Mathematical English Usage A Dictionary

by

Jerzy Trzeciak

Senior Copy Editor Institute of Mathematics Polish Academy of Sciences

Updated August 8, 2012 Available online at: http://www.impan.pl/Dictionary

CCopyright by Jerzy Trzeciak, Warszawa 2012

\mathbf{A}

- **a**, **an** We conclude that there is a smallest integer p for which f(p) = 0. Theorem 2 has a very important converse, the Radon-Nikodym theorem. Our present assumption implies that the last inequality in (8) must actually be an equality. Some of the isomorphisms classes above will have a rank of 2. The only additional feature is the appearance of a factor of 2. This says that f is no longer than the supremum of the boundary values of G, a statement similar to (1). This term derives from "quiver", a notion used in representation theory of algebras. The earth has an average density 5.5 times that of water. If p = 0 then there are an additional m arcs.
- **abbreviate** [sth for sth; sth as sth; sth to sth; see also: brevity, short] We shall abbreviate the expression (3) to F(k). We abbreviate this as f = g a.e. Thus, in abbreviated notation,....
- **abbreviation** [for sth; *see also*: shorthand, short] Note that (3) is merely an abbreviation for the statement that.....
- able [to do sth; see also: can] Using some facts about polynomial convexity, we are able to deduce..... It seems plausible that..... but we have been able to establish this only in certain cases. However, we have thus far been unable to find any magic squares with seven square entries.
- **abound** [see also: numerous, plentiful, profusion, abundance, variety, wealth] Examples abound in which P is discontinuous.
- about [see also: roughly, approximately] The Taylor expansion of f about ⟨around⟩ zero is..... If s₀ lies below R₋₂, then we can reflect about the real axis and appeal to the case just considered.
 These slits are located on circles about the origin of radii r_k. The diameter of F is about twice that of G. Then n(r) is about krⁿ. Let A denote the rectangle B rotated through π/6 in a clockwise direction about the vertex (0, 1). What would this imply about the original series?
 What about the case where q > 2? It is hoped that a deeper understanding of these residues will help establish new results about the choice of M. In this section we ask about the extent to which F is invertible. Here the interesting questions are not about individual examples, but about the asymptotic behaviour of the set of examples as one or another of the invariants (such as the genus) goes to infinity. However, as we are about to see, this complication is easily handled. This brings about the natural question of whether or not there is any topology on the set of all possible itineraries.
- **above** [see also: foregoing, precede, previous] The function F is bounded above $\langle below \rangle$ by 1. By the above,..... Let T be an isometric semigroup as above. In the notation above $\langle In$ the above notation \rangle ,.....
- **absence** [see also: lack] The location of the zeros of a holomorphic function in a region Ω is subject to no restriction except the obvious one concerning the absence of limit points in Ω . [Note the difference: absence = non-presence; lack = shortage of something desirable.]
- **absorb** The second term can be absorbed by the first.
- **abstract** It seems that the relations between these concepts emerge most clearly when the setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to

the subject. \bullet This abstract theory is not in any way more difficult than the special case of the real line.

- **abundance** [see also: wealth, variety, profusion, numerous] The monograph is illustrated with an abundance of figures and diagrams.
- **abuse** By abuse of notation, we continue to write f for f_1 . We shall, by convenient abuse of notation, generally denote it by x_t whatever probability space it is defined on. With the customary abuse of notation, the same symbol is used for.....
- accessible [to sb] Thus the paper is intended to be accessible both to logicians and to topologists.The present paper is motivated by the desire to make the subject as accessible as possible.
- **accidental** [see also: deliberately, intentionally] The use of the word 'generic' is not accidental here.
- accomplish [see also: complete, finish, do, perform] We can accomplish both tasks by showing that..... Actual construction of..... may be accomplished in a variety of ways.
- **accord** [see also: agree, correspond, match] This accords with the intuition that as we pass down the coding tree, we find out more and more detailed information about the ordering actually represented.
- **accordance** [see also: agreement] Choose δ in accordance with Section 8.
- **according** [as X or Y; to sth; to whether X or Y] The solutions are f or g according as t = 1 or t = 2. Then F can be decomposed according to the eigenspaces of P. Choose S_k according to the following scheme. The middle part of Table 2 compares the classification according to max a_i , where only the longitudinal information is utilized, with those according to max b_{ik} , where both longitudinal and survival information are used.
- **accordingly** [see also: respectively, suitably] The player has to decide which of the two strategies is better for him and act accordingly. Write A = BC, factor a = bc accordingly, and let....
- **account 1** [of sth; see also: description] A very readable account of the theory has been given in [Zag]. We are indebted here to Villani's account (see [2]) of a standard generalization of convex conjugacy. For a recent account we refer to [4]. See the simplified account in [2, Section 4]. See [17] for a brief account of the results obtained. [Not: "the obtained results"] The Markov chain z_k takes no account of how long the process stays in V. On account of (5), we have..... **2** [for sth; see also: explain, justify, reason, represent] This theorem accounts for the term "subharmonic". [= explains] So all the terms of (2) are accounted for, and the theorem is proved. He accounts for all the major achievements in topology over the last few years. [= He records] Firms employing over 1000 people accounted for 50% of total employment. [= represented 50%]

accurate [see also: precise] The zeros of L-functions are all accurate to within 10^{-5} .

- **achieve** [see also: attain, reach, take, gain] Equality is achieved only for a = 1. The function g achieves its maximum at x = 5. Among all X with fixed L^2 norm, the extremal properties are achieved by multiples of U. This achieves our objective of describing.....
- **achievement** [see also: result] He accounts for all the major achievements in topology over the last few years. Their remarkable achievement seemed to validate John's claim. However, it

soon turned out that..... • a considerable $\langle extraordinary/fine/important/impressive/outstand-ing/significant \rangle$ achievement

- acknowledge We acknowledge a debt to the paper of Black [7]. This research was initiated when the first author was visiting the University of Alberta in the summer of 2008; the financial support and kind hospitality are gratefully acknowledged. We also acknowledge useful discussions with J. Brown. The author gratefully acknowledges the referee's helpful comments pertaining to the first draft of this paper. [Do not write: "I acknowledge Dr. Brown for....." if you mean: I wish to thank Dr. Brown for.....]
- acquire [see also: get] Thus, the tensor algebra acquires a graded algebra structure.
- **across** Along the way, we come across some perhaps unexpected rigidity properties of familiar spaces.
- act [see also: operate, proceed] We make G act trivially on Y. The player has to decide which of the two strategies is better for him and act accordingly.
- action All of the action in creating S_{i+1} takes place in the individual cells of type 2 or 3. Away from critical points, the action of G is reminiscent of the action of a cyclic group of order d.
- actual Actual construction of..... may be accomplished in a variety of ways.
- actually [see also: fact, more] The operator A is not merely symmetric, but actually selfadjoint.
 Actually, Theorem 3 gives more, namely,..... Actually, the proof gives an even more precise conclusion:..... Although the definition may seem artificial, it is actually very much in the spirit of Darbo's old argument in [5]. Our present assumption implies that the last inequality in (8) must actually be an equality. This accords with the intuition that as we pass down the coding tree, we find out more and more detailed information about the ordering actually represented.
- adapt [≠ adopt; see also: adjust, alter, change, convert, modify, vary, fit, suitable] The proof of Theorem 5 is easily adapted to any open set. The method of proof of Theorem B can be adapted to extend the right-to-left direction of Mostowski's result by showing that..... This definition is well adapted for dealing with meromorphic functions. The hypotheses of [4] are different, however, and do not seem to adapt easily to the time-inhomogeneous case.
- **adaptation** [see also: adjustment, modification, variation] Our method of proof will be an adaptation of the reasoning used on pp. 71–72 of [3].
- add [see also: sum, total] Adding equations (2) and (3), we obtain..... [Not: "Adding by sides"]
 The terms with n > N add up to less than 2.
- addition Addition of (2) and (3) gives..... The set S is a semigroup with respect to coordinatewise addition. If h is modified by the addition of a suitable constant, it follows that..... • In addition to illustrating how our formulas work in practice, it provides a counterexample to Brown's conjecture. • In addition to f being convex, we require that..... • In addition to a contribution to W_1 , there may also be one to W_2 . • Assume, in addition to the hypotheses of Exercise 4, that.....
- additional [see also: extra, further, more] Now F has the additional property of being convex.
 This solution has the additional advantage of being easily computable.
 If p = 0 then there are an additional m arcs. [Note the article an.]

additionally [see also: also, moreover] Now F is additionally assumed to satisfy.....

- address [see also: deal, take up] Strong compactness will be addressed in Section 3. The main problems that we address are..... Addressing this issue requires using the convergence properties of Fourier series. We close this article by addressing, in part, the case of what happens if we replace the map T by convolution.
- adhere [to sth; see also: adopt] We adhere to the convention that 0/0 = 0.
- ad hoc [see also: provisional, temporary] With Lemma 2 in mind, we make the following ad hoc definition.
- adjoin If we adjoin a third congruence to F, say a = b, we obtain..... The extended real number system is R with two symbols, ∞ and $-\infty$, adjoined.
- **adjust** [see also: alter, adapt, change, modify] In the latter case we may simply adjust F to equal 1 on the Borel set where it falls outside the specified interval. The constants are so adjusted in (6) that (8) holds.
- **adjustment** [*see also*: adaptation, modification] Although these proofs run along similar lines, there are subtle adjustments necessary to fit the argument to each new situation.
- **admit** [sth; of sth] The continuum Y is tree-like since it admits a map onto X. This inequality admits of a simple interpretation.
- adopt $[\neq adapt; see also: adhere, take]$ We adopt throughout the convention that compact spaces are Hausdorff. • We adopt the convention that the first coordinate *i* increases as one goes downwards, and the second coordinate *j* increases as one goes from left to right. • To avoid undue repetition in the statements of our theorems, we adopt the following convention. • This is the point of view adopted in Section 3. • Furthermore, adopting this strategy considerably eases constructing a coding tree from a linear order. • We could have adopted an approach to proving Theorem 2 along a line of reasoning which bears greater resemblance to the treatment of the analogous result in Section 1.
- **advance** [see also: development] The primary advance is to weaken the assumption that H is C^2 , used by previous authors, to the natural condition that H is C^1 . Remarkable advances have been made recently in the understanding of.....
- **advantage** [of sth over sth; of doing sth; see also: merit, benefit] One major advantage of.... is that..... The advantage of using..... lies in the fact that..... This solution has the additional advantage of being easily computable. This approach fails to take advantage of the Gelfand topology on the character space. We take advantage of this fact on several occasions, by not actually specifying the topology under consideration. On the other hand, as yet, we have not taken advantage of the basic property enjoyed by S: it is a simplex. a considerable (decisive/definite/obvious/main/significant) advantage
- **advantageous** [*see also*: helpful, useful] In this case it is advantageous to transfer the problem to (say) the upper half-plane.
- **advent** However, with the recent advent of simulation based inference, the need for analytically tractable posteriors is no longer critical.

- affect [see also: influence] Altering finitely many terms of the sequence u_n does not affect the validity of (9). We show that one can drop an important hypothesis of the saddle point theorem without affecting the result. How is the result affected if we assume merely that f is bounded?
 If a, b, and c are permuted cyclically, the left side of (2) is unaffected. Properties involving topological centres are unaffected by a change to an equivalent weight.
- affirmative We give an affirmative answer to the question of [3].
- afford [see also: provide, furnish, yield] A counterexample is afforded by the Klein–Gordon equation. We can now pose a problem whose solution will afford an illustration of how (5) can be used. Having illustrated our method in Section 2, we can afford to be brief in our proof of Theorem 5.
- afield To go into this in detail would take us too far afield.
- **aforementioned** Our first result generalizes (8) by exploiting some general facts seemingly overlooked by the aforementioned authors. • We underline that the aforementioned results in [1] all rely on the conformality of the underlying construction.
- after The proof of (8) will be given after we have proved that..... We defer the proof of the "moreover" statement in Theorem 5 until after the proof of the lemma. After making a linear transformation, we can assume..... The desired conclusion follows after one divides by t and lets t tend to 0. However, as pointed out right after (5),.....
- **again** Hence, by (7) again, we have..... \bullet Finally, case (E) is completed by again invoking Theorem 1. \bullet The operator H is again homogeneous.
- **against** No specific evidence against the conjecture has been produced yet.
- **agree** [see also: accord, correspond, match] Our definition agrees with the one of [3]. The liftings on A and B agree on $A \cap B$, hence we can piece them together to obtain.....
- **agreement** [see also: accordance] This is in agreement with our previous notation. With this agreement, it is clear that.....
- aid [see also: help] The solutions can be carried back to H(V) with the aid of the mapping function ϕ . We see with the aid of an integration by parts that..... We now construct a group that will be of aid in determining the order of G.
- aim 1 [see also: desire, end, object, objective, task, purpose, intention] Our first aim is to study the ergodic properties of T. • Our aim here is to give some sort of "functorial" description of Kin terms of G. • This connection has been exploited to construct various infinite families, with the aim of filling possible gaps. • In the remainder of this section, we study some properties of K, with the eventual aim (not realized yet) of describing K directly using G. • the broad $\langle \text{general/central/main/major/primary/limited/modest/underlying/original} \rangle$ aim

2 [to do sth, at sth, for sth; see also: design, intend] We aim to prove the following inequality:.....
These results therefore describe the very close connection between the method of encoding and the structures we are aiming to classify.
Aiming for a contradiction, suppose that.....

alas [see also: unfortunately] Having established (1), one might be tempted to try to extend this result to general p through the choice of a suitable ideal B. Alas, as we shall see now, this attempt is futile.

- **albeit** [= though] However, we shall show in Section 3 that this simply results in Definition 3 again, albeit with complex weight. It is proved in [1] (albeit with a slightly different formulation) that.....
- algebra By elementary algebra, we can show that.....
- **algorithm** It is obvious that the above theorem supplies an algorithm to effectively recognize whether SP is in A. He used a new version of an algorithm for finding all normal subgroups of up to a given index in a finitely presented group.
- all [see also: any, each, every, whole, total] Hence all that we have to do is choose an x in X such that..... Thus, all that remains is to repeat the construction for f in place of g. An examination of the argument just given reveals that this is all we have used. All but a finite number of the G_s are empty. Note that any, but not all, of the sets αh^{-1} and βg^{-1} can be empty. Now E, F and G all extend to U. a manifold all of whose geodesics are closed [= a manifold whose geodesics are all closed] They all have their supports in V. They are all zero at p. This map extends to all of M. These volumes bring together all of R. Bing's published mathematical papers. If t does not appear in P at all, we can jump forward n places. But $A_n z^n$ is much larger than the sum of all the other terms in the series $\sum A_k z^k$. Thus A is the union of all the sets B_x . the space of all continuous functions on X the all-one sequence Any vector with three or fewer 1s in the last twelve places has at least eight 1s in all. The elements of G, numbering 122 in all, range from 9 to 2000.
- allow [sth; sth to be sth; sb to do sth; see also: enable, permit, possible] These theorems allow one to guess the Plancherel formula. [Or: allow us to guess; not: "allow to guess"] • As the space of Example 3 shows, complete regularity of X is not enough to allow us to do that. • This allows proving the representation formula without having to integrate over X. • This easily allows the cases c = 1, 2, 4 to be solved. • By allowing f to have both positive and negative coefficients, we obtain..... • It is therefore natural to allow (5) to fail when x is not a continuity point of F. • The limit always exists (we allow it to take the value ∞). • Lebesgue discovered that a satisfactory theory of integration results if the sets E_i are allowed to belong to a larger class of subsets of the line. • In [3] we only allowed weight functions that were C^1 . • It should be possible to enhance the above theorem further by allowing an arbitrary locally compact group L. • Here we allow a = 0. • We deliberately allow that a given B may reappear in many different branches of the tree.
- allude [to sth; see also: mention, refer] We now come to the theorem which was alluded to in the introduction of the present chapter. One should remark that the ambiguity alluded to in Remark 3 disappears when talking about an affine field.
- **almost** [see also: nearly, practically] It is almost as easy to find an element..... Incidentally, the question of whether K(E) is amenable for specific Banach spaces E seems to have received almost no attention in the literature.
- **alone** [see also: only, solely] Neither (1) nor (2) alone is sufficient for (3) to hold. Now M does not consist of 0 alone. Then F is a function of x alone.
- along This is derived in Section 3 along (together) with a new proof of Morgan's theorem.
 The proof proceeds along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 5, but the details are more complicated.
 For direct constructions along more classical lines, see [KL].
 Although these

proofs run along similar lines, there are subtle adjustments necessary to fit the argument to each new situation. • Along the way, we come across some perhaps unexpected rigidity properties of familiar spaces.

- **already** This has already been proved in Section 4. This idea is very little different from what can already be found in [2]. We put b in R unless a is already in. In the physical context already referred to, K is the density of..... [Note the double r in *referred*.] Inserting additional edges destroys no edges that were already present.
- **also** [see also: moreover, furthermore, likewise, too] Hence f_n also converges to f. We shall also leave to the reader the proof of (5). Since R is a polynomial in x, so also is P. The map G is also not C^1 . It is also not difficult to obtain the complete additivity of μ . The k_i are not values of u and hence also not values of w.
- alter [see also: adjust, change, convert, modify, transform, vary] We shall need ways of constructing new triangulations from old ones which alter the *f*-vector in a predictable fashion. • Altering finitely many terms of the sequence u_n does not affect the validity of (9). • The theorem implies that some finite subcollection of the f_i can be removed without altering the span.
- alternate The terms of the series (1) decrease in absolute value and their signs alternate. Successive vertices on a path have alternating labels.
- **alternately** Every path on G passes through vertices of V and W alternately.
- **alternative** An alternative way to analyze S is to note that..... Here is an alternative phrasing of part (1):..... An ingenious alternative proof, shorter but still complicated, can be found in [MR].
- alternatively Alternatively, it is straightforward to show directly that.....
- **although** [see also: though] Although [1] deals mainly with the unit disc, most proofs are so constructed that they apply to more general situations. • Although these proofs run along similar lines, there are subtle adjustments necessary to fit the argument to each new situation. • Although the definition may seem artificial, it is actually very much in the spirit of Darbo's old argument in [5]. • Now f is independent of the choice of γ (although the integral itself is not). • Thus, although we follow the general pattern of proof of Theorem A, we must also introduce new ideas to deal with the lack of product structure. • Although standard, the notion of a virtual vector bundle is not particularly well known.
- **altogether** [see also: completely, total, whole] However, we prefer to avoid this issue altogether by neglecting the contribution of B to S. There are forty-three vertices altogether.
- **always** The problem is that, whatever the choice of F, there is always another function f such that..... The induced topology is not compact, but we can always get it to be contained in a Bohr topology. The vector field H always points towards the higher A-level.
- **ambiguity** On the other hand, there is enormous ambiguity about the choice of M. One should remark that the ambiguity alluded to in Remark 3 disappears when talking about an affine field. • When there is no ambiguity we drop the dependence on B and write just Y_T for $Y_{T,B}$. • This also resolves the ambiguity introduced earlier in choosing an order of the lifts of U.
- **among** [= amongst; see also: between, of, out of, include] Among the attempts made in this direction, the most notable ones were due to Jordan and Borel. Among all X with fixed L^2

norm, the extremal properties are achieved by multiples of U. • If a_n is the largest among $a_1, ..., a_n$, then..... • Our main results state in short that MEP characterizes type 2 spaces among reflexive Banach spaces. • The existence of a large class of measures, among them that of Lebesgue, will be established in Chapter 2. • There are several theorems for a number of other varieties. Among these are the Priestley duality theorem and..... • the number of solutions (x_1, \ldots, x_n) in which there are fewer than r distinct values amongst the x_i • The next corollary shows among other things that..... [Not: "among others"] • Our result generalizes Urysohn's extension theorems, among others. [= among other theorems]

amount 1 The quantities F and G differ by an arbitrarily small amount. • Thus θ will be less than π by an amount comparable to a(s). • It is intuitively clear that the amount by which S_n exceeds zero should follow the exponential distribution. • It contributes half of the amount on the right hand side of (1).

2 [to sth; to doing sth; see also: total, add up] When n = 0, (7) just amounts to saying that..... • This just amounts to a choice of units. • Consumer spending on those items amounts to \$9 billion. • Internet sales still amounted to only 3% of all retail sales in November.

- **analogous** [to sth] The theory of..... is entirely (completely) analogous to..... We shall also refer to a point as backward nonsingular, with the obvious analogous meaning. Using (2) and following steps analogous to those above, we obtain.....
- **analogously** [to sth] The lower limit is defined analogously: simply interchange sup and inf in (1). The notion of backward complete is defined analogously by exchanging the roles of f and f^{-1} . Analogously to Theorem 2, we may also characterize.....
- **analogue** [of sth; *amer.* analog] This is an exact analogue of Theorem 1 for closed maps. \bullet No analogue of such a metric appears to be available for Z.
- analogy Let us see what such a formula might look like, by analogy with Fourier series. In analogy with (1) we have..... There is a close analogy between..... The analogy with statistical mechanics would suggest..... Our presentation is therefore organized in such a way that the analogies between the concepts of topological space and continuous function, on the one hand, and of measurable space and measurable function, on the other, are strongly emphasized.
- analyse A model for analysing rank data obtained from several observers is proposed.
- **analysis** [see also: exploration, investigation, study] The only case requiring further analysis occurs when f = 0. We now transfer the above analysis back to M(A). The analysis is similar to that of [3]. Analysis of the proofs of these previous results shows that..... These results show that an analysis purely at the level of functions cannot be useful for describing..... • a careful (close/comprehensive/detailed/systematic/thorough) analysis
- **angle** Draw the half-line from x at angle ϕ to θ . The line T makes a right angle with the chosen direction. Then F and G make angle α . Let ABC be an angle of sixty degrees. The two lines intersect at an angle of ninety degrees. As the point z moves around the unit circle, the corresponding J_z 's are rotations of angle t(z).
- **announce** Kim announces that (by a tedious proof) the upper bound can be reduced to 10.
- **anomalous** The prime 2 is anomalous in this respect, in that the only edge from 2 passes through 3.

- another Another group of importance in physics is $SL_2(R)$. In the next section we introduce yet another formulation of the problem. • It has some basic properties in common with another most important class of functions, namely, the continuous ones. • We have thus found another three solutions of (5). [= three more] • Here the interesting questions are not about individual examples, but about the asymptotic behaviour of the set of examples as one or another of the invariants (such as the genus) goes to infinity. • Then one Y_i can intersect another only in one point. • It is highly likely that if one of the X's is exchanged for another, the inequality fails.
- **answer** [see also: explanation, solution] An affirmative answer is given to the question of [3] whether..... When A is commutative, the answer to both questions is "yes". The algorithm returns 0 as its answer. The answer depends on how broadly or narrowly the term "matrix method" is defined. The answer is not known to us. What is the answer if a = 0?
- any [see also: arbitrary, all, each, every, whatever, whichever] By deleting the intervals containing x, if any, we obtain..... • There are few, if any, other significant classes of processes for which such precise information is available. • Let Q denote the set of positive definite forms (including imprimitive ones, if there are any). • The preceding definitions can of course equally well be made with any field whatsoever in place of the complex field. • If K is now any compact subset of H, then there exists..... • Note that F(t) may only be defined a.e.; choose any one determination in (7). • Note that any, but not all, of the sets αh^{-1} and βg^{-1} can be empty. • for any two triples [Not: "for every two triples"; "every" requires a singular noun.]
- **apart** [from sth; see also: besides, except, distinguish] Apart from these two lemmas, we make no use of the results of [4]. • That is—apart from the use of relaxed controls—precisely the stochastic Bellman equation. • Apart from being very involved, the proof requires the use of..... • There is a curve lying entirely in the open strip $0 < \sigma < 1$ apart from the endpoints such that..... • for no x apart from the unique solution of..... • [Note the difference between besides, except and apart from: besides usually indicates "adding" something, except "subtracts", and apart from can be used in both senses; after no, nothing etc., all three can be used.] • Their centres are a distance at least N apart. • The m points x_1, \ldots, x_m are regularly spaced t units apart. • What sets the case n = 5 apart is the fact that homotopic embeddings in a 5-manifold need not be isotopic.
- **apparatus** Keller, in his fundamental paper [7] concerning duality, develops an apparatus that allows him to obtain a very wide variety of duality theorems.
- **apparent** [see also: clear, evident, obvious, plain] If one studies the proof of..... it is apparent that (2) is never used. It is now apparent what the solution for K will be like:..... This is usually called the area theorem, for reasons that will become apparent in the proof.
- apparently [see also: seemingly] Fox has apparently [= as one can see] overlooked the case of.....
 Note that the apparently [= seemingly] infinite product in the denominator is in fact finite.
 The reader may wonder why we have apparently ignored the possibility of obtaining a better lower bound by considering.....
- appeal 1 [see also: recourse] Recently proofs have been constructed which make no appeal to integration. In the preceding proof, the appeal to the dominated convergence theorem may seem to be illegitimate since..... Through an appeal to (5.3) we have.....

2 [see also: invoke, refer] • At this stage we appeal to Theorem 2 to deduce that..... • We can also appeal to Lemma 5 to see that the uniform continuity condition (5.3) is met. • If s_0 lies

below R_{-2} , then we can reflect about the real axis and appeal to the case just considered. • One of the appealing aspects of the spectral set γ is that it readily lends itself to explicit computation.

- appear [see also: look, occur, seem, turn out, turn up, look] However, no extension in this direction has appeared in the literature. The statement does appear in [3] but there is a simple gap in the sketch of proof supplied. It will eventually appear that the results are much more satisfactory than one might expect. The zeros appear at intervals of 2m. Every prime in the factorization appears to an even power. No analogue of such a metric appears to be available for Z. Conditions relating to bounds on the eigenvalues appear to be rare in the literature.
 At first glance, this appears to be a strange definition. [= seems to be] Neighbourhoods of points in these spaces appear at first glance to have a nice regular structure, but upon closer scrutiny, one sees that many neighbourhoods contain collections of arcs hopelessly folded up. This may appear rather wasteful, especially when n is close to m, but these terms only give a small contribution to our sum. It does not appear feasible to adapt the methods of this paper to.....
- **appearance** The only additional feature is the appearance of a factor of 2. This convention simplifies the appearance of results such as the inversion formula.
- **applicability** The abstract theory gives us a tool of much wider applicability.
- **applicable** [to sth] We now provide a bound applicable to systems of..... The hypothesis n > 1 ensures that Lemma 2 is applicable.
- **application** [see also: means, use, via] Repeated application of (4) shows that..... As an example of the application of Theorem 5, suppose..... Even in the case n = 2, the application of Theorem 6 gives essentially nothing better than the inequality..... Specifically, one might hope that a clever application of something like Choquet's theorem would yield the desired conclusion. A drawback to Pólya trees, and perhaps the main reason why they have not seen much application within the Bayesian nonparametric literature, is that an arbitrary partition tree needs to be specified. As an application, consider the Dirichlet problem Lf = 0. We then show how this leads to stronger results in applications. [Do not use "application" when you mean "map": a map $f: X \to Y$ (not: "an application f").]
- **apply** [to sth; sth to sth; sth to do sth; see also: employ, use, utilize, pertinent, relevant] Now (5) follows from (4) if (2) is applied to the last equation. We now apply the previous observation to estimate F. We apply this to g to obtain.... In particular, the theorem applies to weakly confluent maps. We finish by mentioning that, suitably modified, the results of Section 2 apply to the AP case. More generally this argument also applies to characterizing Hurewicz subsets of I. Then the same argument as in Theorem 5 applies to show that L(R) fails to be amenable. Actually, [3, Theorem 2] does not apply exactly as stated, but its proof does.
- **appreciation** He would like to express his appreciation to the faculty and staff of the Dartmouth mathematics department for their hospitality.
- **approach 1** [to sth; to doing sth; see also: method, technique, procedure, way, line] We take the same approach as in [3]. • We could have adopted an approach to proving Theorem 2 along a line of reasoning which bears greater resemblance to the treatment of the analogous result in Section 1. • Very recently, Heck introduced a general approach that unifies and extends all these results. • The case a = 1 requires a different approach. • It seems that the relations between

these concepts emerge most clearly when the setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to the subject. \bullet We sketch below one possible approach to obtaining such refinements. \bullet The novelty of our approach lies in using..... \bullet It is important to notice some of the weaknesses inherent in the above approach The approach in [GT] provides a unified way of treating a wide variety of seemingly disparate examples.

2 [see also: converge, tend] In the study of infinite series $\sum a_n$ it is of significance whether the a_n approach zero rapidly.

- **appropriate** [see also: suitable, convenient, good, fit, correspond] Theorems 3 and 6 of [2], with the appropriate changes, are also valid. By writing out the appropriate equations, we see that this is equivalent to..... Perhaps it is appropriate at this point to note that a representing measure is countably additive if and only if..... It seems appropriate to mention in passing the corresponding formula for the cohomology of B_n .
- appropriately [see also: conveniently, suitably] Now choose t appropriately as a function of ε.
 If A is such an operator (appropriately chosen) then.....
 Suppose that S is an (appropriately normalized) positive current on M.
- approximate 1 an approximate solution

2 It is therefore reasonable that the behaviour of p should in some rough sense approximate the behaviour of q. • Here Y is a Poisson variable suitably chosen to approximate X in distribution.
• a sequence of smooth domains that approximates D from within

- **approximately** [see also: roughly, about] Then $x \in R_j$ for some j which is approximately equal to m + k. When we pass from T to $T + \delta$, f(z) changes by approximately M. in the ratio of approximately 3:1
- **arbitrarily** The quantities F and G differ by an arbitrarily small amount. [Not: "arbitrary small"]where C can be made arbitrarily small by taking..... Runge's theorem will now be used to prove that meromorphic functions can be constructed with arbitrarily preassigned poles.
- **arbitrary** [see also: all, each, every, whatever, whichever] This enables us to define solution trajectories x(t) for arbitrary t. The theorem indicates that arbitrary multipliers are much harder to handle than those in M(A). One cannot in general let A be an arbitrary substructure of B here. If X happens to be complete, we can define f on E in a perfectly arbitrary manner.
- area [see also: field] The region A has an area of 15 m². This is an interesting area for future research. This is an area where there is currently a lot of activity.
- **argue** [see also: assert, claim, reason] To see that A = B we argue as follows. But if we argue as in (5), we run into the integral....., which is meaningless as it stands. Arguing by duality we obtain..... It might be argued that the *h*-principle gives the most natural approach to.....
- argument [see also: reasoning] A similar argument holds for the other cases. A deformation retract argument completes the proof. In outline, the argument follows that of the single-valued setting, but there are several significant issues that must be addressed in the *n*-valued case. This argument comes from [4]. This argument is invalid for several reasons. However, this argument is fallacious, because as remarked after Lemma 3,..... By an elementary argument,.....

• This is handled by a direct case-by-case argument. • Following the argument in [3], set..... • The case f = 1 requires a different argument. • But the T_n need not be contractions in L^1 , which is the main obstruction to applying standard arguments for densities. • We give the argument

 $\overline{12}$

when I = R. • Continuity then finishes off the argument. • This completes our argument for (1). • This assumption enables us to push through the same arguments. • It simplifies the argument, and causes no loss of generality, to assume.....

- **arise** [see also: emerge, occur, result], the last equality arising from (8). This case arises when..... The question arises whether..... A further complication arises from 'BP', which works rather differently from the other labels. If no confusion can arise, we write K for both the operator and its kernel.
- **around** The Laurent expansion of f around $\langle about \rangle$ zero is.... To get around this delicate issue, we shall separate the variables s and u. To get around $\langle overcome \rangle$ this difficulty, assume..... As the point z moves around the unit circle, the corresponding J_z 's are rotations of angle t(z).
- **arrange** [see also: order, organize] We wish to arrange that f be as smooth as possible. We can arrange the X_i 's to include every element of F. Let (a_n) be the sequence of zeros of f arranged so that $|a_1| \leq |a_2| \leq \dots$ The present proof is so arranged that it applies without change to holomorphic functions of several variables.
- **arrangement** [see also: order, organization] Since most of the results presented are quite classical (the novelty lies in the arrangement, and some of the proofs are new), I have not attempted to document the source of every item.
- **arrive** [at sth; see also: reach] Setting f = 0, we arrive at a contradiction.
- **article** [see also: paper] We close this article by addressing, in part, the case of what happens if we replace the map T by convolution. We can now formulate the problem to which the rest of this article is dedicated.
- **artificial** Although the definition may seem artificial, it is actually very much in the spirit of Darbo's old argument in [5].
- as [see also: like, since] If f is as in (8), then.... [Not: "like in (8)"] We can multiply two elements of E by concatenating paths, much as in the definition of the fundamental group. \bullet, where each function q is as specified (described) above. • Actually, [3, Theorem 2] does not apply exactly as stated, but its proof does. • They were defined directly by Lax [2], essentially as we have defined them. • For k = 2 the count remains as is. • In the case where A is commutative, as it will be in most of this paper, we have..... \bullet As a first step we identify the image of Δ . Then F has T as its natural boundary. • The algorithm returns 0 as its answer. • Now X can be taken as coordinate variable on M. • If one thinks of x, y as space variables and of z as time, then..... • Then G is a group with composition as group operation. • We have $A \equiv B$ as right modules. • Then E is irreducible as an L-module. •, as is easily verified. •, as noted $\langle as \rangle$ was noted) in Section 2. [Not: "as it was noted"] \bullet , as desired (claimed/required). \bullet The elements of F are not in S, as they are in the proof of.... \bullet Note that F is only nonnegative rather than strictly positive, as one may have expected. \bullet Then G has 10 normal subgroups and as many non-normal ones. \bullet Moreover, H is a free R-module on as many generators as there are path components of X. • But A has three times as many elements as B has. • We can assume that p is as close to q as is necessary for the following proof to work. \bullet Then F can be as great as 16. • Each tree is about two-thirds as deep as it was before. • As M is ordered, we have no difficulty in assigning a meaning to (a, b). • The ordered pair (a, b) can be chosen in 16 ways so as not to be a multiple of (c, d). • Now (3) is clear. As for (4), it is an immediate consequence

of Lemma 6. [= Concerning (4) $] \bullet$ As with the digit sums, we can use alternating digit sums to prove.....[= Just as in the case of digit sums]

- **ask** In this section we ask about the extent to which F is invertible. This is the same as asking which row vectors in R have differing entries at positions i and j. An obvious question to ask is whether the assertion of Theorem 1 continues to hold for.....
- **aspect** [see also: detail, feature, characteristic, ingredient, point] One of the appealing aspects of the spectral set γ is that it readily lends itself to explicit computation. I shall limit myself to three aspects of the subject. We shall touch only a few aspects of the theory. a central $\langle crucial/fundamental/key/main/major/principal/fascinating/striking/neglected \rangle$ aspect
- assert [see also: say, state] The spectral radius formula asserts that..... Puiseux's theorem asserts the existence of..... We also need the following technical lemma, which asserts the rarity of numbers with an inordinately large number of prime factors. Here is a more explicit statement of what the theorem asserts. To prove the asserted convergence result, first note that.....
- assertion [see also: conclusion, statement] Now (2) is clearly equivalent to the assertion that.....
 , which proves the assertion. [Not: "the thesis"] If we prove (8), the assertion follows.
 The interest of the lemma is in the assertion that..... Assertion (b) is known as the Radon-Nikodym theorem.
- **assess** [see also: estimate] To assess the quality of this lower bound, we consider the following special case.
- **assign** [see also: associate] The map f assigns to each x the unique solution of..... As M is ordered, we have no difficulty in assigning a meaning to (a, b). A weighted graph is one in which each vertex is assigned an integer (called its weight). Here the variable h is assigned degree 1.
- **assignment** The assignment of K_1 to K, and of T_1 to T, defines a functor between the category of commutative algebras and the category of compact semigroups with continuous homomorphisms.
- **associate** [sth with/to sth; see also: assign, connect, link, relate, join] With each D there is associated a region V_D . Associated with each Steiner system is its automorphism group, that is, the set of all..... Let l be an eigenvalue of A, and let v be an associated eigenvector. Generally we add a tilde to distinguish between quantities associated to \tilde{G} and those associated to G.
- assume [see also: suppose, presume] Here F is assumed to be open. [But: We assume that F is open, not: "We assume F to be open".], the limit being assumed to exist for every real x.
 The assumed positivity of u_n is essential for these results. We can assume, by decreasing n if necessary, that..... We may (and do) assume that..... We tacitly assume that..... It is assumed that..... We follow Kato [3] in assuming that f is upper semicontinuous. The reader is assumed to be familiar with elementary K-theory. Then X assumes values 0, 1, ..., 9, each with probability 1/10.
- **assumption** [see also: condition, hypothesis, requirement] We make two standing assumptions on the maps under consideration. By the smoothness assumption on f, \dots By assumption, If the boundary is never hit then x_t is a Feller process under reasonable con-

tinuity assumptions. • We establish our results both unconditionally and on the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis. • We note that the assumption of GCH is made for convenience and ease of presentation. • Then F is continuous at zero, contrary to assumption. • a basic $\langle fundamental/implicit/tacit/underlying/reasonable/erroneous \rangle$ assumption

- at At the fourth comparison we have a mismatch. At the suggestion of the referee, we consider some simple cases. • The match occurs at position 7 in T. • Now R is the localization of Q at a maximal ideal. • Next, F preserves angles at each point of U. • We may assume that this is the first point at which these two curves have met. • at the end of Section 2 • Now F is defined to make G and H match up at the left end of I. • The zeros appear at intervals of 2m. • We can make g Lipschitz at the price of weakening condition (i). • The two lines intersect at an angle of ninety degrees.
- **attach** The name of Harald Bohr is attached to bG in recognition of his work on almost periodic functions.
- attain [see also: achieve, reach, take] Equality is attained only for a = 1. The function g attains its maximum at x = 5. Now (c) asserts only that the overall maximum of f on U is attained at some point of the boundary.
- attempt 1 [at sth; at doing sth; to do sth; see also: trial] This work was intended as an attempt to motivate $\langle \text{at motivating} \rangle$ There are other problems with this example which would hinder any attempt to follow the proof given here too closely. Among the attempts made in this direction, the most notable ones were due to Jordan and Borel. This attempt is doomed because the homogeneity condition fails to hold. [= The attempt is certain to fail] Having established (1), one might be tempted to try to extend this result to general p through the choice of a suitable ideal B. Alas, as we shall see now, this attempt is futile. a successful $\langle \text{unsuccessful/failed/serious/repeated} \rangle$ attempt

2 [sth; to do sth; see also: try] In 1988, while attempting to generalize this result, the second author noticed that..... \bullet Since most of the results presented are quite classical (the novelty lies in the arrangement, and some of the proofs are new), I have not attempted to document the source of every item. \bullet Thus it is reasonable to attempt, using this homeomorphism, to gain an understanding of the structure of M.

- **attention** It is a pleasure to thank R. Greenberg for bringing his criterion for..... to our attention, and for generously sharing his ideas about it. • We now turn our attention to..... • We can do this by restricting attention to..... • In this section I shall focus attention on..... • From now on we confine attention to R^2 . • Incidentally, the question of whether K(E) is amenable for specific Banach spaces E seems to have received almost no attention in the literature.
- author The author thanks H. Miller for a careful reading of an earlier draft. This observation prompted the author to look for a more constructive solution. The above construction has led the author to believe that..... The author's interest in this problem was recently rekindled by a conversation with David Lees. To the best of the author's knowledge, the problem is still open.
 The only references known to the authors are [A] and [V], where the case A = L(E) is settled in the negative. In 1988, while attempting to generalize this result, the second author noticed that..... The paper was commenced whilst the second author held a Fullbright Fellowship. Our first result generalizes (8) by exploiting some general facts seemingly overlooked by the aforementioned authors. This topic has been dealt with by many authors. A number of

authors have considered, in varying degrees of generality, the problem of determining.....

automatic An *i*-dependent lower bound is automatic by continuity.

automatically Note that $f = \lim f_n$ automatically exists.

- **auxiliary** In Section 2 the reader will be reminded of some important properties of Bernoulli numbers, and some auxiliary results will be quoted or derived.
- **available** No analogue of such a metric appears to be available for Z. A further tool available is the following classical result of Chen.
- **average** 1 The observed values of X will on average cluster around points where..... How many multiplications are done on average?

2 P rides a cycle at an average speed of 20 km an hour. • The earth has an average density 5.5 times that of water.

3 The measure μ is then obtained by averaging the standard measures over all choices of.....

- avoid [sth; doing sth; see also: miss] We should avoid using (2) here, since..... [Not: "avoid to use"] However, we prefer to avoid this issue altogether by neglecting the contribution of B to S. To avoid undue repetition in the statements of our theorems, we adopt the following convention. They defined the concept solely in terms of the norm of the Banach space, deliberately avoiding any extra structure. This example indicates that the inductive argument in the proof of Theorem 1 is unavoidable.
- **await** Nevertheless we still await a full characterization of the Banach spaces E such that K(E) is amenable.
- **aware** [see also: know] At the time of writing [5], I was not aware of this reference. One must also be aware that the curvature of M_i might not be bounded uniformly in i. In 1925 Franklin, unaware of Stackel's work, showed.....
- **away** [see also: beyond, off, outside, distance] Then F is smooth away (bounded away) from zero. Away from critical points, the action of G is reminiscent of the action of a cyclic group of order d. It follows that z is at least 2MN away from the left endpoint of I.

\mathbf{B}

- **back** A linear transformation brings $\langle \text{takes} \rangle$ us back to the case in which..... The solutions can be carried back to H(V) with the aid of the mapping function ϕ(a result that dates back to a 1915 paper of Hadamard) This idea goes back at least as far as [3]. This argument goes back to Banach. Schenzel's formula frequently allows us to move back and forth between the commutative algebra of k[P] and the combinatorics of P. We now transfer the above analysis back to M(A). We now turn back to our main question.
- **background** For background information, see [5]. For relevant background material concerning random walks, see [2]. Section 2 contains an overview of the necessary background.
- **backward(s)** We shall also refer to a point as backward nonsingular, with the obvious analogous meaning. The notion of backward complete is defined analogously by exchanging the roles of f and f^{-1} . Extend this sequence of numbers backwards, defining N_{-1} , N_{-2} and N_{-3} by.....
 - \bullet Then G is simply g with its periodic string read backwards. \bullet Let A' be A run backwards. \bullet

[In most adverbial uses, *backward* and *backwards* are interchangeable; as an adjective, the more standard form is *backward*: a *backward shift*.]

ball a ball of radius r about the origin • the ball of radius r centred at x (with centre at x)

base 1 [see also: basis] the base p expansion (representation) of $x \bullet$ From now on all logarithms are to base two. \bullet Take as base for a topology on X the sets of the form..... \bullet For the base step of the induction, consider a vertex t in A. **2** [see also: depend, rest, rely] Our proof of Theorem 2 is based upon ideas found in [BN]. \bullet We

base our development on two properties of prolongation peculiar to this case. \bullet For our purposes here, the best way is to base the proof on the following theorem, a derivation of which can be found in [P].

- **basic** [see also: crucial, essential, critical, main, key, primary, elementary] On the other hand, as yet, we have not taken advantage of the basic property enjoyed by S: it is a simplex. We presume a basic knowledge of large cardinals and forcing. For the basics on tensor products, see [Da].
- **basically** [see also: essentially, mainly] As noted in the introduction, this is basically combining Sawyer's result with a variation of the arguments of Hunt.
- **basis** [of sth, for sth; *pl.* bases; *see also*: base, foundation, underlie] Theorem 2 will form the basis for our subsequent results. The basis of most of these theorems is Jensen's formula.
- be [see also: being] The theorem to be proved is the following. [= which will be proved] We conclude with two simple lemmas to be used mainly in..... One can, for example, take A to be the rationals in X. This assumption is certainly necessary if the distribution of x_t is to converge to F. If there are to be any nontrivial solutions x then any odd prime must satisfy.....
 However, as we are about to see, this complication is easily handled.
- **bear** An example to bear in mind is behaviour in the basin of a periodic point. There is a fourth notion of phantom map which bears the same relation to the third definition as the first does to the second. We could have adopted an approach to proving Theorem 2 along a line of reasoning which bears greater resemblance to the analogous result in Section 1. All three cases bear a striking resemblance. This shape bears a striking similarity to that of..... While we suspect that the methods of the present section can be brought to bear on this question also [= be relevant to this question], we will not pursue this analysis in detail here.
- **because** [see also: as, for, since] However, this argument is fallacious, because as remarked after Lemma 3, K is discontinuous. This is because the factor M satisfies condition (P). Unfortunately, because of the possible presence of 'cusps', this need not be true. Because of this, W is never long enough to cancel with M in the product ABC.
- become Then F becomes inner when extended to B. The conclusion of Theorem 3 becomes false if this requirement is omitted. When h is in H, the integral formula becomes Af =.....
 It becomes impracticable to compute the zeros of F for degrees greater than 6; in any event, deciding whether the divisors found in this way represent irreducible curves becomes increasingly difficult. Indeed, as n increases, it becomes increasingly rare for a manifold to be a hyperplane section of another projective manifold.

before The sum of the depths is at most two-thirds of what it was before. • Each tree is about

two-thirds as deep as it was before. • The remainder of the estimation is largely as before with B replaced by C. • The proof concludes as before. • Most of the theorems presented here have never been published before. • As noted before, there exists N homeomorphic to P such that..... • Note that P comes before Q along the arc l. • We may require that the point P lie in one of the trees constructed before or during the *i*th stage of the induction. [Note the subjunctive *lie.*]

- **beforehand** For these considerations it will be convenient to state beforehand, for easy reference, the following variant of.....
- **begin** [with sth; by doing sth; see also: proceed, start, commence] We begin by describing the class of functions f considered, which includes the special cases quoted above. We begin with a detailed analysis of..... There is quite an extensive literature concerning resonance problems, beginning with the work of Lazer. To begin, let A_0 be the trivial algebra with automorphism g_0 .
- **beginning** [see also: initially, originally, first, start, outset] (see the definition at the beginning of Section 2) In the beginning [= Initially], he suspected that.....
- **behave** Note that C behaves covariantly with respect to maps of both X and G. We need to check that F-derivatives behave in the way we expect with regard to sums, scalar multiples and products. Hence we would expect the functions F_i to behave similarly. For general rings, Out(R) is not necessarily well-behaved.
- **behaviour** [amer. behavior] It is therefore reasonable that the behaviour of p should in some rough sense approximate the behaviour of q. Some restrictions must be placed on the behaviour of f. This gives some control over the behaviour of..... A function exhibiting this type of behaviour has been constructed by..... We also show the existence of E-transformations exhibiting nearly the full range of behaviours possible for scaling transformations.
- **behind** The idea behind our use of the map σ is that..... This is the motivation behind the following process.
- **being** Note that M being cyclic implies F is cyclic. The probability of X being rational equals 1/2. This is exactly our definition of a weight being regulated. We have to show that the property of there being x and y such that x < y uniquely determines P up to isomorphism. In addition to f being convex, we require that..... Here J is defined to equal Af, the function f being as in (3). [= where the function f is....; not: "being the function f as in"], the constant C being independent of..... The ideal is defined by m =...., it being understood that..... But...., it being convex. This method has the disadvantage of not being intrinsic. [Do not write: "the function f being the solution of (1)" if you mean: the function f that is the solution of (1).]
- **believe** It is this proposition that we believe to be false in Morava E-theory. While nonparametric priors are typically difficult to manipulate, we believe the contrary is true for quantile pyramids. There is no reason to expect this to be an inverse map on K, but we do have the following.
- **belong** [see also: element, member, membership] Lebesgue discovered that a satisfactory theory of integration results if the sets E_i are allowed to belong to a larger class of subsets of the line. Two consecutive elements do not belong both to A or both to B. It turns out that A, B and C

all belong to the same class, which we represent by the symbol P_2 . • For the sake of clarity, we shall indicate in what follows to which space X belongs. • [Do not write: "E belongs to the most important classes of algebras" if you mean: E is one of the most important classes of algebras or E is among the most important classes of algebras.]

- **below** A brief sketch of the reasoning is given below. By Remark 3 below,..... The pressure increases are significantly below those in Table 2. This proves that the dimension of S does not go below q. a function bounded below (above) by 1 As a first step we shall bound A below.
- benefit [see also: advantage, merit] 1 The benefit of formulating our notion of 'isomorphism section' as above will become clear shortly. For the benefit of Pascal programmers, we explain.....
 Although our proof is a little tedious, it is much less so than Ito's original proof, which was carried out without the benefit of martingale technology.

2 In doing this we will also benefit from having the following notation. • We have benefited greatly from discussions with many people, including.....

- besides [see also: apart from, except] Besides being very involved, the proof requires the use.....
 for no x besides the unique solution of.....
 [Note the difference between besides, except and apart from: besides usually "adds" something, except "subtracts", and apart from can be used in both senses; after no, nothing etc., all three can be used.]
 [Do not write: "Besides, the solution is given by (5)" if you mean: Moreover, the solution is given by (5).]
- **best** It is best not to use..... The best one could hope for is $K \ge F$. This result is best possible. [*Or*: the best possible] In Theorem 2 we show that the bound is not far from best possible. The above bound on a_n is close to best possible. The best known of these is the Knaster continuum. It can be inferred from known results that these series at best converge conditionally in L^p .
- **better** [see also: improve, improvement] Recent improvements in the HL-method enable us to do better than this. In fact, we can do even better, and prescribe finitely many derivatives at each point of A. Even in the case n = 2, the application of Theorem 6 gives essentially nothing better than the inequality.....
- **between** [see also: among] There cannot be two edges between one pair of vertices. Generally we add a tilde to distinguish between quantities associated with \tilde{G} and those associated with G. • It seems that the relations between these concepts emerge most clearly when the setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to the subject. • The assignment of K_1 to K, and of T_1 to T, defines a functor between the category of commutative algebras and the category of compact semigroups with continuous homomorphisms.
- **beware** [see also: caution] Beware that the pro-objects of [Me] are indexed by categories that are not necessarily small. Beware that more than one equation is referred to as the fast diffusion equation in the literature.
- beyond [see also: away, off, outside] We would like to know....., but that is beyond our reach at this point. It is beyond the scope of this paper to give a complete treatment of..... Inflation in the first quarter rose beyond the acceptable level of 5%.
- **body** We refer the reader to the body of the paper for details.

- **borrow** We summarize some of its main properties, borrowing from the elegant discussion in Henson's article.
- **both** [see also: either] Both f and g are obtained by..... [Or: f and g are both obtained] \bullet For both C^{∞} and analytic categories,..... \bullet Here C behaves covariantly with respect to maps of both X and G. \bullet We now apply (3) to both sides of (6). \bullet Both conditions (Both these conditions/Both the conditions) are restrictions only on..... [Note: the after both.] \bullet Then C lies on no segment both of whose endpoints lie in K. \bullet Two consecutive elements do not belong both to A or both to B. \bullet Both its sides are convex. [Or: Its sides are both convex.] \bullet Here B and Care nonnegative numbers, not both 0. \bullet Choose points x in M and y in N, both close to z, such that..... \bullet We show how this method works in two cases. In both, C is.....
- **bottom** [see also: low, top] Number the successive segments of the boundary line between A and B (marked thickly in the picture) with the numbers 0, 1, ..., n, starting at the bottom. The matrix A has an $n \times m$ block of zeros in the bottom left-hand corner.
- bound 1 [see also: estimate] The above bound on a_n is close to best possible (to the best possible). We conclude that, no matter what the class of b is, we have an upper bound on M.
 The main new feature is the use of the face ring to produce lower bounds for the number of vertices. Kim announces that (by a tedious proof) the upper bound can be reduced to 10.
 2 [see also: dominate, estimate] F is bounded above (below) by a constant times f(z). Then G is bounded away from zero. Furthermore, K is an upper bound on (for) f(x) for x in K. Theorem 1 can be used to bound the number of such T.
- **boundary** Then F has T as its natural boundary.
- **bracket** the expression in brackets (in braces, in parentheses) \bullet the first bracketed term on the right of (6)
- break [see also: decompose, split] The remainder of our work breaks into five steps. In this case the method of [4] breaks down. Then the sequence (8) breaks off in split exact sequences.
 Thus the long exact sequence breaks up into short sequences.
- **brevity** [see also: short, shorthand] For brevity, we drop the subscript t on h_t . [Not: "For shortness"]
- brief [see also: concise, succinct] We conclude with a brief mention of free inverse semigroups.
 We now turn to a brief discussion of another concept which is relevant to John's theorem.
 In brief outline, here is the main idea of the proof.
 We shall be brief here and just estimate the terms that are the most challenging.
 Having illustrated our method in Section 2, we can afford to be brief in our proof of Theorem 5.
- **briefly** $[\neq$ shortly] We denote it briefly by c. [Not: "shortly"] We briefly outline this refinement at the end of Section 2. [Not: "in the end"] The proof will only be indicated briefly. There has since been a series of improvements, of which we briefly mention the work of Levinson.
- bring We must now bring dependence on d into the arguments of [9]. The map F can be brought into this form by setting..... Bruck's theorem on common fixed points for commuting nonexpansive mappings is then brought into play by noting that..... Mary Lane deserves our special thanks for her part in bringing this volume to a successful completion. It is a pleasure to thank R. Greenberg for bringing his criterion for..... to our attention, and for generously

sharing his ideas about it. • This brings about the natural question of whether or not there is any topology on the set of all possible itineraries. • A linear transformation brings us back to the case in which..... • Later, by bringing in the injectivity radius, Fong simplified the argument. • The aim of this paper is to bring together two areas in which..... • These volumes bring together all of R. Bing's published mathematical papers.

- broad [see also: wide] Most of these phenomena can be subsumed under two broad categories.[= included in] Those results permit us to view this fragment of the theory from a broader perspective.
- **broadly** [see also: largely] The answer depends on how broadly or narrowly the term 'matrix method' is defined. The argument follows broadly the same pattern as in Case 1, except that the expression R(n) is useless when q = 5 and ineffective when q = 7.
- **build** [see also: construct, establish, develop, make] This enables discontinuous derivations to be built. The assumption that Q is not a torsion point is built into (5). Kirk, building on work of Penot, developed a more abstract version of..... Thus A can be written as a sum of functions built up from B, C, and D.
- **but** [see also: though, although, however, nevertheless, yet, none, other] If.... then (1) holds but not (2). All but a finite number of the G_s are empty. This will be proved by showing that H has but a single orbit on M. However, (ii) is nothing but the statement that..... [= only the statement that]
- by By continuity (By the continuity) of f,..... By assumption,..... By the above,..... By the induction hypothesis,..... By and large, we shall use the same notation as in..... [= In general]
 term-by-term differentiation a 3 by 4 matrix = a 3 × 4 matrix Thus we need only alter our constants by a factor of 2 to deal with this case. By quasi-equation we understand a sentence of the form.....
- bypass In order to bypass some technicalities, we also assume that.....
- **by-product** [see also: incidentally, pass] As a by-product, we obtain an explicit formula for.....

 \mathbf{C}

- **calculate** [see also: compute] Calculating V is an application of Theorem 5. It can be easily calculated by hand that the nonzero solutions are..... To calculate (2), it helps to visualize the S_n as the successive positions in a random walk.
- **calculation** [see also: computation] The calculation of M(f) is usually no harder than the calculation of N(f). A short calculation shows that..... These upper bounds are too large to be useful in computer calculations in general, but the ideas in the proofs will surely contribute to better bounds in the future. We can do a heuristic calculation to see what the generator of x_t must be. These calculations can be performed entirely in terms of the generators of G. By detailed numerical calculation one may show that.....
- **call** [see also: name, designate, term, appeal, invoke, require] A set D is called a *phase diagram* if..... [No comma before *if* here.] The sequence a_n is what is sometimes called a recovery sequence for v. Call a set a *phase diagram* if..... Call H the width of g. [Note that this phrase defines the last term appearing (width), and H is assumed to be known; cf. Denote by H the width of g, which defines the notation H.] The lemma motivates our calling R a generalized

Picard group. • This corollary calls for some explanation and comment. • We will call on this version of the inverse theorem when we come to our applications in Section 2. • Adding E to both sides of (1), we can call upon (2) to obtain (3).

