

Functional analysis

Lecture 10: BOUNDEDNESS OF VARIATION OF COMPLEX MEASURES; THE RADON–NIKODYM THEOREM AND DUALITY $L_p(\mu)^* \cong L_q(\mu)$

Proof of Theorem 6.1(b). The main observation is the following

Claim. For every $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ with $|\mu|(E) = \infty$, there exists a decomposition $E = A \cup B$ such that $A, B \in \mathfrak{M}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $|\mu(A)| > 1$ and $|\mu|(B) = \infty$.

Indeed, take any $t > 6(1 + |\mu(E)|)$ and choose a partition $(E_i)_{i=1}^n \in \Pi(E)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(E_i)| > t$. By Lemma 6.2 applied to the complex numbers $z_i = \mu(E_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) there is a set $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ for which $|\mu(A)| \geq 1$, where $A = \bigcup_{i \in S} E_i$. By the definition of t and our choice of S , we also have

$$|\mu(E \setminus A)| \geq |\mu(A)| - |\mu(E)| \geq 1.$$

Since the variation of μ is additive, at least one of the values $|\mu|(A)$ and $|\mu|(E \setminus A)$ must be infinite. Interchanging A with $E \setminus A$ if necessary, we obtain the desired decomposition.

Now, suppose that $|\mu|(X) = \infty$. Using the above Claim, by an easy induction, we construct a descending sequence of measurable sets $(B_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ with $B_0 = X$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have:

- $B_n = A_{n+1} \cup B_{n+1}$,
- $A_{n+1} \cap B_{n+1} = \emptyset$,
- $|\mu(A_{n+1})| \geq 1$ and $|\mu|(B_{n+1}) = \infty$.

Then, the sets $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ are pairwise disjoint and the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(A_n)$ is plainly divergent. This contradicts the fact that $|\mu|$ is σ -additive as the set $C = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$ belongs to \mathfrak{M} . \square

Definition 6.3. Let μ be a positive measure on a σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} and let λ be either a positive (not necessarily finite) measure or a complex-valued measure on \mathfrak{M} . We say that λ is *absolutely continuous* with respect to μ and write

$$\lambda \ll \mu$$

provided that $\mu(E) = 0$ implies $\lambda(E) = 0$ for every $E \in \mathfrak{M}$. We say that λ is *supported* on a set $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ if for every $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ we have $\lambda(A) = \lambda(A \cap E)$. If λ_1, λ_2 are two positive (not necessarily finite) measures, or two complex measures on \mathfrak{M} , then we call them *singular* and write

$$\lambda_1 \perp \lambda_2$$

if they are supported on disjoint sets.

Let μ be a positive measure and $h \in L_1(\mu)$. It is easy to show that the formula $\lambda(E) = \int_E h d\mu$ defines a (signed or complex) measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to μ . The fundamental theorem of Radon and Nikodym says that this is basically the only method of producing measures absolutely continuous with respect to the given one. Below we present a proof due to von Neumann which actually gives two results simultaneously: the classical Lebesgue decomposition theorem which says that any complex measure has a uniquely determined singular part and absolutely continuous part (assertion (a)) and the mentioned Radon–Nikodym theorem which represents the absolutely continuous part as an intergal.

Theorem 6.4 (Lebesgue–Radon–Nikodym theorem). *Let \mathfrak{M} be a σ -algebra of subsets of X . Let μ be a σ -finite positive measure on \mathfrak{M} and λ a complex measure on \mathfrak{M} .*

(a) *There is a uniquely determined pair of complex measures (λ_a, λ_s) on \mathfrak{M} such that*

$$\lambda = \lambda_a + \lambda_s, \quad \lambda_a \ll \mu, \quad \lambda_s \perp \mu. \quad (6.1)$$

Moreover, $\lambda_a \perp \lambda_s$ and if λ is positive, then λ_a and λ_s are also positive.

(b) *There is a unique function $h \in L_1(\mu)$ such that*

$$\lambda_a(E) = \int_E h \, d\mu \quad (E \in \mathfrak{M}). \quad (6.2)$$

Proof. First, we remark that we can reduce the proof to the case where both μ and λ are positive and finite. So, assume that the result has been proved in this particular case. In the first step, if μ is positive σ -finite and λ is positive finite, pick a disjoint family of measurable sets $(X_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\mu(X_n) < \infty$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the σ -algebra $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{E \cap X_n : E \in \mathfrak{M}\}$ of subsets of X_n and let μ_n and λ_n be the restrictions of μ and λ to \mathfrak{M}_n . We get a sequence of Lebesgue decompositions:

