

Singularities and positivity

Piotr Pragacz

pragacz@impan.pl

IM PAN Warszawa

Pioneering results on positivity

Griffiths' program (1969): Find positive polynomials for ample vector bundles.

Pioneering results on positivity

Griffiths' program (1969): Find positive polynomials for ample vector bundles.

Let c_1, c_2, \dots be variables with $\deg(c_i) = i$.

Pioneering results on positivity

Griffiths' program (1969): Find positive polynomials for ample vector bundles.

Let c_1, c_2, \dots be variables with $\deg(c_i) = i$.

Fix $n, e \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $P(c_1, \dots, c_e)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree n .

Pioneering results on positivity

Griffiths' program (1969): Find positive polynomials for ample vector bundles.

Let c_1, c_2, \dots be variables with $\deg(c_i) = i$.

Fix $n, e \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $P(c_1, \dots, c_e)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree n .

We say that P is positive for ample vector bundles, if for every n -dimensional projective variety X

Pioneering results on positivity

Griffiths' program (1969): Find positive polynomials for ample vector bundles.

Let c_1, c_2, \dots be variables with $\deg(c_i) = i$.

Fix $n, e \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $P(c_1, \dots, c_e)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree n .

We say that P is positive for ample vector bundles, if for every n -dimensional projective variety X and any ample vector bundle of rank e on X ,
 $\deg(P(c_1(E), \dots, c_e(E))) > 0$.

Pioneering results on positivity

Griffiths' program (1969): Find positive polynomials for ample vector bundles.

Let c_1, c_2, \dots be variables with $\deg(c_i) = i$.

Fix $n, e \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $P(c_1, \dots, c_e)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree n .

We say that P is positive for ample vector bundles, if for every n -dimensional projective variety X and any ample vector bundle of rank e on X , $\deg(P(c_1(E), \dots, c_e(E))) > 0$.

Computations of Griffiths: $c_1, c_2, c_1^2 - c_2$.

Pioneering results on positivity

Griffiths' program (1969): Find positive polynomials for ample vector bundles.

Let c_1, c_2, \dots be variables with $\deg(c_i) = i$.

Fix $n, e \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $P(c_1, \dots, c_e)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree n .

We say that P is positive for ample vector bundles, if for every n -dimensional projective variety X and any ample vector bundle of rank e on X , $\deg(P(c_1(E), \dots, c_e(E))) > 0$.

Computations of Griffiths: $c_1, c_2, c_1^2 - c_2$.

red herring: it was thought that $c_1^2 - 2c_2$ is positive but is not.

Kleiman: polynomials that are positive for ample

Kleiman: polynomials that are positive for ample vector bundles on surfaces are nonnegative combinations of c_2 and $c_1^2 - c_2$.

Kleiman: polynomials that are positive for ample vector bundles on surfaces are nonnegative combinations of c_2 and $c_1^2 - c_2$.

Bloch-Gieseker: c_n is always positive; important link to Hard Lefschetz Theorem.

Kleiman: polynomials that are positive for ample vector bundles on surfaces are nonnegative combinations of c_2 and $c_1^2 - c_2$.

Bloch-Gieseker: c_n is always positive; important link to Hard Lefschetz Theorem.

Fulton-Lazarsfeld showed that a polynomial is positive

Kleiman: polynomials that are positive for ample vector bundles on surfaces are nonnegative combinations of c_2 and $c_1^2 - c_2$.

Bloch-Gieseker: c_n is always positive; important link to Hard Lefschetz Theorem.

Fulton-Lazarsfeld showed that a polynomial is positive iff its coefficients in the basis of Schur polynomials are nonnegative.

Kleiman: polynomials that are positive for ample vector bundles on surfaces are nonnegative combinations of c_2 and $c_1^2 - c_2$.

Bloch-Gieseker: c_n is always positive; important link to Hard Lefschetz Theorem.

Fulton-Lazarsfeld showed that a polynomial is positive iff its coefficients in the basis of Schur polynomials are nonnegative.

$$n = 3 \quad c_3, \quad c_2c_1 - c_3, \quad c_1^3 - 2c_2c_1 + c_3.$$

Kleiman: polynomials that are positive for ample vector bundles on surfaces are nonnegative combinations of c_2 and $c_1^2 - c_2$.

Bloch-Gieseker: c_n is always positive; important link to Hard Lefschetz Theorem.

Fulton-Lazarsfeld showed that a polynomial is positive iff its coefficients in the basis of Schur polynomials are nonnegative.

$$n = 3 \quad c_3, \quad c_2c_1 - c_3, \quad c_1^3 - 2c_2c_1 + c_3.$$

For globally generated bundles, a very closed result was obtained by Usui-Tango.

Kleiman: polynomials that are positive for ample vector bundles on surfaces are nonnegative combinations of c_2 and $c_1^2 - c_2$.

Bloch-Gieseker: c_n is always positive; important link to Hard Lefschetz Theorem.

Fulton-Lazarsfeld showed that a polynomial is positive iff its coefficients in the basis of Schur polynomials are nonnegative.

$$n = 3 \quad c_3, \quad c_2c_1 - c_3, \quad c_1^3 - 2c_2c_1 + c_3.$$

For globally generated bundles, a very closed result was obtained by Usui-Tango.

Whenever we speak about the classes of algebraic cycles, we always mean their *Poincaré dual classes* in cohomology.

Thom polynomial

Let Σ be an algebraic right-left invariant set in $\mathcal{J}^k(\mathbf{C}_0^m, \mathbf{C}_0^n)$.

Thom polynomial

Let Σ be an algebraic right-left invariant set in $\mathcal{J}^k(\mathbf{C}_0^m, \mathbf{C}_0^n)$.

Then there exists a universal polynomial \mathcal{T}^Σ over \mathbf{Z}

Thom polynomial

Let Σ be an algebraic right-left invariant set in $\mathcal{J}^k(\mathbf{C}_0^m, \mathbf{C}_0^n)$.

Then there exists a universal polynomial \mathcal{T}^Σ over \mathbf{Z}

in $m + n$ variables which depends only on Σ , m and n

Thom polynomial

Let Σ be an algebraic right-left invariant set in $\mathcal{J}^k(\mathbf{C}_0^m, \mathbf{C}_0^n)$.

Then there exists a universal polynomial \mathcal{T}^Σ over \mathbf{Z}

in $m + n$ variables which depends only on Σ , m and n

s.t. for any manifolds M^m , N^n and general map $f : M \rightarrow N$

Thom polynomial

Let Σ be an algebraic right-left invariant set in $\mathcal{J}^k(\mathbf{C}_0^m, \mathbf{C}_0^n)$.

Then there exists a universal polynomial \mathcal{T}^Σ over \mathbf{Z}

in $m + n$ variables which depends only on Σ , m and n

s.t. for any manifolds M^m , N^n and general map $f : M \rightarrow N$

the class of $\Sigma(f) = f_k^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is equal to

Thom polynomial

Let Σ be an algebraic right-left invariant set in $\mathcal{J}^k(\mathbf{C}_0^m, \mathbf{C}_0^n)$.

Then there exists a universal polynomial \mathcal{T}^Σ over \mathbf{Z}

in $m + n$ variables which depends only on Σ , m and n

s.t. for any manifolds M^m , N^n and general map $f : M \rightarrow N$

the class of $\Sigma(f) = f_k^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is equal to

$$\mathcal{T}^\Sigma(c_1(M), \dots, c_m(M), f^*c_1(N), \dots, f^*c_n(N)).$$

Thom polynomial

Let Σ be an algebraic right-left invariant set in $\mathcal{J}^k(\mathbf{C}_0^m, \mathbf{C}_0^n)$.

Then there exists a universal polynomial \mathcal{T}^Σ over \mathbf{Z}

in $m + n$ variables which depends only on Σ , m and n

s.t. for any manifolds M^m , N^n and general map $f : M \rightarrow N$

the class of $\Sigma(f) = f_k^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is equal to

$$\mathcal{T}^\Sigma(c_1(M), \dots, c_m(M), f^*c_1(N), \dots, f^*c_n(N)).$$

where $f_k : M \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^k(M, N)$ is the k -jet extension of f .

Thom polynomial

Let Σ be an algebraic right-left invariant set in $\mathcal{J}^k(\mathbf{C}_0^m, \mathbf{C}_0^n)$.

Then there exists a universal polynomial \mathcal{T}^Σ over \mathbf{Z}

in $m + n$ variables which depends only on Σ , m and n

s.t. for any manifolds M^m , N^n and general map $f : M \rightarrow N$

the class of $\Sigma(f) = f_k^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is equal to

$$\mathcal{T}^\Sigma(c_1(M), \dots, c_m(M), f^*c_1(N), \dots, f^*c_n(N)).$$

where $f_k : M \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^k(M, N)$ is the k -jet extension of f .

If a *singularity class* Σ is “stable” (e.g. closed under the contact equivalence), then \mathcal{T}^Σ depends on $c_i(TM - f^*TN)$.

Classifying spaces of singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Classifying spaces of singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

$\text{Aut}_n :=$ group of k -jets of automorphisms of $(\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$.

Classifying spaces of singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

$\text{Aut}_n :=$ group of k -jets of automorphisms of $(\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$.

$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}^k(m, n) :=$ space of k -jets of $(\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$.

Classifying spaces of singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

$\text{Aut}_n :=$ group of k -jets of automorphisms of $(\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$.

$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}^k(m, n) :=$ space of k -jets of $(\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$.

$$G := \text{Aut}_m \times \text{Aut}_n.$$

Classifying spaces of singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

$\text{Aut}_n :=$ group of k -jets of automorphisms of $(\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$.

$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}^k(m, n) :=$ space of k -jets of $(\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$.

$$G := \text{Aut}_m \times \text{Aut}_n.$$

Consider the universal principal G -bundle $EG \rightarrow BG$, such that

Classifying spaces of singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

$\text{Aut}_n :=$ group of k -jets of automorphisms of $(\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$.

$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}^k(m, n) :=$ space of k -jets of $(\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$.

$$G := \text{Aut}_m \times \text{Aut}_n.$$

Consider the universal principal G -bundle $EG \rightarrow BG$, such that every principal G -bundle $E \rightarrow B$ is the pull-back via a map $B \rightarrow BG$.

Classifying spaces of singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

$\text{Aut}_n :=$ group of k -jets of automorphisms of $(\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$.

