



IM PAN Preprint 667 (2006)

Anna Rusinek

**Invariant measures for a class
of stochastic evolution equations**

Presented by Jerzy Zabczy

Published as manuscript

Received 29 March 2006

Invariant measures for a class of stochastic evolution equations ^{*}

Anna Rusinek
Institute of Mathematics
Polish Academy of Sciences
ul. Sniadeckich 8
00-956 Warszawa, Poland

Abstract

We give a sufficient condition for the existence of an invariant measure for a stochastic evolution equation with noise driven by a Lévy process.

1 Introduction

We consider a stochastic evolution equation on a separable Hilbert space H given by

$$\begin{aligned}dX &= (AX + F(X))dt + B(X)dZ(t), \\ X(0) &= \eta,\end{aligned}\tag{*}$$

where $\eta \in H$, A is a linear operator, F is a bounded mapping from H into H , Z takes values in a separable Hilbert space U and B is a bounded mapping from H into space of linear continuous operators from U into H .

We extend Theorem 6.3.2 from [1] which gives a sufficient condition for the existence of an invariant measure for (*) in the case that Z is a Wiener process. We use methods used in the proof of Theorem 6.3.2 and derive a sufficient condition for the existence of an invariant measure in the general case when Z is a Lévy process. We also show that this condition in a form involving Lipschitz constants is weaker than an analogous condition given by Gaans in [3].

2 Preliminaries

We will consider processes on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Let $Z(t)$ be a Lévy process (i.e. a process with independent and stationary increments) taking values in a separable Hilbert space $(U, \|\cdot\|_U)$. Associated with $Z(t)$ are two measures on U : the measure of jumps of Z , denoted μ , and the so-called Lévy measure of Z , denoted ν , given by

^{*}This work was supported by EC FP6 MC-ToK programme SPADE2, MTKD-CT-2004-014508.

$$\begin{aligned}\mu([0, t], \Gamma) &= \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma} \left(Z(s) - Z(s^-) \right), \\ t\nu(\Gamma) &= \mathbb{E}(\mu([0, t], \Gamma)),\end{aligned}$$

where Γ is a Borel subset of U such that $\bar{\Gamma} \subset U \setminus \{0\}$. It turns out that $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_U \min(\|y\|_U^2, 1) \nu(dy) < \infty$. $Z(t)$ can be represented as

$$Z(t) = at + W(t) + \int_0^t \int_{\|y\|_U \leq 1} y (\mu(dy, ds) - \nu(dy) ds) + \int_0^t \int_{\|y\|_U > 1} y \mu(dy, ds),$$

where $a \in U$, W is a Wiener process taking values in U , with covariance operator Q . We consider another separable Hilbert space $(H, \|\cdot\|)$. Let $L(H)$ denote the space of linear continuous operators from H into H , and let $L(U, H)$ denote the space of linear continuous operators from U into H . We consider a stochastic equation on H of the form

$$\begin{aligned}dX &= (AX + F(X))dt + B(X)dZ(t), \\ X(0) &= \eta,\end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

where $\eta \in H$, A is a linear operator, with dense domain, which in general may be unbounded, F is a bounded mapping from H into H and B is a bounded mapping from H into $L(U, H)$. We introduce the following conditions:

(i) $c := \int_U \|y\|_U^2 \nu(dy) < \infty$,

(ii) A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup on H ,

(iii) there exists $L_F > 0$ such that

$$\|F(x) - F(y)\| \leq L_F \|x - y\|,$$

(iv) there exists $L_B > 0$ such that

$$\|B(x) - B(y)\|_{L(U, H)} \leq L_B \|x - y\|.$$

Condition (i) implies the existence of $\int_{\|y\|_U > 1} y \nu(dy)$. Indeed, we have

$$\int_{\|y\|_U > 1} \|y\|_U \nu(dy) \leq \int_{\|y\|_U > 1} \|y\|_U^2 \nu(dy) \leq \int_U \|y\|_U^2 \nu(dy) < \infty.$$

So there exists $b := \int_{\|y\|_U > 1} y \nu(dy) \in U$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}Z(t) &= at + W(t) + \int_0^t \int_{\|y\|_U \leq 1} y (\mu(dy, ds) - \nu(dy) ds) + \int_0^t \int_{\|y\|_U > 1} y \mu(dy, ds) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\|y\|_U > 1} y \nu(dy) ds + bt \\ &= (a + b)t + W(t) + \int_0^t \int_U y (\mu(dy, ds) - \nu(dy) ds).\end{aligned}$$

So $\mathbb{E} Z(1) = a + b$, and $\text{Var} Z(1) = \text{Var} W(1) + \int_0^1 \int_U \|y\|^2 \nu(dy) ds = \text{Tr} Q + c$.