- **can** [see also: able, could, may] No point of T can be a regular point of f. There cannot be two edges between one pair of vertices. It cannot be that there exists $x \in \Omega \setminus F$, for otherwise $\theta(\delta_x) = \kappa_E(\delta_x) = 0$, which is not the case. But (1) can be interpreted to mean that.....
- **cancel** [see also: compensate, offset] Because of this, W is never long enough to cancel with M in the product ABC. We can immediately use one of these poles to cancel out the effect of the pole at s = 0.
- **capture** The significance of this fact for our purposes is captured by Corollary 3. The notion of turbulence almost exactly captures the combinatorial content of not being an S-action.
- **cardinality** a set of cardinality $\omega \bullet$ The set E has the cardinality of the continuum.
- **care** [see also: caution] This procedure can be extended to take care of any number of terms. One should take great care with..... However, (9) needs handling with greater care. The only point that requires extra care is the verification of..... Special care needs to be exercised in forming the Cauchy kernel of G(x). The above definition was first given in [D]; care is required because this term has been used in a slightly different sense elsewhere.

careful The author thanks H. Miller for a careful reading of an earlier draft.

carefully By carefully examining the relations between the quantities U_i , we see that.....

- **carry** [see also: move, make, perform] This map carries lines to lines $\langle \text{carries } M \text{ onto } N \rangle$. Each set A carries a product measure. The solutions can be carried back to H(V) with the aid of the mapping function ϕ . The detailed analysis of.... is carried out in Section 2. In order to carry out the construction, we must make a judicious choice of P. The method of proof carries over to domains satisfying..... The method sketched in Section 3 of [Con] carries through with our choice of $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$, but there is one extra ingredient worthy of mention.
- **case** [see also: event, possibility] We first do the case n = 1. This argument also settles the case of $K = \Gamma$. • We finish by mentioning that, suitably modified, the results of Section 2 apply to the AP case. • Note that (4) covers the other cases. • There are several cases to consider:..... • We close this article by addressing, in part, the case of what happens if we replace the map T by convolution. \bullet There are quite a number of cases, but they can be described reasonably systematically. • The general case follows by changing x to x - a. • This abstract theory is not in any way more difficult than the special case of the real line. \bullet Important cases are where $S = \dots$ • This case arises when..... • Both cases can occur. • To deal with the zero characteristic case, let..... • Then either...., or.... In the latter $\langle \text{former} \rangle$ case,..... • In the case of finite additivity, we have..... • In the case of $n \ge 1$ (In case $n \ge 1$),..... [Better: If $n \ge 1$ then.....] • In the case where A is commutative, as it will be in most of this paper, we have..... \bullet We shall assume that this is the case. • It cannot be that there exists $x \in \Omega \setminus F$, for otherwise $\theta(\delta_x) = \kappa_E(\delta_x) = 0$, which is not the case. • Unfortunately, this is rarely the case. • However, it need not be the case that V > W, as we shall see in the following example. • Such was the case in (8). • The L^2 theory has more symmetry than is the case in L^1 . • Note that some of the a_n may be repeated, in which case B has multiple zeros at those points. \bullet This is handled by a direct case-by-case argument. \bullet

a classic $\langle \text{textbook/typical/borderline/simple/extreme/rare/striking} \rangle$ case • We use upper case letters to represent inverses of generators. [= capital letters]

- **category** a set whose complement is first category a first category set Define the category of..... to have as objects pairs of...., and as morphisms..... The assignment of K_1 to K, and of T_1 to T, defines a functor between the category of commutative algebras and the category of compact semigroups with continuous homomorphisms. The most frequently used models fall into one of the following two categories.
- **cause** [see also: result] The hypothesis $f(0) \neq 0$ causes no harm in applications, for if..... Clearly, there cannot be more than one such F, since that would cause E to be non-Hausdorff.
- caution [see also: beware, care] 1 For measures that are not of finite variation, more caution is required.
 Nevertheless, in interpreting this conclusion, caution must be exercised because the number of potential exceptions is huge.

2 The reader is cautioned that our notation is in conflict with that of [3].

- **centre** [amer. center] a ball with centre at x [Or: with centre x, centred at x] In (3.6), the most favorable case is when t is in the centre of the interval.
- **certain** The spectrum of T was defined in [4] and identified with the spectrum of a certain algebra A_T . It seems plausible that..... but we have been able to establish this only in certain cases. under certain conditions
- **certainly** [see also: surely] This is certainly reasonable for Algorithm 3, given its simple loop structure. Hence both decay exponentially as $x \to \infty$, therefore certainly remain bounded. As Corollary 2 shows, it is certainly a question deserving further exploration. Here, of course, the set A produced is rather thin and certainly nowhere near the densities we are looking for.
- challenge We shall be brief here and just estimate the terms that are the most challenging.

chance They have a 90 per cent chance of success.

- change 1 [see also: adaptation, adjustment, modification] The shape of F undergoes radical changes as x moves from A to B. The change in arg z around Γ is..... A change in perspective allows us to gain not only more general, but also finer results than in [ST]. After the change of variable z =..... Theorems 3 and 6 of [2], with the appropriate changes, are also valid. The rest of the proof goes through as for Corollary 2, with hardly any changes.
 The present proof is so arranged that it applies without change to holomorphic functions of several variables. From (ii), with an obvious change in notation, we get..... Properties involving topological centres are unaffected by a change to an equivalent weight. a slight (small/subtle/considerable/radical/profound/major/substantial) change
 - **2** [see also: adapt, adjust, alter, convert, modify, replace, revise, transform, vary] Reversing the orientation of Y changes B into -B. By changing at least one of the circles to a rectangle, we obtain..... The method is to change variables to y = x + c so that..... The parameter of the model is changed from p to 1 p. If..... then A changes from (b, c) to (c, d). The difference can change only by an even integer. When we pass from T to $T + \delta$, f(z) changes by approximately M. The limit in 4(b) is unchanged if g is replaced by f. These definitions are taken almost unchanged from the papers of Furstenberg [2, 3].

character Properties (a) to (c) are analytic in character.

characteristic 1 [*see also*: feature, aspect, detail, ingredient, point] The two characteristics are connected, but the relationship is quite a complex one.

2 [of sth; see also: typical, peculiar] This property is characteristic of functions in Q.

- characterization Neumann's characterization of the elements which induce an indecomposable operator is as follows:..... In our next theorem, we state a characterization of..... which does not seem to have been noticed previously.
- **characterize** This condition completely characterizes A_p when..... The chapter concludes with a theorem which shows that the maximum property "almost" characterizes the class of holomorphic functions. Most distribution spaces can be characterized through the action of appropriate convolution operators. Our main results state in short that MEP characterizes type 2 spaces among reflexive Banach spaces. More generally this argument also applies to characterizing Hurewicz subsets of I.
- **check 1** [see also: examination, inspection, verification] It is an elementary check that A is a vector space.

2 [see also: examine, verify, test] It is easily checked that f is l.s.c. • The continuity of f is readily checked, and we can then apply Morera's theorem. • The only thing to check is that maps compose correctly; but this is an easy, if tedious, verification. •

- **choice** [see also: selection] Thus F is independent of the choice of the family S. Indeed, it is routine to verify that the index so constructed is independent of the choices made. • We do not know how V depends on the various choices made. • The choice of A is clearly irrelevant, so assume A = 0. • Our choice of V shows that..... • With this choice of b, \ldots . • For this choice of α, β and with u = z = s, the expression (5.3) simplifies greatly. • Corresponding to each choice of V there is a function f such that..... • The problem is that, whatever the choice of F, there is always another function f such that..... • This just amounts to a choice of units. • By choice of V, \ldots • Having established (1), one might be tempted to try to extend this result to general p through the choice of a suitable ideal B. • There are O(1) possible choices for x. • Where there is a choice of several acceptable forms, that form is selected which..... • It is the freedom of choice of D in this construction that enables us to..... • On the other hand, there is enormous ambiguity about the choice of M. • In order to carry out the construction, we must make a judicious choice of P. • By revising our choice of A if necessary, we may assume that.....
- **choose** [see also: select, pick] This implies that however we choose the points y_i , the intersection point will be their limit point. • In fact, we are at liberty to choose the function f, so we set $f = \dots$ • This also resolves the ambiguity introduced earlier in choosing an order of the lifts of U. • Where we could, we have chosen these examples from naturally occurring mathematical structures. [Not: "choosen"; also, note the double r in occurring.] •where C is so chosen (chosen so) that..... •where C can be chosen independent of n. • Here a and b are chosen to satisfy..... • Here Y is a Poisson variable suitably chosen to approximate X in distribution. • If A is such an operator (appropriately chosen) then..... • However, the space Z depends crucially on the particular ambient algebra A chosen.

circle What is F(c) if c is a positively oriented circle? • a circle with centre a and radius r

circumstances [see also: state, situation, condition] Only in exceptional circumstances is it true that f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y). [Note the inversion.] • The idea is that C is fixed, but X and Y vary according to circumstances. • Under $\langle In \rangle$ what circumstances can this happen?

- circumvent [see also: get around, overcome] The assumptions imposed on f circumvent measurability difficulties.
- cite [= mention; see also: quote] In the proof of the cited theorem, we found sequences a and b with certain properties. the paper cited on p. 45 the above-cited paper
- claim 1 To establish the last claim, let..... Their remarkable achievement seemed to validate John's claim. However, it soon turned out that.....
 2 [see also: assert] Lax claimed to obtain a formula for....., but the argument turned out to be incomplete. Note that for semilattices one could not claim that A is algebraically closed in B.
 We claim that, by setting w to zero on this interval, the value of F(w) is reduced. So F must be constant on H, thereby showing that A = B, as claimed (desired/required).
- clarity When clarity requires it, we shall write..... We shall write "a.e. $[\omega]$ " whenever clarity requires that the measure be indicated. [Note the subjunctive *be.*] For the sake of clarity, we shall indicate in what follows to which space X belongs. It seems preferable, for clarity's sake, not to present the construction at the outset in the greatest generality possible. Standard Banach space notation is used throughout. For clarity, however, we record the notation that is used most heavily.
- **class** Let F be of class T^k for some k. Then E is an equivalence relation on S. Each E-class of S contains..... We divide them into three classes depending on the width of.....
- classic [see also: classical] Chapter 2 of the classic text [6] by R. Nevanlinna has a detailed treatment of this construction. [Note the difference between classic and classical: classic means "of acknowledged excellence" or "remarkably typical" (a classic example); and classical means "well-established, not modern".]
- classical [see also: classic] Theorems 1 to 3 are classical results, due to Lebesgue. Since most of the results presented are quite classical (the novelty lies in the arrangement, and some of the proofs are new), I have not attempted to document the source of every item. The following theorem is clearly motivated by the classical LP-decomposition. Our proofs make substantial use of classical topology of the plane. [Note the difference between classic and classical: classic means "of acknowledged excellence" or "remarkably typical" (a classic example); and classical means "well-established, not modern".]
- classification The middle part of Table 2 compares the classification according to max a_i , where only the longitudinal information is utilized, with those according to max b_{ik} , where both longitudinal and survival information are used.
- clear [see also: evident, obvious, plain] The definition of M makes it clear that F is continuous.
 [Note that the *it* is necessary here.] Now (d) is clear from the very last statement of Theorem 4.
 The difficulty is that it is by no means clear what one should mean by a normal family. It is also clear that there are extensions to....., but they do not seem to be worth the effort of formulating them separately. It is intuitively clear that the amount by which S_n exceeds zero should follow the exponential distribution. If G is clear from context, then we suppress reference to it in the notation. However, it is unclear how to prove Corollary 3 without the rank theorem.
- clearly [see also: evidently, manifestly, obviously] It would clearly have been sufficient to assume..... It seems that the relations between these concepts emerge most clearly when the

setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to the subject.

close 1 [see also: finish, conclude] We close this section with a discussion of..... • We close this article by addressing, in part, the case of what happens if we replace the map T by convolution.
• In closing this section we take up a result which will play a pivotal role in the characterization of..... • We close this off by characterizing.....

2 [see also: near] We have to check that F does not get too close to p. • for t close to 0 • Part of the conclusion is that F moves each z closer to the origin than it was. • Let P be a point of U closest to Q. • It is this point of view which is close to that used in C^* -algebras. • See [AB] for a proof that is close to the original one. • The above bound on a_n is close to best possible \langle to the best possible \rangle . • The products F_iG_i are very close to satisfying (1). • How close does Theorem 1 come to this conjecture? • These results therefore describe the very close connection between the method of encoding and the structures we are aiming to classify.

- **closely** [see also: intimately] Our results are closely related to those of Strang [5]. This notion is closely connected with that of packing dimension. • The proof follows very closely the proof of (2), except for the appearance of the factor x^2 . • There are other problems with this example which would hinder any attempt to follow the proof given here too closely. • The proof closely parallels that of Theorem 1. • The spectral theory of..... is closely linked to the discrete Hilbert transform.
- **clue** A complete explication of the Fox spaces is warranted, as it will likely reveal further clues to the differences between the parabolic and hyperbolic theories.
- cluster The observed values of X will on average cluster around points where.....
- **coefficient** Let D be the coefficient of x^n in the expansion of f. [Not: "coefficient by x^{n} "] Equating coefficients we see that..... Equating the coefficient of x^2 in V to zero, we get..... Now equate the coefficients of x^2 at either end of this chain of equalities.
- **coincidence** This is no coincidence, in the light of the remarks preceding Definition 2. However, this equality turned out to be a mere coincidence.
- **collect** [see also: combine, gather] Collecting the terms with even powers of x, we obtain.....
- collection [see also: set] Instead of dealing with lines one by one, we deal with collections of lines simultaneously. Neighbourhoods of points in these spaces appear at first glance to have a nice regular structure, but upon closer scrutiny, one sees that many neighbourhoods contain collections of arcs hopelessly folded up. Continuing in this fashion, we get a collection {V_r} of open sets, one for every rational r, with..... Next we relabel the collection {A_n, B_n} as {C_n}.
 The words collection, family and class will be used synonymously with set. The theorem implies that some finite subcollection of the f_i can be removed without altering the span.
- **column** We tabulate the outcome for $n \ge 10$; in particular, the column headed R lists R(n) truncated to three decimal places.
- combine [see also: collect, couple, conjunction, gather, piece together] If we combine this with Theorem 2, we see that..... Theorems 1 and 2 combined give a theorem of Fix on..... Now (1) is just (2) and (3) combined. Theorems 1 and 2 combine to give the following result. Then (5) combined with (6) gives..... On combining this with our other estimates in (3.5), we

 $\overline{26}$

deduce that..... • As noted in the introduction, this is basically combining Sawyer's result with a variation of the arguments of Hunt.

- **come**, the last inequality coming from (5). This argument comes from [4]. If we restrict A to sections coming from G, we obtain..... Our interest in..... comes from the fact that..... • We now come to a theorem which was first proved by..... • We will call on this version of the inverse theorem when we come to our applications in Section 2. • Two necessary conditions come to mind immediately. • How close does Theorem 1 come to this conjecture? • The algebra D(F) comes equipped with a differential d such that H(d) = 0. • Along the way, we come across some perhaps unexpected rigidity properties of familiar spaces. • Thus, everything comes down to proving the existence of M. • This is where the notion of an upper gradient comes in. • Other situations in dynamics where the p-adic numbers come up are surveyed in [W].
- **commence** [see also: start, begin] The paper was commenced whilst the second author held a Fullbright Fellowship.
- comment [on sth; see also: remark] He makes the following comments:..... This corollary calls for some explanation and comment. The author thanks John Fox for helpful comments on the content and presentation of this paper. The author gratefully acknowledges the referee's helpful comments pertaining to the first draft of this paper. We shall encounter similar situations again, and shall apply convergence theorems to them without further comment.
- **common** [see also: customary, familiar, usual] The functions f_i (i = 1, ..., n) have no common zero in Ω . Then F and G have a factor in common. It has some basic properties in common with another most important class of functions, namely, the continuous ones. Take $g_1, ..., g_n$ without common zero. Denote by θ the angle at x that is common to these triangles. Here we use an inductive procedure very common in geometric model theory.
- **commonly** [see also: usually] If f maps D into itself, then T is commonly called a composition operator.
- **companion** In a companion paper [5] we treat the case..... here is a companion result to Theorem 2 which shows that.....
- **comparable** Thus θ will be less than π by an amount comparable to a(s).
- **compare** [with sth; see also: relative] The algorithm compares x with each entry in turn until a match is found or the list is exhausted. Our asymptotic results compare reasonably well with the numerical results reported in [8]. We divide them into three classes depending on the width of the middle term as compared with the width of the other terms. We show that A is negligible compared with B. Quarterly sales rose by 9% compared with the same period in 2007. A computer virus can be compared to a biological virus. [Use compare with when you are contrasting two things, and compare to when you want to liken them.]
- comparison Comparison of (2) and (3) gives.....
- **compensate** [see also: cancel, offset] We can immediately use one of these poles to compensate for the effect of the pole at s = 0.
- **complement** We denote the complement of A by A^c whenever it is clear from the context with respect to which larger set the complement is taken. [*Not*: "complement"]

complete 1 [see also: whole, entire, full, total] The proof of the lemma is complete. [Not: "completed"; cf. next example]

2 [see also: accomplish, finish] The proof is completed by invoking Theorem 5. • This completes our argument for (1). •, which completes the proof. [Not: "what completes the proof"]

- completely [see also: entirely, fully, wholly] We remark that Bennett and the third author completely solved equation (4) in the case when..... The intervals we are concerned with are either completely inside A or completely inside B.
- **completeness** We include the proof for completeness. \bullet For completeness of exposition, we now recall the definition of the ordering on R.
- **completion** Mary Lane deserves our special thanks for her part in bringing this volume to a successful completion.
- **complex** [see also: complicated, difficult, hard, involved, intricate, sophisticated] The two characteristics are connected, but the relationship is quite a complex one. In detail the classification is complex, but in essence it is simple.
- **complicated** [see also: complex, difficult, elaborate, hard, involved, intricate] However, for three or more subgroups, matters become more complicated. The known results show that the situation is infinitely more complicated there than in L^2 . Although the idea is simple, its implementation is complicated by the fact that..... We omit the details, which are notationally complicated.
- **complication** [see also: difficulty, problem, trouble] However, as we are about to see, this complication is easily handled. A further complication arises from 'BP', which works rather differently from the other labels.
- **compose** [see also: contain, comprise, consist, constitute, make up] Illiterates compose 20% of the population. Each committee is composed of ten senators. [Not: "is comprised of"]
- **composition** Then G is a group with composition as group operation. \bullet composition on the right with p
- **comprehensive** For a comprehensive treatment and for references to the extensive literature on the subject one may refer to the book [M] by Markov.
- comprise [see also: compose, consist, contain, constitute, make up, include] The monograph comprises three parts. [= has three parts only; cf.: The monograph includes two chapters on divergent series. Use *comprise* only when you mention all parts.] [The form "is comprised of" (instead of *is composed of*) is considered incorrect by many native speakers.]
- computable This solution has the additional advantage of being easily computable.
- **computation** [see also: calculation] Incidentally, our computation shows that..... A short computation shows that..... It is sufficient to make the computation for T. In fact, the computation for A becomes somewhat simpler. One of the appealing aspects of the spectral set γ is that it readily lends itself to explicit computation. This proves one half of (2); the other half is a matter of direct computation. However, one needs to perform computations which become time consuming as n increases.

- **computational** A computational restraint is the algebraic number theory involved in finding these ranks, which will typically be more demanding than in our example of Section 1.
- **compute** [see also: calculate] By computing the second derivative we note that x = 1 is a maximum point for f. Find integral formulas by means of which the coefficients c_n can be computed from f. This provides an effective means for computing the index. Computing f(y) can be done by enumerating A(y) and testing each element for membership in C.
- **conceivably** [see also: possibly] Conceivably, S may also contain other sets.
- **concentrate** In this section, we concentrate on those maps that satisfy..... [Not: "We are concentrated on those maps"] the unit mass concentrated at x
- concept [see also: idea, notion] We now turn to a brief discussion of another concept which is relevant to John's theorem. It seems that the relations between these concepts emerge most clearly when the setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to the subject. They defined the concept solely in terms of the norm of the Banach space, deliberately avoiding any extra structure.
- conceptually We remark that this proof seems conceptually and calculationally simpler.
- **concern** [see also: regard, relate, pertain, involve] **1** Our next concern will be the behaviour of P(r) as r tends to 0. •

2 It is the connection between.... that will concern us here. • This book is wholly concerned with.... • We now introduce the algebras we shall be concerned with. • The question we are concerned with is whether or not f is C^1 . • each of the spaces concerned • In what follows, we shall concern ourselves only with case (a). • For relevant background material concerning random walks, see [2]. • Functions which are equal almost everywhere are indistinguishable as far as integration is concerned. • Concerning (i) (Regarding (i)/For (i)), we first prove that.....

concise [= succinct; *see also*: brief] in a concise manner

- **concisely** More concisely, we consider the measurable space (X, B).
- **conclude** [see also: close, end, finish, complete, deduce, infer] We conclude this section with a useful lemma. We conclude with two simple lemmas to be used mainly in..... This concludes the verification of Claim 2. The proof concludes by observing that..... The chapter concludes with a theorem which shows that the maximum property "almost" characterizes the class of holomorphic functions. We can now integrate n times to conclude that (1) indeed holds. We conclude from Theorem 4 that A is Arens regular.
- conclusion [see also: assertion, statement] The first conclusion is immediate from Theorem 1.
 This conclusion does not follow if the condition "f ∈ A" is omitted. Part of the conclusion is that F moves each z closer to the origin than it was. One might hope that in the particular case of the GL energy, this could be established, but we do not see an easy path to such a conclusion., which is the desired conclusion. Actually, the proof gives an even more precise conclusion:..... Identical conclusions hold in respect of the condition BN. [= concerning BN] Here are some other situations in which we can draw conclusions only almost everywhere.
 However, a few tentative [= cautious, not firm] conclusions can be drawn. We shall see that the two cases where A = 1 and where B = 2 give contrasting conclusions.

concrete [see also: precise, specific] We can now restate Theorem 1 in concrete terms:.....

- condition [see also: assumption, requirement] We prove, under mild conditions on f, that.... • In this section we investigate under what conditions the converse holds. • They established the Hasse principle subject to a rank condition on the coefficients. \bullet Take N to be a family of normal measures in P(X) such that N is maximal subject to the condition that the supports of the measures in the family are pairwise disjoint. \bullet This is a condition on how large f is. \bullet The next theorem provides conditions for the existence of..... • The corollary gives a necessary and sufficient condition on p for g to belong to A_p . • A necessary and sufficient condition for A to be open is that C be closed. \bullet Then (3.5) is a necessary and sufficient condition for there to be a function f such that..... • This condition also turns out to be necessary. • It should be no surprise that a condition like $a_i \neq b_i$ turns up [= appears, shows up] in this theorem. Condition (c) is intended to give us firm control over..... \bullet Finally, we must check that our F satisfies condition (2) of Theorem 1. [Not: "verifies condition (2)"] \bullet Let A be the subset of X where this coboundary condition obtains. [= is satisfied] \bullet We can also appeal to Lemma 5 to see that the uniform continuity condition (5.3) is met. • When the rank condition fails to hold we use (3) instead. • We note that H is in fact not Lipschitz continuous if this condition is violated. • a restrictive $\langle \text{stringent} \rangle$ condition
- **conditional** Define a_k to be the probability that exactly k out of the 2n values X_i exceed T, conditional on $X_0 > T$. Here Q_j for j = 1, ..., n are drawn independently, conditional on the values generated at level m.
- **conduct** [see also: carry out] This work was conducted during a visit of the author to Dartmouth College.
- conference In 2000, two important number theory conferences were held at Princeton University.
- **confine** [see also: limit, restrict] We confine ourselves to discussing the case..... For simplicity, we confine attention to radial moments.
- **confirm** This interpretation is confirmed by (4). Theorem 4 confirms conjecture H when B = 1.
- conflict 1 The reader is cautioned that our notation is in conflict with that of [3].2 Unfortunately, the notation from number theory slightly conflicts with the notation from probability theory.
- **confound** [see also: confuse] This group will be designated by E_3 (not to be confounded with Euclidean 3-space).
- **confuse** [see also: confound] Encode the *h*-vector as a polynomial h(x, y) in noncommuting variables x and y (not to be confused with the indices in the previous section).
- **confusion** It will cause no confusion if we denote..... \bullet If no confusion can arise, we write K for both the operator and its kernel. \bullet We drop the subscript when confusion is unlikely.
- **conjecture 1** [see also: hypothesis] The conjecture will be disproved by exhibiting..... In addition to illustrating how our formulas work in practice, it provides a counterexample to Brown's conjecture. The conjecture (now known not to be true in general) was that..... a long-standing conjecture The as yet unproved conjecture of Newman is that..... Conjecture 2 of [KH], to the effect that [= meaning that] there is no relation P with E(P) = 1, still remains open. Some partial evidence to support this conjecture is discussed in [3]. We offer numerical evidence to support a conjecture that there exist infinitely many primes of this type. All the

evidence points to the validity of the conjecture. • How close does Theorem 1 come to this conjecture?

2 It is conjectured in [B3] that.....

- **conjunction** [see also: combine, together] This, in conjunction with (6), yields..... For later use in conjunction with the weighted averages occurring in (2), we next consider..... [Note the double r in occurring.]
- **connect** [see also: join, link, relate, tie, associate] the segment connecting $\langle \text{joining} \rangle a$ to $b \bullet$ This notion is closely connected with that of packing dimension. \bullet [Use *connect to* when you mean "join", and *connect with* when you mean "relate".] \bullet The two characteristics are connected, but the relationship is quite a complex one.
- connection [see also: link, relationship] It is the connection between..... that will concern us here. These results therefore describe the very close connection between the method of encoding and the structures we are aiming to classify. The problem has a very natural connection with the problem of the distribution of the zeros of a bounded holomorphic function in a half-plane. However, the connection with Gromov's work has been obscured in recent years by an emphasis (in the algebraic topology literature) on configuration spaces. Note in connection with (iv) that..... In this connection, we remark that.....
- consecutive [= successive] The elements of each array must occupy consecutive memory locations.
 Suppose the first three characters of the pattern match three consecutive text characters.
 Its role is to rule out having two or more consecutive P-moves (on the grounds that they can be performed in one go).
- consequence [see also: result, effect, outcome, implication] This is a consequence of Dini's theorem. It is a consequence of the Hahn–Banach theorem that..... An obvious consequence of Theorem 2 is the following. As a consequence of Lemma 2, there is..... This has numerous important consequences; to name just two, Brauer proved that..... This is impossible in consequence of the last corollary. This article features results in both spectral theory and operator ergodic theory made possible by a recent renewal of interest in the consequences of James's inequalities.
- **consequently** [see also: hence, thus, therefore, so] Consequently, A has all geodesics closed if and only if B does.
- consider [see also: account, discuss, address, deal, regard, think, take up, treat, view] We shall be considering L on various function spaces. All our results can be extended in this way, but we shall stick to considering P rather than P'. Here U is considered 〈viewed/regarded〉 as acting on M. An arc is considered a degenerate star. There are several cases to consider:.....
 For size 1 this makes no difference, but for sizes 2 and 3 it considerably cuts down the number of cases to be considered. We consider every subset of N, whether finite or infinite, to be an increasing sequence. The product being considered grows like n³. Here the constants of proportionality depend on the particular curve being considered. We begin by describing the class of functions f considered, which includes the special cases quoted above.
- **considerable** [see also: important, significant, substantial] However, they now differ by a considerable amount. It is possible that the methods of this paper could be used to...., but there remain considerable obstacles to overcome.

- considerably [see also: greatly, significantly, substantially] The performance of the device has improved considerably.
 Furthermore, adopting this strategy considerably eases constructing a coding tree from a linear order.
 For size 1 this makes no difference, but for sizes 2 and 3 it considerably cuts down the number of cases to be considered.
 Theorem 3 is remarkable in that considerably fewer conditions than in the previous theorems ensure universality.
- **consideration** [see also: check, discussion, examination, scrutiny, treatment, verification] In this section we return to our general consideration of p(R). It now follows from the maximum modulus theorem that $F_n(A) \leq A$, and consideration of F_{-n} shows that actually $F_n(A) = A$. • We make two standing assumptions on the maps under consideration. • A moment's consideration will show that..... • For these considerations it will be convenient to state beforehand, for easy reference, the following variant of..... • The same considerations show that..... • By symmetry considerations, it is sufficient to search over a region in which.....
- **consist** [see also: comprise, compose, make up, contain, lie] This group consists of the elements of GL(n) of determinant 1. [= is made up of] • Let $B(\Omega)$ be the quotient of $B^b(\Omega)$ by the closed linear subspace consisting of the functions which are zero outside a meagre subspace of Ω . • The subspaces of $M(\Omega)$ consisting of the discrete and continuous measures are $M_d(\Omega)$ and $M_c(\Omega)$, respectively. • We define the set T to consist of those f for which..... • Let R consist of all z = x + iy such that |x| < 1. • But M does not consist of 0 alone. • The inverse image of A consists of just the basepoint of X. • The proof consists in the construction of..... [= The main feature of the proof is] • The difficulty consists in generalizing (b).
- **constant** Here *c* denotes a constant which can vary from line to line. The least such constant is called the norm of *G*. Unfortunately, *F* is defined only up to an additive constant. The implied constants (The constants implicit in the symbol \approx) depend only on *r*.
- constantly the constantly zero function
- constitute [see also: form, make up, represent, account for] Nitrogen constitutes 78% of the earth's atmosphere.
 Volunteers constitute 90% of the Unit's work force.
 52 cards constitute a pack.
- **constraint** [see also: limitation, restraint, restriction] The idea is to relax the constraint of being a weight function in Theorem 3.
- **construct** [see also: build, establish, develop, create, make] Then F is constructed from the F_j . • Although [1] deals mainly with the unit disc, most proofs are so constructed that they apply to more general situations. • Indeed, it is routine to verify that the index so constructed is independent of the choices made. • Thanks to Lemma 2, we can now modify the proof of Theorem 3 by inductively constructing five sequences.....
- **construction** Actual construction of.... may be accomplished in a variety of ways. The following construction can be carried out. Similar constructions may be made for other n odd. We can make a similar construction to form E_n .
- **consult** We encourage the reader unfamiliar with techniques from the theory of..... to consult [BS].
- **contain** [see also: comprise, include] That (2) implies (1) is contained in the proof of Theorem 1 in [4]. The preceding proof contains a result which is interesting enough to be stated separately.

• Section 2 contains an overview of the necessary background.

- content That (2) implies (1) is the content of Corollary 3. The notion of turbulence almost exactly captures the combinatorial content of not being an S-action. The author thanks John Fox for helpful comments on the content and presentation of this paper. Intuitively, entropy of a partition is a measure of its information content—the larger the entropy, the larger the information content.
- **context** [see also: framework, setting, set-up] in the present context In the physical context already referred to, K is the density of..... [Note the double r in referred.] Berg spaces have been rarely considered outside the metric context. The context will make it clear whether S denotes a permutation of M or the corresponding symmetry. When the target space is clear from context, we just write..... If G is clear from context, then we suppress reference to it in the notation. Strictly speaking, we should write something like a(l, m, n) to reflect the dependence; we shall rely upon context instead. To place Theorem 1 in context, consider two real vector fields.....
- **continue** [see also: extend, go on, proceed, pursue, remain, persist, stick, still] Suppose that the process continues indefinitely. Continuing in this fashion, we get a collection $\{V_r\}$ of open sets, one for every rational r, with..... Continuing in this manner, we see that for $\sigma \ge 4$, the curve C_{4k+3} is found in the half-strip B. This recursion continues, producing a sequence of finite sets such that..... By abuse of notation, we continue to write f for f_1 . Since Z is a finite set, we may continue subtracting suitable scalar multiples of the x_i from x. Let us continue with the proof of Theorem 2. An obvious question to ask is whether the assertion of Theorem 1 continues to hold for..... This paper, for the most part, continues this line of investigation. This work continues research begun in [5].
- **continuous** We note that H is in fact not Lipschitz continuous if this condition is violated. a function continuous in space variables More precisely, f is just separately continuous. The map f, which we know to be bounded, is also right-continuous. a function continuous from the right We follow Kato [3] in assuming f to be upper semicontinuous. Examples abound in which P is discontinuous.
- **continuum** The set E has the cardinality of the continuum. Suppose X is a separable space of cardinality continuum. However, F has continuum many components.
- **contradict** [sth; see also: contrary] This contradicts the fact that..... [Not: "contradicts to the fact"] \bullet , which contradicts F being countable. \bullet But this is contradicted by Proposition 3 applied to g.
- **contradiction** [see also: contrary, otherwise] To obtain a contradiction, we suppose that..... Suppose, to derive a contradiction, that..... Striving for a contradiction, suppose that..... Aiming for a contradiction, suppose that..... Suppose, towards a contradiction $\langle \text{for contradiction} \rangle$, that....., which is a contradiction. Now we have the required contradiction since..... This leads to the contradiction that 0 < a < b = 0., in contradiction with Lemma 2. This is a clear contradiction of the fact that.....
- **contrary** [to sth; *see also*: otherwise, contradict, contradiction] Suppose that, contrary to our claim,..... Suppose the contrary and choose..... We now show that A is closed. Suppose that, on the contrary, there is an x..... In the contrary case,..... While nonparametric priors are

typically difficult to manipulate, we believe the contrary is true for quantile pyramids. • Hence F is bounded, contrary to assumption. • There is no evidence to the contrary. • [Do not write: "Contrary to [5], our example is finite-dimensional" if you mean: In contrast to [5], our example is finite-dimensional.]

contrast 1 [to/with sth; *see also*: difference, oppose] Note that, in contrast to F, the function G is bounded. [*Not*: "contrary to F"] • In contrast, Theorem 2 shows that..... • By contrast, T does not have this symmetry. • This is in marked contrast to the behaviour of orthonormal sets in a Hilbert space. • Note the contrast with Theorem 3.

2 [with sth; see also: differ] This contrasts sharply with the situation in metrizable spaces. • This contrasts with (but does not contradict) Theorem 2 of [6]. • We shall see that the two cases where A = 1 and where B = 2 give contrasting conclusions.

- **contribute** [sth; to sth] The terms involving $a \neq 1$ contribute O(n), since..... These upper bounds are too large to be useful in computer calculations in general, but the ideas in the proofs will surely contribute to better bounds in the future.
- **contribution** [from sth; to sth] This decrease is offset by the contribution from the poles. Thus we may count the contribution to the periodic points from each prime separately. We shall thus avoid doubly counting the same contribution. Note that A_n makes only a contribution of at most N^2 . Clearly, the contribution from those r with A(r) > 0 can be neglected. However, we prefer to avoid this issue altogether by neglecting the contribution of B to S. If nothing else, I hope to convince my readers that Segal's theorem deserves recognition as a profound contribution to Gaussian analysis.
- control 1 This gives some control over the behaviour of..... Condition (c) is intended to give us firm control over..... This extension retains control on..... at the sacrifice of losing some control on..... [Not: "loosing"] The requirement that competitors are symmetric will only be used to get some very rudimentary control on the asymptotics of G.
 2 The shot of any here is that are negative asymptotics of G.

2 The obstacle we have is that we must control the area of G.

- **convenience** For convenience we ignore the dependence of f on g. For convenience of exposition, we work with an error term of the form..... We note that the assumption of GCH is made for convenience and ease of presentation. For the convenience of the reader, we repeat the main points. [*Or*: For the reader's convenience; *not*: "For the commodity of the reader"]
- **convenient** [see also: appropriate, suitable, useful, suit] This realization is particularly convenient for determining..... \bullet It is convenient to view G as a nilpotent group. \bullet In this case it is natural (and notationally convenient) to pick W to be..... \bullet For these considerations it will be convenient to state beforehand, for easy reference, the following variant of..... \bullet Therefore, whenever it is convenient, we may assume that..... \bullet We identify A and B whenever convenient. \bullet We shall, by convenient abuse of notation, generally denote it by x_t whatever probability space it is defined on. \bullet We shall find it convenient not to distinguish between two such sequences which differ only by a string of zeros at the end.
- **conveniently** These are conveniently divided into three disjoint sets. The proof conveniently splits into two cases.
- **convention** This convention simplifies the appearance of results such as the inversion formula. We adhere to the convention that 0/0 = 0. We adopt throughout the convention that compact

spaces are Hausdorff. • We adopt the convention that the first coordinate i increases as one goes downwards, and the second coordinate j increases as one goes from left to right. • We make the convention that f(Q) = i(Q). • We maintain the convention that implied constants depend only on n. • We regard (1) as a mapping of S^2 into S^2 , with the obvious conventions concerning the point ∞ . • By convention, we set a(x, y) = 0 if no such spaces exist. • This sort of tacit convention is used throughout Gelfand theory.

- **converge** [see also: tend, approach] Then F_n converges simply (uniformly/weakly/weak*/in the norm of L^p /in L^p norm/in norm/in probability).
- **convergence** Moreover, one has estimates on the rate at which this convergence is taking place. • Addressing this issue requires using the convergence properties of Fourier series. • The convergence of the sum on the left is of course a weaker statement than the convergence of (2). • We give X the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of A. • Almost everywhere convergence is the best we can hope for.
- converse [of sth; to sth; see also: inverse, opposite, reverse] In this section we investigate under what conditions the converse holds.
 The converse is far from obvious.
 For the converse, consider.....
 This theorem is a converse (partial converse) of Theorem 2.
- **conversely** We have thus proved that (a) implies (b). Conversely, assume that (b) holds and define..... [*Not*: "Reciprocally, assume".]
- **convert** [see also: transform, change into, turn into, make into] This isomorphism converts the shift operator to the multiplication operator. The Fourier transform converts multiplication by a character into translation, and vice versa, it converts convolutions to pointwise products.
- **convey** [see also: express, indicate] The main information conveyed by this formula is that A cannot be.....
- **convince** If nothing else, I hope to convince my readers that Segal's theorem deserves recognition as a profound contribution to Gaussian analysis.
- **coordinate** There are N vertices of the 24-cube which have a 1 in the *i*th coordinate and a total of five 1s. We adopt the convention that the first coordinate *i* increases as one goes downwards, and the second coordinate *j* increases as one goes from left to right. Now X can be taken as coordinate variable on M. This explains why we chose 9 rather than, say, 1 for the second coordinate. Keep only those vertices whose coordinates sum to 4.
- **core** [see also: essence, heart] At the core of our proof of Theorem 1 is a simple counting argument.
- corollary [to sth; of sth] To illustrate, let us state the following corollary. That (2) implies (1) is the content of Corollary 3. This corollary calls for some explanation and comment. The rest of the proof goes through as for Corollary 2, with hardly any changes. The significance of this fact for our purposes is captured by Corollary 3. We will finish this section by offering a second application of our machinery (although in truth it is largely a corollary of the above).
- **correct** [see also: true, valid, hold] Whether or not this is correct does not matter; we are trying to motivate the proof that follows.
- **correctly** The only thing to check is that maps compose correctly; but this is an easy, if tedious, verification.

- **correspond** [to sth; see also: accord, agree, match, respective] To every f there correspond two functions a and b. Consider the differences between these integrals and the corresponding ones with f replaced by g. Let v be an arbitrary control and x_t the corresponding controlled process. [Not: "the respective process"; "respective" requires a plural noun.] It seems appropriate to mention in passing the corresponding formula for the cohomology of B_n . Corresponding to each choice of V there is a function f such that.....
- **correspondence** Observe that A is thereby put into one-to-one correspondence with B. The elements of C are in one-to-one correspondence with.....
- **coset** Here A is a coset of H in G. [H is a subgroup of G.]
- **cost** [see also: expense, price] The latter hypothesis can be removed at the cost of an extra factor on the right hand side of (5).
- **could** Where we could, we have chosen these examples from naturally occurring mathematical structures. [Note the double r in *occurring*.] The number of distinct values that could be in a memory cell is at most s. This shows that f could not have n zeros without being identically zero. Without losing any generality, we could have restricted our definition of integration to integrals over all of X. It is possible that the methods of this paper could be used to...., but there remain considerable obstacles to overcome. One might hope that in the particular case of the GL energy, this could be established, but we do not see an easy path to such a conclusion.
- **count 1** A quick count shows that A has 36 points.

2 Those more than half a square count as whole ones. • We shall thus avoid doubly counting the same contribution. • At the core of our proof of Theorem 1 is a simple counting argument.
• the number of zeros of f counted according to their multiplicities (counted with multiplicity)

- **counterexample** In addition to illustrating how our formulas work in practice, it provides a counterexample to Brown's conjecture. For a counterexample, consider $S = \dots$
- **couple 1** [see also: some, several] A couple of differences between this definition and the treatment of [KS] should be noted.
 - **2** [see also: combine] This follows immediately from (8) coupled with the fact that.....
- **course** [see also: process] This paper was written in the course of the semester on..... In the course of proof, we shall encounter..... In the course of writing this paper we learned that P. Fox has simultaneously obtained results similar to ours in certain respects. The prerequisite for this book is a good course in advanced calculus. [Not: "of advanced calculus"] Then, of course, F will be one-to-one. Of course, before going further, we must check that such a P exists. The convergence of the sum on the left is of course a weaker statement than the convergence of (2).
- **cover** [see also: encompass, include] Note that (4) covers the other cases. Then P covers M twofold.
- **create** [see also: produce, generate, develop] All of the action in creating S_{i+1} takes place in the individual cells of type 2 or 3.
- **criterion** [*pl.* criteria] Part (c) is a frequently used criterion for the measurability of a real-valued function. The criterion for its existence is Af = 0. The following is an explicit criterion for f to be hamiltonian. Note that this lemma does not give a simple criterion for deciding whether

a given topology is indeed of the form T_f . • The following result gives a criterion for when a point belongs to B.

- **critical** [to sth; *see also*: basic, crucial, essential, key] In particular, F is compact (a fact which was critical to our arguments in [6]). However, with the recent advent of simulation based inference, the need for analytically tractable posteriors is no longer critical.
- **cross** Let M' be the minor obtained by crossing off the last row and column of M.
- crucial [to sth; see also: basic, critical, main, principal, key, important] The following lemma, crucial to Theorem 2, is also implicit in [4]. The main (crucial/key) ingredient in the proof of..... is.....
- crucially However, the space Z depends crucially on the particular ambient algebra A chosen.
- **cumbersome** [see also: heavy] We do not use (5) in our proofs, because it makes the notation more cumbersome.
- curiously But, curiously, the equivalence of (A) and (B) may fail.
- **customary** [see also: common, familiar, usual] As is customary, we use l^n for C^n with the norm..... If...., it is customary to write..... rather than..... With the customary abuse of notation, the same symbol is used for both F and its r-image.
- **cut** [*see also*: reduce, diminish, decrease, lower] For size 1 this makes no difference, but for sizes 2 and 3 it considerably cuts down the number of cases to be considered.

D

- data [see also: information, material, detail, evidence] The empirical data quite clearly indicate that we should expect proportions close to 3/4. [Or: indicates] A model for analysing rank data obtained from several observers is proposed. for some set of input data
- date 1 in about 1885; in the year 2000; as early as 1885; in Hilbert's 1905 paper; the revised 1993 edition; the seminal paper of Dixmier [D] of 1951.
 2 The best known result to date has been obtained by Strang. For a survey of what is known to date, see [G].
 - **3** In particular, F is bounded (a result that dates back to a 1915 paper of Hadamard).
- **deal** [with sth; see also: address, concern, consider, handle, take up, treat] To deal with Tf, we note that..... [= Regarding (Concerning) Tf, we note] Although [1] deals mainly with the unit disc, most proofs are so constructed that they apply to more general situations. It became clear that the Riemann integral should be replaced by some other type of integral, better suited for dealing with limit processes. A survey of the research on $f_n(x, y)$ up to 1970 (most of it dealing with the case n = 1) was given in [3]. Instead of dealing with lines one by one, we deal with collections of lines simultaneously. This topic has been dealt with by many authors.
- decay These estimates only require that f have a certain polynomial rate of decay at infinity.
- **decide** [see also: determine, settle, tell] Note that this lemma does not give a simple criterion for deciding whether a given topology is indeed of the form T_f . It becomes impracticable to compute the zeros of F for degrees greater than 6; in any event, deciding whether the divisors

found in this way represent irreducible curves becomes increasingly difficult. • In the event of a tie, the winner is decided by the toss of a coin.

- **declare** We partially order M by declaring X < Y to mean that.....
- decline 1 [see also: decrease] We observe the decline in the number of unemployed.
 2 [see also: decrease, diminish] The number of full-time staff has declined from 300 to just 50.
- **decompose** [into sth; see also: split] If H is decomposed in the form $H = \dots \bullet$ Then F can be decomposed according to the eigenspaces of P. Note that X decomposes into a direct sum of 2-planes.
- **decomposition** a decomposition of X into irreducible components
- **decrease** 1 [see also: decline] There is also a decrease in clustering as n increases, particularly for normally distributed X. This decrease is offset by the contribution from the poles. Profits in May show a modest decrease.
 - **2** [see also: diminish, lower, drop, limit, reduce, cut down] We thus decrease P(n) by 2. After each comparison the index is decreased. The left side of (3) obviously cannot decrease if r increases.
- **dedicate** [see also: devote] We can now formulate the problem to which the rest of this article is dedicated.
- **deduce** [see also: conclude, find, infer, follow] To deduce (9) from (5), choose..... At this stage we appeal to Theorem 2 to deduce that..... The case when f is decreasing can be proved similarly, or else can be deduced from.....
- **deep** [see also: profound] It is hoped that a deeper understanding of these residues will help establish new results about the distribution of modular symbols.
- **default** Throughout, all rings are by default *k*-algebras.
- defer [see also: postpone] Making use of a technical estimate, the proof of which is deferred to Section 3, we establish in Section 2 the estimates for..... We defer the proof of the "moreover" statement in Theorem 5 until after the proof of the lemma.
- define [see also: declare, let, set] To define P_{n+1} from P_n , we let..... We define a $\langle \text{the} \rangle$ function f by setting f = Tg..... We define the set T to consist of those f for which..... We define a map to be simple if..... Now M is defined to be the set of all sums of the form..... Here F is only defined up to an additive constant. Here u^+ and u^- are the positive and the negative parts of u, as defined in Section 5. As defined in Section 1, these are structures of the form..... The function f so defined satisfies..... The notion of backward complete is defined analogously by exchanging the roles of f and f^{-1} . The fact that the number T(p) is uniquely defined, even though p is not, enables us to define the nullity of A as follows. The other two defining properties of a σ -algebra are verified in the same manner.
- **definiteness** [see also: idea, specific] Here Q can, for definiteness, be taken as Ff. These extra stipulations are unimportant, but are given for definiteness.
- **definition** We make the following provisional definition, which is neither general nor particularly elegant, but is convenient for the induction which is to follow. With Lemma 2 in mind, we make the following ad hoc definition. The preceding definitions can of course equally well be made

with any field whatsoever in place of the complex field. • He proved the following theorem (see Section 2 for pertinent definitions). • The first of the above equalities is a matter of definition, and the second follows from (3). • Another way is to extend the definition of the index to closed curves by setting..... • Our definition agrees with the one in [3]. • The definition is legitimate $\langle \text{correct} \rangle$, because..... • The precise definitions follow.

- degree [see also: extent, level, stage] of degree at most k in some degree to ⟨in⟩ a lesser degree A number of authors have considered, in varying degrees of generality, the problem of determining..... He received his master and PhD degrees from the University of Texas. Let ABC be an angle of sixty degrees. The two lines intersect at an angle of ninety degrees. This is the lattice packing rotated 45°. a 180° rotation
- **delete** [see also: drop, omit, remove, dispense with] Note that there is a mistake on p. 3 of [5], where the condition..... should be deleted. The quiver Q_1 is the same as Q but with x deleted.
- **deliberately** [see also: intentionally, accidental] We deliberately write SP(m)—rather than P(m)—in the complex case, because..... They defined the concept solely in terms of the norm of the Banach space, deliberately avoiding any extra structure.
- **delicate** [see also: subtle, difficult, fine] The two examples, E_1 and E_2 , differ by only a single sequence, e, and they serve to illustrate the delicate nature of Theorem 2. To get around this delicate issue, we shall separate the variables s and u.
- **demand** 1 [see also: requirement, stipulation] The demand that each entry be a perfect square results in nine equations. [Note the subjunctive be.]
 - **2** [see also: require] Part 2 of the proof demands only that k > 1. A computational restraint is the algebraic number theory involved in finding these ranks, which will typically be more demanding than in our example of Section 1.
- **demonstrate** [see also: prove, show, establish, verify, display, exhibit, indicate] The solution is not in L(E) unless a stability condition is imposed, as was demonstrated in Example 3.
- **denote** [see also: call, designate, write, set, symbol, label, relabel, rename] Denote by P the space of..... [Not: "Note P the space of....." or "Denote P the space of.....] We let T denote the set of.....with e_0 denoting multiplication by f. The wedge denotes that e_i has been omitted. Then we can find a subsequence (still denoted by a_n) such that $a_n < 1$ for all n.
- **depart** We depart from these previous works in our use of the non-ergodic versions of the basic machinery. In this chapter we shall depart from the previous notation and use the letter m not for Lebesgue measure, but for Lebesgue measure divided by $(2\pi)^{1/2}$.
- **depend** [on sth; see also: base, rely, rest] However, the space Z depends crucially on the particular ambient algebra A chosen. Note that O(g) depends on g only through its differential dg. We have to keep track of how the constant K depends on the domain D. We do not know how V depends on the various choices made. The answer depends on how broadly or narrowly the term "matrix method" is defined. Up to equivalence it only depends on the pair (f, g). We divide them into three classes depending on the width of k. The proof of (2) is a matter of straightforward computation, and depends on the relation ab cd = 1. These functions are sometimes called elementary factors. Their utility depends on the fact that.....

dependence [see also: reliance] The holomorphic dependence of the integral on l follows from

(4). • For convenience we ignore the dependence of f on g. • For simplicity, we suppress the explicit dependence on x in the notation. • When there is no ambiguity we drop the dependence on B and write just Y_T for $Y_{T,B}$. • Strictly speaking, we should write something like a(l, m, n) to reflect the dependence; we shall rely upon context instead. • We must now bring dependence on d into the arguments of [9].

dependent An *i*-dependent lower bound is automatic by continuity.

- depict [see also: illustrate] This graph is depicted in Fig. 1.
- **depth** [see also: detail, expand, length] We now wish to discuss in some depth the problem of.....
- **derivation** Our approach provides an alternative derivation of the functional equation. For our purposes here, the best way is to base the proof on the following theorem, a derivation of which can be found in [P].
- derive [see also: obtain, originate] This is derived in Section 3 along with a new proof of Morgan's theorem. In Section 2 the reader will be reminded of some important properties of Bernoulli numbers, and some auxiliary results will be quoted or derived. However, we know of no way of deriving one theory directly from the other. Here we consider a dual variational formulation which can be derived similarly to that for the sandpile model. This term derives from "quiver", a notion used in representation theory of algebras. Most of the proofs in this paper derive from those given by Fox for geometrically finite maps in [F]. The inequality (2.4) is essentially contained in [LS] but will be rederived in Corollary 5 below.
- describe [see also: account] Let F be as just described. As we let t vary, f(t) describes a curve in M. It is interesting that (1) is a necessary condition in a much larger class of functions, which we now describe. We describe how the notion of positivity relates to the other properties.
 There are quite a number of cases, but they can be described reasonably systematically. the process described
- **description** [see also: account] In order to make our description of this process precise, we define..... [Not: "to precise our description"] We give a fairly simple description of a wide class of averaging operators for which this rate of growth can be seen to be necessary. Our aim here is to give some sort of 'functorial' description of K in terms of G. The description of Q makes it evident that (3) holds. [Note that the *it* is necessary here.] What is still lacking is an explicit description of ker C. •
- deserve A formula like (3) surely deserves some explanation. As Corollary 2 shows, it is certainly a question deserving further exploration. If nothing else, I hope to convince my readers that Segal's theorem deserves recognition as a profound contribution to Gaussian analysis.
- **design** [see also: aim, intend] Condition (a) is so designed that a(x) = 0. The definition of generator is designed to make the proof above work for M = Z.
- **designate** [see also: denote, represent, call, name, term] This group will be designated by E_3 (not to be confounded with Euclidean 3-space). Each x here really designates the pair (x, Ax).
- **desirable** However, if B were omitted in (1), the case n = 0 would imply Nf = 1, an undesirable restriction.

desire 1 [see also: aim, motivation] The present paper is motivated by the desire to make the subject as accessible as possible. • It seems that the relations between these concepts emerge most clearly when the setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to the subject.

2 [see also: wish, want, require] Now F is the desired lifting. • So F must be constant on H, thereby showing that A = B, as desired (claimed/required). • Here L can be taken as large as desired.

detail 1 [see also: depth, expand, fully, length, aspect, ingredient, feature, characteristic, point] Unfortunately, the details of the calculations were omitted, and there is some doubt on whether the result is correct since our analysis suggests that P₂ must vanish to third order; the presence of L⁻² is also suspect. • In this section we discuss in some detail the relationship between..... • In more detail, the assertion is this: if..... • To go into this in detail would take us too far afield.
• In detail the classification is complex, but in essence it is simple. • For more details we refer the reader to [4]. • We refer the reader to the body of the paper for details.

2 [see also: extensive, thorough] In Section 4 we worked out a fairly detailed picture of linear H-systems.
A detailed exposition, more suited to the purposes of the present article, is given in [9].
By detailed numerical calculation one may show that.....

deteriorate The estimate (3.5) deteriorates for fixed N and increasing M.

- **determine** [see also: decide, settle, tell] This normalization determines V uniquely. The function f (initially defined on C_0) determines a functional on S., where G is uniquely determined up to unitary equivalence (up to an additive constant). Note that f is determined only to within a set of measure zero. The order of G is completely determined by the assumption that..... Over the past ten years the isomorphic structure of spaces of weighted holomorphic functions has been largely [= almost completely] determined. We conclude that whether a space X is an RG-space is not determined by the ring structure of C(X). The semigroup F can be explicitly determined. Let us now take a quick look at the class N, with the purpose of determining how much of Theorems 1 and 2 is true here.
- develop [see also: build, construct, create, establish, work out, expand, grow] Kirk, building on work of Penot, developed a more abstract version of..... Kearnes developed a commutator theory for relative congruences, with the expectation that it can be used to prove Pigozzi's conjecture. This is most readily shown using the theory developed in Section 6. In this subsection, we develop a formalism that will be used in the proofs of our main results.
- development [see also: advance] Our development will require a detailed understanding of cozero covers.We base our development on two properties of prolongation peculiar to this case.
- **device** [see also: method, means] This device makes it possible to replace multivalued functions by functions with.....
- **devote** [see also: dedicate] Much of the rest of the paper is devoted to a general study of.... Most of this book is devoted to proving this theorem. [Not: "devoted to prove"]

diameter The support of F has diameter not exceeding $l. \bullet$ a set with $\langle of \rangle$ diameter 1

differ [see also: vary] Apart from a few embellishments necessitated by some technical difficulties, the ideas differ very little from those used to prove Lemma 4. • The two functions differ

at most on a set of measure zero. • The distributions U and V differ only $\langle \text{merely} \rangle$ by scale factors from the distribution Z. • We shall find it convenient not to distinguish between two such sequences which differ only by a string of zeros at the end. • Thus F and G differ by an arbitrarily small amount. • The two codes differ only in the number of their entries. • Then f(x)and f(y) differ in at least n bits. • We produce an evolution equation which differs from (2.3) only in the replacement of the F^2 term by F^3 . • If A = B, how does the situation differ from the preceding one? • This is the same as asking which row vectors in R have differing entries at positions i and j.