$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}^k(m, n) :=$ space of k -jets of $(\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$.

$$G := \text{Aut}_m \times \text{Aut}_n.$$

Consider the universal principal G -bundle $EG \rightarrow BG$, such that every principal G -bundle $E \rightarrow B$ is the pull-back via a map $B \rightarrow BG$.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}} := \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(m, n) = EG \times_G \mathcal{J}.$$

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}$ be a *singularity class*, i.e. an analytic closed G -invariant subset.

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}$ be a *singularity class*, i.e. an analytic closed G -invariant subset.

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := EG \times_G \Sigma \subset \tilde{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}$ be a *singularity class*, i.e. an analytic closed G -invariant subset.

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := EG \times_G \Sigma \subset \tilde{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}^\Sigma \in H^{2 \operatorname{codim}(\Sigma)}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbf{Z})$ be the class of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Since

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}$ be a *singularity class*, i.e. an analytic closed G -invariant subset.

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := EG \times_G \Sigma \subset \tilde{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}^\Sigma \in H^{2 \operatorname{codim}(\Sigma)}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbf{Z})$ be the class of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Since

$$H^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(BG, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(BGL_m \times BGL_n, \mathbf{Z}),$$

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}$ be a *singularity class*, i.e. an analytic closed G -invariant subset.

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := EG \times_G \Sigma \subset \tilde{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}^\Sigma \in H^{2 \operatorname{codim}(\Sigma)}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbf{Z})$ be the class of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Since

$$H^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(BG, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(BGL_m \times BGL_n, \mathbf{Z}),$$

\mathcal{T}^Σ is identified with a polynomial in c_1, \dots, c_m and c'_1, \dots, c'_n

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}$ be a *singularity class*, i.e. an analytic closed G -invariant subset.

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := EG \times_G \Sigma \subset \tilde{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}^\Sigma \in H^{2 \operatorname{codim}(\Sigma)}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbf{Z})$ be the class of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Since

$$H^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(BG, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(BGL_m \times BGL_n, \mathbf{Z}),$$

\mathcal{T}^Σ is identified with a polynomial in c_1, \dots, c_m and c'_1, \dots, c'_n which are the Chern classes of universal bundles R_m and R_n on BGL_m and BGL_n :

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}$ be a *singularity class*, i.e. an analytic closed G -invariant subset.

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := EG \times_G \Sigma \subset \tilde{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}^\Sigma \in H^{2 \operatorname{codim}(\Sigma)}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbf{Z})$ be the class of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Since

$$H^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(BG, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(BGL_m \times BGL_n, \mathbf{Z}),$$

\mathcal{T}^Σ is identified with a polynomial in c_1, \dots, c_m and c'_1, \dots, c'_n which are the Chern classes of universal bundles R_m and R_n on BGL_m and BGL_n :

$$\mathcal{T}^\Sigma = \mathcal{T}^\Sigma(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_n).$$

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}$ be a *singularity class*, i.e. an analytic closed G -invariant subset.

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := EG \times_G \Sigma \subset \tilde{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}^\Sigma \in H^{2 \operatorname{codim}(\Sigma)}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbf{Z})$ be the class of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Since

$$H^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(BG, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(BGL_m \times BGL_n, \mathbf{Z}),$$

\mathcal{T}^Σ is identified with a polynomial in c_1, \dots, c_m and c'_1, \dots, c'_n which are the Chern classes of universal bundles R_m and R_n on BGL_m and BGL_n :

$$\mathcal{T}^\Sigma = \mathcal{T}^\Sigma(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_n).$$

(R_m “parametrizes” TM for $\dim M = m$, similarly for R_n .)

Singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$. By a *singularity* we mean an equivalence class

Singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$. By a *singularity* we mean an equivalence class of stable germs $(\mathbf{C}^\bullet, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{\bullet+k}, 0)$, under the equivalence

Singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$. By a *singularity* we mean an equivalence class of stable germs $(\mathbf{C}^\bullet, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{\bullet+k}, 0)$, under the equivalence generated by the right-left equivalence and suspension.

Singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$. By a *singularity* we mean an equivalence class of stable germs $(\mathbf{C}^\bullet, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{\bullet+k}, 0)$, under the equivalence generated by the right-left equivalence and suspension.

$$\{\text{singularities}\} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \{\text{finite dim'l. } \mathbf{C} - \text{algebras}\}$$

Singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$. By a *singularity* we mean an equivalence class of stable germs $(\mathbf{C}^\bullet, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{\bullet+k}, 0)$, under the equivalence generated by the right-left equivalence and suspension.

$$\{\text{singularities}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{finite dim'l. } \mathbf{C} - \text{algebras}\}$$

$$A_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C}[[x]]/(x^{i+1}), \quad i \geq 0$$

Singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$. By a *singularity* we mean an equivalence class of stable germs $(\mathbf{C}^\bullet, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{\bullet+k}, 0)$, under the equivalence generated by the right-left equivalence and suspension.

$$\{\text{singularities}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{finite dim'l. } \mathbf{C} - \text{algebras}\}$$

$$A_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C}[[x]]/(x^{i+1}), \quad i \geq 0$$

$$I_{a,b} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^a + y^b), \quad b \geq a \geq 2$$

Singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$. By a *singularity* we mean an equivalence class of stable germs $(\mathbf{C}^\bullet, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{\bullet+k}, 0)$, under the equivalence generated by the right-left equivalence and suspension.

$$\{\text{singularities}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{finite dim'l. } \mathbf{C} - \text{algebras}\}$$

$$A_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C}[[x]]/(x^{i+1}), \quad i \geq 0$$

$$I_{a,b} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^a + y^b), \quad b \geq a \geq 2$$

$$III_{a,b} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^a, y^b), \quad b \geq a \geq 2$$

Singularities

Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$. By a *singularity* we mean an equivalence class of stable germs $(\mathbf{C}^\bullet, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{\bullet+k}, 0)$, under the equivalence generated by the right-left equivalence and suspension.

$$\{\text{singularities}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{finite dim'l. } \mathbf{C} - \text{algebras}\}$$

$$A_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C}[[x]]/(x^{i+1}), \quad i \geq 0$$

$$I_{a,b} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^a + y^b), \quad b \geq a \geq 2$$

$$III_{a,b} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^a, y^b), \quad b \geq a \geq 2$$

$A_i, k = 0$:

$$(x, u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}) \rightarrow (x^{i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} u_j x^j, u_1, \dots, u_{i-1})$$

Computing Thom polynomials

For a singularity η by \mathcal{T}^η we mean the Thom polynomial associated with the closure of the right-left orbit of its representative.

Computing Thom polynomials

For a singularity η by \mathcal{T}^η we mean the Thom polynomial associated with the closure of the right-left orbit of its representative.

Let η be a singularity with prototype

$$\kappa : (\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{m+k}, 0).$$

Computing Thom polynomials

For a singularity η by \mathcal{T}^η we mean the Thom polynomial associated with the closure of the right-left orbit of its representative.

Let η be a singularity with prototype

$$\kappa : (\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{m+k}, 0).$$

$G_\eta = \text{maximal compact subgroup of}$

$$\text{Aut } \kappa = \{(\varphi, \psi) \in \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \times \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}^{m+k}, 0) : \psi \circ \kappa \circ \varphi^{-1} = \kappa\}$$

Computing Thom polynomials

For a singularity η by \mathcal{T}^η we mean the Thom polynomial associated with the closure of the right-left orbit of its representative.

Let η be a singularity with prototype

$$\kappa : (\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{m+k}, 0).$$

$G_\eta = \text{maximal compact subgroup of}$

$$\text{Aut } \kappa = \{(\varphi, \psi) \in \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \times \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}^{m+k}, 0) : \psi \circ \kappa \circ \varphi^{-1} = \kappa\}$$

Well defined up to conjugacy; it can be chosen so that the images of its projections to the factors are *linear*.

Computing Thom polynomials

For a singularity η by \mathcal{T}^η we mean the Thom polynomial associated with the closure of the right-left orbit of its representative.

Let η be a singularity with prototype $\kappa : (\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{m+k}, 0)$.

$G_\eta = \text{maximal compact subgroup of}$

$$\text{Aut } \kappa = \{(\varphi, \psi) \in \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \times \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}^{m+k}, 0) : \psi \circ \kappa \circ \varphi^{-1} = \kappa\}$$

Well defined up to conjugacy; it can be chosen so that the images of its projections to the factors are *linear*. Its representations on the source and target will be denoted by

$$\lambda_1(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2(\eta).$$

We get the vector bundles associated with the universal

We get the vector bundles associated with the universal principal G_η -bundle $EG_\eta \rightarrow BG_\eta$ using the representations $\lambda_1(\eta)$ and $\lambda_2(\eta)$: E'_η and E_η .

We get the vector bundles associated with the universal principal G_η -bundle $EG_\eta \rightarrow BG_\eta$ using the representations $\lambda_1(\eta)$ and $\lambda_2(\eta)$: E'_η and E_η . The *Chern class* and *Euler class* of η are defined by

We get the vector bundles associated with the universal principal G_η -bundle $EG_\eta \rightarrow BG_\eta$ using the representations $\lambda_1(\eta)$ and $\lambda_2(\eta)$: E'_η and E_η . The *Chern class* and *Euler class* of η are defined by

$$c(\eta) := \frac{c(E_\eta)}{c(E'_\eta)} \quad \text{and} \quad e(\eta) := e(E'_\eta).$$

We get the vector bundles associated with the universal principal G_η -bundle $EG_\eta \rightarrow BG_\eta$ using the representations $\lambda_1(\eta)$ and $\lambda_2(\eta)$: E'_η and E_η . The *Chern class* and *Euler class* of η are defined by

$$c(\eta) := \frac{c(E_\eta)}{c(E'_\eta)} \quad \text{and} \quad e(\eta) := e(E'_\eta).$$

$$A_i, \quad \mathbf{C}[[x]]/(x^{i+1}); \quad G_\eta = U(1) \times U(k).$$

We get the vector bundles associated with the universal principal G_η -bundle $EG_\eta \rightarrow BG_\eta$ using the representations $\lambda_1(\eta)$ and $\lambda_2(\eta)$: E'_η and E_η . The *Chern class* and *Euler class* of η are defined by

$$c(\eta) := \frac{c(E_\eta)}{c(E'_\eta)} \quad \text{and} \quad e(\eta) := e(E'_\eta).$$

