## Separable Lindenstrauss spaces whose duals lack the weak<sup>\*</sup> fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings

by

## EMANUELE CASINI (Como), ENRICO MIGLIERINA (Milano) and ŁUKASZ PIASECKI (Lublin)

**Abstract.** We study the  $w^*$ -fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings. First we show that the dual space  $X^*$  lacks the  $w^*$ -fixed point property whenever X contains an isometric copy of c. Then, the main result of our paper provides several characterizations of weak-star topologies that fail the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings in  $\ell_1$ . This result allows us to obtain a characterization of all separable Lindenstrauss spaces X with  $X^*$  failing the  $w^*$ -fixed point property.

1. Introduction. Let X be an infinite-dimensional real Banach space and  $B_X$  its closed unit ball. A nonempty bounded closed and convex subset C of X has the fixed point property (briefly, FPP) if each nonexpansive mapping (i.e., a mapping  $T: C \to C$  such that  $||T(x) - T(y)|| \leq ||x - y||$  for all  $x, y \in C$ ) has a fixed point. The space  $X^*$  is said to have the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ fixed point property ( $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP) if every nonempty, convex,  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ compact subset C of  $X^*$  has the FPP. The study of the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP proves to be of special interest whenever a dual space has different preduals. Indeed, the behaviour with respect to the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP of a given dual space can be completely different if we consider two different preduals. For instance, this occurs when we consider the space  $\ell_1$  and its preduals  $c_0$  and c where it is well-known (see [7]) that  $\ell_1$  has the  $\sigma(\ell_1, c_0)$ -FPP whereas it lacks the  $\sigma(\ell_1, c)$ -FPP.

The main aim of this paper is to study some structural features of a separable space X linked to the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP on its dual.

At the beginning of Section 3, we state a sufficient condition for the failure of the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP. Indeed, Theorem 3.2 shows that the pres-

Received 3 March 2015; revised 6 September 2016. Published online 24 March 2017.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H09; Secondary 46B25.

Key words and phrases: nonexpansive mappings,  $w^*$ -fixed point property, Lindenstrauss spaces.

ence of an isometric copy of c in a separable space X implies the failure of the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP. This extends a result of Smyth [10, Theorem 1]). Moreover, it allows us to show that every separable Lindenstrauss space X(i.e., a space whose dual is  $L_1(\mu)$  for some measure  $\mu$ ) with nonseparable dual lacks the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP. Taking into account these facts, it seems natural to investigate whether the presence of an isometric copy of c in X is also a necessary condition for the failure of the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP. The simple example of  $X = \ell_1$  shows that the answer is negative in general. Moreover, by considering a suitable class of hyperplanes of c, we are able to show that the answer remains negative even if we add the assumption that X is a separable Lindenstrauss space. This class of hyperplanes of c with duals isometric to  $\ell_1$  and failing the w<sup>\*</sup>-FPP will play an important role in this paper, and subsequently members of this class will be referred to as "bad"  $W_f$  (see Section 2 for a detailed description of these spaces). The first interesting result involving this class of spaces is Theorem 3.7, where we prove that if a separable space X contains a "bad"  $W_f$  then X<sup>\*</sup> still fails the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP. A simple but relevant consequence is that  $X^*$  lacks the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP whenever there is a quotient of X that contains an isometric copy of a "bad"  $W_f$  (Remark 3.8). The last section is devoted to the characterization of the preduals of  $\ell_1$  such that  $\ell_1$  lacks the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP. Theorem 4.1, which is the main result of this paper, lists several properties of a predual X of  $\ell_1$  that are all equivalent to the lack of the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP for  $\ell_1$ . Among them, one property is exactly the structural condition that appears in Remark 3.8. Another property (see condition (4) in Theorem 4.1) seems to be of importance. It is related to the  $w^*$ -cluster points of the standard basis of  $\ell_1$  and it allows us to extend [6, Theorem 8]. Indeed, we can prove that theorem without the strong assumption on  $w^*$ -convergence of the standard basis of  $\ell_1$ .

Throughout the paper we will follow standard terminology and notation. In particular, it is well-known that  $c^*$  can be isometrically identified with  $\ell_1$  in the following way. For every  $x^* \in c^*$  there exists a unique  $f = (f(1), f(2), \ldots) \in \ell_1$  such that

$$x^{*}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n+1)x(n) = f(x)$$

with  $x = (x(1), x(2), \dots) \in c$  and  $x(0) = \lim x(n)$ .

2. A class of hyperplanes in the space of convergent sequences. This section is devoted to recalling some properties of a class of hyperplanes of c that will play a crucial role in the remainder of our paper. For the convenience of the reader we repeat some material from [4] without proofs, thus

making our exposition self-contained. Moreover, we prove some additional properties of those hyperplanes, directly related to the topic studied in the present paper.

Let  $f \in \ell_1 = c^*$  be such that ||f|| = 1. We consider the hyperplane of c defined by

$$W_f = \{ x \in c : f(x) = 0 \}.$$

In [4], the following results are proved:

- (I) There exists  $j_0 \ge 1$  such that  $|f(j_0)| \ge 1/2$  if and only if  $W_f^*$  is isometric to  $\ell_1$ .
- (II) There exists  $j_0 \ge 2$  such that  $|f(j_0)| \ge 1/2$  if and only if  $W_f$  is isometric to c.