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_a^{(n)} + \lambda_s^{(n)}, \quad \lambda_s^{(n)} \ll \mu_n, \quad \lambda_s^{(n)} \perp \mu_n,$$

as well as a sequence of functions $h_n \in L_1(\mu_n)$ such that $\lambda_n(E) = \int_E h_n \, d\mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E \in \mathfrak{M}_n$. Then, the formulas

$$\lambda_a(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_a^{(n)}(E \cap X_n), \quad \lambda_s(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_s^{(n)}(E \cap X_n)$$

give the absolutely continuous and singular parts in the Lebesgue decomposition of λ . On the other hand, the function $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $h(x) = h_n(x)$, for $x \in X_n$, represents the measure λ as the integral with respect to μ . Notice that $h \in L_1(\mu)$ because $\lambda(X) < \infty$.

Now, assume that μ is still positive and σ -finite, but λ is a complex measure. Then $\lambda = \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2$, where λ_1, λ_2 are signed measures, the real and imaginary parts of λ . By the Hahn decomposition theorem (see Theorem 3.22), we can write both these measures as differences of positive finite measures (positive and negative parts):

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1^+ - \lambda_1^-, \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_2^+ - \lambda_2^-$$

Applying the previous part to μ and each of the measures λ_i^\pm ($i = 1, 2$) we obtain the Lebesgue decomposition of λ in an obvious way. If $h_i^\pm \in L_1(\mu)$ yield the integral representation of λ_i^\pm , then plainly $h \in L_1(\mu)$ defined by $h = (h_1^+ - h_1^-) + i(h_2^+ - h_2^-)$ gives the integral representation of λ .

For proving the uniqueness of λ_a and λ_s , suppose we have two pairs (λ_a, λ_s) and (λ'_a, λ'_s) which give Lebesgue's decomposition of λ with respect to μ , i.e. pairs of measures satisfying all the properties listed in (6.1). Then $\lambda'_a - \lambda_a = \lambda'_s - \lambda_s$ and both sides represent a measure which is simultaneously absolutely continuous and singular with respect to μ . From this it follows easily that they are both the zero measure, that is, $\lambda_a = \lambda'_a$ and $\lambda_s = \lambda'_s$.

From now on, we assume that μ and λ are positive finite measures.

Define $\varphi = \lambda + \mu$. An elementary verification, by the definition of Lebesgue integral, shows that $\int_X f \, d\varphi = \int_X f \, d\lambda + \int_X f \, d\mu$ for every $f \in L_1(\varphi)$. Hence, by the standard Cauchy–Schwarz inequality for integrals,

$$\left| \int_X f \, d\lambda \right| \leq \int_X |f| \, d\lambda \leq \int_X |f| \, d\varphi \leq (\varphi(X))^{1/2} \left(\int_X |f|^2 \, d\varphi \right)^{1/2}.$$

This estimate shows that the map

$$L_1(\varphi) \ni f \mapsto \int_X f \, d\lambda$$

is a continuous linear functional (with norm at most $(\varphi(X))^{1/2} < \infty$), that is, an element of $(L_2(\varphi))^*$. By the Riesz representation theorem (Theorem 5.7), there exists a function $g \in L_2(\varphi)$ such that

$$\int_X f \, d\lambda = \int_X fg \, d\varphi \quad \text{for every } f \in L_2(\varphi). \quad (6.3)$$

(Observe that we essentially used the fact that $L_2(\varphi)$ is complete, and hence a Hilbert space, which is the content of Theorem 1.12.)

Let $E \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\varphi(E) > 0$ and put $f = \mathbf{1}_E$ in equation (6.3). Since $0 \leq \lambda \leq \varphi$, we obtain

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(E)} \int_E g \, d\varphi \leq 1.$$

As it holds true for every set E of positive measure, we have $0 \leq g(x) \leq 1$ a.e. Here, we have just used the following simple observation: If ν is any positive measure, $f \in L_1(\nu)$ and $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a closed set such that the integral mean $(\nu(E))^{-1} \int_X f \, d\nu \in S$ for every measurable set E with $\nu(E) > 0$, then $f(x) \in S$ for a.e. To see this, note that $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ is the union of countably many open balls disjoint from S . Hence, it is enough to prove that for every open ball $D \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus S$ we have $\nu(f^{-1}(D)) = 0$. Let D be centered at x_0 and of radius $r > 0$, and suppose that $E := f^{-1}(D)$ is of positive measure. Then

$$\left| \frac{1}{\nu(E)} \int_E f \, d\nu - x_0 \right| \leq \frac{1}{\nu(E)} \int_E |f(x) - x_0| \, d\nu(x) < r$$

which means that the integral mean over E belongs to the ball D , thus it is disjoint from S . This, however, contradicts our assumption. We are going to use the just proved proposition a couple of times in the sequel.

