

The descriptive complexity of connectedness in Polish spaces

by

Gabriel Debs (Paris and Le Havre) and **Jean Saint Raymond** (Paris)

Abstract. We investigate the descriptive complexity of connectedness (and also pathwise connectedness and local connectedness) of Polish spaces, and prove that even in the framework of finite-dimensional euclidean spaces this complexity can be the highest possible, and much beyond the first projective classes Σ_1^1 and Π_1^1 . In particular we prove that several of these notions are Π_2^1 -complete.

1. Introduction. This work is a continuation of [2] where we investigated the maximal possible complexity of the set of all zero-dimensional closed subsets of a given Polish space. In the present work we study the analogous question for connectedness, which is a kind of strong negation of zero-dimensionality. In fact we shall investigate this question for several variations of the notion of connectedness. More precisely, we shall compute the maximal possible complexity, for a given Polish space X , of the following sets:

- $\mathcal{C}(X)$: the set of all closed connected subsets of X ,
- $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(X)$: the set of all closed subsets of X whose complement is connected,
- $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(X)$: the set of all closed pathwise connected subsets of X ,
- $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$: the set of all closed locally connected subsets of X .

It turns out that this complexity depends on whether the ground space X is compact or merely Polish, as shown by the following table which gives upper bounds for the complexity of these sets:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 03E15, 28A05, 54D05; Secondary 54H05.

Key words and phrases: connectedness, local connectedness, Effros Borel structure, projective sets.

Received 13 April 2018; revised 22 May 2019.

Published online 20 December 2019.

	$\mathcal{C}(X)$	$\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$	$\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(X)$	$\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$
X compact	$\mathbf{\Pi}_1^0$	$\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$	$\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$	$\mathbf{\Pi}_3^0$
X Polish	$\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$	$\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$	$\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$	$\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$

Note that in the compact case the complexity is computed in the compact space $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of all compact subsets of X , while in the Polish case the complexity is relative to the canonical Borel structure of the space $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of all closed subsets of X (see Section 2). These bounds are obtained by a straightforward extension of definitions, except the bound $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ (see Section 3 for the notation), which relies on some specific analysis and properties of Polish locally connected spaces. As we shall see, all these bounds are optimal. More precisely, we shall prove:

- (a) *There exists a Polish space $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\mathcal{C}(X)$ $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ -complete.*
- (b) *There exists a Polish space $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ -complete.*
- (c) *There exists a compact space $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ -complete.*
- (d) *There exists a Polish space $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ -complete.*

We point out that by a result of Ajtai and Becker (see [5, Theorem 37.11]) the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ -complete for all $n \geq 3$, and using the methods of the present work we shall give in Section 5 an alternative proof of this result for $n \geq 4$.

Observe that since any Polish space can be embedded as a closed subset of the Polish space \mathbb{R}^ω , it follows from the previous results that the sets $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$ are $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ -complete, while $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$ is $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ -complete. Note however that $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$ is actually Borel (Proposition 7.5).

To finish we list some open questions.

1) There is a priori no topological reason which prevents a 2-dimensional version of the previous results, with $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. However, aside from case (b), our constructions necessitate some geometrical freedom in the surrounding euclidean space, and are not easily adaptable to dimension 2.

2) Our results give the *maximal* complexity of the sets considered. They do not describe the whole spectrum of possible complexities of these sets for an arbitrary Polish space X .

3) One can try to release the restriction on X being Polish. In particular one can consider the same questions for an analytic space X . We recall that in this case the canonical Borel structure on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is isomorphic to the Borel structure of a $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^1$ subset of 2^ω , and considering the descriptive complexity of subsets of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is meaningful. Then resuming the same computation as in the Polish case one can check that for an analytic space X the sets $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ are still $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$, while the natural complexity upper bound for $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ jumps up to $\mathbf{\Pi}_4^1$; and it is not clear whether in those two cases the bound is optimal.

4) Finally, one can similarly investigate the descriptive complexity of various topological notions other than connectedness. We mention in particular the case of the set $\mathcal{F}_0(X)$ of all closed zero-dimensional subsets of a Polish space X . It is not difficult to check that $\mathcal{F}_0(X)$ is a Σ_2^1 set, and it follows from the results of [2] that the maximal complexity of this set is at least $\mathfrak{D}\Sigma_2^0$ (see [8] or [2] for the definition of this class of Δ_2^1 sets). But the maximal complexity of $\mathcal{F}_0(X)$ is unknown.

2. Descriptive notions and notations. Along the paper we work in the framework of Polish spaces and we assume the reader is familiar with basic notions and results from descriptive set theory in this setting, for example as presented in [6] or [8]. We recall that the notion of complexity of a set A is a priori relative to some specified space X containing A . However, when the surrounding space X is not specified, the complexity is to be understood in the maximal sense, that is, relative to any Polish space X in which A can be embedded.

We shall mainly consider the classical pointclasses of Borel and projective sets:

- Σ_ξ^0 : the additive Baire class of rank ξ ,
- Π_ξ^0 : the multiplicative Baire class of rank ξ (so $\Pi_2^0 = \mathbf{G}_\delta$),
- Σ_1^1 : the class of analytic sets,
- Π_1^1 : the class of co-analytic sets,
- Δ_1^1 : the class of Borel sets,
- Σ_2^1 : the class of projections of co-analytic sets, also denoted by *PCA*,
- Π_2^1 : the class of complements of *PCA* sets, also denoted by *CPCA*,
- $\Delta_2^1 := \Sigma_2^1 \cap \Pi_2^1$.

Also given any pointclass Γ we denote by $\check{\Gamma}$ its *dual class*, so for any Polish space X , $\check{\Gamma}(X) = \{X \setminus A : A \in \Gamma\}$; and by $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ the class of all sets obtained by operation \mathcal{A} applied to a Suslin scheme of sets from Γ . It is well known that $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma_1^1) = \Sigma_1^1$, and we shall consider the class $\mathcal{A}(\Pi_1^1)$ and its dual class $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\Pi_1^1)$ which are both subclasses of the class of *C*-sets, hence of Δ_2^1 (see [1] or [2] for more details).

The basic tool for computing complexity is the notion of *reduction*. We recall that a mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to *reduce* a set $A \subset X$ to a set $B \subset Y$ if $A = \varphi^{-1}(B)$. If Λ is a class of functions, then $A \subset X$ is said to be Λ -*reducible* to $B \subset Y$ if there exists $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ in Λ which reduces A to B ; and when Λ is the class of continuous functions we simply say that $A \subset X$ is *reducible* to $B \subset Y$. Note that writing $A \subset X$ we mean a pair (A, X) such that $A \subset X$, and similarly for $B \subset Y$; and reducibility is actually a relation between such pairs. However, when there is no ambiguity about the surrounding spaces X and Y , we shall simply say that the set A is reducible

to the set B . As a matter of fact, in many instances, if we require the spaces X and Y to be Polish, the notion of reducibility is absolute in the sense that it does not depend on the specific Polish spaces in which the sets A and B are embedded.

If Λ is a class of functions between Polish spaces and Γ is a descriptive pointclass of subsets of Polish spaces, and B is an arbitrary subset of the Polish space Y , then the set B (or more precisely the pair $B \subset Y$) is said to be Λ - Γ -hard if any set $A \subset 2^\omega$ in Γ is reducible to B by a function $\varphi : 2^\omega \rightarrow Y$ in Λ ; and if moreover B is in Γ then the set B is said to be Λ - Γ -complete. Again when Λ is the class of continuous functions we simply say Γ -hard and Γ -complete.

From the proof of the main result of [4] we have the following (see also [3] for a generalization of this result):

THEOREM 2.1 (Kechris [4]). *Let Γ be a pointclass containing the class Π_1^1 and closed under Borel substitutions. If a set $B \subset 2^\omega$ is Borel- Γ -hard then B is Γ -hard.*

Note that since any uncountable Polish space is Borel-isomorphic to 2^ω , it follows from Theorem 2.1 that for a projective class Γ , being Γ -complete for a subset B of some Polish space Y is absolute and does not depend on Y .

Throughout we denote by \mathbb{I} and \mathbb{J} the intervals $[0, 1]$ and $[-1, 1]$ respectively.

3. Topologies and Borel structures on $\mathcal{F}(X)$

3.1. The topological space $\mathcal{K}(X)$. For any space X we denote by $\mathcal{K}(X)$ the space of all compact subsets of X equipped with the Vietoris topology, that is, the topology generated by the sets of the form

$$V^- = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) : K \subset V\} \quad \text{and} \quad V^+ = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) : K \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$$

where V is an arbitrary open subset of X . We recall that if the space X is zero-dimensional (compact, Polish) then so is $\mathcal{K}(X)$; also if X is perfect then so is $\mathcal{K}^*(X) = \mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. In particular $\mathcal{K}^*(2^\omega)$ is homeomorphic to 2^ω .