- difference [see also: distinction, contrast] There is a marked difference between X and Y. One of the major differences between F and G is that..... The difference between these maps is primarily in their kneading sequences. The main difference from the case of finite coding trees is the presence of limits. For size 1 this makes no difference, but for sizes 2 and 3 it considerably cuts down the number of cases to be considered.
- **different** [from sth; *amer.* than sth; *see also*: distinct, other, various, several, particular, disparate] The case a = 1 requires a different approach. The situation is quite different if we replace H(U) by certain subclasses. by using a somewhat different method This idea is very little different from what can already be found in [2]. Our solution is completely different from theirs. [Not: "much different"] There is another, entirely different, way to see that A = B. Namely, one can first show that.....
- **differently** [see also: way] This terminology is used slightly differently in [KA]. A further complication arises from 'BP', which works rather differently from the other labels.
- **difficult** [see also: hard, complicated, complex, involved, intricate, delicate] When r > 3 things become much more difficult. It becomes impracticable to compute the zeros of F for degrees greater than 6; in any event, deciding whether the divisors found in this way represent irreducible curves becomes increasingly difficult. This abstract theory is not in any way more difficult than the special case of the real line.
- **difficulty** [see also: complication, problem, trouble] We now indicate how that difficulty can be circumvented. To get around (overcome) this difficulty, assume..... The proof of Theorem 6 bypasses this difficulty. We now indicate some of the inherent difficulties. But this obvious attack runs into a serious difficulty. The analogue of Theorem 1 presents no difficulty. The difficulty disappears entirely if we think of our functions as elements of E. The only difficulty is in showing that..... As M is ordered, we have no difficulty in assigning a meaning to (a, b). [Not: "difficulty to assign"] The difficulty is that it is by no means clear what one should mean by a normal family. The difficulty consists in generalizing (b). Some such difficulty is to be expected. The assumption that the test statistics are identically distributed can be relaxed without much difficulty.
- **digit** the tens digit the units digit
- digress Let us digress to locate the need for relative ergodicity in the above arguments.
- dimension A similar result holds in higher space dimension. in dimension n Leray and Schauder laid the foundations for the generalization of the Lefschetz index to infinite dimensions.
- **diminish** [see also: decrease, decline, lower, reduce, cut down] If any element is removed from an orthonormal set, its span is diminished. \bullet As n decreases, the class of such covers diminishes.

• The dimension of a simplex is its cardinality diminished by 1.

- **direct** [see also: straightforward] **1** Here is a simple direct proof. Tietze's theorem is a fairly direct consequence of Urysohn's lemma.
 - 2 We direct the reader to [Aus] for a more detailed discussion of this problem.
- direction For the other direction, take..... The "if" direction is trivial. We pause to record a generalization of Theorem 2 in a different direction. • Proceeding further in this direction, we obtain the following corollary. • Let A denote the rectangle B rotated through $\pi/6$ in a clockwise direction about the vertex (0, 1). • The method of proof of Theorem B can be adapted to extend the right-to-left direction of Mostowski's result by showing that..... • the derivative of f at x in $\langle \text{the} \rangle$ direction $v \bullet$ a direction pointing downward with respect to $\tau \bullet$ inequality in the opposite direction
- **directly** [see also: straight] Let us now prove directly (without recourse to [5]) that..... It should be possible to prove this directly by studying the fixed point set of the action of G. They were defined directly by Lax [2], essentially as we have defined them. In the remainder of this section, we study some properties of K, with the eventual aim (not realized yet) of describing K directly using G.
- **disadvantage** [see also: shortcoming, weakness, fail] Our method has the disadvantage of not being intrinsic. A mild disadvantage of our quantile trees is that the prior to posterior computation is not analytically tractable.
- **disappear** [see also: vanish] The difficulty disappears entirely if we think of our functions as elements of E. One should remark that the ambiguity alluded to in Remark 3 disappears when talking about an affine field.
- discover [see also: find, learn] Thorin discovered the complex-variable proof of Riesz's theorem.This strikingly simple proof was discovered by J. Dixon.
- discuss [see also: consider] In this section we discuss in some detail the relationship..... This is discussed more fully in [5]. These Banach algebras have been much discussed recently. We put off discussing this problem to Section 5. Rather than discuss this in full generality, let us look at a particular situation of this kind. the problem discussed [Not: "the discussed problem"]
- discussion [see also: consideration, examination, study, treatment] We now turn to a brief discussion of another concept which is relevant to John's theorem. We direct the reader to [Aus] for a more detailed discussion of this problem. We summarize some of its main properties, borrowing from the elegant discussion in Henson's article. Of course, it is tacitly understood that it is this measure that is really under discussion. See [KT] for discussion of this technical point. We have benefited greatly from discussions with many people, including..... a detailed (full/in-depth/brief/preliminary) discussion
- **disjoint** [from sth; *not*: "with sth"] This means that all intervals we consider are either contained in V or disjoint from V.
- **disparate** [= clearly different] The approach in [GT] provides a unified way of treating a wide variety of seemingly disparate examples.

- **dispense** [with sth; see also: remove, drop, delete, omit] The following example shows that condition (2) cannot generally be dispensed with if V is to be continuous. [= cannot be discarded]
- **display** [see also: demonstrate, exhibit, show, indicate] Iterated correspondences display many of the features of..... Table 1 displays the median quantile of the number of misclassified tumor samples for each classifier. the second displayed equation of the proof
- disprove The conjecture will be disproved by exhibiting.....
- **disregard** [see also: ignore, neglect] In the following, the errors of truncation will be disregarded.
- **distance** [see also: away] the set of points with $\langle at \rangle$ distance 1 from $K \bullet$ the set of points at a distance less than 1 from $K \bullet$ Hence A and B are at distance precisely d. \bullet Consider a pair of points a, b at distance 1. \bullet The point p is within distance d of X. \bullet Their centres are a distance at least N apart. \bullet Any point not in B is moved by f a distance equal to twice the distance to M. \bullet If we stay a fixed distance off the critical line, we do not expect Benford behaviour.
- **distinct** [see also: different] a sequence of distinct complex numbers \bullet The number of distinct values that could be in a memory cell is at most s. \bullet However, only five of these are distinct.
- distinction [see also: difference] The distinction between these two realizations lies in the treatment of..... We shall proceed without making explicit distinctions between the two types of convergence. There is only a fine distinction between the two schemes.
- **distinguish** [see also: set apart] The Knaster continua are distinguished by the property that..... • Generally we add a tilde to distinguish between quantities associated with \tilde{G} and those associated with G. • We shall find it convenient not to distinguish between two such sequences which differ only by a string of zeros at the end. • Where it is important to distinguish different norms on E, we shall use the notation..... • An arc is oriented if it has one of its endpoints distinguished as the "starting" point and the other as the "finishing" point. • Throughout the proof, E(n) (as distinguished from E(n, A)) denotes the energy without the field (5.1). • Functions which are equal almost everywhere are indistinguishable as far as integration is concerned.
- **distribute** The error is multinomially distributed with mean zero and covariance matrix A. There is also a decrease in clustering as n increases, particularly for normally distributed X.
- distribution The random variable X has the Poisson distribution with mean v. Now X has a normal N(0, 1) distribution. Let S_n have the binomial distribution with parameters n and p. • It is intuitively clear that the amount by which S_n exceeds zero should follow the exponential distribution.
- **divide** The proof will be divided into a sequence of lemmas. [*Not*: "divided in a sequence"] Next divide J in half. On dividing through by f, we see that.....
- do [see also: make] We shall do this by showing that..... This is done to simplify the notation. As the space of Example 3 shows, complete regularity of X is not enough to allow us to do that.
 For binary strings, the algorithm does not do quite as well. Recent improvements in the HL-method enable us to do better than this. In fact, we can do even better, and prescribe finitely many derivatives at each point of M. A geodesic which meets bM does so either transversally or..... We have not required f to be compact, and we shall not do so except when explicitly stated. This will hold for n > 1 if it does for n = 1. Consequently, A has all geodesics closed if and only if B does. We can do a heuristic calculation to see what the generator of x_t must

be. • In contrast to the previous example, membership of D(A) does impose some restrictions on f. • We may (and do) assume that..... • The statement does appear in [3] but there is a simple gap in the sketch of proof supplied. • Only for x = 1 does the limit exist. • In particular, for only finitely many k do we have $F(a_k) > 1$. [Note the inversion after *only*.] • In doing this we will also benefit from having the following notation.

- **document** Since most of the results presented are quite classical (the novelty lies in the arrangement, and some of the proofs are new), I have not attempted to document the source of every item.
- **dominate** [see also: bound, estimate] The right hand side is dominated by 2M.
- **doomed** [= certain to fail; *see also*: futile] This attempt is doomed because the homogeneity condition fails to hold.
- **double** [see also: twice] The constant C may be taken as double the constant appearing in (5).
- **doubly** We shall thus avoid doubly counting the same contribution.
- **doubt** The only points (z, w) at which the continuity of g is possibly in doubt have z = 0. Unfortunately, the details of the calculations were omitted, and there is some doubt on whether the result is correct since our analysis suggests that P_2 must vanish to third order; the presence of L^{-2} is also suspect.
- **down** The numbers inserted in L must increase strictly down each column. This accords with the intuition that as we pass down the coding tree, we find out more and more detailed information about the ordering actually represented. We show that..... by reverse induction on i, starting at i = n and working down to i = 0. Thus, everything comes down to proving the existence of M. For size 1 this makes no difference, but for sizes 2 and 3 it considerably cuts down the number of cases to be considered. Important analytic differences appear when one writes down precisely what is meant by.....
- **downward(s)** We adopt the convention that the first coordinate *i* increases as one goes downwards, and the second coordinate *j* increases as one goes from left to right. a direction pointing downward with respect to $\tau \cdot [$ Note that *downward* and *downwards* can be used without distinction as adverbs, but the standard form of the adjective is *downward* (e.g., *in a downward direction*).]
- **draft** The author thanks H. Miller for a careful reading of an earlier draft. The author gratefully acknowledges the referee's helpful comments pertaining to the first draft of this paper.
- **draw** This figure is drawn to a scale of one to ten. The proof shows that if the points are drawn at random from the uniform distribution, most choices satisfy the required bound. We shall draw heavily on ideas from [3].
- **drawback** A drawback to Pólya trees, and perhaps the main reason why they have not seen much application within the Bayesian nonparametric literature, is that an arbitrary partition tree needs to be specified.
- drop [see also: decrease, decline, reduction, omit, remove, delete, dispense with] Whenever the dimension drops by 1, the rank drops by at most Z. The temperature dropped ten degrees. For brevity, we drop the subscript t on h_t. We drop the subscript when confusion is unlikely.
 When there is no ambiguity we drop the dependence on B and write just Y_T for Y_{T,B}. We

show that one can drop an important hypothesis of the saddle point theorem without affecting the result. • Note that we can drop the factor of 1/2 by Lemma 3. • This term drops out when f is differentiated.

- due Observe that A is a vector space. This is due to two facts:..... We have F = G = H, the last equality being due to the fact that..... Theorems 1 to 3 are classical results, due to Lebesgue. This proof is due to R. P. Boas. [In the above examples, *due* is an adjective; the use of 'due to' as a preposition meaning 'because of', e.g. "Due to Theorem 5, this map is bounded", although quite common, is considered incorrect by some native speakers of English.]
- duration For the duration of this proof only, let us write E_X to denote.....
- **during** We may require that the point P lie in one of the trees constructed before or during the *i*th stage of the induction.

\mathbf{E}

- each [see also: all, any, every] Then F is bounded on each bounded set. Each of these three integrals is finite. These curves arise from....., and each consists of..... There remain four intervals of length 1/4 each. Here X assumes values 0, 1, ..., 9, each with probability 1/10. Then F₁, ..., F_n vary each in the interval [0, 1]. The first and third terms are each less than ε/3.
 a progression each of whose terms can be written as..... These n disjoint boxes are translates of each other. The two notions of rank are independent of each other.
- ease [see also: simplicity] 1 For ease of notation, set $I = I_f$. We note that the assumption of GCH is made for convenience and ease of presentation.

2 To ease notation, we write..... • Furthermore, adopting this strategy considerably eases constructing a coding tree from a linear order.

- **easily** One easily checks that whenever a sequence a_n obeys the uniform bound $a_n < C$, one has..... The series can easily be shown to converge. This can be easily reformulated in purely geometric terms. However, as we are about to see, this complication is easily handled. The passage from bounded f to general f is easily effected. It is easily seen that..... The hypotheses of [4] are different, however, and do not seem to adapt easily to the time-inhomogeneous case.
- easy [see also: simple, straightforward, elementary] A stronger topology makes it easier for a given function to be continuous.
 It is almost as easy to find an element such that.....
 The other inequality is just as easy to prove.
 For these considerations it will be convenient to state beforehand, for easy reference, the following variant of.....
 It is an easy matter to use Theorem 10 to construct all manner of interesting Peano continua [= continua of different kinds]
- effect 1 [see also: consequence, result, outcome, influence] This map will be shown to be in M by examining its effect on B. We can immediately use one of these poles to cancel out $\langle \text{compensate for} \rangle$ the effect of the pole at s = 0. Offsetting the effect of the pole at t = 0 requires more work. Conjecture 2 of [KH], to the effect that [= meaning that] there is no relation P with E(P) = 1, still remains open.

2 We first prove the (rather simpler) Theorem 7, by effecting a quite general reduction of the problem to the study of certain isotropy factors. • The passage from bounded f to general f is easily effected.

effective [see also: successful] This provides an effective means for computing the index.

- effectively It is obvious that the above theorem supplies an algorithm to effectively recognize whether SP is in A.
- effectiveness This follows immediately from (8) coupled with the fact that.....
- effort [to do sth; at doing sth; of doing sth] It is also clear that there are extensions to....., but they do not seem to be worth the effort of formulating them separately. In an effort to generalize Robert's method, we gave in [2] the following criterion for non-finite generation of kernels. The first of these was suggested by J. Serrin, who showed how to modify my earlier treatment of..... so as to obtain stronger results with no extra effort.
- either [see also: both] In either case, it is clear that..... [= In both cases] Now equate the coefficients of x^2 at either end of this chain of equalities. By Corollary 2, distinct 8-sets have either zero, two or four elements in common. Each f can be expressed in either of the forms (1) and (2). The two classes coincide if X is compact. In that case we write C(X) for either of them. Either f or g must be bounded. Any map either has a fixed point, or sends some point to its antipode. But B is not divisible, hence C cannot be divisible either.
- **elaborate** [*see also*: complicated, intricate] Zilber has a more elaborate definition of this notion, but in fact his extra conditions are redundant. One reason for using this rather elaborate model is that it permits a simple and concrete definition of the realization.
- **elegant** We make the following provisional definition, which is neither general nor particularly elegant, but is convenient for the induction which is to follow. We summarize some of its main properties, borrowing from the elegant discussion in Henson's article.
- **element** [see also: member, ingredient, feature, detail] We shall call the elements of such a chain links. Computing f(y) can be done by enumerating A(y) and testing each element for membership in C. Two consecutive elements do not belong both to A or both to B. a 3-element set
- elementary [see also: easy, simple, straightforward, basic, primary] It is an elementary check that A is a vector space. However, shortly after learning about Wiener's work, P. Lévy found a more elementary argument. By elementary algebra, we can show that.....
- eliminate By repeated squaring to eliminate the radicals in this equality, we obtain.....
- else The case when f is decreasing can be proved similarly, or else can be deduced from.... We now exploit the relation (15) to see what else we can say about G. Little else is known about the Klein property in this class of spaces. If nothing else, I hope to convince my readers that Segal's theorem deserves recognition as a profound contribution to Gaussian analysis.
- elsewhere Define A to be the matrix with 1 in the (i, j) entry and 0 elsewhere.
- **embed** [or: imbed; in sth] The correspondence $f \mapsto Af$ embeds F as a closed ideal in G. The next lemma shows how such a semilattice looks when embedded in a larger compact semilattice.
- **embedding** [*or*: imbedding; of X in/into Y]
- **embrace** [see also: include, cover] This study embraces a number of interesting aspects of the theory.

- **emerge** [see also: arise, occur, result] It seems that the relations between these concepts emerge [= become apparent] most clearly when the setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to the subject.
- emphasis [see also: stress] In this paper, emphasis is on the case where..... However, the connection with Gromov's work has been obscured in recent years by an emphasis (in the algebraic topology literature) on configuration spaces. We add the word 'positive' for emphasis. In his Stony Brook lectures, he laid great emphasis on the use of..... considerable (increased/particular/special/strong/main) emphasis
- emphasize [see also: stress, underline] When it is necessary to emphasize one particular coordinate, we write..... Let us emphasize that K was not assumed to be connected in Theorem 4.
 Our presentation is therefore organized in such a way that the analogies between the concepts of topological space and continuous function, on the one hand, and of measurable space and measurable function, on the other, are strongly emphasized.
- **employ** [see also: apply, use, utilize] In discussing structures, we shall employ the standard terminology of first-order logic. The condition..... can be improved by employing a strategy similar to that underlying the proof of Theorem 2. In this section we employ a version of the Brown inequality to estimate N_k .
- **enable** [sth; sb to do sth; see also: allow, permit, possible] Repeated application of Lemma 2 enables us to write..... [Or: enables one to write; not: "enables to write"] Also, wherever possible, we work with integer coefficients, enabling us to obtain information about torsion. In fact, there is a trick which enables one to reduce the time dependent case on \mathbb{R}^n to the time independent case on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . This enables discontinuous derivations to be built.
- **encircle** The curve C encircles the origin twice.
- **encompass** [see also: cover, include] Our notion of nonanalytic integral encompasses such well known examples as..... We begin by extending Construction 2.1 to encompass *B*-algebras.
- **encounter** [see also: meet, come across, run into] However, we immediately encounter the problem of nonregularity of the data. We shall encounter similar situations again, and shall apply convergence theorems to them without further comment.
- **encourage** The results have been encouraging enough to merit further investigation. We encourage the reader unfamiliar with techniques from the theory of..... to consult [BS].
- end 1 [see also: aim, purpose] At the end of Section 2, we prove..... [Not: "In the end of"] Now F is defined to make G and H match up at the left end of I. We shall find it convenient not to distinguish between two such sequences which differ only by a string of zeros at the end.
 To this end, we first consider..... [= For this purpose; not: "To this aim"] Thus in the end F will be homogeneous. [= finally]
 - **2** [see also: conclude, finish, terminate] The path ends at x. The word ends in a. a word starting with a and ending with b The exact sequence ends on the right with H(X). We end this section by stating without proof an analogue of..... Arguing as before, we shall end up with a simple tree all of whose facets contain V.
- enhance [see also: improve, increase] It should be possible to enhance the above theorem further by allowing an arbitrary locally compact group L. The usefulness and interest of this

correspondence will of course be enhanced if there is a way of returning from the transforms to the functions, that is to say, if there is an inversion formula.

- enjoy However, not every ring enjoys the stronger property of being bounded. \bullet On the other hand, as yet, we have not taken advantage of the basic property enjoyed by S: it is a simplex.
- **enough** [see also: suffice, sufficient, sufficiently] Choosing n small enough that na < 1 gives the estimate..... • This class is wide enough to include a number of examples of interest. • The preceding proof contains a result which is interesting enough to be stated separately. • Put this way, the question is not precise enough. • It is therefore enough to show that..... • It is also enough to consider only real m. • But this time boundedness on U is enough; we do not need continuity on V. •, which, by another theorem of Kimney's, is more than enough to guarantee that P gives A outer measure 1. • As the space of Example 3 shows, complete regularity of Xis not enough to allow us to do that. • Repeating this procedure enough times gives.....

ensuing [= following] The idea of the ensuing computations is the following:.....

- **ensure** [see also: guarantee] Theorem 3 is remarkable in that considerably fewer conditions than in the previous theorems ensure universality. • The hypothesis n > 1 ensures that Lemma 2 is applicable.
- **entail** [see also: involve, necessitate, require, necessarily] The analogous construction when A is not affine entails the following subterfuge. [= requires the following trick] This entails that some smoothness assumptions will have to be made.
- enter Here G enters essentially in an algebraic way. If the line segment L does not enter the interior of A, then neither does L'.
- entire [see also: whole, complete, full, total] Since the entire argument is based solely upon assumption (6.1), the conclusion of the theorem must hold.
- **entirely** [see also: completely, fully, wholly] The difficulty disappears entirely if we think of our functions as elements of E. Each component which meets X lies entirely within Y. There is another, entirely different, way to see that A = B. Namely, one can first show that.....
- **entry** Define A to be the matrix with 1 in the (i, j) entry and 0 elsewhere. Take A to be the matrix with all entries zero except for i j at (i, j).
- **enumerate** [see also: list] There is not space to enumerate them all here. Computing f(y) can be done by enumerating A(y) and testing each element for membership in C.
- **enumeration** Let r_1, r_2, \ldots be an enumeration of the rationals in [0, 1].
- **envisage** Even though more general probabilistic constructions could be envisaged [= contemplated], we focus on those schemes where.....
- equal 1 Then A equals B. [= A is equal to B; not: "A is equal B"] The degree of P equals that of Q. Now J is defined to equal Af, the function f being as in (3). [= where the function f is....]
 Therefore this condition is equivalent to the correlation equalling 1 B.

2 The resulting metric space consists precisely of the Lebesgue integrable functions, provided we identify any two that are equal almost everywhere.

- equality Equality holds (occurs) in (9) if....., with equality if a = 1. There is equality if a = 1. Equality is achieved only for a = 1. Then $a \le b \le c \le a$. We therefore have equality throughout. The relation becomes an equality if the w_i form an orthonormal basis.
- **equally** [see also: just] But H itself can equally well be a member of S. The method applies equally well to certain other error terms. All inputs of size n are equally likely to occur. However, as observed in [5], the proof given in [7] is equally valid for regular sets.
- **equate** Equating coefficients we see that..... Equating the coefficient of x^2 in V to zero, we get..... Now equate the coefficients of x^2 at either end of this chain of equalities.
- equation Equation (2) now reads $Ax = \dots$ We can solve the resulting equations successively for c_1, \dots, c_n . • [Do not overuse "equation" for expressions without equality sign; use *estimate*, *inequality*, *formula*, *relation* etc. instead.]
- equip The algebra D(F) comes equipped with a differential d such that H(d) = 0.
- **equivalent** [to sth; to doing sth] This is equivalent to requiring $\langle saying \rangle$ that..... Therefore this condition is equivalent to the correlation equalling 1 B. The following three statements are equivalent:..... For this it is sufficient to check that x is in V, or, what is equivalent, that a(x) is bounded. Many equivalents are known for this property.
- **equivalently** Thus F can be equivalently defined as $F = \dots \bullet$ Then f = g, or equivalently a(f) = a(g). Firstly, if G is abelian, does it follow that S(G) = K, or equivalently, that $X_G = p(M(G))$?
- **erroneous** [see also: fallacious, invalid] Our main finding in this paper is that this intuition turns out to be erroneous.
- **error** [see also: mistake] The equations are satisfied with error at most O(n). The saddle-point conditions are satisfied up to an error o(n). We take this opportunity to correct a minor error in Lemma 2 of [PS]. Unfortunately, there is a simple but serious error in the final step of the proof.
- **especially** [see also: notably, particularly, particular] This may appear rather wasteful, especially when n is close to m, but these terms only give a small contribution to our sum. An especially interesting case occurs when....
- **essence** [see also: core, substance, nature, point, heart] In detail the classification is complex, but in essence it is simple.
- **essential** [see also: basic, critical, crucial, key] Essential to the proof are certain topological properties of G. The assumed positivity of u_n is essential for these results. We shall not use this fact in any essential way.
- essentially [see also: basically, largely, mostly, mainly] The operator P satisfies essentially the same inequality as does F. Even in the case n = 2, the application of Theorem 6 gives essentially nothing better than the inequality..... Here G enters essentially in an algebraic way.
 They were defined directly by Lax [2], essentially as we have defined them.
- establish [see also: prove, show, demonstrate, set] We need only establish (8) for intervals of the form..... They established the Hasse principle subject to a rank condition on the coefficients.
 To establish uniqueness, suppose..... This establishes (i). The problem now reduces to

establishing that..... • One might hope that in the particular case of the GL energy, this could be established, but we do not see an easy path to such a conclusion.

establishment We now work toward the establishment of properties (A) to (D).

- estimate 1 We now give an estimate for..... in terms of..... The weight satisfies a weak type (1,1) estimate. a crude $\langle rough/sharp/precise \rangle$ estimate
 - **2** [see also: bound, dominate, assess] To estimate F from above $\langle below \rangle$, consider.....
- estimation [see also: estimate] Theorem 3 can be applied to the estimation of b_k . The remainder of the estimation is largely as before with B replaced by C. [Note that estimation is an activity; its result is an estimate.]
- even Actually, the proof gives an even more precise conclusion:..... Nevertheless, it might be possible to make sense of (2) even for non-injective V by considering a multi-valued operator Z.
 This is true even if H²(B) = 0, as evidenced by the existence of manifolds whose affine base is a Klein bottle. Even though we were able to derive a formula for...., it is not easy to use.
 The fact that the number T(p) is uniquely defined, even though p is not, enables us to define the nullity of A as follows.
- **event** [see also: case] It becomes impracticable to compute the zeros of F for degrees greater than 6; in any event, deciding whether the divisors found in this way represent irreducible curves becomes increasingly difficult. In the event of a tie, the winner is decided by the toss of a coin.
- **eventual** [= occurring at the end; \neq possible; *see also*: ultimate, final] It is impossible to predict the eventual outcome of the process. The eventual aim is to obtain a closed form expression for F. In the remainder of this section, we study some properties of K, with the eventual aim (not realized yet) of describing K directly using G.
- **eventually** [= in the end; \neq possibly] The iterates eventually reach the value 1. Then we can find some net (s_k) which eventually leaves every compact subset of G. It will eventually appear that the results are much more satisfactory than one might expect. an eventually increasing sequence
- ever The question of whether B is ever strictly larger than A remains open.
- **every** [see also: all, any, each, arbitrary] To every F there corresponds a unique..... \bullet For every g in $X \langle \text{not in } X \rangle$ there exists an X..... [But: for all f and g, for any two maps f and g; every is followed by a singular noun.] \bullet Every invariant subspace is of the form..... \bullet [Do not write: "Every subspace is not of the form....." if you mean: No subspace is of the form.....; every has to be followed by an affirmative statement.] \bullet However, not every ring enjoys the stronger property of being bounded. \bullet Every possible such sequence gives rise to..... \bullet The generally accepted point of view in this domain of science seems to be changing every few years.
- evidence 1 [see also: proof, data, material, fact] There is no evidence to the contrary. Computer evidence suggests the dynamics of these maps is rich and varied. Some partial evidence to support this conjecture is discussed in [3]. We offer numerical evidence to support a conjecture that there exist infinitely many primes of this type.

2 [see also: show, prove] This is true even if $H^2(B) = 0$, as evidenced by the existence of manifolds whose affine base is a Klein bottle.

- **evident** [see also: clear, obvious, plain] From this previous work, it was not at all evident that there would be some covering or non-covering properties which would be preserved by one of these kinds of extensions. The description of Q makes it evident that (3) holds. [Note that the *it* is necessary here.]
- evidently [see also: clearly, manifestly, obviously] The algebraic properties (i) and (ii) required of j are evidently true.
- **exactly** [see also: precisely] Actually, [3, Theorem 2] does not apply exactly as stated, but its proof does. The function F vanishes to order exactly n at zero.
- **examination** [see also: check, consideration, discussion, inspection, scrutiny, verification] An examination of the argument just given reveals that this is all we have used. Examination of the left and right members of (1) shows that.....
- **examine** [see also: study, explore, investigate, look] Examining how the Lipschitz constant depends on F, we find that..... The algorithm examines only roughly one-quarter to one-third of the characters. By carefully examining the relations between the quantities U_i , we see that.....
- **example** [see also: illustration, exemplify] To see an example, set..... As an example, let..... • Here is an example of..... • An explicit example is two planes through the origin. • This can obviously happen for some X: examples are c_0 and l^1 . • We can make this clear with the following example. • The simplest example of this is furnished by..... • We now describe a more interesting example featuring an operator that is non-trivially supercyclic. • It is easy to see, by means of an example, that..... • Show by an example that a P-system need not be a field. • Examples are given to show that..... • Examples abound in which P is discontinuous.
- **exceed** [see also: outnumber, surpass] The degree of F exceeds that of G by at least 4. The support of F has diameter not exceeding l. It is intuitively clear that the amount by which S_n exceeds zero should follow the exponential distribution. Define a_k to be the probability that exactly k out of the 2n values X_i exceed T, conditional on $X_0 > T$.
- except [see also: apart from, besides] 1 for all n except a finite number for no x except the unique solution of..... [Note the difference between besides, except and apart from: besides usually 'adds' something, except 'subtracts', and apart from can be used in both senses; after no, nothing etc., all three can be used.] Then G is differentiable except for a jump at x = 0.
 Except for these two lemmas, we make no use of the results of [4]. That is—except the use of relaxed controls—precisely the stochastic Bellman equation. Then F is invertible except possibly on an at most countable set. Thus, F is invertible except where (at) x = 0. Hence F is invertible except at countably many points. The desingularization of f is X except when Q and Q' have a common factor, in which case..... We have not required f to be....., and we shall not do so except when explicitly stated. The assumptions of Section 3 are still in force except that for greater generality we do not assume f to be continuous.

2 The trivial cases when the family consists of only one set are excepted.

- **exception** There are few exceptions to this rule. As the proof will show, these properties, with the exception of (c), also hold for complex measures. With the exception noted below, we follow Stanley's presentation [3, Sec. 2].
- **exceptional** Only in exceptional circumstances is it true that f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y). [Note the inversion.]

exercise It is an elementary exercise to show that.....

- **exchange** [see also: interchange] The notion of backward complete is defined analogously by exchanging the roles of f and f^{-1} . It is highly likely that if one of the X's is exchanged for another, the inequality fails.
- **exclude** [see also: preclude, prevent, rule out] The condition A < B excludes quite a few of the standard Young functions. It remains to exclude the case where..... Note that we explicitly exclude ∞ from the values of a simple function. The possibility $A = \emptyset$ is not excluded.
- exclusive The two cases are not mutually exclusive.
- **exclusively** We will work exclusively in the category of standard Borel probability spaces, and so will often suppress mention of their sigma-algebras.
- **exemplify** [see also: example, witness] The elasticity of M is clearly at least equal to n (as exemplified by the element x).
- **exhibit** [see also: demonstrate, reveal, show, display, indicate, express] Formula (7) exhibits the same phenomenon. The resulting formula exhibits u as the Laplace transform of x. This rather counterintuitive phenomenon is exhibited by the following example. A function exhibiting this type of behaviour has been constructed by Cox [7].
- exist Note that dim E, if it exists, is..... There exists a function f and a constant c such that..... [Or: There exist a function f and a constant c] • The limit $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$ exists. [Not: "There exists a limit $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$."] • What relations exist between A and B?
- **existence** [see also: presence] We first show that existence of a solution of..... provides a sufficient condition for optimality. Given f and w, we shall prove the existence of a solution to (Q). This result shows that the mere existence of a nontrivial automorphism j of M produces the cut I(j) of M that satisfies (2).
- **expand** [see also: develop, work out, detail, length] Theorem 3 below expands on this idea. Expand f in powers of x. The purpose of this paper is to expand substantially the class of maps for which the index can be computed.
- **expansion** The Laurent expansion of f around (about) zero is..... expansion in powers of x the base p expansion (representation) of x
- expect [see also: hope] Hence we would expect the functions..... to behave similarly. We need to check that F-derivatives behave in the way we expect with regard to sums, scalar multiples and products. Compact multipliers, as one would expect, are those elements of A which.....
 It seems reasonable to expect that....., but we have no proof of this. That is the least one can expect. There is no reason to expect this to be an inverse map on K, but we do have the following. However, F is only nonnegative rather than strictly positive, as one may have expected. It will eventually appear that the results are much more satisfactory than one might expect. Some such difficulty is to be expected. Along the way, we come across some perhaps unexpected rigidity properties of familiar spaces.
- **expectation** [*see also*: hope] Kearnes developed a commutator theory for relative congruences, with the expectation that it can be used to prove Pigozzi's conjecture.

- **expense** [see also: cost, price, sacrifice] At the expense of replacing b by b^2 we may remove the condition....
- **explain** [see also: describe, account, reason, justify] This explains why we chose 9 rather than, say, 1 for the second coordinate. In Chapter 5, we shall explain what it means for a subset V of A to be determining for the centre of X. To obtain the required map one must modify the method as explained in [9]. Any other unexplained notation is as found in Fox (1995).
- **explanation** [see also: answer, solution, explication] The explanation for this definition is that..... This corollary calls for some explanation and comment. A formula like (3) surely deserves some explanation. a lucid (clear/plausible/likely/straightforward) explanation
- **explication** A complete explication of the Fox spaces is warranted, as it will likely reveal further clues to the differences between the parabolic and hyperbolic theories.
- **explicit** We write K(Q) for K to make the dependence on Q explicit. This module is denoted by H(X), or H(X, R) if we want to make explicit the coefficient ring. For simplicity, we suppress the explicit dependence on x in the notation. We shall proceed without making explicit distinctions between the two types of convergence. Throughout what follows, we shall freely use without explicit mention the elementary fact that..... An explicit example is two planes through the origin. Here is a more explicit statement of what the theorem asserts.
- **explicitly** [not: "explicitely"] Explicitly, we have the formula..... We have not required f to be...., and we shall not do so except when explicitly stated. The semigroup F can be explicitly determined. Note that we explicitly exclude ∞ from the values of a simple function.
- **exploit** [= make full use of] We now exploit the relation (15) to see what else we can say about G. Our first result generalizes (8) by exploiting some general facts seemingly overlooked by the aforementioned authors. This connection has been exploited on occasion [= sometimes] to construct various infinite families of regular maps.
- **exploration** [see also: analysis, investigation] As Corollary 2 shows, it is certainly a question deserving further exploration.
- **explore** [see also: examine, investigate, study] The question of..... has been explored under a variety of conditions on A.
- **expose** $[\neq$ present] [Do not write "We expose examples of maps" if you mean: We present examples of maps; "to expose" something means to uncover it (if it has been hidden) or make it known (if it has been secret).]
- **exposition** [see also: presentation] The proof is mainly included to keep the exposition as selfcontained as possible. • A detailed exposition, more suited to the purposes of the present article, is given in [9]. • For convenience of exposition, we work with an error term of the form..... • For completeness of exposition, we now recall the definition of the ordering on R. • The exposition owes much to the work of Dold described in [3]. • The author thanks the referee for recommending various improvements in exposition.
- express [see also: show, demonstrate, indicate, convey] We can express f in terms of..... Each f can be expressed in either of the forms (1) and (2). The chart shows government spending expressed as a proportion of national income. This is sometimes expressed by saying that..... We would like to express our thanks to all of these institutions for their hospitality and support.

- **expression** We shall abbreviate the expression (3) to F(k). For this choice of α, β and with u = z = s, the expression (5.3) simplifies greatly. The eventual aim is to obtain a closed form expression for F. How many such expressions are there? the expression in brackets (in braces, in parentheses) the rightmost expression [= the last one on the right] the right-hand side expression
- extend [see also: continue, generalize] We can extend f by zero to the whole Ω. Now A, B and C all extend to a small neighbourhood of x. We extend f to be homogeneous of degree 1.
 We make D a Poisson algebra by extending the Poisson bracket on A by linearity. We begin by extending Construction 2.1 to encompass B-algebras. This procedure can be extended to take care of any number of terms. This conclusion extends to the general diffraction problem.
 Much of the foregoing can be extended to the noncompact case. The method of proof of Theorem B can be adapted to extend the right-to-left direction of Mostowski's result by showing that.....
- **extension** [see also: generalization] Then F has no holomorphic extension to any larger region. • [BS] contains an extension of Proposition 2 to the setting of finitely additive set functions. • an extension of f off U
- extensive [see also: thorough, large, wide, substantial, detail] There is now an extensive literature dealing with..... For a comprehensive treatment and for references to the extensive literature on the subject one may refer to the book [M] by Markov. An extensive treatment of the *h*-principle can be found in [6].
- **extensively** [see also: fully, detail, expand, length] This subject has recently been extensively studied.
- **extent** [see also: scope, range, degree, far] It is not clear to what extent this can be generalized to other varieties of loops. The aim of this article is to study the relationship between the size of A, as measured by its diameter, and the extent to which A fails to be convex. In this section we ask about the extent to which F is invertible.
- **extra** [see also: additional, further, more] There is an extra statement that causes a new character to be read. We find ourselves forced to introduce an extra assumption. These extra stipulations are unimportant, but are given for definiteness. The method sketched in Section 3 of [Con] carries through with our choice of $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$, but there is one extra ingredient worthy of mention. The first of these was suggested by J. Serrin, who showed how to modify my earlier treatment of..... so as to obtain stronger results with no extra effort. They defined the concept solely in terms of the norm of the Banach space, deliberately avoiding any extra structure.
- extract We extract the following from the proof of Lemma 5 of [KL].
- extreme 1 Two natural extremes arise that one has to consider: the case when..... and the case when..... At the other extreme, L could be empty. At the other extreme is the set of tent maps with a dense orbit.
 - **2** An extreme example is when F is a singleton.

- \mathbf{F}
- fact [see also: information, detail, evidence, proof, actually, more] A stronger result is in fact true. We note that H is in fact not Lipschitz continuous if this condition is violated. In fact, we can do even better, and prescribe finitely many derivatives at each point of A. Now, just the fact that F is a homeomorphism lets us prove that..... The advantage of applying..... lies in the fact that..... Observe that (1) just uses the fact that m is unary.
- factor 1 E is similar to F but scaled by a factor 1/3. Thus E is similar to F with a scale factor 1/3. The only additional feature is the appearance of a factor of 2. Thus we need only alter our constants by a factor of 2 to deal with this case. This accounts for the factor 1/2 in (4).
 2 The map f factors through the space X. We can factor g into a product of irreducible elements.
- fail [see also: hold, invalid, short] The space X fails to have the Radon-Nikodym property. Hence A fails to satisfy (3). For j = 1 the operator is bounded, yet the integral (8) fails to be finite. It is highly likely that if one of the X's is exchanged for another, the inequality fails.
- **fairly** [used with "positive" properties; *see also*: rather, reasonably] Tietze's theorem is a fairly direct consequence of Urysohn's lemma. To see that f = g is fairly easy. In the next theorem, we give fairly minimal conditions that imply..... There are, however, a few important papers of which we were unaware until fairly recently.
- fall The most frequently used models fall into one of the following two categories. This example falls within the scope of Cox's theorem. The method falls short of providing an explicit formula for the index. [= fails to provide] In the latter case we may simply adjust F to equal 1 on the Borel set where it falls outside the specified interval.
- fallacious [see also: invalid, erroneous] However, this argument is fallacious, because as remarked after Lemma 3,.....
- false The theorem is definitely false without the assumption that...., as an inspection of Example 3 shows. It is this proposition that we believe to be false in Morava E-theory. Suppose, towards a contradiction, that this is false. This works regardless of whether B is true or false.
 There are finite measures for which the omission of (iv) would make (b) false.
- familiar [see also: common, customary, usual, standard] The reader is assumed to be familiar with elementary K-theory. Let E be Cantor's familiar middle thirds set. We encourage the reader unfamiliar with techniques from the theory of..... to consult [BS].
- **family** [see also: collection, set] Let I be the family of all subalgebras which contain F. [Or: that contain F; you can use either that or which in defining clauses.] The difficulty is that it is by no means clear what one should mean by a normal family. The words collection, family and class will be used synonymously with set.
- far [see also: extent, much] This problem is still far from being solved. Its description is far from complete. To go into this in detail would take us too far afield. However, (1) has been proved so far only in the case where..... So far we have not topologized M(R). So far it seems not to be known whether the geometric condition on X can be omitted. However, we have thus far been unable to find any magic squares with seven square entries. Functions which are equal almost everywhere are indistinguishable as far as integration is concerned.

- fashion [see also: manner, way, method] This was proved in elementary fashion by P. J. Cohen. • We shall need ways of constructing new triangulations from old ones which alter the f-vector in a predictable fashion. • Continuing in this fashion, we get a collection $\{V_r\}$ of open sets, one for every rational r, with..... • in a similar fashion
- **fast** [see also: rapidly] a fast decreasing function [Not: "a fastly decreasing function"] \bullet The other player is one-third as fast.
- **favorable** In (3.6), the most favorable case is when t is in the centre of the interval.
- feasible [see also: possible] It does not appear feasible to adapt the methods of this paper to.....
- feature [see also: characteristic, detail, element, ingredient] 1 One unusual feature of the solution should be pointed out.
 The remarkable feature of this theorem is that.....
 The only additional feature is the appearance of a factor of 2.
 The main new feature is the use of the face ring to produce lower bounds for the number of vertices.
 This t has the feature we want.
 Iterated correspondences display many of the features of.....
 a basic (distinctive/essential/key/main/major/significant/dominant/special) feature

2 We now describe a more interesting example featuring an operator that is non-trivially supercyclic. • This article features results in both spectral theory and operator ergodic theory made possible by a recent renewal of interest in the consequences of James's inequalities.

fellowship The paper was commenced whilst the second author held a Fullbright Fellowship.

- few [see also: some, several, less] There are few exceptions to this rule. $[= not many] \bullet$ There are few, if any, other significant classes of processes for which such precise information is available. Few of various existing proofs are constructive. • He accounts for all the major achievements in topology over the last few years. • The generally accepted point of view in this domain of science seems to be changing every few years. \bullet This set has no fewer elements than K has. [Not: "no less elements"; less should not be followed by a plural countable noun. However, use less when it is followed by than or when it appears after a noun: X has no less than twenty elements; Yhas ten elements or less.] • Any vector with three or fewer 1s in the last twelve places has at least eight 1s in all. • Theorem 3 is remarkable in that considerably fewer conditions than in the previous theorems ensure universality. \bullet Therefore, F has the fewest points when the index vanishes. • There are a few exceptions to this rule. $[= \text{some}] \bullet \text{Apart from a few embellishments}$ necessitated by some technical difficulties, the ideas differ very little from those used to prove Lemma 4. • Many interesting examples are known. We now describe a few of these. • Only a few of those results have been published before. • Quite a few of them are now widely used. [= A considerable number $] \bullet$ The condition A < B excludes quite a few of the standard Young functions.
- field [see also: area] Further motivation for looking at ideal class groups comes from the field of cryptography. • his field of scientific interest
- figure As shown in Figure 3, neither curve intersects X. These patterns are illustrated in Fig. 4.
 An example is plotted in Figure 1. The graph of Fig. 5 shows that..... This figure is drawn to a scale of one to ten.
- fill The reader is encouraged to fill in the missing details. Sets of the form (6) are disjoint and fill out any such orbit.

- **final** [see also: eventual, ultimate] This paper is in final form. In the final section of the paper, we list some open problems.
- **finally** [see also: last, lastly] Finally, multiplication by a permutation matrix will get the exponents in descending order. With Lemma 4 in $\langle at \rangle$ hand, we can finally define E to be equal to P(m)/H. This finally yields f = g. [Not: "yields that f = g"]
- find [see also: come across, discover, see, obtain] Continuing in this manner, we see that for $\sigma \geq 4$, the curve C_{4k+3} is found in the half-strip B. The main results of the paper are found in Section 2. Our proof of Theorem 2 is based upon ideas found in [BN]. Any other unexplained notation is as found in Fox (1995). Following the same lines we find that it takes k prolongations to get an immersed curve. This will help us find what conditions on A are needed for T(A) to be analytic. Then, for any two fixed points that Wagner's method does not find to be equivalent, he considers the possible lengths of potential solutions to (1). We find ourselves forced to introduce an extra assumption. This accords with the intuition that as we pass down the coding tree, we find out more and more detailed information about the ordering actually represented. Our main finding in this paper is that this intuition turns out to be erroneous.
- fine [see also: delicate, subtle, minor] There is only a fine distinction between the two schemes.A change in perspective allows us to gain not only more general, but also finer results than in [ST].
- **finish** [sth; doing sth; see also: close, complete, conclude, end, terminate] This finishes the proof. Two more triangulations will finish the job. After having finished proving (2), we shall return to..... [Not: "finished to prove (2)"] We finish by mentioning that, suitably modified, the results of Section 2 apply to the AP case. Continuity then finishes off the argument. •
- first 1 [see also: original] He was the first to propose a complete theory of triple intersections. • Because N. Wiener is recognized as the first to have constructed such a measure, the measure is often called the Wiener measure. • Let S_i be the first of the remaining S_j . • The first two are simpler than the third. [Or: the third one; not: "The first two ones"] • As a first step we shall bound A below. • We do this in the first section, which the reader may skip on a first reading. • At first glance, this appears to be a strange definition. • The first and third terms in (5) combine to give..... • the first author = the first-named author

2 [see also: initially, originally, beginning, firstly] First, we prove (2). [Not: "At first"] • We first prove a reduced form of the theorem. • Suppose first that..... • His method of proof was to first exhibit a map..... • In Lemma 6.1, the independence of F from V is surprising at first. • It might seem at first that the only obstacle is the fact that the group is not compact. • [Note the difference between first and at first: first refers to something that precedes everything else in a series, while at first [= initially] implies a contrast with what happens later.]

- **firstly** [see also: first] Firstly, if G is abelian, does it follow that S(G) = K, or equivalently, that $X_G = p(M(G))$?
- fit [see also: suit, suitable, satisfactory, appropriate] Other types fit into this pattern as well.
 We aim at fitting this result into the general scheme of.....
 Although these proofs run along similar lines, there are subtle adjustments necessary to fit the argument to each new situation.
 The key part is to show that the submanifolds U_k fit together to form a complex submanifold.

fix For fixed k, \dots • We temporarily fix n in N. • Fix n for the moment. • Fix n and let c vary.

• The mapping f leaves (keeps) the origin fixed. • Thus F has x as its unique fixed point.

focus 1 In this paper, the main focus is on the Riemann moduli space. • Our focus now will be on one-sided averages.

2 In this section we shall focus attention on..... \bullet Even though more general probabilistic constructions could be envisaged [= contemplated], we focus on those schemes where.....

- **-fold** Then P covers M twofold. Sales increased almost fourfold in this period. The motivation for writing this paper was twofold. By k-fold integration by parts,.....
- **folklore** The following easy lemma is surely folklore. We now prove a simple fact about semigroups: this is surely a folklore result. • The following simple example has long been a part of ergodic-theoretic folklore.
- **follow** [see also: imply, ensuing, subsequent] The remarks following Theorem 2 show that a = 0. • The analysis to follow only covers the case d = 1. • We make the following provisional definition, which is neither general nor particularly elegant, but is convenient for the induction which is to follow. • The following three statements are equivalent:..... • For D a smooth domain, the following are equivalent. • The following has an almost identical proof to that of Lemma 2. • The idea of the ensuing computations is the following:..... • His argument is as follows. • The fact that the number T(p) is uniquely defined, even though p is not, enables us to define the nullity of A as follows. • In what follows (In all that follows), L stands for..... • Throughout what follows, we shall freely use without explicit mention the elementary fact that..... • It follows that a is positive. [= Hence (Consequently,/Therefore,) a is positive.] • Firstly, if G is abelian, does it follow that S(G) = K, or equivalently, that $X_G = p(M(G))$? • If we prove that G > 0, the assertion follows. • The general case follows by changing x to x - a. • We follow Kato [3] in assuming f to be upper semicontinuous. \bullet Following the same lines we find that it takes kprolongations to get an immersed curve. • The proof follows very closely the proof of (2), except for the appearance of the factor x^2 . • It is intuitively clear that the amount by which S_n exceeds zero should follow the exponential distribution.
- for 1 We write z = (x, y) for the common point of A and B. We first consider the M/G/1 queue, where M (for "Markov") means that..... \bullet For D a smooth domain, the following are equivalent. • For m not an integer, the norm can be defined by interpolation. • For (ii), consider..... [= To prove (ii), consider] • Now (3) is clear. As for (4), it is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6. [= Concerning (4)] • Thus F is integrable for the product measure. • Then for such a map to exist, we must have H(M) = 0. • The problem with this approach is that V has to be C^1 for (3) to be well defined. • Computing f(y) can be done by enumerating A(y) and testing each element for membership in C. • Therefore, the system (5) has a solution of the sought-for type. **2** [see also: because] We must have Lf = 0, for otherwise we can replace f by f - Lf. [= because otherwise] • It turns out that it suffices to show that A = 1, for if this is proved, the preceding remark shows that..... • [This use of for sometimes leads to confusion; e.g., never write: "for $x \in X^{"}$ if you mean: since $x \in X$. Also, avoid starting a sentence with a For in this sense.] Do not use for followed by an ing-form to indicate the purpose of one's actions: instead of: "For showing that f is bounded, we observe", write: To show that f is bounded, we observe. However, you can use for to indicate the 'purpose' of a thing: This provides a method for recognizing pure injective modules.]

force [see also: strength] 1 The next lemma is the first in this section that seems to require the

full force of the normality of X. • The assumptions of Section 3 are still in force except that for greater generality we do not assume f to be continuous.

2 [see also: make, necessitate, impose, require] If we choose μ close to 1, we can force r < 0. • This forces f to satisfy (6). • We find ourselves forced to introduce an extra assumption.

- **foregoing** [see also: above, precede] How much of the foregoing can be extended to the non-compact case?
- form 1 [see also: shape, structure, type, kind] The map F can be put $\langle brought \rangle$ into this form by setting..... • Let S be the set of all solutions of (8) of the form (3). • We shall then show that this f can be represented in the form $f = \dots$. • This implies that the local martingale must take a very specific form. • in diagonal form

2 [see also: constitute, make up, account for, represent] Consider the Blaschke product formed with the zeros of f. • They form a base of the topology of X. • Theorem 2 will form the basis for our subsequent results. • The key part is to show that the submanifolds U_k fit together to form a complex submanifold.

- **formalism** In this subsection, we develop a formalism that will be used in the proofs of our main results.
- formally The sum in (2), though formally infinite, is therefore actually finite.
- former [see also: latter] Then either....., or..... In the former case,..... Examples 1 and 2 give two operators, the former bounded and the latter not, with..... The definition is stated in terms of local martingales, rather than martingales, for the technical reason that the former are easier to characterize in applications.
- formula [pl. formulas or formulae] The main information conveyed by this formula is that.....
 These models furnish integral formulas for the matrix entries of F. It seems appropriate to mention in passing the corresponding formula for the cohomology of B_n. In addition to illustrating how our formulas work in practice, it provides a counterexample to Brown's conjecture.
 Find integral formulas by means of which the coefficients c_n can be computed from f. For later use, we record the following formulas:.....
- formulate [see also: state] We can now formulate the problem to which the rest of this article is dedicated. It is also clear that there are extensions to...., but they do not seem to be worth the effort of formulating them separately. The benefit of formulating our notion of 'isomorphism section' as above will become clear shortly.
- formulation [see also: statement] In the next section we introduce yet another formulation of the problem.
 Here we consider a dual variational formulation which can be derived similarly to that for the sandpile model.
 It is proved in [1] (albeit with a slightly different formulation) that.....
- forthcoming In this section we gather some miscellaneous results that are more or less standard. These will be used to calculate the constants c_{α} , and we place them here to avoid interrupting the forthcoming arguments.
- fortunately Fortunately, there is a very satisfactory solution to this problem, due to Vermes.

forward If t does not appear in P at all, we can jump forward n places.

- foundation [see also: basis, underlie] In Section 2, we lay the foundations for a systematic study of..... Leray and Schauder laid the foundations for the generalization of the Lefschetz index to infinite dimensions.
- **fraction** [see also: proportion, ratio] A mere fraction of this energy is currently utilized.
- **framework** [see also: context, setting, set-up] This is actually a special case of the preceding framework. [Not: "preceeding"]
- free Then H is a free R-module on as many generators as there are path components of X.
- freedom It is the freedom of choice of D in this construction that enables us to.....
- **freely** Throughout what follows, we shall freely use without explicit mention the elementary fact that.....
- **frequently** [see also: often, repeatedly] Part (c) is a frequently used criterion for the measurability of a real-valued function. We shall frequently write w.w. for 'weakly wandering'. This sort of proof will recur frequently in what follows.
- from This is immediate from 3.2. We see from (2.3) that..... From (2.3) we have..... Then X is the Swiss cheese obtained from the family D. Thus A can be written as a sum of functions built up from B, C, and D. From now on, F will be fixed. Consider the family of ordered triples of elements from F. The main difference from the case of finite coding trees is the presence of limits. Clearly, the contribution from those r with A(r) > 0 can be neglected. In Lemma 6.1, the independence of F from V is surprising at first. The presence here of the direct summand H is simply to prevent A from having disconnected spectrum.
- **fulfil** [or: fulfill] We now fulfil the promise made at the start of the proof by handling the case of $G = S_n$.
- full [see also: complete, entire, whole, total] For a fuller discussion of this topic, see [6].
- fully [see also: completely, entirely, detail, expand, extensively, length] However, to our knowledge this is not fully resolved. • This is discussed more fully in [5].
- functor The assignment of K_1 to K, and of T_1 to T, defines a functor between the category of commutative algebras and the category of compact semigroups with continuous homomorphisms.
- **furnish** [see also: give, offer, provide, supply, afford, yield] These models furnish integral formulas for the matrix entries of F. Then Lemma 2 furnishes the bound $f \leq \dots$ The simplest example of this is furnished by.....
- further [see also: additional, extra, more] Thus every subsequence contains a further subsequence converging weakly to some limit. The only case requiring further analysis occurs when f = 0. The results have been encouraging enough to merit further investigation. As Corollary 2 shows, it is certainly a question deserving further exploration. We omit further details. A further complication arises from 'BP', which works rather differently from the other labels. We shall encounter similar situations again, and shall apply convergence theorems to them without further comment. Proceeding further in this direction, we obtain the following corollary.
 It should be possible to enhance the above theorem further by allowing an arbitrary locally compact group L.

- **furthermore** [*see also*: also, moreover, likewise] Furthermore, adopting this strategy considerably eases constructing a coding tree from a linear order.
- futile [see also: doomed] Having established (1), one might be tempted to try to extend this result to general p through the choice of a suitable ideal B. Alas, as we shall see now, this attempt is futile.
- future For future reference, we record this in the following corollary. We quote for future reference another result of Fox: there exists..... This is an interesting area for future research.
 For future use, choose any monotone h(m) tending to infinity such that..... These upper bounds are too large to be useful in computer calculations in general, but the ideas in the proofs will surely contribute to better bounds in the future.

\mathbf{G}

- **gain** [see also: get, obtain, achieve] The theorem gains in interest if we realize that..... Thus it is reasonable to attempt, using this homeomorphism, to gain an understanding of the structure of M. It is useful to consider some rather simple examples to gain some intuition. A change in perspective allows us to gain not only more general, but also finer results than in [ST].
- gap The statement does appear in [3] but there is a simple gap in the sketch of proof supplied.The theory of correspondences may be viewed as bridging the gap between.....
- gather [see also: collect, combine, piece together] We gather here various notation for future reference. In this section we gather some miscellaneous results that are more or less standard.• The data were gathered for about a year.
- **general** His techniques work just as well for general v. How are these two optimality notions related? In general, they are not. While topological measures resemble Borel measures, they in general need not be subadditive. We suspect that more can be said in general about which subextensions can be obtained from finite-rank modules, but we will not explore this matter further here.
- generality There is no loss of generality in assuming that..... This involves no loss of generality.
 Without loss of generality we can assume that..... [In many cases, the phrase "without loss of generality" can be omitted: write simply: We can clearly assume that..... Avoid using the abbreviation "w.l.o.g."] Without losing any generality, we could have restricted our definition of integration to integrals over all of X. [Not: "Without lossing"] It simplifies the argument, and causes no loss of generality. Rather than discuss this in full generality, let us look at a particular situation of this kind. A number of authors have considered, in varying degrees of generality, the problem of determining..... It seems preferable, for clarity's sake, not to present the construction at the outset in the greatest generality possible. It seems that the relations between these concepts emerge most clearly when the setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to the subject.
- generalization [see also: extension] Leray and Schauder laid the foundations for the generalization of the Lefschetz index to infinite dimensions. We pause to record a generalization of Theorem 2 in a different direction. It is not immediately obvious what this generalization has to be.

