## Errata to the paper "A characterization of locally compact fields of zero characteristic" Fundamenta Mathematicae 76 (1972), pp. 149-155

by

## Witold Więsław (Wrocław)

The purpose of the present note is to correct the statement "It is well known (see [8], [10]) that the only full, locally bounded non-trivial topologies on a field are topologies of type V...". This theorem was not proved in the paper. This fact was pointed out by Prof. Seth Warner. I wish to thank Prof. S. Warner for his comments. In connection with it some changes should be done in the paper. The above mentioned sentence should be omitted (pp.  $149^{12}$ – $149^{15}$ ,  $150^{8}$ – $150^{11}$ ,  $151^{15}$ – $151^{17}$ ). The sentence "Let us remark that the topology  ${\mathfrak T}$  is induced in L by a non-Archimedean valuation..." (pp.,1504-1501, 1511-1515) should be read as: "Let us remark that the topology  ${\mathfrak C}$  is induced in L by a non-Archimedean pseudovaluation (cf. P. M. Cohn, An invariant characterization of pseudovaluations on a field, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 50 (1954), pp. 159-177). Indeed, since  $Q_p \subset L$  topologically and  $p^n \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  as  $n \to \infty$ , the set T of all topological nilpotents in L is non-void, whence open and bounded (since & is locally bounded) as it follows from Theorem 6.1' (loc. cit.). Let us denote this pseudovaluation by |a|. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon t| &\leqslant |\varepsilon| |t| = |\varepsilon|_p |t| = |t| = |\varepsilon^{-1}(\varepsilon t)| \leqslant |\varepsilon^{-1}| |\varepsilon t| \\ &= |\varepsilon^{-1}|_p |\varepsilon t| = |\varepsilon t|, |\varepsilon t| = |t|. \end{aligned}$$

Since |a| is a non-Archimedean pseudovaluation, so  $|\varphi_{\varepsilon}(a)| = |a|$  for every  $a \in Q_p(t)$ ."

Lemma 2 should be replaced by the following

LEMMA 2'. Let E be a separable algebraic extension of F. Moreover, if E is a pseudovaluated extension of a real-valued field F, E and F both being complete, and the pseudovaluation of E extends the norm of F, then E is a finite extension of F, i.e.  $[E:F] < \infty$ .

Instead of A. Ostrowski [12] there should be: I. Kaplansky, Topological methods in valuation theory, Duke Math. Journal, 14 (1947), pp. 527-541 (Th. 9).

<sup>7 —</sup> Fundamenta Mathematicae, T. LXXXIII

Finally, the proof of Lemma 3 should begin as follows: "Since  $\mathfrak T$  is a locally bounded field topology, F(x) has an order R, equivalent to F[x] (cf. D. Zelinsky, *Rings with ideal nuclei*, Duke Math. Journal 18 (1951), pp. 431–442, proof of Theorem 9). From the Lemma 1 it follows now that  $\mathfrak T$  is induced by a valuation."

The remainder of the proof is not changed.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 22. 11. 1972