September 23–29, 2018

**Two definitions.** Let  $S = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n], T = \mathbb{k}[\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n]$ , with T acting on S by differentiation (where  $\partial_i$  acts as  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ ), denoted  $\neg$ , as in  $\partial_i \neg x_i^3 = 3x_i^2$ .

For  $F \in S$  homogeneous of degree d, a power sum decomposition of F is an expression  $F = \lambda_1 \ell_1^d + \lambda_2 \ell_2^d$  $\dots + \lambda_r \ell_r^d, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}, \ell_i \in S_1$  linear forms. The Waring rank, denoted rank(F), is the least number of terms r in a power sum decomposition of F.

The apolar ideal or annihilating ideal of F is the ideal  $F^{\perp} = \{ \Theta \in T : \Theta \neg F = 0 \}.$ 

We assume always that k has characteristic 0 or > d. We also assume that k has any needed roots, roots of unity, etc.

## DISCUSSION EXERCISES

These are exercises suggested for discussion during the workshop.

- 1. (a) In a decomposition  $F = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i \ell_i^d$ , if r is minimal, then the  $\lambda_i$  are uniquely determined, and can be found by linear algebra.
  - (b) The set of ranks achievable by forms of a given degree and number of variables is an interval; that is, if there is a form of rank r, then there are forms of rank  $1, 2, \ldots, r$ .
- **2.** Find examples of forms  $F_t \to F_0 \neq 0$  so that for  $t \neq 0$ , rank $(F_t)$  is constant, but (a) rank  $F_0 < \operatorname{rank} F_t$ , and (b) rank  $F_0 > \operatorname{rank} F_t$ .
- **3.** Let  $F \in S_d$  be a homogeneous form of degree d. Let  $P = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  be a point in affine space and let  $D_P = c_1 \partial_1 + \dots + c_n \partial_n \in T_1$ ,  $\ell_P = c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_n x_n \in S_1$ .
  - (a)  $D_P^d \dashv F = d! F(P).$
  - (b) Let  $D \in T_k$ . For all  $d \ge k$ , D(P) = 0 as a polynomial if and only if  $D \dashv \ell_P^d = 0$ .
  - (c)  $D_P^k \in F^{\perp}$  if and only if F vanishes to order (at least) d+1-k at the point P, that is, every partial derivative of F of order  $\leq d - k$  vanishes at the point P.
- 4. Sylvester's algorithm: finding power sum decompositions for binary forms, that is, polynomials of 2 variables.
  - Let F(x, y) be a homogeneous form of degree d in 2 variables. We write  $\alpha$  for  $\partial/\partial x$  and  $\beta$  for  $\partial/\partial y$ .
  - (a) Suppose  $t_1, \ldots, t_{d+1} \in \mathbb{K}$  are any pairwise distinct numbers. Show that  $(x+t_1y)^d, \ldots, (x+t_{d+1}y)^d$ are linearly independent and in fact span the vector space of homogeneous forms of degree d in 2 variables.
  - (b) Suppose  $F = \lambda_1 (a_1 x + b_1 y)^d + \dots + \lambda_r (a_r x + b_r y)^d$ . Let  $h(\alpha, \beta) = (b_1 \alpha a_1 \beta) (b_2 \alpha a_2 \beta) \cdots (b_r \alpha a_r \beta) (b_r \alpha$  $a_r\beta$ ). Show that  $h \in F^{\perp}$ .
  - (c) Conversely, if  $h(\alpha, \beta) = (b_1\alpha a_1\beta)(b_2\alpha a_2\beta)\cdots(b_r\alpha a_r\beta) \in F^{\perp}$  with distinct roots (i.e., no repeated or proportional factors),  $h \neq 0$ , then there exist  $\lambda_i$  such that  $F = \lambda_1 (a_1 x + b_1 y)^d + \cdots + b_i y^{-1} + \cdots + b_i y^{-1}$  $\lambda_r (a_r x + b_r y)^d$ .
  - (d) Deduce Sylvester's algorithm: the rank of F is the least r such that  $F^{\perp}$  contains a form of degree r with projectively distinct roots.
  - (e) Let  $F = x^3 y$ . Find  $F^{\perp}$ . Show that there is no  $h \in F^{\perp}$  of degree 3 or less with distinct roots, therefore F cannot be written as a linear combination of 3 powers of linear forms. Find an  $h \in F^{\perp}$ of degree 4 with distinct roots and write F as a linear combination of 4 powers of linear forms.
- 5. Some exercises about the apolar ideal.
  - (a)  $F^{\perp}$  is an **m**-primary ideal, and if F is homogeneous then so is  $F^{\perp}$ .  $T/F^{\perp} \cong \text{Derivs}(F)$  as a (a) T-module (graded, if F is homogeneous). (b)  $(x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n})^{\perp} = (\partial_1^{a_1+1}, \dots, \partial_n^{a_n+1}).$ (c) For  $F \in S$  and  $\Theta \in T$ ,  $(\Theta \neg F)^{\perp} = F^{\perp} : \Theta$ . (Recall for any ring R, ideal  $I \subseteq R$ , and  $a \in R$ ,

