

# FLEXIBILITY OF TORIC AFFINE VARIETIES II

MIKHAIL ZAIDENBERG

## 1. FLEXIBILITY CRITERIA

We fix an affine variety  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$  of  $\dim X = n \geq 2$  over a field  $\mathbb{k} = \bar{\mathbb{k}}$  with  $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ .

### 1.1. NON-ALGEBRAICITY OF THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP.

#### REMARK

If there exists  $\partial \in \text{LND}(A) \setminus \{0\}$  then

$$\exp((\ker \partial)\partial) \subset \text{SAut}(X)$$

is an infinite-dimensional unipotent Abelian subgroup. Indeed,

$$\text{tr.deg}[A : \ker \partial] = 1.$$

#### CONJECTURE

If  $\text{LND}(A) = \{0\}$  then  $\text{Aut}^0(X)$  is an algebraic torus  $\mathbb{G}_m^k$  of dimension  $k \leq \dim X$ .

True if  $\dim X = 2$  (Perepechko-Z., unpublished).

### 1.2. FINITENESS CONJECTURE.

#### DEFINITION

$X$  is called **GENERICALLY FLEXIBLE** if  $\text{SAut}(X)$  acts on  $X$  with an open orbit  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and is infinitely transitive on  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .

#### CONJECTURE

Any generically flexible affine variety  $X$  admits a finite collection of  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -subgroups  $H_1, \dots, H_N$  of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  such that the group  $G = \langle H_1, \dots, H_N \rangle$  acts on  $X$  with an open orbit  $\mathcal{O}_G$  and is infinitely transitive on  $\mathcal{O}_G$ .

#### REMARK

The conjecture is true if one replaces ‘finite’ by ‘countable’ (AKZ '18).

### 1.3. MAIN RESULTS.

#### THEOREM 1

*For any toric affine variety  $X$  of dimension at least 2 with no toric factor and smooth in codimension 2 one can find a finite collection of  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -subgroups  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  such that the group  $G = \langle H_1, \dots, H_k \rangle$  acts infinitely transitively in the smooth locus  $\text{reg}(X)$ .*

#### THEOREM 2

*For any  $n \geq 2$  one can find  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -subgroups  $H_1, H_2, H_3 \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$  s.t.  $G = \langle H_1, H_2, H_3 \rangle$  acts infinitely transitively on  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .*

### 1.4. GENERIC FLEXIBILITY: A CRITERION.

The next is a refined version of a result from AFKKZ '13.

#### THEOREM 0

*Let a set  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_k \in \text{LND}(X)$  contains  $n$  linearly independent derivations  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ . Let also  $A_i \subset \ker \partial_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , be a finitely generated subalgebra such that  $[\text{Frac}(A_i) : \text{Frac}(\ker \partial_i)] < +\infty$ . Assume one of the following holds:*

- ( $\alpha$ )  $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$  is generated by  $A_1, \dots, A_k$ ;
- ( $\beta$ )  $[\text{Frac}(\ker \partial_1) : \text{Frac}(A_1)] = 1$ ;
- ( $\gamma$ )  $[\text{Frac}(\ker \partial_i) : \text{Frac}(A_i)] > 1 \ \forall i$  and there is an extra element  $b_1 \in \ker \partial_1$  such that  $\text{Frac}(\ker \partial_1)$  is generated by  $b_1$  and  $\text{Frac}(A_1)$ .

*Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $\text{SAut}(X)$  generated by  $H_0 = \exp(\mathbb{k}b_1\partial_1)$  and  $H_i(a_i) = \exp(\mathbb{k}a_i\partial_i)$ ,  $a_i \in A_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then  $G$  acts on  $X$  with an open orbit  $\mathcal{O}_G$  and the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}_G$  is infinitely transitive.*