For our aims, an important case is when  $|f(1)| \ge 1/2$  and |f(j)| < 1/2for every  $j \ge 2$ . Under these assumptions, Theorem 4.3 in [4] identifies  $W_f^*$ with  $\ell_1$  as follows: for every  $x^* \in W_f^*$  there exists a unique  $g \in \ell_1$  such that

(2.1) 
$$x^*(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n)x(n) = g(x)$$

where  $x = (x(1), x(2), ...) \in W_f$ .

We conclude this section by proving some additional useful properties of the spaces  $W_f$ . The first proposition gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a subspace of  $W_f$  isometric to c.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let  $f \in \ell_1 = c^*$  be such that ||f|| = 1 and  $|f(1)| \ge 1/2$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $W_f$  contains a subspace isometric to c.
- (2) |f(1)| = 1/2, the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(1)f(n+1) > 0\}$  is finite, and  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n+1) = 0\}$  is infinite.

*Proof.*  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n+1) = 0\} = \{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and consider the mapping  $T : c \to W_f$  defined for every  $x = (x(1), x(2), \ldots) \in c$  by  $T(x) = ((T(x))(1), (T(x))(2), \ldots) \in W_f$ , where

$$(T(x))(i) = \begin{cases} x(k) & \text{if } i = n_k, \\ -\operatorname{sgn}(f(1)f(i+1)) \cdot \lim_j x(j) & \text{if } i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{n_k\} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that T is a linear isometry of c into  $W_f$ .

 $(1)\Rightarrow(2)$ . If  $W_f$  is isometric to c, then the assertion follows immediately from (II) recalled at the beginning of this section. Suppose that  $W_f$  is not isometric to c. Let  $(e_n^*)_{n\geq 1}$  be the standard basis of  $\ell_1 = c^*$ . For every  $n \geq 2$ we take a norm-one extension of  $e_n^*$  onto the whole  $W_f$  and we denote it by  $g_n^*$ . Consider a  $\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)$ -convergent subsequence  $(g_{n_k}^*)_{k\geq 2}$  of  $(g_n^*)_{n\geq 2}$  and denote its limit by  $g_{n_1}^*$ . Obviously,  $g_{n_1}^*$  is a norm-one extension of  $e_1^*$  onto  $W_f$ . It is easy to see that  $||g_{n_k}^* \pm g_{n_l}^*|| = 2$  for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}, k \neq l$ . Consequently, (2.2) supp  $g_{n_k}^* \cap \text{supp } g_{n_l}^* = \emptyset$ 

for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}, k \neq l$ , where supp  $g_n^* := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : g_n^*(i) \neq 0\}$ . Hence, by using the argument in [6, beginning of the proof of Theorem 8] and [4, Theorem 4.3], we obtain

$$g_{n_1}^* = \pm \left(\frac{f(2)}{f(1)}, \frac{f(3)}{f(1)}, \frac{f(4)}{f(1)}, \dots\right).$$

Therefore |f(1)| = 1/2 and  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n+1) = 0\}$  is infinite. Since there exists  $x \in c \subset W_f$  such that ||x|| = 1 and  $e_n^*(x) = 1$  for every n, we get

$$e_{n_k}^*(x) = g_{n_k}^*(x) = g_{n_1}^*(x) = 1$$

for every  $k \geq 2$ . From the above relation and the standard duality of  $W_f$  (see (2.1)) we have

(2.3) 
$$x(i) = \operatorname{sgn}(g_{n_k}^*(i))$$

for all  $i \in \text{supp } g_{n_k}^*$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking into account (2.2) and (2.3) we conclude that there exists  $i_0$  such that either x(i) = 1 for infinitely many  $i \ge i_0$ , or x(i) = -1 for infinitely many  $i \ge i_0$ . Therefore  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(1)f(n+1) > 0\}$  is finite.

The last proposition of this section characterizes a class of spaces  $W_f$  such that  $\ell_1$  enjoys the  $\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)$ -FPP.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let  $f \in \ell_1 = c^*$  be such that  $||f|| = 1, 1/2 \leq |f(1)| < 1$  and |f(j)| < 1/2 for every  $j \geq 2$ . The space  $\ell_1$  has the  $\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)$ -FPP if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

(1) |f(1)| > 1/2.

(2) 
$$|f(1)| = 1/2$$
 and the set  $N^+ = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(1)f(n+1) \le 0\}$  is finite.

*Proof.* We have  $W_f^* = \ell_1$  as recalled at the beginning of this section (see (I)). Now, [4, Theorem 4.3] shows that

$$e_n^* \xrightarrow{\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)} e^*,$$

where  $e^* = (-f(2)/f(1), -f(3)/f(1), \dots)$ . The conclusion follows immediately from [6, Theorem 8].

Proposition 2.2 and item (II) lead to the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.3. A space  $W_f$  is called *bad with respect to*  $\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)$ -FPP (briefly *bad*) if  $f \in \ell_1$  is such that ||f|| = 1, |f(1)| = 1/2 and the set  $N^+ = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(1)f(n+1) \leq 0\}$  is infinite.

By combining Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, we can produce an example of an  $\ell_1$ -predual space X such that  $\ell_1$  fails the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP but X does not contain an isometric copy of c. EXAMPLE 2.4. Consider the space  $W_f$  where

$$f = (1/2, -1/4, 1/8, -1/16, \dots) \in \ell_1$$

We see that

- $W_f^* = \ell_1;$
- $W_f$  does not contain an isometric copy of c (by Proposition 2.1);
- $\ell_1$  lacks the  $\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)$ -FPP (by Proposition 2.2).