  - $I: a = \{r \in R \colon ar \in I\}.\}$

- (d) The polynomials  $x^d + hy^d \to x^d$  as  $h \to 0$ . What is the limit of the ideals  $(x^d + hy^d)^{\perp}$  and how does it compare to  $(x^d)^{\perp}$ ? What about  $xyz + hw^3 \to xyz$ , and  $\lim(xyz + hw^3)^{\perp}$  versus  $(xyz)^{\perp}$ ?
- (e) Find the apolar ideal, and its Hilbert function, for the following forms:  $x_1^d + \cdots + x_n^d$ , an elementary symmetric polynomial, the  $d \times d$  generic determinant, the  $d \times d$  generic permanent, and the Hilbert function for the following form of degree 4 in 13 variables:  $x^3t_1 + x^2yt_2 + x^2zt_3 + xy^2t_4 + xyzt_5 + xz^2t_6 + y^3t_7 + y^2zt_8 + yz^2t_9 + z^3t_{10}$ . (This last one is not unimodal.)
- 6. Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y$  be independent variables and  $F = F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  a form of degree d > 1. Then  $\operatorname{rank}(F + y^d) = \operatorname{rank}(F) + 1$ . [3]
- 7. For an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix M, let Q be the quadratic form given by

$$Q(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} x_i m_{ij} x_j = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} M \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show rank  $Q = \operatorname{rank} M$ .

8. The following is a conjecture of Shitov: Let  $S = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and let u be a new variable. Let  $F \in S_d$  and  $G \in S_{d-1}$ , with  $G \neq 0$ . Then

$$\operatorname{rank}(F + uG) \ge d + \min_{v \in S_1} \operatorname{rank}(F + vG),$$

with equality if G is a power of a linear form. [12]

This conjecture is open, but the following two special cases are exercises.

- (a) The case F = 0.
- (b) The case d = 2.

## Additional Exercises

These are additional exercises. Some of them are more difficult; some of them are easy but perhaps tedious; some of them require a bit of computation.

- **9.** Let S be the set of solutions to the equation  $(ax + by)^2 (cx + dy)^2 = xy$  (in variables a, b, c, d, over  $\Bbbk$ ). Find equations and a parametrization for the affine variety  $S \subset \mathbb{A}^4$ . (There are two irreducible components.) What is the closure of S in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ ?
- 10. Write out explicitly the system of equations in a, b given by the equation  $(ax + by)^4 = x^3y$  and show that the system has no solutions. Do the same for the equation  $(a_1x + b_1y)^4 + (a_2x + b_2y)^4 = x^3y$  (in variables  $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2$ ).