A_i , $\mathbf{C}[[x]]/(x^{i+1})$; $G_\eta = U(1) \times U(k)$.

$$c(A_i) = \frac{1 + (i + 1)x}{1 + x} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + y_j),$$

We get the vector bundles associated with the universal principal G_η -bundle $EG_\eta \rightarrow BG_\eta$ using the representations $\lambda_1(\eta)$ and $\lambda_2(\eta)$: E'_η and E_η . The *Chern class* and *Euler class* of η are defined by

$$c(\eta) := \frac{c(E_\eta)}{c(E'_\eta)} \quad \text{and} \quad e(\eta) := e(E'_\eta).$$

A_i , $\mathbf{C}[[x]]/(x^{i+1})$; $G_\eta = U(1) \times U(k)$.

$$c(A_i) = \frac{1 + (i+1)x}{1+x} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + y_j),$$

$$e(A_i) = i! x^i \prod_{j=1}^k (y_j - x)(y_j - 2x) \cdots (y_j - ix).$$

$$I_{2,2}, \mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^2 + y^2); G_\eta \cong U(1) \times U(1) \times U(k).$$

$I_{2,2}$, $\mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^2 + y^2)$; $G_\eta \cong U(1) \times U(1) \times U(k)$.

$$c(I_{2,2}) = \frac{(1 + 2x_1)(1 + 2x_2)}{(1 + x_1)(1 + x_2)} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + y_j),$$

$I_{2,2}, \mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^2 + y^2); G_\eta \cong U(1) \times U(1) \times U(k).$

$$c(I_{2,2}) = \frac{(1 + 2x_1)(1 + 2x_2)}{(1 + x_1)(1 + x_2)} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + y_j),$$

$$e(I_{2,2}) = x_1 x_2 (x_1 - 2x_2)(x_2 - 2x_1) \prod_{j=1}^k (y_j - x_1)(y_j - x_2)(y_j - x_1 - x_2).$$

$$I_{2,2}, \mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^2 + y^2); G_\eta \cong U(1) \times U(1) \times U(k).$$

$$c(I_{2,2}) = \frac{(1 + 2x_1)(1 + 2x_2)}{(1 + x_1)(1 + x_2)} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + y_j),$$

$$e(I_{2,2}) = x_1 x_2 (x_1 - 2x_2)(x_2 - 2x_1) \prod_{j=1}^k (y_j - x_1)(y_j - x_2)(y_j - x_1 - x_2).$$

$$III_{2,2}, \mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^2, y^2); G_\eta = U(2) \times U(k-1).$$

$I_{2,2}$, $\mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^2 + y^2)$; $G_\eta \cong U(1) \times U(1) \times U(k)$.

$$c(I_{2,2}) = \frac{(1 + 2x_1)(1 + 2x_2)}{(1 + x_1)(1 + x_2)} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + y_j),$$

$$e(I_{2,2}) = x_1 x_2 (x_1 - 2x_2)(x_2 - 2x_1) \prod_{j=1}^k (y_j - x_1)(y_j - x_2)(y_j - x_1 - x_2).$$

$III_{2,2}$, $\mathbf{C}[[x, y]]/(xy, x^2, y^2)$; $G_\eta = U(2) \times U(k-1)$.

$$c(III_{2,2}) = \frac{(1+2x_1)(1+2x_2)(1+x_1+x_2)}{(1+x_1)(1+x_2)} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (1 + y_j).$$

Fix a singularity η .

Fix a singularity η . Assume that the number of singularities of codimension $\leq \text{codim } \eta$ is *finite*.

Fix a singularity η . Assume that the number of singularities of codimension $\leq \text{codim } \eta$ is finite. Suppose that the Euler classes of all singularities of smaller codimension than $\text{codim}(\eta)$, are not zero-divisors. Then

Fix a singularity η . Assume that the number of singularities of codimension $\leq \text{codim } \eta$ is finite. Suppose that the Euler classes of all singularities of smaller codimension than $\text{codim}(\eta)$, are not zero-divisors. Then

(i) if $\xi \neq \eta$ and $\text{codim}(\xi) \leq \text{codim}(\eta)$, then $\mathcal{T}^\eta(c(\xi)) = 0$;

Fix a singularity η . Assume that the number of singularities of codimension $\leq \text{codim } \eta$ is finite. Suppose that the Euler classes of all singularities of smaller codimension than $\text{codim}(\eta)$, are not zero-divisors. Then

- (i) if $\xi \neq \eta$ and $\text{codim}(\xi) \leq \text{codim}(\eta)$, then $\mathcal{T}^\eta(c(\xi)) = 0$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{T}^\eta(c(\eta)) = e(\eta)$.

Fix a singularity η . Assume that the number of singularities of codimension $\leq \text{codim } \eta$ is finite. Suppose that the Euler classes of all singularities of smaller codimension than $\text{codim}(\eta)$, are not zero-divisors. Then

- (i) if $\xi \neq \eta$ and $\text{codim}(\xi) \leq \text{codim}(\eta)$, then $\mathcal{T}^\eta(c(\xi)) = 0$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{T}^\eta(c(\eta)) = e(\eta)$.

This system of equations (taken for all such ξ 's) determines the Thom polynomial \mathcal{T}^η in a unique way.

Fix a singularity η . Assume that the number of singularities of codimension $\leq \text{codim } \eta$ is finite. Suppose that the Euler classes of all singularities of smaller codimension than $\text{codim}(\eta)$, are not zero-divisors. Then

- (i) if $\xi \neq \eta$ and $\text{codim}(\xi) \leq \text{codim}(\eta)$, then $\mathcal{T}^\eta(c(\xi)) = 0$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{T}^\eta(c(\eta)) = e(\eta)$.

This system of equations (taken for all such ξ 's) determines the Thom polynomial \mathcal{T}^η in a unique way.

Notation: “shifted” parameter $r := k + 1$;

Fix a singularity η . Assume that the number of singularities of codimension $\leq \text{codim } \eta$ is finite. Suppose that the Euler classes of all singularities of smaller codimension than $\text{codim}(\eta)$, are not zero-divisors. Then

- (i) if $\xi \neq \eta$ and $\text{codim}(\xi) \leq \text{codim}(\eta)$, then $\mathcal{T}^\eta(c(\xi)) = 0$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{T}^\eta(c(\eta)) = e(\eta)$.

This system of equations (taken for all such ξ 's) determines the Thom polynomial \mathcal{T}^η in a unique way.

Notation: “shifted” parameter $r := k + 1$;
 $\eta(r) = \eta : (\mathbf{C}^\bullet, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{\bullet+r-1}, 0)$;

Fix a singularity η . Assume that the number of singularities of codimension $\leq \text{codim } \eta$ is finite. Suppose that the Euler classes of all singularities of smaller codimension than $\text{codim}(\eta)$, are not zero-divisors. Then

- (i) if $\xi \neq \eta$ and $\text{codim}(\xi) \leq \text{codim}(\eta)$, then $\mathcal{T}^\eta(c(\xi)) = 0$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{T}^\eta(c(\eta)) = e(\eta)$.

This system of equations (taken for all such ξ 's) determines the Thom polynomial \mathcal{T}^η in a unique way.

Notation: “shifted” parameter $r := k + 1$;

$$\eta(r) = \eta : (\mathbf{C}^\bullet, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{\bullet+r-1}, 0);$$

$$\mathcal{T}_r^\eta = \text{Thom polynomial of } \eta(r).$$

In the Chern class monomial basis, the expansions of Thom polynomials are not necessarily positive:

In the Chern class monomial basis, the expansions of Thom polynomials are not necessarily positive:

$$r = 1, I_{2,2}: c_2^2 - c_1c_3, I_{2,3}: 2c_1c_2^2 - c_1^2c_3 + 2c_2c_3 - 2c_1c_4$$

In the Chern class monomial basis, the expansions of Thom polynomials are not necessarily positive:

$$r = 1, I_{2,2}: c_2^2 - c_1c_3, I_{2,3}: 2c_1c_2^2 - c_1^2c_3 + 2c_2c_3 - 2c_1c_4$$

We got positive expansions in the basis of Schur functions of Thom polynomials of singularities $A_1(r), A_2(r), A_3(r), I_{2,2}(r), III_{2,3}(r), III_{3,3}(r), A_4(r), r = 1, \dots, 4$

In the Chern class monomial basis, the expansions of Thom polynomials are not necessarily positive:

$$r = 1, I_{2,2}: c_2^2 - c_1c_3, I_{2,3}: 2c_1c_2^2 - c_1^2c_3 + 2c_2c_3 - 2c_1c_4$$

We got positive expansions in the basis of Schur functions of Thom polynomials of singularities $A_1(r), A_2(r), A_3(r), I_{2,2}(r), III_{2,3}(r), III_{3,3}(r), A_4(r), r = 1, \dots, 4$

Theorem. (*PP+AW, 2006*) *Let Σ be a singularity class. Then for any partition I the coefficient α_I in*

$$\mathcal{T}^\Sigma = \sum \alpha_I S_I(T^*M - f^*T^*N),$$

is nonnegative.

In the Chern class monomial basis, the expansions of Thom polynomials are not necessarily positive:

$$r = 1, I_{2,2}: c_2^2 - c_1c_3, I_{2,3}: 2c_1c_2^2 - c_1^2c_3 + 2c_2c_3 - 2c_1c_4$$

We got positive expansions in the basis of Schur functions of Thom polynomials of singularities $A_1(r), A_2(r), A_3(r), I_{2,2}(r), III_{2,3}(r), III_{3,3}(r), A_4(r), r = 1, \dots, 4$

Theorem. (*PP+AW, 2006*) *Let Σ be a singularity class. Then for any partition I the coefficient α_I in*

$$\mathcal{T}^\Sigma = \sum \alpha_I S_I(T^*M - f^*T^*N),$$

is nonnegative.

– conjectured by Feher-Komuves (2004).

In the Chern class monomial basis, the expansions of Thom polynomials are not necessarily positive:

$$r = 1, I_{2,2}: c_2^2 - c_1c_3, I_{2,3}: 2c_1c_2^2 - c_1^2c_3 + 2c_2c_3 - 2c_1c_4$$

We got positive expansions in the basis of Schur functions of Thom polynomials of singularities $A_1(r), A_2(r), A_3(r), I_{2,2}(r), III_{2,3}(r), III_{3,3}(r), A_4(r), r = 1, \dots, 4$

Theorem. (*PP+AW, 2006*) *Let Σ be a singularity class. Then for any partition I the coefficient α_I in*

$$\mathcal{T}^\Sigma = \sum \alpha_I S_I(T^*M - f^*T^*N),$$

is nonnegative.