We point out another feature of this space that will be useful in the last section. The space  $W_f$  does not have a quotient that contains an isometric copy of c. Indeed, suppose  $c \subseteq W_f/Y$ . Then, following the proof of Proposition 2.1, we obtain a sequence  $(x_n^*)_{n\geq 1} \subset (W_f/Y)^*$  such that

• 
$$x^* \xrightarrow{\sigma((W_f/Y)^*, W_f/Y)} x^*_*$$

- $x_n^* \xrightarrow{\text{def}(x_n) \to y_n} x_1^*;$   $||x_n^*|| = 1 \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N};$   $||x_n^* \pm x_m^*|| = 2 \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \neq n.$

Now, for each  $u \in v + Y$ ,  $v \in W_f$ , we set  $y_n^*(u) = x_n^*(v + Y)$ . Consequently,  $(y_n^*)_{n\geq 1} \subset W_f^*$  is equivalent to the standard basis in  $\ell_1$ , and  $y_n^* \xrightarrow{\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)} y_1^*$ . Again, by following the argument in [6, proof of Theorem 8], Theorem 4.3 in [4] yields

$$y_1^* = \pm (1/2, -1/4, 1/8, -1/16, \dots)$$

This yields a contradiction.

Two remarks concluding this section relate Proposition 2.2 to some results in the literature.

REMARK 2.5. If we restrict our attention to  $w^*$ -topologies on  $\ell_1$ , the assumptions of [6, Theorem 8] are equivalent to those of Proposition 2.2. Indeed, if X is a predual of  $\ell_1$  such that the standard basis of  $\ell_1$  is a  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ convergent sequence, then there exists a suitable  $W_f$  isometric to X (see [4, Corollary 4.4]).

REMARK 2.6. In the case of a particular family of sets in  $\ell_1$ , a characterization of the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings was established in [5]. For every  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  we define the set  $C_{\varepsilon} \subset \ell_1$  by

$$C_{\varepsilon} = \Big\{ \alpha_1 (1-\varepsilon) e_1^* + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i^* : \alpha_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1 \Big\}.$$

The set  $C_{\varepsilon}$  is convex, bounded and closed. Moreover, it has the FPP (see [5]). Obviously  $C_{\varepsilon}$  is neither  $\sigma(\ell_1, c)$ -compact nor  $\sigma(\ell_1, c_0)$ -compact.

Let  $f = \left(\frac{1}{2-\varepsilon}, -\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2-\varepsilon}, 0, 0, \ldots\right)$ . From [4, Theorem 4.3] we know that  $W_f^* = \ell_1$  and

$$e_n^* \xrightarrow{\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)} (1 - \varepsilon) e_1^*.$$

Hence, Corollary 2 in [6] implies that  $C_{\varepsilon}$  is  $\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)$ -compact. By Proposition 2.2,  $\ell_1$  has the  $\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)$ -FPP.

3. Sufficient conditions for the lack of weak<sup>\*</sup> fixed point property in the dual of a separable Banach space. This section is devoted to proving some sufficient conditions for the lack of the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP where Xis a separable space. The first step is suggested by the well-known example of X = c. Indeed, we start by showing that the presence in X of a copy of cimplies the failure of the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP. To prove this we use an auxiliary result about the existence of a 1-complemented copy of c.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let X be a separable Banach space that contains an isometric copy of c. Then there is a subspace Y of X such that Y is isometric to c and 1-complemented in X.

*Proof.* Let  $(e_n^*)_{n\geq 1}$  be the standard basis of  $c^* = \ell_1$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we consider a norm preserving extension of  $e_n^*$  to the whole X; we denote it by  $x_n^*$ . Then, there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_i}^*)$  such that  $n_1 > 1$  and

$$x_{n_j}^* \xrightarrow{\sigma(X^*,X)} \overline{x}^*.$$

Consider the subspace

$$Y = \{y \in c : \lim y(n) = y(0) = y(s) \text{ for each } s \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{n_j - 1\}\}$$

and the mapping  $P: X \to Y$  defined by

$$P(x) = \overline{x}^{*}(x)e_{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_{n_{j}}^{*} - \overline{x}^{*})(x)e_{n_{j}-1},$$

where  $e_0 = (1, 1, ...)$ . It is easy to see that Y is isometric to c, and P is a norm-one projection onto Y.

THEOREM 3.2. Let X be a separable Banach space that contains a subspace isometric to c. Then  $X^*$  fails the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP.

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1 we may assume that c is 1-complemented in X. So, there is a projection P of X onto c with ||P|| = 1. Then  $P^* : c^* \to X^*$ is a  $w^*$ -continuous isometry. Since  $c^*$  fails to have the  $\sigma(c^*, c)$ -FPP, there exists a  $\sigma(c^*, c)$ -compact convex set C that lacks the FPP. Therefore  $P^*(C)$ is a convex,  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -compact set in  $X^*$  which lacks the FPP.

REMARK 3.3. It is easy to find a  $\sigma(c^*, c)$ -compact and convex set  $C \subset c^*$ which fails the FPP for isometries. Moreover, Lennard (see [9, Ex. 3.2–3.3, pp. 41–43]) found an example of a convex,  $\sigma(c^*, c)$ -compact set  $C \subset c^*$  that fails the FPP for affine (as well as for non-affine) contractive mappings (i.e.,  $T: C \to C$  such that ||T(x) - T(y)|| < ||x - y|| for all  $x, y \in C, x \neq y$ ). Therefore, under the assumptions of the previous theorem,  $X^*$  fails the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP for isometries and affine contractive mappings.

