

Special loci of Betti Moduli

Hélène Esnault, with Moritz Kerz

Warsaw, November 19, 2020

Betti Moduli

X sm q-proj var over \mathbb{C} , $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$ top fund gr based at $x \in X(\mathbb{C})$, $1 \leq r \in \mathbb{N} \rightsquigarrow \exists$ moduli space $M(X, r) =: M$ of conj cl of rk r ss lin rep of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$.

Betti Moduli

X sm q-proj var over \mathbb{C} , $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$ top fund gr based at $x \in X(\mathbb{C})$, $1 \leq r \in \mathbb{N} \rightsquigarrow \exists$ moduli space $M(X, r) =: M$ of conj cl of rk r ss lin rep of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$.

M is an aff sch/ \mathbb{Z} . $M(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of iso cl of ss \mathbb{C} -loc syst of rk r .

Quasi-unipotency at infinity

Fix a normal comp $j : X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$. The conj cl of the gen T_s of local fund gr around a comp D_s at ∞ is well defined in $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \rightsquigarrow$ notion of loc mon at ∞ of loc syst $\mathcal{V} \in M(\mathbb{C})$.

Quasi-unipotency at infinity

Fix a normal comp $j : X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$. The conj cl of the gen T_s of local fund gr around a comp D_s at ∞ is well defined in $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \rightsquigarrow$ notion of loc mon at ∞ of loc syst $\mathcal{V} \in M(\mathbb{C})$.

Notation

$M(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}} \subset M(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of iso cl of \mathbb{C} -loc syst with quasi-unip mon at ∞ .

Quasi-unipotency at infinity

Fix a normal comp $j : X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$. The conj cl of the gen T_s of local fund gr around a comp D_s at ∞ is well defined in $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \rightsquigarrow$ notion of loc mon at ∞ of loc syst $\mathcal{V} \in M(\mathbb{C})$.

Notation

$M(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}} \subset M(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of iso cl of \mathbb{C} -loc syst with quasi-unip mon at ∞ .

Remark

Same definition fixing the determinant \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{V} which is torsion \rightsquigarrow $M(\mathcal{L})$ and $M(\mathcal{L})(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}} \subset M(\mathcal{L})(\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem A (E-Kerz '20)

$M(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}} \subset M(\mathbb{C})$ is Zariski dense.

Theorem A (E-Kerz '20)

$M(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}} \subset M(\mathbb{C})$ is Zariski dense.

Remark

Same theorem with $M \rightsquigarrow M(\mathcal{L})$.

Theorem A (E-Kerz '20)

$M(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}} \subset M(\mathbb{C})$ is Zariski dense.

Remark

Same theorem with $M \rightsquigarrow M(\mathcal{L})$.

Heuristic

- Simpson: in each irr comp of M , exists \mathbb{C} -VHS (viewed as a fixpoint under the \mathbb{C}^\times -rescaling on the Higgs field; ref in the -proj case?).
- Hope: those coming from geometry are even Zariski dense.
- Their monodromies at ∞ are quasi-unipotent (Brieskorn, Griffiths, Grothendieck).

\exists framed moduli space $M^\square(X, r) =: M^\square$ defined by the functor $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(A)$ on aff \mathbb{Z} -alg A . M^\square fine, aff sch / \mathbb{Z} ,
 $M^\square(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{q} M(\mathbb{C})$ cat quotient by GL_r action on frames \rightsquigarrow
 $M^\square(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}} = q^{-1}M(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}}$.

\exists framed moduli space $M^\square(X, r) =: M^\square$ defined by the functor $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(A)$ on aff \mathbb{Z} -alg A . M^\square fine, aff sch / \mathbb{Z} ,
 $M^\square(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{q} M(\mathbb{C})$ cat quotient by GL_r action on frames \rightsquigarrow
 $M^\square(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}} = q^{-1}M(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}}$.

\exists framed moduli space $M^\square(X, r) =: M^\square$ defined by the functor $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(A)$ on aff \mathbb{Z} -alg A . M^\square fine, aff sch $/\mathbb{Z}$,
 $M^\square(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{q} M(\mathbb{C})$ cat quotient by GL_r action on frames \rightsquigarrow
 $M^\square(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}} = q^{-1}M(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}}$.

ℓ prime given $\rightsquigarrow M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell) \subset M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) =$ set of
 $\rho : \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ which factor through cont rep
 $\rho^{\text{ét}} : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)$.

