

## ACTA ARITHMETICA IX (1964)

## On the different in orders in an algebraic number field and special units connected with it\*

by

E. C. DADE and O. TAUSSKY (Pasadena, Calif.)

To L. J. Mordell to his 75-th birthday

1. Introduction. An order  $\mathfrak O$  in an algebraic number field F is called principal if it can be generated over the rational integers Z by a single element. We are concerned with relations between two possible generators of the same principal order.

Our result was stimulated by an attempt to explain the following phenomenon:

Let  $\mathfrak O$  be a principal order in a cubic field and let  $\theta$ ,  $\lambda$  be two different generators of  $\mathfrak O$ . Then a set of relations of the following type must exist for rational integral  $a_{th}$  with  $|a_{th}| = +1$ .

$$a_{11} = 1\,,$$
 (1)  $a_{21} + a_{22}\,\theta + a_{23}\,\theta^2 = \lambda\,,$   $a_{31} + a_{32}\,\theta + a_{33}\,\theta^2 = \lambda^2\,.$  Clearly,  $|a_{22} - a_{23}|$ 

(2) 
$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = \pm 1.$$

Let  $\theta$  be a zero of the irreducible polynomial

(3) 
$$f(X) = X^3 + aX^2 + bX + c.$$

Since the third equation in (1) is obtained by squaring the second equation the quantities  $a_{32}$ ,  $a_{33}$  can be expressed in terms of  $a_{21}$ ,  $a_{22}$ ,  $a_{23}$  and a, b, c. An easy computation shows that the left-hand side of (2) is a cubic form in  $x = a_{22}$ ,  $y = a_{23}$ :

(4) 
$$x^3 - 2ax^2y + (a^2 + b)xy^2 + (c - ab)y^3.$$

<sup>\*</sup> This work was carried out (in part) under a National Science Foundation Grant

We noticed that this form is the norm of the element

$$\eta = x + y(\theta_2 + \theta_3)$$

where  $\theta = \theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_3$  are the zeros of (3). By (2) and this observation,  $\eta$  must be a unit. The unit  $\eta$  is in F and is connected with the system (1) in another manner.

The second equation of (1) implies that

$$(\theta - \theta_2)(\theta - \theta_3)(x + y(\theta_1 + \theta_2))(x + y(\theta_1 + \theta_3)) = (\lambda - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_3),$$

where  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_3$  are the conjugates of  $\lambda = \lambda_1$ , corresponding to  $\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_3$ . Since both  $\theta$  and  $\lambda$  generate the order, the ratio of the differents  $(\theta - \theta_2)(\theta - \theta_3)$  and  $(\lambda - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_3)$  is a unit  $\varepsilon$ . We have

(6) 
$$(x+y(\theta_1+\theta_2))(x+y(\theta_1+\theta_3)) = \varepsilon = \pm \eta^{-1}.$$

This unit is in F and has norm +1 because this norm is the square of the rational integer  $\prod_{i \in f} (x+y(\theta_i+\theta_i))$ . Since  $\theta_2+\theta_3=-a-\theta$ , we may express  $\eta$  in the form  $x-ay-y\theta$ . It is noteworthy that  $\eta$  is of a special form, not containing a term  $\theta^2$ .

2. Some polynomials connected with the generator of a principal order. Now we consider a more general situation.

Let  $\mathfrak{O}=Z[\theta]$  and  $\mathfrak{O}'=Z[\lambda]$  be two principal orders in the algebraic number field F of degree n. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{O}' \subset \mathfrak{O}$ . Then

(7) 
$$\lambda = X_0 + X_1 \theta + \ldots + X_{n-1} \theta^{n-1}$$

for some rational integers  $X_0, ..., X_{n-1}$ . Let

(8) 
$$f_{\theta}(\overline{X}) = \overline{X}^n - a_1 \overline{X}^{n-1} + \ldots + a_n$$

be the monic irreducible polynomial for  $\theta$  over Z, and let  $f_{\lambda}(\overline{X})$  be the corresponding polynomial for  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\overline{X}_0, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1}, \Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_n$  be independent variables over F. Define the polynomial l by

(9) 
$$l(\bar{X}_1, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n-1}; \Theta_i, \Theta_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \bar{X}_k \frac{\Theta_i^k - \Theta_j^k}{\Theta_i - \Theta_j}.$$

Let  $\theta_1 = \theta, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n$  be the distinct conjugates of  $\theta$  in some convenient normal closure of F. Let  $\lambda_1 = \lambda, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  be the corresponding conjugates of  $\lambda$ . Then (7) implies that, for  $i \neq j$ ,

(10) 
$$\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{\theta_i - \theta_j} = l(X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}; \theta_i, \theta_j).$$

Define the polynomial u by

(11) 
$$y(\overline{X}_1, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1}; \Theta) = \prod_{i=2}^n l(\overline{X}_1, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1}; \Theta, \theta_i).$$

The following lemma gives some basic properties of y.