By modifying g on a measure zero set, we can assume that $0 \leq g(x) \leq 1$ holds true for every $x \in X$. Let us rewrite formula (6.3) in the form

$$\int_X (1 - g)f \, d\lambda = \int_X fg \, d\mu \quad \text{for every } f \in L_2(\varphi). \quad (6.4)$$

Define

$$A = \{x \in X : 0 \leq g(x) < 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{x \in X : g(x) = 1\},$$

and the corresponding restricted measures:

$$\lambda_a(E) = \lambda(A \cap E) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_s(E) = \lambda(B \cap E) \quad (E \in \mathfrak{M}).$$

Putting $f = \mathbf{1}_B$ in equation (6.4) we see that $\mu(B) = 0$ and hence $\lambda_s \perp \mu$. Similarly, if $\mu(E) = 0$, then (6.4) shows that

$$\int_{A \cap E} (1 - g) \, d\lambda = 0$$

and since $1 - g$ is positive on A , we obtain $\lambda_a(E) = 0$. This shows that $\lambda_a \ll \mu$ (which, of course, will also follow once we prove the Radon–Nikodym representation). Obviously, $\lambda = \lambda_a + \lambda_s$ and hence we have proved assertion (a).

Since g is bounded, we can put $f := (1 + g + g^2 + \dots + g^n)\mathbf{1}_E$ in equation (6.4), with any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E \in \mathfrak{M}$. Thus, we obtain

$$\int_E (1 - g^{n+1}) \, d\lambda = \int_E g(1 + g + g^2 + \dots + g^n) \, d\mu.$$

Notice that the left-hand side converges to $\lambda(A \cap E) = \lambda_a(E)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, the sequence $(g(1 + g + g^2 + \dots + g^n))_{n=1}^\infty$ is pointwise monotone increasing and converges to some nonnegative measurable function h . By Lebesgue's theorem on monotone convergence, the right-hand side converges to $\int_E h \, d\mu$. Consequently,

$$\lambda_a(E) = \int_E h \, d\mu. \quad \square$$

The Radon–Nikodym theorem, which we have just proved, says that if μ is a σ -finite positive measure, then every complex measure λ_a which is absolutely continuous with respect to μ admits a representation (6.2) with some function $h \in L_1(\mu)$, uniquely determined as an element of $L_1(\mu)$. Thus, it makes sense to call this h the *Radon–Nikodym derivative* of λ_a with respect to μ and to use the notation

$$\bullet \quad h = \frac{d\lambda_a}{d\mu} \quad \text{if } h \in L_1(\mu) \text{ represents } \lambda_a \text{ by formula (6.2).}$$

Remark. The integral representation (6.2) of the Radon–Nikodym theorem is still valid under the assumption that λ_a is positive and σ -finite, however, we cannot guarantee that h is then μ -integrable. In this case, h can be claimed to be just ‘locally’ integrable (see **Problem 5.14**).

The following important corollary is a measure-theoretic analogue of the usual polar decomposition of complex numbers.

Corollary 6.5. *Let μ be a complex measure on a σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} of subsets of X . Then there exists a measurable function h such that $|h(x)| = 1$ for every $x \in X$ and*

$$d\mu = h \, d|\mu|. \quad (6.5)$$

Proof. Obviously, $\mu \ll |\mu|$ and hence the Radon–Nikodym theorem produces a function $h \in L_1(|\mu|)$ satisfying (6.5). For any $r > 0$ consider the set $A_r = \{x \in X : |h(x)| < r\}$. For any partition $(E_j)_{j=1}^n \in \Pi(A_r)$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |\mu(E_j)| = \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \int_{E_j} h \, d|\mu| \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E_j} |h| \, d|\mu| = \int_{A_r} |h| \, d|\mu| \leq r|\mu|(A_r).$$

Passing to supremum we get $|\mu|(A_r) \leq r|\mu|(A_r)$. Therefore, $|\mu|(A_r) = 0$ for $r < 1$, which means that $|h(x)| \geq 1$ a.e. on X .

On the other hand, for every $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ with $|\mu|(E) > 0$ we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{|\mu|(E)} \int_E h \, d|\mu| \right| = \frac{|\mu(E)|}{|\mu|(E)} \leq 1.$$

Hence, our observation concerning integral means (see the proof of Theorem 6.4) yields that $|h(x)| \leq 1$ a.e. on X . By modifying the function h on a measure zero set, we can thus guarantee that $|h(x)| = 1$ for every $x \in X$. \square

Now, we present another important application of the Radon–Nikodym theorem. Namely, we extend the duality between $L_p(\mu)$ - and $L_q(\mu)$ -spaces to arbitrary positive (σ -finite for $p = 1$) measures. Recall that we proved it earlier only for the Lebesgue measure on an interval (or, with no essential changes in the proof, on a half line or the whole real line); see Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 6.6. *Let (X, \mathfrak{M}, μ) be a measure space and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Let $q \in (1, \infty]$ be the conjugate exponent, i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and:*

- if $p > 1$, then μ can be an arbitrary positive measure;
- if $p = 1$ and $q = \infty$ we assume that μ is σ -finite.