For process $Z(t), t \geq 0$, let $\bar{Z}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$, denote process defined by

$$\bar{Z}(t) = \begin{cases} Z(t) & t \geq 0, \\ Z_2(-t) & t < 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $(Z_2(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Lévy process with the same distribution as $(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and independent of $(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

3 Sufficient condition for the existence of an invariant measure

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that Z, A, F and B satisfy conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and let $A_n = nA(n - A)^{-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the sequence of Yosida approximations of A . If there exists $N, \omega > 0$ such that for every x, y in H and $n > N$*

$$2 \langle A_n(x - y) + F(x) - F(y) + (B(x) - B(y)) \mathbb{E} Z(1), x - y \rangle + \text{Var} Z(1) \|B(x) - B(y)\|_{L(U, H)}^2 \leq -\omega \|x - y\|^2, \quad (\text{A})$$

then there exists an invariant measure for the equation

$$\begin{aligned} dX &= (AX + F(X))dt + B(X)dZ(t), \\ X(0) &= \eta. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

First we prove two lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that Z satisfies condition (i) and $\mathbb{E} Z(1) = 0$. Let $\bar{Z}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$, be defined by (2.1). If $dY(t) = \alpha(t)dt + \beta(t)d\bar{Z}(t)$, where $\alpha(t) \in H$ and $\beta(t) \in L(U, H)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E} \|Y(t)\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \left(2 \langle Y(t), \alpha(t) \rangle + \text{Var} Z(1) \|\beta(t)\|_{L(U, H)}^2 \right).$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Applying Itô's lemma to the function $\varphi(x) = \|x\|^2$, we obtain

$$\|Y(t)\|^2 = \|Y(t_0)\|^2 + \int_{t_0}^t 2 \langle Y(s^-), dY(s) \rangle + \int_{t_0}^t \text{Tr}(\beta(s)Q(\beta(s))^*) ds + \iint_{t_0 U}^t \psi(s, y) \mu_Y(dy, ds),$$

where $\psi(s, y) = \varphi(Y(s^-) + y) - \varphi(Y(s^-)) - D\varphi(Y(s^-))y = \|y\|^2$ and μ_Y denotes the measure of jumps of Y . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y(t)\|^2 &= \|Y(t_0)\|^2 + \int_{t_0}^t 2 \langle Y(s^-), dY(s) \rangle \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \text{Tr}(\beta(s)Q(\beta(s))^*) ds + \iint_{t_0 U}^t \|\beta(s)y\|^2 \mu(dy, ds), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

as $\int_{t_0}^t \int_U \psi(s, y) \mu_Y(dy, ds) = \int_{t_0}^t \int_U \psi(s, \beta(s)y) \mu(dy, ds)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y(s^-), dY(s) \rangle &= \langle Y(s^-), \alpha(s) \rangle ds + \langle Y(s^-), \beta(s) dW(s) \rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle Y(s^-), \beta(s) \int_U y (\mu(dy, ds) - \nu(dy) ds) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t 2 \langle Y(s^-), dY(s) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t 2 \langle Y(s^-), \alpha(s) \rangle ds.$$

Let $B_s := \{Y(s) \neq Y(s^-)\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \langle Y(s) - Y(s^-), \alpha(s) \rangle = \mathbb{E} (\mathbf{1}_{B_s} \langle Y(s) - Y(s^-), \alpha(s) \rangle) = 0,$$

since $\mathbb{P}(B_s) = 0$. Hence

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t 2 \langle Y(s^-), dY(s) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t 2 \langle Y(s), \alpha(s) \rangle ds. \quad (3.2)$$

Given that $\text{Tr}(\beta(s)Q(\beta(s))^*) \leq \|\beta(s)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \text{Tr}Q$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t \text{Tr}(\beta(s)Q(\beta(s))^*) ds \leq \text{Tr}Q \mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t \|\beta(s)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 ds. \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t \int_U \|\beta(s)y\|^2 \mu(dy, ds) &= \mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t \int_U \|\beta(s)y\|^2 \nu(dy) ds \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t \int_U \|\beta(s)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \|y\|^2 \nu(dy) ds \\ &= \int_U \|y\|_U^2 \nu(dy) \mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t \|\beta(s)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t \int_U \|\beta(s)y\|^2 \mu(dy, ds) \leq c \mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t \|\beta(s)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 ds. \quad (3.4)$$