A consequence of Theorem 3.2 shows that for every separable Lindenstrauss space X with nonseparable dual,  $X^*$  lacks the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP.

COROLLARY 3.4. Let X be a separable Lindenstrauss space such that  $X^*$  is nonseparable. Then  $X^*$  lacks the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP.

*Proof.* Theorem 2.3 in [8] proves that a separable Lindenstrauss space X with nonseparable dual contains a subspace isometric to the space  $\mathcal{C}(\Delta)$  where  $\Delta$  is the Cantor set. Since  $\mathcal{C}(\Delta)$  contains an isometric copy of c, the conclusion follows directly from Theorem 3.2.  $\blacksquare$ 

A simple extension of Theorem 3.2 can be easily obtained by considering a quotient of X instead of a subspace.

REMARK 3.5. Let X be a separable Banach space and suppose that there exists a quotient X/Y isometric to c. Theorem 3.2 shows that  $Y^{\perp}$  fails the  $\sigma(Y^{\perp}, X/Y)$ -FPP and it follows easily that also X\* fails the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP.

The following example shows that to consider a quotient of X is a true extension of Theorem 3.2.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Consider the space  $W_f$  where

$$f = (-1/2, 1/4, 0, -1/8, 0, 1/16, 0, \dots) \in \ell_1.$$

We see that

- $W_f^* = \ell_1;$
- $W_f$  does not contain an isometric copy of c (by Proposition 2.1);
- $\ell_1$  lacks the  $\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)$ -FPP (by Proposition 2.2).

Moreover, there exists a quotient of  $W_f$  isometric to c. Indeed, consider the subspace

$$Y = \{ y \in W_f : y(2k) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

and the map  $T: c \to W_f/Y$  defined by

$$T(x) = \left(\frac{7}{3}x(0), x(1), x(0), x(2), x(0), \dots\right) + Y$$

for every  $x \in c$ . The map T is easily seen to be a surjective isometry.

It is easy to observe that the lack of the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP does not imply that  $c \subset X$  when X is a generic separable Banach space. Indeed, the well-known example by Alspach [2] shows that  $\ell_{\infty}$  fails the  $\sigma(\ell_{\infty}, \ell_1)$ -FPP, whereas its only predual does not contain an isometric copy of c. Moreover, Example 2.4 shows that also a Lindenstrauss space exhibits the same behaviour. The same example proves that also the lack of a quotient of X containing an isometric copy of c is not a necessary condition for the lack of  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP.

The next result extends Theorem 3.2. Indeed, the space c can be regarded as a special member of the family of bad  $W_f$  by taking f = (1/2, 1/2, 0, 0, ...)(see Section 2).

THEOREM 3.7. Let X be a separable Banach space. If X contains a subspace isometric to a bad  $W_f$ , then  $X^*$  fails the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in W_f$  and  $(e_n^*)$  be a sequence of elements of  $W_f^*$  defined by

 $e_n^*(x) = x(n)$ 

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From [4, Theorem 4.3] we have

$$e_n^* \xrightarrow{\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)} e^*$$

where  $e^* = (-f(2)/f(1), -f(3)/f(1), -f(4)/f(1), \ldots)$  (observe that the same relation holds when |f(j)| = 1/2 for some  $j \ge 2$ ). We denote by  $x_n^*$ the equal norm extension of  $e_n^*$  onto the whole space X. By the assumption about  $W_f$  the set  $N^+ = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(1)f(n+1) \le 0\}$  has infinitely many elements. Therefore we can choose an increasing sequence  $(n_j) \subset N^+$  such that

$$x_{n_j}^* \xrightarrow{\sigma(X^*,X)} x^*$$

and  $w_0 = e^* - u_0 \neq 0$  where  $u_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^*(n_j) e_{n_j}^*$ . Now we consider the extension of  $u_0$  to X defined by  $\widetilde{u_0} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^*(n_j) x_{n_j}^*$ , and the elements  $\widetilde{w_0} = x^* - \widetilde{u_0}$  and  $\widetilde{w} = \widetilde{w_0} / ||w_0||$ . By adapting the approach in [6, last part of the proof of Theorem 8], we show that the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -compact, convex set

$$C = \left\{ \mu_1 x^* + \mu_2 \widetilde{w} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_{j+2} x_{n_j}^* : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1, \, \mu_k \ge 0, \, k = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

can be rewritten as

$$C = \left\{ \lambda_1 \widetilde{w} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j+1} x_{n_j}^* : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1, \, \lambda_k \ge 0, \, k = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

Consider the map  $T: C \to C$  defined by

$$T\left(\lambda_1 \widetilde{w} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j+1} x_{n_j}^*\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j x_{n_j}^*.$$

Since  $x = \lambda_1 \widetilde{w} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j+1} x_{n_j}^* \in C$  has a unique representation, the map T is well defined. Moreover it is nonexpansive. Indeed, for every  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ ,

Lindenstrauss spaces whose duals lack the  $w^*$ -FPP

$$\left\| \alpha_1 \widetilde{w} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{j+1} x_{n_j}^* \right\| \ge \left\| \alpha_1 \frac{w_0}{\|w_0\|} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{j+1} e_{n_j}^* \right\| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| \|x_{n_j}^*\| \ge \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j x_{n_j}^* \right\|.$$

Finally, it is easy to see that T has no fixed point in C.