- **generalize** [see also: extend] Corollary 2 generalizes and strengthens Theorem 3 of [9]. This approach does not seem to generalize to arbitrary substructures. It is not clear to what extent this can be generalized to other varieties of loops.
- **generally** However, M is generally not a manifold. It is not generally possible to restrict f to the class D. More generally this argument also applies to characterizing Hurewicz subsets of I.
- **generate** This is slightly at odds [= inconsistent] with the terminology of [4], as Fox defines the trace filter to be the normal filter generated by A. The family of 4-sets will be used to generate a symmetry outside N but in M.
- **get** [see also: obtain, acquire, make, arrange, overcome, circumvent] Apply Theorem 3 to get a function..... • We thus get f = g. [Not: "We thus get that f = g."] • We do not expect to get F closed. • The induced topology is not compact, but we can always get it to be contained in a Bohr topology. • Finally, multiplication by a permutation matrix will get the exponents in descending order. • Fortunately, F does not get too close to p. • To get around this difficulty, assume that..... • It is also tempting to get round this problem by working with.....
- give [see also: provide, offer, supply, furnish, afford, define, yield, imply, present, produce] The action of G is given by $gf = \dots$ The argument just given shows that..... This gives (1) and shows that..... However, a slight strengthening of the hypotheses does give us a regular measure. We now give some applications of Theorem 3. We give X the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of I. Note that E can be given a complex structure by setting..... Suppose we are given an f of the form $f = \dots$ Given $\delta > 0$, we can find ε such that..... This is certainly reasonable for Algorithm 3, given its simple loop structure. He used a new version of an algorithm for finding all normal subgroups of up to a given index in a finitely presented group. A function given on G gives rise to an invariant function on G'.
- glance [see also: look, sight] At first glance Lemma 2 seems to yield four possible outcomes.
 Neighbourhoods of points in these spaces appear at first glance to have a nice regular structure, but upon closer scrutiny, one sees that many neighbourhoods contain collections of arcs hopelessly folded up.
 Now, for arbitrary n, a glance at the derivative shows that.....
- **glue** Then M is obtained by glueing $\langle \text{gluing} \rangle X$ to Y along Z. There is a natural way to glue the associated varieties together along their common boundary. The set A is obtained from B by removing a neighbourhood of C and gluing in a copy of D.
- **go** 1 [see also: stroke] Its role is to rule out having two or more consecutive *P*-moves (on the grounds that they can be performed in one go).

2 [see also: continue, proceed, pursue, turn] a path obtained by going from A to B along the lower half of the circle • Here the interesting questions are not about individual examples, but about the asymptotic behaviour of the set of examples as one or another of the invariants (such as the genus) goes to infinity. • This proves that the dimension of S does not go below q. • We adopt the convention that the first coordinate i increases as one goes downwards, and the second coordinate j increases as one goes from left to right. • Some members go into more than one V_k . • To go into this in detail would take us too far afield. • We now go through the clauses of Definition 3. • Before going to the proof, it is worth noting that..... • This idea goes back at least as far as [3]. • This argument goes back to Banach. • Many of these results are known, and indeed they go back to the seminal paper of Dixmier [D] of 1951. • Going back to the existential step of the proof, suppose that..... • Before we go on, we need a few facts about the spaces L_p .

- The equation PK = 0 then goes over to QK = 0. The rest of the proof goes through as for Corollary 2, with hardly any changes. There are kneading sequences for which the arguments of Section 4 go through routinely.
- **good** [see also: satisfactory, appropriate, suitable] The kernel satisfies good large time bounds if.....
- grant We claim that F is the product of two disjoint cycles. Granting this, we reach a contradiction as follows.
- **grasp** [see also: understand, recognize, realize, see] To grasp the difference between the two notions, consider.....
- **grateful** [*not*: "greatful"] The author is grateful to the referee for a number of helpful suggestions for improvement in the article.
- **gratefully** The author gratefully acknowledges the referee's helpful comments pertaining to the first draft of this paper.
- **great** [see also: large, more] Then F is 3 greater than G. Thus F is not $\langle no \rangle$ greater than G. Consequently, F is greater by a half. However, F can be as great as 16. One should take great care with..... In his Stony Brook lectures, he laid great emphasis on the use of..... Another topic of great interest is how much of adjunction theory holds for ample vector bundles. We could have adopted an approach to proving Theorem 2 along a line of reasoning which bears greater resemblance to the treatment of the analogous result in Section 1. To show the greater simplicity of our method over Brown's, let us..... It seems preferable, for clarity's sake, not to present the construction at the outset in the greatest generality possible.
- **greatly** [see also: considerably, significantly, substantially] For this choice of α, β and with u = z = s, the expression (5.3) simplifies greatly.
- **ground** [see also: reason] Its role is to rule out having two or more consecutive *P*-moves (on the grounds that they can be performed in one go).
- **grow** [see also: develop, increase] The product being considered grows like n^3 . Our study grew out of some valuable conversations with Kirk Douglas.
- **growth** The structure of a Banach algebra is frequently reflected in the growth properties of its analytic semigroups. We give a fairly simple description of a wide class of averaging operators for which this rate of growth can be seen to be necessary. the growth rate of V^n as $n \to \infty$ a function of moderate growth
- **guarantee** [see also: ensure] Observe how the completeness of L^2 was used to guarantee the existence of f. However, (5) is sufficient to guarantee invertibility in A. We are guaranteed only one dense product for each k. This guarantees that f satisfies all our requirements., which, by another theorem of Kimney's, is more than enough to guarantee that P gives A outer measure 1.

Η

half 1 We sketch the proof of the easy half of the theorem. • This proves one half of (2); the other half is a matter of direct computation. • the left half of the interval • the upper half of the unit disc • Thus F is greater by a half.

2 F is half the sum of the positive roots. [Or: half of the sum] • Half of the sets of R miss i and half the remaining miss j. • Then J contains an interval of half its length in which f is positive.
• On average, about half the list will be tested. • Now F is half as long as G. [Or: as G is] • We divide N in half. • The length of F is thus reduced by half.

- hand Our presentation is therefore organized in such a way that the analogies between the concepts of topological space and continuous function, on the one hand, and of measurable space and measurable function, on the other, are strongly emphasized. On the other hand, F fails to have property P. [Not: "On the other side"] With Lemma 4 in $\langle at \rangle$ hand, we can finally define E to be equal to P(m)/H. Once the dissipation relation is in hand, no further work is required. It can be easily calculated by hand that the nonzero solutions are.....
- **handle** [see also: consider, deal, take up, treat, manipulate, control] The theorem indicates that arbitrary multipliers are much harder to handle than those in M(A). But (9) needs handling with greater care. However, as we are about to see, this complication is easily handled. The map G can be handled in much the same way. This is handled by a direct case-by-case argument.
- **happen** [see also: occur] If this happens on a set of positive measure, then f cannot be continuous. It may happen that B_1 is the only compatible compactification. What happens if the word "nonnegative" is omitted? Under what conditions does it happen that r < s?
- hard [see also: difficult, complex, complicated, involved, intricate] This is the hard part of Jones's theorem. The theorem indicates that arbitrary multipliers are much harder to handle than those in M(A). The calculation of M(f) is usually no harder than the calculation of N(f). The cases p = 1 and p = 2 will be the ones of interest to us, but the general case is no harder to prove.
- hardly [see also: little] The rest of the proof goes through as for Corollary 2, with hardly any changes. [= with almost no changes]
 On the whole, the solution can hardly be considered satisfactory. [= is rather unsatisfactory]
- harm [see also: loss] The hypothesis $f(0) \neq 0$ causes no harm in applications, for if.....
- have [see also: possession] This square has a perimeter equal to the circumference of the circle. • Then M is a Banach algebra having for its identity the unit point mass at 0. • Thus Rhas rank 2 (determinant zero/cardinality \mathbf{c}). • Therefore F has a countable spectrum (a finite norm/a compact support). [Or: F has countable spectrum etc.] • Since....., we have Tf equal to 0 or 2. • Then L consists of those g which have Kg(n) = 0 for all n. • Is it possible to have m(E) < 1 for such a set? • However, X does have finite uniform dimension. • Then B does not have the Radon-Nikodym property. • Let f be a map with f|M having the Mittag-Leffler property. • Suppose A is maximal with respect to having connected preimage. • This allows proving the representation formula without having to integrate over X. • It has to be assumed that..... • The problem with this approach is that V has to be C^1 for (3) to be well defined.
- **heart** [see also: core, essence] This simple observation lies at the heart of the proof of our first theorem.
- **heavily** [= a lot] Standard Banach space notation is used throughout. For clarity, however, we record the notation that is used most heavily. We shall draw heavily on ideas from [3].

- **heavy** [see also: cumbersome] This section makes heavy use of a theorem of Alsen and related results.
- **help** [see also: aid] This will help us find what conditions on A are needed for T(A) to be analytic. [Or: help us to find] • The knowledge of the invariant subspaces of an operator helps us to visualize its action. • It is hoped that a deeper understanding of these residues will help establish new results about the distribution of modular symbols. • To calculate (2), it helps to visualize the S_n as the successive positions in a random walk. • It may help to think of F as being a smooth approximation to the Heaviside function.
- helpful [see also: useful, advantageous, valuable] It is helpful to keep these similarities in mind.
- **hence** [see also: consequently, so, thus, therefore, follow] Then F is continuous, hence bounded on D. We can suppose R is semilocal (and hence a PID).
- **henceforth** [= hereafter, from this time on] This theorem will henceforth be referred to as the minimum principle. [Note the double r in referred.] We henceforth identify $SC(K \times K)$ with a *-subalgebra of $L^{\infty}(X \times X)$. Henceforth, therefore, we may assume that f is irreducible.
- here Here is a simple direct proof. Here is a restatement:..... Here are some elementary properties of these concepts. Here are some other situations in which we can draw conclusions only almost everywhere. It is here assumed that..... Here and throughout, P(E) denotes.....
 The parameter interval was here taken to be (0, 1).
- **hereafter** [= henceforth, from now on] This theorem will hereafter be referred to as the minimum principle. [Note the double r in *referred.*] This property will be used repeatedly hereafter.
- **heuristic** We can do a heuristic calculation to see what the generator of x_t must be.
- **high** A similar result holds in higher space dimension. \bullet The vector field H always points towards the higher A-level. \bullet
- **highly** It is highly likely that if one of the X's is exchanged for another, the inequality fails. It is generally a highly nontrivial question whether..... a highly informative book
- **hinder** [= make sth difficult] There are other problems with this example which would hinder any attempt to follow the proof given here too closely.
- **hold** [see also: apply, true, valid, force] All our estimates hold without this restriction. The desired inequality holds trivially whenever A > 0. In this section we investigate under what conditions the converse holds. An obvious question to ask is whether the assertion of Theorem 1 continues to hold for..... Equality holds $\langle occurs \rangle$ in (9) if..... This attempt is doomed because the homogeneity condition fails to hold. [= The attempt is certain to fail] The first author holds a Rockefeller Foundation fellowship. He held the Courant Chair at New York University for three years before his retiring. In the year 2000 $\langle In 2000 \rangle$, two important number theory conferences were held at Princeton University.

hope 1 This leaves the hope that a ratio theorem may persist in a more general setting.

2 [see also: expect, expectation] A simple argument shows that we cannot hope to have Df = 0. • We cannot hope to say anything about the structure of each isotropy factor as a system in its own right. • Almost everywhere convergence is the best we can hope for. • Specifically, one might hope that a clever application of something like Choquet's theorem would yield the desired conclusion. • If nothing else, I hope to convince my readers that Segal's theorem deserves

recognition as a profound contribution to Gaussian analysis. • It is hoped that a deeper understanding of these residues will help establish new results about the distribution of modular symbols.

- hopeless [see also: impossible, impracticable] a hopeless task
- **hopelessly** Neighbourhoods of points in these spaces appear at first glance to have a nice regular structure, but upon closer scrutiny, one sees that many neighbourhoods contain collections of arcs hopelessly folded up.
- hospitality We would like to express our thanks to all of these institutions for their hospitality and support. • This research was initiated when the first author was visiting the University of Alberta in the summer of 2008; the financial support and kind hospitality are gratefully acknowledged.
- how We have to keep track of how the constant K depends on the domain D. A natural question is how sharp the bounds given in Theorem 6 are. • Another topic of great interest is how much of adjunction theory holds for ample vector bundles. • Observe how the completeness of L^2 was used to guarantee the existence of f. • How many of them are convex? • How many such expressions are there? • How many entries are there in this section? • How many multiplications are done on average?
- however [see also: but, though, nevertheless, matter] 1 In this section, however, we shall not use it explicitly. That approach was used earlier in [2]. There, however, it was applied in simply connected regions only. [Avoid using "however" as a simple substitute for but.]
 2 This implies that however we choose the points y_i, the intersection point will be their limit point. [a point the point of the point o
 - point. [= no matter how we choose] However small a neighbourhood of x we take, the image will be..... Then $M_n(x) = 0$ for every x, however large.
- **hypothesis** [pl. hypotheses; see also: assumption, conjecture] We show that one can drop an important hypothesis of the saddle point theorem without affecting the result. • The hypothesis $f(0) \neq 0$ causes no harm in applications, for if..... • Assume, in addition to the hypotheses of Exercise 4, that..... • We now bound v on $\langle under \rangle$ the hypothesis H_m . • In fact, we shall prove our result under the weaker hypothesis that W is weakly bounded, rather than just bounded, on an infinite subset of G. • However, a slight strengthening of the hypotheses does give us a regular measure. • We establish our results both unconditionally and on the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis. • In geometric language, the hypothesis is that F is....; part of the conclusion is that F satisfies..... • the hypothesis of positivity [= the positivity hypothesis] • the hypothesis of F being projective

Ι

idea [see also: concept, notion, objective, definiteness] Some of the basic ideas from functional analysis are also included. • The idea behind our use of the map σ is that..... • The idea is to relax the constraint of being a weight function in Theorem 3. • It is this idea that underlies some of the results of [2]. • This idea goes back at least as far as [3]. • Apart from a few embellishments necessitated by some technical difficulties, the ideas differ very little from those used to prove Lemma 4. • Our proof of Theorem 2 is based upon ideas found in [BN]. • The proof makes use of many of the ideas of the general case, but in a simpler setting. • Although the idea is simple, its implementation is complicated by the fact that..... • It is a pleasure to thank R. Greenberg

for bringing his criterion for..... to our attention, and for generously sharing his ideas about it. • We shall draw heavily on ideas from [3]. • To fix ideas, assume that M is a pentagon. • a stimulating (clever/original/alternative/basic) idea

- identical [see also: same] The obvious rearrangement reveals the right side to be identical with (8).The following has an almost identical proof to that of Lemma 2.
- identify As a first step we identify the image of Δ . Letting $m \to \infty$ identifies this limit as H. Using the standard inner product we can identify H with H^* . The tangent space to N at x is identified with M via left translation. We henceforth identify $SC(K \times K)$ with a *-subalgebra of $L^{\infty}(X \times X)$. The resulting metric space consists precisely of the Lebesgue integrable functions, provided we identify any two that are equal almost everywhere.
- identity Clearly, M is a Banach algebra having for its identity the unit point mass at 0.
- i.e. [= that is; see also: namely] These are precisely the linear functionals which also preserve multiplication, i.e., f(ab) = f(a)f(b). [The comma after *i.e.* is optional.] [Note the difference between namely and that is: while namely introduces specific or extra information, that is (or *i.e.*) introduces another way of putting what has already been said.]
- if [see also: when, whenever, whether, provided] The map F is said to be proper if G is dense.
 [Note: no comma before if here.] By deleting the intervals containing x, if any, we obtain.....
 There are few, if any, other significant classes of processes for which such precise information is available. The only thing to check is that maps compose correctly; but this is an easy, if tedious, verification. We can assume, by decreasing n if necessary, that.....
- ignore [see also: disregard, neglect] For convenience we ignore the dependence of f on g. The reader may wonder why we have apparently ignored the possibility of obtaining a better lower bound by considering..... [Do not write: "We ignore if A exists" if you mean: We do not know if A exists.]
- **illegitimate** [*see also*: justify] In the preceding proof, the appeal to the dominated convergence theorem may seem to be illegitimate since.....
- **illuminate** These three results lead to several illuminating pieces of information about the (insufficiently studied) Berger property in general spaces.
- illustrate [see also: show, demonstrate, exhibit, display] To illustrate, let us state the following corollary. These patterns are illustrated in Fig. 4. In addition to illustrating how our formulas work in practice, it provides a counterexample to Brown's conjecture. The two examples, E_1 and E_2 , differ by only a single sequence, e, and they serve to illustrate the delicate nature of Theorem 2. The following result illustrates the utility of (3).
- illustration [see also: example] By way of illustration, here is an example of..... We can now pose a problem whose solution will afford an illustration of how (5) can be used.
- **image** the image of A under f = the f-image of A the inverse image = the preimage
- **immediate** The first conclusion is immediate from Theorem 1. Now (3) is clear. As for (4), it is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6. [= Concerning (4)]
- immediately However, we immediately encounter the problem of nonregularity of the data. It is not immediately obvious what this generalization has to be. • We can immediately use

one of these poles to cancel out (compensate for) the effect of the pole at s = 0. • This follows immediately from (8) coupled with the fact that.....

- **implement** This procedure, once implemented, can thereafter be applied with great effectiveness.
- **implementation** Although the idea is simple, its implementation is complicated by the fact that.....
- **implication** [see also: consequence] The implication one way follows from Theorem 2. None of the one-way implications in (1.1) can be reversed. The rigidity inequality has a strong implication when the fundamental group of P is nontrivial. the converse implication = the converse
- **implicit** [see also: imply] The following lemma, crucial to Theorem 2, is also implicit in [4]. This is essentially implicit in [BC] but we cannot quite quote the result we need. The constants implicit in the symbol \approx depend on r.
- **imply** [see also: follow, entail, implicit] Then x = y and y = z implies x = z. [Or: imply] Note that M being cyclic implies F is cyclic. However, if B were omitted in (1), the case n = 0 would imply Nf = 1, an undesirable restriction. Our present assumption implies that the last inequality in (8) must actually be an equality. That (2) implies (1) is contained in the proof of Theorem 1 of [4]. The continuity of f implies that of g. We maintain the convention that implied constants depend only on n. The equivalence of (a) and (b) is trivially implied by the definition of M.
- **importance** [see also: role, significance, relevance, interest] Another group of importance in physics is $SL_2(R)$. The next two theorems reveal the importance of this concept. of considerable (critical/crucial/fundamental/outstanding/particular/primary/increasing/minor/secondary) importance
- important [see also: main, crucial, principal, major, significant] It is important to notice some of the weaknesses inherent in the above approach. Where it is important to distinguish different norms on E, we shall use the notation..... We show that one can drop an important hypothesis of the saddle point theorem without affecting the result. Important analytic differences appear when one writes down precisely what is meant by..... It has some basic properties in common with another most important class of functions, namely, the continuous ones. These extra stipulations are unimportant, but are given for definiteness.
- impose [see also: force] Theorem 7 imposes a quantitative restriction on the location of the zeros of..... This theorem removes the restriction to convex regions which was imposed in Theorem 8.
- **impossible** [see also: impracticable, hopeless] It is impossible to predict the eventual outcome of the process., which is impossible. [Not: "what is impossible"] But...., it being impossible to make A and B intersect. [= since it is impossible to make]
- **impracticable** [see also: impossible, hopeless] It becomes impracticable to compute the zeros of F for degrees greater than 6; in any event, deciding whether the divisors found in this way represent irreducible curves becomes increasingly difficult.
- **improve** [see also: enhance, refine, sharpen, progress, better] The following example shows that the degree of smoothness predicted by Theorem 6 cannot be improved on. The condition.....

can be improved by employing a strategy similar to that underlying the proof of Theorem 2. \bullet We note in passing that Fox has subsequently improved Barnes's result by showing that.....

- improvement [see also: progress, refinement] We now show the following improvement on (2).
 The basic improvement is the smoothness condition on G given in (6).
 The object of this paper is to obtain improvements in two cases, namely for forms of degree 7 and 11.
 There has since been a series of improvements, of which we briefly mention the work of Levinson.
 The author is grateful to the referee for a number of helpful suggestions for improvement in the article.
 The author thanks the referee for recommending various improvements in exposition.
 a considerable (major/marked/radical/remarkable/significant/minor/slight) improvement
- **impulse** [see also: inspiration, motivation] An original impulse for this investigation came from the study of.....
- in We put b in R unless a is already in. This equation has a solution in integers for N > 7. Expand f in powers of x. • It is this point of view which is close to that used in C^* -algebras. • The maps f_t are homotopic, so f_1 and f_0 induce the same maps in homology. • These intervals are disjoint from all those used in defining J_1 . • In doing this we will also benefit from having the following notation. • This also resolves the ambiguity introduced earlier in choosing an order of the lifts of U. • Each A_i meets A in a finite set. $[=A_i \cap A \text{ is finite}] \bullet$ Then one Y_i can intersect another only in one point. \bullet Values computed for the right side of (2) were rounded up in the fourth decimal place. • Then F varies smoothly in t. • Thus $F_n(x, y)$ converges to F(x, y) uniformly in x. • Clearly, A_i is increasing in j. • The word ends in a. • in diagonal form • in geometric language • In less precise language, the requirement is that the two angles are the same in size and in orientation. \bullet Then P is the product of several integer factors of about x^n in size. • The set A is roughly triangular in shape. • The proof proper [= The actual proof] will consist of establishing the following statements in sequence. • convergence in probability (in distribution \diamond Less than 1 in p of its points will result in a quartic with ideal class number p. • Theorem 3 is remarkable in that considerably fewer conditions than in the previous theorems ensure universality. • As M is ordered, we have no difficulty in assigning a meaning to (a, b). • The prime 2 is anomalous in this respect, in that the only edge from 2 passes through $3. \bullet$ This is where the notion of an upper gradient comes in. \bullet The set A is obtained from B by removing a neighbourhood of C and gluing in a copy of D.
- inability A shortcoming of our method is the inability to compare three or more progressions.
- **incidentally** [see also: by-product, pass, way] Incidentally, our computation shows that..... Incidentally, the question of whether K(E) is amenable for specific Banach spaces E seems to have received almost no attention in the literature.
- include [see also: embrace, cover, subsume, comprise, contain] We shall only use (2), but have included (1) for completeness. • Some of the basic ideas from functional analysis are also included. • The proof is mainly included to keep the exposition as self-contained as possible. • The final lemma is due to F. Black and is included with his kind permission. • We begin by describing the class of functions f considered, which includes the special cases quoted above. • This paper enlarges the class of continua with this property, namely to include those which.....
 - Let Q denote the set of positive definite forms (including imprimitive ones, if there are any).
 - Let K and L be quasivarieties such that K is properly included in L. from stage A up to, but not including, stage B

- **incomparable** [with sth, to sth] By genericity of P there is an x incomparable with both y and z.
- **incompatible** [with sth] However, rigidity is incompatible with the existence of an absolutely continuous component.
- **incomplete** From the standpoint of linear programming, the above discussion is incomplete in that it throws no light upon the question whether the function F attains its infimum.
- increase 1 an increase of 5% (a 5% increase) in the cost of living A small percentage reduction in the cost of materials resulted in a significant increase in profit. • a considerable (major/marked/ significant/substantial/modest/small/sharp/steady/sixfold/overall) increase

2 [see also: enhance, grow, raise] Obviously, G_n increases to G as n → ∞. • Sales increased almost fourfold in this period. • The budget has increased by more than a third. • We adopt the convention that the first coordinate i increases as one goes downwards, and the second coordinate j increases as one goes from left to right. • The index increases by 1 when the path is crossed from right to left. • The numbers inserted in L must increase strictly down each column. • Also, F does not increase distances. • There has recently been increasing interest in the theory of.....
• It becomes impracticable to compute the zeros of F for degrees greater than 6; in any event, deciding whether the divisors found in this way represent irreducible curves becomes increasingly difficult. • Indeed, as n increases, it becomes increasingly rare for a manifold to be a hyperplane section of another projective manifold.

- **indebt** I am greatly indebted to S. Brown for this example. We are indebted here to Villani's account (see [2]) of a standard generalization of convex conjugacy.
- indeed Note that this lemma does not give a simple criterion for deciding whether a given topology is indeed of the form T_f . We now prove..... Indeed, suppose otherwise. Then..... We leave to the reader the proof that f is indeed self-adjoint, and not merely symmetric. Many of these results are known, and indeed they go back to the seminal paper of Dixmier [D] of 1951.
- indefinitely Suppose that the process continues indefinitely.
- **independence** [of sth from sth] In Lemma 6.1, the independence of F from V is surprising at first.
- independent [of sth, *not*: "on sth"], the constant C being independent of n. Note that F is independent of the choice of the family S. Indeed, it is routine to verify that the index so constructed is independent of the choices made. It turns out that this is independent of the representations taken (as long as they are faithful). The two notions of rank are independent of each other. a result of independent interest
- **independently** Similar results were obtained independently in [AB]. All our results hold independently of whether the underlying field is R or C. Here Q_j for j = 1, ..., n are drawn independently, conditional on the values generated at level m.
- **indeterminate** We write V[[h]] for the space of formal power series in an indeterminate h.
- **indicate** [see also: demonstrate, show, point, express, convey, suggest, mark], where the prime indicates that only terms with p > 0 may appear. We shall write "a.e. $[\omega]$ " whenever clarity requires that the measure be indicated. We now indicate some of the inherent difficulties.

- The proof will only be indicated briefly. We now show that G is in the symbol class indicated.
- for k in the indicated range
- **indication** The proof is nonconstructive and gives no indication of what the exceptional set may look like.
- **indistinguishable** Functions which are equal almost everywhere are indistinguishable as far as integration is concerned.
- individual [see also: particular, single, specific, unique] Here the interesting questions are not about individual examples, but about the asymptotic behaviour of the set of examples as one or another of the invariants (such as the genus) goes to infinity.
- **individually** Although individually these systems can still be quite complicated, a possibly more tractable task is to describe their possible joint distributions.
- induce The maps f_t are homotopic, so f_1 and f_0 induce the same maps in homology. The induced homomorphism is multiplication by 2. On TK we set up [= introduce] the symplectic structure induced by the metric.
- induction The proof is by induction on n. By decreasing induction on p,.... We show that..... by reverse induction on i, starting at i = n and working down to i = 0. • We proceed by induction. • If we apply induction to (3) we see that..... • For the base step of the induction, consider a vertex t in A. • We may require that the point P lie in one of the trees constructed before or during the *i*th stage of the induction. [Note the subjunctive *lie.*] • Induction shows that if.....
- **inductive** This example indicates that the inductive argument in the proof of Theorem 1 is unavoidable.
- **inductively** Thanks to Lemma 2, we can now modify the proof of Theorem 3 by inductively constructing five sequences..... \bullet Define two polynomials f and g inductively as follows.
- **inequality** opposite $\langle \text{reverse} \rangle$ inequality inequality in the opposite direction Strict inequality can occur $\langle \text{hold} \rangle$ in (8) only if..... The condition (8) holds with strict inequality.
- infer [see also: conclude, deduce, follow] From (5) we infer that..... We have shown that....., whence it is readily inferred that..... It can be inferred from known results that these series at best converge conditionally in L^p .
- **infinite** Leray and Schauder laid the foundations for the generalization of the Lefschetz index to infinite dimensions. Thus F vanishes to infinite order at x. Note that both sides of the inequality may well be infinite. The sum in (2), though formally infinite, is therefore actually finite. We consider every subset of N, whether finite or infinite, to be an increasing sequence.
- **infinitely** The known results show that the situation is infinitely more complicated there than in L^2 . • We offer numerical evidence to support a conjecture that there exist infinitely many primes of this type. • infinitely often • an infinitely differentiable function
- **infinity** Here the interesting questions are not about individual examples, but about the asymptotic behaviour of the set of examples as one or another of the invariants (such as the genus) goes to infinity.
- influence [see also: affect] Influenced by (2) of Theorem 3, Danes (1989) suggested that.....

- **informally** Informally said, gaps go to gaps. Stated informally, continuous functions of measurable functions are measurable.
- information [see also: data, material] Theorem 1 gives information on ⟨about⟩..... Also, wherever possible, we work with integer coefficients, enabling us to obtain information about torsion.
 The main information conveyed by this formula is that..... For background information, see [5]. This accords with the intuition that as we pass down the coding tree, we find out more and more detailed information about the ordering actually represented. The interested reader is referred to [4] for further information. [Note the double r in referred.] Another proof (yielding more information) can be found in [GH]. The survey article [5] by Diestel contains a wealth of information about the Dunford-Pettis property. Intuitively, entropy of a partition is a measure of its information content—the larger the entropy, the larger the information content.
 These three results lead to several illuminating pieces of information about the (insufficiently studied) Berger property in general spaces. [Note that *information* has no plural and does not appear with an.]

informative a highly informative book

- **ingredient** [see also: element, feature, detail, characteristic, point, aspect] The main $\langle \text{crucial/key} \rangle$ ingredient in the proof of..... The method sketched in Section 3 of [Con] carries through with our choice of $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$, but there is one extra ingredient worthy of mention.
- inherent [see also: essential, basic, intrinsic] It is important to notice some of the weaknesses inherent in the above approach. We now indicate some of the inherent difficulties.
- **initially** [= at first] The function f (initially defined on C_0) determines a functional on S.
- **initiate** This research was initiated when the first author was visiting the University of Alberta in the summer of 2008; the financial support and kind hospitality are gratefully acknowledged.
- **innermost** the innermost sum in (4)
- **inordinately** We also need the following technical lemma, which asserts the rarity of numbers with an inordinately large number of prime factors.
- **insert** [see also: plug, put, place] Inserting additional edges destroys no edges that were already present.
- **inside** [see also: within] Inside U, the zeros are also quantitatively restricted. The intervals we are concerned with are either completely inside A or completely inside B. the inside diameter
- inspection [see also: check, examination, scrutiny, verification] The theorem is false without the assumption that....., as an inspection of Example 3 shows. A close inspection of the proof reveals that..... a careful (detailed/thorough/brief/routine) inspection
- **inspiration** [see also: motivation, impulse] The inspiration for Theorem 1 was the paper of Snow.
- **inspire** [see also: motivate, impulse] This inspired us to take a fresh look at all the results in [BG].
- instead [see also: place, replace, rather] Instead of using the Fourier method we can multiply.....
 [Not: "Instead using"] Instead of dealing with lines one by one, we deal with collections of lines simultaneously. The proof we give is based instead on Galois theory. Strictly speaking,

we should write something like a(l, m, n) to reflect the dependence; we shall rely upon context instead. • Rather than working directly with V(s), we shall instead consider the following two general integrals:..... [Or: Rather than work]

- **institution** We would like to express our thanks to all of these institutions for their hospitality and support.
- integer Then F has simple zeros with residue 1 at the integers. a polynomial with integer coefficients
- integrable a p-integrable function the space of pth power integrable functions
- **integral 1** the integral of f on A (over A) **2** the integral multiples of 2^{-n}
- **integrate** We now integrate around the circle (over |x| < 1 /on |x| < 1) to obtain.....
- integration We see with the aid of an integration by parts that..... Theorem 2 makes it legitimate to apply integration by parts. By k-fold integration by parts,.... This bound is integrable and on integration one again obtains the same form of..... Functions which are equal almost everywhere are indistinguishable as far as integration is concerned. Without losing any generality, we could have restricted our definition of integration to integrals over all of X. [Not: "Without losing"] Recently proofs have been constructed which make no appeal to integration.

• To justify the interchange of summation and integration, consider..... • The interchange in the order of integration was legitimate, since..... • One is tempted to reverse the order of integrations but that is illegitimate here.

- **intend** [see also: aim, design] We intend to analyze ideals of the form $\langle x_i \rangle$ for $i \in N$. Condition (c) is intended to give us firm control over..... Thus the paper is intended to be accessible both to logicians and to topologists. This volume was originally intended as a celebration volume marking the occasion of N. Wiener's seventieth birthday.
- **intention** [see also: aim, purpose, objective, design] It has not been our intention to claim the originality of this result.
- intentionally [see also: deliberately] This terminology is intentionally provocative.
- interchange [see also: exchange] 1 To justify the interchange of summation and integration, consider.....
 The interchange in the order of integration was legitimate, since.....
 2 It follows, by interchanging the roles of X and Y, that.....
- gously: simply interchange sup and inf in (1).

interchangeably We shall write H(x,t) and $H_t(x)$ interchangeably.

interest 1 [see also: importance] The interest of the lemma is in the assertion that..... • There has recently been increasing interest in the theory of..... • This article features results in both spectral theory and operator ergodic theory made possible by a recent renewal of interest in the consequences of James's inequalities. • Our interest in extending Strang's results comes from the fact that..... • The author's interest in this problem was recently rekindled by a conversation with David Lees. • The theorem gains in interest if we realize that..... • The usefulness and interest of this correspondence will of course be enhanced if there is a way of returning from the transforms to the functions, that is to say, if there is an inversion formula. • This class is wide enough to include a number of examples of interest. • It is therefore of interest to look at the

 $\overline{74}$

asymptotic behaviour of..... • Of particular interest to us is S(5,3). • Canonical products are of great interest in the study of entire functions of finite order. • The polynomials R_i do not have this stability property, and are therefore of little interest. • We now prove a result that may be of independent interest. • The case n = 2 is of no interest since..... • We have made modifications in the interest of readability.

2 We shall be interested in seeing whether..... • We now prove a lemma which is interesting in its own right. • The preceding proof contains a result which is interesting enough to be stated separately. • Interestingly, it turns out that there are examples of..... • Galois correspondences are uninteresting from the dynamical point of view.

- interplay The interplay of these two kinds of sets will be crucial in the proof of our main theorem.
- interpret [not: "interprete"] Now (1) can be interpreted to mean that A = B. Theorem 3 may be interpreted as saying that A = B, but it must then be remembered that..... Nevertheless, in interpreting this conclusion, caution must be exercised because the number of potential exceptions is huge. The right-hand members of (1) and (2) are to be interpreted by continuity when f is in Z.
- **interpretation** This inequality admits of a simple interpretation. The interpretation of σ is that for every x, \dots Of course, a literal interpretation of (1) makes no sense.
- **interrupt** In this section we gather some miscellaneous results that are more or less standard. These will be used to calculate the constants $c_{\star}(\alpha, \beta)$, and we place them here to avoid interrupting the forthcoming arguments.
- **intersect** [see also: meet] The two sets intersect in at most three points. Then one Y_i can intersect another only in one point.
- intersection Since M is an intersection of closed subspaces, it is a closed subspace of E. Let M be the intersection of the sets M_i .
- **interval** The zeros appear at intervals of 2m.
- **intimately** [see also: closely] The above-mentioned measure is of course intimately related to the geometry of the real line.
- into We must now bring dependence on d into the arguments of [9]. The proof will be divided into a sequence of lemmas. • We can factor g into a product of irreducible elements. • Other types fit into this pattern as well. • This norm makes X into a Banach space. • We regard (1) as a mapping of S^2 into S^2 , with the obvious conventions concerning the point ∞ . • We can partition [0, 1] into n intervals by taking..... • The map F can be put $\langle \text{brought} \rangle$ into this form by setting..... • The problem one runs into, however, is that f need not be..... • But if we argue as in (5), we run into the integral...., which is meaningless as it stands. • Thus N separates Minto two disjoint parts. • Now (1) splits into the pair of equations..... • Substitute this value of z into $\langle \text{in} \rangle$ (7) to obtain..... • Replacement of z by 1/z transforms (4) into (5). • This can be translated into the language of differential forms. • Implementation is the task of turning an algorithm into a computer program.
- **intricate** [= very complicated or detailed; *see also*: elaborate, involved, sophisticated] It turned out to have an extremely intricate structure.
- intrinsic Our method has the disadvantage of not being intrinsic.

- introduce [see also: set up] We find ourselves forced to introduce an extra assumption. In order to state these conditions succinctly, we introduce the following terminology. We now introduce the algebras we shall be concerned with. This motivated the second author to introduce the notion of..... More specialized notions from Banach space theory will be introduced as needed.
- introduction The projection technique requires the introduction of an appropriate homomorphism. This suggests the introduction of the differential operator $A = \dots$. We now come to the theorem which was alluded to in the introduction of the present chapter.
- intuition It is useful to consider some rather simple examples to gain some intuition. This accords with the intuition that as we pass down the coding tree, we find out more and more detailed information about the ordering actually represented. Our main finding in this paper is that this intuition turns out to be erroneous.
- **intuitively** Intuitively, entropy of a partition is a measure of its information content—the larger the entropy, the larger the information content.
- invalid [see also: fallacious, erroneous, fail] This argument is invalid for several reasons.
- **invariant** Clearly, F leaves the subspace M invariant. Thus F is G-invariant (invariant under the action of G). Here the interesting questions are not about individual examples, but about the asymptotic behaviour of the set of examples as one or another of the invariants (such as the genus) goes to infinity.
- **inverse** [see also: converse, opposite, reverse] Then F is the homeomorphism $X \to Y$ inverse to G. No x has more than one inverse. We use upper case letters to represent inverses of generators. the inverse image = the preimage
- investigate [see also: examine, explore, study, test] In this section we investigate under what conditions the converse holds.The situations with domains other than sectors remain to be investigated.
- investigation [see also: study, research, analysis, exploration] We shall pursue our investigation of conservation laws in Section 5. This paper, for the most part, continues this line of investigation. The results have been encouraging enough to merit further investigation. a careful (close/detailed/extensive) investigation
- invoke [see also: appeal, call upon] Finally, case (E) is completed by again invoking Theorem 1.
 This allows us to invoke Theorem B to produce an ultrafilter satisfying.....
- **involve** [see also: entail, necessitate, require] Our proof involves looking at..... This involves no loss of generality. The analysis of PDO involves the geometry of T^*M . The terms involving $a \neq 1$ contribute O(n), since..... Perhaps the most important problem involving f-vectors is whether or not John's conditions extend to spheres. A computational restraint is the algebraic number theory involved in finding these ranks, which will typically be more demanding than in our example of Section 1. The crucial set-theoretic properties involved are the following. [Note the position of *involved*; placed before *properties*, it would mean "complicated".]
- involved [see also: difficult, hard, complex, complicated, intricate, sophisticated] It seems likely that the arguments would be much more involved. • Besides being very involved, the proof gives no information on.....

- **inward(s)** the inward pointing unit normal to $\partial M \bullet$ [In most adverbial uses, *inward* and *inwards* are interchangeable; as an adjective, the more standard form is *inward*: the inward layer.]
- **irrelevant** [to sth] We shall see later that the values of h(n) for large n are irrelevant to the problem. The choice of A is clearly irrelevant, so assume A = 0.
- **irrespective** [of sth; *see also*: regardless] The method works irrespective of whether A or B is used.
- **issue** [see also: matter, subject, topic, point] One delicate issue is that it is not known whether..... • To get around this delicate issue, we shall separate the variables s and u. • Addressing this issue requires using the convergence properties of Fourier series. • However, we prefer to avoid this issue altogether by neglecting the contribution of B to S. • Since RG-modules in general need not be completely reducible, indecomposability becomes an important issue. • a central $\langle critical/crucial/key/main/major/wider/minor/side/basic/fundamental/complex \rangle$ issue
- it [see also: this] It is Proposition 8 that makes this definition allowable. It is this point of view which is close to that used in C*-algebras. It is a theorem of Watanabe that A is an ideal in A' if and only if..... It is these buffers that make it easy to see that the limit continuum is contractible. Of course, it is tacitly understood that it is this measure that is really under discussion. It has to be assumed that..... Theorem 2 makes it legitimate to apply integration by parts. We leave it to the reader to verify that..... We shall find it convenient not to distinguish between two such sequences which differ only by a string of zeros at the end. But....., it being impossible to make A and B intersect. [= since it is impossible to make]
- item [see also: point, matter, issue] Since most of the results presented are quite classical (the novelty lies in the arrangement, and some of the proofs are new), I have not attempted to document the source of every item. The third item is proved similarly.
- iterate 1 One can iterate Theorem 2 to conclude that.....2 The iterates eventually reach the value 1.
- itself This itself does not produce a solution of (1), but an additional hypothesis such as the Palais-Smale condition does provide such a solution. We have to show that M itself is an algebra. [Or: M is itself an algebra.] But H itself can equally well be a member of S. Now f is independent of the choice of γ (although the integral itself is not). Thus in G itself there can be no such equivalences with f(r) = 2.

J

job Two more triangulations will finish the job.

- **join** [see also: connect, relate, tie, associate] We can join a to b by a path π . [Or: join a and b; not: "join a with b"] Parallel lines never join. These subsets join up to form a simple closed curve passing through A and B.
- just [see also: equally, merely, only, simply, exactly, precisely] His techniques work just as well for general v. The other inequality is just as easy to prove. When n = 0, (7) just amounts to saying that..... We shall be brief here and just estimate the terms that are the most challenging.
 When there is no ambiguity we drop the dependence on B and write just Y_T for Y_{T,B}. Now, just the fact that F is a homeomorphism lets us prove that..... Can f(x) > 1 be replaced by just x > 1? The inverse image of A consists of just the basepoint of X. In fact, we shall prove

our result under the weaker hypothesis that W is weakly bounded, rather than just bounded, on an infinite subset of G. • This follows from Lemma 2 just the way (a) follows from (b). • This class includes just under 3000 items.

justify [see also: legitimate, meaningful, explain, reason] To justify the interchange of summation and integration, consider..... • The last but one step is justified by the fact that.....

juxtaposition Concatenation of sequences is indicated by juxtaposition in the obvious way.

 \mathbf{K}

- **keep** [see also: leave, retain, preserve, maintain, stick] Keep only those vertices whose coordinates sum to 4. The mapping f keeps the origin fixed. The proof is mainly included to keep the exposition as self-contained as possible. Keep in mind that we are now using algebraic notation. We have to keep track of how the constant K depends on the domain D.
- **key** [see also: basic, critical, crucial, essential, main] The key observation is that if..... The key part is to show that the submanifolds U_k fit together to form a complex submanifold. A key step in obtaining (6) is Jensen's inequality. It supplies the key to the proof of Theorem 2. The following lemma is the key to extending Wagner's results.
- kind [see also: sort, type, form] Another kind of modification is illustrated in the next lemma. •
 The same kind of approach has been taken successfully to determine all regular maps of various small genera. processes of an entirely new kind a connection of some kind
- **know** [see also: realize, recognize, grasp, aware] We do not know whether or not Q(R) = R in this situation. The map f, which we know to be bounded, is also right-continuous. Knowing this matrix is equivalent to knowing the multiplicities of the k_i . However, we know of no way of deriving one theory directly from the other. All the Cox maps F are known to have L(F) finite. The best known of these is the Knaster continuum. The answer is not known to us. The only references known to the authors are [A] and [V], where the case A = L(E) is settled in the negative. Many of them were already known to Gauss. It has long been known that..... It has been known for some time that..... The conjecture (now known not to be true in general) was that..... So far it seems not to be known whether the geometric condition on X can be omitted. In particular, there is a summary of what is known about polarized pairs with small genus. The importance of these examples lay not only in lowering the dimension of known counterexamples, but also in..... [Note that the past tense of *lie is lay*, not "lied".] The following proposition is probably well known, but we do not have a reference.
- **knowledge** To the best of the author's knowledge, the problem is still open. However, to our knowledge this is not fully resolved. Indeed, to our knowledge, cardinality restrictions on Berg spaces have received no prior attention outside the metric context. Give a proof of Theorem 2 which requires no knowledge of the boundary values of f. The knowledge of the invariant subspaces of an operator helps us to visualize its action. We presume a basic knowledge of large cardinals and forcing.

\mathbf{L}

label 1 The edges F and G have opposite labels. • The vertex F has label 1.

2 [see also: indicate, mark] The point F is labelled 1. [Or: labelled by 1.] • We may assume after passing to a subsequence (still labelled v_n) that....

- lack 1 [see also: absence] Note that the lack of compactness prevents us from using Theorem 1. Thus, although we follow the general pattern of proof of Theorem A, we must also introduce new ideas to deal with the lack of product structure. [Note the difference: absence = non-presence; lack = shortage of something desirable.]
 - ${\bf 2}$ The space R is pseudo-compact but lacks any such subset.
- **language** [see also: term] This can be translated into the language of differential forms. Rephrased in the language of [HT], Proposition 2 says that..... • In geometric language, the hypothesis is that F is....; part of the conclusion is that F satisfies.....
- **large** [see also: great, more, extensive, substantial] This is a condition on how large f is. The process slows down for large x. Unfortunately, this only holds for n sufficiently large. Then $M_n(x) = 0$ for every x, however large. [= no matter how large] Here L can be taken as large as desired. There are a large number of examples showing that..... [Not: "There is a large number"] The kernel satisfies good large time bounds if..... But $A_n z^n$ is much larger than the sum of all the other terms in the series $\sum A_k z^k$. The question of whether B is ever strictly larger than A remains open. Intuitively, entropy of a partition is a measure of its information content—the larger the entropy, the larger the information content. the second largest element By and large, we shall use the same notation as in..... [= In general]
- **largely** [see also: mostly, mainly, essentially, broadly] This effect is largely [= mostly] due to the presence of the logarithmic factor. The remainder of the estimation is largely [= to a great extent] as before with B replaced by C. Over the past ten years the isomorphic structure of spaces of weighted holomorphic functions has been largely [= almost completely] determined. We will finish this section by offering a second application of our machinery (although in truth it is largely a corollary of the above).
- last The vector v has at least n ones in its last m positions. This last result means that..... This last means that..... These last two are called the Banach maps. (see the last paragraph but one of page 24) The last but one step is justified by the fact that..... the next-to-last inequality = the second last inequality = the penultimate inequality the third last row = the antepenultimate row the last-mentioned map Now (d) is clear from the very last statement of Theorem 4. He accounts for all the major achievements in topology over the last few years.
 We can at last show that the limit in (1) exists. [Note that at last means "after much delay"; to introduce a last point or reason, use finally or lastly.]

lastly [see also: finally, last] And lastly, what do we mean by an admissible map?

- **late** [see also: subsequently] For later use, we prove a mild refinement of this latter characterization. Let us note (for later reference) that..... Later, by bringing in the injectivity radius, Fong simplified the argument. We shall see later that the values of h(n) for large n are irrelevant to the problem. We now state a result that will be of use later. To save space later on, all modules are given in the form....
- **latter** [\neq later; see also: former] Both F and G are connected, but the latter is in addition compact. Then either..... or..... In the latter case,..... Examples 1 and 2 give two operators, the former bounded and the latter not, with.....
- lay The conference laid the basis for a series of annual gatherings. In his Stony Brook lectures, he laid great emphasis on the use of..... In Section 2, we lay the foundations for a systematic

study of..... • Leray and Schauder laid the foundations for the generalization of the Lefschetz index to infinite dimensions. • There are some obvious necessary conditions, first laid in [8]. [= presented] • We lay out the details of this generalization in the first part of this paper.

- lead This leads to the contradiction that 0 < a < b = 0. Interchanging the roles of f and g leads from (5) to..... These properties led him to suggest that..... This leads us to consider the closed subscheme defined by G. The above construction has led the author to believe that.....
 So one is naturally led to an investigation of..... If we simply mimic the standard proof of....., we are led to.....
- **learn** [see also: find out, discover] In the course of writing this paper we learned that P. Fox has simultaneously obtained results similar to ours in certain respects. However, shortly after learning about Wiener's work, P. Lévy found a more elementary argument.
- **least** [see also: minimal] This is the least useful of the four theorems. The least such constant is called the norm of G. The rank of appearance (or simply the rank) of an integer in the sequence U(P) is the least positive integer n such that..... We select a system for which the power of b dividing n is least. That is the least one can expect. It does not matter in the least whether..... Each f lies in zA for at least one A. The degree of F exceeds that of G by at least 4.
- **leave** [see also: keep, remain, preserve] Then we can find some net (s_k) which eventually leaves every compact subset of G. • We leave the details to the reader. • We leave it to the reader to verify that..... • The mapping f leaves the origin fixed. • These results leave open the basic case $k = \omega$. • So s can be thought of as q with F^q extended but X^q left the same. • What is left is to show that..... • We are left with the task of determining.....
- **left** [see also: right] Examination of the left and right members of (1) shows that..... Under those conditions, what does the sum on the left hand side of (8) signify? • Note that (2) serves as the definition of its left side. • We denote the left-hand such interval by I^L and the right-hand one by I^R . • Now F is defined to make G and H match up at the left end of I. • The tangent space to N at x is identified with M via left translation. • The method of proof of Theorem B can be adapted to extend the right-to-left direction of Mostowski's result by showing that..... • Comparisons are done in left-to-right order. • The entries in each row are increasing from left to right. • The string N (read from right to left) starts with..... • We say that F is low if F(c)lies to the left of c. • To be precise, A is only left-continuous at 0.
- legitimate [see also: justify, meaningful] Theorem 2 makes it legitimate to apply integration by parts. The definition is legitimate, because..... The interchange in the order of integration was legitimate, since...... In the preceding proof, the appeal to the dominated convergence theorem may seem to be illegitimate, since.....
- **lemma** [pl. lemmas or lemmata] We shall prove this theorem shortly, but first we need a key lemma. • The proof will be divided into a sequence of lemmas. • We now prove a lemma which is interesting in its own right. • The interest of the lemma is in the assertion that..... • We defer the proof of the "moreover" statement in Theorem 5 until after the proof of the lemma. • With Lemma 4 in $\langle at \rangle$ hand, we can finally define E to be equal to P(m)/H. •, from which it is an easy step, via Lemma 1, to the conclusion that..... • The following lemma is the key to extending Wagner's results. • The following lemma, crucial to Theorem 2, is also implicit in [4]. • Note that this lemma does not give a simple criterion for deciding whether a given topology is

indeed of the form T_f . • At first glance Lemma 2 seems to yield four possible outcomes. • The final lemma is due to F. Black and is included with his kind permission.

- **lend** One of the appealing aspects of the spectral set γ is that it readily lends itself to explicit computation. This lends precision to an old assertion of Dini:.....
- **length** [see also: detail, depth, expand] Pick the first arc of length 1 in this sequence. The interval J has length 2k. This subject is treated at length in Section 2. We shall discuss this again at somewhat greater length in Section 2.1.
- **lengthy** A rather lengthy calculation shows that.....
- **less** [see also: few] Then F is 2 less than G. Let A_n be a sequence of positive integers none of which is 1 less than a power of two. Thus F is less than or equal to G. [Not: "less or equal to G", nor "less than or equal G"] Here F is strictly less than G. Thus F is no less than G. Clearly, F is less than 1 in absolute value. Less than 1 in p of its points will result in a quartic with ideal class number p. [Do not write: "X has no less elements than Y has" if you mean: X has no fewer elements than Y has; less should not be followed by a plural countable noun. However, use less when it is followed by than or when it appears after a noun: X has no less than twenty elements; Y has ten elements or less.] Much less is known about hyperbolically convex functions. Although our proof is a little tedious, it is much less so than Ito's original proof, which was carried out without the benefit of martingale technology. This method is recently less and less used. to $\langle in \rangle$ a lesser degree
- let Let R be a ring and A a right R-module. [Not: "Let R a ring"] Let f satisfy (2). [Not: "Let f satisfies (2)", nor "Let f verify (2)".] • Let f be the linear form $g \mapsto (m, g)$. • We let Tdenote the set of..... • One cannot in general let A be an arbitrary substructure here. • Letting m tend to zero identifies this limit as H. • As we let t vary, f(t) describes a curve in M. • The desired conclusion follows after one divides by t and lets t tend to 0. • Now, just the fact that F is a homeomorphism lets us prove that.....
- **letter** We use the letter m for..... The letter χ will be reserved for characteristic functions throughout this book.
- **level** [see also: degree, stage] Two words are m-equivalent if the corresponding paths terminate at level m at the same vertex and coincide from level m + 1 to n. • These results show that an analysis purely at the level of functions cannot be useful for describing..... • The vector field Halways points towards the higher A-level. • The temperature has to be maintained at a very high level. • Inflation in the first quarter rose beyond the acceptable level of 5%. • The level of..... has remained largely unchanged for many years. • Prewar levels of production were surpassed in 1929.
- lie Each component which meets X lies entirely within Y. Then C lies on no segment both of whose endpoints lie in K. The advantage of using..... lies in the fact that..... This simple observation lies at the heart of the proof of our first theorem. The importance of these examples lay not only in lowering the dimension of known counterexamples, but also in..... [Note that the past tense of *lie* is *lay*, not "lied".]
- light These facts shed new light (a new light) on..... From the standpoint of linear programming, the above discussion is incomplete in that it throws no light upon the question whether the

function F attains its infimum. • In light of \langle In the light of \rangle the obvious inequality $a \ge b,...$ • This is no coincidence, in the light of the remarks preceding Definition 2.

- **like** [see also: as, resemble, similar] Thus modules over categories are in many ways like ordinary modules. So we must in particular show that sets like this are not added. It should come as no surprise that a condition like $a_i \neq b_i$ turns up in this theorem. A formula like (3) surely deserves some explanation. Specifically, one might hope that a clever application of something like Choquet's theorem would yield the desired conclusion. Construct an example, like that of Example 9, in which (1) fails but (2) holds. It is now apparent what the solution for K will be like:..... Let us see what such a formula might look like, by analogy with Fourier series. The proof is nonconstructive and gives no indication of what the exceptional set may look like.
- likely [see also: possible, plausible] All inputs of size n are equally likely to occur. It seems likely that the arguments would be much more involved. It is highly likely that if one of the X's is exchanged for another, the inequality fails. A complete explication of the Fox spaces is warranted, as it will likely reveal further clues to the differences between the parabolic and hyperbolic theories. This change is unlikely to affect the solution. It is unlikely that the disturbances will eventually disappear.
- likewise [see also: similarly, also, moreover] Likewise, if A does not span C(I), removal of any of its elements will diminish the span.
- **limit** 1 The limit $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$ exists. [Not: "There exists a limit $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$."] Now (1) follows after passage to the limit as $n \to \infty$.
 - 2 [see also: confine, restrict] I shall limit myself to three aspects of the subject.

limitation [see also: restriction] All these methods had severe limitations.

- **line** [see also: approach, procedure] The proof proceeds along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 5, but the details are more complicated. Following the same lines we find that it takes k prolongations to get an immersed curve. For direct constructions along more classical lines, see [2]. Although these proofs run along similar lines, there are subtle adjustments necessary to fit the argument to each new situation. Further results along these lines were obtained by Clark [4]. This paper, for the most part, continues this line of investigation. The same line of reasoning applies in the continuous time setting. Here c denotes a constant which can vary from line to line. Then...., where the fact that A = B was used on the penultimate line. The infimum in the final line here is equal to S. a broken (dashed, dotted, slanting, wavy) line
- link 1 [see also: connection, relationship] There is a close (direct/strong) link between..... We also make links with some classical notions, in particular, Taylor's structure semigroup. It is worth making a link with Theorem 1.
 2 [with/to sth; see also: connect, join, relate, tie, associate] The spectral theory of..... is closely linked to the discrete Hilbert transform.
- list 1 Let f be the *i*th formula on the list. The algorithm compares x with each entry in turn until a match is found or the list is exhausted.
 2 [see also: enumerate] In the final section of the paper, we list some open problems. All possible types are listed in Table 4.
- **literal** Of course, a literal interpretation of (1) makes no sense.

