

Однако заметим, что справедливо следующее

Предложение 5. Пусть X удовлетворяет первой аксиоме счетности и  $s(X) \leqslant \mathbf{x}_1$ . Тогда X сепарабельно тогда и только тогда, когда оно обладает свойством (C).

Доказательство несложно.

## Литература

- [1] А. В. Архангельский, Отображения и пространства, УМН 21 (4) (1966), стр. 133-184.
- [2] О мощности бикомпактов с первой аксиомой счетности, ДАН СССР 187 (5) (1969), стр. 967-970.
- Свойство паракомпактности в классе совершенно нормальных локально бикомпактных пространств, ДАН СССР 203 (6) (1972), стр. 1231–1234.
- [4] А. С. Мищенко, О пространствах с точечно счетной базой, ДАН СССР 144 (5) (1962), стр. 985-988.
- [5] Несколько теорем о произведении топологических пространств, Fund. Math. 58 (1966), стр. 259-284.
- [6] A. Okuyama, Some generalizations of metric spaces, their metrization theorem and product spaces, Sci. Repts Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku A9 (1968), crp. 236-254.
- [7] F. Siwiec and J. Nagata, A note on nets and metrization, Proc. Jap. Acad. 44 (1968), crp. 623-626.
- [8] Ю. М. Смирнов, О сильно паракомпактных пространствах, ИАН СССР, сер. матем. 20 (1956), стр. 253-274.
- [9] R. Heath and E. Michael, A property of Sorgenfrey line, Composite Math. 23 (1971), crp. 185-188.
- [10] Б. Шапировский, О дискретных подпространствах топологических пространств. Вес, теснота и число Суслина, ДАН СССР 202 (4) (1972), стр. 779-782.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 6. 1972

## A universal separable metric locally finite-dimensional space

by

B. R. Wenner (Kansas City, Missouri)

Abstract. The author has previously defined a topological space to be locally finite-dimensional if every point has a neighborhood of finite covering dimension [cf. Pacific J. Math. 42 (1972), pp. 267–276]. In this communication we introduce a locally finite-dimensional subset of the Hilbert Cube in which every separable metric locally finite-dimensional space can be topologically embedded. From this it follows readily that every separable metric locally finite-dimensional space has a locally finite-dimensional complete extension.

By a space universal in the class C we shall understand a member of C in which every member of C can be topologically embedded (X is said to be topologically embedded in Y iff there exists a homeomorphism from X onto a subspace of Y). Well-known universal spaces have been obtained in the classes of separable metric n-dimensional spaces (by K. Menger [2] and G. Nöbeling [6]), separable metric countable-dimensional spaces (by J. Nagata [4]), and separable metric strongly countable-dimensional spaces (by J. Nagata [4] and Ju. M. Smirnov [7]), respectively. In this communication we shall describe a space universal in the class of separable metric locally finite-dimensional spaces.

The dimension of a space X will be denoted by  $\dim X$ , and will be interpreted as the covering dimension of Lebesgue [cf. 5]. A space X is said to be *locally finite-dimensional* iff every point of X has a finite-dimensional neighborhood. We denote the Hilbert Cube by  $I^{\infty}$ , and for each n=1,2,... we define a subset

 $J_n = \{(x_i) \in I^\infty \colon \ 0 \leqslant x_i \leqslant 1/n \ \text{ for } i=1, ..., n \ , \ \text{and} \ \ x_i = 0 \ \text{ for } i > n \} \ ,$  and

$$J=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}J_n.$$

Let q denote the origin of  $I^{\infty}$ , and define  $J^* = J - \{q\}$ . The author has shown in an earlier work [8, Lemma 3] that  $J^*$  is locally finite-dimensional, and  $J^*$  is a separable metric space since it is a subset of  $I^{\infty}$ . In order to

prove that any separable metric locally finite-dimensional space can be topologically embedded in  $J^*$  we shall require the following Lemma.

LEMMA. Let X be a separable metric space, U an open subset of X with  $\dim \overline{U} \leq k$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define  $E(k, \varepsilon) = \{(x_1, ..., x_{2k+2}) \in E^{2k+2} \colon 0 \leq x_i \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } i=1,...,2k+2\}$ . Then there exists a continuous mapping  $G\colon X \to E(k, \varepsilon)$  such that

- (i) G(x) = (0, ..., 0) iff  $x \in X U$ , and
- (ii) the restriction of G to U is a homeomorphism.

Proof. This is essentially a special case of a lemma due to Smirnov [7, Lemma 2]. In the terminology of that lemma we let  $A = \overline{U} - U$  and  $R = B = \overline{U}$ , and note that Smirnov's  $Q^{n(k)}$  is homeomorphic to  $E(k, \varepsilon)$ , so Smirnov's mapping F can be considered to be a mapping into  $E(k, \varepsilon)$  with the same properties. If by F' we denote the constant mapping of X - U onto the origin of  $E(k, \varepsilon)$ , we clearly obtain the desired mapping  $G: X \to E(k, \varepsilon)$  by letting G to be on the values given by F on  $\overline{U}$  and by F' on X - U (note that F and F' agree on the boundary of U).

