietnam. 22 (1997), 515–526.
- [13] H. V. Hà and A. Zaharia, Families of polynomials with total Milnor number constant, Math. Ann. 304 (1996), 481–488.
- [14] P. Hartman, Ordinary Differential Equations, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [15] A. G. Khovanskii, Newton polyhedra and toroidal varieties, Funct. Anal. Appl. 11 (1978), 289–296.
- [16] A. G. Kouchnirenko, Polyèdres de Newton et nombre de Milnor, Invent. Math. 32 (1976), 1–31.
- [17] N. H. Kuiper, C¹-equivalence of functions near isolated critical points, in: Symposium on Infinite-Dimensional Topology (Baton Rouge, LA, 1967), Ann. of Math. Stud. 69, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1972, 199–218.
- [18] T. C. Kuo, On C⁰-sufficiency of jets of potential functions, Topology 8 (1969), 167–171.
- [19] J. Milnor, Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces, Ann. of Math. Stud. 61, Princeton Univ. Press, 1968.
- [20] R. Narasimhan, Analysis on Real and Complex Manifolds, North-Holland Math. Library 35, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985 (reprint of the 1973 edition).
- [21] A. Némethi and A. Zaharia, Milnor fibration at infinity, Indag. Math. 3 (1992), 323–335.
- M. Oka, On the bifurcation of the multiplicity and topology of the Newton boundary, J. Math. Soc. Japan 31 (1979), 435–450.
- [23] M. Oka, Non-degenerate complete intersection singularity, Actualités Mathématiques, Hermann, Paris, 1997.
- [24] T. S. Pham, On the topology of the Newton boundary at infinity, J. Math. Soc. Japan 60 (2008), 1065–1081.
- [25] T. S. Pham, Invariance of the global monodromies in families of nondegenerate polynomials in two variables, Kodai Math. J. 33 (2010), 294–309.
- [26] T. Rodak and S. Spodzieja, Equivalence of mappings at infinity, Bull. Sci. Math. 136 (2012), 679–686.
- [27] G. Skalski, On analytic equivalence of functions at infinity, Bull. Sci. Math. 135 (2011), 517–530.
- B. Teissier, Variétés polaires, I. Invariants polaires des singularités d'hypersurfaces, Invent. Math. 40 (1977), 267–292.
- [29] M. Tibăr, On the monodromy fibration of polynomial functions with singularities at infinity, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 324 (1997), 1031–1035.
- [30] E. Yoshinaga, The modified analytic trivialization of real analytic families via blowing-ups, J. Math. Soc. Japan 40 (1988), 161–179.

[31] E. Yoshinaga, Topologically principal part of analytic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 314 (1989), 803–814.

Nguyễn Thảo Nguyên Bùi Department of Pedagogy University of Dalat 1 Phu Dong Thien Vuong Dalat, Vietnam E-mail: thaonguyen0802@gmail.com Tiến-Sơn Phạm Institute of Research and Development Duy Tan University, K7/25 Quang Trung Danang, Vietnam and Department of Mathematics University of Dalat 1 Phu Dong Thien Vuong Dalat, Vietnam E-mail: sonpt@dlu.edu.vn

268