- **literature** There is now an extensive literature dealing with..... For a comprehensive treatment and for references to the extensive literature on the subject one may refer to the book [M] by Markov. • However, no extension in this direction has appeared in the literature. • Incidentally, the question of whether K(E) is amenable for specific Banach spaces E seems to have received almost no attention in the literature. • Unfortunately, the connection with Gromov's work has been obscured in recent years by an emphasis (in the algebraic topology literature) on configuration spaces.
- **little** [see also: minor, slight, somewhat, hardly] The polynomials R_i do not have this stability property, and are therefore of little interest. This idea is very little different from what can already be found in [2]. Apart from a few embellishments necessitated by some technical difficulties, the ideas differ very little from those used to prove Lemma 4. With a little more work we can prove..... Little else is known about the Klein property in this class of spaces.
- **locate** Let us digress to locate the need for relative ergodicity in the above arguments. These slits are located on circles about the origin of radii r_k .
- **location** [see also: place, position] Theorem 7 imposes a quantitative restriction on the location of the zeros of..... The location of the zeros of a holomorphic function in a region Ω is subject to no restriction except the obvious one concerning the absence of limit points in Ω . Let n_k be the first location to the right of the kth decimal place of W that has a value less than b.
- **long** Hence F is twice as long as G. However, with the recent advent of simulation based inference, the need for analytically tractable posteriors is no longer critical. It turns out that this is independent of the representations taken (as long as they are faithful). [= provided that they are faithful] It has long been known that..... The following simple example has long been a part of ergodic-theoretic folklore. a long-standing conjecture
- look 1 [see also: glance, inspection, scrutiny] Let us now take a quick look at the class N, with the purpose of determining how much of Theorems 1 and 2 is true here. This inspired us to take a fresh look at all the results in [BG]. A careful look at the proofs reveals that.....
 2 [see also: view, regard, examine, study, appear, seem] The preceding observation, when looked at from a more general point of view, leads to..... Rather than discuss this in full generality, let us look at a particular situation of this kind. Suppose, to look at a more specific situation, that..... The next lemma shows how such a semilattice looks when embedded in a larger compact semilattice. Let us see what such a formula might look like, by analogy with Fourier series. The proof is nonconstructive and gives no indication of what the exceptional set may look like. This observation prompted the author to look for a more constructive solution.
 Here, of course, the set A produced is rather thin and certainly nowhere near the densities we are looking for.
- **lose** This extension retains control on..... at the sacrifice of losing some control on..... [Not: "loosing"] The total amount of information lost is.....
- loss [see also: harm] It simplifies the argument, and causes no loss of generality, to assume.....
 This involves no loss of generality. Without loss of generality we can assume that..... [In many cases, the phrase "without loss of generality" can be omitted; write simply: We can assume that..... Avoid using the abbreviation "w.l.o.g."]
- lot [see also: heavily] This is an area where there is currently a lot of activity.

low [see also: lower] The weight dropped to a low of 7 kg.

lower 1 [see also: decrease, diminish, reduce, cut down, limit] The importance of these examples lay not only in lowering the dimension of known counterexamples, but also in..... [Note that the past tense of *lie* is *lay*, not "lied".]

2 The lower limit is defined analogously: simply interchange sup and inf in (1). • The reader may wonder why we have apparently ignored the possibility of obtaining a better lower bound by considering..... • a path obtained by going from A to B along the lower half of the circle • a lower semicontinuous function

\mathbf{M}

- **machinery** We will finish this section by offering a second application of our machinery (although in truth it is largely a corollary of the above).
- magnitude [see also: size] In all our analysis, only the order of magnitude of P will be significant.
- main [see also: critical, crucial, essential, key, major, primary, principal] The main problems that we address are..... Our main results state in short that MEP characterizes type 2 spaces among reflexive Banach spaces. In brief outline, here is the main idea of the proof. The main new feature is the use of the face ring to produce lower bounds for the number of vertices.
- mainly [see also: mostly, largely, primarily, part] The proof is mainly included to keep the exposition as self-contained as possible. Although [1] deals mainly with the unit disc, most proofs are so constructed that they apply to more general situations. We conclude with two simple lemmas to be used mainly in.....
- **maintain** [see also: keep, retain, preserve] We maintain the convention that implied constants depend only on n. The temperature has to be maintained at a very high level. Now it is a simple matter to change the definition of the F_i at the single point zero, still maintaining condition (C), so that F is no longer discontinuous.
- major [see also: important, principal, main, significant] One major advantage of.... is that.....
 This was one of the major steps in Wiener's original proof of his Tauberian theorem.
- **majority** [see also: most] There is, however, a simple condition, satisfied in the vast majority of applications, which ensures.....
- **make** [see also: do, build, construct, perform, carry out, produce, convert, transform, turn into, force, compose, comprise, consist, constitute] The tensor product makes G a module over R. • In [2], this theorem is made the starting point of Gelfand theory. • This norm makes X into a Banach space. • Theorem 2 makes it legitimate to apply integration by parts. • Now (8) makes it obvious that..... • This device makes it possible to replace multivalued functions by functions with..... •where C can be made arbitrarily small by taking..... • If this is not so, a linear fractional transformation will make it so. • We make G act trivially on Y. • Now F is defined to make G and H match up at the left end of I. • But..... • The definition of generator is designed to make the proof above work for M = Z. • The function of Lemma 2 can be made to satisfy..... • A similar reformulation can be made for..... • It is sufficient to make the following observation (assumption/definition). • We make the convention that f(Q) = i(Q).

• Recently proofs have been constructed which make no appeal to integration. • It is worth making a link with Theorem 1. • Nevertheless, it might be possible to make sense of (2) even for non-injective V by considering a multi-valued operator Z. • Indeed, it is routine to verify that the index so constructed is independent of the choices made. • The set WF(u) is made up of bicharacteristic strips. • Each of the terms that make up G(t) is well defined. • Women make up two-fifths of the labour force.

manage Suppose we have managed to find A_i for all i < n.

- **manifestly** [= evidently] This series is manifestly convergent.
- **manipulate** [*see also*: manage, control, handle] While nonparametric priors are typically difficult to manipulate, we believe the contrary is true for quantile pyramids.
- manner [see also: fashion, way, method] Theorem 2, at the end of Section 2, was not originally obtained in the manner indicated there. If our measure happens to be complete, we can define f on E in a perfectly arbitrary manner. It is an easy matter to use Theorem 10 to construct all manner of interesting Peano continua [= continua of different kinds]
- **many** [see also: abound, number, numerous, profusion, several, variety, abundance] Many of them were already known to Gauss. The proof makes use of many of the ideas of the general case, but in a simpler setting. Thus G has 10 normal subgroups and as many non-normal ones. • Consequently, H is a free R-module on as many generators as there are path components of X. • Therefore, A has two elements too many. [Or: A has two too many elements.] • Then A has three times as many elements as B has. • It meets only countably many of the Y_i . • a sequence with only finitely many terms nonzero • To compute how many such solutions there are, observe..... • How many of them are convex? • How many such expressions are there? • How many entries are there in this section? • How many multiplications are done on average? • How many zeros can f have in the disc D?
- **map** 1 an onto map a one-to-one map The continuum Y is tree-like since it admits a map onto X. This map carries lines to lines $\langle \text{carries } M \text{ onto } N \rangle$.
- **2** [see also: send] F maps $\{a, b\}$ to $\{c, d\}$. The point x maps to ∞ under f.
- mark 1 [see also: sign] The mark over an element denotes that it has been omitted.
- **2** [see also: indicate, label] The arrow marks the direction of the resulting flow. The greatest element is marked with a dot. In Figure 2, the set A is marked by a square with a small triangle on top. Number the successive segments of the boundary line between A and B (marked thickly in the picture) with the numbers 0, 1, ..., n, starting at the bottom. a marked difference [= obvious, noticeable]
- **match** 1 The algorithm compares x with each entry in turn until a match is found or the list is exhausted.

2 [see also: accord, agree, correspond] Suppose the first three characters of the pattern match three consecutive text characters. • Now F is defined to make G and H match up at the left end of I.

material [see also: information, data, fact, detail] Basic material on semigroups of operators can be found in [4].
For relevant background material concerning random walks, see [2].
Section 2 contains some specific preparatory material, notably a brief discussion of the category of virtual vector bundles.

- **matrix** Define A to be the matrix with 1 in the (i, j) entry and 0 elsewhere. Take A to be the matrix with all entries zero except for i j at (i, j). a real $n \times n$ matrix
- **matter 1** [see also: issue, subject, topic, thing, problem, point] This proves one half of (2); the other half is a matter of direct computation. The first of the above equalities is a matter of definition, and the second follows from (3). It is a simple matter $\langle a \text{ routine matter}/a \text{ matter} of routine \rangle$ to show that..... It is an easy matter to use Theorem 10 to construct all manner of interesting Peano continua [= continua of different kinds] We conclude that, no matter what the class of b is, we have an upper bound on M. Nevertheless, no matter how small a neighbourhood of x we take, the image will be..... However, for three more subgroups, matters become more complicated.

2 [see also: important, relevant] It does not matter in the least whether..... • Whether or not this is correct does not matter; we are trying to motivate the proof that follows.

- **maximal** [see also: maximum] Suppose A is maximal with respect to having connected preimage. Take N to be a family of normal measures in P(X) such that N is maximal subject to the condition that the supports of the measures in the family are pairwise disjoint. Now suppose that F(n) = x and that n is maximal in this respect.
- **maximum** [see also: maximal, supremum] The function g attains $\langle \text{takes/achieves} \rangle$ its maximum at x = 5. For example, F reaches a relative maximum of 5.2 at about x = 2.1. Now (c) asserts only that the overall maximum of f on U is attained at some point of the boundary. By computing the second derivative we note that x = 1 is a maximum point for f. This has a maximum value of 4 when x = 2. Then V(x) is the maximum value of $J_x(v)$ over all controls v. • Let q be the maximum number of variables occurring in..... [Note the double r in occurring.]
 - \bullet vectors in V at maximum distance from v \bullet the maximum possible density
- **may** [see also: can, could, might] Then F may or may not fix B. Here S may be P, but it may also not be P. • In other algorithms, this may not be true. • In addition to a contribution to W_1 , there may also be one to W_2 . • Note that both sides of the inequality may well be infinite. • It may well be that no optimal time exists, as the following example shows. • It may seem strange to define $0.\infty = 0$. • We may (and do) assume that..... • Since the integrands vanish at 0, we may as well assume that..... • However, F is only nonnegative rather than strictly positive, as one may have expected. • Since Z is a finite set, we may continue subtracting suitable scalar multiples of the x_i from x. [Or: we can continue]
- **mean** 1 Indeed, N is a Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and variance g.
- **2** [see also: signify, indicate, convey, suggest] We partially order M by declaring X < Y to mean that..... Here (1) can be interpreted to mean that..... The first equality is understood to mean that..... In Chapter 5, we shall explain what it means for a subset V of A to be determining for the centre of X. Note that (A) means precisely that condition (B) is not satisfied. Important analytic differences appear when one writes down precisely what is meant by..... Then (6) merely means that..... The difficulty is that it is by no means clear what one should mean by a normal family.
- **meaning** [see also: sense] We shall also refer to a point as backward nonsingular, with the obvious analogous meaning. As M is ordered, we have no difficulty in assigning a meaning to (a, b).

- **meaningful** [see also: justify, legitimate, meaningless] This shows that the sequence (1) is bounded below, and so the definition of L(f) is meaningful.
- **meaningless** [see also: meaningful] But if we argue as in (5), we run into the integral...., which is meaningless as it stands.
- means [see also: application, device, use, via] This provides an effective means for computing the index. By relatively straightforward means one can show that..... Then F and G are homotopic by means of a homotopy H such that..... It is easy to see, by means of an example, that..... Find integral formulas by means of which the coefficients c_n can be computed from f.
 The difficulty is that it is by no means clear what one should mean by a normal family.
- **measure** 1 Here dx stands for Lebesgue measure. [*Or*: the Lebesgue measure] Each set A carries a product measure.

2 The aim of this article is to study the relationship between the size of A, as measured by its diameter, and the extent to which A fails to be convex.

- **meet** [see also: intersect, encounter, come across, run into, satisfy] The sets A and B meet in two points. [= Their intersection is a two-point set] \bullet We may assume that this is the first point at which these two curves have met. \bullet Each component which meets X lies entirely within Y. \bullet The remaining requirements for a type F map are also met. \bullet We can also appeal to Lemma 5 to see that the uniform continuity condition (5.3) is met.
- **member** [see also: element, side] Moreover, $\{x\}$ is the set whose only member is x. Examination of the left and right members of (1) shows that.....
- **membership** Computing f(y) can be done by enumerating A(y) and testing each element for membership in C. Thus W satisfies two of the four requirements for membership in Z.
- **mention 1** We conclude with a brief mention of free inverse semigroups. Throughout what follows, we shall freely use without explicit mention the elementary fact that..... We will work exclusively in the category of standard Borel probability spaces, and so will often suppress mention of their sigma-algebras. The method sketched in Section 3 of [Con] carries through with our choice of $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$, but there is one extra ingredient worthy of mention.
- 2 [sth; doing sth; see also: allude, refer] In [5] he mentions having proved that for $g \ge 1$. One more case merits mentioning here. It seems appropriate to mention in passing the corresponding formula for the cohomology of B_n . There has since been a series of improvements, of which we briefly mention the work of Levinson. the above-mentioned element the last-mentioned map the problem mentioned [Not: "the mentioned problem"]
- **mere** [see also: just, only] The quantity A was greater by a mere 20%. However, this equality turned out to be a mere coincidence. This result shows that the mere existence of a nontrivial automorphism j of M produces the cut I(j) of M that satisfies (2).
- merely [see also: just, only] It turns out that A is not merely symmetric, but actually selfadjoint.
 - But (3) is merely an abbreviation for the statement that..... Here (6) merely means that.....
 - How is the result affected if we assume merely that f is bounded?
- merit 1 [see also: advantage, benefit] The merit of Theorem 5 is that it identifies.....
 2 [see also: worth, warrant] One more case merits mentioning here. The results have been encouraging enough to merit further investigation.

- method [see also: approach, device, means, procedure, strategy, technique, way] His method of proof was to first exhibit a map..... The method of proof carries over to domains satisfying.....
 We require a method of dividing z into subwords z_i for which we know the structure of f(z_i). This provides a method for recognizing pure injective modules. Our method has the disadvantage of not being intrinsic. All these methods had severe limitations. A shortcoming of our method is the inability to compare three or more progressions. To show the greater simplicity of our method over Brown's, let us..... In [1] the methods used are those of differential topology.
- **middle** We divide them into three classes depending on the width of the middle term as compared with the width of the other terms. \bullet Let E be Cantor's familiar middle thirds set.
- **might** [see also: may, could] One might hope that this method would work at least for sufficiently regular maps; however,..... It will eventually appear that the results are much more satisfactory than one might expect. Let us see what such a formula might look like, by analogy with..... •. One must also be aware that the curvature of M_i might not be bounded uniformly in *i*.
- **mild** [see also: moderate, reasonable] For later use, we prove a mild refinement of this latter characterization. \bullet under mild restrictions on f
- mimic If we simply mimic the standard proof of..... we are led to.....
- mind [see also: remember] With Lemma 2 in mind, we make the following ad hoc definition.
 An example to bear in mind is behaviour in the basin of a periodic point.
 Two necessary conditions come to mind immediately.
 When reading the proof of Lemma 2, it is helpful to keep in mind that..... It is helpful to keep these similarities in mind.
- **minimal** [see also: least, maximal] In the next theorem, we give fairly minimal conditions that imply..... Suppose that of all such solutions, (x, y, z) is one with y minimal. Define n to be minimal such that $f^n(x) = y$.
- **minimum** [see also: maximum] Under what conditions can f have a local minimum in the interval A (at the point x_0)? This is the minimum property of the Jordan decomposition which was mentioned in Section 6.
- **minor** [see also: slight, fine] We take this opportunity to correct a minor error in Lemma 2 of [PS]. with minor modifications
- **minus** [see also: plus, remove, delete, negative] It follows that the last sum is equal to minus itself, and is therefore zero. the minus sign a conformal mapping of Q onto the complex plane minus the nonnegative real axis
- **miscellaneous** [see also: various] In this section we gather some miscellaneous results that are more or less standard. These will be used to calculate the constants $c_{\star}(\alpha, \beta)$, and we place them here to avoid interrupting the forthcoming arguments.
- **miss** [see also: avoid, overlook] Half of the sets of R miss i and half the remaining miss j. The image of U under f misses out more than three points of the sphere.
- **mistake** [see also: error] Note that there is a mistake on p. 3 of [5], where the condition..... should be deleted.

mnemonic The term 'upath' is a mnemonic for 'unit speed path'.

- **model 1** A model for analysing rank data obtained from several observers is proposed. Here we consider a dual variational formulation which can be derived similarly to that for the sandpile model. One reason for using this rather elaborate model is that it permits a simple and concrete definition of the realization. We motivate our work in Lipschitz domains by first considering a model case, that of harmonic functions defined on the upper half-space. **2** We model the intensity process for subject *i* as $f_i = \dots$
- moderate [see also: mild, reasonable] a function of moderate growth
- **modification** [see also: adaptation, adjustment, change, variant, variation, version] The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4, with two principal modifications. • We write $\beta = \dots$, which is a slight modification to the previous version of β . • The only modification to be made is that we define..... • with minor modifications • (with the usual modification for $p = \infty$) • a considerable (extensive/major/significant) modification
- modify [see also: alter, change, adjust, vary, revise] The function of Lemma 2 can be easily modified to obey the extra condition. If h is modified by the addition of a suitable constant, it follows that..... We finish by mentioning that, suitably modified, the results of Section 2 apply to the AP case. To obtain the required map one must modify the method as explained in [9].
- **modulus** [*pl.* moduli] an element of modulus $1 \bullet$ Then *F* is bounded in modulus by $1. \bullet$ Hence *F* is the largest (in modulus) limit point of the sequence F_n .
- **moment** [see also: time, temporary, temporarily, shortly] A moment's consideration will show that..... Suppose for the moment that q = 1, so that $\beta = 1$. We shall show in a moment that these solutions are unique.
- **monotone** [= monotonic; *not*: "monotonous"] the monotone convergence theorem [Note that the term *decreasing function* is preferable to "monotone decreasing function".]
- more [see also: additional, extra, further, great] We conclude this section with one more result.
 One more case merits mentioning here. Applying this argument k more times, we obtain.....
 Then F has n or more zeros in Ω. In dimensions three or more, this would imply..... Beware that more than one equation is referred to as the fast diffusion equation in the literature. If c is only slightly larger than 1, say 1 < c < 3, then there is more than one curve with this property.
 The L² theory has more symmetry than is the case in L¹. a set of no more than k elements
 - Those more than half a square count as whole ones., which, by another theorem of Kimney's, is more than enough to guarantee that P gives A outer measure 1. A more complete theory may be obtained by allowing..... a succession of more and more refined discrete models This accords with the intuition that as we pass down the coding tree, we find out more and more detailed information about the ordering actually represented. How many more men attended the meeting than the women? The new X is no more continuous, although it still has norm 1.
- **moreover** [see also: also, furthermore, likewise] Moreover, H is a free R-module on as many generators as there are path components of X. Since A = B and B = C, and since moreover C = D, it follows that A = C. We defer the proof of the 'moreover' statement in Theorem 5 until after the proof of the lemma.
- -morphic As noted before, there exists N homeomorphic to P such that..... This space is naturally isomorphic to B. Over the past ten years the isomorphic structure of spaces of weighted holomorphic functions has been largely [= almost completely] determined.

-morphically It follows that F maps L homeomorphically onto itself.

- -morphism The function thus defined is a semigroup morphism. We turn the set of..... into a category by defining the morphisms to be..... By a partial automorphism of A we understand an isomorphism $f: B \to C$ between two subalgebras B and C of A. The induced homomorphism is multiplication by 2. If we know a covering space E of X then not only do we know that..... but we can also recover X (up to homeomorphism) as E/G. Associated with each Steiner system is its automorphism group, that is, the set of all..... The last statement of Lemma 2 yields the algebra isomorphism A = B. an orientation preserving homeomorphism a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of A-modules
- **most** [see also: largely, extent, majority] There are at most two such r in (0, 1). The number of distinct values that could be in a memory cell is at most s. Thus A is the union of B plus an at most countable set. The two functions differ at most on a set of measure zero. If A consists of at most one point, then..... The set F has the most points when..... In most cases it turns out that..... Most measures that one meets are already complete. The proof shows that if the points are drawn at random from the uniform distribution, most choices satisfy the required bound. Although [1] deals mainly with the unit disc, most proofs are so constructed that they apply to more general situations. Most of the theorems presented here have never been published before. Most of this book is devoted to..... In the case where A is commutative, as it will be in most of this paper, we have..... For most of the proof it suffices to use the rough bound p < 1. [Use most of before the, this, our etc.] A survey of the research on $f_n(x, y)$ up to 1970 (most of it dealing with the case n = 1) was given in [3]. The proofs are, for the most part, only sketched. This paper, for the most part, continues this line of investigation. We shall be brief here and just estimate the terms that are the most challenging. Most probably, his method will prove useful in..... What most interests us is whether.....
- -most the rightmost expression [= the last one on the right] the innermost integral
- **mostly** [see also: mainly, largely, primarily, part] Instead, we shall mostly work with the larger class of..... Below, we shall be mostly interested in Poisson cohomology of the algebra V.
- **motivate** [see also: inspire, prompt] This motivated Galvin to ask the following question. The lemma motivates our calling R a generalized Picard group. It seems that the relations between these concepts emerge most clearly when the setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to the subject. Whether or not this is correct does not matter; we are trying to motivate the proof that follows. We motivate our work in Lipschitz domains by first considering a model case, that of harmonic functions defined on the upper half-space. The following theorem is clearly motivated by the classical LP-decomposition.
 - The present paper is motivated by the desire to make the subject as accessible as possible. Motivated by (4), we obtain the following characterization of..... This motivated the second author to introduce the notion of.....
- **motivation** [see also: impulse, inspiration] Further motivation for looking at ideal class groups comes from the field of cryptography. The motivation for writing this paper was twofold. The motivation for the results of this section is the following result of John (paraphrased slightly to suit our purposes). This is the motivation behind the following process.
- **move** [see also: go, proceed, carry, transfer] The problem is to move all the discs to the third peg by moving only one at a time. \bullet The point A can be reached from B by moving along an edge

of G. • Any point not in B is moved by f a distance equal to twice the distance to M. • Part of the conclusion is that F moves each z closer to the origin than it was. • In the present paper we move outside the random walk case and treat time-inhomogeneous convolutions. • As the point z moves around the unit circle, the corresponding J_z 's are rotations of angle t(z). • Schenzel's formula frequently allows us to move back and forth between the commutative algebras of k[P] and the combinatorics of P. • We now move on to the question of local normal forms.

- **much** [see also: extent, far, abundance, wealth, majority] Thus F is very much larger than G. • Although the definition may seem artificial, it is actually very much in the spirit of Darbo's old argument in [5]. • This interval is much smaller than that suggested by (8). • Much less is known about hyperbolically convex functions. • The map G can be handled in much the same way. • We can multiply two elements of E by concatenating paths, much as in the definition of the fundamental group. • The strategy is much the same as for the proof of Theorem 2. • Much of the rest of the paper is devoted to..... • How much of the foregoing can be extended to the noncompact case? • Another topic of great interest is how much of adjunction theory holds for ample vector bundles. • Let us now take a quick look at the class N, with the purpose of determining how much of Theorems 1 and 2 is true here.
- **multiple** Thus F is at most a multiple of G plus..... The last term is bounded by a constant multiple of the norm of g.
- **multiplication** The induced homomorphism is multiplication by 2. Finally, multiplication by a permutation matrix will get the exponents in descending order. The Fourier transform converts multiplication by a character into translation, and vice versa, it converts convolutions to pointwise products.
- **multiply** Multiplying through by f and integrating shows that..... Then A = B, as one sees by multiplying out the product on the right. The theory of elementary divisors now shows that by pre- and post-multiplying x by suitable elements of K we can reduce x to a diagonal matrix.
- **must** [see also: have to, necessarily, force] Any algorithm to find max must do at least n comparisons. We must have Lf = 0, for otherwise we can replace f by f Lf. Our present assumption implies that the last inequality in (8) must actually be an equality. If there are to be any nontrivial solutions x then any odd prime must satisfy..... In outline, the argument follows that of the single-valued setting, but there are several significant issues that must be addressed in the n-valued case. Nevertheless, in interpreting this conclusion, caution must be exercised because the number of potential exceptions is huge. Theorem 3 may be interpreted as saying that A = B, but it must then be remembered that.....
- **mutatis mutandis** [= with necessary changes] We shall suppose that Assumptions 2.1 hold *mutatis mutandis*.

\mathbf{N}

- **name** [see also: call, designate, term] **1** The name 'Riesz theorem' is sometimes given to the theorem which asserts that..... \bullet The name of Harald Bohr is attached to bG in recognition of his work on almost periodic functions. \bullet This class of Hurewicz spaces has appeared under different names in the study of small sets of reals.
 - **2** This has numerous important consequences; to name just two, Brauer proved that..... Here D is induced by the same named differential on the algebra V.

- **namely** Here D_0 and D_1 are discs with the same centre, namely b. The object of this paper is to obtain improvements in two cases, namely for forms of degree 7 and 11. It has some basic properties in common with another most important class of functions, namely, the continuous ones. There is another, entirely different, way to see that A = B. Namely, one can first show that..... [Note the difference between *namely* and *that is*: while *namely* introduces specific or extra information, *that is* (or *i.e.*) introduces another way of putting what has already been said.]
- **narrowly** The answer depends on how broadly or narrowly the term 'matrix method' is defined.
- **natural** It is therefore natural to allow (5) to fail when x is not a continuity point of F. It is natural to make the following definition. In this case it is natural (and notationally convenient) to pick W to be.... It is natural question to ask is how the quantities A(S,T) and B(S,T) vary as S and T change.
- **naturally** This space is naturally isomorphic to B. The question naturally arises whether this representation is unique. • Where we could, we have chosen these examples from naturally occurring mathematical structures. [Note the double r in occurring.]
- **nature** [see also: essence, substance, point] The two examples, E_1 and E_2 , differ by only a single sequence, e, and they serve to illustrate the delicate nature of Theorem 2.
- **near** [see also: close] Thus F is near 1. [Or: near to 1] for p near enough to q Denote by q the point of A nearest to p. Here, of course, the set A produced is rather thin and certainly nowhere near the densities we are looking for.
- **nearby** We can write nearby points y as y = x + r.
- **nearly** [see also: almost, practically] This is nearly the same as formula (6) of [7], which we can recover by multiplying (2.3) by F(s).
- **neat** [see also: nice, precise] The surprisingly neat answer is that the functions (2) span C(I) if and only if G(a) = 0.
- **necessarily** [see also: need, entail] For general rings, Out(R) is not necessarily well-behaved. Clearly, F is bounded but it is not necessarily so after division by G.where P(d) denotes the space of (not necessarily monic) polynomial functions of degree d. Necessarily, one of X and Y is in Z. His proof is unnecessarily complicated.
- **necessary** [see also: condition, need] We can assume, by decreasing n if necessary, that..... Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that..... We can assume that p is as close to q as is necessary for the following proof to work. This is necessary for determining the constants in Theorem 2. Although these proofs run along similar lines, there are subtle adjustments necessary to fit the argument to each new situation. It is therefore unnecessary to specify G on M.
- **necessitate** [see also: entail, involve, force, require] Apart from a few embellishments necessitated by some technical difficulties, the ideas differ very little from those used to prove Lemma 4.
- **necessity** [see also: need] We now prove necessity. [Or: the necessity]
- **need 1** [see also: necessity, require, necessary] By subtracting a constant if need be, we may assume..... There is no need for the assumption that..... However, with the recent advent of

simulation based inference, the need for analytically tractable posteriors is no longer critical. • Hochberg pointed to the need for procedures that are more powerful than classical comparison methods. • Let us digress to locate the need for relative ergodicity in the above arguments.

2 [see also: require, necessitate, necessary] However, (9) needs handling with greater care. • The others being obvious, only (iv) needs proof. • A drawback to Pólya trees, and perhaps the main reason why they have not seen much application within the Bayesian nonparametric literature, is that an arbitrary partition tree needs to be specified. • We need to make the smallest possible jump. • To recover Wiener's famous result that Brownian paths are continuous, one needs to use more sophisticated reasoning. • This will help us find what conditions on A are needed for T(A) to be analytic. • For F = R, no integration over M is needed in (5). • The only property of M needed is local compactness. • More specialized notions from Banach space theory will be introduced as needed.

3 [modal verb, in questions, negatives or with *only*; *see also*: have to] We need only consider three cases. [*Or*: We only need to consider] • Only shifts with k = 1 need be considered. • It turns out that nothing more need be done to obtain..... • In that case Y need only be metrizable (rather than completely metrizable). • Then F need not satisfy (2). [= F does not necessarily satisfy (2).] • However, it need not be the case that V > W, as we shall see in the following example.

- **negative** [see also: positive, minus] Theorem 1 provides an answer in the negative. The only references known to the authors are [A] and [V], where the case A = L(E) is settled in the negative. the negative of a = -a]
- **neglect** [see also: disregard, ignore] Clearly, the contribution from those r with A(r) > 0 can be neglected. However, we prefer to avoid this issue altogether by neglecting the contribution of B to S.
- **negligible** We show that A is negligible compared with B.
- neither Neither (1) nor (2) alone is sufficient for (3) to hold. Thus A is neither symmetric nor positive. Both X and Y are countable, but neither is finite. Neither of them is finite.
 [Use *neither* when there are two alternatives; if there are more, use *none*.] Let u and v be two distributions neither of which has compact support. As shown in Figure 3, neither curve intersects X. In neither case can f be smooth. [Note the inversion after the negative clause.]
 Both proofs are easy, so we give neither. Thus X is not finite; neither ⟨nor⟩ is Y. Neither is the problem simplified by assuming f = g.
- **never** In representation theory, there can never be a *B*-map whose domain is finite-dimensional. • Most of the theorems presented here have never been published before. • If one studies the proof of.... it is apparent that (2) is never used. • If the boundary is never hit then x_t is a Feller process under reasonable continuity assumptions.
- **nevertheless** [see also: however, yet] Nevertheless, it might be possible to make sense of (2) even for non-injective V by considering a multi-valued operator Z. We show that nevertheless a positive proportion of the polynomials $B_n(x)$ satisfy Eisenstein's criterion.
- **next** [see also: subsequently, then] The next three comparisons are:..... We next show that..... • Next, (1) shows that (2) holds whenever g = f(n) for some n. • the next-to-last inequality
- nice [see also: neat] Neighbourhoods of points in these spaces appear at first glance to have

a nice regular structure, but upon closer scrutiny, one sees that many neighbourhoods contain collections of arcs hopelessly folded up. • In the case of M_n , the corresponding symmetric group action on cohomology is particularly nice. • Homogeneous spaces are, in a sense, the nicest examples of Riemannian manifolds.

- **nicety** It is clear that (up to set-theoretic niceties) this defines a partial order on the class of R-equivalence classes of Borel maps out of the given space X.
- no This set has no fewer elements than K has. Then X has no fewer than twenty elements. The case n = 2 is of no interest since..... For F = R, no integration over M is needed in (5).
 Thus F has no pole in U (hence none in V). No x has more than one inverse. There is no map such that..... For no x does the limit exist. [Note the inversion after the negative clause.]
 No two members of A have an element in common. We conclude that, no matter what the class of b is, we have an upper bound on M.
- non- [Write consistently either nontrivial or non-trivial etc.; in some cases the hyphen is required:
 a non-Euclidean metric, a non-locally convex space; never write "non" as a separate word.] for any noncompact set [Not: "for any not compact set"; you can only use not predicatively, e.g. for any set that is not compact]
- **none** [see also: nothing] Thus F has no pole in U (hence none in V).where none of the sums is of the form..... Let A_n be a sequence of positive integers none of which is 1 less than a power of two. If there is an f..... then..... If there are $\langle is \rangle$ none, we define..... It follows that the semigroup S_t is none other than e(t)T.
- **nor** Neither (1) nor (2) alone is sufficient for (3) to hold. Clearly, A is neither symmetric nor positive. However, X is not finite, nor is Y countable. [Note the inversion.] This topology is compact, but not usually Hausdorff, nor even T_1 .
- **norm** Then F_n tends to F in norm (in the L_p norm).
- **normally** We will not normally specify the index set I.
- **not** For m not an integer, the norm can be defined by interpolation. For f not in B,.... • However, M is generally not a manifold. • It is not generally possible to restrict f to the class D. • We note that H is in fact not monotone if this condition is violated. • [Do not use *not* to negate an adjective placed before a noun. Write: *a non-monotone function*, and not: "a not monotone function".] • Is there a relation between A and B? There certainly is not if..... • Now f is independent of the choice of γ (although the integral itself is not). • Examples 1 and 2 give two operators, the former bounded and the latter not, with..... • Let us stress that c is a term and not a subset of C. • Obviously, S may be P, but it may also not be P. • The result above seems not to be a consequence of previous results. • The ordered pair (a, b) can be chosen in 16 ways so as not to be a multiple of (c, d). • Why not increase the precision of these statements?
- **notably** [see also: especially, particular, particularly] Section 2 contains some specific preparatory material, notably a brief discussion of the category of virtual vector bundles. [= the most important part of it being a brief discussion etc.]
- **notation** [see also: symbol] By abuse of notation, we continue to write f for f_1 . For simplicity, we suppress the explicit dependence on x in the notation. For ease of notation [= To ease notation], set $I = I_f$. If G is clear from context, then we suppress reference to it in the

notation. • This is in agreement with our previous notation. • In this chapter we shall depart from the previous notation and use the letter m not for Lebesgue measure, but for Lebesgue measure divided by $(2\pi)^{1/2}$. • Standard Banach space notation is used throughout. For clarity, however, we record the notation that is used most heavily. • The reader is cautioned that our notation is in conflict with that of [3]. • Unfortunately, the notation from number theory slightly conflicts with the notation from probability theory. • Any other unexplained notation is as found in Fox (1995). • The notation F < G will mean that..... • Let us introduce the temporary notation Ff for gfg^{-1} . • One more piece of notation: throughout the paper we write..... for..... • From (ii), with an obvious change in notation, we get..... • We do not use (5) in our proofs, because it makes the notation more cumbersome.

- **note** [see also: notice, observe, see, remark] Note that (3) is merely an abbreviation for the statement that..... Perhaps it is appropriate at this point to note that a representing measure is countably additive if and only if..... Part (b) follows from (a) on noting that A = B under the conditions stated. Before going to the proof, it is worth noting that....., as noted (as was noted) in Section 2. [Not: "as it was noted"] As noted before, there exists N homeomorphic to P such that..... It should be noted that..... With the exception noted below, we follow Stanley's presentation [3, Sec. 2].
- **nothing** [see also: none] If n = 1, there is nothing to prove. Even in the case n = 2, the application of Theorem 6 gives essentially nothing better than the inequality..... It turns out that nothing more need be done to obtain..... However, (ii) is nothing but the statement that..... [= only the statement that] The identity p(A) = 0 is nothing other than the Cayley-Hamilton theorem. If nothing else, I hope to convince my readers that Segal's theorem deserves recognition as a profound contribution to Gaussian analysis.
- notice [see also: note, observe, remark] It is important to notice some of the weaknesses inherent in the above approach. In 1988, while attempting to generalize this result, the second author noticed that..... In our next theorem, we state a characterization of..... which does not seem to have been noticed previously.
- notion [see also: concept, idea] We describe how the notion of positivity relates to the other properties. How are these two optimality notions related? In general, they are not. This is where the notion of an upper gradient comes in. Although standard, the notion of a virtual vector bundle is not particularly well known. There is a fourth notion of phantom map which bears the same relation to the third definition as the first does to the second. Our notion of nonanalytic integral encompasses such well known examples as..... More specialized notions from Banach space theory will be introduced as needed. The benefit of formulating our notion of 'isomorphism section' as above will become clear shortly. Are the notions of 'boundedly approximately amenable' and 'approximately amenable' the same?
- **novelty** Since most of the results presented are quite classical (the novelty lies in the arrangement, and some of the proofs are new), I have not attempted to document the source of every item.
- **now** The result will now be derived computationally. Morera's theorem shows now that f is holomorphic. Now that we have the above claim, we can select..... Now choose a cycle c in M as in Theorem 2.

- **number 1** [see also: abound, many, numerous, profusion, several, variety] Let q be the maximum number of variables occurring in..... [Note the double r in occurring.] The total number of vectors of this type is 36. the number of elements of X the number of terms in the sequence (a_n) such that..... Nevertheless, in interpreting this conclusion, caution must be exercised because the number of potential exceptions is huge. For size 1 this makes no difference, but for sizes 2 and 3 it considerably cuts down the number of cases to be considered. All but a finite number of the G_s are empty. for all n except a finite number There are a large number of examples showing that..... [Not: "There is a large number"] There are quite a number of cases, but they can be described reasonably systematically. We give a number of results concerning..... [= several] There are a number of equivalent definitions of the regular set. 2 The elements of D can be so numbered that..... They are numbered in order of increasing diameter. Number the successive segments of the boundary line between A and B (marked thickly in the picture) with the numbers 0, 1, ..., n, starting at the bottom. numbered in Arabic
- numerals These from G number 45. [= there are 45 of them] The elements of G, numbering 122 in all, range from 9 to 2000. **numerous** [see also: plentiful, abound, many, number, profusion] There are numerous results
- **numerous** [see also: plentiful, abound, many, number, profusion] There are numerous results in the literature relating spectral conditions to invertibility of f. • This has numerous important consequences; to name just two, Brauer proved that.....

0

- **obey** [see also: satisfy] We first check that t' obeys the condition for f(t). One easily checks that whenever a sequence a_n obeys the uniform bound $a_n < C$, one has..... It follows that any itinerary that obeys these four rules corresponds to a point in B.
- object [see also: aim, purpose] The object of this section is to classify all the indecomposable *E*-modules. The object of this paper is to obtain improvements in two cases, namely for forms of degree 7 and 11.
- objective [see also: aim, idea, purpose, intention, task] This achieves our objective of describing.....
 The objective is to choose a control u so as to minimize.....
 the key (main/major/primary/principal/broad/ultimate/stated) objective
- **obscure** However, the connection with Gromov's work has been obscured in recent years by an emphasis (in the algebraic topology literature) on configuration spaces.
- observation [see also: remark, fact] The preceding observation, when looked at from a more general point of view, leads to..... The key (fundamental) observation is that if..... We start with the observation that..... We shall have a number of opportunities in the following arguments to make use of this simple observation. This simple observation lies at the heart of the proof of our first theorem.
- **observe** [see also: note, notice, remark] The observed values of X will on average cluster around points where..... Observe how the completeness of L^2 was used to guarantee the existence of f.
- obstacle [see also: obstruction, prevent] The obstacle we have is that we must control the area of G. It might seem at first that the only obstacle is the fact that the group is not compact. There are two obstacles to this approach. It is possible that the methods of this paper could be used to....., but there remain considerable obstacles to overcome. We are able to surmount this obstacle by an error analysis of the rate of convergence.

- **obstruction** [see also: obstacle, prevent] But the T_n need not be contractions in L^1 , which is the main obstruction to applying standard arguments for densities.
- **obtain** [see also: get, derive, gain] Theorem 2, at the end of Section 2, was not originally obtained in the manner indicated there. A key step in obtaining (6) is Jensen's inequality. We thus obtain f = g. [Not: "We obtain that f = g", "We receive f = g".] Also, wherever possible, we work with integer coefficients, enabling us to obtain information about torsion. See [17] for a brief account of the results obtained. [Not: "the obtained results"]
- **obvious** [see also: clear, evident, apparent, plain] Now (8) makes it obvious that..... We regard (1) as a mapping of S^2 into S^2 , with the obvious conventions concerning the point ∞ . We shall also refer to a point as backward nonsingular, with the obvious analogous meaning. The others being obvious, only (iv) needs proof.
- **obviously** [see also: clearly, manifestly, apparently] Its restriction to M is obviously just f. The left side of (3) obviously cannot decrease if r increases. Obviously, G_n increases to G as $n \to \infty$.
- **occasion** [see also: sometimes] We take advantage of this fact on several occasions, by not actually specifying the topology under consideration. This connection has been exploited on occasion [= sometimes] to construct various infinite families of regular maps. This volume was originally intended as a celebration volume marking the occasion of N. Wiener's seventieth birthday.
- **occasionally** [see also: sometimes, occasion] The following variant of Theorem 2 is occasionally useful.
- **occur** [see also: arise, appear, emerge, happen, result] The only case requiring further analysis occurs when f = 0. Both cases can occur. All inputs of size n are equally likely to occur. • Every nonincreasing function occurs as the rearrangement of some C(P) function. • Equality occurs in (9) if..... • Where we could, we have chosen these examples from naturally occurring mathematical structures. [Note the double r in occurring.]
- **occurrence** Replace each occurrence of b by c. Throughout, C denotes a positive constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.
- odds [see also: chance, conflict] The odds that he will win are ten to one. This is slightly at odds [= inconsistent] with the terminology of [4], as Fox defines the trace filter to be the normal filter generated by A.
- of a vector of norm $1 \bullet a$ ball of radius $r \bullet an$ element of finite order \bullet the group of invertible elements of $E \bullet Actually$, S has the much stronger property of being convex. \bullet This method has the disadvantage of not being intrinsic. \bullet However, only five of these are distinct. \bullet The best known of these is the Knaster continuum. \bullet Of these, (i) and (ii) are almost immediate from the definition. \bullet There has since been a series of improvements, of which we briefly mention the work of Levinson. \bullet Suppose that of all such solutions, (x, y, z) is one with y minimal. \bullet Theorem 2 of [8] \bullet statement (ii) of Proposition 7 \bullet However, this cannot be proved of the cardinal function d(X). \bullet For example, F reaches a relative maximum of 5.2 at about x = 2.1. \bullet The only additional feature is the appearance of a factor of 2.
- off [see also: away, beyond, outside] a function with poles off K (vanishing off K) \bullet an extension

of f off $U \bullet$ The kernel is C^0 on $X \times X$ off the diagonal. \bullet a Blaschke product with at least one zero off the origin \bullet If we stay a fixed distance off the critical line, we do not expect Benford behaviour. \bullet We close this off by characterizing..... \bullet Let M' be the minor obtained by crossing off the last row and column of M. \bullet Continuity then finishes off the argument. \bullet This can be read off from (8).

- offer [see also: give, provide, furnish, supply] We offer numerical evidence to support a conjecture that there exist infinitely many primes of this type.
 In this paper we offer some useful tools for bounding d-pseudoprimes.
 We suspect that our methods should extend to offer at least some description of characteristic factor-tuples for larger numbers of commuting transformations.
 We close this paper by offering some questions and problems for further research.
- offset [see also: cancel, compensate] This decrease is offset by the contribution from the poles.
 Offsetting the effect of the pole at t = 0 requires more work.
- often [see also: frequently, repeatedly] It often does not matter whether..... This property is of course already well known in many cases, often with little or no restrictions on V. • The parent and child relations often used with trees can be derived once a root is specified. • The question as to how often we should expect the class number to be divisible by p is also of some interest. • infinitely often
- old We shall need ways of constructing new triangulations from old ones which alter the *f*-vector in a predictable fashion. This lends precision to an old assertion of Dini:..... Although the definition may seem artificial, it is actually very much in the spirit of Darbo's old argument in [5].
- omission There are finite measures for which the omission of (iv) would make (b) false.
- **omit** [see also: drop, delete, remove, dispense with] The conclusion does not follow if continuity is omitted from the hypotheses. So far it seems not to be known whether the geometric condition on X can be omitted. We omit further details. The wedge denotes that e_i has been omitted. However, if B were omitted in (1), the case n = 0 would imply Nf = 1, an undesirable restriction. To simplify the writing, we take a = 0 and omit the subscripts a.
- on The proof is by induction on n. For brevity, we drop the subscript t on h_t . Here H is a free R-module on as many generators as there are path components of X. • the amount the gambler wins on the nth play • However, A clearly has a topology T on it, namely,..... • On making use of the bound..... we conclude that..... • On combining this with our other estimates in (3.5), we deduce that..... • This bound is integrable and on integration one again obtains the same form of..... • On restriction to B we have a homomorphism $f: B \to C$. • We establish our results both unconditionally and on the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis. • The following example shows that the degree of smoothness predicted by Theorem 6 cannot be improved on. • We now show the following improvement on (2). • This is essentially a variation on the prime number theorem. • We will call on this version of the inverse theorem when we come to our applications in Section 2. • We now move on to the question of local normal forms. • Then (5) takes on the form..... [Or: takes the form]
- once The parent and child relations often used with trees can be derived once a root is specified.
 Once this is done, the proof continues thus:.....
 Once the dissipation relation is in hand, no further work is required.
 This procedure, once implemented, can thereafter be applied with

great effectiveness. • Moreover, it follows at once from (1) that..... • Let us state once more, in different words, what the preceding result says if p = 1.

- one One more case merits mentioning here. One reason for using this rather elaborate model is that it permits a simple and concrete definition of the realization. • One simple way to get a Banach algebra which is not amenable is to take..... \bullet Our definition agrees with the one in [3]. \bullet The cases p = 1 and p = 2 will be the ones of interest to us. • Consider the differences between these integrals and the corresponding ones with f replaced by g. • It has some basic properties in common with another most important class of functions, namely, the continuous ones. • The geodesics (8) are the only ones that realize the distance between their endpoints. • Then the one and only integral curve of L starting from x is the straight line l. \bullet Then G has ten normal subgroups and as many non-normal ones. \bullet The first two are simpler than the third one. [Or: the third; not: "The first two ones"] \bullet Now, F has many points of continuity. Suppose x is one. • Each of the functions on the right of (9) is one to which our theorem applies. • A principal ideal is one that is generated by a single element. • If f is an n-simplex in U, then f' is one in V. • Suppose that of all such solutions, (x, y, z) is one with y minimal. • In addition to a contribution to W_1 , there may also be one to W_2 . • Necessarily, one of X and Y is in Z. • Here the interesting questions are not about individual examples, but about the asymptotic behaviour of the set of examples as one or another of the invariants (such as the genus) goes to infinity. • Instead of dealing with lines one by one, we deal with collections of lines simultaneously. • Clearly, A is thereby put in one-to-one correspondence with B. • The algorithm examines only roughly one-quarter to one-third of the characters. • Asymptotically, more than one-fifth of the polynomials $B_n(x)$ are irreducible. • The other player is one-third as fast. • [The numbers 1 to 12, when used for counting objects (without units of measurement), should be written in words: There exists exactly one such map; for other uses, sometimes figures permit avoiding ambiguity, e.g. it is 1 less than the other.]
- **only** [see also: alone, just, merely, single, solely] Assume that the only functions v, w satisfying (2) are v = w = 0. Then the one and only integral curve of L starting from x is the straight line l. Here $\{x\}$ is the set whose only member is x. The problem is to move all the discs to the third peg by moving only one at a time. However, only five of these are distinct. Note that F is defined only up to an additive constant. We need only consider the case..... [Or: We only need to consider] The proof will only be indicated briefly. We have to change the proof of Lemma 3 only slightly. To prove (8), it only remains to verify that..... Thus X assumes the values 0 and 1 only. Only for x = 1 does the limit exist. [Note the inversion.] If we know a covering space E of X then not only do we know that..... but we can also recover X (up to homeomorphism) as E/G.
- onwards from line 6 onwards
- **open** [see also: unresolved] These results leave open the basic case $k = \omega$. Conjecture 2 of [KH], to the effect that [=meaning that] there is no relation P with E(P) = 1, still remains open.
- operate [see also: act] When operated on by a rotation, each of these vectors is mapped to.....
- **opportunity** We take this opportunity to correct a minor error in Lemma 2 of [PS]. We shall have a number of opportunities in the following arguments to make use of this simple observation.

oppose [see also: contrary, contrast, unlike] As opposed to the situation considered in [5], the

functions used here are..... [Not: "Contrary to the situation"] • The rest of the paper concerns the existence of greedy bases (as opposed to basic sequences).

- opposite [see also: reverse] For the opposite inclusion, suppose that..... the opposite inequality
 Then D is the face of the simplex s opposite to A. [Or: opposite A] (see the page opposite)
- or There is no recursive or definable R such that..... The answer depends on how broadly or narrowly the term 'matrix method' is defined. • If..... then R is right Noetherian provided R is semiprime [2] or commutative [4] or R/N has zero socle. • The case when f is decreasing can be proved similarly, or else can be deduced from..... • Then f = g, or equivalently a(f) = a(g). • Here the interesting questions are not about individual examples, but about the asymptotic behaviour of the set of examples as one or another of the invariants (such as the genus) goes to infinity. • Moreover, for L tame or otherwise, it may happen that E is a free module. • Then Fmay or may not fix B. • The question we shall be concerned with is whether or not f is..... • Its role is to rule out having two or more consecutive P-moves. • Any vector with three or fewer 1s in the last twelve places has at least eight 1s in all. • The intervals we are concerned with are either completely inside A or completely inside B. • By Corollary 2, distinct 8-sets have either zero, two or four elements in common. • Either f or g must be bounded. • Any map either has a fixed point, or sends some point to its antipode.
- order 1 [see also: arrangement, organization] Comparisons are done in left-to-right order. They are numbered in order of increasing diameter. • The diagram of L + M is obtained by taking the rows of the diagrams of L and M and reassembling them in order of decreasing length. • in reverse order • arranged in increasing order • The interchange in the order of integration was legitimate, since..... • One is tempted to reverse the order of integrations but that is illegitimate here. • The first 15 chapters should be taken up in the order in which they are presented, except for Chapter 9, which may be postponed. • an element of order a power of p • an element of prime power order • Then F has continuous y-derivatives of all orders (up to order k). • Thus F vanishes to order 3 (to infinite order) at x. • Unfortunately, the details of the calculations were omitted, and there is some doubt on whether the result is correct since our analysis suggests that P_2 must vanish to third order; the presence of L^{-2} is also suspect. • In all our analysis, only the order of magnitude of P will be significant. • A form of the central limit theorem is used to show that for large $n, E(R_n)$ is on the order of $c\sqrt{n}$, from which it follows that $E(R_nS_n)$ does not drop below the order of $n^{4/3}$. • First, some remarks concerning the term 'closure' are in order. • In order to make our description of this process precise, we define.....

2 [see also: arrange, organize] We partially order M by declaring X < Y to mean that..... • Let P be the set of all intervals in J ordered by inclusion.

- **organization** [see also: order, arrangement, outline] The organization of the paper is the following.
- **organize** [see also: arrange, order] The paper is organized as follows. Our presentation is therefore organized in such a way that the analogies between the concepts of topological space and continuous function, on the one hand, and of measurable space and measurable function, on the other, are strongly emphasized.
- **origin** [see also: source] The word 'singular' as applied to measures has its origin in this phenomenon. \bullet a ball of radius r about the origin

- **original** [see also: first] We now turn to Gromov's original result. This was one of the major steps in Wiener's original proof of his Tauberian theorem.
- **originally** [see also: initially, beginning, first] Theorem 2, at the end of Section 2, was not originally obtained in the manner indicated there.
- **originate** [see also: start, derive] This theory was originated by Gelfand in 1941. The argument is now completed by means of techniques originated in the work of Stolz [3].
- other [see also: different] Every region in the plane (other than the plane itself) is conformally equivalent to U. • Clearly, A_{∞} weights are sharp weights. That there are no others is the main result of Section 2. • Then V has the following invariant subspaces, and no others:..... • The others being obvious, only (iv) needs proof. • It follows that the semigroup S_t is none other than e(t)T. • The identity p(A) = 0 is nothing other than the Cayley-Hamilton theorem. • Each vertex is adjacent to q others. • The other inequality is just as easy to prove. [= the other of the two mentioned] • the other end of the interval • In this and the other theorems of this section, the X_n are any independent random variables with a common distribution. • One of these lies in the union of the other two. • On combining this with our other estimates in (3.5), we deduce that..... • Any other unexplained notation is as found in Fox (1995). • The next corollary shows among other things that..... [Not: "among others"] • Our result generalizes Urysohn's extension theorems, among others. [= among other theorems] • These n disjoint boxes are translates of each other.
- otherwise [see also: contrary] We must have Lf = 0, for otherwise we can replace f by f Lf. • We claim that f(z) > 1. Otherwise, the disc D would intersect B. • We now prove..... Indeed, suppose otherwise. Then..... • Unless otherwise stated, we assume that..... • Moreover, for Ltame or otherwise, it may happen that E is a free module. • Simplicity (or otherwise) of the underlying graphs will be discussed in the next section.
- **ought** There ought therefore to be a point x such that.....
- out Choose one out of these ten. in nine cases out of ten Define a_k to be the probability that exactly k out of the 2n values X_i exceed T, conditional on $X_0 > T$. • The only edges out of 3 lead to 2 or into B. • It is clear that (up to set-theoretic niceties) this defines a partial order on the class of R-equivalence classes of Borel maps out of the given space X. • A second technique for creating new triangulations out of old ones is central retriangulation. • The detailed analysis of.... is carried out in Section 2. • This term drops out when f is differentiated. • We were surprised to find out that..... $\langle at finding out that.... \rangle \bullet$ This accords with the intuition that as we pass down the coding tree, we find out more and more detailed information about the ordering actually represented. • Our study grew out of some valuable conversations with Kirk Douglas. • We lay out the details of this generalization in the first part of this paper. • The image of U under f misses out more than three points of the sphere. • Then A = B, as one sees by multiplying out the product on the right. • One unusual feature of the solution should be pointed out. • To round out the picture presented by Theorem 5, we mention the following consequence of..... • The possibility A = B is ruled out in the same way. • By modifying the technique set out [= presented] in [3], we obtain..... • With this definition of a tree, no vertex is singled out as the root. • It turns out that these properties play no role in the proof. • By writing out the appropriate equations, we see that this is equivalent to.....

outcome [see also: effect, result] At first glance Lemma 2 seems to yield four possible outcomes.

• It is impossible to predict the eventual outcome of the process. • It produces the same outcome whichever path is taken. [= no matter which] • We tabulate the outcome for $n \ge 10$; in particular, the column headed R lists R(n) truncated to three decimal places.

- outline [see also: sketch, organization] 1 In brief outline, here is the main idea of the proof. In outline, the argument follows that of the single-valued setting, but there are several significant issues that must be addressed in the *n*-valued case. The outline of this paper is as follows.
 2 We shall briefly outline the necessary changes. Where it is possible, we outline the proofs so that the reader will not have to hunt for obscure references.
- **outnumber** In that population, women outnumbered men $\langle by \rangle$ 2 to 1.
- output The algorithm outputs a list of.....
- outset [see also: beginning, start] We remark at the outset that this formula makes sense, because..... It seems preferable, for clarity's sake, not to present the construction at the outset in the greatest generality possible.
- outside [see also: beyond, away, off] The family of 4-sets will be used to generate a symmetry outside N but in M. Outside the fixed points, the group T acts freely on M. In the latter case we may simply adjust F to equal 1 on the Borel set where it falls outside the specified interval.
 In the present paper we move outside the random walk case and treat time-inhomogeneous convolutions.
- **outstanding** an outstanding scientist [= excellent] Two tasks still remain outstanding. [= not done]
- **outward(s)** It is sufficient to prove that this vector field points outwards on ∂M . [In most adverbial uses, *outward* and *outwards* are interchangeable; as an adjective, the more standard form is *outward*: the outward layer.]
- over Note that F is the product over the integers m in B. Hence V(x) is the maximum value of J_x(v) over all controls v.where E runs over the family B. To show the greater simplicity of our method over Brown's, let us..... Over the past ten years the isomorphic structure of spaces of weighted holomorphic functions has been largely [= almost completely] determined. Firms employing over 1000 people accounted for 50% of total employment. [= represented 50%]
 The method of proof carries over to domains satisfying..... The equation PK = 0 then goes over to QK = 0. Here s takes over the role of the time parameter.
- **overall** Now (c) asserts only that the overall maximum of f on U is attained at some point of the boundary.
- **overcome** [see also: circumvent, get around] To overcome this problem, we revise our definition of a branch. It is possible that the methods of this paper could be used to....., but there remain considerable obstacles to overcome.
- overlap The two categories overlap to some extent. non-overlapping intervals
- **overlook** [see also: miss] Our first result generalizes (8) by exploiting some general facts seemingly overlooked by the aforementioned authors.
- **overview** [see also: survey] We start with a brief overview of our strategy. Section 2 contains an overview of the necessary background.

- **owe** The present paper owes a great debt to Strang's work. The exposition owes much to the work of Dold described in [3].
- own Theorem 2 is interesting in its own right.