3.2. The Borel structure of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. If the space X is not compact, there is no canonical topology on the space $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of all closed subsets of X . In this case we will always equip $\mathcal{F}(X)$ with its *Effros structure*, that is, the Borel structure generated by the sets of the form $V^+ = \{F \in \mathcal{F}(X) : F \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$. If X is compact one then recovers the Borel structure generated by the canonical topology of $\mathcal{K}(X) = \mathcal{F}(X)$. It is also well known that if X is Polish then this canonical Borel structure on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is standard, that is, can be generated by some Polish topology. One way of constructing such a topology is to embed the Polish space X in some compact space \hat{X} and then

identify any set $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ with its closure \overline{F} in \hat{X} . The set $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is then identified with a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ subset of $\mathcal{K}(\hat{X})$, namely

$$\mathcal{F}(X) \approx \{K \in \mathcal{K}(\hat{X}) : \overline{K \cap X} = K\}.$$

This defines on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ a Polish topology which is said to be *admissible* and one can easily check that the Borel structure defined by an admissible topology is indeed generated by the sets of the form V^+ . However, when X is not compact we shall never consider any topological notion when working in $\mathcal{F}(X)$, which will be equipped with its Borel structure solely. Nevertheless, all Borel notions and beyond such as $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^1, \mathbf{\Pi}_1^1, \mathbf{\Sigma}_2^1, \mathbf{\Pi}_2^1, \dots$ are meaningful for $\mathcal{F}(X)$.

3.3. We next state the main properties of the space $\mathcal{F}(X)$ when X is Polish, which we will freely use:

- (a) The set $\{(F, G) \in \mathcal{F}(X) \times \mathcal{F}(X) : F \subset G\}$ is $\mathbf{\Delta}_1^1$.
- (b) The set $\{(F, K) \in \mathcal{F}(X) \times \mathcal{K}(X) : F \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ is $\mathbf{\Delta}_1^1$.
- (c) The set $\{(F, G) \in \mathcal{F}(X) \times \mathcal{F}(X) : F \cap G \neq \emptyset\}$ is $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^1$.
- (d) If A is a $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^1$ subset of X then the set $\{F \in \mathcal{F}(X) : F \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ is $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^1$.
- (e) Let $\mathcal{F}^*(X) = \mathcal{F}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Then there exists a sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \omega}$ of Borel functions $c_k : \mathcal{F}^*(X) \rightarrow X$ such that $c_k(F) \in F$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X)$, and the set $\{c_k(F) : k \in \omega\}$ is dense in F .

In fact, if we endow $\mathcal{F}(X)$ with some admissible topology then in (a) and (b) “ $\mathbf{\Delta}_1^1$ ” can be replaced by “ $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^0$ ”, and in (e) “Borel” can be replaced by “first Baire class”.

We recall that a compact set-valued mapping $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(T)$ is said to be *u.s.c.* if for any open subset W of T , the set $\Psi^{-1}(W^-) = \{\alpha \in \Sigma : \Psi(\alpha) \subset W\}$ is an open subset of Σ . Note that if T is compact this is equivalent to saying that the set $\{(\alpha, x) \in \Sigma \times T : x \in \Psi(\alpha)\}$ is closed in $\Sigma \times T$.

The following result will be of constant use.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let Σ be a Polish space, T be a compact space, and $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(T)$ be an u.s.c. mapping. Let S be a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ subset of T and $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(S)$ be the mapping defined by $\Phi(\alpha) = S \cap \Psi(\alpha)$. If for all $\alpha \in S$, $\Phi(\alpha)$ is dense in $\Psi(\alpha)$, then the mapping Φ is Borel.*

Proof. Let V be any open subset of S . Fix an open subset W of T such that $V = S \cap W$, write $W = \bigcup_n F_n$ with all the F_n 's closed in T and set $W_n = T \setminus F_n$. It then follows from the density condition that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\alpha) \cap V \neq \emptyset &\iff \Phi(\alpha) \cap W \neq \emptyset \iff \Psi(\alpha) \cap W \neq \emptyset \\ &\iff \exists n, \Psi(\alpha) \cap F_n \neq \emptyset \iff \exists n, \Psi(\alpha) \not\subset W_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Phi^{-1}(V^+) = \bigcup_n (\Sigma \setminus \Psi^{-1}(W_n^-))$ is a $\mathbf{\Sigma}_2^0$ set, which proves that Φ is Borel. ■

Throughout, given a subset E of a product space $X \times Y$ and an element $x \in X$ in the first factor we write

$$E(x) := \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in E\}.$$

3.4. A family of projective complete sets. Let $\Delta \approx 2^\omega$ be a copy of the Cantor space and $Q \subset \Delta$ be a countable dense subset of Δ . We define inductively for $n \geq 1$ a pair $(\mathbb{P}_n(Q, \Delta), \mathbb{Q}_n(Q, \Delta)) = (\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{Q}_n)$ of subsets of $\mathcal{K}^*(\Delta^n) \approx \mathcal{K}^*(2^\omega) \approx 2^\omega$ by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{P}_1 = \mathcal{K}^*(Q), \\ \mathbb{P}_n = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(\Delta^n) : \forall \alpha \in \Delta, K(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}_{n-1}\} & \text{for } n \geq 2, \\ \mathbb{Q}_n = \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta^n) \setminus \mathbb{P}_n. \end{cases}$$

Hence with the canonical identification $\Delta^n \approx \Delta^{n-1} \times \Delta$, for any $K \in \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta^n)$ we have

$K \in \mathbb{P}_n \iff \forall \alpha_1 \in \Delta, \exists \alpha_2 \in \Delta, \dots, \exists \alpha_{n-1} \in \Delta, K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \subset Q$
if $n \geq 1$ is odd, and

$K \in \mathbb{P}_n \iff \forall \alpha_1 \in \Delta, \exists \alpha_2 \in \Delta, \dots, \forall \alpha_{n-1} \in \Delta, K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \not\subset Q$
if n is even.

It then follows by a straightforward induction that \mathbb{P}_n is $\mathbf{\Pi}_n^1$ and \mathbb{Q}_n is $\mathbf{\Sigma}_n^1$. It is also well known that \mathbb{P}_1 is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ -complete.

LEMMA 3.2. *For all $n \geq 1$, \mathbb{P}_n is $\mathbf{\Pi}_n^1$ -complete.*

Proof. If B is any $\mathbf{\Pi}_n^1$ subset of 2^ω then by definition we can find a sequence $(A_k, B_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ such that for all k ,

- $B_n = B$,
- $A_k \subset 2^\omega \times \Delta^{n-k}$ is $\mathbf{\Sigma}_k^1$ and $B_k = (2^\omega \times \Delta^{n-k}) \setminus A_k$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_k^1$,
- $A_{n-k} \subset 2^\omega \times \Delta^k$ is the projection on $2^\omega \times \Delta^k$ of $B_{n-k-1} \subset (2^\omega \times \Delta^k) \times \Delta$.

Hence $A_1 \subset C := 2^\omega \times \Delta^{n-1}$ is $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^1$ and setting $P = \Delta \setminus Q \approx \omega^\omega$ we can find a closed subset F of $C \times P$ such that A_1 is the projection of F on C . Then if H denotes the closure of F in $C \times \Delta = 2^\omega \times \Delta^n$, the mapping $\Phi : \varepsilon \mapsto H(\varepsilon)$ from 2^ω to $\mathcal{K}(\Delta^n)$ is u.s.c., hence by Lemma 3.1 the mapping Φ is Borel, and for all $\varepsilon \in 2^\omega$, if n is odd we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \in B_n &\iff \forall \alpha_1 \in \Delta, (\varepsilon, \alpha_1) \in A_{n-1} \\ &\iff \forall \alpha_1 \in \Delta, \exists \alpha_2 \in \Delta, (\varepsilon, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in B_{n-2} \\ &\iff \forall \alpha_1 \in \Delta, \exists \alpha_2 \in \Delta, \dots, \exists \alpha_{n-1} \in \Delta, \forall \alpha_n \in P, (\varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \notin F \\ &\iff \forall \alpha_1 \in \Delta, \exists \alpha_2 \in \Delta, \dots, \exists \alpha_{n-1} \in \Delta, \forall \alpha_n \in P, (\varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \notin H \\ &\iff \forall \alpha_1 \in \Delta, \exists \alpha_2 \in \Delta, \dots, \exists \alpha_{n-1} \in \Delta, H(\varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \subset Q \\ &\iff H(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{P}_n, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly if n is even,

$$\varepsilon \in B_n$$

$$\iff \forall \alpha_1 \in \Delta, \exists \alpha_2 \in \Delta, \dots, \forall \alpha_{n-1} \in \Delta, \exists \alpha_n \in P, (\varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in H$$

$$\iff \forall \alpha_1 \in \Delta, \exists \alpha_2 \in \Delta, \dots, \forall \alpha_{n-1} \in \Delta, H(\varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \notin Q$$

$$\iff H(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{P}_n.$$

This proves that any $\mathbf{\Pi}_n^1$ subset of 2^ω is Borel reducible to \mathbb{P}_n , hence by Theorem 2.1 the set \mathbb{P}_n is $\mathbf{\Pi}_n^1$ -complete. ■

4. Connectedness. We recall that $\mathcal{C}(X)$ denotes the set of all closed connected subsets of X . It is well known that if X is compact then $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a closed, hence compact, subset of $\mathcal{K}(X)$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If X is a Polish space then $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ subset of $\mathcal{F}(X)$.*

Proof. By definition, given any $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ we have $F \notin \mathcal{C}(X)$ if and only if

$$\exists F_0, F_1 \in \mathcal{F}(X), \quad F_0 \cap F \neq \emptyset, F_1 \cap F \neq \emptyset, F_0 \cap F_1 = \emptyset \text{ and } F \subset F_0 \cup F_1.$$

Since on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the three-argument relation “ $F \subset F_0 \cup F_1$ ” is Borel and the binary relation “ $F \cap F' = \emptyset$ ” is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ it follows that $\mathcal{F}(X) \setminus \mathcal{C}(X)$ is a $\mathbf{\Sigma}_2^1$ set, hence $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ set. ■

THEOREM 4.2. *There exists a Polish space $X \subset \mathbb{I}^3$ for which the set $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ -complete.*

Proof. Fix $\Delta \approx 2^\omega$ such that $\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\} \subset \Delta \subset [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$ and a dense countable subset Q of Δ ; then setting $P = \Delta \setminus Q$ we define

$$X = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{I}^3 : x \notin \Delta \text{ or } (y \in P \text{ and } z = 0)\},$$

which is clearly a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ subset of \mathbb{I}^3 , hence a Polish space.