– conjectured by Feher-Komuves (2004).

The theorem is not obvious.

In the Chern class monomial basis, the expansions of Thom polynomials are not necessarily positive:

$$r = 1, I_{2,2}: c_2^2 - c_1c_3, I_{2,3}: 2c_1c_2^2 - c_1^2c_3 + 2c_2c_3 - 2c_1c_4$$

We got positive expansions in the basis of Schur functions of Thom polynomials of singularities $A_1(r), A_2(r), A_3(r), I_{2,2}(r), III_{2,3}(r), III_{3,3}(r), A_4(r), r = 1, \dots, 4$

Theorem. (*PP+AW, 2006*) *Let Σ be a singularity class. Then for any partition I the coefficient α_I in*

$$\mathcal{T}^\Sigma = \sum \alpha_I S_I(T^*M - f^*T^*N),$$

is nonnegative.

– conjectured by Feher-Komuves (2004).

The theorem is not obvious. But its proof is obvious.

If C is a cone in a v.b. E , $z(C, E) := s_E^*([C])$.

If C is a cone in a v.b. E , $z(C, E) := s_E^*([C])$.

If E is ample, and $\dim(C) = \text{rank}(E)$, then $\deg(z(C, E)) > 0$.

If C is a cone in a v.b. E , $z(C, E) := s_E^*([C])$.

If E is ample, and $\dim(C) = \text{rank}(E)$, then $\deg(z(C, E)) > 0$.

In the def. of Thom polynomial via classifying spaces of singularities,

If C is a cone in a v.b. E , $z(C, E) := s_E^*([C])$.

If E is ample, and $\dim(C) = \text{rank}(E)$, then $\deg(z(C, E)) > 0$.

In the def. of Thom polynomial via classifying spaces of singularities, we replace R_m and R_n on $BGL(m) \times BGL(n)$ by arbitrary vector bundles E and F on an arbitrary common base.

If C is a cone in a v.b. E , $z(C, E) := s_E^*([C])$.

If E is ample, and $\dim(C) = \text{rank}(E)$, then $\deg(z(C, E)) > 0$.

In the def. of Thom polynomial via classifying spaces of singularities, we replace R_m and R_n on $BGL(m) \times BGL(n)$ by arbitrary vector bundles E and F on an arbitrary common base.

Given Σ of codim c , we get $\Sigma(E, F)$ with class $\sum_I \alpha_I S_I(E^* - F^*)$.

If C is a cone in a v.b. E , $z(C, E) := s_E^*([C])$.

If E is ample, and $\dim(C) = \text{rank}(E)$, then $\deg(z(C, E)) > 0$.

In the def. of Thom polynomial via classifying spaces of singularities, we replace R_m and R_n on $BGL(m) \times BGL(n)$ by arbitrary vector bundles E and F on an arbitrary common base.

Given Σ of codim c , we get $\Sigma(E, F)$ with class $\sum_I \alpha_I S_I(E^* - F^*)$.

We specialize: X proj. of dim c , E trivial, F ample.

If C is a cone in a v.b. E , $z(C, E) := s_{E^*}([C])$.

If E is ample, and $\dim(C) = \text{rank}(E)$, then $\deg(z(C, E)) > 0$.

In the def. of Thom polynomial via classifying spaces of singularities, we replace R_m and R_n on $BGL(m) \times BGL(n)$ by arbitrary vector bundles E and F on an arbitrary common base.

Given Σ of codim c , we get $\Sigma(E, F)$ with class $\sum_I \alpha_I S_I(E^* - F^*)$.

We specialize: X proj. of dim c , E trivial, F ample.

$\Sigma(E, F)$ is a cone in $\mathcal{J}(E, F)$ and

$$z(\Sigma(E, F), \mathcal{J}(E, F)) = \sum_I \alpha_I S_I(E^* - F^*) = \sum_I \alpha_I S_{I \sim}(F).$$

If C is a cone in a v.b. E , $z(C, E) := s_{E^*}([C])$.

If E is ample, and $\dim(C) = \text{rank}(E)$, then $\deg(z(C, E)) > 0$.

In the def. of Thom polynomial via classifying spaces of singularities, we replace R_m and R_n on $BGL(m) \times BGL(n)$ by arbitrary vector bundles E and F on an arbitrary common base.

Given Σ of codim c , we get $\Sigma(E, F)$ with class $\sum_I \alpha_I S_I(E^* - F^*)$.

We specialize: X proj. of dim c , E trivial, F ample.

$\Sigma(E, F)$ is a cone in $\mathcal{J}(E, F)$ and

$$z(\Sigma(E, F), \mathcal{J}(E, F)) = \sum_I \alpha_I S_I(E^* - F^*) = \sum_I \alpha_I S_{I \sim}(F).$$

Since $\mathcal{J}(E, F) = F^N$ is ample, the latter polynomial is positive for ample v.b., so is a positive combination of Schur polynomials.

Klyachko and, independently, Kazarian proposed after another, even “more obvious” proof:

Klyachko and, independently, Kazarian proposed after another, even “more obvious” proof:

Using some Veronese map, “materialize” all singularity classes in sufficiently large Grassmannians; to write down all details will be a good subject for a Master Thesis.

Klyachko and, independently, Kazarian proposed after another, even “more obvious” proof:

Using some Veronese map, “materialize” all singularity classes in sufficiently large Grassmannians; to write down all details will be a good subject for a Master Thesis.

Fix a singularity class Σ and take the Schur expansion of \mathcal{T}^Σ .

Klyachko and, independently, Kazarian proposed after another, even “more obvious” proof:

Using some Veronese map, “materialize” all singularity classes in sufficiently large Grassmannians; to write down all details will be a good subject for a Master Thesis.

Fix a singularity class Σ and take the Schur expansion of \mathcal{T}^Σ . Take sufficiently large Grassmannian containing Σ and such that specializing

Klyachko and, independently, Kazarian proposed after another, even “more obvious” proof:

Using some Veronese map, “materialize” all singularity classes in sufficiently large Grassmannians; to write down all details will be a good subject for a Master Thesis.

Fix a singularity class Σ and take the Schur expansion of \mathcal{T}^Σ . Take sufficiently large Grassmannian containing Σ and such that specializing \mathcal{T}^Σ in the tautological bundle Q , we do not lose any Schur summand.

Klyachko and, independently, Kazarian proposed after another, even “more obvious” proof:

Using some Veronese map, “materialize” all singularity classes in sufficiently large Grassmannians; to write down all details will be a good subject for a Master Thesis.

Fix a singularity class Σ and take the Schur expansion of \mathcal{T}^Σ . Take sufficiently large Grassmannian containing Σ and such that specializing \mathcal{T}^Σ in the tautological bundle Q , we do not lose any Schur summand.

Identify – by the Giambelli formula – a Schur polynomial of Q with a Schubert cycle in the Grassmannian.

Klyachko and, independently, Kazarian proposed after another, even “more obvious” proof:

Using some Veronese map, “materialize” all singularity classes in sufficiently large Grassmannians; to write down all details will be a good subject for a Master Thesis.

Fix a singularity class Σ and take the Schur expansion of \mathcal{T}^Σ . Take sufficiently large Grassmannian containing Σ and such that specializing \mathcal{T}^Σ in the tautological bundle Q , we do not lose any Schur summand.

Identify – by the Giambelli formula – a Schur polynomial of Q with a Schubert cycle in the Grassmannian.

To test a coefficient, intersect $[\Sigma]$ with the corresponding dual Schubert cycle.

Klyachko and, independently, Kazarian proposed after another, even “more obvious” proof:

Using some Veronese map, “materialize” all singularity classes in sufficiently large Grassmannians; to write down all details will be a good subject for a Master Thesis.

Fix a singularity class Σ and take the Schur expansion of \mathcal{T}^Σ . Take sufficiently large Grassmannian containing Σ and such that specializing \mathcal{T}^Σ in the tautological bundle Q , we do not lose any Schur summand.

Identify – by the Giambelli formula – a Schur polynomial of Q with a Schubert cycle in the Grassmannian.

To test a coefficient, intersect $[\Sigma]$ with the corresponding dual Schubert cycle.

By the Bertini-Kleiman theorem, put the cycles in a general position, so that

Klyachko and, independently, Kazarian proposed after another, even “more obvious” proof:

Using some Veronese map, “materialize” all singularity classes in sufficiently large Grassmannians; to write down all details will be a good subject for a Master Thesis.

Fix a singularity class Σ and take the Schur expansion of \mathcal{T}^Σ . Take sufficiently large Grassmannian containing Σ and such that specializing \mathcal{T}^Σ in the tautological bundle Q , we do not lose any Schur summand.

Identify – by the Giambelli formula – a Schur polynomial of Q with a Schubert cycle in the Grassmannian.

To test a coefficient, intersect $[\Sigma]$ with the corresponding dual Schubert cycle.

By the Bertini-Kleiman theorem, put the cycles in a general position, so that we can reduce to set-theoretic intersection, which is nonnegative.

$$R(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) := \prod_{a \in \mathbb{A}, b \in \mathbb{B}} (a - b).$$

$$R(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) := \prod_{a \in \mathbb{A}, b \in \mathbb{B}} (a-b).$$

Factorization: For partitions $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$,

$$R(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) := \prod_{a \in \mathbb{A}, b \in \mathbb{B}} (a-b).$$

Factorization: For partitions $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$, consider the partition

$$(j_1, \dots, j_k, i_1 + n, \dots, i_m + n).$$

$$R(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) := \prod_{a \in \mathbb{A}, b \in \mathbb{B}} (a - b).$$

Factorization: For partitions $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$, consider the partition

$$(j_1, \dots, j_k, i_1 + n, \dots, i_m + n).$$

We have

$$S_{(j_1, \dots, j_k, i_1 + n, \dots, i_m + n)}(\mathbb{A}_m - \mathbb{B}_n) = S_I(\mathbb{A}) R(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) S_J(-\mathbb{B}).$$

$$R(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) := \prod_{a \in \mathbb{A}, b \in \mathbb{B}} (a-b).$$

Factorization: For partitions $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$, consider the partition

$$(j_1, \dots, j_k, i_1 + n, \dots, i_m + n).$$

We have

$$S_{(j_1, \dots, j_k, i_1 + n, \dots, i_m + n)}(\mathbb{A}_m - \mathbb{B}_n) = S_I(\mathbb{A}) R(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) S_J(-\mathbb{B}).$$

- discovered by Berele-Regev in their study of polynomial characters of Lie superalgebras; particular cases known to 19th century algebraists: Pomey etc.