\mathbf{P}

- page on page 13 [Not: "on the page 13"] at the top of page 4 (see the last paragraph but one of page 24) (see the page opposite) Our method of proof will be an adaptation of the reasoning used on pp. 71–72 of [3].
- **pair 1** The ordered pair (a, b) can be chosen in 16 ways so as not to be a multiple of (c, d). There cannot be two edges between one pair of vertices.
- **2** Write out the integers from 1 to n. Pair up the first and the last, the second and next to last, etc.
- paper [see also: article] in paper [3] [Or: in the paper [3]; better: in [3]] in a companion paper [4] The aim of this paper is to bring together two areas in which..... In the present paper we move outside the random walk case and treat time-inhomogeneous convolutions. There are, however, a few important papers of which we were unaware until fairly recently. These volumes bring together all of R. Bing's published mathematical papers.
- **paragraph** [indented fragment of text; \neq section] Let the notation be as in the preceding paragraph. (see the last paragraph but one of page 24)
- parallel 1 The proof runs parallel to that of Lemma 2. [Not: "parallelly"]2 From the viewpoint of the Fox theorem, there is not an exact parallel between the odds and the evens.

3 The proof closely parallels that of Theorem 1.

- **parameter** The parameter interval was here taken to be (0, 1). Here s takes over the role of the time parameter.
- **parametrize** [= parameterize] a curve parametrized on the interval [0, 1]
- **paraphrase** The motivation for the results of this section is the following result of John (paraphrased slightly to suit our purposes).
- **parenthesis** [*pl.* parentheses; *see also*: bracket] With no parentheses, \lor and \land take priority over the group operation. the expression in parentheses
- **part** [see also: role] This is part of a larger project to study the Galois groups of periodic points of arbitrary polynomial maps. [Or: This is a part] • Part of the conclusion is that F moves each z closer to the origin than it was. • The equality f = g, which is part of Theorem 2, implies..... • This is the hard part of Jones's theorem. • The 'if' part is straightforward. • Translations have already played an important part in our study of Fourier transforms. • The key part is to show that the submanifolds U_k fit together to form a complex submanifold. • Mary Lane deserves our special thanks for her part in bringing this volume to a successful completion. • Definition 3 is motivated in part by certain differential operators to be introduced in Section 3. • This paper, for the most part, continues this line of investigation. • the greater $\langle significant/substantial/principal/central/indispensable/integral/easy \rangle$ part

partial As a partial answer to that question, we prove:..... • However, it is not known when L(S) is weakly amenable; for some partial results, see [BS].

partially [= partly] Research partially supported by NSF Grant No. 23456.

- **particular** [see also: specific, concrete, notably] When it is necessary to emphasize one particular coordinate, we write..... The probability that any particular edge is a bond is 2p. Rather than discuss this in full generality, let us look at a particular situation of this kind. Here the constants of proportionality depend on the particular curve being considered. One might hope that in the particular case of the GL energy, this could be established, but we do not see an easy path to such a conclusion. In particular, E contains a copy of l_1 . So we must in particular show that sets like this are not added.
- particularly [see also: especially] This realization is particularly convenient for determining.....
 Although standard, the notion of a virtual vector bundle is not particularly well known. We make the following provisional definition, which is neither general nor particularly elegant, but is convenient for the induction which is to follow. There is also a decrease in clustering as n increases, particularly for normally distributed X.
- **partition** 1 Intuitively, entropy of a partition is a measure of its information content—the larger the entropy, the larger the information content. a partition of unity subordinate to the covering $\{U_i\}$

 ${\bf 2}$ We can partition [0,1] into n intervals by taking.....

- **pass** [see also: by-product, incidentally, turn] This enables us to pass from a compact group G to a maximal torus T. [Or: enables passing, not: "enables to pass"] Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that..... Before passing to 4(b), we observe that..... Every path on G passes through vertices of V and W alternately. We note in passing that Fox has subsequently improved Barnes's result by showing that..... It seems appropriate to mention in passing the corresponding formula for the cohomology of B_n .
- **passage** [see also: transfer, transition] The passage from bounded f to general f is easily effected. The properties of A are preserved in the passage from V to C(V). Now (1) follows after passage to the limit as $n \to \infty$.
- **path** One might hope that in the particular case of the GL energy, this could be established, but we do not see an easy path to such a conclusion. a path starting $\langle \text{terminating} \rangle$ at $x \cdot a$ path obtained by going from A to B along the lower half of the circle
- pattern 1 [see also: model, scheme] Other types fit into this pattern as well. The proof that a < b follows the standard pattern. Thus, although we follow the general pattern of proof of Theorem A, we must also introduce new ideas to deal with the lack of product structure.
 2 [see also: model] The proof is patterned upon (after) Section 2 of [6].
- **peculiar** [see also: characteristic] We base our development on two properties of prolongation peculiar to this case. [= only found in this case]
- **penultimate** [= next to last] The penultimate inequality is justified by the fact that..... Then...., where the fact that A = B was used on the penultimate line.
- **percent** [= per cent] About 40 percent of the solar energy is concentrated in the region..... an increase of 5% (a 5% increase) in the cost of living The quantity A was greater by a mere

20%. • Quarterly sales rose by 9% compared with the same period in 2007. • % increase on previous year [in a diagram caption] • Firms employing over 1000 people accounted for 50% of total employment. [= represented 50%] • Subsidies on these commodities total 25 per cent of the budget.

- **percentage** A positive percentage of summands occur in all the k partitions. Selberg [Sel] was the first to prove that a positive percentage of zeros lie on the critical line. A small percentage reduction in the cost of materials resulted in a significant increase in profit.
- **perform** [see also: carry out, make] These calculations can be performed entirely in terms of the generators of G. Its role is to rule out having two or more consecutive P-moves (on the grounds that they can be performed in one go).
- **perhaps** [see also: possibly] After, perhaps, passing to a subsequence, we have..... \bullet Perhaps it is appropriate at this point to note that a representing measure is countably additive if and only if..... \bullet Perhaps the most important problem involving *f*-vectors is whether or not John's conditions extend to spheres. \bullet A drawback to Pólya trees, and perhaps the main reason why they have not seen much application within the Bayesian nonparametric literature, is that an arbitrary partition tree needs to be specified.
- **period** Each period 3 orbit of F leads to five period 2 orbits of F^3 . a map of period p in t
- **periodic** a 2π -periodic function
- permission The final lemma is due to F. Black and is included with his kind permission.
- **permit** [sth; sb to do sth; see also: admit, allow, enable] This will permit us to demonstrate that..... [Not: "permit to demonstrate"] This permits the extension of boundary estimates to systems of type II. One reason for using this rather elaborate model is that it permits a simple and concrete definition of the realization. Section 6 contains a formula which permits transfer of the results in Section 2 to sums of independent random variables. Note that m is permitted to vary with the number of inputs. Here the right side is permitted to be infinite. In contrast to [1], we permit the metric to be incomplete.
- **permute** If a, b, and c are permuted cyclically, the left side of (2) is unaffected.
- **persist** [see also: continue, remain] This inequality persists as $r \to 1$. [= continues to hold] A substantial no-man's-land persists in which neither alternative has been proved. This leaves the hope that a ratio theorem may persist in a more general setting.
- perspective [see also: view, viewpoint] This puts a completely different perspective on Fox's results. Those results permit us to view this fragment of the theory from a broader perspective.
 A change in perspective allows us to gain not only more general, but also finer results than in [ST]. a new (wider/proper/right/wrong/theoretical) perspective
- pertain [to sth; = be related or relevant to sth] The paper provides a proof of a combinatorial result that pertains to the characterization of..... The author gratefully acknowledges the referee's helpful comments pertaining to the first draft of this paper.
- **pertinent** [see also: relevant, connect] He proved the following theorem (see Section 2 for pertinent definitions).

- phenomenon [pl. phenomena] Formula (7) exhibits the same phenomenon. This rather counterintuitive phenomenon is exhibited by the following example. This phenomenon is called overconvergence. The word 'singular' as applied to measures has its origin in this phenomenon.
 a universal (isolated/curious/remarkable/complex) phenomenon
- **phrase** [see also: reformulate, rephrase, restate] Here is an alternative phrasing of part (1):....
- **pick** Pick the first arc of length 1 in this sequence. We can continue to pick elements of B as above. But there are only finitely many such, a contradiction.
- picture 1 In Section 4 we worked out a fairly detailed picture of linear *H*-systems. To round out the picture presented by Theorem 5, we mention the following consequence of..... The skew diagram L-M is the shaded region in the picture below.
 - 2 [see also: represent] This is easy to picture geometrically because.....
- piece 1 We now start piling the pieces on top of each other. One more piece of notation: throughout the paper we write..... for.....
 2 [see also: combine, gather] The liftings on A and B agree on A∩B, hence we can piece them

together to obtain..... • Piecing the above observations together, we can now show that.....

place 1 [see also: location, position, replace, instead]where 1 appears in $\langle at \rangle$ the *n*th place • Any vector with three or fewer 1s in the last twelve places has at least eight 1s in all. • Values computed for the right side of (2) were rounded up in the fourth decimal place. • We tabulate the outcome for $n \ge 10$; in particular, the column headed *R* lists R(n) truncated to three decimal places. • Apply this to *f* in place of *g* to obtain..... • Then the conclusion holds with 'P-cell' in place of 'cell'. • All of the action in creating S_{i+1} takes place in the individual cells of type 2 or 3. • Moreover, one has estimates on the rate at which this convergence is taking place.

2 [see also: put, insert, impose] Some restrictions must be placed on the behaviour of f. • To place Theorem 1 in context, consider two real vector fields..... • In this section we gather some miscellaneous results that are more or less standard. These will be used to calculate the constants c_{α} , and we place them here to avoid interrupting the forthcoming arguments.

- **plain** [see also: clear, obvious, evident, apparent] But this last assertion follows from Corollary 2, it being plain that..... [= because it is plain]
- **plausible** [= very likely; *see also*: possible] It seems plausible that..... but we have been able to establish this only in certain cases.
- play 1 Bruck's theorem on common fixed points for commuting nonexpansive mappings is then brought into play by noting that..... •

2 It turns out that these properties play no role in the proof. • In closing this section we take up a result which will play a pivotal role in the characterization of..... • Translations have already played an important part in our study of Fourier transforms.

- **plentiful** [see also: numerous, abound, profusion, variety, abundance] On the other hand, if d is a square, then Lucas primes appear to be plentiful.
- plug [see also: insert, put] After plugging this estimate into (2), we conclude that.....
- **plus** Thus A is the union of B plus an at most countable set. Therefore F is at most a multiple of G plus a contribution from.....

point 1 [see also: issue, subject, matter, thing, topic, detail, aspect, feature, element, characteristic, ingredient, essence, core] The preceding observation, when looked at from a more general point of view, leads to..... • It is this point of view which is close to that used in C*-algebras.
• The point of the lemma is that it allows one to..... • The point is that the operator is now much easier to analyse than is the case in the original setting of the space B. • An important point is that..... • The only point remaining concerns the behaviour of..... • In [2], this theorem is made the starting point of Gelfand theory. • See [KT] for discussion of this technical point. • We shall not pursue this point here. • We would like to know..... but that is beyond our reach at this point. • At this point, the reader is urged to review the definitions of..... • Perhaps it is appropriate at this point to note that a representing measure is countably additive if and only if.... • The arguments from this point up to Theorem 2 do not depend on.....

2 The vector v points in the negative direction (points north) for x < 0. • The vector points outwards from M. • It is sufficient to prove that this vector field points outwards on ∂M . • Here M is a unit vector normal to ∂M pointing into M. • the inward pointing unit normal to ∂M • All the evidence points to the validity of the conjecture. • Hochberg pointed to the need for procedures that are more powerful than classical comparison methods. • One unusual feature of the solution should be pointed out. • However, as pointed out right after (5),.....

- **pose** [see also: present, raise] We now pose a problem whose solution will afford an illustration of how the Plancherel theorem can be used. The factor Gf poses no problem because..... [Do not write: "We pose $f = \dots$ " if you mean: We set $\langle define \rangle f = \dots$]
- **position** [see also: location, place] Then K appears in the last position in the list. The vector v has at least n ones in its last m positions. This is the same as asking which row vectors in R have differing entries at positions i and j. We are now in a position to prove our main result. [Better: We can now prove] This puts us in a position to apply Lemma 2 to deduce that..... After receiving his PhD he took a position at the University of Texas.
- **positive** [see also: affirmative] This question was answered negatively in [5]. However, on the positive side, Davies [5] proved that..... By allowing f to have both positive and negative coefficients, we obtain..... Here u^+ and u^- are the positive and the negative parts of u, as defined in Section 5. We show that nevertheless a positive proportion of the polynomials $B_n(x)$ satisfy Eisenstein's criterion. However, F is only nonnegative rather than strictly positive, as one may have expected. Let Q denote the set of positive definite forms (including imprimitive ones, if there are any). •
- **positively** What is F(c) if c is a positively oriented circle?
- **possession** [see also: have, presence] Hence, the possession of Willard terms implies X = A.
- **possibility** [see also: case] The possibility $A = \emptyset$ is not excluded. The only possibility for G not to be 1-1 is that there exists..... The remaining possibility is that v is labelled 2. These two possibilities cannot arise simultaneously. However, this still left open the possibility that the converse of Theorem 3 held for m = 3. The reader may wonder why we have apparently ignored the possibility of obtaining a better lower bound by considering..... [Not: "the possibility to obtain"]
- **possible** [see also: feasible, likely, plausible, enable] It is not generally possible to restrict f to the class D. It is possible for the hull of J to have exactly two points. Is it possible to have m(E) < 1 for such a set? the shortest possible way the maximum possible density This

result is best possible. [Or: the best possible] • The above bound on a_n is close to best possible. • We shall try to give it the simplest representation possible. • It seems preferable, for clarity's sake, not to present the construction at the outset in the greatest generality possible. • Every possible such sequence gives rise to..... • Then, for any two fixed points that Wagner's method does not find to be equivalent, he considers the possible lengths of potential solutions to (1). • We wish to arrange that f be as smooth as possible. • For this purpose, it is necessary to understand the mapping properties of B on as large a function space as possible. • We follow where possible the argument of Lang [9]. • Also, wherever possible, we work with integer coefficients, enabling us to obtain information about torsion. • This makes possible the proof of..... • This makes it possible to show that..... [Note that the *it* is necessary here.]

- **possibly** $[\neq$ eventually; see also: perhaps, conceivably] The only points (z, w) at which the continuity of g is possibly in doubt have z = 0. Clearly, F is invertible except possibly on an at most countable set. We define a (possibly unbounded) operator A by..... Although individually these systems can still be quite complicated, a possibly more tractable task is to describe their possible joint distributions. This yields (5) again (possibly with a different C). • We say that L is finitely aligned if A(L) is finite (possibly empty).
- **postpone** [see also: defer] We postpone the proof until Section 2. The first 15 chapters should be taken up in the order in which they are presented, except for Chapter 9, which may be postponed.
- **potential** Then, for any two fixed points that Wagner's method does not find to be equivalent, he considers the possible lengths of potential solutions to (1).
- **power** an element of prime power order $\langle \text{of order a power of } p \rangle \bullet \text{Raising this to the } p \text{th power}$ [= to the power p], we obtain..... • Every prime in the factorization appears to an even power.
- **practically** [see also: almost, nearly] However, in many applications the existence of such an R is practically impossible to verify.
- **practice** In addition to illustrating how our formulas work in practice, it provides a counterexample to Brown's conjecture. In practice, D is usually too large a set to work with. [In British English, *practice* is a noun, and *practise* is a verb; in American English, both spellings are used for both noun and verb forms.]
- **preassign** [see also: prescribe, give] Runge's theorem will now be used to prove that meromorphic functions can be constructed with arbitrarily preassigned poles.
- **precede** [see also: above, foregoing, previous] Let the notation be as in the preceding paragraph. [Not: "preceeding"] • The preceding observation, when looked at from a more general point of view, leads to..... • If A = B, how does the situation differ from the preceding one? • Indeed, by the preceding, we know that.....
- precise [adjective, not verb; see also: accurate, rigorous, concrete, specific, very] This is made more precise by the following definition. [Not: "This is precised"] It is important that the orders of F and G are comparable, a statement made more precise by the following lemma. To be precise, A is only left-continuous at 0. Put this way, the question is not precise enough.
 Actually, the proof gives an even more precise conclusion:.....

precisely [see also: exactly, specifically] We do not exclude the possibility that A consists

of precisely the polynomials. • The resulting metric space consists precisely of the Lebesgue integrable functions, provided we identify any two that are equal almost everywhere. • Precisely r of the intervals A_i are closed. • Thus A and B are at distance precisely d. • We have d(f,g) = 0 precisely when f = g a.e. • Important analytic differences appear when one writes down precisely what is meant by..... • More precisely, f is just separately continuous.

- precision This lends precision to an old assertion of Dini:.....
- **preclude** [see also: prevent, rule out] Here, continuity precludes the existence of singularities.
- **predict** It is impossible to predict the eventual outcome of the process. The following example shows that the degree of smoothness predicted by Theorem 6 cannot be improved on.
- **predictable** We shall need ways of constructing new triangulations from old ones which alter the *f*-vector in a predictable fashion.
- **prefer** [sth to sth] The reason for preferring (1) to (2) is simply that (1) is manifestly invariant. [Note the double r in *preferring*.] • However, we prefer to avoid this issue altogether by neglecting the contribution of B to S.
- **preferable** It seems preferable, for clarity's sake, not to present the construction at the outset in the greatest generality possible.
- **preliminary** Following these preliminary remarks, we now state..... We give the important preliminaries from descriptive set theory in Section 2.
- **preparatory** Section 2 contains some specific preparatory material, notably a brief discussion of the category of virtual vector bundles.
- **prerequisite** The prerequisite for this book is a good course in advanced calculus.
- **prescribe** [see also: preassign, give] The Taylor expansion of f at 0 can be prescribed up to any finite order. In fact, we can do even better, and prescribe finitely many derivatives at each point of A.
- **presence** [see also: existence, possession] Unfortunately, because of the possible presence of 'cusps', this need not be true. The main difference from the case of finite coding trees is the presence of limits. The presence here of the direct summand H is simply to prevent A from having disconnected spectrum. Unfortunately, the details of the calculations were omitted, and there is some doubt on whether the result is correct since our analysis suggests that P_2 must vanish to third order; the presence of L^{-2} is also suspect. Sarkar discussed multiple testing in the presence of positive dependence.
- **present** 1 Note that any Euclidean space satisfies the present hypothesis. The present paper owes a great debt to Strang's work. At present, we merely show how this extremal problem can be used to characterize..... Inserting additional edges destroys no edges that were already present. In the function field case the poles at s = 0 and 1 are still present.
 - 2 [see also: lay out, set out, represent] Pointwise convergence presents a more delicate problem.
 The analogue of Theorem 1 presents no difficulty. Proposition 2 presents examples of..... Here we present another homomorphism that is of type MN. To round out the picture presented by Theorem 5, we mention the following consequence of..... Since most of the results presented are quite classical (the novelty lies in the arrangement, and some of the proofs are new), I have not attempted to document the source of every item.

- presentation [see also: exposition] The author thanks the referee for his helpful suggestions concerning the presentation of this paper. Our presentation is therefore organized in such a way that the analogies between the concepts of topological space and continuous function, on the one hand, and of measurable space and measurable function, on the other, are strongly emphasized.
 We note that the assumption of GCH is made for convenience and ease of presentation. With the exception noted below, we follow Stanley's presentation [3, Sec. 2].
- **preserve** [see also: keep, maintain] The properties of A are preserved in the passage from V to C(V). an orientation preserving homeomorphism These are precisely the linear functionals which also preserve multiplication, i.e., f(ab) = f(a)f(b).
- **presume** [see also: assume] We presume a basic knowledge of large cardinals and forcing.
- **prevent** [see also: preclude, rule out, obstacle, obstruction] The local homeomorphism property of F does not, however, prevent it from self-intersections. The presence here of the direct summand H is simply to prevent A from having disconnected spectrum.
- **previous** [see also: foregoing, above] in the previous chapter [= in the immediately preceding one] • in a previous chapter [= in an earlier chapter] • This is in agreement with our previous notation. • In this chapter we shall depart from the previous notation and use the letter m not for Lebesgue measure, but for Lebesgue measure divided by $(2\pi)^{1/2}$. • Analysis of the proofs of these previous results shows that..... • We now apply the previous observation to estimate F. • The primary advance is to weaken the assumption that H is C^2 , used by previous authors, to the natural condition that H is C^1 .
- previously [see also: above, before] Here Ff is the previously defined Fourier transform of f.
 Our result extends previously known results for nilpotent groups.
 In our next theorem, we state a characterization of.... which does not seem to have been noticed previously.
- **price** [see also: cost, expense] We can make g Lipschitz at the price of weakening condition (i).
- **primarily** [see also: mainly, mostly, largely, part] The difference between these maps is primarily in their kneading sequences.
- **primary** [see also: crucial, key, main, major, principal, basic, elementary] a matter of primary importance the primary purpose
- **prime**where the prime means that..... \bullet , the prime denoting the omission of the zero term. \bullet the set of all primes not exceeding k
- **principal** [see also: crucial, key, main, primary] Our principal tools in the proof are..... The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4, with two principal modifications.
- **prior** Indeed, to our knowledge, cardinality restrictions on Berg spaces have received no prior attention outside the metric context.
- **priority** With no parentheses, \lor and \land take priority over the group operation.
- **probability** Define a_k to be the probability that exactly k out of the 2n values X_i exceed T, conditional on $X_0 > T$.
- **probably** There are probably many injective E-modules that are p-torsion for all n. The following proposition is probably well known, but we do not have a reference.

- **problem** [see also: complication, trouble, issue, subject, matter, topic, point] The main problems that we address are..... The problem one runs into, however, is that f need not be..... The problem is to move all the discs to the third peg by moving only one at a time. However, even for k = 1 the problem proved quite difficult. However, we immediately encounter the problem of nonregularity of the data. In the final section of the paper, we list some open problems. We close this paper by offering some questions and problems for further research. To overcome this problem, we revise our definition of a branch. We can now pose a problem whose solution will afford an illustration of how (5) can be used. The factor Gf poses no problem because..... Pointwise convergence presents a more delicate problem.
- procedure [see also: approach, method, technique, way, scheme, line] This procedure can be extended to take care of any number of terms.
 This procedure, once implemented, can thereafter be applied with great effectiveness.
 Our procedure will be to find.....
 Repeating this procedure enough times gives the desired triangulation.
 Hochberg pointed to the need for procedures that are more powerful than classical comparison methods.
- proceed [see also: act, operate, continue, pursue, move, turn] The proof proceeds along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 4. The standard proofs proceed via the Cauchy formula.
 We now proceed to matrix rings. In Section 3 we proceed with the study of..... [= start or continue the study] We shall proceed without making explicit distinctions between the two types of convergence. Proceeding further in this direction, we obtain the following corollary. Proceeding as in the proof of....., let..... Before proceeding we record an inequality for the size of an admissible X.
- process [see also: course] Suppose that the process continues indefinitely. Continuing this process, we get..... This process can be repeated until we obtain the promised triangulation.
 It is impossible to predict the eventual outcome of the process. In the process of replacing each A by a smaller set, we cannot make B so small that m(B) < 1.
- **produce** [see also: give, furnish, provide, present, yield, construct, create] By induction, this process produces a sequence (x_n) such that..... This result shows that the mere existence of a nontrivial automorphism j of M produces the cut I(j) of M that satisfies (2). The main new feature is the use of the face ring to produce lower bounds for the number of vertices. Roughly speaking, we shall produce a synthesis of index theory with Fourier analysis. This metric produces the usual topology of X. Here, of course, the set A produced is rather thin and certainly nowhere near the densities we are looking for.
- **product** We can factor g into a product of irreducible elements. Note that the apparently [= seemingly] infinite product in the denominator is in fact finite. Then P is the product of several integer factors of about x^n in size. We need to check that F-derivatives behave in the way we expect with regard to sums, scalar multiples and products. A different notation is used because the usual tensor product symbol is reserved for the tensor product of A-bimodules. Then B(E) is a unital Banach algebra with product the composition of operators.
- **professor** In 1935 he was promoted to the rank of associate professor at Cornell. He is currently Professor of Mathematics at Texas State University. • He spent six months as a visiting professor at Brown University.
- **profound** If nothing else, I hope to convince my readers that Segal's theorem deserves recognition as a profound contribution to Gaussian analysis.

- **profusion** [see also: abundance, abound, numerous, plentiful, variety] We now show that BL-algebras exist in great profusion.
- progress [see also: improvement] Recently, progress has been made in this case by Barnes (1999). work in progress considerable (encouraging/remarkable/significant/substantial/ impressive/further) progress
- **project 1** This is part of a larger project to study the Galois groups of periodic points of arbitrary polynomial maps.

2 The measure m must project onto m_i under P.

- **promise** We now fulfil the promise made at the start of the proof by handling the case of $G = S_n$. • This process can be repeated until we obtain the promised triangulation.
- **prompt** [see also: motivate] This choice was prompted by substantial numerical evidence. This observation prompted the author to look for a more constructive solution.
- proof [see also: evidence, verification, check] Here is a simple direct proof. The others being obvious, only (iv) needs proof. The proof will only be indicated briefly. We can assume that p is as close to q as is necessary for the following proof to work. The proof follows very closely the proof of (2), except for the appearance of the factor x². The proof proper [= The actual proof] will consist of establishing the following statements in sequence. The standard proofs proceed via the Cauchy formula. An ingenious alternative proof, shorter but still complicated, can be found in [MR]. Kim announces that (by a tedious proof) the upper bound can be reduced to 10. The following has an almost identical proof to that of Lemma 2. A close inspection of the proof reveals that..... This finishes (completes) the proof. The method of proof carries over to domains satisfying..... This sort of proof will recur frequently in what follows. We end this section by stating without proof an analogue of..... It seems reasonable to expect that....., but we have no proof of this. a laborious (complicated/routine/straightforward) proof
- **proper** The proof proper [= The actual proof] will consist of establishing the following statements in sequence.
- **properly** [see also: strictly] Let K and L be quasivarieties such that K is properly included in L.
- **property** [see also: characteristic, feature] Let f be a map with f|M having the Mittag-Leffler property. • Then F has the property that..... • the space of all functions with the property that..... • Now F has the additional property of being convex. • We have to show that the property of there being x and y such that x < y uniquely determines P up to isomorphism. • The operators A_n have still better smoothness properties. • Consequently, F has the Δ_2 property. [= F has property Δ_2] • Among all X with fixed L^2 norm, the extremal properties are achieved by multiples of U. • However, not every ring enjoys the stronger property of being bounded. • On the other hand, as yet, we have not taken advantage of the basic property enjoyed by S: it is a simplex. • Certain other classes share this property. • This property is characteristic of holomorphic functions with..... • The structure of a Banach algebra is frequently reflected in the growth properties of its analytic semigroups. • It has some basic properties in common with another most important class of functions, namely, the continuous ones. • The space X does not have \langle fails to have \rangle the Radon-Nikodym property. • Only for very heavy-tailed data is this property violated. [Note the inversion.]

- **proportion** [see also: fraction, ratio] All sides were increased by the same proportion. The proportion of M with $h_M = 1$ is between 70% and 80%. We show that nevertheless a positive proportion of the polynomials $B_n(x)$ satisfy Eisenstein's criterion. The proportion of men to women in the population has changed in recent years. The chart shows government spending expressed as a proportion of national income.
- propose [see also: suggest] He was the first to propose a complete theory of triple intersections.
 A model for analysing rank data obtained from several observers is proposed.
 Unfortunately, the proposed model does not satisfy condition (5).
- **proposition** It is this proposition that we believe to be false in Morava E-theory.
- **prove** [see also: show, establish, demonstrate, evidence, substantiate, validate] The theorem to be proved is the following. [= which will be proved] The uniqueness of f is easily proved, since..... If n = 1, there is nothing to prove. However, this cannot be proved of the cardinal function d(X). Much to our surprise, even for k = 1 the problem proved quite difficult. This result will prove extremely useful in Section 2. The conjecture will be disproved by exhibiting.....
- provide [see also: give, afford, furnish, offer, produce, supply, yield] Our last example was kindly provided by B. Johnson. This itself does not produce a solution of (1), but an additional hypothesis such as the Palais-Smale condition does provide such a solution. This provides an effective means for computing the index. In addition to illustrating how our formulas work in practice, it provides a counterexample to Brown's conjecture. The method falls short of providing an explicit formula for the index. This will provide us with a way of getting some information about..... without relying on general theory.
- **provided** [= providing; see also: if] This equation has a solution in integers provided that N > 7. The resulting metric space consists precisely of the Lebesgue integrable functions, provided we identify any two that are equal almost everywhere.
- **provisional** [see also: temporary, ad hoc] We make the following provisional definition, which is neither general nor particularly elegant, but is convenient for the induction which is to follow.
- publish Only a few of those results have been published before.
- **purpose** [see also: aim, end, object, objective, intention] Let us now take a quick look at the class N, with the purpose of determining how much of Theorems 1 and 2 is true here. For this purpose, we first define..... For our purposes here, the best way is to base the proof on the following theorem, a derivation of which can be found in [P]. The purpose of this paper is to expand substantially the class of maps for which the index can be computed. A detailed exposition, more suited to the purposes of the present article, is given in [9]. The motivation for the results of this section is the following result of John (paraphrased slightly to suit our purposes).
- **pursue** [see also: proceed, go on, continue] We shall pursue our investigation of conservation laws in Section 5. This question is pursued further in Section 3. While we suspect that the methods of the present section can be brought to bear on this question also, we shall not pursue this analysis in detail here.
- **push** This assumption enables us to push through the same arguments.

put [see also: insert, plug, set] Put a subset U of j(X) in T if its inverse image under j is an open subset of X. • Put a taxicab metric on S_k . • The map F can be put into this form by setting..... • Put this way, the question is not precise enough. • This puts a completely different perspective on Fox's results. • This puts us in a position to apply Lemma 2 to deduce that..... • We put off discussing this problem to Section 5. • Putting these results together, we obtain the following general statement.....

Q

- **quality** To assess the quality of this lower bound, we consider the following special case.
- quantitative Theorem 7 imposes a quantitative restriction on the location of the zeros of.....
- **quantity** Theorem 1 relates the quantity a(n) to the quantity b(n). A natural question to ask is how the quantities A(S,T) and B(S,T) vary as S and T change. The quantities F and G differ by an arbitrarily small amount. Generally we add a tilde to distinguish between quantities associated with \tilde{G} and those associated with G.
- question [see also: problem, issue, matter, subject, topic, point] An obvious question to ask is whether Theorem 1 continues to hold for..... • A natural question is how sharp the bounds given in Theorem 6 are. • The question we shall be concerned with is whether or not f is..... • The question naturally arises whether this representation is unique. • Here the interesting questions are not about individual examples, but about the asymptotic behaviour of the set of examples as one or another of the invariants (such as the genus) goes to infinity. • The question of whether B is ever strictly larger than A remains open. [Or: The question whether] \bullet Incidentally, the question of whether K(E) is amenable for specific Banach spaces E seems to have received almost no attention in the literature. • The question as to how often we should expect the class number to be divisible by p is also of some interest. • Put this way, the question is not precise enough. • This question was answered negatively in [5]. • The two questions listed below remain unanswered. • This brings about the natural question of whether or not there is any topology on the set of all possible itineraries. • The lemma raises an interesting question:..... • This suggests a question: under what conditions is it true that.....? • We close this paper by offering some questions and problems for further research. • It is generally a highly nontrivial question whether..... \bullet When A is commutative, the answer to both questions is 'yes'. \bullet The continuum in question is then called arc-like.
- **quickly** With c = 4, it is quickly seen that R(q) decreases.
- quite [see also: fairly, somewhat, rather] There is quite an extensive literature concerning resonance problems, beginning with the work of Lazer. The two characteristics are connected, but the relationship is quite a complex one. There are quite a number of cases, but they can be described reasonably systematically. This is essentially implicit in [BC] but we cannot quite quote the result we need. For binary strings, the algorithm does not do quite as well.
- quote [= repeat exact words; see also: cite] We begin by describing the class of functions f considered, which includes the special cases quoted above. We quote for future reference another result of Fox: there exists..... In Section 2 the reader will be reminded of some important properties of Bernoulli numbers, and some auxiliary results will be quoted or derived. This is essentially implicit in [BC] but we cannot quite quote the result we need.

114

radius [pl. radii] a ball of radius r about the origin

- **raise** [see also: increase] This raises the following question. [Not: "rises"] In [K] we raised the question of recovering X from X_M . Raise both sides to the power p to obtain..... The maximum velocity was raised to V.
- **random** The random variable X has the Poisson distribution with mean v. In this and the other theorems of this section, the X_n are any independent random variables with a common distribution. To calculate (2), it helps to visualize the S_n as the successive positions in a random walk. The proof shows that if the points are drawn at random from the uniform distribution, most choices satisfy the required bound.
- **range 1** [see also: scope, extent, reach] Theorem 2 still holds for A(x) provided that k is restricted to the range [0,1]. for p in the range 1 for k in the indicated range We also show the existence of E-transformations exhibiting nearly the full range of behaviours possible for scaling transformations.

2 [see also: vary]where h ranges over the set of elements having..... • The elements of G, numbering 122 in all, range from 9 to 2000.

- rank a rank one operator an operator of finite rank = a finite rank operator
- **rapidly** [see also: fast] In the study of infinite series $\sum a_n$ it is of significance whether the a_n approach zero rapidly. a rapidly decreasing function
- **rare** [see also: unusual] Conditions relating to bounds on the eigenvalues appear to be rare in the literature. \bullet Indeed, as n increases, it becomes increasingly rare for a manifold to be a hyperplane section of another projective manifold.
- **rarely** While C_p can be a Baire space, it rarely has the stronger completeness properties mentioned above. Berg spaces have been rarely considered outside the metric context.
- **rarity** We also need the following technical lemma, which asserts the rarity of numbers with an inordinately large number of prime factors.
- **rate** These estimates only require that f have a certain polynomial rate of decay at infinity. • We give a fairly simple description of a wide class of averaging operators for which this rate of growth can be seen to be necessary. • Moreover, one has estimates on the rate at which this convergence is taking place. • the growth rate of V^n as $n \to \infty$
- **rather** [see also: fairly, somewhat, quite, instead] However, F is only nonnegative rather than strictly positive, as one may have expected. • In fact, we shall prove our result under the weaker hypothesis that W is weakly bounded, rather than just bounded, on an infinite subset of G. • The definition is stated in terms of local martingales, rather than martingales, for the technical reason that the former are easier to characterize in applications. • It seems that the relations between these concepts emerge most clearly when the setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to the subject. • Rather than working directly with V(s), we shall instead consider the following two general integrals:..... [Or: Rather than work] • Rather than discuss this in full generality, let us look at a particular situation of this kind. • The proof is rather cumbersome. • This may appear rather wasteful, especially when n is close to m, but these terms only give a small contribution to our sum. • For explicit

solutions, it may be necessary to have rather precise information about the amplitude ϕ . • We first prove the (rather simpler) Theorem 7, by effecting a quite general reduction of the problem to the study of certain isotropy factors. • A further complication arises from 'BP', which works rather differently from the other labels.

- **ratio** [see also: proportion] The female/male ratio in the sample was 19 to 1. the ratio of P to Q the ratio between Haar measures on G and H in the ratio of approximately 3 : 1
- **reach 1** We would like to know..... but that is beyond our reach at this point. It seems that the solution of Problem 1 is still out of reach.
 - **2** [see also: achieve, attain, arrive at] The point A can be reached from B by moving along an edge of G. For example, F reaches a relative maximum of 5.2 at about x = 2.1. The iterates eventually reach the value 1.
- **read** Equation (2) now reads $Ax = \dots$ The author thanks H. Miller for a careful reading of an earlier draft. The string N (read from right to left) starts with..... Then G is simply g with its periodic string read backwards. This can be read off from (8). We do this in the first section, which the reader may skip on a first reading.
- readability We have made modifications in the interest of readability.
- **readable** A very readable account of the theory has been given by Zagier [3].
- **reader** We leave the details to the reader. We leave it to the reader to verify that..... [Note that the *it* is necessary here.] For more details we refer the reader to [4]. If nothing else, I hope to convince my readers that Segal's theorem deserves recognition as a profound contribution to Gaussian analysis. It may be worth reminding the reader that..... The reader is cautioned that our notation is in conflict with that of [3]. The reader is assumed to be familiar with elementary *K*-theory. The interested reader is referred to [4] for further information. [Note the double *r* in *referred.*] At this point, the reader is urged to review the definitions of..... The reader, we repeat the main points. [*Or*: For the reader's convenience]
- **readily** [= without difficulty] We have shown that...., whence it is readily inferred that.... One of the appealing aspects of the spectral set γ is that it readily lends itself to explicit computation.
- **ready** [see also: position] We are now ready to proceed to the final stage of our construction.
- **realize** [see also: know, recognize, understand, grasp, aware] The theorem gains in interest if we realize that..... This has deeper significance than one might first realize. The geodesics (8) are the only ones that realize the distance between their endpoints. In the remainder of this section, we study some properties of K, with the eventual aim (not realized yet) of describing K directly using G.
- **really** [see also: actually, fact] We did not really have to use the existence of T. Of course, it is tacitly understood that it is this measure that is really under discussion. Each x here really designates the pair (x, Ax).
- rearrange Rearranging terms we obtain the inequality.....

rearrangement The obvious rearrangement reveals the right side to be identical with (8).

reason 1 [see also: explain, justify, ground] This argument is invalid for several reasons. • It is for this reason that his argument is incorrect. • The definition is stated in terms of local martingales, rather than martingales, for the technical reason that the former are easier to characterize in applications. • This is the reason for calling f the derivative of g. • One reason for using this rather elaborate model is that it permits a simple and concrete definition of the realization. • A drawback to Pólya trees, and perhaps the main reason why they have not seen much application within the Bayesian nonparametric literature, is that an arbitrary partition tree needs to be specified. • The reason that this is significant is that..... • Indeed, there is reason to suspect that difficulties could increase with increasing n. • There is no reason to expect this to be an inverse map on K, but we do have the following.

2 [see also: argue, proceed] To see this, we reason as follows. • The simplest way is to reason by induction on n.

- **reasonable** [see also: mild, moderate] It is therefore reasonable that the behaviour of p should in some rough sense approximate the behaviour of q. • Thus it is reasonable to attempt, using this homeomorphism, to gain an understanding of the structure of M. • It seems reasonable to expect that....., but we have no proof of this. • If the boundary is never hit then x_t is a Feller process under reasonable continuity assumptions.
- **reasonably** [see also: fairly] Our asymptotic results compare reasonably well with the numerical results reported in [8]. There are quite a number of cases, but they can be described reasonably systematically.
- reasoning [see also: argument] By the same kind of reasoning it suffices to consider..... Our method of proof will be an adaptation of the reasoning used on pp. 71–72 of [3]. The same line of reasoning applies in the continuous time setting. We could have adopted an approach to proving Theorem 2 along a line of reasoning which bears greater resemblance to the treatment of the analogous result in Section 1. To recover Wiener's famous result that Brownian paths are continuous, one needs to use more sophisticated reasoning.
- **reassemble** [= put together again] The diagram of L + M is obtained by taking the rows of the diagrams of L and M and reassembling them in order of decreasing length.
- **recall** [see also: remind, remember] We recall what this means. [Not: "We remind"] \bullet Recall the definition of T from Section 3.
- **receive** [see also: get, obtain] He received his master and Ph.D. degrees from the University of Texas. Incidentally, the question of whether K(E) is amenable for specific Banach spaces E seems to have received almost no attention in the literature. Indeed, to our knowledge, cardinality restrictions on Berg spaces have received no prior attention outside the metric context.
- **recent** For a recent account we refer to [4]. However, the connection with Gromov's work has been obscured in recent years by an emphasis (in the algebraic topology literature) on configuration spaces.
- recently This subject has recently been extensively studied. There has recently been increasing interest in the theory of..... The author's interest in this problem was recently rekindled by a conversation with David Lees. This method is recently less and less used. There are, however, a few important papers of which we were unaware until fairly recently. Very recently, Heck introduced a general approach that unifies and extends all these results.

- **recognition** The name of Harald Bohr is attached to bG in recognition of his work on almost periodic functions. If nothing else, I hope to convince my readers that Segal's theorem deserves recognition as a profound contribution to Gaussian analysis.
- **recognize** [see also: identify, know, find, establish, realize, grasp, understand, admit, acknowledge] It is important to recognize that there is a significant subclass of vector fields for which the ambiguity alluded to above disappears. • It is obvious that the above theorem supplies an algorithm to effectively recognize whether SP is in A. • Because N. Wiener is recognized as the first to have constructed such a measure, the measure is often called the Wiener measure. • a method for recognizing pure injective modules
- **recommend** [see also: suggest] The author thanks the referee for recommending various improvements in exposition.
- **record** Before proceeding we record an inequality for the size of an admissible X. For later use, we record the following formulas:..... For future reference, we record this in the following corollary. Standard Banach space notation is used throughout. For clarity, however, we record the notation that is used most heavily.
- **recourse** [see also: appeal, invoke, refer] Let us now prove directly (without recourse to [5]) that..... This case can in fact be treated without recourse to the methods of that paper.
- **recover** [see also: retrieve] If we know a covering space E of X then not only do we know that...., but we can also recover X (up to homeomorphism) as E/G. • Thus F can be recovered from X^kF by k-fold integration. • Replacing f by log f, we recover the theorem of [6]. • This is nearly the same as formula (6) of [7], which we can recover by multiplying (2.3) by F(s). • To recover Wiener's famous result that Brownian paths are continuous, one needs to use more sophisticated reasoning.
- recur This sort of proof will recur frequently in what follows.
- **rederive** The inequality (2.4) is essentially contained in [LS] but will be rederived in Corollary 5 below.
- **reduce** [see also: decrease, diminish, lower, cut down] We claim that, by setting w to zero on this interval, the value of F(w) is reduced. Kim announces that (by a tedious proof) the upper bound can be reduced to 10. The length of F is thus reduced by half. By induction, we are reduced to proving the following lemma. The problem now reduces to establishing that..... We first prove a reduced form of the theorem.
- reduction A small percentage reduction in the cost of materials resulted in a significant increase in profit. • We first prove the (rather simpler) Theorem 7, by effecting a quite general reduction of the problem to the study of certain isotropy factors.
- **redundant** [see also: superfluous, unnecessary, drop, omit] Note that no boundedness assumption is made in this definition; in fact, this would be redundant as shown by Theorem 3 below.
- **refer** [see also: mention, allude, touch, appeal, invoke, recourse] For more details we refer the reader to [4]. We refer the reader to the body of the paper for details. For a comprehensive treatment and for references to the extensive literature on the subject one may refer to the book [M] by Markov. The interested reader is referred to [4] for further information. [Note the double r in referred.] In the physical context already referred to, K is the density of.....

• This theorem will hereafter be referred to as the minimum principle. • We refer to these as homogeneous Sobolev spaces. • We shall also refer to a point as backward nonsingular, with the obvious analogous meaning. • In the following, all topological notions refer to the weak topology of Y. • To be precise, refer to the notation in Theorem 1 and define.....

referee 1 The author thanks the referee for his helpful suggestions concerning the presentation of this paper. • The author thanks the referee for recommending various improvements in exposition. • We are grateful to the referee for a number of helpful suggestions for improvement in the article. • The referee deserves thanks for careful reading and many useful comments. • At the suggestion of the referee, we consider some simple cases.

2 While the first version was being referred, I found that Zhang [2] had given a similar treatment of $E_n(X)$.

- **reference** Let us note (for later reference) that..... We quote for future reference another result of Fox: there exists..... For these considerations it will be convenient to state beforehand, for easy reference, the following variant of..... We have thus proved the theorem without any reference to integration. If G is clear from context, then we suppress reference to it in the notation. The best general reference $\langle An | excellent recent reference \rangle$ for..... is [5]. The following proposition is probably well known, but we do not have a reference. At the time of writing [5], I was not aware of this reference. Where it is possible, we outline the proofs so that the reader will not have to hunt for obscure references. For a comprehensive treatment and for references to the extensive literature on the subject one may refer to the book [M] by Markov.
- refine [see also: improve, sharpen, strengthen, progress, sophisticated] In that case, we may refine Lemma 3 to the following more precise statement. Slightly refining a result of [8], Davenport proved...... a succession of more and more refined discrete models
- **refinement** [*see also*: improvement, strengthen] For later use, we prove a mild refinement of this latter characterization.
- **reflect** [see also: represent, reveal, show, indicate] The structure of a Banach algebra is frequently reflected in the growth properties of its analytic semigroups. • Strictly speaking, we should write something like a(l, m, n) to reflect the dependence; we shall rely upon context instead. • In particular, integral curves evolve continuously, and we should seek to represent them using a measure which reflects this continuity in some way. • If s_0 lies below R_{-2} , then we can reflect about the real axis and appeal to the case just considered.
- reflection A little reflection on the definitions makes it clear that.....
- **reformulate** [*see also*: rephrase, restate, rewrite] This can be easily reformulated in purely geometric terms.
- reformulation A similar reformulation can be made for.....
- **refute** [= prove that sth is incorrect] However, this argument can be easily refuted by showing that.....
- regard 1 [see also: respect] We need to check that F-derivatives behave in the way we expect with regard to sums, scalar multiples and products. See Section 3 in this regard.
 2 [see also: consider, concern, view] We can regard (8) as an equation for μ. Regarded as the

intersection of two quadrics, E represents..... • We also discuss some intriguing open questions regarding triply periodic surfaces. • Regarding (8) [= Concerning (8)], we have.....

- **regardless** [of sth; *see also*: irrespective] This works regardless of whether B is true or false. Their result gives no information when k is large, whereas (5) is significant regardless of the size of k.
- **relabel** [see also: rename] Next we relabel the collection $\{A_n, B_n\}$ as $\{C_n\}$. Then we can find a subsequence (not relabelled) such that $a_n < 1$ for all n.
- **relate** [see also: concern, connect, link, tie, associate, pertain, relevant] We describe how the notion of positivity relates to the other properties. Conditions relating to bounds on the eigenvalues appear to be rare in the literature. Theorem 1 relates the quantity a(n) to the quantity b(n). [Not: "with the quantity b(n)"] There are numerous results in the literature relating spectral conditions to invertibility of f. The following proposition relates the two definitions. There is a related result concerning primitivity. We first replace a(n) by the related and simpler function b(n), where..... Our results are closely related to those of Strang [5].
 - The above-mentioned measure is of course intimately related to the geometry of the real line.
 - How are these two optimality notions related? In general, they are not.
- **relation** [see also: connection, link, relationship] But there is a much more important relation between equivalent regions:..... • By carefully examining the relations between the quantities U_i , we see that..... • There is a fourth notion of phantom map which bears the same relation to the third definition as the first does to the second. • What relation exists between f and g?
- relationship [see also: connection, link, relation] On the way we analyze the relationship between..... The two characteristics are connected, but the relationship is quite a complex one.
 The aim of this article is to study the relationship between the size of A, as measured by its diameter, and the extent to which A fails to be convex.
- **relative** [see also: respect, compare] Then P is said to be elliptic relative to the action of G if..... [Not: "relatively to"] Then G is a subgroup of R (relative to addition). The pull-back of F is homotopic to G relative to the end-points. the complement of A relative to B Here A is small relative to B. [= in comparison with B]
- relax [see also: weaken] The idea is to relax the constraint of being a weight function in Theorem 3. The assumption that the test statistics are identically distributed can be relaxed without much difficulty.
- **relevance** [see also: role, importance, significance] What is the relevance of this example to Fatou's lemma? To understand the relevance of locality, note that it implies that.....
- relevant [to sth; see also: pertinent, connect, concern, pertain] We now turn to a brief discussion of another concept which is relevant to John's theorem.
 This comment is relevant in proving Theorem 1.
 For a list of relevant references, see [2]. [= references connected with the subject being considered]
 For relevant background material concerning random walks, see [2].
- **reliance** [see also: dependence] The proof is self-contained, without reliance on a computeralgebra system.
- **rely** [see also: base, depend] The proof is not direct, but relies on the results of [2]. We underline that the aforementioned results in [1] all rely on the conformality of the underlying

construction. • This will provide us with a way of getting some information about M without relying on general theory. • Strictly speaking, we should write something like a(l, m, n) to reflect the dependence; we shall rely upon context instead.

- **remain** [see also: stay, keep, continue, persist] Conjecture 2 of [KH], to the effect that [= meaning that] there is no relation P with E(P) = 1, still remains open. • The case where p > 1remains unresolved. • For k = 2 the count remains as is. • The two probabilities remain essentially what they were before. • The situations with domains other than sectors remain to be investigated. • It remains to exclude the case where..... • To prove (8), it only remains to verify that..... • Thus, all that remains is to repeat the construction for f in place of g. • There remains the second question. [But: It remains to consider the second question.] • It is possible that the methods of this paper could be used to....., but there remain considerable obstacles to overcome. • Let S_i be the first of the remaining S_j . • Half of the sets of the family R miss i and half the remaining miss j. • The remaining possibility is that v is labelled 2.
- **remainder** [see also: rest] The remainder of our work breaks into five steps. In the remainder of this section we shall be trying to answer the question:..... The map f is fixed for the remainder of the proof.
- remark 1 [see also: observation] However, the ambiguity alluded to in Remark 3 disappears when talking about an affine field. • The reader might want to compare this remark with [2, Cor. 3]. • First, some remarks concerning the term 'closure' are in order. • This is no coincidence, in the light of the remarks preceding Definition 2. • Following these preliminary remarks, we now state.....

2 [see also: note, observe, comment] It is perhaps worth remarking that..... • We remark at the outset that this formula makes sense, because..... • However, this argument is fallacious, because as remarked after Lemma 3,.....

- remarkable [see also: unusual] Their remarkable achievement seemed to validate John's claim.
 However, it soon turned out that..... The remarkable feature of this theorem is that..... •
 Theorem 3 is remarkable in that considerably fewer conditions than in the previous theorems ensure universality.
- **remarkably** In this paper we apply combinatorial group theory (sometimes in a remarkably elementary way) to prove a number of results in this direction.
- **remedy** In this case we need to take into account the difficulty with fitting a ball into a sector. The obvious remedy is to replace the ball by a suitable disc.
- **remember** [see also: recall, reminiscent, mind] To understand why, let us remember that..... Theorem 3 may be interpreted as saying that A = B, but it must then be remembered that.....
- remind [sb that sth; sb of sth; see also: recall] It may be worth reminding the reader that.....
 [Not: "reminding that"] The purpose of this section is to remind the reader of some of the results on the structure of..... In Section 2 the reader will be reminded of some important properties of Bernoulli numbers, and some auxiliary results will be quoted or derived.
- **reminiscent** [of sth; *see also*: similar] It has properties reminiscent of partition functions. Away from critical points, the action of G is reminiscent of the action of a cyclic group of order d.

removal Likewise, if A does not span C(I), removal of any of its elements will diminish the span.

- **remove** [see also: delete, drop, omit, dispense with] This theorem removes the restriction to convex regions which was imposed in Theorem 8. The theorem implies that some finite subcollection of the f_i can be removed without altering the span. Let D be the plane with three disjoint discs removed.
- **rename** [see also: relabel] Then we can find a subsequence (not renamed) such that $a_n < 1$ for all n.
- **renewal** This article features results in both spectral theory and operator ergodic theory made possible by a recent renewal of interest in the consequences of James's inequalities.
- **repeat** Thus, all that remains is to repeat the construction for f in place of g. Repeating this procedure enough times gives the desired triangulation. Note that some of the a_n may be repeated, in which case B has multiple zeros at those points. This process can be repeated until we obtain the promised triangulation. By repeated squaring to eliminate the radicals in this equality, we obtain.....
- **repeatedly** [see also: frequently, often] This property will be used repeatedly hereafter.
- repetition To avoid undue repetition in the statements of our theorems, we adopt the following convention.The proof is essentially a repetition of the arguments used to prove.....
- **rephrase** [see also: reformulate, restate, rewrite] As usual, we can rephrase the above result as a uniqueness theorem. Rephrased in the language of [HT], Proposition 2 says that..... A rephrasing of the definition is that.....
- **replace** [see also: change, place, instead] We now construct f as in the proof of Theorem 5, with V replaced by W. A similar result holds with 'compact' replacing 'convex'. The statement of Theorem 5 remains valid if we replace 'f is compact' by 'the norm of f is bounded'. We must have Lf = 0, for otherwise we can replace f by f Lf.
- **replacement** Then F satisfies the following replacement for condition (e). Replacement of z by 1/z transforms (4) into (5). We produce an evolution equation which differs from (2.3) only in the replacement of the F^2 term by F^3 .
- report Our asymptotic results compare reasonably well with the numerical results reported in [8].
- **represent** [see also: present, reflect, picture, account] We can represent W by the integral..... We represent A as a quotient space of X by sending the point..... to..... [Not: "We present"] It turns out that A, B and C all belong to the same class, which we represent by the symbol P_2 . • In particular, integral curves evolve continuously, and we should seek to represent them using a measure which reflects this continuity in some way. • We shall use the symbol \cap to represent intersection. • We use upper case letters to represent inverses of generators. • This accords with the intuition that as we pass down the coding tree, we find out more and more detailed information about the ordering actually represented. • We shall then show that this f can be represented in the form (5).
- representative a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of A-modules
- **require** [see also: call for, entail, demand, want, desire, necessitate, force, involve, requisite] Then f = 1, as required (claimed/desired). • In addition to f being convex, we require that F

be holomorphic. [Note the subjunctive be.] • This is equivalent to requiring that..... • If (ii) is required for finite unions only, then M is called an algebra of sets. • When clarity requires it, we shall write $I_A(f)$ instead of I(f). [Note that the *it* is necessary here.] • The above definition was first given in [D]; care is required because this term has been used in a slightly different sense elsewhere. • Many of the results that follow only require W to satisfy (8). • The algebraic properties (i) and (ii) required of j are evidently true. • Lemma 3 does not require D to be prime. • The only point that requires care is the verification of..... • The projection technique requires the introduction of an appropriate homomorphism. • We require a method of dividing z into subwords z_i for which we know the structure of $f(z_i)$. • This requires us to give M an R-module structure. • We shall show that V is the required open cover.

- **requirement** [see also: condition, demand, stipulation] The conclusion of Theorem 3 becomes false if this requirement is omitted. • We next show how the continuity requirement on f in Theorem 2 can be weakened. • In less precise language, the requirement is that the two angles are the same in size and in orientation. • The remaining requirements of Definition 3 are also easily seen to hold in L^1 . • The other requirements for a type F map are also met. • Thus W satisfies two of the four requirements for membership in Z. • the main $\langle \text{key/essential/detailed/precise/reasonable/minimum/further/specific} requirement$
- **requisite** [= necessary for a particular purpose; *see also*: require] In order to prove the opposite inequality, we first construct the requisite number of balanced spheres of type A.
- **research** [see also: investigation, study] Research partially supported by NSF Grant No. 23456. • This research was initiated when the first author was visiting the University of Alberta in the summer of 2008; the financial support and kind hospitality are gratefully acknowledged. • A survey of the research on $f_n(x, y)$ up to 1970 (most of it dealing with the case n = 1) was given in [3]. • This is an interesting area for future research. • We close this paper by offering some questions and problems for further research. • This work continues research begun in [5]. • In the present work we continue this line of research. • detailed (in-depth/extensive/pioneering/groundbreaking/thorough) research
- **resemblance** [see also: similarity] We could have adopted an approach to proving Theorem 2 along a line of reasoning which bears greater resemblance to the treatment of the analogous result in Section 1. All three cases bear a striking resemblance.
- **resemble** [see also: like, similar] Littlewood proved that certain subharmonic functions on the unit disc resemble bounded analytic functions in having radial limits almost everywhere. While topological measures resemble Borel measures, they in general need not be subadditive.
- **reserve** The letter χ will be reserved for characteristic functions throughout this book. A different notation is used because the usual tensor product symbol is reserved for the tensor product of *A*-bimodules.
- **resistant** On the other hand, the second case appears to be resistant to the methods we use to prove Lemma 2.
- **resolve** [see also: solve] However, to our knowledge this is not fully resolved. This also resolves the ambiguity introduced earlier in choosing an order of the lifts of U. The case where p > 1 remains unresolved.