### 1.5. ORBITS OF THE CLOSURE OF A SUBGROUP.

#### LEMMA

- (a) *The closure  $\overline{G}$  of a subgroup  $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$  is a closed ind-subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(X)$ .*
- (b) *If  $\rho: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$  is a morphism such that  $\rho(t) \in G$  for  $t \neq 0$  then  $\rho(0) \in \overline{G}$ .*
- (c) *Any  $G$ -invariant closed subset  $Y \subset X$  is  $\overline{G}$ -invariant.*
- (d) *If  $G$  acts on  $X$  with an open orbit  $\mathcal{O}_G$  then  $\mathcal{O}_G$  coincides with the open orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}}$  of  $\overline{G}$ .*
- (e) *If a normal subgroup  $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$  acts on  $X$  with an open orbit  $\mathcal{O}_G$  then  $\mathcal{O}_G = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Aut}(X)}$ .*

#### DEFINITION

Let  $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$  be subgroup. It is called **ALGEBRAICALLY**

**GENERATED** if it is generated by a family of connected algebraic subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(X)$ . The orbits of  $G$  are locally closed subsets of  $X$  (AFKKZ '13).

### PROPOSITION

Let  $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$  be an algebraically generated subgroup. Then the following hold.

- (a) The orbits of  $G$  and of  $\overline{G}$  in  $X$  are the same. In particular, if  $\overline{G}$  acts on  $X$  with an open orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}}$  then  $G$  does and  $\mathcal{O}_G = \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}}$ .
- (b) If  $\overline{G}$  acts  $m$ -transitively on  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}}$  then also  $G$  does.
- (c) If  $\overline{G}$  acts infinitely transitively on  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}}$  then also  $G$  does.

## 2. TORIC AFFINE VARIETIES

Fix the following objects:

- $M$  – a lattice of rank  $n \geq 2$ ;
- $M_{\mathbb{Q}} = M \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  – a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension  $n$ ;
- $\sigma^{\vee} \subset M_{\mathbb{Q}}$  – a rational convex cone with a nonempty interior (called the **WEIGHT CONE**);
- a base of  $M$ ;
- $\forall m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in M$  the Laurent monomial  $\chi^m = x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}$ ;
- the graded affine algebra

$$A = \bigoplus_{m \in M \cap \sigma^{\vee}} \mathbb{k}\chi^m;$$

- the toric affine variety  $X = \text{Spec } A$ ,  $\dim X = n$ , where
- the action of the  $n$ -torus  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{G}_m^n$  on  $X$  is defined by the grading.

### REMARKS

- $\mathbb{T} = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{G}_m)$  is the torus of characters of  $M$ .
- By duality,  $M$  is the character lattice of  $\mathbb{T}$ .
- In fact, any toric affine variety arises in this way.

2.1. DUAL CONE. Consider also the following associated objects:

- the dual lattice  $N = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ ;
- the dual cone

$$\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad \sigma = \{x \in N_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \langle x, y \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall y \in \sigma^{\vee}\};$$

- the set  $\Xi = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k\}$  of **RAY GENERATORS** of  $\sigma$ , that is, the primitive lattice vectors on the extremal rays of  $\sigma$ .

**LEMMA TFAE:**

- $\sigma^\vee$  is a pointed cone, that is,  $\sigma^\vee$  contains no line;
- $\sigma$  is of full dimension, that is,  $\Xi$  contains a basis of  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ;
- $X$  has no toric factor, that is,  $X$  cannot be decomposed into a product  $\mathbb{G}_m \times Y$  where  $Y$  is another toric variety.

**CONVENTION**

Assume in the sequel that the conditions of the lemma are fulfilled.

**2.2. DEMAZURE ROOTS AND DEMAZURE FACETS.****DEFINITIONS**

A **DEMAZURE ROOT** belonging to a primitive ray generator  $\rho_i \in \Xi$  is a vector  $e \in M$  such that

- (i)  $\langle \rho_i, e \rangle = -1$ ;
- (ii)  $\langle \rho_j, e \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall j \neq i$ .