For any $A \subset \mathbb{I}^2$ we set $\tilde{A} = A \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{I}^3$. Let $\Psi : \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{I}^3)$ be the mapping defined for all $K \in \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta^2)$ by

$$\Psi(K) = \{u = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{I}^3 : z + d(x, \Delta) \geq \frac{1}{2}d(u, \tilde{K})\}$$

where d denotes the standard metric on \mathbb{I} as well as the ℓ^1 -metric on \mathbb{I}^3 :

$$d((x, y, z), (x', y', z')) = |x - x'| + |y - y'| + |z - z'|,$$

and observe that $\tilde{K} \subset \Psi(K)$. Finally, we define $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ by

$$\Phi(K) = X \cap \Psi(K).$$

LEMMA 4.3. *The mapping Φ is Borel.*

Proof. We apply Lemma 3.1. Observe first that since $\{(K, u) : u \in \Psi(K)\}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{K}(\Delta^2) \times \mathbb{I}^3$, the mapping Ψ is u.s.c. We now check that for all $K \in \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta^2)$, $\Phi(K)$ is dense in $\Psi(K)$.

Let U be an open subset of \mathbb{I}^3 such that $U \cap \Psi(K) \neq \emptyset$; we have to show that $U \cap \Phi(K) \neq \emptyset$. Fix $u = (x, y, z) \in U \cap \Psi(K)$; we have to find some $u' = (x', y', z') \in U \cap \Phi(K)$. For all $t \in \mathbb{I}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ let $J_t^\varepsilon =]t - \varepsilon/2, t + \varepsilon/2[\cap \mathbb{I}$; we may suppose that $U = J_x^\varepsilon \times J_y^\varepsilon \times J_z^\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

If $x \notin \Delta$ then $u \in \Phi(K)$ and we can take $u' = u$. If not then $d(x, \Delta) = 0$ and $d((x, y), K) + z = d(u, \tilde{K}) \leq 2z$, hence $d((x, y), K) \leq z$. We distinguish three cases:

If $z < 1$ pick $x' \in J_x^\varepsilon \setminus \Delta$, let $\delta < d(x', \Delta)$ be such that $z + \delta < 1$ and pick $z' \in]z, z + \delta[$; then $u' = (x', y, z') \in U \cap X$, $d(u', u) = |x' - x| + |z' - z| \leq 2d(x', \Delta)$ and $d(u', \tilde{K}) \leq d(u, \tilde{K}) + 2d(x', \Delta) \leq 2z + 2d(x', \Delta) \leq 2z' + 2d(x', \Delta)$; hence $u' \in \Phi(K)$.

If $d((x, y), K) < z = 1$, let $\delta < \varepsilon$ be such that $d((x, y), K) < z - \delta$ and pick $x' \in J_x^\delta \setminus \Delta$; then $u' = (x', y, z) \in U \cap X$ and $d(u', u) = |x' - x| \leq \delta$, hence $d(u', \tilde{K}) \leq d(u, \tilde{K}) + \delta = d((x, y), K) + z + \delta \leq 2z$, so $u' \in \Phi(K)$.

If $d((x, y), K) = z = 1$, take $(x_0, y_0) \in K$ such that $d((x, y), (x_0, y_0)) = 1$; then since $|y - y_0| \leq \frac{3}{4}$ we have $|x - x_0| \geq \frac{1}{4}$ and since $x, x_0 \in \Delta$ we can find $x' \in]x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon[\setminus \Delta$ such that $|x' - x_0| < |x - x_0|$ and then $u' = (x', y, 1) \in U \cap \Phi(K)$. ■

Let $\mathbb{P}_2 = \mathbb{P}_2(Q, \Delta)$ (see Section 3.4).

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{I} \setminus \Delta) \times \mathbb{I}$ and set $u_t = (x, y, t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$.*

- (a) *If $u_z \in \Phi(K)$ then $u_t \in \Phi(K)$ for all $t \geq z$.*
- (b) *If $K \in \mathbb{P}_2$ then there exists $z \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $u_z \in \Phi(K)$; in particular $u_1 \in \Phi(K)$.*

Proof. Observe first that since $x \notin \Delta$, for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$ we have $u_t \in X \setminus \tilde{K}$, hence

$$u_t \in \Phi(K) \iff \delta(t) := t + d(x, \Delta) - \frac{1}{2}d(u_t, \tilde{K}) \geq 0.$$

- (a) If $u_z \in \Phi(K)$ then for all $t \geq z$,

$$\delta(t) - \delta(z) = (t - z) - \frac{1}{2}d(u_t, u_z) = (t - z) - \frac{1}{2}(t - z) \geq 0,$$

hence $\delta(t) \geq \delta(z) \geq 0$ and $u_t \in \Phi(K)$.

- (b) Fix $a \in \Delta$ such that $d(x, \Delta) = |x - a|$. Since $K \in \mathbb{P}_2$, there exists $b \in P$ such that $(a, b, 0) \in \tilde{K}$. Then for all $z \geq 1 - d(x, \Delta)$,

$$d(u_z, \tilde{K}) \leq |x - a| + |y - b| + z \leq d(x, \Delta) + 1 + z \leq 2d(x, \Delta) + 2z,$$

hence $\delta(z) \geq 0$; so $u_z \in \Phi(K)$ and it follows from part (a) that $u_1 \in \Phi(K)$. ■

LEMMA 4.5. *If $K \notin \mathbb{P}_2$ then $\Phi(K) \notin \mathcal{C}(X)$.*

Proof. Observe first that for any $K \in \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta^2)$ and any $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, $v_\varepsilon = (\varepsilon, 0, 1)$ is a member of $\Phi(K)$. Indeed, if $w_\varepsilon = (a, b, 0) \in \tilde{K}$ is such that $d(v_\varepsilon, \tilde{K}) = d(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ then

$$d(v_\varepsilon, \tilde{K}) = |\varepsilon - a| + |b| + 1 \leq \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4} + 1 = 2\left(\frac{1}{4} + 1\right) = 2(d(\varepsilon, \Delta) + 1).$$

If $K \notin \mathbb{P}_2$ then by definition of \mathbb{P}_2 there exists some $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that $K(\alpha) \subset Q$, and it then follows from the definition of X that $\Phi(K)(\alpha) = \emptyset$. Hence the sets $V_0 = \{(x, y, z) \in \Phi(K) : x < \alpha\}$ and $V_1 = \{(x, y, z) \in \Phi(K) : x > \alpha\}$ form an open partition of $\Phi(K)$ with $v_\varepsilon \in V_\varepsilon$, which shows that $\Phi(K)$ is not connected. ■

LEMMA 4.6. *If $K \in \mathbb{P}_2$ then $\Phi(K) \in \mathcal{C}(X)$.*

Proof. Fix $K \in \mathbb{P}_2$. Let \mathcal{J} denote the set of all connected components of $\mathbb{I} \setminus \Delta$, and for all $J \in \mathcal{J}$ set

$$\Phi_J(K) = \Phi(K) \cap (J \times \mathbb{I}^2).$$

CLAIM 1. $\Phi_J(K)$ is pathwise connected.

Proof. Set $C_J = J \times \mathbb{I} \times \{1\}$. Since $K \in \mathbb{P}_2$, by Lemma 4.4(b) we have $C_J \subset \Phi_J(K)$, and since C_J is convex, it is pathwise connected. Moreover it follows from Lemma 4.4(a) that any element $u = (x, y, z) \in \Phi_J(K)$ can be connected in $\Phi_J(K)$ by a linear path to $u_1 = (x, y, 1) \in C_J$. Hence $\Phi_J(K)$ is pathwise connected. ◊

Suppose now that (V_0, V_1) is a partition of $\Phi(K)$ into two clopen subsets and V_0 contains $v_0 = (0, 0, 1)$. For $\varepsilon = 0, 1$, set $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon = \{J \in \mathcal{J} : \Phi_J(K) \subset V_\varepsilon\}$. It then follows from Claim 1 that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_0 \cup \mathcal{J}_1$.

CLAIM 2. *If $u = (a, b, 0) \in \tilde{K}$ with $a \in \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon}$ then $u \in V_\varepsilon$.*

Proof. Fix a sequence $(J_k)_k$ in \mathcal{J}_ε with for each k some $x_k \in J_k$ such that $a = \lim_k x_k$, and let $z_k = |x_k - a|$. Since $x_k \notin \Delta$, we have $u_k = (x_k, b, z_k) \in X$. Moreover since $u \in \tilde{K}$, it follows that $u \in \Phi(K)$ and

$$d(u_k, \tilde{K}) \leq d(u_k, u) = |x_k - a| + z_k = 2z_k \leq 2(z_k + d(x_k, \Delta)),$$

hence $u_k \in \Phi_{J_k}(K) \subset V_\varepsilon$; and since V_ε is a closed subset of $\Phi(K)$, we conclude that $u = \lim_k u_k \in V_\varepsilon$. ◊

CLAIM 3. $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_0$.