Singularity $I_{2,2}(r)$, $\text{codim}(I_{2,2}(r)) = 3r + 1$.

Singularity $I_{2,2}(r)$, $\text{codim}(I_{2,2}(r)) = 3r + 1$. $\mathcal{T}_1 = S_{22}$
(Porteous 1971). So assume that $r \geq 2$.

Equations characterizing the Thom polynomial: A_0, A_1, A_2 :

$$\mathcal{T}_r(-\mathbb{B}_{r-1}) = \mathcal{T}_r(x - \boxed{2x} - \mathbb{B}_{r-1}) = \mathcal{T}_r(x - \boxed{3x} - \mathbb{B}_{r-1}) = 0,$$

Singularity $I_{2,2}(r)$, $\text{codim}(I_{2,2}(r)) = 3r + 1$. $\mathcal{T}_1 = S_{22}$
(Porteous 1971). So assume that $r \geq 2$.

Equations characterizing the Thom polynomial: A_0, A_1, A_2 :

$$\mathcal{T}_r(-\mathbb{B}_{r-1}) = \mathcal{T}_r(x - \boxed{2x} - \mathbb{B}_{r-1}) = \mathcal{T}_r(x - \boxed{3x} - \mathbb{B}_{r-1}) = 0,$$

$I_{2,2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_r(\mathbb{X}_2 - \boxed{2x_1} - \boxed{2x_2} - \mathbb{B}_{r-1}) &= \\ &= x_1 x_2 (x_1 - 2x_2)(x_2 - 2x_1) R(\mathbb{X}_2 + \boxed{x_1 + x_2}, \mathbb{B}_{r-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Introduce the alphabet:

$$\mathbb{D} := \boxed{2x_1} + \boxed{2x_2} + \boxed{x_1 + x_2}$$

Introduce the alphabet:

$$\mathbb{D} := \boxed{2x_1} + \boxed{2x_2} + \boxed{x_1 + x_2}$$

$III_{2,2}$:

$$\mathcal{T}_r(\mathbb{X}_2 - \mathbb{D} - \mathbb{B}_{r-2}) = 0$$

Introduce the alphabet:

$$\mathbb{D} := \boxed{2x_1} + \boxed{2x_2} + \boxed{x_1 + x_2}$$

$III_{2,2}$:

$$\mathcal{T}_r(\mathbb{X}_2 - \mathbb{D} - \mathbb{B}_{r-2}) = 0$$

(The variables here correspond now to the Chern roots of the *cotangent* bundles).

Goal: give a presentation of \mathcal{T}_r as a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of Schur functions

Goal: give a presentation of \mathcal{T}_r as a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of Schur functions with *explicit* algebraic expressions of the coefficients.

Goal: give a presentation of \mathcal{T}_r as a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of Schur functions with *explicit* algebraic expressions of the coefficients.

Lemma. *A partition appearing in the Schur function expansion of \mathcal{T}_r contains $(r + 1, r + 1)$ and has at most three parts.*

Goal: give a presentation of \mathcal{T}_r as a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of Schur functions with *explicit* algebraic expressions of the coefficients.

Lemma. *A partition appearing in the Schur function expansion of \mathcal{T}_r contains $(r + 1, r + 1)$ and has at most three parts.*

Linear endomorphism $\Phi: S_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \mapsto S_{i_1+1, i_2+1, i_3+1}$.

Goal: give a presentation of \mathcal{T}_r as a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of Schur functions with *explicit* algebraic expressions of the coefficients.

Lemma. *A partition appearing in the Schur function expansion of \mathcal{T}_r contains $(r + 1, r + 1)$ and has at most three parts.*

Linear endomorphism $\Phi: S_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \mapsto S_{i_1+1, i_2+1, i_3+1}$.

$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_r =$ sum of terms “ $\alpha_{ij} S_{ij}$ ” in \mathcal{T}_r .

Goal: give a presentation of \mathcal{T}_r as a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of Schur functions with *explicit* algebraic expressions of the coefficients.

Lemma. *A partition appearing in the Schur function expansion of \mathcal{T}_r contains $(r + 1, r + 1)$ and has at most three parts.*

Linear endomorphism $\Phi: S_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \mapsto S_{i_1+1, i_2+1, i_3+1}$.

$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_r =$ sum of terms “ $\alpha_{ij} S_{ij}$ ” in \mathcal{T}_r .

Lemma. $\mathcal{T}_r = \overline{\mathcal{T}}_r + \Phi(\mathcal{T}_{r-1})$.

Proposition. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_r(\mathbb{X}_2) = (x_1x_2)^{r+1} S_{r-1}(\mathbb{D})$.

Proposition. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_r(\mathbb{X}_2) = (x_1x_2)^{r+1} S_{r-1}(\mathbb{D})$.

$S_{r-1}(\mathbb{D}) = s_{r-1}(\text{Sym}^2(E))$, the *Segre class*, $\text{rank}(E) = 2$.

Proposition. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_r(\mathbb{X}_2) = (x_1 x_2)^{r+1} S_{r-1}(\mathbb{D})$.

$S_{r-1}(\mathbb{D}) = s_{r-1}(\text{Sym}^2(E))$, the *Segre class*, $\text{rank}(E) = 2$.

Complete quadrics: Schubert, Giambelli (19th century);
renewal: De Concini-Procesi, Laksov, Vainsencher, Lascoux,
Thorup (in the 80's)

Proposition. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_r(\mathbb{X}_2) = (x_1 x_2)^{r+1} S_{r-1}(\mathbb{D})$.

$S_{r-1}(\mathbb{D}) = s_{r-1}(\text{Sym}^2(E))$, the *Segre class*, $\text{rank}(E) = 2$.

Complete quadrics: Schubert, Giambelli (19th century);
renewal: De Concini-Procesi, Laksov, Vainsencher, Lascoux,
Thorup (in the 80's)

Chern numbers of symmetric degeneracy loci; PP, 1988

Proposition. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_r(\mathbb{X}_2) = (x_1 x_2)^{r+1} S_{r-1}(\mathbb{D})$.

$S_{r-1}(\mathbb{D}) = s_{r-1}(\text{Sym}^2(E))$, the Segre class, $\text{rank}(E) = 2$.

Complete quadrics: Schubert, Giambelli (19th century);
renewal: De Concini-Procesi, Laksov, Vainsencher, Lascoux,
Thorup (in the 80's)

Chern numbers of symmetric degeneracy loci; PP, 1988

The Segre class $s_{r-1}(\text{Sym}^2(E))$ is:

$$\sum_{p \leq q, p+q=r-1} \left[\binom{r}{p+1} + \binom{r}{p+2} + \cdots + \binom{r}{q+1} \right] S_{p,q}(E).$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_2 = 3S_{34}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_2 = 3S_{34}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \Phi(\mathcal{T}_1) + \overline{\mathcal{T}}_2 = \Phi(S_{22}) + 3S_{34} = S_{133} + 3S_{34}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_2 = 3S_{34}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \Phi(\mathcal{T}_1) + \overline{\mathcal{T}}_2 = \Phi(S_{22}) + 3S_{34} = S_{133} + 3S_{34}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_3 = 7S_{46} + 3S_{55}, \text{ etc.}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_2 = 3S_{34}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \Phi(\mathcal{T}_1) + \overline{\mathcal{T}}_2 = \Phi(S_{22}) + 3S_{34} = S_{133} + 3S_{34}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_3 = 7S_{46} + 3S_{55}, \text{ etc.}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_3 = \Phi(\mathcal{T}_2) + \overline{\mathcal{T}}_3 = \Phi(S_{133} + 3S_{34}) + \overline{\mathcal{T}}_3 = S_{244} + 3S_{145} + 7S_{46} + 3S_{55}, \text{ etc.}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_2 = 3S_{34}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \Phi(\mathcal{T}_1) + \bar{\mathcal{T}}_2 = \Phi(S_{22}) + 3S_{34} = S_{133} + 3S_{34}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_3 = 7S_{46} + 3S_{55}, \text{ etc.}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_3 = \Phi(\mathcal{T}_2) + \bar{\mathcal{T}}_3 = \Phi(S_{133} + 3S_{34}) + \bar{\mathcal{T}}_3 = S_{244} + 3S_{145} + 7S_{46} + 3S_{55}, \text{ etc.}$$

One gets a depending on “ r ” expression: $\mathcal{T}_r^{I_{2,2}} = \sum \alpha_I S_I$

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_2 = 3S_{34}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \Phi(\mathcal{T}_1) + \overline{\mathcal{T}}_2 = \Phi(S_{22}) + 3S_{34} = S_{133} + 3S_{34}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_3 = 7S_{46} + 3S_{55}, \text{ etc.}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_3 = \Phi(\mathcal{T}_2) + \overline{\mathcal{T}}_3 = \Phi(S_{133} + 3S_{34}) + \overline{\mathcal{T}}_3 = S_{244} + 3S_{145} + 7S_{46} + 3S_{55}, \text{ etc.}$$

One gets a depending on “ r ” expression: $\mathcal{T}_r^{I_{2,2}} = \sum \alpha_I S_I$

Theorem. (PP, 1988) *Let η be of Thom-Boardman type Σ^i, \dots . Then all summands in the Schur function expansion of \mathcal{T}_r^η are indexed by partitions containing the rectangle partition $(r+i-1, \dots, r+i-1)$ (i times).*

Lagrangian Thom polynomials

Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold in the linear symplectic space $V = W \oplus W^*$

Lagrangian Thom polynomials

Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold in the linear symplectic space $V = W \oplus W^*$ equipped with the standard symplectic form.

Lagrangian Thom polynomials

Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold in the linear symplectic space $V = W \oplus W^*$ equipped with the standard symplectic form.

Classically, in real symplectic geometry, the *Maslov class* is represented by the cycle

Lagrangian Thom polynomials

Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold in the linear symplectic space $V = W \oplus W^*$ equipped with the standard symplectic form.

