

# Decomposition theorems for vector valued Hardy Martingales

Paul F.X. Müller

Johannes Kepler Universität Linz

# Topics

1. Basic Examples
2. Maximal Functions
3. Davis Decomposition
4. Martingale Transforms and Consequences
5. Davis Garsia Inequalities

## The main sources

A. Pelczynski, Banach Spaces of analytic functions and absolutely summing operators, (1977)

J. Bourgain. *Embedding  $L^1$  to  $L^1/H^1$* , TAMS 278 (1983).

PFXM. *A decomposition for Hardy Martingales*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 61 (2013) 1801–1816

PFXM. *A decomposition for Hardy Martingales II*, Math. Proc. Cambr. Philos. Soc. 157 (2014) 189–207

PFXM. *A decomposition for Hardy Martingales III*, Preprint.

## Complex analytic Hardy Spaces

$$f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}, X), \mathbb{T} = \{e^{i\theta} : |\theta| \leq \pi\}, \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

The harmonic extension of  $f$  to the unit disk

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z - e^{i\alpha}|^2} f(e^{i\alpha}) d\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Define  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{T}, X)$  if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}, X)$  and the **harmonic extension of  $f$  is analytic** in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

## Hardy Martingales $H^1(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}, X)$

$\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$  the infinite torus-product with Haar measure  $d\mathbb{P}$ .

$F_k : \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_k$  measurable iff

$$F_k(x) = F_k(x_1, \dots, x_k), \quad x = (x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$$

Conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}_k F = \mathbb{E}(F | \mathcal{F}_k)$  is integration,

$$\mathbb{E}_k F(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}} F(x_1, \dots, x_k, w) d\mathbb{P}(w).$$

An  $(\mathcal{F}_k)$  martingale  $F = (F_k)$  is a **Hardy martingale** if

$$y \rightarrow F_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y) \in H^1(\mathbb{T}, X).$$

Martingale differences  $\Delta F_k = F_k - F_{k-1}$ .

## Example: Maurey's embedding.

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $w = (w_k) \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Put  $\varphi_1(w) = \epsilon w_1$ , and

$$\varphi_n(w) = \varphi_{n-1}(w) + \epsilon(1 - |\varphi_{n-1}(w)|)^2 w_n.$$

Then  $\lim |\varphi_n| = 1$  and  $\varphi = \lim \varphi_n$  is uniformly distributed over  $\mathbb{T}$ .

For any  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{T}, X)$

$$F_n(w) = f(\varphi_n(w)), \quad w \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

is an integrable Hardy martingale with **uniformly small** increments

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}(\|F_n\|_X) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|f\|_X dm \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Delta F_n\|_X \leq 2\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|f\|_X dm.$$

## Pointwise estimates for $\Delta F_n$ .

Fix  $w \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $z = \varphi_n(w)$ ,  $u = \varphi_{n-1}(w)$

$$\Delta F_n(w) = f(\varphi_n(w)) - f(\varphi_{n-1}(w)).$$

Cauchy integral formula

$$f(z) - f(u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\{ \frac{\zeta}{\zeta - z} - \frac{\zeta}{\zeta - u} \right\} f(\zeta) dm(\zeta).$$

Triangle inequality

$$\|f(z) - f(u)\|_X \leq \frac{|z - u|}{(1 - |u|)(1 - |z|)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|f\|_X dm$$

## Example: Rudin Shapiro Martingales

Fix a complex sequence  $(c_n)$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 \leq 1$ .