Combining (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \|Y(t)\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \|Y(t_0)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t \left(2 \langle Y(s), \alpha(s) \rangle + (\text{Tr}Q + c) \|\beta(s)\|^2 \right) ds,$$

from which, since $\text{Var} Z(1) = \text{Tr}Q + c$, we finally get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E} \|Y(t)\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \left(2 \langle Y(t), \alpha(t) \rangle + \text{Var} Z(1) \|\beta(t)\|^2 \right).$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *If B satisfies condition (iv) and there exist $N, \omega > 0$ such that for every x, y in H and $n > N$*

$$2 \langle A_n(x - y) + F(x) - F(y), x - y \rangle + \text{Var } Z(1) \|B(x) - B(y)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \leq -\omega \|x - y\|^2, \text{(A0)}$$

then for some $C_1 > 0$

$$2 \langle A_n x + F(x), x \rangle + \text{Var } Z(1) \|B(x)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \leq -\frac{\omega}{2} \|x\|^2 + C_1$$

for every x in H and $n > N$.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let $\lambda := \text{Var } Z(1)$. By (A0) with $y = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\omega \|x\|^2 &\geq 2 \langle A_n x + F(x) - F(0), x \rangle + \lambda \|B(x) - B(0)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \\ &\geq 2 \langle A_n x + F(x), x \rangle - 2 \|F(0)\| \|x\| + \lambda \left(\|B(x)\|_{L(U,H)} - \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)} \right)^2 \\ &= 2 \langle A_n x + F(x), x \rangle - 2 \|F(0)\| \|x\| \\ &\quad + \lambda \left(\|B(x)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 - \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)} \left(\|B(x)\|_{L(U,H)} - \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \langle A_n x + F(x), x \rangle + \lambda \|B(x)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 &\leq -\omega \|x\|^2 + 2 \|F(0)\| \|x\| + \lambda \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2\lambda \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)} \left(\|B(x)\|_{L(U,H)} - \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)} \right) \\ &\leq -\omega \|x\|^2 + 2 \|F(0)\| \|x\| + \lambda \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2\lambda \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)} L_B \|x\| \\ &= -\frac{\omega}{2} \|x\|^2 - \frac{\omega}{2} \|x\|^2 + \lambda \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\|F(0)\| + \lambda \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)} L_B \right) \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $ar^2 + br + c \leq -\frac{b^2-4ac}{4a} = c - \frac{1}{4} \frac{b^2}{a}$, we have

$$2 \langle A_n x + F(x), x \rangle + \lambda \|B(x)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \leq -\frac{\omega}{2} \|x\|^2 + C_1,$$

where

$$C_1 = \lambda \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 + \frac{2}{\omega} \left(\|F(0)\| + \lambda \|B(0)\|_{L(U,H)} L_B \right)^2.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.1. I. First assume that $\mathbb{E} Z(1) = 0$.

Let $\bar{Z}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$, be defined by (2.1) and let $A_n = nA(n - A)^{-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the sequence of Yosida approximations of A . Denote by $X_n(t, s, \eta)$ the solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} dX_n &= (A_n X_n + F(X_n)) dt + B(X_n) d\bar{Z}(t), \\ X_n(s) &= \eta, \end{aligned}$$

and by $X(t, s, \eta)$ the solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} dX &= (AX + F(X))dt + B(X)d\bar{Z}(t), \\ X(s) &= \eta. \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

$X_n(t, s, \eta)$ converges in $L_2(\Omega)$ to $X(t, s, \eta)$. Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $X_n(t) = X_n(t, s, \eta)$. Then $dX_n = (A_n X_n + F(X_n))dt + B(X_n)d\bar{Z}(t)$. We apply Lemma 3.2 with

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= X_n(t), \\ \alpha(t) &= A_n X_n(t) + F(X_n(t)), \\ \beta(t) &= B(X_n(t)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3,

$$2 \langle Y(t), \alpha(t) \rangle + \text{Var } Z(1) \|\beta(t)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \leq -\frac{\omega}{2} \|X_n(t)\|^2 + C_1,$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E} \|X_n(t)\|^2 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(2 \langle Y(t), \alpha(t) \rangle + \text{Var } Z(1) \|\beta(t)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq -\frac{\omega}{2} \mathbb{E} \|X_n(t)\|^2 + C_1. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's lemma,

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_n(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{2C_1}{\omega} + \mathbb{E} \|X_n(s)\|^2,$$

so for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $t \geq s$

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_n(t, s, \eta)\|^2 \leq \frac{2C_1}{\omega} + \|\eta\|^2. \tag{3.5}$$