The **DEMAZURE FACET**  $\mathcal{S}_i$  of  $\sigma^\vee$  is the rational convex polyhedron defined by inequalities (ii) in the affine hyperplane

$$\mathcal{H}_i = \{\langle \rho_i, e \rangle = -1\}.$$

Thus, the Demazure roots which belong to the ray generator  $\rho_i \in \Xi$  are the points in  $\mathcal{S}_i \cap M$ .

The **ROOT SUBGROUP** associated with a Demazure root  $e \in \mathcal{S}_i$  is

$$H_e = \exp(\mathbb{k}\partial_{\rho_i, e}) \subset \text{SAut}(X),$$

see the formula for  $\partial_{\rho_i, e}$  below.

**2.3. HOMOGENEOUS DERIVATIONS.****DEFINITION**

A derivation  $\partial \in \text{Der}(A)$  is called *homogeneous* if  $\partial$  respects the grading, that is, sends any graded piece to another one.

Given  $\rho \in N$ ,  $e \in M$ , let

$$\partial_{\rho, e}(\chi^m) := \langle \rho, m \rangle \chi^{m+e} \quad \forall m \in M.$$

Then  $\partial_{\rho, e}$  extends to a homogeneous derivation of  $A$ ; the lattice vector  $e \in M$  is called the **DEGREE** of  $\partial_{\rho, e}$ .

## 2.4. HOMOGENEOUS LNDs.

**LEMMA (Liendo '10)**

- *If  $\partial \in \text{Der}(A)$  is homogeneous then  $\partial = \lambda \partial_{\rho,e}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$ ,  $\rho \in N$ , and  $e \in \Sigma^\vee \cap M$  where*

$$\Sigma^\vee = \sigma^\vee \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{S}_i.$$

- *If  $e \in \mathcal{S}_i \cap M$  then  $\rho = \rho_i$ ;*
- *$\partial_{\rho,e} \in \text{LND}(A) \Leftrightarrow e \in \mathcal{S}_i$  and  $\rho = \rho_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ;*
- *$\ker(\partial_{\rho,e}) = \text{span}(\chi^m \mid m \in \tau_\rho)$  where*

$$\tau_\rho = \{m \in \sigma^\vee \cap M \mid \langle \rho, m \rangle = 0\};$$

- *$\tau_{\rho_i} =: \tau_i$  is the facet of  $\sigma^\vee$  parallel to  $\mathcal{S}_i$ .*

2.5. GRADING ON  $\text{Der}(A)$ .**LEMMA (Liendo '10)**

- *Any derivation  $\partial \in \text{Der}(A)$  admits a decomposition*

$$\partial = \sum_{e \in \Sigma^\vee \cap M} \partial_e$$

where  $\partial_e$  is a homogeneous derivation of degree  $e$ .

- *The set  $\{e \in \Sigma^\vee \cap M \mid \partial_e \neq 0\}$  is finite. Its convex hull  $N(\partial)$  is called the **NEWTON POLYTOPE** of  $\partial$ .*
- *Let  $\partial \in \text{LND}(A)$ . Then for any face  $\tau$  of  $N(\partial)$  one has*

$$\partial_\tau := \sum_{e \in \tau \cap M} \partial_e \in \text{LND}(A).$$

In particular, for any vertex  $e$  of  $N(\partial)$  one has  $\partial_e \in \text{LND}(A)$ .

## 2.6. REPLICAS OF HOMOGENEOUS LNDs.

**LEMMA**

- *The semigroup  $\mathcal{S}_i \cap M$  is a finitely generated  $(\tau_i \cap M)$ -module.*
- *For any  $e' \in \tau_i \cap M$  one has*

$$\chi^{e'} \partial_{\rho_i, e} = \partial_{\rho_i, e+e'} \in \text{LND}(A).$$

## 2.7. COMMUTATORS OF HOMOGENEOUS LNDs.

**LEMMA (Romaskevich '14)**

- *Let  $\partial = \partial_{\rho, e}$  and  $\partial' = \partial_{\rho', e'}$ . Then  $[\partial, \partial'] = \partial_{\hat{\rho}, \hat{e}}$  where*