- **respect** [see also: regard, relative, compare] The set S is a semigroup with respect to coordinatewise addition. Thus C behaves covariantly with respect to maps of both X and G. Suppose A is maximal with respect to having connected preimage. We denote the complement of A by A^c whenever it is clear from the context with respect to which larger set the complement is taken. Now suppose that F(n) = x and that n is maximal in this respect. The prime 2 is anomalous in this respect, in that the only edge from 2 passes through 3. It is in all respects similar to matrix multiplication. In the course of writing this paper we learned that P. Fox has simultaneously obtained results similar to ours in certain respects. Keller [2, Theorem 5] obtains a duality theorem that is stronger than Theorem 2 in a number of respects, but the proof is much more difficult. Identical conclusions hold in respect of the condition BN. [= concerning BN]
- **respective** [= relating separately to the individual objects just mentioned, in the same order; see also: correspond] Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be the distinct values assumed by f and A_1, \ldots, A_n the respective sets where these values are assumed. • Differentiation of (5) and (6) gives the respective equations A = B and C = D.
- **respectively** Let x_1, x_2 be variable points in the intervals (a, b), (c, d) respectively. The subspaces of $M(\Omega)$ consisting of the *discrete* and *continuous* measures are $M_d(\Omega)$ and $M_c(\Omega)$, respectively. We call E_x and E^y the x-section and y-section respectively of E. These might be called respectively the regular and the singular parts of B.
- **rest** 1 [see also: remainder] F = 1 on the rest of A. Each row of A has a single ± 1 and the rest of the entries 0. The rest of the proof runs as before. Much of the rest of the paper is devoted to a general study of.....

2 [see also: base, depend, rely] This rests on a result of Kummer.

- restate [see also: reformulate, rephrase, rewrite] Thus the conjecture can be restated as follows.
- **restraint** [see also: constraint, restriction] A computational restraint is the algebraic number theory involved in finding these ranks, which will typically be more demanding than in our example of Section 1.
- **restrict** [see also: confine, limit] It is not generally possible to restrict f to the class D. Then F restricts to a C^0 flow on M. Theorem 2 still holds for A(x) provided that k is restricted to the range [0, 1]. The supremum in (1) does not change if we restrict ourselves to rational points in Q. We shall restrict the discussion to plane regions.
- restriction [see also: constraint, limitation, restraint] Theorem 7 imposes a quantitative restriction on the location of the zeros of..... However, if B were omitted in (1), the case n = 0 would imply Nf = 1, an undesirable restriction. Some restrictions must be placed on the behaviour of f. When A is the order complex of a poset, there are further restrictions on the h-vector of A. This theorem removes the restriction to convex regions which was imposed in Theorem 8.
 All our estimates hold without this restriction. The location of the zeros of a holomorphic function in a region Ω is subject to no restriction except the obvious one concerning the absence of limit points in Ω.
- **restrictive** [see also: stringent] The usual definition is more restrictive in that it requires that $a \in A$.

124

result 1 [see also: consequence, effect, outcome, achievement] Let $n \to \infty$, applying Lemma 5. The result is..... • As a result, *B* is isomorphic to *C*. • As usual, we can rephrase the above result as a uniqueness theorem. • Slightly refining a result of [8], Davenport proved..... • The method of proof of Theorem B can be adapted to extend the right-to-left direction of Mostowski's result by showing that..... • We now prove a simple fact about semigroups: this is surely a folklore result. • It is hoped that a deeper understanding of these residues will help establish new results about the distribution of modular symbols. • We could have adopted an approach to proving Theorem 2 along a line of reasoning which bears greater resemblance to the treatment of the analogous result in Section 1. • In the course of writing this paper we learned that P. Fox has simultaneously obtained results similar to ours in certain respects. • There is a related result concerning primitivity. • The results have been encouraging enough to merit further investigation. • We show that one can drop an important hypothesis of the saddle point theorem without affecting the result. • This rests on a result of Kummer.

2 [see also: cause] The resulting formula exhibits u as the Laplace transform of x. [Not: "The obtained formula"] • We can solve the resulting equations successively for $c_1, ..., c_n$. • Their study resulted in proving the conjecture for k = 1. • The demand that each entry be a perfect square results in nine equations. [Note the subjunctive be.] • Less than 1 in p of its points will result in a quartic with ideal class number p. • Lebesgue discovered that a satisfactory theory of integration results if the sets E_i are allowed to belong to a larger class of subsets of the line. • [Do not write: "It results that" if you mean: It follows that.]

- **retain** [see also: keep, maintain, preserve] This extension retains control on..... at the sacrifice of loosing some control on..... \bullet The lemma shows that properties of certain subsets of X are retained by their images under F.
- retrieve [see also: recover] We can retrieve H from H' by the formula.....
- **return** [see also: go back, turn back, revert] The algorithm returns 0 as its answer. We shall return to this central theme in Chapter 7. The usefulness and interest of this correspondence will of course be enhanced if there is a way of returning from the transforms to the functions, that is to say, if there is an inversion formula.
- reveal [see also: exhibit, show, demonstrate, display, reflect] A careful look at the proofs reveals that P is measurable.
 The next two theorems reveal the importance of this concept.
 A complete explication of the Fox spaces is warranted, as it will likely reveal further clues to the differences between the parabolic and hyperbolic theories.
 The obvious rearrangement reveals the right side to be identical with (8).
- reverse [see also: converse, opposite] 1 in reverse order the reverse inequality (inclusion) We show that..... by reverse induction on i, starting at i = n and working down to i = 0.
 2 None of these implications can be reversed. By reversing the steps above, we see that..... One is tempted to reverse the order of integrations but that is illegitimate here. with the order of a and b reversed
- revert [see also: return, go back] Reverting to our former notation, we see in particular that.....
- **review** In Section 2 we review [= go over] the separation of variables formula.
- revise [see also: alter, change, modify] To overcome this problem, we revise our definition of a branch.By revising our choice of A if necessary, we may assume that.....

revolution the revolution of the earth about $\langle round \rangle$ the sun

rewrite [see also: reformulate, rephrase, restate] Hence (8) can be rewritten in the form.....

right 1 We now prove a lemma which is interesting in its own right. • We cannot hope to say anything about the structure of each isotropy factor as a system in its own right.

2 the right member of (8) = the right side of (8) = the right-hand side of (8) [Or: the right hand side] • the right-hand side expression • on the right of (8) = on the right-hand side of (8) • The exact sequence ends on the right with H(X). • composition on the right with p • Then D lies to the right of G. • Let n_k be the first location to the right of the kth decimal place of W that has a value less than b. • Comparisons are done in left-to-right order. • The entries in each row are increasing from left to right. • The string N (read from right to left) starts with..... • The map f, which we know to be bounded, is also right-continuous. • The method of proof of Theorem B can be adapted to extend the right-to-left direction of Mostowski's result by showing that..... • the rightmost integral [= the last one on the right]

3 However, as pointed out right after $(5),\ldots$

rigorous [see also: precise] To make this argument rigorous, apply (1.12) to.....

- rise 1 [see also: lead] Every possible such sequence gives rise to..... [Not: "gives raise"]
 2 [see also: increase] Inflation in the first quarter rose beyond the acceptable level of 5%.
- **role** [see also: part, importance, significance, relevance, interest] By interchanging (exchanging) the roles of X and Y, it follows that..... Here f takes over the role of the time parameter. It turns out that these properties play no role in the proof. In closing this section we take up a result which will play a pivotal role in the characterization of..... Its role is to rule out having two or more consecutive P-moves (on the grounds that they can be performed in one go). a key (principal/significant/important) role
- **root** We now multiply (7) by p and take nth roots to obtain....
- **rotate** This is the lattice packing rotated 45° . Let A denote the rectangle B rotated through $\pi/6$ in a clockwise direction about the vertex (0, 1).
- **rotation** [see also: turn] As the point z moves around the unit circle, the corresponding J_z 's are rotations of angle t(z). rotation through $\pi/3$ (through an angle θ) a 180° rotation
- **rough** [see also: approximate, rudimentary] It is therefore reasonable that the behaviour of p should in some rough sense approximate the behaviour of q. For most of the proof it suffices to use the rough bound p < 1.
- roughly [see also: approximately, about] Roughly speaking, we shall produce a synthesis of index theory with Fourier analysis. This says (roughly speaking) that the real part of g is.....
 Roughly 0.7 comparisons were done for each character.
- **round 1** [see also: around] It is also tempting to get round (get around) this problem by working with.....
 - **2** To round out the picture presented by Theorem 5, we mention the following consequence of.....
 - Values computed for the right side of (2) were rounded up in the fourth decimal place.
- **routine** [see also: standard] It is a routine matter (a matter of routine) to show that..... Indeed, it is routine to verify that the index so constructed is independent of the choices made.

• The remainder of the proof is routine. • We sketch the rest of the proof, leaving routine details to the reader.

- **routinely** There are kneading sequences for which the arguments of Section 4 go through routinely.
- **rudimentary** [= very basic, at the simplest level] The requirement that competitors are symmetric will only be used to get some very rudimentary control on the asymptotics of G.
- rule 1 However, such situations are the exception rather than the rule. There are few exceptions to this rule. It follows that any itinerary that obeys these four rules corresponds to a point in B.

2 [see also: exclude, preclude] The possibility A = B is ruled out in the same way. • Its role is to rule out having two or more consecutive *P*-moves (on the grounds that they can be performed in one go).

run The rest of the proof runs as before. • Although these proofs run along similar lines, there are subtle adjustments necessary to fit the argument to each new situation. • Let A' be A run backwards. • As t runs from 0 to 1, the point f(t) runs through the interval [a, b]. • The problem one runs into, however, is that f need not be smooth. • But this obvious attack runs into a serious difficulty. • But if we argue as in (5), we run into the integral...., which is meaningless as it stands. •where E runs over $\langle \text{runs through} \rangle$ the family B.

\mathbf{S}

- 's Unlike Bell's method, Hall's does not use transfinite induction. To show the greater simplicity of our method over Brown's, let us..... Smith's theorem [without the] = the Smith theorem a theorem of Smith's [= one of Smith's theorems] But we have....., which, by another theorem of Kimney's, is more than enough to guarantee that P gives A outer measure 1. Gauss's remarkable theorem on..... = the remarkable theorem of Gauss on..... [Adding 's is recommended even after names ending in s, z or x, except ancient ones: Pythagoras' theorem; however, the forms like Jones' theorem are also correct.] Fefferman and Stein's theorem = the Fefferman-Stein theorem [In the plural of abbreviations and numerals, the apostrophe is best omitted: five 1s, PDEs, in the 1980s. However, it should sometimes be used to avoid confusion: There are three i's in row k.]
- **sacrifice** [see also: cost, price, expense] This extension retains control on..... at the sacrifice of losing some control on..... [Not: "loosing"]
- **sake** for the sake of simplicity = for simplicity \bullet For the sake of clarity, we shall indicate in what follows to which space X belongs. \bullet It seems preferable, for clarity's sake, not to present the construction at the outset in the greatest generality possible.
- same [see also: identical] We use the same trick as Boas (as Boas does/that Boas does).
 with the same symbols as (are) used in.....
 The quiver Q₁ is the same as Q but with x deleted.
 Then the same argument as in Theorem 5 applies to show that L(R) fails to be amenable.
 This is the same as saying that.....
 Since the code is linear, showing that it is 3-error-correcting is the same as showing that.....
 But if E is not reflexive or—what is the same—w is weak, then.....
 But in fact we get the same thing if we consider all maps into S.
 Thus A-equivalence and B-equivalence are the same thing.
 Now G can be handled in much

the same way. • The same applies to D. • So s can be thought of as q with F^q extended but X^q left the same.

- satisfactory [see also: suitable, appropriate, good, fit] Fortunately, there is a very satisfactory solution to this problem, due to Vermes. It will eventually appear that the results are much more satisfactory than one might expect. On the whole, the solution can hardly be considered satisfactory.
- satisfy [≠ verify; see also: obey] Here a and b are chosen to satisfy (2). [Not: "to verify (2)"]
 This forces f to satisfy (6). The function of Lemma 2 can be made to satisfy (6). The operator P satisfies essentially the same inequality as F does. However, A fails to satisfy (3).
 Then F need not satisfy (2). [= F does not necessarily satisfy (2).] The products F_iG_i are very close to satisfying (1).
- **save** To save space later on, all modules are given in the form..... \bullet Taking J minimal such that this inequality holds we get a saving of 1 in the codimension.
- **say** [see also: assert, state, tell] When n = 0, (7) just amounts to saying that..... This is the same as saying that..... • To say that A is totally disconnected means that..... • Thus f is bounded, and (1) says that f(a) = 0. • This says (roughly speaking) that the real part of gis..... • Let us state once more, in different words, what the preceding result says if p = 1. • We now exploit the relation (15) to see what else we can say about G. • We cannot hope to say anything about the structure of each isotropy factor as a system in its own right. • If we adjoin a third congruence to F, say $a \equiv b$, we obtain..... • In this case it is advantageous to transfer the problem to (say) the upper half-plane. • Let D be a disc (with centre at a and radius r, say) in C. • Such cycles are said to be homologous (written $c \sim c'$). [Not: "are said homologous"] • The usefulness and interest of this correspondence will of course be enhanced if there is a way of returning from the transforms to the functions, that is to say, if there is an inversion formula.
- scale This figure is drawn to a scale of one to ten.
- scenario Concentrating on the worst-case scenario, we require D > 0.
- **scheme** [for sth; to do sth; see also: procedure, strategy] Choose S_k according to the following scheme.
- **scope** [see also: range, extent] This example falls within the scope of Cox's theorem. It is beyond the scope of this paper to give a complete treatment of.....
- **scrutiny** [see also: examination, inspection, look] Neighbourhoods of points in these spaces appear at first glance to have a nice regular structure, but upon closer scrutiny, one sees that many neighbourhoods contain collections of arcs hopelessly folded up.
- **search** 1 The search will succeed provided there is..... 2 [see also: look for, seek] Given f, we search for g with properties (1) and (2). • By symmetry considerations, it is sufficient to search over a region in which.....
- **second** [see also: other] the second largest element the second last row = the last but one row • the second author = the second-named author • To guarantee Q(3), we use MA a second time to control the perfect sets. • A second technique for creating new triangulations out of old ones is central retriangulation.

128

- section $[\neq \text{paragraph}]$ The proof is given in Section 3. [Not: "in the Section 3"] Choose δ in accordance with Section 8. See the simplified account in [2, Section 4].(see the definition at the beginning of Section 2) In closing this section we take up a result which will play a pivotal role in the characterization of.....
- see [see also: note, observe, view, find out, discover, grasp, realize, recognize] Since..... we see that..... We shall be interested in seeing whether..... It is easily seen that..... The conclusion in (2.3) can be seen by observing that..... This is easily seen to be an equivalence relation. We saw this in Section 2. We now exploit the relation (15) to see what else we can say about G.
- **seek** [see also: look for, search, try] Here one seeks to minimize P(x) over the class of feedback controls. In particular, integral curves evolve continuously, and we should seek to represent them using a measure which reflects this continuity in some way. In applications of Theorem 1, we are usually seeking a lower bound for f(E). We are seeking to verify the conjecture that every Banach sequence algebra A that is a Ditkin algebra is PAA. Thus f is the function sought. Therefore, the system (5) has a solution of the sought-for type.
- **seem** [see also: appear] At first glance Lemma 2 seems to yield four possible outcomes. The result above seems not to be a consequence of previous results. This approach does not seem to generalize to arbitrary substructures. In our next theorem, we state a characterization of..... which does not seem to have been noticed previously. However, it does not seem to have been observed that..... It seems appropriate to mention in passing the corresponding formula for the cohomology of B_n . It seems likely that their results can be extended to..... It seems plausible that..... It seems to establish this only in certain cases. It seems preferable, for clarity's sake, not to present the construction at the outset in the greatest generality possible. It may seem strange to define $0.\infty = 0$. There seems to be no simple formula for
- **seemingly** [see also: apparently] Our first result generalizes (8) by exploiting some general facts seemingly overlooked by the aforementioned authors. The approach in [GT] provides a unified way of treating a wide variety of seemingly disparate examples.
- **segment** Then C lies on no segment both of whose endpoints lie in K.
- **select** [see also: choose, pick] Where there is a choice of several acceptable forms, that form is selected which..... \bullet As we shall see, it is crucial that F is positive for the A-decomposition selected.
- selection [see also: choice] a selection of open problems
- self-contained The proof is mainly included to keep the exposition as self-contained as possible.The proof is self-contained, without reliance on a computer-algebra system.
- self-evident There is a self-evident notion of a map between filtered spaces.
- **seminal** [see also: important] Many of these results are known, and indeed they go back to the seminal paper of Dixmier [D] of 1951.
- send [see also: map] Any map either has a fixed point, or sends some point to its antipode.
- **sense** [see also: meaning] We remark at the outset that this formula makes sense, because..... • Of course, a literal interpretation of (1) makes no sense. • Nevertheless, it might be possible to make sense of (2) even for non-injective V by considering a multi-valued operator Z. • It is therefore reasonable that the behaviour of p should in some rough sense approximate the

behaviour of q. • The above definition was first given in [D]; care is required because this term has been used in a slightly different sense elsewhere. • in the Boolean algebra sense • We know that (2) holds in the sense of L^2 convergence. • Then F is functorial in the sense that..... • Homogeneous spaces are, in a sense, the nicest examples of Riemannian manifolds.

- sensitive [to sth] The results are rather sensitive to the value of the recovery rate σ .
- **separate** Thus N separates M into two disjoint parts. Such g are linearly dense in C(K) and hence separate the points of M(K).
- separately We estimate the two terms separately. Thus we may count the contribution to the periodic points from each prime separately. It is also clear that there are extensions to...., but they do not seem to be worth the effort of formulating them separately. The preceding proof contains a result which is interesting enough to be stated separately.
- **sequel** In a sequel to the present article, we shall consider..... [= in another paper to be published; do not write: "In the sequel" when you mean: *In what follows*.]
- **sequence** Recall from Theorem 3 that there is a sequence (a_n) of elements of U that is cofinal in M. • Altering finitely many terms of the sequence (u_n) does not affect the validity of (9). • Let (a_n) be the sequence of zeros of f arranged so that $|a_1| \leq |a_2| \leq \dots$ • Extend this sequence of numbers backwards, defining N_{-1} , N_{-2} and N_{-3} by..... • Then the sequence (8) breaks off in split exact sequences. • Thus the long exact sequence breaks up into short sequences. • The exact sequence ends on the right with H(X). • The proof proper [= The actual proof] will consist of establishing the following statements in sequence. • the all-one sequence
- **series** There has since been a series of improvements, of which we briefly mention the work of Levinson.
- **serious** But this obvious attack runs into a serious difficulty. Unfortunately, there is a simple but serious error in the final step of the proof.
- **serve** This serves to simplify the construction of..... The two examples, E_1 and E_2 , differ by only a single sequence, e, and they serve to illustrate the delicate nature of Theorem 2. The same definition serves for f in F. Note that (2) serves as the definition of its left side.
- set 1 [see also: collection, family] Let Q denote the set of positive definite forms on V (including imprimitive ones, if there are any). [Not: "the set of the positive definite forms"] We take M to be the set of points in V which map to a point t in A. Here the interesting questions are not about individual examples, but about the asymptotic behaviour of the set of examples as one or another of the invariants (such as the genus) goes to infinity.

2 [see also: put, establish, define] Now F is defined by setting $F(z) = \dots$ • Setting α equal to β in Corollary 3, we obtain..... • We claim that, by setting w to zero on this interval, the value of F(w) is reduced. • What sets the case n = 5 apart is the fact that homotopic embeddings in a 5-manifold need not be isotopic. • By modifying the technique set out [= presented] in [3], we obtain..... • In Section 2 we set up notation and terminology. [= prepare] • On TK we set up the symplectic structure induced by the metric. [= introduce]

setting [see also: context, set-up] In outline, the argument follows that of the single-valued setting, but there are several significant issues that must be addressed in the n-valued case.
The proof makes use of many of the ideas of the general case, but in a simpler setting.

[3] contains an extension of Proposition 2 to the setting of finitely additive set functions. • The point is that the operator is now much easier to analyse than is the case in the original setting of the space B. • It seems that the relations between these concepts emerge most clearly when the setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to the subject. • Here the functional analytic tools required are simpler, but this easier setting allows us to develop some methods without undue worry about technicalities.

- settle [see also: decide, determine, conclude] This argument also settles the case of $K = \Gamma$. In this paper, we settle that question for normal spaces. • The only references known to the authors are [A] and [V], where the case A = L(E) is settled in the negative.
- set-up [see also: context, setting] In the set-up of condition (H), let.....
- several [see also: some, few, many, couple, number, different, variety] We take advantage of this fact on several occasions, by not actually specifying the topology under consideration. A model for analysing rank data obtained from several observers is proposed. The present proof is so arranged that it applies without change to holomorphic functions of several variables. [Not: "of many variables"] The argument is a variant of one in [5] and has been used several times since. Where there is a choice of several acceptable forms, that form is selected which.....
 This argument is invalid for several reasons. There are several cases to consider:.....
- **shape** [see also: form] This shape bears a striking similarity to that of..... \bullet The set A is roughly triangular in shape.
- share [see also: enjoy, have] Certain other classes share this property. It is a pleasure to thankR. Greenberg for bringing his criterion for..... to our attention, and for generously sharing his ideas about it.
- **sharp** $[\neq$ strict] The estimate is sharp, as the following example shows. A natural question is how sharp the bounds given in Theorem 6 are.
- **sharpen** [*see also*: improve, refine, strengthen] The following lemma is a sharpening of well-known results concerning indecomposable ultrafilters.
- shed Our result sheds a new light on the problem of.....
- **short** [see also: brief, brevity, abbreviation, shorthand, fail] The method falls short of providing an explicit formula for the index. • We write $G = FHF^{-1}$ for short. [= for brevity; not: "We write shortly"] • In short, F preserves the algebraic structure of M. [= To sum up briefly] • Our main results state in short that MEP characterizes type 2 spaces among reflexive Banach spaces.
- **shortcoming** [*see also*: disadvantage, weakness, fail] A shortcoming of our method is the inability to compare three or more progressions.
- **shorthand** [see also: abbreviation, brevity, short] Here k is shorthand for k(|x|). Let us adopt the shorthand $F := FM_iN_i$.
- **shortly** $[\neq$ briefly, \neq for short; *see also*: moment]where *F* will be defined shortly. [= in a moment] We shall prove this theorem shortly, but first we need a key lemma. The benefit of formulating our notion of 'isomorphism section' as above will become clear shortly. However, shortly after learning about Wiener's work, P. Lévy found a more elementary argument.

- **should** It is therefore reasonable that the behaviour of p should in some rough sense approximate the behaviour of q. It should be noted that..... It should be possible to prove this directly by studying the fixed point set of the action of G. It should now be clear that A = B. One unusual feature of the solution should be pointed out. The difficulty is that it is by no means clear what one should mean by a normal family. Denote the largest of those w_i by w_p . Should there be no such w_i , let $w_p = 0$. [= If there is no]
- show [see also: prove, establish, demonstrate, evidence, reveal, reflect, represent, display, exhibit, indicate] The series can easily be shown to converge. To show that..... is equivalent to showing that..... Since the code is linear, showing that it is 3-error-correcting is the same as showing that..... Examples are given to show that..... As shown by Faraut, this expansion is convergent.
 This is true for any family connecting the two flows shown. This space of curves also shows up in the theorem of Meyer.

shrink By shrinking A to a point, we obtain.....

- side [see also: member] There is no condition relating the sections on one side of N to those on the other side. Integrating both sides over X shows that..... the right side = the right-hand side This question was answered negatively in [5]. However, on the positive side, Davies [5] proved that..... Our focus now will be on one-sided averages. [Do not write: "On the other side" if you mean: On the other hand.]
- **sight** [see also: glance] at first sight
- **sign** The terms of the series (1) decrease in absolute value and their signs alternate. \bullet equal up to sign \bullet the minus sign
- **significance** [see also: importance, role, relevance, interest] The significance of is that..... • The significance of this fact for our purposes is captured by Corollary 3. • In the study of infinite series $\sum a_n$ it is of significance whether the a_n approach zero rapidly. • This has deeper significance than one might first realize.
- **significant** [see also: considerable, important, substantial] This can result in a significant loss of smoothness. It is important to recognize that there is a significant subclass of vector fields for which the ambiguity alluded to above disappears. In all our analysis, only the order of magnitude of P will be significant. Their result gives no information when k is large, whereas (5) is significant regardless of the size of k.
- **significantly** [see also: considerably, greatly, substantially] The other values are significantly smaller than x. The pressure increases are significantly below those in Table 2.
- **signify** [see also: mean] Under those conditions, what does the sum on the left hand side of (8) signify?
- **similar** [to sth; see also: like, reminiscent, resemble] It is in all respects similar to matrix multiplication. [Not: "similar as"] In the course of writing this paper we learned that P. Fox has simultaneously obtained results similar to ours in certain respects. The proof is similar in spirit to that of [8]. Analysis similar to that in Section 2 shows that..... Similar arguments to those above show that..... This says that I is no longer than the supremum of the boundary values of G, a statement similar to (1). A similar result holds for..... in a similar fashion in an exactly similar way

132

- **similarity** [*see also*: resemblance] This shape bears a striking similarity to that of..... Note the similarity with Lusin's theorem.
- **similarly** [see also: likewise] Then F is similarly obtained from G. Similarly to [4], we first consider the nondegenerate case. [Or: Just $\langle Much \rangle$ as in [4]; not: "Similarly as in [4]"] Here we consider a dual variational formulation which can be derived similarly to that for the sandpile model. Each A(n) corresponds to an element A'(n) in V, and similarly for B(n).
- simple [see also: easy, straightforward, direct] Unfortunately, there is a simple but serious error in the final step of the proof. Here is a simple direct proof. This strikingly simple proof was discovered by J. Dixon. It is useful to consider some rather simple examples to gain some intuition. Although the idea is simple, its implementation is complicated by the fact that..... In fact, the computation for A becomes somewhat simpler. We remark that this proof seems conceptually and calculationally simpler. We first prove the (rather simpler) Theorem 7, by effecting a quite general reduction of the problem to the study of certain isotropy factors. The simplest example of this is furnished by.....
- simplicity [see also: ease] For simplicity of notation, we use the same letter f for..... For simplicity, we suppress the explicit dependence on x in the notation. One can also study these equations on manifolds, but we stick to \mathbf{R}^n for simplicity.
- simplify To simplify the writing, we take a = 0 and omit the subscripts a. This simplifies to f = g for x = 1. For this choice of α, β and with u = z = s, the expression (5.3) simplifies greatly. It simplifies the argument, and causes no loss of generality, to assume..... This assumption is useful for simplifying proofs. Neither is the problem simplified by assuming f = g. See the simplified account in [2, Section 4].
- **simply** [see also: just] If we simply mimic the standard proof of..... we are led to..... If M is empty we write simply $f \sim g$. The rank of appearance (or simply the rank) of an integer in the sequence U(P) is the least positive integer n such that..... The lower limit is defined analogously: simply interchange sup and inf in (1). Then G is simply g with its periodic string read backwards. The reason for preferring (1) to (2) is simply that (1) is manifestly invariant. [Note the double r in preferring.] The presence here of the direct summand H is simply to prevent A from having disconnected spectrum.
- **simultaneously** [see also: time] These two possibilities cannot arise simultaneously. \bullet Clearly, A is thereby put in one-to-one correspondence with B. \bullet In the course of writing this paper we learned that P. Fox has simultaneously obtained results similar to ours in certain respects.
- since 1 [see also: as, because] Since f is compact, we have Lf = 0. Since f is compact, it follows that Lf = 0. [Not: "Since f is compact, then Lf = 0."] [In sentences beginning with Since, it is sometimes unclear which part is the premise, and which is the conclusion: instead of writing: "Since A = B, B = C, C = D, A = C", write e.g.: Since A = B and B = C, and since moreover C = D, it follows that A = C.]

2 The argument is a variant of one in [5] and has been used several times since. • There has since been a series of improvements, of which we briefly mention the work of Levinson.

single [see also: individual, particular, specific, unique, only] 1 This will be proved by showing that H has but a single orbit on M. • Each row of A has a single ±1 and the rest of the entries 0.
• The two examples, E₁ and E₂, differ by only a single sequence, e, and they serve to illustrate

the delicate nature of Theorem 2. • Can E consist of a single point? 2 With this definition of a tree, no vertex is singled out as the root.

- situation [see also: circumstances, state] We do not know whether or not Q(R) = R in this situation. Rather than discuss this in full generality, let us look at a particular situation of this kind. Suppose, to look at a more specific situation, that..... The situation is quite different if we replace H(U) by certain subclasses. If A = B, how does the situation differ from the preceding one? As opposed to the situation considered in [5], the functions used here are..... There are many situations where this occurs naturally. We shall encounter similar situations again, and shall apply convergence theorems to them without further comment. Here are some other situations in which we can draw conclusions only almost everywhere.
- size [see also: magnitude] Clearly, F has size $\leq p$. All inputs of size n are equally likely to occur. Their result gives no information when k is large, whereas (5) is significant regardless of the size of k. The aim of this article is to study the relationship between the size of A, as measured by its diameter, and the extent to which A fails to be convex. Then P is the product of several integer factors of about x^n in size.
- sketch [see also: outline] 1 A brief sketch of the reasoning is given below.2 We sketch the proof of the easy half of the theorem.
- skip We do this in the first section, which the reader may skip on a first reading.
- **slant** area shaded with slanting lines
- **slight** [see also: little, small, minor] However, the chance of success is very slight. However, a slight strengthening of the hypotheses does give us a regular measure. We write $\beta = \dots$, which is a slight modification to the previous version of β .
- slightly [see also: little, somewhat] We have to change the proof of Lemma 3 only slightly.
 Unfortunately, the notation from number theory slightly conflicts with the notation from probability theory.
 Slightly refining a result of [8], Davenport proved.....
 The estimates (5) then follow by slightly increasing the value of m.
 They are all slightly different.
 Note that (2) is a slightly weakened version of the Pólya inequality.
 The motivation for the results of this section is the following result of John (paraphrased slightly to suit our purposes).
- **small** [see also: little, minor, slight, below], where C can be made arbitrarily small by taking..... [Not: "arbitrary small"] for all sufficiently small h However small a neighbourhood of x we take, the image will be..... [= No matter how small] The other values are significantly smaller than x. Therefore, F is not $\langle no \rangle$ smaller than G. Thus A is the smallest algebra with this property. There is a smallest algebra with this property. Then B contains a unique element of smallest norm.
- so [see also: consequently, hence, thus, therefore, way] Indeed, it is routine to verify that the index so constructed is independent of the choices made. The constants are so adjusted in (6) that (8) holds.where C is so chosen (chosen so) that..... The control problem is to choose an investment strategy so as to minimize..... The first of these was suggested by J. Serrin, who showed how to modify my earlier treatment of..... so as to obtain stronger results with no extra effort. The ordered pair (a, b) can be chosen in 16 ways so as not to be a multiple of (c, d).
 Thus A is compact, and hence so is B. Consequently, A is compact. Therefore, so is B. Since R is polynomial in x, so also is P. We have been working under the assumption that.....

134

Suppose now that this is no longer so. • If this is so, we may add..... • If this is not so, a linear fractional transformation will make it so. • Then F is bounded but it is not necessarily so after division by G. • We will want to prove that..... To do so, we will need to define..... • A geodesic which meets bM does so either transversally or..... • This shows that the sequence (1) is bounded below, and so the definition of L(f) is meaningful. • Then a = b, so that f is not injective.

- **solely** [see also: alone, only, single] Since the entire argument is based solely upon assumption (6.1), the conclusion of the theorem must hold.
- **solution** [see also: answer, explanation] This equation has a unique solution for every p. To guarantee existence and uniqueness of a solution to (3), the function g must be..... Fortunately, there is a very satisfactory solution to this problem, due to Vermes. This observation prompted the author to look for a more constructive solution. It seems that the solution of Problem 1 is still out of reach.
- **solve** [see also: resolve] We can solve the resulting equations successively for $c_1, ..., c_n$. We remark that Bennett and the third author completely solved equation (4) in the case when..... If y is a solution, then ay also solves (3) for all a in B. This easily allows the cases c = 1, 2, 4 to be solved. This problem is still far from being solved. The MR algorithm takes n steps to solve the problem.
- **some** [see also: few, several, couple, number] Each f lies in zA for some A. Note that some of the X_n may be repeated. Some of the isomorphism classes above will have a rank of 2. Some such difficulty is to be expected. The theorem implies that some finite subcollection of the f_i can be removed without altering the span. It is therefore reasonable that the behaviour of p should in some rough sense approximate the behaviour of q.
- **something** Strictly speaking, we should write something like a(l, m, n) to reflect the dependence; we shall rely upon context instead. Specifically, one might hope that a clever application of something like Choquet's theorem would yield the desired conclusion.
- **sometimes** [see also: occasionally, occasion] This is sometimes expressed by saying that..... • The name 'Riesz theorem' is sometimes given to the theorem which asserts that..... • The sequence a_n is what is sometimes called a recovery sequence for v.
- somewhat [see also: little, slightly, fairly, rather] Our results in this section can be refined somewhat by considering..... In fact, the computation for A becomes somewhat simpler. This is somewhat surprising since..... We shall discuss this again at somewhat greater length in Section 2.1. Fox obtained a somewhat better result:.....
- soon [see also: once] This will be proved as soon as we show that.....
- **sophisticated** [*see also*: complex, intricate, refine] To recover Wiener's famous result that Brownian paths are continuous, one needs to use more sophisticated reasoning.
- **sort** [see also: kind, type] This result may be thought of as a sort of regularity theorem. \bullet Our aim here is to give some sort of functorial description of K in terms of G. \bullet This sort of proof will recur frequently in what follows. \bullet This sort of tacit convention is used throughout Gelfand theory.
- **source** [see also: origin] An excellent source on these matters is [G75]. Since most of the results presented are quite classical (the novelty lies in the arrangement, and some of the proofs

are new), I have not attempted to document the source of every item. \bullet the main source of inspiration

space 1 There is not space to enumerate them all here. • To save space later on, all modules are given in the form..... • a function continuous in space variables • Let \mathbb{R}^n be Euclidean *n*-space. [Or: the Euclidean *n*-space]

2 The *m* points x_1, \ldots, x_m are regularly spaced *t* units apart.

- **speak** [see also: talk] Roughly speaking, we shall produce a synthesis of index theory with Fourier analysis. Strictly speaking, we should write something like a(l, m, n) to reflect the dependence; we shall rely upon context instead. Hence, although the topology of reducts of A is uniformly controlled, so to speak, by that of A, the model theory of the reducts can be much wilder. The two polygons P and P' are the two ends of the orbit, so to speak.
- special [see also: individual, particular, specific] An important special case is when L is empty.
 This abstract theory is not in any way more difficult than the special case of the real line.
- **specialize** For f = g, this specializes to the result of [7]. Now (3), specialized to our case, becomes..... We now specialize to the situation of Lemma 8. More specialized notions from Banach space theory will be introduced as needed.
- specific [see also: concrete, individual, particular, special, definiteness] Suppose, to look at a more specific situation, that..... This implies that the local martingale must take a very specific form. Section 2 contains some specific preparatory material, notably a brief discussion of the category of virtual vector bundles. To be more specific, consider.....
- **specifically** It is smoothness with which we are specifically concerned. Specifically, choose A = B = id/dx. Specifically, one might hope that a clever application of something like Choquet's theorem would yield the desired conclusion.
- **specify**, where each function g is as specified above. Choose a sequence of compact sets K_n with the properties specified in Lemma 3. The parent and child relations often used with trees can be derived once a root is specified. In the latter case we may simply adjust F to equal 1 on the Borel set where it falls outside the specified interval. We take advantage of this fact on several occasions, by not actually specifying the topology under consideration. We will not normally specify the index set I.
- **spirit** The proof is similar in spirit to that of..... Although the definition may seem artificial, it is actually very much in the spirit of Darbo's old argument in [5].
- **split** [see also: decompose, subdivide] Moreover, M splits as $K \times L$. Now (1) splits into the pair of equations..... The proof conveniently splits into two cases. We shall split up K as follows.
- square By repeated squaring to eliminate the radicals in this equality, we obtain.....
- **stage** [see also: degree, extent, step] We are now ready to proceed to the final stage of our construction. At this stage we appeal to Theorem 2 to deduce that..... We may require that the point P lie in one of the trees constructed before or during the *i*th stage of the induction. at stage n
- **stand** [see also: state] Here dx stands for Lebesgue measure. [Or: the Lebesgue measure] The model as it stands does not satisfy the conditions of..... But if we argue as in (5), we run into

the integral...., which is meaningless as it stands. \bullet We make two standing assumptions on the maps under consideration.

- standard [see also: common, customary, familiar, usual, routine] Using the standard inner product we can identify H with H*. The standard proofs proceed via the Cauchy formula. A standard verification shows that..... It is a standard fact that..... Let us sketch the proof of the first estimate, which is a variation of standard arguments. Although standard, the notion of a virtual vector bundle is not particularly well known.
- **standpoint** [see also: viewpoint] From the standpoint of linear programming, the above discussion is incomplete in that it throws no light upon the question whether the function F attains its infimum.
- start 1 [see also: beginning, outset] We may thus assume from the start that..... We now fulfil the promise made at the start of the proof by handling the case of $G = S_n$.

2 [with sth; by doing sth; see also: begin, commence, proceed] We start with the observation that..... • Lemma 3 suggests that we start by considering A_1 . • In [2], this theorem is made the starting point of Gelfand theory. • curves starting at the origin

state 1 [see also: circumstances, situation, condition] The number of distinct states a processor may be in is at most n.

2 [see also: assert, say, give, formulate, stand] We end this section by stating without proof an analogue of..... • In order to state these conditions succinctly, we introduce the following terminology. • In our next theorem, we state a characterization of..... which does not seem to have been noticed previously. • The preceding proof contains a result which is interesting enough to be stated separately. • Stated informally, continuous functions of measurable functions are measurable. • We have not required f to be....., and we shall not do so except when explicitly stated. • Hence A has the stated continuity properties. • Part (b) follows from (a) on noting that A = B under the conditions stated. • Actually, [3, Theorem 2] does not apply exactly as stated, but its proof does.

- **statement** [see also: assertion, conclusion, formulation] The following three statements are equivalent:..... Now, (3) is merely an abbreviation for the statement that..... A statement equivalent to (c) is that to each w there corresponds a sequence..... Here is a more explicit statement of what the theorem asserts. The convergence of the sum on the left is of course a weaker statement than the convergence of (2). It is important that the orders of F and G are comparable, a statement made more precise by the following lemma. However, (ii) is nothing but the statement that..... [= only the statement that]
- **stay** [see also: remain, continue] The point is that (5) can stay small because..... If we stay a fixed distance off the critical line, we do not expect Benford behaviour. The Markov chain z_k takes no account of how long the process stays in V. This path stays in B for all time.
- step [see also: stage] The remainder of our work breaks into five steps. As a first step we shall bound A below. For the base step of the induction, consider a vertex t in A. A key step in obtaining (6) is Jensen's inequality. This was one of the major steps in Wiener's original proof of his Tauberian theorem., from which it is an easy step, via Lemma 1, to the conclusion that..... The next step is to establish..... Going back to the existential step of the proof, suppose that..... All the computation will be done by one processor in one step. By reversing the steps above, we see that..... Using (2) and following steps analogous to those above, we

obtain..... • To be sure we can carry out step n, we need to know that..... • The MR algorithm takes n steps to solve the problem. • at each step of the construction

- stick [see also: keep, continue] One can also study these equations on manifolds, but we stick to R^n for simplicity. All our results can be extended in this way, but we shall stick to considering P rather than P'.
- still [see also: continue, yet] The operators A_n have still better smoothness properties. The new X is no more continuous, although it still has norm 1. An ingenious alternative proof, shorter but still complicated, can be found in [MR]. This is of course still an implicit characterization of V, since..... It seems that the solution of Problem 1 is still out of reach. Then we can find a subsequence (still denoted by a_n) such that $a_n < 1$ for all n.
- **stipulation** [see also: requirement, demand] These extra stipulations are unimportant, but are given for definiteness.
- **straight** [see also: directly] Then the one and only integral curve of L starting from x is the straight line l. The boundedness follows straight from the definition of G.
- **straightforward** [see also: direct, easy, simple] Alternatively, it is straightforward to show directly that..... The proof of (2) is a matter of straightforward computation, and depends on the relation ab cd = 1. in a fairly straightforward way
- strange [see also: unusual] It may seem strange to define $0.\infty = 0$.
- strategy [see also: procedure, scheme, method, way] Our basic strategy for proving (1) is different. We start with a brief overview of our strategy. Furthermore, adopting this strategy considerably eases constructing a coding tree from a linear order. The condition..... can be improved by employing a strategy similar to that underlying the proof of Theorem 2. The strategy is much the same as for the proof of Theorem 2. a basic (broad/general/overall/viable) strategy
- strength [see also: force] We do not need the full strength of the bounds in (4).
- **strengthen** [see also: improve, sharpen, refine, strong] Theorem 3 can be strengthened by requiring that the ultrafilter U be additionally conservative. [Note the subjunctive be.] • However, a slight strengthening of the hypotheses does give us a regular measure.
- **stress** 1 [see also: emphasis] In his lectures, he laid great stress on the use of..... 2 [see also: emphasize, underline] Let us stress that c is a term and not a subset of C. • We should stress that this is only one of several versions of the 'measurable selector theorem', due variously to von Neumann, Jankow, Lusin and others. • It should be stressed, however, that.....
- strict Strict inequality [= with a $\langle or \rangle$ sign] can occur $\langle hold \rangle$ in (8) only if..... The condition (8) holds with strict inequality.
- strictly [see also: properly] This class is strictly larger than.... \bullet Then F is strictly less than G.
- strike This shape bears a striking similarity to that of..... All three cases bear a striking resemblance:..... This is in striking contrast to..... The two figures appear strikingly different.
- **stringent** [see also: restrictive] Condition (i) becomes more stringent as n increases.
- strive Striving for a contradiction, assume that.....

- **stroke** [see also: go] The idea of the following proof, which yields both (a) and (b) at one stroke, is due to von Neumann.
- strong [see also: strengthen] A stronger topology makes it easier for a given function to be continuous. In fact, this proof shows that a stronger result holds, namely,..... The first of these was suggested by J. Serrin, who showed how to modify my earlier treatment of..... so as to obtain stronger results with no extra effort.
- structure [see also: form] Then G has the structure of a group such that..... Neighbourhoods of points in these spaces appear at first glance to have a nice regular structure, but upon closer scrutiny, one sees that many neighbourhoods contain collections of arcs hopelessly folded up. This requires us to give M an R-module structure. Note that E can be given a complex structure by setting..... Thus, the tensor algebra acquires a graded algebra structure. They defined the concept solely in terms of the norm of the Banach space, deliberately avoiding any extra structure. The structure of a Banach algebra is frequently reflected in the growth properties of its analytic semigroups.
- **study 1** [see also: consideration, discussion, examination, investigation, research, exploration, analysis] Our study grew out of some valuable conversations with Kirk Douglas. Their study resulted in proving the conjecture for..... Much of the rest of the paper is devoted to a general study of..... In Section 2, we lay the foundations for a systematic study of..... In the study of infinite series $\sum a_n$ it is of significance whether the a_n approach zero rapidly. In Section 3 we proceed with the study of..... [= start or continue the study] In [7] the authors undertook a detailed study of..... a careful (comprehensive/close/systematic/comparative/definitive/profound/thorough/meticulous) study

2 [see also: consider, examine, investigate, explore] One can also study these equations on manifolds, but we stick to \mathbb{R}^n for simplicity. • If one studies the proof of.... it is apparent that (2) is never used. • The aim of this article is to study the relationship between the size of A, as measured by its diameter, and the extent to which A fails to be convex. • This is part of a larger project to study the Galois groups of periodic points of arbitrary polynomial maps. • This functor is much studied in [Ab] and [Ce]. • These three results lead to several illuminating pieces of information about the (insufficiently studied) Berger property in general spaces.

subdivide [see also: split] Each ray will subdivide into a pair of dynamically distinct pieces.

subject 1 [see also: theme, topic, matter, point, issue] This subject is treated at length in Section 2. • See also [3], where functions of exponential type are the main subject. • We cannot survey this whole subject here. • It seems that the relations between these concepts emerge most clearly when the setting is quite abstract, and this (rather than a desire for mere generality) motivates our approach to the subject.

2 Choose f in G subject only to the condition that Lf = 0. • They established the Hasse principle subject to a rank condition on the coefficients. • Take N to be a family of normal measures in P(X) such that N is maximal subject to the condition that the supports of the measures in the family are pairwise disjoint. • The location of the zeros of a holomorphic function in a region Ω is subject to no restriction except the obvious one concerning the absence of limit points in Ω .

subordinate a partition of unity subordinate to the covering $\{U_i\}$

subscript For brevity, we drop the subscript t on h_t . • We drop the subscript when confusion is unlikely. • To simplify the writing, we take a = 0 and omit the subscripts a. •where the

subscript r denotes radial differentiation.

- **subsequence** Then we can find a subsequence (still denoted by a_n) such that $a_n < 1$ for all n. • Then we can find a subsequence (not renamed) such that $a_n < 1$ for all n. • Thus every subsequence contains a further subsequence converging weakly to some limit. • Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that.....
- subsequent [see also: follow] Theorem 2 will form the basis for our subsequent results.
- **subsequently** [see also: next, then, below] Here and subsequently, M denotes..... We note in passing that Fox has subsequently improved Barnes's result by showing that.....
- substance [see also: essence, point, nature] What the theorem is saying in substance is that.....
 We should expect that..... This expectation is given substance by the following result.
- substantial [see also: considerable, significant, extensive, wide] This proof will require substantial changes to cover the case of..... A substantial no-man's-land persists in which neither alternative has been proved. Our proofs make substantial use of classical topology of the plane.
- substantially [see also: considerably, significantly, largely] The number of..... has increased substantially [= considerably] in recent years. The purpose of this paper is to expand substantially the class of maps for which the index can be computed. The level of..... has remained substantially [= largely, essentially] unchanged for many years.
- substantiate This claim, however, might be difficult to substantiate. [= prove]
- **substitute** [see also: put, replace] We can substitute a subspace A of X for the set $\{0, 1\}$. Substitute this value of z into $\langle in \rangle$ (7) to obtain.....
- **subsume** Most of these phenomena can be subsumed under two broad categories. [= included in]
- subterfuge [= trick] The analogous construction when A is not affine entails [= requires] the following subterfuge.
- **subtle** [see also: delicate, fine, minor] Although these proofs run along similar lines, there are subtle adjustments necessary to fit the argument to each new situation.
- **subtlety** There is a slight subtlety, since A is not exactly equivalent to B.
- **subtract** [not: "substract"] Since Z is a finite set, we may continue subtracting suitable scalar multiples of the x_i from x. By subtracting a constant if need be, we may assume.....
- succeed [in doing sth; see also: work] The search will succeed provided there is..... We succeeded in proving (4) for amenable groups. [Not: "succeeded to prove"]
- **success** They have a 90 per cent chance of success. However, the chance of success is very slight.
- **successful** Mary Lane deserves our special thanks for her part in bringing this volume to a successful completion.
- **successfully** The same kind of approach has been taken successfully to determine all regular maps of various small genera.

- **successive** [= consecutive] Successive vertices on a path have alternating labels. an interval of successive integers
- successively [= consecutively] We can then successively determine F in the other components.
 We can solve the resulting equations successively for c₁,..., c_n.
- **succinct** [= concise; *see also*: brief] a succinct account of the theory
- **succinctly** [= concisely] To state these conditions succinctly, we introduce the following terminology.
- such Assume that such a g exists. Theorem 1 can be used to bound the number of such T.
 There is a map such that..... [Not: "There is such a map that"] One such mapping is the function f given in..... There are few, if any, other significant classes of processes for which such precise information is available. Some such difficulty is to be expected. For general linear operators, there is not such an extensive functional calculus as there is for self-adjoint operators.
 a shorter such proof every such map many such maps Here the interesting questions are not about individual examples, but about the asymptotic behaviour of the set of examples as one or another of the invariants (such as the genus) goes to infinity. This convention simplifies the appearance of results such as the inversion formula. We observe a prolonged rise in prices such as occurred in the late 1960s. Our presentation is therefore organized in such a way that the analogies between the concepts of topological space and continuous function, on the one hand, and of measurable space and measurable function, on the other, are strongly emphasized.
 Such was the case in (8). We can continue to pick elements of B as above. But there are only finitely many such, a contradiction.
- **suffice** [see also: sufficient, enough] From Proposition 2, and in view of Theorem 3, it suffices to show that..... \bullet To tell whether a labelled partial order is a skeleton, it suffices to look at its substructures of size at most three. \bullet For our purposes, suffice it to say that if A is close to B, then....
- **sufficiency** We now prove sufficiency. [Or: the sufficiency]
- sufficient [see also: enough] Neither (1) nor (2) alone is sufficient for (3) to hold. This is clearly sufficient to make the computation for T. However, (5) is sufficient to guarantee invertibility in A. By symmetry considerations, it is sufficient to search over a region in which.....
- sufficiently [see also: enough] for all sufficiently small $h \bullet$ for n sufficiently large
- suggest [doing sth; see also: indicate, propose, recommend] This suggests that A can exist. Unfortunately, the details of the calculations were omitted, and there is some doubt on whether the result is correct since our analysis suggests that P_2 must vanish to third order; the presence of L^{-2} is also suspect. Lemma 3 suggests that we start by considering A_1 . Some other problems suggest themselves in the topological setting. This suggests the introduction of the differential operator $A = \dots$. This suggests a question: under what conditions is it true that....? The above construction suggests investigating the solutions of..... The first of these was suggested by J. Serrin, who showed how to modify my earlier treatment of..... so as to obtain stronger results with no extra effort. [Do not write: "He suggested me to study this" if you mean: He suggested studying this or He suggested I should study this.]
- suggestion The author thanks the referee for his helpful suggestions concerning the presentation

of this paper. • We are grateful to the referee for a number of helpful suggestions for improvement in the article. • At the suggestion of the referee, we consider some simple cases.

- suit [see also: fit, convenient] This definition best suits our purpose of showing that..... The motivation for the results of this section is the following result of John (paraphrased slightly to suit our purposes). A detailed exposition, more suited to the purposes of the present article, is given in [9]. It became clear that the Riemann integral should be replaced by some other type of integral, better suited for dealing with limit processes.
- **suitable** [see also: appropriate, convenient, good, correspond, relevant, fit, adapt] By suitable translation of variables in (5), we may arrange that $k = \dots$ [Not: "By adequate translation"] • It suffices to take a suitable translate of U. • Having established (1), one might be tempted to try to extend this result to general p through the choice of a suitable ideal B. • Since Z is a finite set, we may continue subtracting suitable scalar multiples of the x_i from x.
- **suitably** [see also: appropriately] Here Y is a Poisson variable suitably chosen to approximate X in distribution. We finish by mentioning that, suitably modified, the results of Section 2 apply to the AP case. with N being a suitably large constant in a suitably small neighbourhood of zero
- **sum 1** Hence F is the sum of an injective module and a projective module. Here $p_2(r)$ is the sum of the squares of the divisors of r. Every F is a sum of irreducible elements. Here F is the sum of a collection of..... The sum is taken over all a dividing p.
- **2** [see also: add] Summing (2) and (3), we obtain..... Keep only those vertices whose coordinates sum to 4. [= add up to 4]
- summarize $[\neq \text{resume}]$ We summarize some of its main properties, borrowing from the elegant discussion in Henson's article. Theorems 2 and 3 may be summarized by saying that..... Summarizing, whenever $n \geq 4$, we have shown that necessarily p < 5.
- **summary** In summary, if F is.... In particular, there is a summary of what is known about polarized pairs with small genus.
- **superfluous** [see also: redundant] We now prove that the positivity assumption is in fact superfluous.
- superior However, (5) is superior to (4) only when n is cubefree.
- **supply** [see also: give, furnish, offer, provide, yield] This equation supplies the key to the proof of Theorem 2. It is obvious that the above theorem supplies an algorithm to effectively recognize whether SP is in A. The statement does appear in [3] but there is a simple gap in the sketch of proof supplied.
- **support** 1 a function with compact support. We now prove that f cannot have compact support unless f = 0 a.e. This research was initiated when the first author was visiting the University of Alberta in the summer of 2008; the financial support and kind hospitality are gratefully acknowledged.
 - **2** Research partially supported by NSF Grant No. 23456. • Then F is supported in $\{|z| \le 1\}$.
 - Some partial evidence to support this conjecture is discussed in [3]. We offer numerical evidence to support a conjecture that there exist infinitely many primes of this type.

- **suppose** [see also: assume, presume] Suppose, to look at a more specific situation, that..... Suppose that, contrary to our claim,..... We now show that A is closed. Suppose that, on the contrary, there is an x..... Suppose towards a contradiction that..... We now prove..... Indeed, suppose otherwise. Then..... Suppose for the moment that q = 1, so that $\beta = 1$.
- **suppress** For simplicity, we suppress the explicit dependence on x in the notation. If G is clear from context, then we suppress reference to it in the notation. We will work exclusively in the category of standard Borel probability spaces, and so will often suppress mention of their sigma-algebras.
- **supremum** [see also: maximum] Taking supremum over all g such that..... we get..... The measure of A is the supremum of the measures of its compact subsets.
- sure To be sure we can carry out step n, we need to know that.....
- surely [see also: certainly] The following easy lemma is surely folklore. We now prove a simple fact about semigroups: this is surely a folklore result. These upper bounds are too large to be useful in computer calculations in general, but the ideas in the proofs will surely contribute to better bounds in the future. A formula like (3) surely deserves some explanation.
- surpass [see also: exceed, outnumber] Prewar levels of production were surpassed in 1929.
- surprise 1 Much to our surprise, even for k = 1 the problem proved quite difficult. It should come as no surprise that a condition like a_i ≠ b_i turns up in this theorem.
 2 We were surprised to find out that..... (at finding out that.....) This is somewhat surprising since.....
- **surprisingly** Not surprisingly, zero sets of sections of such an L are called ample divisors. The surprisingly neat answer is that the functions (2) span C(I) if and only if G(a) = 0.
- **survey** [see also: overview] **1** For an extensive survey of...., see..... For a survey of what is known to date, see [G]. A survey of the research on $f_n(x, y)$ up to 2007 (most of it dealing with the case n = 1) was given in [3]. The survey article [5] by Diestel contains a wealth of information about the Dunford–Pettis property.

2 We cannot survey this whole subject here. \bullet Other situations in dynamics where the *p*-adic numbers come up are surveyed in [W].

suspect 1 Indeed, there is reason to suspect that difficulties could increase with increasing n. • We suspect that our methods should extend to offer at least some description of characteristic factor-tuples for larger numbers of commuting transformations. • While we suspect that the methods of the present section can be brought to bear on this question also, we will not pursue this analysis in detail here.

2 Unfortunately, the details of the calculations were omitted, and there is some doubt on whether the result is correct since our analysis suggests that P_2 must vanish to third order; the presence of L^{-2} is also suspect.

- **symbol** [see also: denote, write, notation] Here A, B and C all belong to the same class, which we represent by the symbol P_2 . We shall use the symbol \cap to represent intersection. We call F and G equivalent (in symbols $F \equiv G$) if....
- **symmetry** The symmetry of G about 0 gives..... By symmetry considerations, it is sufficient to search over a region in which..... a crystal with hexagonal symmetry

synthesis Roughly speaking, we shall produce a synthesis of index theory with Fourier analysis.

- system The extended real number system is R with two symbols, ∞ and $-\infty$, adjoined. Therefore, the system (5) has a solution of the sought-for type.
- systematic In Section 2, we lay the foundations for a systematic study of.....
- **systematically** There are quite a number of cases, but they can be described reasonably systematically.

\mathbf{T}

table Direct verification establishes the other four cases (see the table below).