\exists framed moduli space $M^\square(X, r) =: M^\square$ defined by the functor $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(A)$ on aff \mathbb{Z} -alg A . M^\square fine, aff sch / \mathbb{Z} , $M^\square(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{q} M(\mathbb{C})$ cat quotient by GL_r action on frames $\rightsquigarrow M^\square(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}} = q^{-1}M(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}}$.

ℓ prime given $\rightsquigarrow M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell) \subset M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) =$ set of $\rho : \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ which factor through cont rep $\rho^{\text{ét}} : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)$.

ℓ -adic points of M

$$M^{\text{ét}, \ell} := q(M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)) \subset M(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

is the set of étale $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -loc syst.

Galois action on ℓ -adic points

$x \in X(F)$ (if \emptyset enlarge F) $\rightsquigarrow G \curvearrowright \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{F}}, x)$ by conj \rightsquigarrow

$$G \curvearrowright M^{\text{ét}, \ell}.$$

Galois action on ℓ -adic points

$x \in X(F)$ (if \emptyset enlarge F) $\rightsquigarrow G \curvearrowright \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{F}}, x)$ by conj \rightsquigarrow

$$G \curvearrowright M^{\text{ét}, \ell}.$$

Definition

A closed subset $S \subset M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is *special* if 1) \forall each irred comp S_i $\emptyset \neq S_i \cap M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)$; 2) $U \circlearrowleft S \cap M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)$ for some $U \subset G$ open.

Definition

A closed subset $S \subset M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is *special* if 1) \forall each irred comp S_i $\emptyset \neq S_i \cap M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)$; 2) $U \circlearrowleft S \cap M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)$ for some $U \subset G$ open.

So by definition S special iff its irr comp are special.

Definition

A closed subset $S \subset M^{\square}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is *special* if 1) \forall each irred comp S_i $\emptyset \neq S_i \cap M^{\square}(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{\ell})$; 2) $U \circ S \cap M^{\square}(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{\ell})$ for some $U \subset G$ open.

So by definition S special iff its irr comp are special.

Theorem B (E-Kerz '20)

S special $\Rightarrow S \cap M^{\square}(\mathbb{C})^{\text{qu}} \subset S$ Zariski dense.

Thm B \Rightarrow Thm A

- $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ alg. cl. \Rightarrow may replace \mathbb{C} by $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ in Thm A (top loc syst).
- $M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)$ G -invariant;
- so enough to find ℓ so all irr comp of $M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ meet $M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)$;
- fin many irr comp $M_i^\square/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, pick $\rho_i \in M_i^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$; as $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$ fin gen, all ρ_i defined over $\mathcal{O}_{E, \Sigma}$, Σ fin many places of \mathcal{O}_E ; so $\ell \notin \text{char } \Sigma$ does it.

Proof of Thm B

- by defn, may assume S irr;

- $N := \prod_S \mathbb{A}^{r-1} \times \mathbb{G}_m$;

-

$$\psi : M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)(\rightarrow M(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) \rightarrow N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

$$\rho \mapsto \prod_s (\text{coeff of } \det(X - \rho(T_s) \cdot \text{Id}))$$

- ψ defined $/\mathbb{Z}_\ell$, G -equivariant on $M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell) \rightarrow N(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell)$;
- define $N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)^{\text{tor}} \subset N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ as the pol with torsion zeroes;
- $S \cap M^\square(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)^{\text{qu}} = \psi^{-1}(\psi(S) \cap N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)^{\text{tor}})$;
- Chevalley thm $\Rightarrow \psi(S)$ constructible;
- Zariski cl $\overline{\psi(S)} =: Z$ irr and $Z \cap N(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell) \neq \emptyset$;
- so enough to prove $Z \cap N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)^{\text{tor}} \subset Z$ Zariski dense;
- $h : T = \prod_S \mathbb{G}_m^r \rightarrow N$ separates the roots; h fin surj, so enough to prove $h^{-1}Z \cap T(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)^{\text{tor}} \subset h^{-1}Z$ Zariski dense.

Theorem C (E-Kerz '19)

T torus $/F$, then torsion points are Zariski dense in special loci.

Theorem C (E-Kerz '19)

T torus $/F$, then torsion points are Zariski dense in special loci.

Remark

Thm C more is precise: special loci are union of translates by a torsion point of motivic subtori.

Theorem C (E-Kerz '19)

T torus $/F$, then torsion points are Zariski dense in special loci.

Remark

Thm C more is precise: special loci are union of translates by a torsion point of motivic subtori.

Remark

Method of proof of Thm B comes from our proof of density thm of tame arithm $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -loc syst of rk 2 on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} / \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Generalization to higher rank would yield the Hard Lefschetz thm in char $p > 0$.