$$\hat{\rho} = \langle \rho, e' \rangle \rho' - \langle \rho', e \rangle \rho \in N \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{e} = e + e' \in M.$$
- *If  $\hat{\rho} \neq 0$  then  $\deg([\partial, \partial']) = e + e' \in \Sigma^\vee \cap M$ .*
- *$\partial$  and  $\partial'$  commute, that is,  $\hat{\rho} = 0$  if and only if one of the following holds:*
  - *$\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are collinear and  $\langle \rho, e \rangle = \langle \rho, e' \rangle$  (this holds, in particular, if  $e, e' \in \mathcal{S}_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ );*
  - *$\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are non-collinear and  $\langle \rho', e \rangle = \langle \rho, e' \rangle = 0$ .*

**LEMMA**  $\text{Der}(A) = \bigoplus_{e \in \Sigma^\vee \cap M} \mathcal{L}_e$  is a graded Lie algebra, where  $\mathcal{L}_e$  is the span of all the homogeneous derivations of  $A$  of degree  $e$ .

## 2.8. ITERATED COMMUTATORS.

**LEMMA (Manetti '12)**

- *Given  $U = \partial_1$  and  $V = \partial_2 \in \text{Der}(A)$  consider*

$$\text{ad}_U^m(V) = [U, [U, \dots [U, V] \dots]]$$

*where  $U$  is repeated  $m$  times. Then  $\text{ad}_U^m \in \text{End}(\text{Der}(A))$  and*

$$\text{ad}_U^m(V) = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \partial_1^{m-i} \partial_2 (-\partial_1)^i.$$

- *Let  $U \in \text{LND}(A)$ . Then  $\text{ad}_U \in \text{End}(\text{Der}(A))$  is locally nilpotent, that is, for any  $V \in \text{Der}(A)$ ,*

$$\text{ad}_U^m(V) = 0 \quad \forall m \gg 1;$$

- *(a version of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula)*

$$\text{Ad}_{\exp(U)}(V) = \exp(\text{ad}_U)(V) = \sum_{m=0}^{N(U)} \frac{1}{m!} \text{ad}_U^m(V) \in \text{LND}(A).$$

## 2.9. NEWTON POLYTOPE OF A CONJUGATE LND.

**LEMMA** *Let  $U = \partial_{\rho_1, e_1}$  and  $V = \partial_{\rho_2, e_2} \in \text{LND}(A)$*

*where  $e_i \in \mathcal{S}_i \cap M$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let also*

$$c_2 = \langle \rho_2, e_1 \rangle, \quad d_1 = \langle \rho_1, e_2 \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = d_1 + 1.$$

- *Assume that  $c_2 \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\text{ad}_U^m(V) = \partial_{r_m, e_2 + m e_1} \quad \forall m = 0, \dots, d_1.$$

- If  $d_1 \geq 0$  then

$$\mathrm{ad}_U^m(V) = 0 \quad \forall m \geq \delta + 1$$

and

$$\mathrm{ad}_U^\delta(V) = -c_2 \delta! \partial_{\rho_1, e_2 + \delta e_1} \in \mathrm{LND}(A)$$

where

$$e_2 + \delta e_1 \in \mathcal{S}_1 \cap M.$$

- If  $\partial = \mathrm{Ad}_{\exp(U)}(V)$  then  $N(\partial) = [e_2, e_2 + \delta e_1]$ .

## 2.10. ROOT SUBGROUPS IN THE CLOSURE.

**LEMMA** Consider a subgroup  $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X)$  normalized by the torus  $\mathbb{T}$ . Let  $\partial \in \mathrm{LND}(A)$  be s.t.  $H = \exp(\mathbb{k}\partial) \subset G$ . Then any vertex  $e$  of the Newton polytope  $N(\partial)$  belongs to some Demazure facet  $\mathcal{S}_i$ , and the root subgroup  $H_e$  is contained in  $\overline{G}$ .