As already pointed out with respect to Theorem 3.2 (see Remark 3.5), we can extend Theorem 3.7 by assuming a property of the quotients of X.

REMARK 3.8. Let X be a separable Banach space and suppose that a bad  $W_f$  is a subspace of a quotient X/Y of X. Theorem 3.7 shows that  $Y^{\perp}$ fails the  $\sigma(Y^{\perp}, X/Y)$ -FPP. It is straightforward to see that also X<sup>\*</sup> fails the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP.

In the next section we will see that the property stated in this remark becomes a necessary condition if we additionally assume that X is a separable Lindenstrauss space.

4. The case of separable Lindenstrauss spaces. This section is devoted to the main result of our paper. We characterize the separable Lindenstrauss spaces X such that  $X^*$  fails the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP.

By Corollary 3.4, we can limit ourselves to the Lindenstrauss spaces whose dual is isometric to  $\ell_1$ .

It is worth pointing out that the sufficient condition for the failure of the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP stated in Remark 3.8 turns out to be also necessary. This fact emphasizes the crucial role played in the study of the  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -FPP by the bad  $W_f$ . Moreover, we are also able to find a condition involving the limit of a  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -convergent subsequence of the standard basis of  $\ell_1$  that is equivalent to the failure of the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP. This property allows us to give a characterization of the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP in  $\ell_1$  by removing the restrictive assumption about the convergence of the standard basis of  $\ell_1$  used in [6, Theorem 8].

THEOREM 4.1. Let X be a predual of  $\ell_1$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\ell_1$  lacks the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP for nonexpansive mappings.
- (2)  $\ell_1$  lacks the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP for isometries.
- (3)  $\ell_1$  lacks the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP for contractive mappings.
- (4) There is a subsequence  $(e_{n_k}^*)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of the standard basis  $(e_n^*)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  in  $\ell_1$ which is  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -convergent to a norm-one element  $e^* \in \ell_1$  with  $e^*(n_k) \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (5) There is a quotient of X isometric to a bad  $W_f$ .

(6) There is a quotient of X that contains a subspace isometric to a bad  $W_q$ .

*Proof.* We divide the proof into several parts. First, the implications  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ ,  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  and  $(5) \Rightarrow (6)$  are straightforward, and  $(6) \Rightarrow (1)$  follows immediately from Remark 3.8.

 $(4)\Rightarrow(2)$  and  $(4)\Rightarrow(3)$ . By adapting the method developed in [6, proof of Theorem 8], we obtain a  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -compact and convex set  $C \subset \ell_1$  and an isometry  $T: C \to C$  that is fixed point free. Moreover, following the idea of [3], we consider the mapping  $S: C \to C$  defined as

$$S(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^j(x)}{2^{j+1}},$$

where T is as above. It is easy to prove that S is a fixed point free contractive mapping.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$ . By taking a subsequence we may assume that  $u^* = e^* - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} e^*(n_k) e^*_{n_k} \neq 0$ . Set  $x_1^* = u^*/||u^*||$  and  $x_k^* = e^*_{n_k}$  for  $k \geq 2$ . It is easy to see that  $(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is normalized sequence which is equivalent to the standard basis in  $\ell_1$ . Let  $Y = [\{x_k^* : k \in \mathbb{N}\}]$ , where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the closed linear span. Since  $\overline{\{x_k^* : k \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{w^*} = \{x_k^* : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{e^*\} \subset Y$ , Lemma 1 in [1] guarantees that  $\overline{[\{x_k^* : k \in \mathbb{N}\}]}^{w^*} = Y$ . Consider  $W_f \subset c$  where

$$f = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} e^*(n_k)\right), \frac{1}{2}e^*(n_2), \frac{1}{2}e^*(n_3), \frac{1}{2}e^*(n_4), \dots\right).$$

Then, by Definition 2.3,  $W_f$  is bad. Let  $(y_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  denote the standard basis in  $\ell_1 = W_f^*$ . We shall consider two cases. Suppose  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} e^*(n_k) > 0$ . Then, applying [4, Theorem 4.3], we obtain  $y_n^* \xrightarrow{\sigma(\ell_1, W_f)} y^*$ , where  $y^* = (1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} e^*(n_k), e^*(n_2), e^*(n_3), e^*(n_4), \dots)$ . Let  $\phi$  be the map of Y onto  $\ell_1 = W_f^*$  given by  $\phi(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k^*) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k y_k^*$ . Then

$$\phi(e^*) = \phi\left(u^* + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} e^*(n_k)e^*_{n_k}\right) = \phi\left(\|u^*\|x_1^* + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} e^*(n_k)x_k^*\right)$$
$$= \|u^*\|y_1^* + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} e^*(n_k)y_k^* = \left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} e^*(n_k), e^*(n_2), e^*(n_3), \dots\right) = y^*.$$

Consequently,  $\phi$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous homeomorphism from  $\overline{\{x_k^* : k \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{w^*}$ onto  $\overline{\{y_k^* : k \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{w^*} = \{y_k^* : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{y^*\}$ . So, in view of [1, Lemma 2],  $\phi$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous isometry from Y onto  $\ell_1 = W_f^*$ . This implies that  $W_f$  is isometric to  $X/^{\perp}Y$ . Finally, if  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} e^*(n_k) = 0$  then  $W_f$  is isometric to c. By the same reasoning as above, we easily conclude that c is isometric to a quotient of X.