Proof. Suppose otherwise; then $\mathcal{J}_1 \neq \emptyset$; let $a = \inf(\bigcup \mathcal{J}_1)$. Since by assumption $v_0 \in V_0$, we see that $[0, 1/4[\in \mathcal{J}_0$ and $\inf J \in \Delta$ for every $J \in \mathcal{J}_1$, hence $a \in \Delta$. And since $K \in \mathbb{P}_2$, we can find some $b \in P$ such that $u = (a, b, 0) \in \tilde{K} \cap X$. Then fixing for all k some $I_k \in \mathcal{J}$ with $I_k \cap]a - 2^{-k}, a[\neq \emptyset$, we deduce that $I_k \in \mathcal{J}_0$. Also we can find for all k some $J_k \in \mathcal{J}_1$ with $J_k \cap]a, a + 2^{-k}[\neq \emptyset$. It then follows from Claim 2 that $u \in V_0 \cap V_1$, a contradiction. ◊

It follows from Claim 3 that $((\mathbb{I} \setminus \Delta) \times \mathbb{I}^2) \cap \Phi(K) \subset V_0$. Moreover since $(\Delta \times \mathbb{I}^2) \cap \Phi(K) \subset \tilde{K}$, it follows from Claim 2 that $\Phi(K) \subset V_0$. This proves that $\Phi(K)$ is connected and finishes the proof of Lemma 4.6. ■

So by Lemmas 4.3–4.6 the mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ is Borel and reduces the complete $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ set \mathbb{P}_2 to $\mathcal{C}(X)$. Hence by Theorem 2.1 the set $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ -complete. ■

5. Pathwise connectedness. We recall that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(X)$ denotes the set of all closed pathwise connected subsets of X .

PROPOSITION 5.1. *If X is a Polish space then $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(X)$ is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ subset of $\mathcal{F}(X)$.*

Proof. Fix a compatible complete metric d on X and equip the space $\Pi(X)$ of all continuous paths $\gamma : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow X$ with the Polish topology of uniform convergence. Then for any $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ we have

$$F \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(X) \iff \begin{cases} \forall x, y \in F, \exists \gamma \in \Pi(X), \gamma(0) = x, \\ \gamma(1) = y \text{ and } \forall t \in \mathbb{Q}, \gamma(t) \in F, \end{cases}$$

where \mathbb{Q} denotes the set of rational numbers in \mathbb{I} .

Since the relations “ $x \in F$ ” and “ $\gamma(t) = x$ ” determine relatively Borel, hence $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^1$, subsets of $X \times \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\Pi(X) \times \mathbb{I} \times X$, it is clear that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(X)$ is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ subset of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. ■

As pointed out in the Introduction, Ajtai and Becker proved that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{I}^n)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ -complete for all $n \geq 3$. In this section we give an alternative proof when $n \geq 4$. This proof relies on some general constructions of pathwise connected spaces that we present first.

DEFINITION 5.2. Given any element a in some set X we set $\mathbb{I}_a = \mathbb{I} \times \{a\}$. A set $A \subset \mathbb{I} \times X$ is said to be *pinned* at a if $A \supset \mathbb{I}_a$.

We denote by π_0 and π_1 the projection mappings from $\mathbb{I} \times X$ onto \mathbb{I} and X respectively.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{I} \times X$ be a pinned set at $a \in X$ and suppose that $\pi_0(A \setminus \mathbb{I}_a)$ has empty interior in \mathbb{I} . Then A is pathwise connected if and only if for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{I}$ the section $A(\varepsilon)$ is pathwise connected.*

Proof. Suppose that all the sections of A are pathwise connected and let (α, x) and (β, y) be two elements of A . Then (α, x) can be joined to (α, a) by a path in $\{\alpha\} \times A(\alpha) \subset A$, and (β, a) can be joined to (β, y) by a path in $\{\beta\} \times A(\beta) \subset A$. Since (α, a) can trivially be joined to (β, a) by an affine path in \mathbb{I}_a , by concatenation (α, x) can be joined to (β, y) by a path in A .

Conversely, suppose that A is pathwise connected. Let x and y be elements of some section $A(\varepsilon)$, and let γ be a path in A joining (ε, x) to (ε, y) .

If $\gamma(\mathbb{I}) \cap \mathbb{I}_a = \emptyset$ then $\pi_0(\gamma(\mathbb{I}))$ is a connected subset of \mathbb{I} with empty interior, hence it is a singleton, and since $\gamma(0) = (\varepsilon, x)$, necessarily $\pi_0(\gamma(\mathbb{I})) = \{\varepsilon\}$. It follows that $\pi_1 \circ \gamma$ is a path in $A(\varepsilon)$ joining x to y .

If $\gamma(\mathbb{I}) \cap \mathbb{I}_a \neq \emptyset$ let $u = \min\{t \in \mathbb{I} : \gamma(t) \in \mathbb{I}_a\}$. Then $\gamma([0, u]) \cap \mathbb{I}_a = \emptyset$ and as in the previous case we necessarily have $\pi_0 \circ \gamma(t) = \varepsilon$ for all $t < u$, hence by continuity $\gamma(u) = (\varepsilon, a)$, and it follows that $\gamma_0 = \pi_1 \circ \gamma|_{[0, u]}$ is a path in $A(\varepsilon)$ joining x to a . Similarly if $v = \max\{t \in \mathbb{I} : \gamma(t) \in \mathbb{I}_a\}$ then $\pi_0 \circ \gamma(t) = \varepsilon$ for all $t \geq v$ and $\gamma_1 = \pi_1 \circ \gamma|_{[v, 1]}$ is a path in $A(\varepsilon)$ joining x to a . Then by the concatenation of γ_0 and γ_1 one gets a path in $A(\varepsilon)$ joining x to y . ■

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{I} \times X$ be two pinned sets at a and b respectively, and suppose that $E = \pi_0(A \setminus \mathbb{I}_a) \cup \pi_0(B \setminus \mathbb{I}_b)$ has empty interior in \mathbb{I} . If A and B are pathwise connected then $C = A \cup B$ is pathwise connected if and only if for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{I}$ the section $C(\varepsilon)$ is pathwise connected.*

Proof. If $C(\varepsilon)$ is pathwise connected for some ε then we can find a path in C joining $(\varepsilon, a) \in A$ to $(\varepsilon, b) \in B$, and since A and B are pathwise connected, so is C .

Conversely, if C is pathwise connected, pick any $\alpha \in \mathbb{I}$, let γ be a path in C joining (α, a) to (α, b) , consider

$$u = \max\{t \in \mathbb{I} : \gamma(t) \in \mathbb{I}_a\} \quad \text{and} \quad v = \min\{t \in [u, 1] : \gamma(t) \in \mathbb{I}_b\},$$

and set $\gamma(u) = (\alpha', a)$ and $\gamma(v) = (\alpha'', b)$.

If $u = v$ then $\alpha' = \alpha''$ and $a = b$, and since by assumption $\pi_0(C \setminus \mathbb{I}_a) = E$ has empty interior in \mathbb{I} , we see that C is pinned at a and by Proposition 5.3, $C(\varepsilon)$ is pathwise connected for all ε .

If $u \neq v$ then $\gamma([u, v]) \cap (\mathbb{I}_a \cup \mathbb{I}_b) = \emptyset$, hence $\pi_0(\gamma([u, v])) \subset E$ has empty interior in \mathbb{I} , hence as in Proposition 5.3, $\pi_0(\gamma([u, v]))$ is a singleton, say $\{\varepsilon\}$. It follows by continuity that $\pi_0(\gamma([u, v])) = \{\varepsilon\}$, hence $\alpha' = \alpha'' = \varepsilon$ and $\pi_1 \circ \gamma|_{[u, v]}$ is a path in $C(\varepsilon)$ joining a to b ; since by Proposition 5.3, $A(\varepsilon)$ and $B(\varepsilon)$ are pathwise connected, so is $C(\varepsilon)$. ■

REMARK. Let X be a compact space and suppose that $\Phi : 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ is a continuous mapping reducing some $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ subset A of 2^ω to $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(X)$. Then since $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(X)$ is a subset of the compact space $\mathcal{C}(X)$, any element from $\overline{A} \setminus A$ is necessarily mapped by Φ to an element in $\mathcal{C}(X) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(X)$. Hence Theorem 5.5 below provides implicitly compact sets which are connected but not pathwise connected. As a matter of fact the construction of X in this proof will make use of the classical result that if G is the graph of the function $x \mapsto \sin(1/x)$ then its closure $\overline{G} = G \cup (\{0\} \times [-1, 1])$ is connected but not pathwise connected. More precisely, we shall use the fact that no element of $\{0\} \times [-1, 1]$ can be joined to an element of G by a path in \overline{G} .

THEOREM 5.5 (Ajtaaj–Becker). *The set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{I}^4)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ -complete.*

Proof. The construction will actually be performed in $\mathbb{I}^2 \times \mathbb{J}^2 \approx \mathbb{I}^4$ where $\mathbb{J} = [-1, 1]$.