The "brute force" approach to determining Waring rank of a form such as  $x^3y$  is to find the first time that there is a solution to the equations  $(ax + by)^4 = x^3y$ ,  $(a_1x + b_1y)^4 + (a_2x + b_2y)^4 = x^3y$ ,  $(a_1x + b_1y)^4 + (a_2x + b_2y)^4 + (a_3x + b_3y)^4 = x^3y$ , etc. Is this a feasible approach in general? Is it even feasible for the specific form  $x^3y$ ?

11. For a binary form F of degree  $\leq 3$ , rank(F) is determined by the multiplicities of the roots of F (whether F has 1, 2, or 3 distinct roots), independently of the location of the roots. In particular in degree 3, a cubic form with 3 distinct roots has rank 2, a form with 2 distinct roots (one double root and one single root) has rank 3, and a form with 1 root (a triple root) has rank 1. But in degree  $\geq 4$  this is no longer the case: rank  $xy(x^2 + y^2) = 2$  while rank xy(y + x)(y - 2x) = 3.

However if F has a root of multiplicity m, and F is not a dth power of a linear form, then rank F > m. [13]

**12.** Here are power sum decompositions of a few special polynomials. The exercise is to verify them.

(a)

$$y_1 \cdots y_d = \frac{1}{2^{d-1}d!} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^d \\ \epsilon_1 = 1}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \epsilon_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \epsilon_i y_i \right)^d$$

(b) More generally, let  $M = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$  be a monomial of degree d with  $1 \le a_1 \le \cdots \le a_n$ . For  $2 \le i \le n$  let  $\zeta_i$  be a primitive  $(a_i + 1)$ th root of unity (assume that  $\Bbbk$  contains enough roots of unity). Then

$$M = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{\substack{0 \le k_i \le a_i \\ 2 \le i \le n}} (x_1 + \zeta_2^{k_2} x_2 + \dots + \zeta_n^{k_n} x_n)^d (\zeta_2^{k_2} \cdots \zeta_n^{k_n})$$

where  $C = \begin{pmatrix} d \\ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \end{pmatrix} (a_1 + 1) \cdots (a_n + 1)$ , where  $\begin{pmatrix} d \\ a_1, \dots, a_n \end{pmatrix}$  is the multinomial coefficient  $d!/(a_1! \cdots a_n!)$ . [2]

(c) More generally in a different direction, let  $e_{n,d}$  be the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree d in n variables. Then for d = 2k + 1 odd, n > d, we have

$$2^{d-1}d!e_{n,d} = \sum_{I \subseteq [n], |I| \le k} (-1)^{|I|} \binom{n-k-|I|-1}{k-|I|} (\delta(I,1)x_1 + \delta(I,2)x_2 + \dots + \delta(I,n)x_n)^d$$

where  $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  and  $\delta(I, i) = -1$  if  $i \in I$ , 1 if  $i \notin I$ . And for d = 2k even, n > d, we have

$$2^{d}(n-d)d!e_{n,d} = \sum_{I \subseteq [n], |I| \le k} (-1)^{|I|} \binom{n-k-|I|-1}{k-|I|} (n-2|I|) (\delta(I,1)x_1 + \delta(I,2)x_2 + \dots + \delta(I,n)x_n)^d.$$

See [7].

13. Here are two identities for the determinant and permanent.

Let  $X_d$  be the  $d \times d$  matrix with entries  $x_{i,j}$ . Let  $\det_d = \det X_d$  and  $\operatorname{per}_d = \operatorname{per} X_d$ .

(a) Derksen found the identity

$$\det_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \Big( (x_{13} + x_{12})(x_{21} - x_{22})(x_{31} + x_{32}) \\ + (x_{11} + x_{12})(x_{22} - x_{23})(x_{32} + x_{33}) \\ + 2x_{12}(x_{23} - x_{21})(x_{33} + x_{31}) \\ + (x_{13} - x_{12})(x_{22} + x_{21})(x_{32} - x_{31}) \\ + (x_{11} - x_{12})(x_{23} + x_{22})(x_{33} - x_{32}) \Big).$$

(More precisely, Derksen found a tensor identity in terms of the columns of  $X_3$ . See [5]. The above is a polynomial version of Derksen's identity.)