Now fix $\delta > \gamma > 0$ and let $U_n(t) = X_n(t, -\gamma, \eta)$, $V_n(t) = X_n(t, -\delta, \eta)$. Then

$$d(U_n - V_n) = (A(U_n - V_n) + F(U_n) - F(V_n))dt + (B(U_n) - B(V_n))d\bar{Z}(t).$$

We apply Lemma 3.2 with

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= U_n(t) - V_n(t), \\ \alpha(t) &= A_n(U_n(t) - V_n(t)) + F(U_n(t)) - F(V_n(t)), \\ \beta(t) &= B(U_n(t)) - B(V_n(t)). \end{aligned}$$

By (A),

$$2 \langle Y(t), \alpha(t) \rangle + \text{Var } Z(1) \|\beta(t)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \leq -\omega \|U_n(t) - V_n(t)\|^2,$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E} \|U_n(t) - V_n(t)\|^2 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(2 \langle Y(t), \alpha(t) \rangle + \text{Var } Z(1) \|\beta(t)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq -\omega \mathbb{E} \|U_n(t) - V_n(t)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's lemma, for every $t \geq s$

$$\mathbb{E} \|U_n(t) - V_n(t)\|^2 \leq e^{-\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \|U_n(s) - V_n(s)\|^2.$$

Letting $t = 0$ and $s = -\gamma$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \|X_n(0, -\gamma, \eta) - X_n(0, -\delta, \eta)\|^2 &\leq e^{-\omega\gamma} \mathbb{E} \|\eta - X_n(-\gamma, -\delta, \eta)\|^2 \\ &\leq e^{-\omega\gamma} \left(2 \|\eta\|^2 + 2 \mathbb{E} \|X_n(-\gamma, -\delta, \eta)\|^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now, recalling (3.5),

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_n(0, -\gamma, \eta) - X_n(0, -\delta, \eta)\|^2 \leq e^{-\omega\gamma} \left(4 \|\eta\|^2 + \frac{4C_1}{\omega}\right).$$

Since $X_n(t, s, \eta)$ converges in $L_2(\Omega)$ to $X(t, s, \eta)$,

$$\mathbb{E} \|X(0, -\gamma, \eta) - X(0, -\delta, \eta)\|^2 \leq e^{-\omega\gamma} \left(4 \|\eta\|^2 + \frac{4C_1}{\omega}\right).$$

It follows that $(X(0, -\gamma, \eta))_\gamma$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_2(\Omega)$, so there exists random variable $\mathcal{X} \in L_2(\Omega)$ such that $X(0, -\gamma, \eta)$ converges to \mathcal{X} in $L_2(\Omega)$, which implies that $X(0, -\gamma, \eta)$ converges to \mathcal{X} also in law

$$\mathcal{L}(X(0, -\gamma, \eta)) \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}).$$

Since $\mathcal{L}(X(0, -\gamma, \eta)) = \mathcal{L}(X(\gamma, 0, \eta))$, we also have

$$\mathcal{L}(X(\gamma, 0, \eta)) \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ is an invariant measure for equation (*).

II. Now let $m := \mathbb{E} Z(1)$ be any in U . Equation (*) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}dX &= (AX + \tilde{F}(X))dt + B(X)d\tilde{Z}(t), \\ X(0) &= \eta,\end{aligned}\tag{**}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Z}(t) &= Z(t) - mt, \\ \tilde{F}(x) &= F(x) + B(x)m.\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove that there exists an invariant measure for (**). We have $\mathbb{E} \tilde{Z}(1) = 0$, $\text{Var} \tilde{Z}(1) = \text{Var} Z(1)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}2 \langle A(x - y) + \tilde{F}(x) - \tilde{F}(y), x - y \rangle + \text{Var} \tilde{Z}(1) \|B(x) - B(y)\|_{L(U, H)}^2 \\ = 2 \langle A(x - y) + F(x) - F(y) + (B(x) - B(y))m, x - y \rangle \\ + \text{Var} Z(1) \|B(x) - B(y)\|_{L(U, H)}^2,\end{aligned}$$

so the existence of an invariant measure for (**) follows from step I. □

4 Sufficient condition in terms of Lipschitz constants

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that Z , A , F and B satisfy conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv). Let $S(t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the semigroup generated by A and assume that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for every $t \geq 0$*

$$\|S(t)\|_{L(H)} \leq e^{-\alpha t}.$$

If

$$-2\alpha + 2L_F + 2L_B \|\mathbb{E} Z(1)\|_U + \text{Var} Z(1)L_B^2 < 0, \quad (\text{B})$$

then there exists an invariant measure for the equation

$$\begin{aligned} dX &= (AX + F(X))dt + B(X)dZ(t), \\ X(0) &= \eta. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We shall prove that condition (B) implies condition (A) so the result will follow from the previous theorem. If (B) is fulfilled, then there exists $N > 0$ such that for $n > N$

$$-\frac{2\alpha n}{n + \alpha} + 2L_F + 2L_B \|\mathbb{E} Z(1)\|_U + \text{Var} Z(1)L_B^2 < 0.$$