Let $\varepsilon \in 2^\omega$, which we view as the characteristic function of some $M_\varepsilon \subset \omega$, and set $N_\varepsilon = \{0\} \cup M_\varepsilon$. Let $\nu_\varepsilon \in \omega^{\leq \omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ be the increasing enumeration of N_ε and set

$$\begin{aligned} H_\varepsilon^+ &= \bigcup_{j \in \text{dom}(\nu_\varepsilon)} \{(x, y) \in]2^{-\nu_\varepsilon(j+1)}, 2^{-\nu_\varepsilon(j)}] \times \mathbb{J} : y = 2^{-\nu_\varepsilon(j)} \sin(\pi/x)\} \\ &\subset]0, 1] \times \mathbb{J} \end{aligned}$$

where by convention $2^{-\nu(i)} = 0$ if $i \notin \text{dom}(\nu)$. We also set

$$\begin{aligned} H_\varepsilon^- &= H^- = ([-1, 0] \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \mathbb{J}) \subset [-1, 0] \times \mathbb{J}, \\ H_\varepsilon &= H_\varepsilon^- \cup H_\varepsilon^+ \subset \mathbb{J}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that each H_ε is nonempty and compact. More precisely:

- if N_ε is infinite then $\overline{H_\varepsilon^+} = H_\varepsilon^+ \cup \{(0, 0)\}$,
- if N_ε is finite and $k = \max N_\varepsilon$ then $\overline{H_\varepsilon^+} = H_\varepsilon^+ \cup (\{0\} \times 2^{-k}\mathbb{J})$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \{\varepsilon \in 2^\omega : \forall m, \exists n \geq m, \varepsilon(n) = 1\}, \\ Q &= \{\varepsilon \in 2^\omega : \exists m, \forall n \geq m, \varepsilon(n) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.6.

- (a) For all ε , H_ε^- and H_ε^+ are pathwise connected.
- (b) If $\varepsilon \in P$ then H_ε is pathwise connected.
- (c) If $\varepsilon \in Q$ then H_ε is not pathwise connected.

Proof. (a) For all ε , $H_\varepsilon^- = H^-$ is clearly pathwise connected and H_ε^+ is the graph of a continuous function $f_\varepsilon :]0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{J}$, hence it is also pathwise connected.

(b) If $\varepsilon \in P$ then $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ and $\overline{H_\varepsilon^+}$ is also the graph of a continuous function $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{J}$ with $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(0) = 0$, hence $\overline{H_\varepsilon^+}$ is pathwise connected. Write $H_\varepsilon = H^- \cup \overline{H_\varepsilon^+}$. Since each set in this union is pathwise connected and contains $(0, 0)$, H_ε is pathwise connected too.

(c) If $\varepsilon \in Q$ and $k = \max N_\varepsilon$ then $G_\varepsilon = H_\varepsilon \cap (]0, 2^{-k}] \times \mathbb{J})$ is the graph of the function $x \mapsto 2^{-k} \sin(\pi/x)$ on $]0, 2^{-k}]$ and $\overline{G_\varepsilon} = G_\varepsilon \cup (\{0\} \times 2^{-k}\mathbb{J})$. As pointed out in the Remark preceding Theorem 5.5, no element from $\overline{G_\varepsilon} \setminus G_\varepsilon$ can be joined to an element from G_ε by a path in $\overline{G_\varepsilon}$, hence by a path in H_ε , since $\overline{G_\varepsilon}$ is a retract of H_ε . ■

From now on we identify 2^ω with a compact set $\Delta \subset \mathbb{I}$ with endpoints 0 and 1 and set $\mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}_1(Q, \Delta)$ and $\mathbb{P}_2 = \mathbb{P}_2(Q, \Delta)$.

Let $a = (-1, 0)$ and $b = (1, 0)$ be viewed as elements of \mathbb{J}^2 , so $\mathbb{I}_a \cup \mathbb{I}_b \subset \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}^2$. Observe that for $\varepsilon \in 2^\omega$ we have $a \in H_\varepsilon^-$ and $b \in H_\varepsilon^+$. We then define $\Psi : \mathcal{K}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}^2)$ by

$$\Psi(L) = \mathbb{I}_a \cup \mathbb{I}_b \cup \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in L} \{\varepsilon\} \times H_\varepsilon.$$

LEMMA 5.7. $\Psi(L) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}^2)$ if and only if $L \notin \mathbb{P}_1$.

Proof. Set $\Psi^-(L) = \Psi(L) \cap (\mathbb{I} \times [-1, 0] \times \mathbb{J})$ and $\Psi^+(L) = \Psi(L) \cap (\mathbb{I} \times]0, 1] \times \mathbb{J})$. Then the subsets $\Psi^-(L)$ and $\Psi^+(L)$ of $\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}^2$ are pinned at a and b respectively, and by Lemma 5.6 for all $\varepsilon \in L$ the sets $\Psi^-(L)(\varepsilon) = \{a\} \cup H_\varepsilon^- = H_\varepsilon^-$ and $\Psi^+(L)(\varepsilon) = \{b\} \cup H_\varepsilon^+ = H_\varepsilon^+$ are pathwise connected; hence by Proposition 5.3, $\Psi^-(L)$ and $\Psi^+(L)$ are pathwise connected. Since $\Psi(L) = \Psi^-(L) \cup \Psi^+(L)$ and $\pi_0(\Psi^-(L) \setminus \mathbb{I}_a) \cup \pi_0(\Psi^+(L) \setminus \mathbb{I}_b) \subset L$ has empty interior in \mathbb{I} , it follows from Proposition 5.4 and Lemma 5.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(L) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}^2) &\iff \exists \varepsilon \in \mathbb{I}, \Psi(L)(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{J}^2) \\ &\iff \exists \varepsilon \in L, H_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{J}^2) \\ &\iff L \cap \mathbb{P} \neq \emptyset \\ &\iff L \notin \mathbb{P}_1. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Let $c = (0, b) \in \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}^2$ so $\mathbb{I}_c \subset \mathbb{I}^2 \times \mathbb{J}^2$, and observe that $c \in \Psi(L)$ for all $L \in \mathcal{K}(\Delta)$. We then define $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{I}^2 \times \mathbb{J}^2)$ by

$$\Phi(K) = \mathbb{I}_c \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \{\alpha\} \times \Psi(K(\alpha)).$$

LEMMA 5.8. $\Phi(K) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{I}^2 \times \mathbb{J}^2)$ if and only if $K \in \mathbb{P}_2$.

Proof. The set $\Phi(K) \subset \mathbb{I} \times (\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}^2)$ is pinned at c . It follows from Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 5.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(K) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{I}^2 \times \mathbb{J}^2) &\iff \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{I}, \Phi(K)(\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}^2) \\ &\iff \forall \alpha \in \Delta, \Psi(K(\alpha)) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}^2) \\ &\iff \forall \alpha \in \Delta, K(\alpha) \notin \mathbb{P}_1 \\ &\iff K \in \mathbb{P}_2. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 5.5 observe that the compact-valued mapping $\varepsilon \mapsto H_\varepsilon$ is u.s.c. since it has a closed graph. It follows that Ψ and Φ viewed as compact-valued mappings are u.s.c. too. In particular $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{I}^2 \times \mathbb{J}^2)$ is Borel. Since by Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8, Φ reduces the $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ -complete set \mathbb{P}_2 to $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{I}^2 \times \mathbb{J}^2)$, Theorem 2.1 shows that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{path}}(\mathbb{I}^2 \times \mathbb{J}^2)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ -complete. ■

6. Local connectedness. We recall that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ denotes the set of all closed locally connected subsets of X . We also recall that a topological space is said to be *locally connected* if any of its elements has a fundamental system

of connected (not necessarily open) neighbourhoods. But a basic result asserts that in a locally connected space any element has in fact a fundamental system of connected open neighbourhoods, equivalently the connected components of any open set are all open.

PROPOSITION 6.1. *If X is a compact space then $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_3^0$ subset of $\mathcal{K}(X)$.*

Proof. Fix a countable basis $(U_j)_{j \in \omega}$ of X and let $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. If F is locally connected then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $x \in F$ there exists an open set V in X such that $x \in V$, $\text{diam } V < \varepsilon$ and $V \cap F$ is connected; then $F' = \overline{V \cap F}$ is a closed connected subset of X such that $\text{diam } F' \leq \varepsilon$, and if j is such that $x \in U_j \subset V$ then $U_j \cap F \subset F'$. Hence if F is locally connected then

$$(\star) \begin{cases} \forall n, \forall x \in F, \exists j \in \omega : x \in U_j, \exists F' \in \mathcal{C}(X), \\ \text{diam } F' \leq 2^{-n} \text{ and } U_j \cap F \subset F'. \end{cases}$$

Conversely, if (\star) holds then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, any element of F admits a connected neighbourhood of diameter $< \varepsilon$ and so F is locally connected.

Then it follows from the compactness of F that condition (\star) is equivalent to

$$(\star\star) \begin{cases} \forall n, \exists J \text{ finite } \subset \omega : F \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j, \forall j \in J, \\ \exists F' \in \mathcal{C}(X), \text{diam } F' \leq 2^{-n} \text{ and } U_j \cap F \subset F'. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X) = \bigcap_n \bigcup_{\substack{J \text{ finite} \\ J \subset \omega}} \bigcap_{j \in J} \mathcal{K}\left(\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j\right) \cap \mathcal{L}_{j,n}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{j,n}$ denotes the set of all compact sets F satisfying the second line of $(\star\star)$. Then since $\mathcal{K}(\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j)$ is σ -compact and each $\mathcal{L}_{j,n}$ is compact as the projection of a closed subset of the compact space $\mathcal{K}(X) \times \mathcal{C}(X)$, it follows that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_3^0$ subset of $\mathcal{K}(X)$. ■

THEOREM 6.2. *The set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{I}^2)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_3^0$ -complete.*

Proof. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$ let $[a, b]$ denote the affine segment joining a to b . Let $S = [(0, 0), (0, 1)]$ and given any $\alpha \in \mathbb{I}^\omega$ let $S_n(\alpha) = [(0, 0), (2^{-n}, \alpha(n))]$ and $K(\alpha) = S \cup \bigcup_n S_n(\alpha)$. Then clearly $K(\alpha)$ is a compact connected subset of \mathbb{I}^2 .