Define recursively:  $F_1 = G_1 = 1$  and for  $w = (w_n) \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$

$$F_{m+1}(w) = F_m(w) + \overline{G_m}(w)c_{m+1}w_{m+1},$$

$$G_{m+1}(w) = G_m(w) - \overline{F_m}(w)c_{m+1}w_{m+1}.$$

Pythagoras for  $(F_m, G_m)$  and  $(\overline{G_m}, -\overline{F_m})$  gives

$$|F_{m+1}(w)|^2 + |G_{m+1}(w)|^2 = (1 + |c_{m+1}|^2)(|F_m(w)|^2 + |G_m(w)|^2).$$

and repeat

$$|F_{m+1}(w)|^2 + |G_{m+1}(w)|^2 = \prod_{k=1}^{m+1} (1 + |c_k|^2)2.$$

## Rudin Shapiro Martingales II

$F = (F_n)$  a uniformly bounded Hardy martingale

$$F_n(w) = \sum_{m=1}^n \overline{G_m}(w) c_{m+1} w_{m+1}$$

for which the martingale differences reproduce the  $(c_m)$ .

$$\mathbb{E}_w(\overline{w_m}(F_n(w) - F_{n-1}(w))) = c_{m+1} \mathbb{E}_w \overline{G_m}(w) = c_{m+1}.$$

**Rudin Shapiro** martingales gives the cotype 2 estimate for  $L^1/H^1$

$$\mathbb{E}_w \left\| \sum_{m=1}^n w_m x_m \right\|_{L^1/H^1} \geq c \left( \sum \|x_m\|_{L^1/H^1}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

when the  $x_m$  have **well separated** Fourier spectrum.

## The Origins I

A. Pelczynski posed **famous problems** in “Banach Spaces of analytic functions and absolutely summing operators, (1977).”

Does  $H^1$  have an unconditional basis?

Does there exist a subspace of  $L^1/H^1$  isomorphic to  $L^1$ ?

Does  $L^1/H^1$  have cotype 2?

Are the spaces  $A(D^n)$  and  $A(D^m)$  not isomorphic when  $n \neq m$  ?

## The Origins II

Hardy martingales gave rise to the operators by which **Maurey** proved that  $H^1$  has an unconditional basis;

and to the isomorphic invariants by which **Bourgain** proved the dimension conjecture, that  $L^1/H^1$  has cotype 2 and that  $L^1$  embeds into  $L^1/H^1$ .

**Pisier's**  $L^1/H^1$  valued Riesz products form a Hardy martingale that is strongly intertwined with Bourgain's solutions and played an important role for the work of **Garling, Tomczak-Jaegermann, W. Davis** on Hardy martingale cotype and complex uniformly convex renormings of Banach spaces.

## Garling's Maximal Functions estimate I .

For any  $X$  valued Hardy martingale  $F = (F_k)$

$$\mathbb{E}(\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|F_k\|) \leq e \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}(\|F_k\|).$$

For any  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $(\|F_{k-1}\|_X^\alpha)$  is a non- negative sub-martingale

$$\|F_{k-1}\|_X^\alpha \leq \mathbb{E}_{k-1}(\|F_k\|_X^\alpha).$$

## Brownian Motion

Let  $\Omega$  denote the Wiener space  $\{z_t : t > 0\}$  denotes complex Brownian Motion started at  $0 \in \mathbb{D}$ , and define

$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : |z_t| > 1\}.$$

For  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{T}, X)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $0 < t < \tau$ ,

$$\|f(z_t)\|_X^\alpha \leq \mathbb{E}(\|f(z_\tau)\|_X^\alpha | \mathcal{F}_t),$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(\sup_{t < \tau} \|f(z_t)\|_X) \leq e \sup_{t < \tau} \mathbb{E}(\|f(z_t)\|_X),$$

where the integration is over the Wiener space  $\Omega$ .

## Garling's Maximal Functions estimate II .

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{T}^{k-1} \times \Omega, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^{k-1}, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

For any  $X$  valued Hardy martingale  $F = (F_k)$ , the maximal function

$$F_k^*(x, \omega) = \max \left\{ \max_{m \leq k-1} \|F_m(x)\|_X, \sup_{t < \tau} \|F_k(x, z_t(\omega))\|_X \right\}$$

satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}_\Sigma(F_k^*) \leq e^2 \mathbb{E}(\|F_k\|_X).$$

## Davies Decomposition I.

Let  $F = (F_k)_{k=1}^n$  be an  $X$  valued Hardy martingale.