THEOREM. Let X be a separable metric locally finite-dimensional space. Then X can be topologically embedded in  $J^*$ .

Proof. Since X is locally finite-dimensional we can use regularity to find an open cover consisting of sets whose closures are finite-dimensional. A separable metric space is Lindelöf, so there exists an open starfinite cover  $\{U_k\colon k=1,2,...\}$  of X for which  $\dim \overline{U}_k=n(k)<\infty$  for all k=1,2,... [3, Theorem 10]. We now define the following four functions on the positive integers by means of the formulae:

$$p(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (2n(i)+2), \quad p(1) = 0,$$
 $r(k) = \max\{j: U_j \cap U_k \neq \emptyset\},$ 
 $s(k) = p(r(k)+1), \quad \text{and}$ 
 $t(k) = \max\{j: p(j) < k\}.$ 

The following assertions are easily verified:

$$(1) j < k implies p(j) < p(k),$$

(2) 
$$j < k$$
 implies  $t(j) \leqslant t(k)$ ,

(3) 
$$t(p(k)) < k \le t(p(k+1))$$
 for all  $k = 1, 2, ...,$  and

(4) 
$$t(p(k)+j)=k$$
 for all  $k=1,2,...$  and all  $j \le 2n(k)+2$ .

For each k=1,2,... there exists a continuous mapping  $G_k$ :  $X \rightarrow E(n(k), 1/s(k))$  which satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of the Lemma

with respect to the open set  $U_k$ . For each  $j=1,\ldots,2n(k)+2$  let  $g_{k,j}$  denote the composition of  $G_k$  with the jth projection; i.e., for any  $x \in X$ ,  $G_k(x) = (g_{k,1}(x), \ldots, g_{k,2n(k)+2}(x))$ . It follows from the definitions that

(5) 
$$0 \le g_{k,j}(x) \le 1/s(k)$$
 for all  $x \in X$ ,  $k = 1, 2, ..., j = 1, ..., 2n(k) + 2$ .

The desired homeomorphism  $f: X \to I^{\infty}$  is the function for which  $f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), ...)$ , where  $f_k = g_{t(k), k-p(t(k))}$  for all k = 1, 2, ...; intuitively, the coordinate functions for f are obtained by lining up in order the coordinate functions of successive  $G_k$ . Alternatively,

(6)  $g_{k,j} = f_{p(k)+j}$  for all k = 1, 2, ... and all j = 1, ..., 2n(k)+2, since

$$g_{k,j} = g_{k,(p(k)+j)-p(k)} = g_{t(p(k)+j),(p(k)+j)-p(t(p(k)+j))}$$
 (by (4)) =  $f_{p(k)+j}$ 

(the last equality follows from the definition of the coordinate function  $f_{\eta(k)+i}$ ).

Cle rly f is continuous, since each coordinate mapping  $f_i$  is simply a coordinate mapping of some continuous mapping  $G_k$ . To see that f is one-to-one, we let x and y be distinct points of X and choose k such that  $x \in U_k$ . If  $y \in U_k$  we note that  $G_k$  is a homeomorphism on  $U_k$ , so  $G_k(y) \neq G_k(x)$ ; hence there exists  $j \leq 2n(k) + 2$  such that  $f_{p(k)+j}(y) = g_{k,j}(y) \neq g_{k,j}(x) = f_{p(k)+j}$  (by (6)), which implies that  $f(y) \neq f(x)$ . On the other hand, if  $y \notin U_k$  then  $G_k(y) = (0, ..., 0) \neq G_k(x)$  (by condition (i) of the Lemma), so there exists  $j \leq 2n(k) + 2$  for which  $f_{p(k)+j}(x) = g_{k,j}(x) \neq 0 = g_{k,j}(y) = f_{p(k)+j}(y)$ , so again  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ .

To show f is open we shall prove that if  $x \in X$  and U is an open neighborhood of x, there exists an open neighborhood V of f(x) such that  $V \cap f[X] \subseteq f[U]$ . Given x and U, let k be such that  $x \in U_k$ . For each  $j \leq 2n(k) + 2$  there exists an open neighborhood  $V_j$  (relative to the jth coordinate space of E(2n(k) + 2, 1/s(k))) of the coordinate  $g_{k,j}(x)$  such that

(7) 
$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n(k)+2} V_j\right) \cap G_k[X] \subset G_k[U \cap U_k],$$

by the definition of the product topology and the fact that  $G_k$  is open on  $U_k$ . We define the desired neighborhood in  $I^{\infty}$  by

$$V = \bigcap_{j=1}^{2n(k)+2} (\pi_{p(k)+j})^{-1} [V_j],$$

where by  $\pi_i$  we denote the *i*th projection into  $I^{\infty}$ . Now let  $y \in V \cap f[X]$ . Then there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $f(z) = y \in V$ , so  $f_i(z) \in V_i$  for all  $i = p(k) + 1, \ldots, p(k) + (2n(k) + 2) = p(k+1)$ ; i.e.,  $f_{p(k)+j}(z) = g_{k,j}(z) \in V_j$  for all  $j = 1, \ldots, 2n(k) + 2$ . By (7) this implies that  $z \in U \cap U_k$ , so  $y = f(z) \in f[U \cap U_k] \subset f[U]$ , and f is open.