- tabulate We tabulate the outcome for $n \ge 10$; in particular, the column headed R lists R(n) truncated to three decimal places. The smallest (most delicate) values are tabulated below.
- tacit This sort of tacit convention is used throughout Gelfand theory.
- **tacitly** We tacitly assume that..... Of course, it is tacitly understood that it is this measure that is really under discussion.
- take [see also: address, consider, deal, adopt] One can, for example, take A to be the rationals in X. • The parameter interval was here taken to be (0, 1). • Let us take B as our range space. • Take as base for a topology on X the sets of the form..... • The constant C may be taken as double the constant appearing in (5). • Take for H the set..... • Taking y = x we get..... •where the sup is taken over all intervals I. • It turns out that this is independent of the representations taken (as long as they are faithful). • The map f takes a to f(a). • The map f takes the value 1 for t = 1. • The function g takes its maximum at x = 5. • The MR algorithm takes n steps to solve the problem. • Following the same lines we find that it takes k prolongations to get an immersed curve. • We take the same approach as in [3]. • This procedure can be extended to take care of any number of terms. • Let us now take a look at the class N, with the purpose of determining how..... • A linear transformation takes us back to the case in which..... • Then (5) takes on the form..... [Or: takes the form] • Here f takes over the role of the time parameter. • The first 15 chapters should be taken up in the order in which they are presented, except for Chapter 9, which may be postponed. • First we take up the trivial case h = 0. • In closing this section we take up a result which will play a pivotal role in the characterization of.....

talk 1 [= lecture] He gave an interesting talk on....

2 [see also: speak] When we talk of a complex measure, it is understood that $\mu(E)$ is a complex number.

- task [see also: objective, aim] We can accomplish both tasks by showing that..... The task is now to obtain..... Solving it in a general setting is a complicated task. Although individually these systems can still be quite complicated, a possibly more tractable task is to describe their possible joint distributions.
- technical First, we establish a technical point already alluded to in Section 3. The definition is stated in terms of local martingales, rather than martingales, for the technical reason that the former are easier to characterize in applications. Apart from a few embellishments necessitated by some technical difficulties, the ideas differ very little from those used to prove Lemma 4.

- **technicality** This condition may seem unnatural, but it simplifies some of the technicalities of the proof. In order to bypass some technicalities, we also assume that..... Here the functional analytic tools required are simpler, but this easier setting allows us to develop some methods without undue worry about technicalities.
- technique [see also: approach, method, procedure, way] His techniques work just as well for general v. The technique is the same as in the proof of..... The argument is now completed by means of techniques originated in the work of Stolz [3]. We encourage the reader unfamiliar with techniques from the theory of..... to consult [BS]. A second technique for creating new triangulations out of old ones is central retriangulation.
- **technology** Although our proof is a little tedious, it is much less so than Ito's original proof, which was carried out without the benefit of martingale technology.
- tedious [= long and uninteresting] The proof is tedious but routine. Although our proof is a little tedious, it is much less so than Ito's original proof, which was carried out without the benefit of martingale technology. • Kim announces that (by a tedious proof) the upper bound can be reduced to 10. • The only thing to check is that maps compose correctly; but this is an easy, if tedious, verification.
- tell [see also: say, assert, state, determine, decide] A direct application of Theorem 4 only tells us that..... From the theory so far, we cannot tell if all four points are in the same Nielsen class.
 To tell whether a labelled partial order is a skeleton, it suffices to look at its substructures of size at most three.
- temporarily [see also: moment] Temporarily assuming that E is positive, we multiply.....
- **temporary** [see also: provisional, ad hoc] Let us introduce the temporary notation Ff for gfg.
- **tempt** It is also tempting to get round this problem by working with..... \bullet One is tempted to reverse the order of integrations but that is illegitimate here. \bullet Having established (1), one might be tempted to try to extend this result to general p through the choice of a suitable ideal B. Alas, as we shall see now, this attempt is futile.
- tend [see also: converge, approach] Clearly, F_n tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. Observe that as I becomes smaller, d(I, f) tends to 1.
- tentative [= cautious, not firm] However, a few tentative conclusions can be drawn.
- term 1 [see also: name, notion, word, language] So all the terms of (2) are accounted for, and the theorem is proved. • This term drops out when f is differentiated. • Each of the terms that make up G(t) is well defined. • term-by-term differentiation • This theorem accounts for the term 'subharmonic'. • The term 'upath' is a mnemonic for 'unit speed path'. • The answer depends on how broadly or narrowly the term 'matrix method' is defined. • The above definition was first given in [D]; care is required because this term has been used in a slightly different sense elsewhere. • The z-component can be expressed in terms of the gauge function. • Our aim here is to give some sort of functorial description of K in terms of G. • This can be easily reformulated in purely geometric terms.

2 [see also: call, designate, name] Garling has introduced a more general class of martingales, termed Hardy martingales.

terminate [see also: end] a path terminating at x

terminology In order to state these conditions succinctly, we introduce the following terminology.
In Section 2 we set up notation and terminology.
In discussing structures, we shall employ the standard terminology of first-order logic.
This terminology is used slightly differently in [6].
This is slightly at odds [= inconsistent] with the terminology of [4], as Fox defines the trace filter to be the normal filter generated by A.

- **test** [see also: examine, check, investigate] The property f(U) = V can be tested by examining the behaviour of..... Computing f(y) can be done by enumerating A(y) and testing each element for membership in C. We now test equation (6) with the function F to obtain.....
- **text** Chapter 2 of the classic text [6] by R. Nevanlinna has a detailed treatment of this construction.
- than Now F is greater than or equal to G. [Not: "greater or equal to G", "greater or equal G", nor "greater or equal than G"] The point is that the operator is now much easier to analyse than is the case in the original setting of the space B. Part of the conclusion is that F moves each z closer to the origin than it was.
- thank The author thanks H. Miller for a careful reading of an earlier draft. We thank the referee for recommending various improvements in exposition. It is a pleasure to thank R. Greenberg for bringing his criterion for..... to our attention, and for generously sharing his ideas about it.
- thanks 1 Mary Lane deserves our special thanks for her part in bringing this volume to a successful completion. The referee deserves thanks for careful reading and many useful comments. We would like to express our thanks to all of these institutions for their hospitality and support.
 2 Thanks to Lemma 2, we can now modify the proof of Theorem 3 by inductively constructing five sequences.....
- that [see also: which, namely] That (2) implies (1) is contained in the proof of Theorem 1 of [4].
 Clearly, A_∞ weights are sharp weights. That there are no others is the main result of Section 2.
 The degree of P equals that of Q. The continuity of f implies that of g. The diameter of F is about twice that of G. It is this point of view which is close to that used in C*-algebras.
 Define f(z) to be that y for which..... Where there is a choice of several acceptable forms, that form is selected which..... Associated with each Steiner system is its automorphism group, that is, the set of all..... The usefulness and interest of this correspondence will of course be enhanced if there is a way of returning from the transforms to the functions, that is to say, if there is an inversion formula. We now state a result that will be of use later. A principal ideal is one that is generated by a single element. Let I be the family of all subalgebras that contain F. [Or: which contain F; you can use either that or which in defining clauses.]
- the Note that the P produced in Theorem 2 need not have dP = 0.where the P_k are polynomials. We characterize the Banach spaces X for which n(X) = 1. Thus $\pi_n(X)$ can be interpreted as the homotopy classes of maps $S^n \to X$. [= as the set of all homotopy classes] It has some basic properties in common with another most important class of functions, namely, the continuous ones. In the plane, the open sets are those which are unions of open circular discs. Since u is constant on the level sets of a, it follows that..... the number of zeros of f in D the density of the zeros of f Let A be the union of the sets f(Q) for f in F. Here $p_2(r)$ is the sum of the squares of the divisors of r. The problem has a very natural connection with the problem of the distribution of the zeros of a bounded holomorphic function in a half-plane. •

Intuitively, entropy of a partition is a measure of its information content—the larger the entropy, the larger the information content.

theme [see also: subject, topic] We shall return to this central theme in Section 4.

- then The complex case then follows from (a). Continuity then finishes off the argument. Theorem 3 may be interpreted as saying that A = B, but it must then be remembered that.....
 Then G has 10 normal subgroups and as many non-normal ones. If p = 0 then there are an additional m arcs. [Note the article an.] If y is a solution, then ay also solves (3) for all a in B.
- **theorem** Here is a more explicit statement of what the theorem asserts. What the theorem is saying in substance is that..... This theorem accounts for the term 'subharmonic'. Theorem 2 will form the basis for our subsequent results. [Not: "The Theorem 2"] In particular, the theorem applies to weakly confluent maps. Finally, case (E) is completed by again invoking Theorem 1. At this stage we appeal to Theorem 2 to deduce that..... Brown's theorem [without the] = the Brown theorem a theorem of Brown's [= one of Brown's theorems], which, by another theorem of Kimney's, is more than enough to guarantee that P gives A outer measure 1. Wiener's famous (renowned/celebrated) theorem
- theory In [2], this theorem is made the starting point of Gelfand theory. This approach is standard in homotopy theory. Unfortunately, the notation from number theory slightly conflicts with the notation from probability theory. More specialized notions from Banach space theory will be introduced as needed. This abstract theory is not in any way more difficult than the special case of the real line. Kearnes developed a commutator theory for relative congruences, with the expectation that it can be used to prove Pigozzi's conjecture.
- there Theorem 2, at the end of Section 2, was not originally obtained in the manner indicated there. • That approach was used earlier in [2]. There, however, it was applied in simply connected regions only. • This shows that there is $r \ge 0$ such that.... [Or: there is an $r \ge 0$] • Now, there are a and b such that..... • There exists a function f and a constant c such that..... [Or: Thereexist a function f and a constant c] • However, there are a large number of examples showing that..... [Not: "There is a large number"] • If p = 0 then there are an additional m arcs. [Note the article an.] • We have to show that the property of there being x and y such that x < yuniquely determines P up to isomorphism. • Then (3.5) is a necessary and sufficient condition for there to be a function f such that..... • How many such expressions are there? • How many entries are there in this section? • In general, we have $a \leq b$; there is equality if..... • There has recently been increasing interest in the theory of..... • There is not space to enumerate them all here. • From the viewpoint of the Fox theorem, there is not an exact parallel between the odds and the evens. • For general linear operators, there is not such an extensive functional calculus as there is for self-adjoint operators. • Hence there can be no condition on the norms which guarantees (7). • In representation theory, there can never be a B-map whose domain is finite-dimensional. • There cannot be two edges between one pair of vertices. • With each D there is associated a region V_D . • There remain four intervals of length 1/4 each. • There remains one further case to consider. [But: It remains to consider one further case.] \bullet In [6] there occur the following formulas. • There seems to be no simple formula for
- **thereafter** [= from that time on] This procedure, once implemented, can thereafter be applied with great effectiveness.

- thereby [= as a result of that] Clearly, A is thereby put in one-to-one correspondence with B.
 So F must be constant on H, thereby showing that A = B, as desired.
- **therefore** [= for that reason; *see also*: consequently, hence, thus] It is therefore of interest to look at..... The polynomials R_i do not have this stability property, and are therefore of little interest. One must therefore also introduce the class of..... Therefore, whenever it is convenient, we may assume that.....
- these In this way, D yields operators D⁺ and D⁻. These are formal adjoints of each other.
 The best known of these is the Knaster continuum.
 One of these lies in the union of the other two.
 We refer to these as homogeneous Sobolev spaces.
 Each of these three integrals is finite.
 We begin by constructing a function f which has these prescribed zeros.
- **thesis** [= dissertation] This was finally settled in Siebenmann's thesis. [Do not write: "the thesis of Theorem 3" if you mean: the assertion of Theorem 3.]
- thing [see also: point, matter] Thus A-equivalence and B-equivalence are the same thing. The only thing to check is that maps compose correctly; but this is an easy, if tedious, verification. When r > 3 things become much more difficult. We begin by showing that α -collections and β -collections are quite different things. The next corollary shows among other things that..... [Not: "among others"]
- think [see also: view, regard, consider] If one thinks of x, y as space variables and of z as time, then..... It may help to think of F as being a smooth approximation to the Heaviside function.
 This result may be thought of as a sort of regularity theorem. So s can be thought of as q with F^q extended but X^q left the same.
- **third** The budget has increased by more than a third. The algorithm examines only roughly one-quarter to one-third of the characters. Each tree is about two-thirds as deep as it was before. Let E be Cantor's familiar middle thirds set.
- this [see also: it] This is because the factor M satisfies..... This is why no truncation is required here. • (This is where the local convexity of E is needed.) • This says that the real part of gis..... • We shall assume that this is the case. • For K, this is no longer true. [Compare: For K, it is no longer true that.....] • In order to justify this, we now show that..... • We leave this as an exercise. • In this and the other theorems of this section, the X_n are any independent random variables with a common distribution. • In more detail, the assertion is this: if..... • Adding over n = 0, 1, ... leads to this result:.....
- **thorough** [see also: extensive, complete, depth, detail] For a thorough treatment of..... we refer the reader to Section 5 of [Ho].
- those Those more than half a square count as whole ones. Using (2) and following steps analogous to those above, we obtain..... • Our results are closely related to those of Strang [5]. • These intervals are disjoint from all those used in defining J_1 . • In the plane, the open sets are those which are unions of open circular discs. • We define the set T to consist of those f for which..... • Now A consists of those vectors with eight 2's. • It is easy to see that the extreme points of the unit ball $M(\Omega)_{[1]}$ are those measures of the form $\zeta \delta_x$. • Keep only those vertices whose coordinates sum to 4.

though [see also: although, but, albeit] The sum in (2), though formally infinite, is therefore

actually finite. • For our application though, we need a stronger statement. • Even though we were able to derive a formula for....., it is not easy to use. • The fact that the number T(p) is uniquely defined, even though p is not, enables us to define the nullity of A as follows.

- three [see also: two] The three groups have the same number of generators. [= Those three groups; not: "The 3 groups"; the numbers 1 to 12, when used for counting objects (without units of measurement), should be written in words.] We now define three more groups of interest. We have thus found another three solutions of (5). [= three more]
- through Note that T(g) depends on g only through its differential dg. Then T tends to zero only through positive values. Most distribution spaces can be characterized through the action of appropriate convolution operators. Through an appeal to (5.3) we have..... Having established (1), one might be tempted to try to extend this result to general p through the choice of a suitable ideal B. Exercises 2 to 5 [= Exercises 2–5; amer. Exercises 2 through 5] We now go through the clauses of Definition 3. On dividing through by f, we see that..... The rest of the proof goes through as for Corollary 2, with hardly any changes. There are kneading sequences for which the arguments of Section 4 go through routinely. Multiplying through by f and integrating shows that..... This assumption enables us to push through the same arguments.
 As t runs from 0 to 1, the point f(t) runs through the interval [a, b]. rotation through π/3 (through an angle θ)
- **throughout** We adopt throughout the convention that compact spaces are Hausdorff. Here and throughout, P(E) denotes..... Throughout integration theory, one inevitably encounters ∞ . • Throughout what follows, we shall freely use without explicit mention the elementary fact that..... • The letter χ will be reserved for characteristic functions throughout this book. • Then $a \leq b \leq c \leq a$. We therefore have equality throughout.
- thus [see also: consequently, follow, hence, so, therefore] It will thus be sufficient to..... [= therefore] Once this is done, the proof continues thus:..... [= in this way] The function thus defined is a semigroup morphism. However, we have thus far been unable to find any magic squares with seven square entries.
- tie [see also: connect, link, relate] The next theorem ties together the concepts of.....
- tilde Generally we add a tilde to distinguish between quantities associated with \tilde{G} and those associated with G.
- time [see also: moment, simultaneously] an impulse acting at time t = 0 This path stays in *B* for all time. • This can be performed in O(n) time. • The problem is to move all the discs to the third peg by moving only one at a time. • At times [= Occasionally] it will be useful to consider..... • We prove both lemmas at the same time. • At the time of writing [5], I was not aware of this reference. • It has been known for some time that..... • But this time boundedness on *U* is enough; we do not need continuity on *V*. • Then *A* has three times as many elements as *B* has. • The integral of *F* is *r* times the sum of..... • Thus *X* has *r* times the length of *Y*. • The diameter of *A* is three times that of *B*. • Clearly, *F* is *r* times as long as *G*. • Applying this argument *k* more times, we obtain..... •where $z^k = z...z$ (*k* times) • Let *K* be the number of times that *z* returns to *B*. • Repeating this procedure enough times gives the desired triangulation.
- to Denote by θ the angle at x that is common to these triangles. The following lemma, crucial to

Theorem 2, is also implicit in [4]. • Knowing this matrix is equivalent to knowing the multiplicities of the l_i . • Thus θ will be less than π by an amount comparable to a(s). • Essential to the proof are certain topological properties of G. • We shall see later that the values of h(n) for large n are irrelevant to the problem. • We base our development on two properties of prolongation peculiar to this case. • But the T_n need not be contractions in L^1 , which is the main obstruction to applying standard arguments for densities. • We write $\beta = \dots$, which is a slight modification to the previous version of β . • We can join a to b by a path π . [Not: "join a with b"] • The case where i is odd yields to a similar argument. • Exercises 2 to 5 [= Exercises 2–5; amer. Exercises 2 through 5] • To deal with (3), consider..... • We now apply (2) to get Nf = 0. • Theorems 1 and 2 combine to give the following result. • To see that f = g is fairly easy. • Every prime in the factorization appears to an even power.

- together [see also: combine, conjunction] Now (2) and (3) together are equivalent to (4). Together with Lemma 4, this implies that..... • It follows from this representation together with Lemma 3 that..... •, which, together with (2), shows..... • The aim of this paper is to bring together two areas in which..... • These volumes bring together all of R. Bing's published mathematical papers. • The key part is to show that the submanifolds U_k fit together to form a complex submanifold. • There is a natural way to glue the associated varieties together along their common boundary. • The next theorem ties together the concepts of.....
- too [see also: also, well, similarly, likewise] In practice, D is usually too large a set to work with. • Consequently, A has two elements too many. [Or: A has two too many elements.] • There are other problems with this example which would hinder any attempt to follow the proof given here too closely. • We denote this, too, by Q. • Note that this too is best possible. • The inner sum is zero (and so too is S(a, b)). • If (1) and (2) hold, then so too does (3).
- **tool** This is the essential tool in proving Theorem 5. These idempotents provide a useful tool for analysing the structure of G. In this paper we offer some useful tools for bounding d-pseudoprimes. The abstract theory gives us a tool of much wider applicability. A further tool available is the following classical result of Chen.
- **top** [see also: bottom, upper] at the top of page $4 \bullet$ near the top of the scale \bullet the top row \bullet the top right-hand corner \bullet We now start piling the pieces on top of each other. \bullet In Figure 2, the set A is marked by a square with a small triangle on top.
- topic [see also: theme, subject, matter, point, issue] This topic has been dealt with by many authors. Another topic of great interest is how much of adjunction theory holds for ample vector bundles.
- total 1 [see also: all, altogether] There are N vertices of the 24-cube which have a 1 in the *i*th coordinate and a total of five 1s. This makes a total of 170 elements adjacent to A. There are twelve indecomposables in total.
 - **2** [see also: whole, complete, entire, full] The total number of such vectors is 88. The total amount of information lost is.....
 - **3** [see also: add up, amount] The angles of a triangle total 180. Subsidies on these commodities total 25 per cent of the budget.
- touch [see also: refer, mention, allude] We shall touch only a few aspects of the theory.

towards [= toward] Suppose, towards a contradiction, that..... • The vector field H always

points towards the higher A-level. \bullet We now work toward the establishment of properties (A) to (D).

- tractable However, with the recent advent of simulation based inference, the need for analytically tractable posteriors is no longer critical. Although individually these systems can still be quite complicated, a possibly more tractable task is to describe their possible joint distributions.
- **transfer 1** [see also: passage, transition] Section 6 contains a formula which permits transfer of the results in Section 2 to sums of independent random variables. **2** [see also: move] In this case it is advantageous to transfer the problem to (say) the upper half-plane. • We now transfer the above analysis back to M(A). • Hence the action of G on A transfers, via f, to an action on B.
- **transform** [sth into sth; see also: alter, change, convert, make into, modify] Replacement of z by 1/z transforms (3) into (4).
- **transition** [see also: passage, transfer] Then we shall use (2.3) in order to make the transition from M to a universal Turing machine U.
- translate 1 It suffices to take a suitable translate of U.
 2 Section 2 then translates the results into equivariant cohomology. This can be translated into the language of differential forms.
- **transpire** [see also: turn out] It transpires that F is not necessary here. [= It turns out]
- treat [see also: consider, deal, discuss, present, handle] This subject is treated at length in Section 2. In the present paper we move outside the random walk case and treat time-inhomogeneous convolutions. The approach in [GT] provides a unified way of treating a wide variety of seemingly disparate examples.
- **treatment** [see also: consideration, discussion, study] For a thorough treatment of..... we refer the reader to Section 5 of [Ho]. • For a comprehensive treatment and for references to the extensive literature on the subject one may refer to the book [M] by Markov. • An extensive treatment of the *h*-principle can be found in [6]. • It is beyond the scope of this paper to give a complete treatment of..... • While the first version was being referred, I found that Zhang [2] had given a similar treatment of $E_n(X)$. • Chapter 2 of the classic text [6] by R. Nevanlinna has a detailed treatment of this construction. • The first of these was suggested by J. Serrin, who showed how to modify my earlier treatment of..... so as to obtain stronger results with no extra effort. • We could have adopted an approach to proving Theorem 2 along a line of reasoning which bears greater resemblance to the treatment of the analogous result in Section 1.
- trial [see also: attempt] A solution will be found by a process of trial and error.
- **trick** [see also: subterfuge] In fact, there is a trick which enables one to reduce the time dependent case on \mathbb{R}^n to the time independent case on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .
- **trivial** The trivial cases when the family consists of only one set are excepted. First we take up the trivial case h = 0. If there are to be any nontrivial solutions x then any odd prime must satisfy..... It is generally a highly nontrivial question whether.....
- triviality To avoid trivialities, we shall assume that.....

- **trivially** The equivalence of (a) and (b) is trivially implied by the definition of M. The estimate is trivially true if A = B. The desired inequality holds trivially whenever A > 0.
- **trouble** [see also: complication, difficulty, problem] Now the reader will have no trouble verifying that.....
- **true** [see also: correct, valid, hold] The conjecture (now known not to be true in general) was that..... In other algorithms, this may not be true. Unfortunately, because of the possible presence of 'cusps', this need not be true. This is not true of F. [Or: for F] This works regardless of whether B is true or false. Let us now take a quick look at the class N, with the purpose of determining how much of Theorems 1 and 2 is true here. This suggests a question: under what conditions is it true that.....? Only in exceptional circumstances is it true that f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y). [Note the inversion.]
- **truncate** We tabulate the outcome for $n \ge 10$; in particular, the column headed R lists R(n) truncated to three decimal places.
- **truth** We will finish this section by offering a second application of our machinery (although in truth it is largely a corollary of the above).
- **try** [see also: attempt, effort, seek] In the remainder of this section we shall be trying to answer the question:..... We shall try to give it the simplest representation possible. Having established (1), one might be tempted to try to extend this result to general p through the choice of a suitable ideal B.
- turn 1 [see also: rotation] A turn through $\pi/3$ restores the position of the needle. The algorithm compares x with each entry in turn until a match is found or the list is exhausted. The orbits of H on B are unions of orbits of N on G, which in turn are orbits of N on G_1 , G_2 and G_3 .

2 [see also: proceed, change into, make into, transform, appear, go back, transpire] We now turn to a brief discussion of another concept which is relevant to John's theorem. • We now turn to estimating Tf. • Implementation is the task of turning an algorithm into a computer program. • We turn the set of..... into a category by defining the morphisms to be..... • We now turn back to our main question. • It turns out that A is not merely symmetric, but actually selfadjoint. • This condition also turns out to be necessary. • However, this equality turned out to be a mere coincidence. • Our main finding in this paper is that this intuition turns out to be erroneous. • It should come as no surprise that a condition like $a_i \neq b_i$ turns up in this theorem. [= appears]

- **twice** [see also: double] The curve C encircles the origin twice. The diameter of F is about twice that of G. Let 2I denote the interval concentric with I but of twice its length. Any point not in B is moved by f a distance equal to twice the distance to M.
- two [see also: three] The player has to decide which of the two strategies is better for him and act accordingly. [Not: "the 2 strategies"; the numbers 1 to 12, when used for counting objects (without units of measurement), should be written in words.] The first two are simpler than the third. [Or: the third one; not: "The first two ones"] One of these lies in the union of the other two. the last two rows the following two maps a two-variable characterization

twofold Then P covers M twofold. • The motivation for writing this paper was twofold.

- **two-thirds** The sum of the depths is at most two-thirds of what it was before. Each tree is about two-thirds as deep as it was before.
- **type** [see also: kind, sort, form] A function exhibiting this type of behaviour has been constructed in [9]. • It became clear that the Riemann integral should be replaced by some other type of integral, better suited for dealing with limit processes. • Section 2 presents two L^1 -type characterizations. • Then A is an algebra of type II. • All of the action in creating S_{i+1} takes place in the individual cells of type 2 or 3. • It is a simple matter to remove all type 1 edges. • Therefore, the system (5) has a solution of the sought-for type. • for all f of the type specified in Theorem 4
- typical [of sth; see also: characteristic] This fact is typical of diffraction.
- **typically** A computational restraint is the algebraic number theory involved in finding these ranks, which will typically be more demanding than in our example of Section 1. While nonparametric priors are typically difficult to manipulate, we believe the contrary is true for quantile pyramids. Here one typically takes E to lie in the subspace H.

U

- **ultimate** [see also: eventual, final] Our ultimate objective is to eliminate this assumption completely.
- unaffected [see also: unchanged] The right hand side of (3) is unaffected if we replace H by G.
 Properties involving topological centres are unaffected by a change to an equivalent weight.
 If a, b, and c are permuted cyclically, the left side of (2) is unaffected.
- **unambiguously** Formula (9) defines F(n) unambiguously for every n.
- **unaware** [see also: know] In 1925 Franklin, unaware of Stackel's work, showed..... There are, however, a few important papers of which we were unaware until fairly recently. However, we are unaware of any counterexample to the following conjecture:.....
- **unchanged** [see also: unaffected] The limit in 4(b) is unchanged if g is replaced by f.
- unclear However, it is unclear how to prove Corollary 3 without the rank theorem.
- under Under what conditions can f have a local minimum in A? Then X is a Banach algebra under convolution multiplication (under this norm). • It follows that G is maximal under (for) the usual partial ordering of B. • Hence F is invariant under ϕ . [= ϕ -invariant] • the image of Aunder f = the f-image of A • The point x maps to ∞ under f. • This class includes just under 3000 items.
- **undergo** The shape of F undergoes radical changes as x moves from A to B.
- **underlie** [see also: basis, foundation] It is this idea that underlies some of the results of [2]. The condition..... can be improved by employing a strategy similar to that underlying the proof of Theorem 2. The semidirect product of H and G has $H \times G$ as its underlying set. All our results hold independently of whether the underlying field is R or C.
- **underline** [see also: emphasize, stress] We underline that the aforementioned results in [1] all rely on the conformality of the underlying construction. This underlines the importance of the Bass conjecture.

- **understand** [see also: mean, know, realize, recognize, grasp] When we talk of a complex measure, it is understood that $\mu(E)$ is a complex number. The first equality is understood to mean that..... The ideal is defined by $m = \dots$, it being understood that..... To understand why, let us remember that..... By quasi-equation we understand a sentence of the form..... Our development will require a detailed understanding of cozero covers. Thus it is reasonable to attempt, using this homeomorphism, to gain an understanding of the structure of M. It is hoped that a deeper understanding of these residues will help establish new results about the distribution of modular symbols.
- undertake In [7] the authors undertook a detailed study of.....
- **undesirable** However, if B were omitted in (1), the case n = 0 would imply Nf = 1, an undesirable restriction.
- **unfortunately** [see also: alas] Unfortunately, this is rarely the case. Unfortunately, the notation from number theory slightly conflicts with the notation from probability theory.
- uniform To handle the three cases in a uniform way, it is convenient to.....
- **uniformly** Then $F_n(x, y)$ converges to F(x, y) uniformly in x. One must also be aware that the curvature of M_i might not be bounded uniformly in i. Hence, although the topology of reducts of A is uniformly controlled, so to speak, by that of A, the model theory of the reducts can be much wilder.
- unify Very recently, Heck introduced a general approach that unifies and extends all these results.The approach in [GT] provides a unified way of treating a wide variety of seemingly disparate examples.
- **unimportant** These extra stipulations are unimportant, but are given for definiteness.
- **union** Every open set is a union of balls. \bullet We have thus proved that B is the union of a collection of balls.
- **unique** [see also: single, only] To every F there corresponds a unique G such that..... This equation has a unique solution for every p. Then F has a unique lift F'. Therefore, F has the unique lift $F' = p^{-1}F$. Let F be the unique map such that..... Hence M is the unique largest submodule of type (a).
- **uniquely** This normalization determines V uniquely. Then G is uniquely determined up to unitary equivalence. The fact that the number T(p) is uniquely defined, even though p is not, enables us to define the nullity of A as follows.
- unit The *m* points x_1, \ldots, x_m are regularly spaced *t* units apart. an interval of unit length the unit mass concentrated at $x \bullet$ an algebra with unit the units digit
- **unity** The coefficients of A add up to unity.
- university After receiving his PhD he took a position at (he came to) the University of Texas.
 He received his master and PhD degrees from the University of Texas.
 In 1987 he went to Delhi University.
 He is currently Professor of Mathematics at Texas State University.
 This research was initiated when the first author was visiting the University of Alberta in the summer of 2008; the financial support and kind hospitality are gratefully acknowledged.

- **unless** We now prove that f cannot have compact support unless f = 0 a.e. We put b in R unless a is already in. The S-function f is zero unless l = m, in which case it depends only on M.
- unlike [see also: contrast, oppose] Note that, unlike the maximal function, the Hilbert transform is not..... Unlike Bell's method, Hall's does not use transfinite induction. Unlike the case with designs, there exist weak designs where the universe size does not depend on the number of sets.
- unlikely This change is unlikely to affect the solution. We drop the subscript when confusion is unlikely. It is unlikely that the disturbances will eventually disappear.
- unnecessarily His proof is unnecessarily complicated.
- **unnecessary** [see also: superfluous, redundant] It is therefore unnecessary to specify G on M.
- **unresolved** [see also: open] The question whether A belongs to W was left unresolved there.
- until The algorithm compares x to each entry in turn until a match is found or the list is exhausted. This process can be repeated until we obtain the promised triangulation. We postpone the proof until Section 2. We walk from X to Y until the first place where G changes. We defer the proof of the 'moreover' statement in Theorem 5 until after the proof of the lemma. There are, however, a few important papers of which we were unaware until fairly recently.
- **unusual** [see also: remarkable, rare, strange] One unusual feature of the solution should be pointed out.
- **up** The saddle-point conditions are satisfied up to an error o(n). He used a new version of an algorithm for finding all normal subgroups of up to a given index in a finitely presented group. • Here F is only defined up to an additive constant. • Therefore, G is uniquely determined up to unitary equivalence. \bullet If we know a covering space E of X then not only do we know that....., but we can also recover X (up to homeomorphism) as E/G. • from stage A up to, but not including, stage $B \bullet$ The arguments from this point up to Theorem 2 do not depend on.... \bullet Up to now, we have assumed that..... \bullet The coefficients of A add up to unity. \bullet Other situations in dynamics where the p-adic numbers come up are surveyed in [W]. • Arguing as before, we shall end up with a simple tree all of whose facets contain V. • Neighbourhoods of points in these spaces appear at first glance to have a nice regular structure, but upon closer scrutiny, one sees that many neighbourhoods contain collections of arcs hopelessly folded up. • These subsets join up to form a simple closed curve passing through A and B. • The set WF(u) is made up of bicharacteristic strips. • Each of the terms that make up G(t) is well defined. • Now F is defined to make G and H match up at the left end of I. \bullet Write out the integers from 1 to n. Pair up the first and the last, the second and next to last, etc. • Values computed for the right side of (2) were rounded up in the fourth decimal place. • In Section 2 we set up notation and terminology. [= prepare] • On TK we set up the symplectic structure induced by the metric. [= introduce] • This space of curves also shows up in the theorem of Meyer on..... • We shall split up K as follows. • First we take up the trivial case h = 0. • In closing this section we take up a result which will play a pivotal role in the characterization of..... • It should be no surprise that a condition like $a_i \neq b_i$ turns up in this theorem. • The lectures were written up by M. Stong.

- **upon** The second inequality follows upon considering R_i for i > 0. We may assume, upon replacing F by F_1 , that..... Upon combining the estimate for B with (5), we have now established the first conclusion of Theorem 8. Adding E to both sides of (1), we can call upon (2) to obtain (3).
- upper Kim announces that (by a tedious proof) the upper bound can be reduced to 10.
 We use upper case letters to represent inverses of generators. [= capital letters]
 an upper semicontinuous function
- use 1 [see also: application, means, via, utility] Apart from these two chapters, we make no use of the results of [4]. The proof makes essential use of the Sobolev inequalities. This section makes heavy use of a theorem of Alsen and related results. Our proofs make substantial use of classical topology of the plane. That is—apart from the use of relaxed controls—precisely the stochastic Bellman equation. In the following applications use will be made of..... The idea behind our use of the σ's is that..... We depart from these previous works in our use of the non-ergodic versions of the basic machinery. We now state a result that will be of use later.
 For later use in conjunction with the weighted averages occurring in (2), we next consider...... [Note the double r in occurring.] For future use, choose any monotone h(m) tending to infinity such that..... The main new feature is the use of the face ring to produce lower bounds for the number of vertices. The second way of constructing K(F) is through the use of..... Some proofs may be simplified by the use ⟨by use⟩ of.....

2 [see also: apply, employ, exploit] We shall not use this fact in any essential way. • We obtain (using the fact that Q is a probability measure) $Q(A) = \dots$ • The advantage of using.... lies in the fact that..... • Quite a few of them are now widely used. • We conclude with two simple lemmas to be used mainly in the proof of..... • This method for solving (1) was described in [5]. • In [1] the methods used are those of differential topology.

- useful [see also: helpful, advantageous, valuable, convenient] A concept which has proved useful in the study of measures is tameness. These results show that an analysis purely at the level of functions cannot be useful for describing..... This assumption is useful for simplifying proofs.
 The following variant of Theorem 2 is occasionally useful. This is the least useful of the four theorems. At times [= Occasionally] it will be useful to consider..... It is useful to consider some rather simple examples to gain some intuition. These idempotents provide a useful tool for analysing the structure of G.
- **usefulness** [see also: utility] The usefulness and interest of this correspondence will of course be enhanced if there is a way of returning from the transforms to the functions, that is to say, if there is an inversion formula.
- **usual** [see also: common, customary, familiar, standard] As usual, we can rephrase the above result as a uniqueness theorem. [Not: "As usually"] (with the usual modification for $p = \infty$) • One unusual feature of the solution should be pointed out.
- **usually** It will usually be assumed that..... The calculation of M(f) is usually no harder than the calculation of N(f). In practice, D is usually too large a set to work with. This topology is compact, but not usually Hausdorff, nor even T_1 .
- **utility** [see also: usefulness] The following result illustrates the utility of (3). These functions are sometimes called elementary factors. Their utility depends on the fact that.....

utilize [see also: use, employ, apply] The middle part of Table 2 compares the classification according to max a_i , where only the longitudinal information is utilized, with those according to max b_{ik} , where both longitudinal and survival information are used.

 \mathbf{V}

vacuous In the case k = 0 condition (A) becomes vacuous.

vacuously If K is empty, part of the hypothesis is vacuously satisfied.

- **valid** [see also: correct, true, hold] Theorems 3 and 6 of [2], with the appropriate changes, are also valid. The statement of Theorem 5 remains valid if we replace 'f is compact' by 'the norm of f is bounded'. However, as observed in [5], the proof given in [7] is equally valid for regular sets. This argument is invalid for several reasons.
- validate [see also: prove] Their remarkable achievement seemed to validate John's claim. However, it turned out that.....
- **validity** Altering finitely many terms of the sequence u_n does not affect the validity of (9). All the evidence points to the validity of the conjecture.
- **valuable** [see also: useful, helpful, worth] Our study grew out of some valuable conversations with Kirk Douglas.
- **value** The iterates eventually reach the value 1. The map U(t) takes values in some compact space G. • Each factor in (4) has absolute value 1 on T. • Let n_k be the first location to the right of the kth decimal place of W that has a value less than b. • Every element of A has f-value 2. [= the value of f at this element is 2] • Then F is less than 1 in absolute value. • The terms of the series (1) decrease in absolute value and their signs alternate. • We claim that, by setting wto zero on this interval, the value of F(w) is reduced. • the largest k value
- **vanish** [see also: disappear, zero] Hence F vanishes to order 3 (to infinite order) at x. a nowhere vanishing vector field
- **variable** Thus X can be taken as coordinate variable on M. If one thinks of x, y as space variables and of z as time, then..... a function of several variables [Not: "of many variables"] Thorin discovered the complex-variable proof of Riesz's theorem. A homogeneous two-variable function f can be reduced to a one-variable function F(x) = f(1, x).
- variant [see also: adaptation, adjustment, modification, variation, version] The following variant of Theorem 2 is occasionally useful.The argument is a variant of one in [5] and has been used several times since.
- variation [see also: adaptation, adjustment, modification, variant, version] The example is a variation on the space known as the 'Warsaw circle'. This is essentially a variation on the prime number theorem. Let us sketch the proof of the first estimate, which is a variation of standard arguments. As noted in the introduction, this is basically combining Sawyer's result with a variation of the arguments of Hunt.
- **variety** [see also: number, many, plentiful, several] Actual construction of..... may be accomplished in a variety of ways. The question of.... has been explored under a variety of conditions on A. The approach in [GT] provides a unified way of treating a wide variety of seemingly disparate examples.

- **various** [see also: different, several] We shall be considering L on various function spaces. We do not know how V depends on the various choices made. Few of various existing proofs are constructive. The author thanks the referee for recommending various improvements in exposition.
- **variously** These spaces are defined and variously characterized in [1]. We should stress that this is only one of several versions of the 'measurable selector theorem', due variously to von Neumann, Jankow, Lusin and others.
- **vary** [see also: alter, change, range, differ, different] Here c denotes a constant which can vary from line to line. Fix n and let c vary. A natural question to ask is how the quantities A(S,T) and B(S,T) vary as S and T change. As we let t vary, f(t) describes a curve in M. Within I, the function f varies (oscillates) by less than 1. The idea is that C is fixed, but X and Y vary according to circumstances. Then F varies smoothly in t. The samples vary in length. Note that m is permitted to vary with the number of inputs. A number of authors have considered, in varying degrees of generality, the problem of determining..... a slowly varying function Computer evidence suggests the dynamics of these maps is rich and varied.
- **verification** [see also: check, examination, inspection, proof, scrutiny] The only point that requires care is the verification of..... A standard verification shows that..... This concludes the verification of Claim 2. The only thing to check is that maps compose correctly; but this is an easy, if tedious, verification.
- **verify** $[\neq$ satisfy; see also: check, examine] We are seeking to verify the conjecture that every Banach sequence algebra A that is a Ditkin algebra is PAA. • To prove (8), it only remains to verify that..... • Indeed, it is routine to verify that the index so constructed is independent of the choices made. • We leave it to the reader to verify that..... •, as is easily verified. • Now the reader will have no trouble verifying that..... • [Do not write: "The function F verifies condition (2)" if you mean: The function f satisfies condition (2).]
- version [see also: variant, variation] We should stress that this is only one of several versions of the 'measurable selector theorem', due variously to von Neumann, Jankow, Lusin and others. We will call on this version of the inverse theorem when we come to our applications in Section 2.
 We write β =, which is a slight modification to the previous version of β. a current (up-to-date/preliminary/definitive/final/amended/enhanced/plausible/refined/sophisticated/elaborate/ simplified/weakened/explicit/quantitative> version
- very [see also: precise] These results therefore describe the very close connection between the method of encoding and the structures we are aiming to classify. By its very definition, f is continuous. Finally, (d) is clear from the very last statement of Theorem 4. A cycle may very well be represented as a sum of paths that are not closed.
- **via** [see also: means] The tangent space to N at x is identified with M via left translation. Then F and G are homotopic via a homotopy H such that..... It is clear that A is related to B via (3.4). The standard proofs proceed via the Cauchy formula., from which it is an easy step, via Lemma 1, to the conclusion that.....
- **view 1** [see also: viewpoint, idea, perspective] The preceding observation, when looked at from a more general point of view, leads to..... \bullet It is this point of view which is close to that used in C^* -algebras. \bullet Both of these conditions are satisfied if f is bounded (the second in view of

Assumption 3). • With a view to bounding I in (8) by the right side of (6), we first..... **2** [see also: consider, regard, see, think] It is convenient to view G as a nilpotent group. • The theory of correspondences may be viewed as bridging the gap between..... • Here we are viewing the coefficients as reduced fractions.

- **viewpoint** [see also: standpoint, view, perspective] From the viewpoint of the Fox theorem, there is not an exact parallel between the odds and the evens.
- **violate** [see also: fail] We note that H is in fact not Lipschitz continuous if this condition is violated. This violates the maximum principle.
- visit 1 This work was conducted during a visit of the author to Dartmouth College.
 2 This research was initiated when the first author was visiting the University of Alberta in the summer of 2008; the financial support and kind hospitality are gratefully acknowledged.
- **visualize** To calculate (2), it helps to visualize the S_n as the successive positions in a random walk. The knowledge of the invariant subspaces of an operator helps us to visualize its action.

W

- want [see also: wish, desire, require] The reader might want to compare this remark with [2, Cor. 3]. This module is denoted by H(X), or H(X, R) if we want to make explicit the coefficient ring. This t has the feature we want.
- **warrant** [see also: merit, worth] A complete explication of the Fox spaces is warranted, as it will likely reveal further clues to the differences between the parabolic and hyperbolic theories.
- **way** [see also: approach, method, procedure, technique, incidentally] Now G can be handled in much the same way. Actual construction of..... may be accomplished in a variety of ways. Thus modules over categories are in many ways like ordinary modules. We shall not use this fact in any essential way. This abstract theory is not in any way more difficult than the special case of the real line. An alternative way to analyze S is to note that..... Here is another way of stating (c). However, we know of no way of deriving one theory directly from the other. The approach in [GT] provides a unified way of treating a wide variety of seemingly disparate examples. This is the way Theorem 3 was proved. This follows from Lemma 2 just the way (a) follows from (b). It follows from the way f was defined that..... Any congruence arises this way. Theorem 2 can be proved a number of different ways. Put this way, the question is not precise enough. By way of illustration, here is an example of..... The implication one way follows from Theorem 2. On the way we analyze the relationship between..... Along the way, we come across some perhaps unexpected rigidity properties of familiar spaces.
- **weak** The convergence of the sum on the left is of course a weaker statement than the convergence of (2). In fact, we shall prove our result under the weaker hypothesis that W is weakly bounded, rather than just bounded, on an infinite subset of G. The weight satisfies a weak type (1,1) estimate. Then x_n converges weak^{*} to x.
- **weaken** [see also: relax] The primary advance is to weaken the assumption that H is C^2 , used by previous authors, to the natural condition that H is C^1 . • We can make g Lipschitz at the price of weakening condition (i). • Note that (2) is a slightly weakened version of the Pólya inequality.

- **weakness** [see also: disadvantage, shortcoming, fail] It is important to notice some of the weaknesses inherent in the above approach.
- wealth [see also: abundance, much] The survey article [5] by Diestel contains a wealth of information about the Dunford-Pettis property.
- **well** [see also: also, too] For binary strings, the algorithm does not do quite as well. But H itself can equally well be a member of S. Our asymptotic results compare reasonably well with the numerical results reported in [8]. Since the integrands vanish at 0, we may as well assume that..... Other types fit into this pattern as well. Note that both sides of the inequality may well be infinite. A cycle may very well be represented as a sum of paths that are not closed. It may well be that no optimal time exists, as the following example shows. Although standard, the notion of a virtual vector bundle is not particularly well known.
- were Suppose the lemma were false. Then we could find..... Suppose x were not in B. Then there would be..... If it were true that....., the same argument would apply to f. However, if B were omitted in (1), the case n = 0 would imply Nf = 1, an undesirable restriction.
- what Under those conditions, what does the sum on the left hand side of (8) signify? Does the limit of f(z) exist as z goes to zero? If so, what is it? • What is F(c) if c is a positively oriented circle? • What relation exists between f and g? • What about the case where q > 2? • By what has been proved, there exists n such that..... • What is left is to show that..... • What is still lacking is an explicit description of ker C. • The sequence a_n is what is sometimes called a recovery sequence for v. • Here is a more explicit statement of what the theorem asserts. • The sum of the depths is at most two-thirds of what it was before. • Throughout what follows, we shall freely use without explicit mention the elementary fact that..... • It is not immediately obvious what this generalization has to be. • We conclude that, no matter what the class of b is, we have an upper bound on M. • But if E is not reflexive or—what is the same—w is weak, then..... • Here G is discontinuous and, what is more, it does not belong to V. • [Note the difference between what and which in sentences similar to the last two examples: what refers to what follows it, while which refers to what precedes it.]
- whatever We shall, by convenient abuse of notation, generally denote it by x_t whatever probability space it is defined on. The problem is that, whatever the choice of F, there is always another function f such that.....
- whatsoever The preceding definitions can of course equally well be made with any field whatsoever in place of the complex field.
- when [see also: if, whenever] Then F becomes inner when extended to B. It is important to pay attention to the ranges of the mappings involved when trying to define..... When reading the proof of Lemma 2, it is helpful to keep in mind that..... When is it the case that.....? We have d(f,g) = 0 precisely when f = g a.e.
- whence [= as a consequence of which] We have shown that....., whence it is readily inferred that.....
- whenever [see also: if, when] Whenever the dimension drops by 1, the rank drops by at most Z.
 We denote the complement of A by A^c whenever it is clear from the context with respect to which larger set the complement is taken.
 Next, (1) shows that (2) holds whenever g = f(n)

for some n. • Suppose that T^* is continuous whenever T is. • A semilattice A has breadth n iff whenever E < A and |E| > n, there is an x such that.....

- where Where there is a choice of several acceptable forms, that form is selected which..... Where it is important to distinguish different norms on E, we shall use the notation..... Where it is possible, we outline the proofs so that the reader will not have to hunt for obscure references. Where we could, we have chosen these examples from naturally occurring mathematical structures. [Note the double r in occurring.] There are many situations where this occurs naturally.
 This is where the notion of an upper gradient comes in. Important cases are where S =.....
 [Note that where can sometimes be ambiguous: "where i ∈ I" after a formula can mean either for all i ∈ I or for some i ∈ I.]
- whereas [see also: while] Their result gives no information when k is large, whereas (5) is significant regardless of the size of k. Further, the argument here is in terms of A, whereas that of Theorem 5 is in terms of the algebra B of Gelfand transforms.
- wherever Also, wherever possible, we work with integer coefficients, enabling us to obtain information about torsion.
- whether [see also: if] The question of whether B is ever strictly larger than A remains open. [Or: The question whether] • We shall be interested in seeing whether..... • Note that this lemma does not give a simple criterion for deciding whether a given topology is indeed of the form T_f . • So far it seems not to be known whether the geometric condition on X can be omitted. • We do not know whether or not Q(R) = R in this situation. • To tell whether a labelled partial order is a skeleton, it suffices to look at its substructures of size at most three. • Perhaps the most important problem involving f-vectors is whether or not John's conditions extend to spheres. • We conclude that whether a space X is an RG-space is not determined by the ring structure of C(X). • The method works irrespective of whether A or B is used. • Unfortunately, the details of the calculations were omitted, and there is some doubt on whether the result is correct since our analysis suggests that P_2 must vanish to third order; the presence of L^{-2} is also suspect. • Thus, whether or not x is in the list, one comparison is done. • The derivation D is the same whether we regard E as a derivation on X or on Y. • We consider every subset of N, whether finite or infinite, to be an increasing sequence.
- which [see also: that] For which f does equality hold in this inequality? There has since been a series of improvements, of which we briefly mention the work of Levinson. • Where there is a choice of several acceptable forms, that form is selected which..... • Let I be the family of all subalgebras which contain F. [Or: that contain F; you can use either that or which in defining clauses.] • We denote the complement of A by A^c whenever it is clear from the context with respect to which larger set the complement is taken. • The map f, which we know to be bounded, is also right-continuous. [Not: "that we know"; do not use that in non-defining clauses.] • Hence F is compact, which yields M = N. [Not: "what yields"] •, which completes the proof. • [Note the difference between what and which in sentences similar to the last two examples: what refers to what follows it, while which refers to what precedes it.]
- whichever It produces the same outcome whichever path is taken. [= no matter which]
- while [see also: whereas] In 1988, while attempting to generalize this result, the second author noticed that..... While topological measures resemble Borel measures, they in general need not be subadditive.

- whole [see also: all, complete, entire, full, total, altogether] We can extend f by zero to the whole Ω . [Or: the whole of Ω] Those more than half a square count as whole ones. On the whole, the solution can hardly be considered satisfactory. a fragment of a greater whole
- wholly [see also: completely, entirely, fully] This book is wholly concerned with.....
- whose Thus C lies on no segment both of whose endpoints lie in K. a manifold all of whose geodesics are closed [= a manifold whose geodesics are all closed] a progression each of whose terms can be written as..... Let M be the manifold to whose boundary f maps K. There are n continua in X the union of whose images under f is K. Here $\{x\}$ is the set whose only member is x. Let A_i be disjoint members of M whose union is E.
- why To understand why, let us remember that..... This is why no truncation is required here.Now why can such objects be found?
- wide [see also: large, broad, extensive, substantial] We give a fairly simple description of a wide class of averaging operators for which this rate of growth can be seen to be necessary.
 The approach in [GT] provides a unified way of treating a wide variety of seemingly disparate examples.
 The abstract theory gives us a tool of much wider applicability.
 This class is wide enough to include a number of examples of interest.
- widely Quite a few of them are now widely used.
- -wise Let A denote the rectangle B rotated through $\pi/6$ in a clockwise direction about the vertex (0, 1). Pointwise convergence presents a more delicate problem. The sequence f_n converges to f pointwise. [Not: "pointwisely"] The set S is a semigroup with respect to coordinatewise addition.
- wish [see also: want, desire] We wish to arrange that f be as smooth as possible.
- with The terms with n > N add up to less than 2. an algebra with unit a function with compact support • Then F is Poisson distributed with mean m. • With this definition, the set of equivalence classes is a metric space. • With the customary abuse of notation, the same symbol is used for..... • It is proved in [1] (albeit with a slightly different formulation) that..... • With more work, one could show that..... •with e_0 denoting multiplication by f. • As with the digit sums, we can use alternating digit sums to prove..... [= Just as in the case of digit sums] • Choose δ in accordance with Section 8. • In analogy with (1) we have..... • With each D there is associated a region V_D . • We show that A is negligible compared with B. • This notion is closely connected with that of packing dimension. • Let us continue with the proof of Theorem 2. • It is worth making a link with Theorem 1. • The exact sequence ends on the right with H(X). • a word starting with a and ending with b • We can make this clear with the following example. • Note that m is permitted to vary with the number of inputs.
- within [see also: inside, up to] The point p is within distance d (within a distance d) of X. Then F is within d of the integers. • The zeros of L-functions are all accurate to within 10^{-5} . • Note that f is determined only to within a set of measure zero. • Each component that meets X lies entirely within X. • Within I, the function f varies by less than 1. • This example falls within the scope of Cox's theorem. • a sequence of smooth domains that approximates D from within

without This shows that f could not have n zeros without being identically zero. • Hence Z enters

D without meeting x = 0. • Thus C can be removed without changing the union. • This allows proving the representation formula without having to integrate over X. • Take $g_1, ..., g_n$ without common zero. • However, it is unclear how to prove Corollary 3 without the rank theorem. • Throughout what follows, we shall freely use without explicit mention the elementary fact that.....

witness 1 Take for T any witness to X having property P.

2 [see also: exemplify] Now T witnesses property P. • Note that R_2 witnesses that A is compact.

- wonder The reader may wonder why we have apparently ignored the possibility of obtaining a better lower bound by considering..... It is natural to wonder whether the inequality remains true for the more general situation in Theorem A.
- word [see also: name, term] We add the word 'positive' for emphasis. The words collection, family and class will be used synonymously with set. Let us state once more, in different words, what the preceding result says if p = 1.
- **work** 1 The present paper owes a great debt to Strang's work. Recent work of Kirby shows that..... This work was conducted during a visit of the author to Dartmouth College. Kirk, building on work of Penot, developed a more abstract version of..... The name of Harald Bohr is attached to bG in recognition of his work on almost periodic functions. With a little more work we can prove.....

2 [see also: succeed] In addition to illustrating how our formulas work in practice, it provides a counterexample to Brown's conjecture. • The method works regardless of whether A or B is used. • The argument works equally well for R being a general ring with unity. • A further complication arises from 'BP', which works rather differently from the other labels. • We can assume that p is as close to q as is necessary for the following proof to work. • The definition of generator is designed to make the proof above work for M = Z. • Also, wherever possible, we work with integer coefficients, enabling us to obtain information about torsion. • Rather than working directly with V(s), we shall instead consider the following two general integrals:..... [Or: Rather than work] • In practice, D is usually too large a set to work with. • We now work toward the establishment of properties (A) to (D). • In Section 4 we worked out a fairly detailed picture of linear H-systems.

- worth [see also: merit, warrant, worthy] It is an idea worth carrying out. [Not: "worth while carrying out"] It is also clear that there are extensions to the case of...., but they do not seem to be worth the effort of formulating them separately. Before going to the proof, it is worth noting that..... It is worth making a link with Theorem 1. It may be worth reminding the reader that.....
- worthy [see also: merit, worth, warrant] The method sketched in Section 3 of [Con] carries through with our choice of $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$, but there is one extra ingredient worthy of mention.
- would Hence we would expect the functions f_j to behave similarly. Compact multipliers, as one would expect, are those elements of A which..... One might hope that this method would work at least for sufficiently regular maps; however,..... It would clearly have been sufficient to assume..... If it were true that....., the same argument would apply to f and would show.....
 However, if B were omitted in (1), the case n = 0 would imply Nf = 1, an undesirable restriction.

write [see also: denote, symbol] We write H for the value of G at zero. • We shall frequently write w.w. for 'weakly wandering'. •, which we can write as Df = • Such cycles are said to be homologous (written $c \sim c'$). • To simplify the writing, we take a = 0 and omit the subscripts a. • At the time of writing [5], I was not aware of this reference. • In the course of writing this paper we learned that P. Fox has simultaneously obtained results similar to ours in certain respects. • Important analytic differences appear when one writes down precisely what is meant by..... • It may be difficult to write down an explicit domain of F. • Note that (2) is simply (1) written out in detail. • By writing out the appropriate equations, we see that this is equivalent to..... • The lectures were written up by M. Stong.

\mathbf{Y}

- year [see also: date] The same year, Maurer showed that..... Maurer, in the same year, showed that..... In the year 2000 (In 2000), two important number theory conferences were held at Princeton University. However, the connection with Gromov's work has been obscured in recent years by an emphasis (in the algebraic topology literature) on configuration spaces.
- **yet** [see also: but, still, nevertheless] For j = 1 the operator is bounded, yet [= but] the integral (8) fails to be finite. Then F is strictly increasing and yet has zero derivative on a dense set. In the next section we introduce yet another formulation of the problem. The as yet unproved conjecture of Newman is that..... On the other hand, as yet, we have not taken advantage of the basic property enjoyed by S: it is a simplex. The conjecture has not been proved yet. In the remainder of this section, we study some properties of K, with the eventual aim (not realized yet) of describing K directly using G. Further research may yet explain the enigma.
- yield [see also: produce, provide] The argument can easily be modified to yield a proof for the case of k positive. At first glance Lemma 2 seems to yield four possible outcomes. Another proof (yielding more information) can be found in [GH]. Specifically, one might hope that a clever application of something like Choquet's theorem would yield the desired conclusion. The idea of the following proof, which yields both (a) and (b) at one stroke, is due to von Neumann.
 This finally yields f = g. [Not: "yields that f = g"] The case where i is odd yields to a similar argument.

\mathbf{Z}

zero [pl. zeros or zeroes; see also: vanish] Equating the coefficient of x² in V to zero, we get.....
Then F has simple zeros with residue 1 at the integers.
The integrand is zero outside D.
the multi-index with all entries zero except the kth which is one
the zero solution
the all-zero vector