With the **maximal function estimates**, the standard B. Davies decomposition and **Doob's projection** we obtain a splitting of  $F$  into **Hardy martingales**

$$F = G + B$$

satisfying

$$\|\Delta G_k\|_X \leq \max_{m \leq k-1} \|F_m\|_X,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|\Delta B_k\|_X\right) \leq C\mathbb{E}(\|F\|_X).$$

**Sketch of Proof.** Fix  $x \in \mathbb{T}^{k-1}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{T}$ . Define

$$f(v) = \Delta F_k(x, v), \quad \lambda = \max_{m \leq k-1} \|F_m(x)\|_X.$$

and

$$\rho = \inf\{t < \tau : \|f(z_t)\|_X > 2\lambda\}, \quad R_k = f(z_\rho), \quad S_k = f(z_\rho) - f(z_\tau).$$

- $F_k^*(x, \omega) \leq 4(F_k^*(x, \omega) - F_{k-1}^*(x, \omega)), \quad \omega \in A = \{\rho < \tau\}.$

- $\|S_k\|_X \leq 2F_k^* \leq 8(F_k^* - F_{k-1}^*), \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \|S_k\|_X \leq 8F_n^*.$

- By choice of the stopping time  $\rho$ ,  $\|R_k\| \leq 2\lambda.$

**Doob's projection** generates the analytic functions

$$\Delta B_k = \mathbb{E}(S_k | z_\tau = z), \quad \Delta G_k = \mathbb{E}(R_k | z_\tau = z), \quad z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

**Improved Davies Decomposition (PFXM)** A Hardy martingale  $F = (F_k)$  can be decomposed into Hardy martingales as  $F = G + B$  such that

$$\|\Delta G_k\|_X \leq C\|F_{k-1}\|_X,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\Delta B_k\|_X\right) \leq C\mathbb{E}(\|F\|_X).$$

### Lemma

If  $h \in H_0^1(\mathbb{T}, X)$ ,  $z \in X$  there exists  $g \in H_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}, X)$  with

$$\|g(\zeta)\|_X \leq C_0\|z\|_X, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$$

and

$$\|z\|_X + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|h - g\|_X dm \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|z + h\|_X dm.$$

**Sketch of Proof.** Fix  $x \in \mathbb{T}^{k-1}$ . Put

$$h(y) = \Delta F_k(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad z = F_{k-1}(x).$$

Lemma yields a bounded analytic  $g$  with

$$\|z\|_X + 1/8 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|h-g\|_X dm \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|z+h\|_X dm; \quad \|g(\zeta)\|_X \leq C_0 \|z\|_X.$$

Define

$$\Delta G_k(x, y) = g(y), \quad \Delta B_k(x, y) = h(y) - g(y).$$

Then

$$\|F_{k-1}\|_X + 1/8 \mathbb{E}_{k-1}(\|\Delta B_k\|_X) \leq \mathbb{E}_{k-1}(\|F_k\|_X).$$

Integrate and take the sum,

$$\sum \mathbb{E}(\|\Delta B_k\|_X) \leq 4 \sup \mathbb{E}(\|F_k\|_X).$$

The strong Davis decomposition yields vector valued Davis and Garsia Inequalities. At this point we need to make an assumption on the Banach space  $X$ :

Let  $q \geq 2$ . A Banach space  $X$  satisfies the hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}(q)$ , if for each  $M \geq 1$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(M) > 0$  such that for any  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $g \in H_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}, X)$  with  $\|g\|_\infty \leq M$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \|z + g\|_X dm \geq (1 + \delta \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|g\|_X^q dm)^{1/q}. \quad (1)$$

Condition (1) is required for uniformly bounded analytic functions  $g$ , and  $\delta = \delta(M) > 0$  is allowed to depend on the uniform estimates  $\|g\|_\infty \leq M$ . When  $X = \mathbb{C}$ , the hypothesis “ $\mathcal{H}(q)$ ” hold true with  $q = 2$ .