To complete the proof of the Theorem we need only show that  $f[X] \subset J^*$ . Let  $x \in X$ , and  $k_1 < ... < k_m$  be precisely those integers k for which  $x \in U_k$ ; we shall prove that  $f(x) \in J_{v(k_m+1)} - \{q\}$ . For any coordinate mapping  $f_i$  we denote t(i) by k and i-p(k) by j, and note that  $f_i = g_{k,j}$  by definition. If  $k \neq k_k$  for all k = 1, ..., m, then  $f_i(x) = g_{k,j}(x) = 0$  since  $x \notin U_k$  and  $G_k(x) = (0, ..., 0)$  for  $x \in X - U_k$ . Otherwise,  $k = k_k$  for some  $k \leq m$ , so

$$f_i(x) = g_{k,j}(x) \le 1/s(k) = 1/p(r(k)+1) \le 1/p(k_m+1)$$

by (1) and since  $x \in U_k \cap U_{k_m}$  implies  $k_m \leqslant r(k)$ . Note also that at least one integer k does exist for which  $x \in U_k$ , so by (i) of the Lemma there exists an integer j such that  $f_{p(k)+j}(x) = g_{k,j}(x) \neq 0$ , so  $f(x) \neq q$ . Hence  $f(x) \in J_{p(k_m+1)} - \{q\}$ , and since the choice of x was arbitrary we have

$$f[X] \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (J_n - \{q\}) = (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n) - \{q\} = J - \{q\} = J^*$$
.

We close with an application of this Theorem.

COROLLARY. If X is a separable metric locally finite-dimensional space, then X has a locally finite-dimensional completion.

Proof. Let f be the embedding of X into  $J^*$  given by the Theorem. It is known that J is compact [cf. 8], and  $J^*$  is open in J, so there exists a complete metric for  $J^*$  [1, Theorem 9, p. 189]. Relative to this complete metric the closure Y of f[X] in  $J^*$  is complete, so Y is the desired completion of X.

## References

- [1] R. Engelking, Outline of General Topology, Amsterdam 1968.
- [2] K. Menger, Über umfassendste n-dimensionale Mengen, Proc. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. 29 (1926), pp. 1125-1128.
- [3] K. Morita, Star-finite coverings and the star-finite property, Math. Japon. 1 (1948), pp. 60-68.
- [4] J. Nagata, On the countable sum of zero-dimensional metric spaces, Fund. Math. 48 (1960), pp. 1-14.
- [5] Modern Dimension Theory, 1965.
- [6] G. Nöbeling, Über eine n-dimensionale Universalmenge im  $R_{2n+1}$ , Math. Ann. 104 (1930), pp. 71-80.
- [7] Ju. M. Smirnov, On universal spaces for certain classes of infinite-dimensional spaces, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 23 (1959), pp. 185-196; translated in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 21 (1962), pp. 21-33.
- [8] B. R. Wenner, Finite-dimensional properties of infinite-dimensional spaces, to appear in the Pacific J. Math.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 10. 7. 1972

## A characterization of determinacy for Turing degree games (1)

by

E. M. Kleinberg (Cambridge, Mass.)

Abstract. A natural method for modifying partition properties of cardinals is to require the existence of homogeneous sets having certain additional special properties. In this paper such a modification of the partition property  $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}$  is shown to lead to a natural characterization of the axiom of determinacy for Turing degree games.

1. The axiom of determinacy is most appropriately described in terms of two person games of infinite length: given any set A of reals (that is, of sets of integers) a game  $G_A$  exists and is played as follows: two players, I and II, move alternately writing at each turn a 0 or a 1. In this way they build an infinite sequence of 0's and 1's, that is, a function x from x into x in the following any initial play x into x into x into x into x in the following any initial play x into x in the following are simultaneously possess a winning strategy. A set of reals x is said to be determinate if there is a winning strategy (for I or for II) associated with x in the axiom of determinacy (AD) is the assertion that every set of reals is determinate.

Using the axiom of choice it is fairly easy to contradict AD. One can put together a nondeterminate set simply by diagonalizing over all strategies. However, the very rich theory of AD as well as its internal appeal makes a closer examination of the question "which sets of reals are determinate" extremely worthwhile.

One very natural approach to the question is through the various set hierarchies. It is easy to see that every open set of reals is determinate, and most recently Paris has shown that in fact every  $\Sigma_4^0$  set of reals is determinate. With a large cardinal assumption one can actually do quite well here — in particular there is Martin's result ([6]) that if a measurable cardinal exists then every analytic  $(\Sigma_1^1)$  set of reals is determinate.

<sup>(1)</sup> This research was partially supported by a Moore Instructorship at M.I.T. and by NSF Grant GP-29079.

<sup>20 -</sup> Fundamenta Mathematicae, T. LXXX