For the Yosida approximations A_n we have $\langle A_n x, x \rangle \leq -\frac{\alpha n}{n + \alpha} \|x\|^2$, since $\|S(t)\|_{L(H)}^2 \leq e^{-\alpha t}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \langle A_n(x - y), x - y \rangle &\leq -2\frac{\alpha n}{n + \alpha} \|x - y\|^2, \\ 2 \langle F(x) - F(y), x - y \rangle &\leq 2L_F \|x - y\|^2, \\ 2 \langle (B(x) - B(y)) \mathbb{E} Z(1), x - y \rangle &\leq 2L_B \|\mathbb{E} Z(1)\|_U \|x - y\|^2, \\ \text{Var} Z(1) \|B(x) - B(y)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 &\leq \text{Var} Z(1)L_B^2 \|x - y\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \langle A_n(x - y) + F(x) - F(y) + (B(x) - B(y)) \mathbb{E} Z(1), x - y \rangle \\ &\quad + \text{Var} Z(1) \|B(x) - B(y)\|_{L(U,H)}^2 \\ &\leq \left(-\frac{2\alpha n}{n + \alpha} + 2L_F + 2L_B \|\mathbb{E} Z(1)\|_U + \text{Var} Z(1)L_B^2 \right) \|x - y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

And condition (A) is fulfilled, since $-\frac{2\alpha n}{n + \alpha} + 2L_F + 2L_B \|\mathbb{E} Z(1)\|_U + \text{Var} Z(1)L_B^2 < -\omega$, for some $\omega > 0$ and $n > N$. □

Remark

Gaans [3] proves the existence of an invariant measure for (*) under the assumption that $\|S(t)\|_{L(H)}^2 \leq M e^{-\alpha t}$ for some $\alpha, M > 0$, which is less restrictive condition than the condition $\|S(t)\|_{L(H)}^2 \leq e^{-\alpha t}$. His sufficient condition for the existence of an invariant measure is

$$6M^2 \left(\frac{L_F^2}{\alpha} + \text{Var} Z(1)L_B^2 \right) < \alpha, \quad (\text{GM})$$

under the assumption that $\mathbb{E} Z(1) = 0$. In the case $M = 1$, we get

$$6 \left(\frac{L_F^2}{\alpha} + \text{Var} Z(1) L_B^2 \right) < \alpha. \quad (\text{G1})$$

Condition (B) in the case $\mathbb{E} Z(1) = 0$ is

$$-2\alpha + 2L_F + \text{Var} Z(1) L_B^2 < 0. \quad (\text{B0})$$

If (G1) is fulfilled, then so is (B0). Indeed, (G1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{L_F^2}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{6} + \text{Var} Z(1) L_B^2 < 0. \quad (\text{G'1})$$

So it is enough to prove that

$$-2\alpha + 2L_F + \text{Var} Z(1) L_B^2 < \frac{L_F^2}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{6} + \text{Var} Z(1) L_B^2.$$

We have

$$0 < 5\alpha^2 + 6(\alpha - L_F)^2 = 5\alpha^2 + 6\alpha^2 + 6L_F^2 - 12\alpha L_F = 6L_F^2 - \alpha^2 + 12\alpha^2 - 12\alpha L_F,$$

so

$$0 < \frac{L_F^2}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{6} + 2\alpha - 2L_F.$$

References

- [1] G. Da Prato & J. Zabczyk, *Ergodicity for infinite dimensional systems*, Cambridge University Press 1996.
- [2] G. Da Prato & J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Cambridge University Press 1992.
- [3] O. van Gaans, *Invariant measures for stochastic evolution equations with Hilbert space valued Lévy noise*, Technical Report 05-08, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Friedrich Schiller University, Jena 2005.
- [4] J. Jakubowski & J. Zabczyk, *Exponential moments for HJM models with jumps*, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Preprint 651 (2004), 1-11
- [5] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York 1973.
- [6] M. Tehranchi, *A note on invariant measures for HJM models*, Finance and Stochastics **9** (2005), 389-398