**Satz 1** *Let  $q \geq 2$ . Let  $X$  be a Banach satisfying  $\mathcal{H}(q)$ . Any  $X$  valued Hardy martingale  $F = (F_k)$  can be decomposed into the sum of  $X$  valued Hardy martingales  $F = G + B$  such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{k-1}(\|\Delta G_k\|_X^q)\right)^{1/q} + \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\Delta B_k\|_X\right) \leq A_q \mathbb{E}(\|F\|_X).$$

**Satz 2** *Let  $q \geq 2$ . Let  $X$  be a Banach satisfying  $\mathcal{H}(q)$ . There exists  $M > 0$   $\delta_q > 0$  such that for any  $h \in H_0^1(\mathbb{T}, X)$  and  $z \in X$  there exists  $g \in H_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}, X)$  satisfying*

$$\|g(\zeta)\|_X \leq M\|z\|_X, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T}, \quad (2)$$

*and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \|z+h\|_X dm \geq \left( \|z\|_X^q + \delta_q \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|g\|_X^q dm \right)^{1/q} + \frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|h-g\|_X dm. \quad (3)$$

The strong Davis decomposition and hypothesis “ $\mathcal{H}(q)$ ” gives a decomposition into Hardy martingales as  $F = G + B$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{E}_{k-1} \|\Delta G_k\|_X^q)\right)^{1/q} + \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\Delta B_k\|_X\right) \leq A_q \mathbb{E}(\|F\|_X).$$

If we replace hypothesis “ $\mathcal{H}(q)$ ” by the weaker hypothesis

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \|z + g\|_X dm \geq (1 + \delta (\int_{\mathbb{T}} \|g\|_X dm)^q)^{1/q}, \quad (4)$$

then we are able to prove that the strong Davis decomposition yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{E}_{k-1} \|\Delta G_k\|_X^q)\right)^{1/q} + \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\Delta B_k\|_X\right) \leq A_q \mathbb{E}(\|F\|_X).$$

We note that for scalar valued analytic functions, when  $X = \mathbb{C}$ , the hypothesis “ $\mathcal{H}(q)$ ” hold true with  $q = 2$ .

**Recall the Iteration Lemma: If**

$$\mathbb{E}(M_{k-1}^2 + v_k^2)^{1/2} + \mathbb{E}w_k \leq \mathbb{E}M_k \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad (5)$$

then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n v_k^2\right)^{1/2} + \mathbb{E}\sum_{k=1}^n w_k \leq 2\sqrt{\mathbb{E}M_n \mathbb{E}\max_{k \leq n} M_k} \quad (6)$$

(All random variables are non-negative, integrable)

For  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ , and  $A, B \geq 0$ ,

$$Bs \leq s^2A + (A^2 + B^2)^{1/2} - A. \quad (7)$$

Let  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ . Choose bounded functions  $0 \leq s_k \leq \epsilon$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2 \leq \epsilon^2$  to linearize the square function.

$$v_k s_k \leq s_k^2 M_{k-1} + (M_{k-1}^2 + v_k^2)^{1/2} - M_{k-1} \quad (8)$$

Integrate

$$\mathbb{E}(v_k s_k) \leq \mathbb{E}(s_k^2 M_{k-1}) + \mathbb{E}(M_{k-1}^2 + v_k^2)^{1/2} - \mathbb{E}M_{k-1}.$$

Use hypothesis for  $\mathbb{E}(M_{k-1}^2 + v_k^2)^{1/2}$ .

$$\mathbb{E}(v_k s_k) \leq \mathbb{E}(s_k^2 M_{k-1}) + \mathbb{E}M_k - \mathbb{E}M_{k-1} - \mathbb{E}w_k.$$

Sum over  $k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n v_k s_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}w_k &\leq \mathbb{E}M_n + \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2 M_{k-1}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}M_n + \epsilon^2 \mathbb{E} \max_{k \leq n} M_{k-1} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2 \leq \epsilon^2$ ,

$$\epsilon \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n v_k^2\right)^{1/2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}w_k \leq \mathbb{E}M_n + \epsilon^2 \mathbb{E} \max_{k \leq n} M_{k-1}.$$

Divide by  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ , with

$$\epsilon^2 = (\mathbb{E}M_n)(\mathbb{E} \max_{k \leq n} M_k)^{-1}.$$