It remains to show that  $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ . This is the key part of the whole proof and we split it into several steps for the convenience of the reader.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ . THE FINAL STEP. Suppose that we have already constructed a sequence  $(x_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_X$ , a  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -convergent subsequence  $(e_{n_k}^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the standard basis  $(e_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\ell_1 = X^*$  and a null sequence  $(\varepsilon_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  in (0, 1)such that for all  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $e_{n_k}^*(x_m) > 1 - \varepsilon_m$ . If  $e^*$  denotes the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -limit of  $(e_{n_k}^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then  $||e^*|| = 1$  and  $e^*(n_k) \ge 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Indeed, let  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $e_{n_k}^*(x_m) \xrightarrow{k} e^*(x_m)$ , we get  $e^*(x_m) \ge 1 - \varepsilon_m$ . Consequently, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$e_{n_{k_0}}^*(x_m) + e^*(x_m) > 1 - \varepsilon_m + 1 - \varepsilon_m = 2 - 2\varepsilon_m.$$

Hence,  $||e_{n_{k_0}}^* + e^*|| \ge 2$ , from which our assertion follows at once.

In the following we construct sequences  $(x_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(e_{n_k}^*)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\varepsilon_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  described above.

STEP 1. The sequence  $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ . Assume that  $\ell_1$  lacks the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP. Then, from [6, proof of Theorem 8], we know that there is a sequence  $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  in  $\ell_1$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $x_n^* \xrightarrow{\sigma(\ell_1, X)} x_0^*$ ; (ii)  $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  tends to 0 coordinatewise;
- (iii)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u^* x_n^*\| = 2$  for every  $u^* \in \operatorname{conv}\{x_n^* : n \ge 0\};$
- (iv)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n^*|| = 1 = ||x_0^*||.$

Now, using (ii), (iii) and (iv), one may observe that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$2 = \lim_{m \to \infty} \|x_n^* - x_m^*\| = \|x_n^*\| + \lim_{m \to \infty} \|x_m^*\| = \|x_n^*\| + 1,$$

and consequently

(v)  $||x_n^*|| = 1$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .

Again, using (ii), (iii) and (iv), one notices that for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $2 = \lim_{k \to \infty} \|\frac{1}{2}(x_n^* + x_m^*) - x_k^*\| = \|\frac{1}{2}(x_n^* + x_m^*)\| + \lim_{k \to \infty} \|x_k^*\| = \|\frac{1}{2}(x_n^* + x_m^*)\| + 1,$ hence

(vi)  $||x_n^* + x_m^*|| = 2$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Taking into account (v) and (vi) we easily conclude that

(vii)  $x_n^*(i) \cdot x_m^*(i) \ge 0$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

From now on we set  $\sum_{i \in \emptyset} a_i := 0.$ 

STEP 2: Grinding  $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ . Let  $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  be as above. We show that there is a sequence  $(y_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  in  $\ell_1$  and numbers  $s^+ \in (0, 1]$ ,  $s^- \in (-1, 0]$  such that

- (a)  $||y_k^*|| = 1$  for every  $k \ge 0$ ;
- (b) for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the set supp  $y_k^* := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : y_k^*(i) \neq 0\}$  is finite and max supp  $y_k^* < \min \operatorname{supp} y_{k+1}^*$ ;
- (c)  $y_m^*(i) \cdot y_n^*(i) \ge 0$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (d) for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$s^+(y_k^*) := \sum_{i \in \text{supp}_+} y_k^*(i) = s^+ \quad \text{and} \quad s^-(y_k^*) := \sum_{i \in \text{supp}_-} y_k^*(i) = s^-,$$

where supp<sub>+</sub>  $y_k^* := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : y_k^*(i) > 0\}$ , supp<sub>-</sub>  $y_k^* := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : y_k^*(i) < 0\}$ ; (e)  $y_k^* \xrightarrow{\sigma(\ell_1, X)} y_0^*$ .

Indeed, using (ii) and (v), we can choose a subsequence  $(x_{n_k}^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a sequence  $(m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  with  $m_k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $0 = m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < \cdots$  such that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

(4.1) 
$$\sum_{i=m_{k-1}+1}^{m_k} |x_{n_k}^*(i)| > 1 - \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

Now, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we set  $\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*} = \sum_{i=m_{k-1}+1}^{m_k} x_{n_k}^*(i) e_i^*$  and  $\widetilde{\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*}} = \widetilde{x_{n_k}^*} / \|\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*}\|$ . We can assume that the limits  $s_0^+ := \lim_k s^+(\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*})$  and  $s_0^- := \lim_k s^-(\widetilde{\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*}})$  exist. Clearly,  $s_0^+ \in [0, 1], s_0^- \in [-1, 0]$  and  $s_0^+ - s_0^- = 1$ . We shall consider two cases.

First, suppose  $s_0^+ > 0$ . Then we can assume that  $s^+(\widetilde{\widetilde{x_{n_k}}}) > 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further, suppose  $s_0^- < 0$ . Then we can also assume that  $s^-(\widetilde{\widetilde{x_{n_k}}}) < 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $(y_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  as  $y_0^* = x_0^*$ , and for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$y_k^* := \frac{s_0^+}{s^+(\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*})} \sum_{i \in \text{supp}_+} \widetilde{x_{n_k}^*} \widetilde{\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*}}(i) e_i^* + \frac{s_0^-}{s^-(\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*})} \sum_{i \in \text{supp}_-} \widetilde{x_{n_k}^*} \widetilde{\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*}}(i) e_i^*.$$

Obviously, conditions (a)–(c) are satisfied. Moreover,  $s^+(y_k^*) = s_0^+$  and  $s^-(y_k^*) = s_0^-$ , so to obtain (d) it is enough to take  $s^+ = s_0^+$  and  $s^- = s_0^-$ . We shall prove that (e) holds too. Indeed, by considering (4.1), (i) and (v), we get  $\lim_k \|\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*}\| = 1$ ,  $w^*$ - $\lim_k (\sum_{i=m_{k-1}+1}^{m_k} x_{n_k}^*(i)e_i^*) = x_0^*$ , and consequently  $w^*$ - $\lim_k y_k^* = x_0^* = y_0^*$ , as desired.