### LEMMA

Consider two roots  $e_i \in \mathcal{S}_i \cap M$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\delta = \langle \rho_1, e_2 \rangle + 1$ . Suppose that  $\delta e_1 + e_2 \in \mathcal{S}_1$ , that is,  $\langle \rho_2, e_1 \rangle \geq 1$ . Then  $H_{\delta e_1 + e_2} \subset \overline{\langle H_{e_1}, H_{e_2} \rangle}$ .

Remind our

### THEOREM 2

For any  $n \geq 2$  one can find  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -subgroups  $U_1, U_2, U_3 \subset \mathrm{SAut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$  such that

$$G = \langle U_1, U_2, U_3 \rangle \subset \mathrm{SAut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$$

acts infinitely transitively on  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .

### LEMMA (Chistopolskaya '18)

For any nilpotent  $x \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{k})$  there exists a nilpotent  $y \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{k})$  such that  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{k}) = \mathrm{lie} \langle x, y \rangle$ .

### HINT OF THE PROOF:

Assume  $n \geq 3$ ; the case  $n = 2$  is left as an exercise. Consider the root vectors

$$e_1 = (-1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{S}_1, \quad e_2 = (0, -1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{S}_2,$$

and

$$u = (-1, 2, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{S}_1.$$

By the lemma preceding the theorem one has

$$H_{u+e_2} = H_{e_1-e_2} = \exp(\mathbb{k}x) \subset \overline{\langle H_u, H_{e_2} \rangle} \cap \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{k})$$

where  $x \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{k})$  is the nilpotent generator of  $H_{e_1 - e_2} = U_x = \exp(\mathbb{k}x)$ . Let  $y \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{k})$  be a nilpotent matrix such that  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{k}) = \text{lie} \langle x, y \rangle$ . It follows that

$$\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{k}) = \langle U_x, U_y \rangle \text{ where } U_y = \exp(\mathbb{k}y).$$

By virtue of the inclusion above one has

$$\text{SAff}_n = \langle U_x, U_y, H_{e_2} \rangle \subset \overline{\langle U_y, H_{e_2}, H_u \rangle}$$

where  $H_u \notin \text{Aff}_n$ .

Let  $G = \langle U_y, H_{e_2}, H_u \rangle$ . One shows that the subgroup  $\langle \text{SAff}_n, H_u \rangle \subset \overline{G}$  acts infinitely transitively on  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . Hence  $\overline{G}$  does. Then the same holds for  $G$ .  $\square$

### REMARK

Andrist '18 has found, for any  $n \geq 2$ , three explicit locally nilpotent derivations (vector fields)  $x, y, z$  on  $\mathbb{A}^n$  such that the group  $\langle U_x, U_y, U_z \rangle$  acts infinitely transitively on  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .

## 2.11. SMOOTHNESS IN CODIMENSION 2.

### DEFINITION

We say that  $X$  is **SMOOTH IN CODIMENSION 2** if the singular locus of  $X$  has codimension  $\geq 3$  in  $X$ .

### LEMMA

*A toric affine variety  $X$  is smooth in codimension 2 if and only if, for any two-dimensional face  $\tau$  of the cone  $\sigma_X \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , the ray generators  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$  of  $\tau$  can be included in a base of the lattice  $N$ .*

## 2.12. INFINITE TRANSITIVITY ON TORIC VARIETIES.

Recall our

### THEOREM 1

*Let  $X$  be a toric affine variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$  with no toric factor and smooth in codimension 2. Then one can find root subgroups  $H_1, \dots, H_N$  such that the group  $G = \langle H_1, \dots, H_N \rangle$  acts infinitely transitively in the regular locus  $\text{reg}(X)$ .*

### HINT OF THE PROOF:

If  $n = 2$  then  $X$  is smooth, hence  $X \cong \mathbb{A}^2$ . Suppose  $n \geq 3$ .