LEMMA 1. y is homogeneous of degree n-1 in  $\overline{X}_1, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1}$ . The coefficients of y lie in  $\mathfrak{D} = Z \lceil \theta \rceil$ .

Proof. By (9) each factor l is a linear form in  $\overline{X}_1, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1}$ . So y is homogeneous of degree n-1 in these variables.

Since the coefficients of l are rational integers, it is obvious from (11) that the coefficients of y are symmetric rational integral polynomials in  $\theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n$ . Hence they lie in  $F = Q(\theta)$  (where, as usual, Q is the rational number field). It will follow from the following more general lemma that they actually lie in  $Z[\theta]$ .

LEMMA 2 Let  $g(\Theta_2,\ldots,\Theta_n)$   $\epsilon Z[\Theta_2,\ldots,\Theta_n]$  be symmetric in the variables  $\Theta_2,\ldots,\Theta_n$ . Then  $g(\theta_2,\ldots,\theta_n)$   $\epsilon Z[\theta]$ . In fact  $g(\Theta_2,\ldots,\Theta_n)$   $\epsilon Z[\Theta_1,A_1,\ldots,A_{n-1}]$ , where  $A_1,\ldots,A_n$  are the elementary symmetric functions of the variables  $\Theta_1,\ldots,\Theta_n$ .

Proof. Let  $S_1,\ldots,S_{n-1}$  be the elementary symmetric functions of the variables  $\Theta_2,\ldots,\Theta_n$ . Since the  $\Theta$ 's are independent transcendentals over Q, so are the S's. Hence  $Z[S_1,\ldots,S_{n-1}]$  is integrally closed in its quotient field  $Q(S_1,\ldots,S_{n-1})$  (since it is a unique factorization domain). Since  $\Theta_2,\ldots,\Theta_n$  are the zeros of  $\overline{X}^{n-1}-S_1\overline{X}^{n-2}+\ldots\pm S_{n-1}$ , they are integral over  $Z[S_1,\ldots,S_{n-1}]$ . Therefore

$$Z[\Theta_2, \ldots, \Theta_n] \cap Q(S_1, \ldots, S_{n-1}) = Z[S_1, \ldots, S_{n-1}].$$

Our hypothesis is that  $g(\Theta_2, \ldots, \Theta_n)$  lies in the ring on the left. So it lies in  $Z[S_1, \ldots, S_{n-1}]$ . But

(12) 
$$S_{1} = A_{1} - \theta_{1},$$

$$S_{2} = A_{2} - A_{1} \theta_{1} + \theta_{1}^{2},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$S_{n-1} = A_{n-1} - A_{n-2} \theta_{1} + \dots \pm \theta_{1}^{n-1}.$$

Therefore  $Z[S_1, \ldots, S_{n-1}] \subseteq Z[\Theta_1, A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1}]$ . This proves the second statement of the lemma.

If  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$  are specialized to  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$ , then  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  specialize to  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So

$$g(\theta_2,\ldots,\theta_n)\epsilon Z[\theta_1,a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1}]=Z[\theta].$$

Acta Arithmetica IX.1

Different in orders

**3. The main theorem.** Each element of the ring  $\mathfrak{O}[\bar{X}_0, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n-1}] = Z[\theta, \bar{X}_0, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n-1}]$  has a unique expression of the form:

(13) 
$$B_0 + B_1 \theta + \ldots + B_{n-1} \theta^{n-1}$$

where the  $B_i$  lie in  $Z[\overline{X}_0, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1}]$ . We apply this to the powers of the element:

(14) 
$$\Lambda = \overline{X}_0 + \overline{X}_1 \theta + \ldots + \overline{X}_{n-1} \theta^{n-1}$$

obtaining unique polynomials  $B_{ik}$  in  $Z[\overline{X}_0, ..., \overline{X}_{n-1}]$  such that:

(15) 
$$A^{i} = B_{i0} + B_{i1} \theta + \ldots + B_{in-1} \theta^{n-1}, \quad i \geqslant 0.$$

Clearly  $B_{0k}=\delta_{0k},\ B_{1k}=\overline{X}_k$ , and  $B_{ik}$  is homogeneous of degree i in  $\overline{X}_0,\ldots,\overline{X}_{n-1}$ .