If  $s_0^- = 0$ , then  $s_0^+ = 1$  and we can assume that  $s^+(\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*}) > 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define  $(y_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  as  $y_0^* = x_0^*$ , and for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$y_k^* = \frac{s_0^+}{s^+(\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*})} \sum_{i \in \text{supp}+} \widetilde{x_{n_k}^*} \widetilde{\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*}}(i) \cdot e_i^*.$$

It is easy to see that properties (a)–(d) with  $s^+ := s_0^+ = 1$  and  $s^- := s_0^- = 0$  are satisfied.

Suppose  $s_0^+ = 0$ . Then  $s_0^- = -1$  and we can assume that  $s^-(\widetilde{x_{n_k}}) < 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, it is enough to define  $(y_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  as  $y_0^* = -x_0^*$ , and for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$y_k^* = -\frac{s_0^-}{\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*}} \sum_{i \in \text{supp}_-} \widetilde{\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*}} \widetilde{\widetilde{x_{n_k}^*}}(i) e_i^*.$$

Then  $s^+(y_k^*) = -s_0^- = 1$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Obviously, properties (a)–(d) are satisfied with  $s^+ = 1$  and  $s^- = 0$ .

STEP 3: Construction of  $(x_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $(y_k^*)_{k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ ,  $s^-\in(-1,0]$  and  $s^+\in(0,1]$  be as above. By using (a) and (e), we can choose  $x_1\in B_X$  and  $k_1\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $y_0^*(x_1)>1-s^+/8$  and  $y_k^*(x_1)>1-s^+/8$  for all  $k\geq k_1$ . Next, using (a) and (c) we can choose  $x_2\in B_X$  such that  $y_0^*(x_2)>1-s^+/8^2$  and  $y_{k_1}^*(x_2)>1-s^+/8^2$ . Moreover, (e) implies that there is  $k_2>k_1$  such that for all  $k\geq k_2$  we have  $y_k^*(x_2)>1-s^+/8^2$ . Further, using (a), (c) and (e), we can choose  $x_3\in B_X$  and  $k_3>k_2$  such that  $y_0^*(x_3)>1-s^+/8^3$ ,  $y_{k_1}^*(x_3)>1-s^+/8^3$ ,  $y_{k_2}^*(x_3)>1-s^+/8^3$  and  $y_k^*(x_3)>1-s^+/8^3$  for all  $k\geq k_3$ . Continuing, we construct a sequence  $(x_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\subset B_X$  and a subsequence  $(y_{k_n}^*)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $(y_k^*)_{k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$  such that  $y_{k_n}^*(x_m)>1-s^+/8^m$  for all  $m, n\in\mathbb{N}$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we set  $z_n^* = y_{k_n}^*$ . Then  $(z_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has the following properties:

- (a') for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $\operatorname{supp}_+ z_n^*$  is nonempty,  $\operatorname{supp} z_n^*$  is finite, and  $\max \operatorname{supp} z_n^* < \min \operatorname{supp} z_{n+1}^*$ ;
- (b')  $z_n^*(x_m) > 1 s^+/8^m$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (c') for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s^+(z_n^*) = s^+$  and  $s^-(z_n^*) = s^-$ .

STEP 4: Construction of  $(e_{n_k}^*)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\varepsilon_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $(z_n^*)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $s^-$  and  $s^+$  be as above. For each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$E_m^{(n)} = \{ i \in \text{supp}_+ \ z_n^* : e_i^*(x_m) > 1 - 1/2^m \}.$$

Then, using (c'), we have

$$\sum_{i \in \text{supp}_{+}} z_{n}^{*}(i)e_{i}^{*}(x_{m}) = \sum_{i \in E_{m}^{(n)}} z_{n}^{*}(i)e_{i}^{*}(x_{m}) + \sum_{i \in (\text{supp}_{+}, z_{n}^{*}) \setminus E_{m}^{(n)}} z_{n}^{*}(i)e_{i}^{*}(x_{m})$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in E_{m}^{(n)}} z_{n}^{*}(i) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{m}}\right) \sum_{i \in (\text{supp}_{+}, z_{n}^{*}) \setminus E_{m}^{(n)}} z_{n}^{*}(i)$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{m}}\right) \sum_{i \in \text{supp}_{+}, z_{n}^{*}} z_{n}^{*}(i) + \frac{1}{2^{m}} \sum_{i \in E_{m}^{(n)}} z_{n}^{*}(i)$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{m}}\right) s^{+} + \frac{1}{2^{m}} \sum_{i \in E_{m}^{(n)}} z_{n}^{*}(i).$$