We use the Cox ring construction. It replaces our initial toric variety  $X$  by the spectrum  $\text{Cox}(X)$  of its Cox ring, which is just the polynomial ring in  $k$  variables. The linear forms  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k \in \Xi$  define

the **TOTAL COORDINATES** on  $\mathbb{A}^k = \text{Cox}(X)$ . The procedure now is very similar to the one in the case of the affine space.

One can find a finite collection of root subgroups  $H_1, \dots, H_r$  such that the group generated by  $H_1, \dots, H_r$  acts transitively in  $\text{reg}(X)$  (AFKKZ '13). To get infinite transitivity we need to enlarge this collection.

Assume that  $[\rho_1, \rho_2]$  and  $[\rho_1, \rho_3]$  are incident faces of  $\sigma$ . Using the assumption of smoothness in codimension 2 one constructs

- a cone  $\omega \subset \tau_1$  of dimension  $n-1$  with ray generators  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ ;
- the submonoid  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}v_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}v_{n-1}$  of  $\omega$  of rank  $n-1$ ;
- a subgroup

$$G_1 = \langle H_{e_1}, H_{u_1}, H_{u_2}, \dots, H_{u_{n-1}}, H_{e_3} \rangle \subset \text{SAut}(X)$$

where  $u_i = v_i - e_3 \in \mathcal{S}_2 \cap M$  are such that

- $H_w \subset \overline{G}_1$  for any root  $w \in e_1 + \mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}_1 \cap M$ .

Letting  $\partial_1 = \partial_{\rho_1, e_1} \in \text{LND}(A)$  consider the subalgebra

$$A_1 = \mathbb{k}[\chi^v \mid v \in \mathcal{M}_1] = \mathbb{k}[\chi^{v_1}, \dots, \chi^{v_{n-1}}] \subset \ker(\partial_1).$$

For any  $f \in A_1$  the replica  $\exp(\mathbb{k}f\partial_1)$  of  $H_{e_1}$  is a subgroup of  $\overline{G}_1$ . Since  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{M}_1) = n-1$  one has

$$[\text{Frac}(\ker(\partial_1)) : \text{Frac}(A_1)] < +\infty.$$

Hence there exists  $b_1 \in \ker \partial_1$  such that  $\text{Frac}(\ker \partial_1)$  is generated by  $b_1$  and  $\text{Frac}(A_1)$ . One can write  $b_1 = \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \chi^{m_j}$  where  $m_j \in \tau_1 \cap M$ . Then  $H_0 = \exp(\mathbb{k}b_1\partial_1)$  is contained in the product of the root subgroups  $H_{r+j} := \exp(\mathbb{k}\chi^{m_j}\partial_1)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ .

Choose linearly independent ray generators  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n \in \Xi$ . Repeating the same construction one obtains for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  a triple  $(G_i, \partial_i, A_i)$  with properties similar to the ones of  $(G_1, \partial_1, A_1)$ .

Let now

$$G = \langle H_1, \dots, H_{r+s}, G_1, \dots, G_n \rangle \subset \text{SAut}(X).$$

The group  $\overline{G}$  satisfies  $(\gamma)$  from Theorem 0 (a criterion of infinite transitivity). Due to this criterion,  $\overline{G}$  acts infinitely transitively on its open orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}} = \mathcal{O}_G = \text{reg}(X)$ . Then the same is true for  $G$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [An18] R. B. Andrist. Integrable generators of Lie algebras of vector fields on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *arXiv:1808.06905* (2018), 7p.
- [AFKKZ13] I. Arzhantsev, H. Flenner, S. Kaliman, F. Kutzschebauch, and M. Zaidenberg. Flexible varieties and automorphism groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(4):767–823, 2013.
- [AKZ12] I. Arzhantsev, K. Kuyumzhiyan, and M. Zaidenberg. Flag varieties, toric varieties, and suspensions: Three instances of infinite transitivity. *Sb. Math.*, 203(7):3–30, 2012.
- [AKZ18] I. Arzhantsev, K. Kuyumzhiyan, and M. Zaidenberg. Infinite transitivity, finite generation, and Demazure roots. *arXiv:1803.10620* (2018), 24p.