(b) Glynn's identity for the permanent is

$$\operatorname{per}_{d} = \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^{d} \\ \epsilon_{1} = 1}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \epsilon_{i} \epsilon_{j} x_{i,j},$$

see [6]. For example,

$$per_{3} = \frac{1}{4} \Big\{ (x_{1,1} + x_{1,2} + x_{1,3})(x_{2,1} + x_{2,2} + x_{2,3})(x_{3,1} + x_{3,2} + x_{3,3}) \\ - (x_{1,1} + x_{1,2} - x_{1,3})(x_{2,1} + x_{2,2} - x_{2,3})(x_{3,1} + x_{3,2} - x_{3,3}) \\ - (x_{1,1} - x_{1,2} + x_{1,3})(x_{2,1} - x_{2,2} + x_{2,3})(x_{3,1} - x_{3,2} + x_{3,3}) \\ + (x_{1,1} - x_{1,2} - x_{1,3})(x_{2,1} - x_{2,2} - x_{2,3})(x_{3,1} - x_{3,2} - x_{3,3}) \Big\}.$$

14. Conjugate rank. (From Greg Blekherman.)

Let F be a homogeneous real form. Let us compare the following three distinct values:

- 4
- The complex Waring rank of F, the least length of an expression  $F = \sum \lambda_i \ell_i^d$  with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\ell_i$  having complex coefficients.
- The real Waring rank of F, the least length of an expression  $F = \sum \lambda_i \ell_i^d$  with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\ell_i$ having real coefficients.
- The "conjugate rank" of F, the least length of an expression  $F = \sum \lambda_i \ell_i^d$  with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ell_i$ having complex coefficients, and the  $\ell_i$  occuring in conjugate pairs (or real). Equivalently, the set  $\{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_r\}$  should be fixed under complex conjugation.

With the obvious choice of notation,  $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(F) \ge \operatorname{rank}_{\operatorname{conjugate}}(F) \ge \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ .

- (a) Show by example that  $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(F) > \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$  can occur.
- (b) What happens when F is a binary form?
- 15. Let Q<sub>n</sub> = x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> + · · · + x<sub>n</sub><sup>2</sup>.
  (a) Every form of degree ≤ m is a derivative of Q<sub>n</sub><sup>m</sup>. [10, Theorem 3.10]
  - (b) Show

$$Q_n^2 = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i < j} (x_i \pm x_j)^4 + \frac{4-n}{3} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4$$

thus rank  $Q_n^2 \leq n^2 \ll$  the general rank of a form of degree 4 in *n* variables, for  $n \gg 0$ . (c) Similarly,

$$60Q_n^3 = \sum_{i < j < k} (x_i \pm x_j \pm x_k)^6 + 2(5-n) \sum_{i < j} (x_i \pm x_j)^6 + 2(n^2 - 9n + 38) \sum x_i^6,$$

so rank  $Q_n^3 \leq 4\binom{n}{3} + 2\binom{n}{2} + n \ll$  the general rank, for  $n \gg 0$ .

16. What is the Waring rank of  $F = x^2y + y^2z$ , the plane cubic consisting of a smooth conic plus a tangent line? More generally, what is the Waring rank of any reducible cubic (in any number of variables)? [4]