Classically, in real symplectic geometry, the *Maslov class* is represented by the cycle

$$\Sigma = \{x \in L : \dim(T_x L \cap W^*) > 0\}.$$

Lagrangian Thom polynomials

Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold in the linear symplectic space $V = W \oplus W^*$ equipped with the standard symplectic form.

Classically, in real symplectic geometry, the *Maslov class* is represented by the cycle

$$\Sigma = \{x \in L : \dim(T_x L \cap W^*) > 0\}.$$

This cycle is the locus of singularities of $L \rightarrow W$. Its cohomology class is integral, and mod 2 equals $w_1(T^*L)$.

Lagrangian Thom polynomials

Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold in the linear symplectic space $V = W \oplus W^*$ equipped with the standard symplectic form.

Classically, in real symplectic geometry, the *Maslov class* is represented by the cycle

$$\Sigma = \{x \in L : \dim(T_x L \cap W^*) > 0\}.$$

This cycle is the locus of singularities of $L \rightarrow W$. Its cohomology class is integral, and mod 2 equals $w_1(T^*L)$.

We fix an integer $k \gg 0$ and identify two germs of Lagrangian submanifolds if the degree of their tangency at 0 is greater than k .

Lagrangian Thom polynomials

Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold in the linear symplectic space $V = W \oplus W^*$ equipped with the standard symplectic form.

Classically, in real symplectic geometry, the *Maslov class* is represented by the cycle

$$\Sigma = \{x \in L : \dim(T_x L \cap W^*) > 0\}.$$

This cycle is the locus of singularities of $L \rightarrow W$. Its cohomology class is integral, and mod 2 equals $w_1(T^*L)$.

We fix an integer $k \gg 0$ and identify two germs of Lagrangian submanifolds if the degree of their tangency at 0 is greater than k .

We obtain the space of k -jets of Lagrangian submanifolds, denoted $\mathcal{J}^k(V)$.

Lagrangian Thom polynomials

Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold in the linear symplectic space $V = W \oplus W^*$ equipped with the standard symplectic form.

Classically, in real symplectic geometry, the *Maslov class* is represented by the cycle

$$\Sigma = \{x \in L : \dim(T_x L \cap W^*) > 0\}.$$

This cycle is the locus of singularities of $L \rightarrow W$. Its cohomology class is integral, and mod 2 equals $w_1(T^*L)$.

We fix an integer $k \gg 0$ and identify two germs of Lagrangian submanifolds if the degree of their tangency at 0 is greater than k .

We obtain the space of k -jets of Lagrangian submanifolds, denoted $\mathcal{J}^k(V)$.

Every germ of a Lagrangian submanifold of V is the image of W via a certain germ symplectomorphism.

$$\mathcal{J}^k(V) = \text{Aut}(V)/P,$$

where $\text{Aut}(V)$ is the *group of k -jet symplectomorphisms*, and P is the stabilizer of W (k is fixed).

$$\mathcal{J}^k(V) = \text{Aut}(V)/P,$$

where $\text{Aut}(V)$ is the *group of k -jet symplectomorphisms*, and P is the stabilizer of W (k is fixed).

Of course, $LG(V)$ is contained in $\mathcal{J}^k(V)$.

$$\mathcal{J}^k(V) = \text{Aut}(V)/P,$$

where $\text{Aut}(V)$ is the *group of k -jet symplectomorphisms*, and P is the stabilizer of W (k is fixed).

Of course, $LG(V)$ is contained in $\mathcal{J}^k(V)$.

One has also $\mathcal{J}^k(V) \rightarrow LG(V)$ s.t. $L \mapsto T_0L$ (which is not a vector bundle for $k \geq 3$).

$$\mathcal{J}^k(V) = \text{Aut}(V)/P,$$

where $\text{Aut}(V)$ is the *group of k -jet symplectomorphisms*, and P is the stabilizer of W (k is fixed).

Of course, $LG(V)$ is contained in $\mathcal{J}^k(V)$.

One has also $\mathcal{J}^k(V) \rightarrow LG(V)$ s.t. $L \mapsto T_0L$ (which is not a vector bundle for $k \geq 3$).

Let H be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(V)$ consisting of holomorphic symplectomorphisms preserving the fibration $V \rightarrow W$. Two Lagrangian jets are Lagrangian equivalent if they belong to the same orbit of H .

$$\mathcal{J}^k(V) = \text{Aut}(V)/P,$$

where $\text{Aut}(V)$ is the *group of k -jet symplectomorphisms*, and P is the stabilizer of W (k is fixed).

Of course, $LG(V)$ is contained in $\mathcal{J}^k(V)$.

One has also $\mathcal{J}^k(V) \rightarrow LG(V)$ s.t. $L \mapsto T_0L$ (which is not a vector bundle for $k \geq 3$).

Let H be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(V)$ consisting of holomorphic symplectomorphisms preserving the fibration $V \rightarrow W$. Two Lagrangian jets are Lagrangian equivalent if they belong to the same orbit of H .

A *Lagrange singularity class* is any closed pure dimensional algebraic subset of $\mathcal{J}^k(V)$ which is invariant w.r.t. the action of H .

A Lagrange singularity class $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}^k(V)$ defines the cohomology class

$$[\Sigma] \in H^*(\mathcal{J}^k(V), \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^*(LG(V), \mathbf{Z}).$$

A Lagrange singularity class $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}^k(V)$ defines the cohomology class

$$[\Sigma] \in H^*(\mathcal{J}^k(V), \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^*(LG(V), \mathbf{Z}).$$

Suppose that this class is equal to $\sum_I \alpha_I \tilde{Q}_I(R^*)$, where the sum runs over strict partitions $I \subset \rho$ and $\alpha_I \in \mathbf{Z}$ (it is important here to use the bundle R^*).

A Lagrange singularity class $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}^k(V)$ defines the cohomology class

$$[\Sigma] \in H^*(\mathcal{J}^k(V), \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^*(LG(V), \mathbf{Z}).$$

Suppose that this class is equal to $\sum_I \alpha_I \tilde{Q}_I(R^*)$, where the sum runs over strict partitions $I \subset \rho$ and $\alpha_I \in \mathbf{Z}$ (it is important here to use the bundle R^*).

Then $\mathcal{T}^\Sigma := \sum_I \alpha_I \tilde{Q}_I$ is called the *Thom polynomial* associated with the Lagrange singularity class Σ .

A Lagrange singularity class $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}^k(V)$ defines the cohomology class

$$[\Sigma] \in H^*(\mathcal{J}^k(V), \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^*(LG(V), \mathbf{Z}).$$

Suppose that this class is equal to $\sum_I \alpha_I \tilde{Q}_I(R^*)$, where the sum runs over strict partitions $I \subset \rho$ and $\alpha_I \in \mathbf{Z}$ (it is important here to use the bundle R^*).

Then $\mathcal{T}^\Sigma := \sum_I \alpha_I \tilde{Q}_I$ is called the *Thom polynomial* associated with the Lagrange singularity class Σ .

Theorem. (*MM+PP+AW, 2007*) *For any Lagrange singularity class Σ , the Thom polynomial \mathcal{T}^Σ is a nonnegative combination of \tilde{Q} -functions.*

Proposition. *For a strict partition $I \subset \rho$, there exists only one strict partition $I' \subset \rho$ and $|I'| = \dim LG(V) - |I|$, for which $\tilde{Q}_I(R^*) \cdot \Omega_{I'} \neq 0$. (I' complements I in ρ).*

Proposition. *For a strict partition $I \subset \rho$, there exists only one strict partition $I' \subset \rho$ and $|I'| = \dim LG(V) - |I|$, for which $\tilde{Q}_I(R^*) \cdot \Omega_{I'} \neq 0$. (I' complements I in ρ).*

Lemma. *Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a globally generated bundle on a proper homogeneous variety X . Let C be a cone in E , and let Z be any algebraic cycle in X of the complementary dimension. Then the intersection $[C] \cdot [Z]$ is nonnegative.*

Proposition. *For a strict partition $I \subset \rho$, there exists only one strict partition $I' \subset \rho$ and $|I'| = \dim LG(V) - |I|$, for which $\tilde{Q}_I(R^*) \cdot \Omega_{I'} \neq 0$. (I' complements I in ρ).*

Lemma. *Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a globally generated bundle on a proper homogeneous variety X . Let C be a cone in E , and let Z be any algebraic cycle in X of the complementary dimension. Then the intersection $[C] \cdot [Z]$ is nonnegative.*

Lemma. *We have a natural isomorphism*

$$N_G \mathcal{J}^k \cong \bigoplus_{i=3}^{k+1} \text{Sym}^i(R^*).$$

Suppose that Σ is a Lagrange singularity class.

Suppose that Σ is a Lagrange singularity class.
Let $i : G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ be the inclusion, and denote by

$$i^* : H^*(\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbf{Z})$$

the induced map on cohomology rings.

Suppose that Σ is a Lagrange singularity class.
Let $i : G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ be the inclusion, and denote by

$$i^* : H^*(\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbf{Z})$$

the induced map on cohomology rings.

We have to examine the coefficients α_I of the expression

$$i^*[\Sigma] = \sum \alpha_I \tilde{Q}_I(R^*).$$

Suppose that Σ is a Lagrange singularity class.
Let $i : G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ be the inclusion, and denote by

$$i^* : H^*(\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbf{Z})$$

the induced map on cohomology rings.

We have to examine the coefficients α_I of the expression

$$i^*[\Sigma] = \sum \alpha_I \tilde{Q}_I(R^*).$$

Let us fix now a strict partition $I \subset \rho$. The coefficient α_I is equal to $i^*[\Sigma] \cdot \Omega_{I'}$.

Suppose that Σ is a Lagrange singularity class.
 Let $i : G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ be the inclusion, and denote by

$$i^* : H^*(\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbf{Z})$$

the induced map on cohomology rings.

We have to examine the coefficients α_I of the expression

$$i^*[\Sigma] = \sum \alpha_I \tilde{Q}_I(R^*).$$

Let us fix now a strict partition $I \subset \rho$. The coefficient α_I is equal to $i^*[\Sigma] \cdot \Omega_{I'}$.

Let

$$C = C_{G \cap \Sigma} \Sigma \subset N_G \mathcal{J}$$

be the *normal cone* of $G \cap \Sigma$ in Σ . Denote by $j : G \hookrightarrow N_G \mathcal{J}$ the zero-section inclusion.

By deformation to the normal cone, we have in A_*G the equality

$$i^*[\Sigma] = j^*[C],$$

where i^* and j^* are the pull-back maps of the corresponding Chow groups.