If $\lim_n \alpha(n) = 0$ then the open subset $K(\alpha) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ of $K(\alpha)$ is locally homeomorphic to \mathbb{I} , hence it is locally connected. Moreover for all $s, t > 0$ the open neighbourhood $V_{s,t} = K(\alpha) \cap [0, s[\times [0, t[$ of $\{(0, 0)\}$ in $K(\alpha)$ is itself homeomorphic to $K(\alpha)$, so $V_{s,t}$ is connected. Hence $K(\alpha)$ is locally connected.

If $\limsup_n \alpha(n) = \delta > 0$ then any connected neighbourhood of $(0, \delta)$ in $K(\alpha)$ contains the whole segment $[(0, 0), (0, \delta)]$. Hence $K(\alpha)$ is not locally connected.

Since for all n the mapping $\Phi_n : \alpha \mapsto S \cup \bigcup_{p \leq n} S_p(\alpha)$ is clearly continuous it follows that the uniform limit $\Phi : \alpha \mapsto K(\alpha)$ is continuous too, and by the previous observations Φ reduces $A = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{I}^\omega : \lim_n \alpha(n) = 0\}$ to $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{I}^2)$, and it is well known that A is $\mathbf{\Pi}_3^0$ -complete. ■

We recall that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ denotes the class of all sets obtained by the Suslin \mathcal{A} -operation from $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ sets, and $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ its dual class.

THEOREM 6.3. *If X is a Polish space then $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ is an $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ subset of $\mathcal{F}(X)$.*

Proof. Fix a sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \omega}$ of Borel functions $c_k : \mathcal{F}^*(X) \rightarrow X$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X)$, $c_k(F) \in F$ and the set $\{c_k(F) : k \in \omega\}$ is dense in F (see Section 3). Then given any $F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X)$ and any open subset V of X we define, for all k ,

$$C_k(F, V) = \overline{\{x : \exists K \in \mathcal{C}(X) \text{ compact, } \{x, c_k(F)\} \subset K \subset F \cap V\}},$$

which is clearly a closed connected subset of F containing $c_k(F)$.

CLAIM 1. *The set $\mathcal{A}_k = \{(x, F) \in X \times \mathcal{F}^*(X) : x \in C_k(F, V)\}$ is Σ_1^1 .*

Proof. Let \hat{X} be any compactification of X and let \hat{U}_i be open subsets of \hat{X} such that $U_i = X \cap \hat{U}_i$; then

$$x \in C_k(F, V) \iff \forall i, x \notin U_i \text{ or } \exists K \in \mathcal{C}(\hat{X}), \begin{cases} K \cap \hat{U}_i \neq \emptyset, K \subset F \cap V, \\ x \in K, c_k(F) \in K. \end{cases}$$

Then observe that since \hat{U}_i is open and V is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ subset of the compact space \hat{X} , the conditions “ $K \in \mathcal{C}(\hat{X})$, $K \cap \hat{U}_i \neq \emptyset$, $K \subset F \cap V$ ” define a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ subset of the compact space $\mathcal{K}(\hat{X})$. Also since c_k is Borel, the condition “ $c_k(F) \in K$ ” determines a Borel, hence Σ_1^1 , subset of $\mathcal{F}^*(X) \times \mathcal{K}(\hat{X})$. It follows that \mathcal{A}_k is Σ_1^1 . ◊

CLAIM 2. *For any open set $U \subset V$, the set $\{F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X) : F \cap U \subset C_k(F, V)\}$ is Σ_1^1 .*

Proof. Let $J = \{j \in \omega : \overline{U_j} \subset U\}$. Then $U = \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$ and

$$F \cap U = \bigcup_{j \in J} F \cap U_j \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} \overline{F \cap U_j} \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} F \cap \overline{U_j} = F \cap U,$$

hence, since $\{c_i(F) : i \in \omega\} \cap U_j$ is dense in $F \cap U_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} F \cap U \subset C_k(F, V) &\iff \forall j \in J, \overline{F \cap U_j} \subset C_k(F, V) \\ &\iff \forall j \in J, \forall i \in \omega, c_i(F) \notin U_j \text{ or } c_i(F) \in C_k(F, V). \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion now follows from Claim 1. ◊

Consider the tree

$$T = \{s \in \omega^{<\omega} : \forall m < |s|, \text{diam}(U_{s(m)}) \leq 2^{-m} \text{ and } \overline{U_{s(m)}} \subset U_{s(m-1)}\}.$$

Set $\mathcal{E}_\emptyset = \mathcal{F}^*(X)$ and for all $s \in T \cap \omega^{n+1}$ let

$$\mathcal{E}_s = \{F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X) : F \cap U_{s(n)} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \forall k, F \cap U_{s(n)} \not\subset C_k(F, U_{s(0)})\}.$$

CLAIM 3. $\mathcal{F}^*(X) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X) = \mathcal{A}((\mathcal{E}_s)_{s \in T})$.

Proof. If F is not locally connected we can find some U_{i_0} and $x \in F \cap U_{i_0}$ such that $F \cap U_{i_0}$ does not contain any connected neighbourhood of x in F . We can also find an infinite branch σ of T such that $\sigma(0) = i_0$ and $x \in U_{\sigma(n)}$ for all n . Then for all k , since $C_k(F, U_{i_0})$ is a connected subset of $F \cap U_{\sigma(0)}$, for no n can we have $F \cap U_{\sigma(n)} \subset C_k(F, U_{i_0})$. Hence $F \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma|_n}$ for all n , and so $F \in \mathcal{A}((\mathcal{E}_s)_{s \in T})$.

Conversely, if for some infinite branch σ of T , we have $F \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma|_n}$ for all n , then F is not locally connected. For otherwise let $\{x\} = \bigcap_n U_{\sigma(n)}$ and let C be the connected component of x in $F \cap U_{\sigma(0)}$. Since F is assumed to be locally connected, C is open in F and we can find some m and then some k such that $c_k(F) \in F \cap U_{\sigma(m)} \subset C$. Moreover C is a connected and locally connected Polish space, and it is well known that such a space is actually pathwise connected. Hence any element in C can be joined to $c_k(F)$ by a path in $C \subset F \cap U_{\sigma(0)}$. It follows that $x \in F \cap U_{\sigma(m)} \subset C_k(F, U_{\sigma(0)})$, which contradicts $F \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma|_{m+1}}$. \diamond

To finish the proof of Theorem 6.3 observe that by Claim 2 each \mathcal{E}_s is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ set, hence by Claim 3 the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ is in the class $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$. \blacksquare

THEOREM 6.4. *There exists a Polish space $X \subset \mathbb{I}^3$ for which the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ is $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ -complete.*

Proof. The space X will be of the form

$$X = \bigcup_{s \in \omega^{<\omega}} X_s$$

where each X_s for $s \neq \emptyset$ is contained in a 2-dimensional affine space and is affinely isomorphic to a fixed Polish space $Y \subset \mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{J}$ of the plane. The proof is rather technical and will be split into three parts:

- (a) Definition and study of the space Y .
- (b) Definition of the space X .
- (c) Construction for any given $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ set $A \subset 2^\omega$ of a Borel mapping $\Phi : 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(X)$ which reduces A to $\mathcal{F}(X) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$.

(a) *Definition of the space Y .* We fix a copy Δ of 2^ω with $\{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\} \subset \Delta \subset [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ such that $Q := \Delta \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is dense in Δ , and set $\mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}_1(Q, \Delta) \subset \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta)$.

Let $a = (0, 1)$, $a^* = (0, -1)$, $b = (1, 0)$, $b^* = (-1, 0)$ and let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{J}$ be the full square of vertices a, a^*, b, b^* , that is, the convex hull of $\{a, a^*, b, b^*\}$. We point out that despite the formal symmetry between a, a^* and b, b^* the central role in the construction will be played by a, a^* . We then define

$$Y = \Sigma \setminus (Q \times \{0\}),$$

which is clearly a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ subset of $\mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{J}$.

In this part of the proof by *square* we shall mean a subsquare of Σ whose edges are parallel to the edges of Σ . Hence if we consider the convex cone

$$C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \geq |x|\}$$

then a square is a set of the form $(v + C) \cap (w - C)$ where (v, w) is a pair of elements of Σ with the same first coordinate. Note that any element $u \in \Sigma$ admits a basis of neighbourhoods in Σ formed by squares with vertices $v, w \in \Sigma \setminus (\mathbb{J} \times \{0\})$.

For any $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we set

$$E^0 = E \cap (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}), \quad E^+ = E \cap (\mathbb{R} \times]0, +\infty[), \quad E^- = E \cap (\mathbb{R} \times]-\infty, 0[),$$

so $E = E^0 \cup E^+ \cup E^-$.