We form the matrix  $(B_{ik})$ , i, k = 0, ..., n-1. Its determinant must be a homogeneous polynomial  $z(\overline{X}_0, ..., \overline{X}_{n-1})$  of degree n(n-1)/2 in the ring  $Z[\overline{X}_0, ..., \overline{X}_{n-1}]$ .

The two polynomials  $y(\overline{X}_1,\ldots,\overline{X}_{n-1};\Theta)$  and  $z(\overline{X}_0,\ldots,\overline{X}_{n-1})$  are related by:

THEOREM 1. The norm from  $F(\overline{X}_1, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1})$  to  $Q(\overline{X}_1, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1})$  of  $Y(\overline{X}_1, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1}; \theta)$  is  $z(\overline{X}_0, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1})^2$ . In particular,  $\overline{X}_0$  does not appear in z.

Proof. Let  $A_1 = A, A_2, ..., A_n$  be the conjugates of A corresponding to the conjugates  $\theta_1, ..., \theta_n$  of  $\theta$ . By (15), we have the matrix equation:

$$(B_{ik})\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \theta_1 & \dots & \theta_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta_1^{n-1} & \dots & \theta_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ A_1 & \dots & A_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_1^{n-1} & \dots & A_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking determinants, we obtain:

(17) 
$$z(\overline{X}_0,\ldots,\overline{X}_{n-1})\prod_{i\neq j}(\theta_i-\theta_j)=\prod_{i\neq j}(\Lambda_i-\Lambda_j).$$

By (9) and (14), for  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$rac{arDelta_i - arDelta_j}{ heta_i - heta_j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \overline{X}_k rac{ heta_i^k - heta_j^k}{ heta_i - heta_j} = l(\overline{X}_1, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1}; heta_i, heta_j).$$

Therefore (17) implies

$$z(\overline{X}_0,\ldots,\,\overline{X}_{n-1})=\prod_{i< j}l(\overline{X}_1,\ldots,\,\overline{X}_{n-1};\, heta_i,\, heta_j).$$

On the other hand, by (11),

$$\operatorname{norm}(y(\overline{X}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1}; \theta)) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} y(\overline{X}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1}; \theta_{j})$$
$$= \prod_{i \neq j} l(\overline{X}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1}; \theta_{j}, \theta_{i}) = z(\overline{X}_{0}, \ldots, \overline{X}_{n-1})^{2}.$$

**4.** Further remarks. The main theorem generalizes the fact observed in the introduction for cubic fields, not only to fields of arbitrary degree, but also to generators of two orders  $\mathbb O$ ,  $\mathbb O$  with  $\mathbb O \supseteq \mathbb O$ . Since the order  $\mathbb O$  is a sublattice of  $\mathbb O$  the absolute value of the determinant of the transformation sending the basis of  $1, \theta, \ldots, \theta^{n-1}$  of  $\mathbb O$  into the basis  $1, \lambda, \ldots, \lambda^{n-1}$  of  $\mathbb O$ ' is  $(\mathbb O : \mathbb O')$ . By definition,  $z(X_0, \ldots, X_{n-1})$  is this determinant. Therefore

(18) 
$$(\mathfrak{D}: \mathfrak{D}') = \pm z(X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}).$$

Hence we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. The following three statements are equivalent:

1. 
$$\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}'$$

2. 
$$z(X_0,\ldots,X_{n-1})=\pm 1$$
,

3. 
$$y(X_1, ..., X_{n-1}; \theta)$$
 is a unit in  $Z[\theta]$  with norm  $+1$ .

An alternative proof of our results can be obtained by noticing that

$$y(X_1,\ldots,X_{n-1};\theta)=f'_{\lambda}(\lambda)/f'_{\theta}(\theta)$$

is the ratio of the differents of the two elements  $\lambda$  and  $\theta$ . We call, as usual,  $(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}$  times the norm of the different of an element its discriminant. The discriminant of  $\theta$  coincides with the discriminant  $d(\mathfrak{O})$  of  $\mathfrak{O}$  and that of  $\lambda$  with the discriminant  $d(\mathfrak{O}')$  of  $\mathfrak{O}'$ . Further, for any two orders  $\mathfrak{O} \supset \mathfrak{O}'$  (even for non principal orders)

$$d(\mathfrak{D})/d(\mathfrak{D}') = (\mathfrak{D} : \mathfrak{D}')^2$$
.

Hence, we have again

$$[z(X_0, ..., X_{n-1})]^2 = \text{norm}(y(X_1, ..., X_{n-1}; \theta)) = (\mathfrak{O} : \mathfrak{O}')^2.$$

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 27. 4. 1963