By deformation to the normal cone, we have in A_*G the equality

$$i^*[\Sigma] = j^*[C],$$

where i^* and j^* are the pull-back maps of the corresponding Chow groups.

It follows that

$$\alpha_I = [C] \cdot \Omega_{I'}$$

(intersection in $N_G\mathcal{J}$).

By deformation to the normal cone, we have in A_*G the equality

$$i^*[\Sigma] = j^*[C],$$

where i^* and j^* are the pull-back maps of the corresponding Chow groups.

It follows that

$$\alpha_I = [C] \cdot \Omega_{I'}$$

(intersection in $N_G\mathcal{J}$). The bundle R^* is globally generated; therefore the vector bundle $N_G\mathcal{J}$ is globally generated.

By deformation to the normal cone, we have in A_*G the equality

$$i^*[\Sigma] = j^*[C],$$

where i^* and j^* are the pull-back maps of the corresponding Chow groups.

It follows that

$$\alpha_I = [C] \cdot \Omega_{I'}$$

(intersection in $N_G\mathcal{J}$). The bundle R^* is globally generated; therefore the vector bundle $N_G\mathcal{J}$ is globally generated.

The Lagrangian Grassmannian $G = LG(V)$ is a homogeneous space with respect to the action of the symplectic group $Sp(V)$. The lemma applied to the bundle $N_G\mathcal{J} \rightarrow G$, entails $[C] \cdot \Omega_{I'}$ nonnegative.

Some Legendrian geometry

Fix $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let W be a vector space of dimension n , and let ξ be a vector space of dimension one.

Some Legendrian geometry

Fix $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let W be a vector space of dimension n , and let ξ be a vector space of dimension one.

$$V := W \oplus (W^* \otimes \xi).$$

Some Legendrian geometry

Fix $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let W be a vector space of dimension n , and let ξ be a vector space of dimension one.

$$V := W \oplus (W^* \otimes \xi).$$

– standard symplectic space equipped with the twisted symplectic form $\omega \in \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \xi$. Have Lagrangian submanifolds (germs through the origin).

Some Legendrian geometry

Fix $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let W be a vector space of dimension n , and let ξ be a vector space of dimension one.

$$V := W \oplus (W^* \otimes \xi).$$

– standard symplectic space equipped with the twisted symplectic form $\omega \in \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \xi$. Have Lagrangian submanifolds (germs through the origin).

Standard *contact space* equipped with the *contact form* α ,

$$V \oplus \xi = W \oplus (W^* \otimes \xi) \oplus \xi.$$

Some Legendrian geometry

Fix $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let W be a vector space of dimension n , and let ξ be a vector space of dimension one.

$$V := W \oplus (W^* \otimes \xi).$$

– standard symplectic space equipped with the twisted symplectic form $\omega \in \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \xi$. Have Lagrangian submanifolds (germs through the origin).

Standard *contact space* equipped with the *contact form* α ,

$$V \oplus \xi = W \oplus (W^* \otimes \xi) \oplus \xi.$$

Legendrian submanifolds of $V \oplus \xi$ are maximal integral submanifolds of α , i.e. the manifolds of dimension n with tangent spaces contained in $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$.

Some Legendrian geometry

Fix $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let W be a vector space of dimension n , and let ξ be a vector space of dimension one.

$$V := W \oplus (W^* \otimes \xi).$$

– standard symplectic space equipped with the twisted symplectic form $\omega \in \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \xi$. Have Lagrangian submanifolds (germs through the origin).

Standard *contact space* equipped with the *contact form* α ,

$$V \oplus \xi = W \oplus (W^* \otimes \xi) \oplus \xi.$$

Legendrian submanifolds of $V \oplus \xi$ are maximal integral submanifolds of α , i.e. the manifolds of dimension n with tangent spaces contained in $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$.

Any Legendrian submanifold in $V \oplus \xi$ is determined by its Lagrangian projection to V and any Lagrangian submanifold in V lifts to $V \oplus \xi$.

We shall work with pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds and try to classify all the possible relative positions.

We shall work with pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds and try to classify all the possible relative positions.

Two Lagrangian submanifolds, if they are in generic position, intersect transversally. The singular relative positions can be divided into Legendrian singularity classes.

We shall work with pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds and try to classify all the possible relative positions.

Two Lagrangian submanifolds, if they are in generic position, intersect transversally. The singular relative positions can be divided into Legendrian singularity classes.

The group of symplectomorphisms of V acts on the pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds.

We shall work with pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds and try to classify all the possible relative positions.

Two Lagrangian submanifolds, if they are in generic position, intersect transversally. The singular relative positions can be divided into Legendrian singularity classes.

The group of symplectomorphisms of V acts on the pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds.

Lemma. *Any pair of Lagrangian submanifolds is symplectic equivalent to a pair (L_1, L_2) such that L_1 is a linear Lagrangian subspace and the tangent space T_0L_2 is equal to W .*

We shall work with pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds and try to classify all the possible relative positions.

Two Lagrangian submanifolds, if they are in generic position, intersect transversally. The singular relative positions can be divided into Legendrian singularity classes.

The group of symplectomorphisms of V acts on the pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds.

Lemma. *Any pair of Lagrangian submanifolds is symplectic equivalent to a pair (L_1, L_2) such that L_1 is a linear Lagrangian subspace and the tangent space T_0L_2 is equal to W .*

Get 2 types of submanifolds: *linear subspaces*,

We shall work with pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds and try to classify all the possible relative positions.

Two Lagrangian submanifolds, if they are in generic position, intersect transversally. The singular relative positions can be divided into Legendrian singularity classes.

The group of symplectomorphisms of V acts on the pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds.

Lemma. *Any pair of Lagrangian submanifolds is symplectic equivalent to a pair (L_1, L_2) such that L_1 is a linear Lagrangian subspace and the tangent space T_0L_2 is equal to W .*

Get 2 types of submanifolds: *linear subspaces*, *the submanifolds which have the tangent space at the origin equal to W* ; they are the graphs of the differentials of the functions $f : W \rightarrow \xi$ satisfying $df(0) = 0$ and $d^2f(0) = 0$

Let $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$ be the set of pairs (L_1, L_2) of k -jets of Lagrangian submanifolds of V such that L_1 is a linear space and $T_0L_2 = W$.

Let $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$ be the set of pairs (L_1, L_2) of k -jets of Lagrangian submanifolds of V such that L_1 is a linear space and $T_0L_2 = W$.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi) \rightarrow LG(V, \omega)$ be the projection.

Let $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$ be the set of pairs (L_1, L_2) of k -jets of Lagrangian submanifolds of V such that L_1 is a linear space and $T_0L_2 = W$.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi) \rightarrow LG(V, \omega)$ be the projection.

Clearly, π is a trivial vector bundle with the fiber equal to:

$$\bigoplus_{i=3}^{k+1} \text{Sym}^i(W^*) \otimes \xi.$$

Let $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$ be the set of pairs (L_1, L_2) of k -jets of Lagrangian submanifolds of V such that L_1 is a linear space and $T_0L_2 = W$.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi) \rightarrow LG(V, \omega)$ be the projection.

Clearly, π is a trivial vector bundle with the fiber equal to:

$$\bigoplus_{i=3}^{k+1} \text{Sym}^i(W^*) \otimes \xi.$$

We are interested in a larger group than the group of symplectomorphisms, the group of contact automorphisms of $V \oplus \xi$.

Let $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$ be the set of pairs (L_1, L_2) of k -jets of Lagrangian submanifolds of V such that L_1 is a linear space and $T_0L_2 = W$.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi) \rightarrow LG(V, \omega)$ be the projection.

Clearly, π is a trivial vector bundle with the fiber equal to:

$$\bigoplus_{i=3}^{k+1} \text{Sym}^i(W^*) \otimes \xi.$$

We are interested in a larger group than the group of symplectomorphisms, the group of contact automorphisms of $V \oplus \xi$.

By a *Legendre singularity class* we mean a closed algebraic subset $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}^k(\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C})$ invariant with respect to holomorphic contactomorphisms of \mathbf{C}^{2n+1} .

Let $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$ be the set of pairs (L_1, L_2) of k -jets of Lagrangian submanifolds of V such that L_1 is a linear space and $T_0L_2 = W$.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi) \rightarrow LG(V, \omega)$ be the projection.

Clearly, π is a trivial vector bundle with the fiber equal to:

$$\bigoplus_{i=3}^{k+1} \text{Sym}^i(W^*) \otimes \xi.$$

We are interested in a larger group than the group of symplectomorphisms, the group of contact automorphisms of $V \oplus \xi$.

By a *Legendre singularity class* we mean a closed algebraic subset $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}^k(\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C})$ invariant with respect to holomorphic contactomorphisms of \mathbf{C}^{2n+1} .

Additionally, we assume that Σ is stable with respect to enlarging the dimension of W .

Jet bundle $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$

Let X be a topological space, W a complex rank n vector bundle over X , and ξ a complex line bundle over X .

Jet bundle $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$

Let X be a topological space, W a complex rank n vector bundle over X , and ξ a complex line bundle over X .

Let $\tau : LG(V, \omega) \rightarrow X$ denote the Lagrange Grassmann bundle parametrizing Lagrangian linear submanifolds in V_x , $x \in X$.

Jet bundle $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$

Let X be a topological space, W a complex rank n vector bundle over X , and ξ a complex line bundle over X .

Let $\tau : LG(V, \omega) \rightarrow X$ denote the Lagrange Grassmann bundle parametrizing Lagrangian linear submanifolds in V_x , $x \in X$. We have a relative version of the map:

$$\pi : \mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi) \rightarrow LG(V, \omega).$$

Jet bundle $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$

Let X be a topological space, W a complex rank n vector bundle over X , and ξ a complex line bundle over X .

Let $\tau : LG(V, \omega) \rightarrow X$ denote the Lagrange Grassmann bundle parametrizing Lagrangian linear submanifolds in V_x , $x \in X$. We have a relative version of the map:

$$\pi : \mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi) \rightarrow LG(V, \omega).$$

The space $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$ fibers over X . It is equal to the pull-back:

$$\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi) = \tau^* \left(\bigoplus_{i=3}^{k+1} \text{Sym}^i(W^*) \otimes \xi \right).$$

Jet bundle $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$

Let X be a topological space, W a complex rank n vector bundle over X , and ξ a complex line bundle over X .