LEMMA 6.5. *There exists a Borel mapping $\Psi : \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ such that for all $L \in \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta)$,*

- (a) $\Psi(L)$ is locally connected; moreover for any convex set W containing a (resp. a^*) the set $W^+ \cap \Psi(L)$ (resp. $W^- \cap \Psi(L)$) is connected;
- (b) if $L \notin \mathbb{P}_1$ then $\Psi(L) \in \mathcal{C}(Y)$;
- (c) if $L \in \mathbb{P}_1$ then a and a^* are separated in $\Psi(L)$ by a clopen set, hence $\Psi(L) \notin \mathcal{C}(Y)$.

Proof. Let $\hat{\Psi} : \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\Sigma)$ and $\Psi : \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ be defined for all $L \in \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta)$ by

$$\hat{\Psi}(L) = \{(x, y) \in \Sigma : |y| \geq d(x, L)\} \supset L \times \{0\}$$

where d is the standard metric on \mathbb{I} , and

$$\Psi(L) = \hat{\Psi}(L) \cap Y.$$

The mapping $\hat{\Psi}$ is clearly u.s.c. and for all $L \in \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta)$, $\Psi(L)$ is dense in $\hat{\Psi}(L)$, hence by Lemma 3.1 the mapping Ψ is Borel.

We then set, for $\varepsilon = 0, +, -$,

$$\Psi^\varepsilon(L) = \Psi(L) \cap Y^\varepsilon,$$

and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{J}$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \geq |x - \alpha|\} = (\alpha, 0) + C, \\ C'_\alpha &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \leq -|x - \alpha|\} = (\alpha, 0) - C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\Psi^+(L) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in L} C_\alpha \cap \Sigma^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^-(L) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in L} C'_\alpha \cap \Sigma^-.$$

The inclusions from right to left are obvious since for all x, α , $d(x, L) \leq |x - \alpha|$, and from left to right since for all x , $d(x, L) = |x - \alpha|$ for some α .

(a) Let $u \in \Psi(L)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider a square $V = (v + C) \cap (w - C)$ such that $u \in V$ and $\text{diam}(V) < \varepsilon$. By symmetry we may suppose that $u \notin \Psi^-(L)$ and $w \in \Sigma^+$.

Since the cones C_α and $w - C$ have parallel edges, $V \cap C_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $w \in C_\alpha$, and since $w \in \Sigma^+$ we have

$$V \cap \Psi^+(L) = \bigcup \{V \cap C_\alpha \cap \Sigma^+ : w \in C_\alpha\}.$$

Note that each $V \cap C_\alpha \cap \Sigma^+$ is convex, hence connected, and since in the formula above all the sets $V \cap C_\alpha \cap \Sigma^+$ have w in common, $V \cap \Psi^+(L)$ is connected. We now distinguish two cases:

If $u \in \Psi^+(L)$ then we can choose V so small that $V \cap \Psi(L) = V \cap \Psi^+(L)$ and we are done.

If $u \in \Psi^0(L)$ then $(V \cap \Psi^+(L)) \cup (V \cap \Psi^0(L)) = \overline{V \cap \Psi^+(L)}^Y$ is connected, and we necessarily have $v \in \Sigma^-$ so $(V \cap \Psi^-(L)) \cup (V \cap \Psi^0(L))$ is connected too, and since $V \cap \Psi^0(L) \neq \emptyset$ it follows that $V \cap \Psi(L)$ is connected.

Finally, if W is any convex set containing a then for any $x \in Z = W^+ \cap \Psi(L)$ the segment $[a, x]$ is contained in Z , hence Z is connected. \diamond

(b) Recall that $\Psi^+(L) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in L} C_\alpha \cap \Sigma^+$ and the sets $C_\alpha \cap \Sigma^+$ are all convex, hence connected; and since $a = (0, 1) \in C_\alpha \cap \Sigma^+$ for all α , the set $\Psi^+(L)$ is connected. Similarly $\Psi^-(L)$ is connected. Then as above $\Psi^+(L) \cup \Psi^0(L) = \overline{\Psi^+(L)}^Y$ and $\Psi^-(L) \cup \Psi^0(L) = \overline{\Psi^-(L)}^Y$ are connected. Hence if $L \notin \mathbb{P}_1$ then $\Psi^0(L) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Psi(L) = \Psi^0(L) \cup \Psi^+(L) \cup \Psi^-(L)$ is connected.

(c) If $L \in \mathbb{P}_1$ then $\Psi^0(L) = \emptyset$ and so $(\Psi^+(L), \Psi^-(L))$ form a nontrivial open covering of $\Psi(L)$ separating a and a^* .

This finishes the proof of Lemma 6.5. \blacksquare

Some variations of the space Y . We recall that $a = (0, 1)$, $a^* = (0, -1)$, $b = (1, 0)$, $b^* = (-1, 0)$ and $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{J}$ is the full square with vertices a, a^*, b, b^* .

For any pair (u^*, u) of distinct elements in \mathbb{R}^3 and any $\varepsilon > 0$ let $h : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be the unique affine mapping such that

$$h(a) = u, \quad h(a^*) = u^*, \quad h(b) = \frac{u + u^*}{2} + \left(0, 0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right),$$

$$h(b^*) = \frac{u + u^*}{2} - \left(0, 0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right).$$

Set $\Sigma^{(u^*, u, \varepsilon)} = h(\Sigma)$, $\Sigma_+^{(u^*, u, \varepsilon)} = h(\Sigma^+)$, $\Sigma_-^{(u^*, u, \varepsilon)} = h(\Sigma^-)$, $Y^{(u^*, u, \varepsilon)} = h(Y)$ and let $\Psi^{(u^*, u, \varepsilon)} : \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y^{(u^*, u, \varepsilon)})$ be defined by

$$\Psi^{(u^*, u, \varepsilon)}(L) = h(\Psi(L))$$

where Ψ is the mapping given by Lemma 6.5.

(b) *Definition of the space X .* For any $s \in \omega^{\leq \omega}$ let s^+ be the element of $(\omega \setminus \{0\})^{\leq \omega}$ of the same length as s such that $s^+(i) = s(i) + 1$ for all

$i < |s^+| = |s|$, let $\chi(s) \in \mathbb{I}$ be the real whose continued fraction expansion is given by s^+ , and let I_s denote the closed interval with endpoints $\chi(s)$ and $\chi(s^\frown(0))$. We recall that

1. $\chi(\emptyset) = 0$, $\chi(\langle n \rangle) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ and $\chi(s^\frown\langle n, 0 \rangle) = \chi(s^\frown\langle n+1 \rangle)$;
2. $\chi(s)$ is a rational number if and only if the sequence s is finite;
3. for all $s \prec t$, $\chi(t) \in I_t \subset I_s$;
4. $I_{s^\frown\langle n \rangle} \cap I_{s^\frown\langle n+1 \rangle} = \{\chi(s^\frown\langle n \rangle)\}$ and $I_{s^\frown\langle n \rangle} \cap I_{s^\frown\langle m \rangle} = \emptyset$ if $|m - n| > 1$;
5. $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{s^\frown\langle n \rangle} = \{\chi(s)\}$.

For any $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ we set $\nu(s) = |s| + \sum_{k < |s|} s(k)$ and

$$\varepsilon_s = 2^{-\nu(s)}, \quad a_s = (\chi(s), \varepsilon_s, 2^{-|s|}),$$

and if $s \neq \emptyset$ we set $s^* = s|_{|s|-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_s &= \Sigma^{(a_{s^*}, a_s, \varepsilon_s)}, & \Sigma_s^+ &= \Sigma_+^{(a_{s^*}, a_s, \varepsilon_s)}, & \Sigma_s^- &= \Sigma_-^{(a_{s^*}, a_s, \varepsilon_s)}, \\ X_s &= Y^{(a_{s^*}, a_s, \varepsilon_s)}, & N_s &= I_{s^*} \times [0, \varepsilon_{s^*}] \times [0, 2^{-|s^*|}], \\ \Psi_s &= \Psi^{(a_{s^*}, a_s, \varepsilon_s)} : \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X_s). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that if $\chi(s) = \chi(t)$ and $|s| = |t|$ then $s = t$, so $a_s \neq a_t$ whenever $s \neq t$.

Finally, for any $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ we set $\tilde{E} := E \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$ and define

$$X_\emptyset = \tilde{P} \quad \text{and} \quad X = \bigcup_{s \in \omega^{<\omega}} X_s.$$

One can then check the following properties which follow from the definitions and properties 1–5 above:

- (i) for all $t \succeq s$, $a_t \in X_t \subset \Sigma_t \subset N_t \subset N_s$;
- (ii) for all $t \neq s$, $\Sigma_s \cap \Sigma_t \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\Sigma_s \cap \Sigma_t = \{a_r\}$ with $r = s = t^*$ or $r = t = s^*$ or $r = s^* = t^*$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{s^\frown\langle n \rangle} = \hat{a}_s := (\chi(s), 0, 2^{-|s|-1})$;
- (iv) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_{s^\frown\langle n \rangle} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{s^\frown\langle n \rangle} = \hat{\Sigma}_s$ is the line segment $[\hat{a}_s, a_s]$;
- (v) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{t \succ s^\frown\langle n \rangle} \Sigma_t = \tilde{\Sigma}_s := \{(\chi(s), 0, z) : 0 \leq z \leq 2^{-|s|-1}\}$;
- (vi) $\hat{\Sigma}_s \cap X = \{a_s\}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_s \cap X = \emptyset$.

LEMMA 6.6. X is a Polish space.