For every functional $\Lambda \in L_p(\mu)^*$ there exists a unique $g \in L_q(\mu)$ such that

$$\Lambda f = \int_X fg \, d\mu \quad \text{for every } f \in L_p(\mu) \tag{6.6}$$

and, moreover, $\|\Lambda\| = \|g\|_q$. On the other hand, any $g \in L_q(\mu)$ defines a continuous linear functional on $L_p(\mu)$ via formula (6.6). Consequently, the map $\Lambda \mapsto g$ yields an isometric isomorphism

$$L_p(\mu)^* \cong L_q(\mu).$$

Proof. For now, we deal with the σ -finite case and later we will explain how to reduce the general cases to this one, for $1 < p, q < \infty$.

Fix any $\Lambda \in L_p(\mu)^*$. Note that the uniqueness of g which represents Λ by formula (6.6) is obvious. Also, by Hölder's inequality, we easily get $\|\Lambda\| \leq \|g\|_q$. We have to prove the existence of g and that the reverse inequality holds true. For $\Lambda = 0$ we take $g = 0$, so we assume that $\Lambda \neq 0$.

First, assume that $\mu(X) < \infty$. Define $\lambda(E) = \Lambda \mathbf{1}_E$ for $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ and observe it is a σ -additive set function. For, let $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$, where each $E_n \in \mathfrak{M}$, and define $S_N = \bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n$. Then

$$\|\mathbf{1}_E - \mathbf{1}_{S_N}\|_p = (\mu(E \setminus S_N))^{1/p} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

and by the continuity of Λ , we have $\lambda(S_N) \rightarrow \lambda(E)$ (notice that it is not true for $p = \infty$). Hence, λ is a complex measure on \mathfrak{M} .

Plainly, $\mu(E) = 0$ implies that $\lambda(E) = 0$, that is, $\lambda \ll \mu$. By the Radon–Nikodym theorem, there exists $g \in L_1(\mu)$ such that

$$\Lambda \mathbf{1}_E = \int_E g \, d\mu = \int_X \mathbf{1}_E \cdot g \, d\mu \quad \text{for every } E \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

By linearity, the above formula holds true for any simple function in the place of $\mathbf{1}_E$. Since every bounded measurable function is the pointwise limit of a uniformly bounded sequence of simple functions, we also have

$$\Lambda f = \int_X fg \, d\mu \quad \text{for every } f \in L_\infty(\mu). \quad (6.7)$$

By standard measure-theoretic arguments, simple functions are also dense in $L_p(\mu)$. This can be seen by first considering any nonnegative $f \in L_p(\mu)$, approximating it by simple functions $(s_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and then using Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence to conclude that $\|s_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$. The case of a general complex-valued function $f \in L_p(\mu)$ follows from then easily. Therefore, we have proved formula (6.6).

It remains to prove that $g \in L_q(\mu)$ and $\|\Lambda\| \geq \|g\|_q$. For $p = 1$, we observe that for each $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ we have

$$\left| \int_E g \, d\mu \right| \leq \|\Lambda\| \cdot \|\mathbf{1}_E\|_1 = \|\Lambda\| \mu(E).$$

Hence, in view of our observation on integral means (see the proof of Theorem 6.4), we have $\|g\|_\infty \leq \|\Lambda\|$.

If $p > 1$, we take a measurable function $\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\alpha(x)| = 1$ for every $x \in X$ and $\alpha g = |g|$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$E_n = \{x \in X : |g(x)| \leq n\} \quad \text{and} \quad f = \mathbf{1}_{E_n} |g|^{q-1} \alpha.$$

Then $|f|^p = |g|^q$ on E_n , hence $f \in L_\infty(\mu)$. According to (6.7), we have

$$\int_{E_n} |g|^q \, d\mu = \int_X fg \, d\mu = \Lambda f \leq \|\Lambda\| \cdot \left(\int_{E_n} |g|^q \, d\mu \right)^{1/p}.$$

It follows that

$$\int_X \mathbf{1}_{E_n} |g|^q \, d\mu \leq \|\Lambda\|^q$$

which means that $\|g\|_q \leq \|\Lambda\|$, as n was arbitrary.

TBC