Let $\tau : LG(V, \omega) \rightarrow X$ denote the Lagrange Grassmann bundle parametrizing Lagrangian linear submanifolds in V_x , $x \in X$. We have a relative version of the map:

$$\pi : \mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi) \rightarrow LG(V, \omega).$$

The space $\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$ fibers over X . It is equal to the pull-back:

$$\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi) = \tau^* \left(\bigoplus_{i=3}^{k+1} \text{Sym}^i(W^*) \otimes \xi \right).$$

Since any changes of coordinates of W and ξ induce holomorphic contactomorphisms of $V \oplus \xi$, any Legendre singularity class Σ defines $\Sigma(W, \xi) \subset \mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi)$.

The tautological bundle over $LG(V, \omega)$ is denoted by $R_{W, \xi}$, or by R for short.

The tautological bundle over $LG(V, \omega)$ is denoted by $R_{W, \xi}$, or by R for short.

The symplectic form ω gives an isomorphism $V \cong V^* \otimes \xi$.

The tautological bundle over $LG(V, \omega)$ is denoted by $R_{W, \xi}$, or by R for short.

The symplectic form ω gives an isomorphism $V \cong V^* \otimes \xi$.

There is a tautological sequence of vector bundles on $LG(V, \omega)$: $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow V \rightarrow R^* \otimes \xi \rightarrow 0$.

The tautological bundle over $LG(V, \omega)$ is denoted by $R_{W, \xi}$, or by R for short.

The symplectic form ω gives an isomorphism $V \cong V^* \otimes \xi$.

There is a tautological sequence of vector bundles on $LG(V, \omega)$: $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow V \rightarrow R^* \otimes \xi \rightarrow 0$.

Consider the virtual bundle $A := W^* \otimes \xi - R_{W, \xi}$.

The tautological bundle over $LG(V, \omega)$ is denoted by $R_{W, \xi}$, or by R for short.

The symplectic form ω gives an isomorphism $V \cong V^* \otimes \xi$.

There is a tautological sequence of vector bundles on $LG(V, \omega)$: $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow V \rightarrow R^* \otimes \xi \rightarrow 0$.

Consider the virtual bundle $A := W^* \otimes \xi - R_{W, \xi}$.

We have the relation $A + A^* \otimes \xi = 0$.

The tautological bundle over $LG(V, \omega)$ is denoted by $R_{W, \xi}$, or by R for short.

The symplectic form ω gives an isomorphism $V \cong V^* \otimes \xi$.

There is a tautological sequence of vector bundles on $LG(V, \omega)$: $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow V \rightarrow R^* \otimes \xi \rightarrow 0$.

Consider the virtual bundle $A := W^* \otimes \xi - R_{W, \xi}$.

We have the relation $A + A^* \otimes \xi = 0$.

The Chern classes $a_i = c_i(A)$ generate the cohomology $H^*(LG(V, \omega), \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi), \mathbf{Z})$ as an algebra over $H^*(X, \mathbf{Z})$.

Let us fix an approximation of $BU(1) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathbf{P}^n$, that is we set $X = \mathbf{P}^n$, $\xi = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Let $W = \mathbf{1}^n$ be the trivial bundle of rank n .

Let us fix an approximation of $BU(1) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathbf{P}^n$, that is we set $X = \mathbf{P}^n$, $\xi = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Let $W = \mathbf{1}^n$ be the trivial bundle of rank n .

Then $H^*(LG(V, \omega), \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi), \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to the ring of Legendrian characteristic classes for degrees smaller than or equal to n .

Let us fix an approximation of $BU(1) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathbf{P}^n$, that is we set $X = \mathbf{P}^n$, $\xi = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Let $W = \mathbf{1}^n$ be the trivial bundle of rank n .

Then $H^*(LG(V, \omega), \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi), \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to the ring of Legendrian characteristic classes for degrees smaller than or equal to n .

The element $[\Sigma(W, \xi)]$ of $H^*(\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi), \mathbf{Z})$, is called the *Legendrian Thom polynomial* of Σ .

Let us fix an approximation of $BU(1) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathbf{P}^n$, that is we set $X = \mathbf{P}^n$, $\xi = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Let $W = \mathbf{1}^n$ be the trivial bundle of rank n .

Then $H^*(LG(V, \omega), \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi), \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to the ring of Legendrian characteristic classes for degrees smaller than or equal to n .

The element $[\Sigma(W, \xi)]$ of $H^*(\mathcal{J}^k(W, \xi), \mathbf{Z})$, is called the *Legendrian Thom polynomial* of Σ .

and is often denoted by \mathcal{T}^Σ . It is written in terms of the generators a_i and $s = c_1(\xi)$.

Theorem. *(MM+PP+AW 2010) There exists a one-parameter family of bases (of the ring of Legendrian characteristic classes) such that any Legendrian Thom polynomial \mathcal{T}^Σ has a positive expansion in any basis from the family.*

Theorem. *(MM+PP+AW 2010) There exists a one-parameter family of bases (of the ring of Legendrian characteristic classes) such that any Legendrian Thom polynomial \mathcal{T}^Σ has a positive expansion in any basis from the family.*

Specialization to the Lagrangian case, yields the basis $\{\tilde{Q}_I\}$.

Theorem. *(MM+PP+AW 2010) There exists a one-parameter family of bases (of the ring of Legendrian characteristic classes) such that any Legendrian Thom polynomial \mathcal{T}^Σ has a positive expansion in any basis from the family.*

Specialization to the Lagrangian case, yields the basis $\{\tilde{Q}_I\}$.

Details to appear in Journal of Differential Geometry
(accepted yesterday).

Legendrian vs. classical

Proposition. *For a nonempty stable Legendre singularity class Σ , the Legendrian Thom polynomial is nonzero.*

Legendrian vs. classical

Proposition. *For a nonempty stable Legendre singularity class Σ , the Legendrian Thom polynomial is nonzero.*

Kazarian: The classification of Legendre singularities is parallel to the classification of critical point singularities w.r.t. stable right equivalence. For a Legendre singularity class Σ consider the associated singularity class of maps $f : M \rightarrow C$ from n -dimensional manifolds to curves. We denote the related Thom polynomial by Tp^Σ .

Legendrian vs. classical

Proposition. *For a nonempty stable Legendre singularity class Σ , the Legendrian Thom polynomial is nonzero.*

Kazarian: The classification of Legendre singularities is parallel to the classification of critical point singularities w.r.t. stable right equivalence. For a Legendre singularity class Σ consider the associated singularity class of maps $f : M \rightarrow C$ from n -dimensional manifolds to curves. We denote the related Thom polynomial by Tp^Σ .

We have

$$Tp^\Sigma = \mathcal{T}^\Sigma \cdot c_n(T^*M \otimes f^*TC).$$

Legendrian vs. classical

Proposition. *For a nonempty stable Legendre singularity class Σ , the Legendrian Thom polynomial is nonzero.*

Kazarian: The classification of Legendre singularities is parallel to the classification of critical point singularities w.r.t. stable right equivalence. For a Legendre singularity class Σ consider the associated singularity class of maps $f : M \rightarrow C$ from n -dimensional manifolds to curves. We denote the related Thom polynomial by Tp^Σ .

We have

$$Tp^\Sigma = \mathcal{T}^\Sigma \cdot c_n(T^*M \otimes f^*TC).$$

We know that Tp^Σ is nonzero. The assertion follows from the equation.

Legendrian vs. classical

Proposition. *For a nonempty stable Legendre singularity class Σ , the Legendrian Thom polynomial is nonzero.*

Kazarian: The classification of Legendre singularities is parallel to the classification of critical point singularities w.r.t. stable right equivalence. For a Legendre singularity class Σ consider the associated singularity class of maps $f : M \rightarrow C$ from n -dimensional manifolds to curves. We denote the related Thom polynomial by Tp^Σ .

We have

$$Tp^\Sigma = \mathcal{T}^\Sigma \cdot c_n(T^*M \otimes f^*TC).$$

We know that Tp^Σ is nonzero. The assertion follows from the equation.

Also, the corresponding Lagrangian Thom polynomial is nonzero.

Green-Griffiths conjecture: Every projective algebraic variety of general type contains a proper subvariety $Y \subset X$ such that all nonconstant entire holomorphic curves $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must necessarily lie in Y .

Green-Griffiths conjecture: Every projective algebraic variety of general type contains a proper subvariety $Y \subset X$ such that all nonconstant entire holomorphic curves $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must necessarily lie in Y .

McQuillen: for surfaces with the second Segre class $c_1^2 - c_2 > 0$ the Green-Griffiths conjecture is true.

Green-Griffiths conjecture: Every projective algebraic variety of general type contains a proper subvariety $Y \subset X$ such that all nonconstant entire holomorphic curves $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must necessarily lie in Y .

McQuillen: for surfaces with the second Segre class $c_1^2 - c_2 > 0$ the Green-Griffiths conjecture is true.

Siu: For a general hypersurface X in projective space, the Green-Griffiths conjecture is true if $\deg(X) \gg 0$.

Green-Griffiths conjecture: Every projective algebraic variety of general type contains a proper subvariety $Y \subset X$ such that all nonconstant entire holomorphic curves $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must necessarily lie in Y .

McQuillen: for surfaces with the second Segre class $c_1^2 - c_2 > 0$ the Green-Griffiths conjecture is true.

Siu: For a general hypersurface X in projective space, the Green-Griffiths conjecture is true if $\deg(X) \gg 0$.

Diverio, Merker, Rousseau: for a general hypersurface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$, the Green-Griffiths conjecture is true if $\deg(X) > 2^{n^5}$.

Rimanyi conjecture: The Thom polynomials of $A_i(r)$ have positive expansion in the Chern class monomial basis.

Rimanyi conjecture: The Thom polynomials of $A_i(r)$ have positive expansion in the Chern class monomial basis.

Theorem of Berczi: Assume that the Rimanyi conjecture holds. Then for a general hypersurface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$, the Green-Griffiths conjecture is true if $\deg(X) > n^6$.

Rimanyi conjecture: The Thom polynomials of $A_i(r)$ have positive expansion in the Chern class monomial basis.

Theorem of Berczi: Assume that the Rimanyi conjecture holds. Then for a general hypersurface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$, the Green-Griffiths conjecture is true if $\deg(X) > n^6$.

Localization techniques, iterated residues

THE END