On the other hand, using (b') and (c'), we get

$$\sum_{i \in \text{supp}_{+} z_{n}^{*}} z_{n}^{*}(i)e_{i}^{*}(x_{m}) = \sum_{i \in \text{supp} z_{n}^{*}} z_{n}^{*}(i)e_{i}^{*}(x_{m}) - \sum_{i \in \text{supp}_{-} z_{n}^{*}} z_{n}^{*}(i)e_{i}^{*}(x_{m})$$
$$> 1 - \frac{s^{+}}{8^{m}} + s^{-} = 1 - \frac{s^{+}}{8^{m}} - 1 + s^{+} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{8^{m}}\right)s^{+}.$$

The above implies that

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{8^m}\right)s^+ < \left(1-\frac{1}{2^m}\right)s^+ + \frac{1}{2^m}\sum_{i\in E_m^{(n)}} z_n^*(i),$$

 $\mathbf{so}$ 

$$\sum_{i \in E_m^{(n)}} z_n^*(i) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{4^m}\right) s^+.$$

The above calculations also show that for any  $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$  the set  $E_m^{(n)}$  is nonempty and

(4.2) 
$$\sum_{i \in (\text{supp}_{+} z_{n}^{*}) \setminus E_{m}^{(n)}} z_{n}^{*}(i) \leq \frac{1}{4^{m}} s^{+}.$$

For each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $F_m^{(n)} = \bigcap_{j=1}^m E_j^{(n)}$ . Obviously, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}, F_1^{(n)} \supseteq F_2^{(n)} \supseteq \cdots$ . We claim that  $F_m^{(n)} \neq 0$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, suppose that there are  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $F_m^{(n)} = \emptyset$ . Then  $\operatorname{supp}_+ z_n^* = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\operatorname{supp}_+ z_n^* \setminus E_j^{(n)})$ , so taking into account (4.2) and (c'), we obtain a contradiction:

$$\frac{1}{2}s^+ > \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{4^j}s^+ \ge \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in (\text{supp}_+ \ z_n^*) \setminus E_j^{(n)}} z_n^*(i) \ge \sum_{i \in \text{supp}_+ \ z_n^*} z_n^*(i) = s^+.$$

Since each  $F_m^{(n)}$  is nonempty and, in view of (a'),  $F_1^{(n)}$  is finite, we conclude that  $G^{(n)} := \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m^{(n)}$  is nonempty for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly,

$$G^{(n)} = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m^{(n)} = \{ i \in \text{supp}_+ \ z_n^* : e_i^*(x_m) > 1 - 1/2^m \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

Moreover, using (a') we see that  $G^{(i)} \cap G^{(j)} = \emptyset$  provided  $i \neq j$ . Hence, the set  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} G^{(j)}$  is infinite. Take any  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -convergent subsequence  $(e_{n_k}^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(e_n^*)_{n \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} G^{(j)}}$ . Then  $e_{n_k}^*(x_m) > 1 - 1/2^m$  for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Apply now *The Final Step* with  $\varepsilon_m = 1/2^m$ . The proof of  $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$  is finished.

REMARK 4.2. The bad  $W_f$  and  $W_g$  in statements (5) and (6) of Theorem 4.1 cannot be replaced by c (see Example 2.4).

We conclude by pointing out a related open problem. Let X be a predual of  $\ell_1$ . Theorem 3.7 implies that the existence of an isometric copy of a bad  $W_f$ in X ensures the failure of the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP. On the other hand, Theorem 4.1 provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the failure of the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP based on the existence of a quotient of X isometric to a bad  $W_f$ . A natural question still unanswered is whether the lack of the  $\sigma(\ell_1, X)$ -FPP implies that X contains an isometric copy of a bad  $W_f$ .

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Prof. Stanisław Prus for helpful conversations.

## References

- [1] D. E. Alspach,  $A \ell_1$ -predual which is not isometric to a quotient of  $C(\alpha)$ , arXiv:math /9204215v1 (1992).
- [2] D. E. Alspach, A fixed point free nonexpansive map, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1981), 423–424.
- [3] J. Burns, C. Lennard and J. Sivek, A contractive fixed point free mapping on a weakly compact convex set, Studia Math. 223 (2014), 275–283.
- [4] E. Casini, E. Miglierina and L. Piasecki, Hyperplanes in the space of convergent sequences and preduals of ℓ<sub>1</sub>, Canad. Math. Bull. 58 (2015), 459–470.
- [5] K. Goebel and T. Kuczumow, Irregular convex sets with the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings, Colloq. Math. 40 (1978), 259–264.
- [6] M. A. Japón-Pineda and S. Prus, Fixed point property for general topologies in some Banach spaces, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 70 (2004), 229–244.
- [7] L. A. Karlovitz, On nonexpansive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1976), 321–325.
- [8] A. J. Lazar and J. Lindenstrauss, Banach spaces whose duals are  $L_1$ -spaces and their representing matrices, Acta Math. 126 (1971), 165–194.
- B. Sims, Examples of fixed point free mappings, in: Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001, 35–48.

E. Casini et al.

[10] M. Smyth, Remarks on the weak star fixed point property in the dual of  $C(\Omega)$ , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 195 (1995), 294–306.

Emanuele Casini Dipartimento di Scienza e Alta Tecnologia Università dell'Insubria via Valleggio 11 22100 Como, Italy E-mail: emanuele.casini@uninsubria.it

Lukasz Piasecki Instytut Matematyki Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej Pl. Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1 20-031 Lublin, Poland E-mail: piasecki@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl Enrico Miglierina Dipartimento di Discipline Matematiche Finanza Matematica ed Econometria Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore Via Necchi 9, 20123 Milano, Italy E-mail: enrico.miglierina@unicatt.it

16