## Problems

- 17. B. Segre in his book "The Non-Singular Cubic Surfaces" [11], Section 96–97, determined the Waring rank of some quaternary cubics (forms of degree 3 in 4 variables); he showed that all of them have rank less than or equal to 7, and that rank 7 does occur. It was left to the reader to complete the determination of Waring ranks of all cubic surfaces.
- 18. What are the Waring ranks of the forms  $Q^m = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^m$ ? These are known in only a handful of cases, see Reznick [9]. What about  $Q^m L^k$  for a linear form L which may be tangent to Q or not?
- **19.** Fixing the degree d = 3, what is the maximum rank of cubics in n variables as a function of n? For n = 1, 2, 3, 4, the maximum rank is 1, 3, 5, 7, see [8]. (The generic ranks for n = 2, 3, 4 are 2, 3, 5.) For n = 5 the generic rank is 8, and rank  $x_1(x_1x_2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_5^2) = 9$ , but the maximum rank is unknown.
- **20.** The  $4 \times 4$  determinant be written as a sum of three determinants of  $2 \times 2$  matrices of quadratic forms. Can this be done with fewer than three terms?
- **21.** Is there any irreducible nondegenerate projective variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  such that the maximum rank with respect to X is equal to 2 times the general rank, over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , or any algebraically closed field? (An example over  $\mathbb{R}$  is given in [1].)
- **22.** The Sylvester bound followed immediately from the trivial observation that if  $F \in \text{span}\{\ell_1^d, \ldots, \ell_r^d\}$ , then  $\operatorname{Derivs}(F)_{d-1} \subseteq \operatorname{span}\{\ell_1^{d-1}, \ldots, \ell_r^{d-1}\}$ . Does the converse hold?

$$\operatorname{rank}(F + uG) \ge d + \min_{v \in S_1} \operatorname{rank}(F + vG),$$

with equality if G is a power of a linear form. [12]

## References

- Grigoriy Blekherman and Rainer Sinn, Real rank with respect to varieties, Linear Algebra Appl. 505 (2016), 344–360, DOI 10.1016/j.laa.2016.04.035. MR3506500
- Weronika Buczyńska, Jarosław Buczyński, and Zach Teitler, Waring decompositions of monomials, J. Algebra 378 (2013), 45–57, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2012.12.011. MR3017012
- [3] Enrico Carlini, Maria Virginia Catalisano, and Luca Chiantini, Progress on the symmetric Strassen conjecture, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219 (2015), no. 8, 3149–3157, DOI 10.1016/j.jpaa.2014.10.006. MR3320211
- [4] Enrico Carlini, Emanuele Ventura, and Cheng Guo, Real and complex Waring rank of reducible cubic forms, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 220 (2016), no. 11, 3692–3701, DOI 10.1016/j.jpaa.2016.05.007. MR3506475
- [5] Harm Derksen, On the nuclear norm and the singular value decomposition of tensors, Found. Comput. Math. 16 (2016), no. 3, 779–811, DOI 10.1007/s10208-015-9264-x. MR3494510
- [6] David G. Glynn, The permanent of a square matrix, European J. Combin. 31 (2010), no. 7, 1887–1891, DOI 10.1016/j.ejc.2010.01.010. MR2673027
- [7] Hwangrae Lee, Power sum decompositions of elementary symmetric polynomials, Linear Algebra Appl. 492 (2016), 89–97, DOI 10.1016/j.laa.2015.11.018. MR3440150
- [8] Johannes Kleppe, Representing a homogenous polynomial as a sum of powers of linear forms, Master's Thesis, 1999. http://folk.uio.no/johannkl/kleppe-master.pdf.
- Bruce Reznick, Sums of even powers of real linear forms, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1992), no. 463, viii+155, DOI 10.1090/memo/0463. MR1096187
- [10] \_\_\_\_\_, Uniform denominators in Hilbert's seventeenth problem, Math. Z. 220 (1995), no. 1, 75–97, DOI 10.1007/BF02572604. MR1347159
- [11] B. Segre, The Non-singular Cubic Surfaces, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1942. MR0008171
- [12] Yaroslav Shitov, A Counterexample to Comon's Conjecture, SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geom. 2 (2018), no. 3, 428–443, DOI 10.1137/17M1131970. MR3852707
- [13] Neriman Tokcan, On the Waring rank of binary forms, Linear Algebra Appl. 524 (2017), 250–262, DOI 10.1016/j.laa.2017.03.007. MR3630187