Proof. Observe first that $X_\emptyset = \tilde{P} = X \cap \tilde{\mathbb{I}}$ is closed in X . Consider now a sequence $(u_k)_k$ in X converging to $u = (x, y, z)$ with, for all k , $u_k \in X_{s_k}$ for some $s_k \neq \emptyset$. Then up to extracting some subsequence we may suppose that either

- there exists $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ such that $s_k = s$ for all k , and then $u \in X_s$; or
- there exists $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ such for all k , $s_k \supset s^\frown\langle n_k \rangle$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n_k = \infty$, and then by conditions (iv) and (v), $u \in \hat{\Sigma}_s \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_s$, hence by (vi) either $u \notin X$ or $u = a_s$; or

- there exists an infinite sequence $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$ such for all k , $s_k \supset \sigma|_{\ell_k}$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \ell_k = \infty$; it then follows from (i) that $u = \lim u_k = (\chi(\sigma), 0, 0) \in \tilde{P}$.

Hence if \overline{X} denotes the closure of X in \mathbb{I}^3 then

$$\overline{X} \setminus X = \bigcup_s (\tilde{\Sigma}_s \cup \hat{\Sigma}_s \setminus \{a_s\}),$$

which is a countable union of compact sets, hence X is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ subset of \mathbb{I}^3 . ■

(c) *Construction of the reduction mappings.* We now fix an arbitrary regular Suslin system $(A_s)_{s \in \omega^{<\omega}}$ of $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ sets and let $A = \mathcal{A}((A_s)_{s \in \omega^{<\omega}})$ be the result of the Suslin operation on this system. Since \mathbb{P}_1 is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ -complete, we can fix for all $\sigma \in \omega^{<\omega}$ a continuous mapping $\psi_s : 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta)$ which reduces A_s to \mathbb{P}_1 , and set $\varphi_s = \Psi_s \circ \psi_s$ for $s \neq \emptyset$. So by Lemma 6.5, $\varphi_s : 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(X_s)$ is a Borel mapping satisfying, for all α ,

- (a) $\varphi_s(\alpha)$ is locally connected and for any convex set W containing a_s the set $W \cup \Sigma_s^+ \cap \varphi_s(\alpha)$ is connected (and similarly for a_{s^*} and Σ_s^-);
- (b) if $\alpha \notin A_s$ then $\varphi_s(\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}(X_s)$;
- (c) if $\alpha \in A_s$ then a_s and a_{s^*} are separated by a clopen set, hence $\varphi_s(\alpha) \notin \mathcal{C}(X_s)$.

We finally define, for all $\alpha \in 2^\omega$,

$$\Phi(\alpha) = \tilde{P} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{s \in \omega^{<\omega} \\ s \neq \emptyset}} \varphi_s(\alpha).$$

LEMMA 6.7. $\Phi(\alpha) \in \mathcal{F}^*(X)$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 6.6, consider a sequence $(u_k)_k$ in $\Phi(\alpha)$ converging to some $u \in X$. Again since \tilde{P} is closed in $\Phi(\alpha)$, we may suppose that for all k , $u_k \in \varphi_{s_k}(\alpha)$ for some $s_k \neq \emptyset$. Then repeating the arguments of Lemma 6.6 we are led to three alternatives. In the first alternative we are reduced to the case where $s_k = s$ for all k , and then $u_k \in \varphi_s(\alpha)$ for all k , hence $u \in \varphi_s(\alpha) \subset \Phi(\alpha)$. In the other two alternatives we have $u \in (\tilde{\Sigma}_s \cup \hat{\Sigma}_s) \cap X$, hence $u = a_s$ or $u \in \tilde{P}$, and in both cases $u \in \Phi(\alpha)$. ■

LEMMA 6.8. $\Phi(\alpha)$ is locally connected at any element of $\Phi(\alpha) \setminus \tilde{P}$.

Proof. Observe that by property (iv) the set $F := \{a_s : s \in \omega^{<\omega}\}$ is a closed subset of $\Phi(\alpha)$, and it follows from (iv)–(vi) that for all s in $S^* = \omega^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ the set $\Sigma_s \setminus \{a_s, a_{s^*}\}$ is open, in X , hence $U_s := \varphi_s(\alpha) \setminus \{a_s, a_{s^*}\}$ is an open subset of $\Phi(\alpha)$, and by the very choice of φ_s the set U_s is locally connected. It follows that $U := \Phi(\alpha) \setminus (\tilde{P} \cup F) = \bigcup_{s \in S^*} U_s$ is a locally connected open subset of $\Phi(\alpha)$. So $\Phi(\alpha)$ is locally connected at any element of U .

If $u = a_s \in F$ for some $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ then the set

$$S = \{r \in \omega^{<\omega} : r \neq s \text{ and } \nu(r) \leq 1 + \nu(s)\}$$

is finite and $u \notin \hat{\Sigma}_r$ for all $r \in S$. It follows that the open neighbourhood $V = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{I}^2 \times \mathbb{R} : y > \varepsilon_s/2\}$ of u meets only finitely many segments $[a_r, \hat{a}_r]$ with $r \in S$, and u does not belong to $F_u = \bigcup\{\Sigma_r : r^* \in S, r \neq s\}$. So one can find a convex neighbourhood $W \subset V$ of u such that $W \cap F_u = \emptyset$ and $(x, y, z) \in W \Rightarrow 3\varepsilon_s/4 < y < 5\varepsilon_s/4$, whence $\Sigma_t \cap W \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow u \in \Sigma_t$, that is, $t = s$ or $t^* = s$ and moreover $\Psi_s^{-1}(W) \subset \Sigma^+$ and $\Psi_{s^*}^{-1}(W) \subset \Sigma^-$.

Hence $W \cap \Phi(\alpha) = \bigcup\{W \cap \varphi_t(\alpha) : t = s \text{ or } t^* = s\}$ and it follows from property (a) stated above that $W \cap \varphi_t(\alpha)$ is connected and contains u for such a t , which proves that $W \cap \Phi(\alpha)$ is connected, and hence $\Phi(\alpha)$ is locally connected at u . ■

LEMMA 6.9. *If $\alpha \notin A$ then $\Phi(\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.8 we only need to prove that $\Phi(\alpha)$ is locally connected at any element of \tilde{P} . So let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u \in \tilde{P}$; note that $u = (\chi(\sigma), 0, 0)$ for a unique $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$. Since $\alpha \notin A$, there exists some $s \prec \sigma$ such that $\alpha \notin A_s$, and if $|s|$ is large enough then $\text{diam}(X \cap N_s) < \varepsilon$.

Then for all $t \in \omega^{<\omega}$ such that $s \prec t^*$ we have $\alpha \notin A_t$, hence $\varphi_t(\alpha)$ is connected. It follows by induction that for all $p \geq |s|$ the set $\bigcup_{s \prec t, |t| \leq p} \varphi_t(\alpha)$ is connected, hence $\Phi(\alpha) \cap N_s = \overline{\bigcup_{s \prec t} \varphi_t(\alpha)}^X$ is connected. ■

LEMMA 6.10. *If $\alpha \in A$ then $\Phi(\alpha) \notin \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$.*

Proof. Fix $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\alpha \in A_s$ for all $s \prec \sigma$. We shall prove that the element $u = (\chi(\sigma), 0, 0) \in \tilde{P}$ has no connected neighbourhood in $\Phi(\alpha)$.

So let V be any neighbourhood of u in $\Phi(\alpha)$. We can find $t \prec \sigma$ such that $N_t \subset V$, hence $\varphi_t(\alpha) \subset V$. Since $\alpha \in A_t$, there exists a clopen partition (W, W^*) in $\varphi_t(\alpha)$ such that $a_t \in W$ and $a_t^* \in W^*$. Then setting $U = W \cup \bigcup_{s \succ t} \varphi_s(\alpha)$ and $U^* = W^* \cup \bigcup_{s \not\prec t} \varphi_s(\alpha)$ we have $U \cap U^* \cap \tilde{P} = \emptyset$, hence (U, U^*) is a partition of $\Phi(\alpha)$ into two relatively closed subsets, with $a_t \in U \cap V$ and $a_t^* \in U^* \cap V$, which proves that V is not connected. ■

To finish the proof of Theorem 6.4 observe that for any open set V , $\Phi^{-1}(V^+) = \bigcup_{s \in \omega^{<\omega}} \varphi_s^{-1}(V^+)$, and since each φ_s is Borel, the mapping Φ is Borel too. Also by Lemmas 6.9 and 6.10, Φ reduces $2^\omega \setminus A$ to $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$. Hence by Theorem 2.1 the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ is $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\Pi_1^1)$ -complete. ■

7. Connectedness of open subsets. Our goal in this section is to study the complexity of the set of all open connected subsets of a given space X , which we identify with the set

$$\check{\mathcal{C}}(X) = \{F \in \mathcal{F}(X) : X \setminus F \text{ is connected}\}.$$

PROPOSITION 7.1. *For any Polish space X there exists a Borel mapping $\delta : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X \times \mathbb{R})$ which reduces $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ to $\mathcal{C}(X \times \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Set $J =]0, +\infty[\approx \mathbb{R}$ and fix a compatible distance $d < 1$ on X . For all $F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X)$ let $\delta(F)$ be the graph of the restriction of the function $d(\cdot, F)$ to $U = X \setminus F$, so

$$\delta(F) = \{(x, t) \in X \times J : d(x, F) = t\},$$

and set $\delta(\emptyset) = X \times \{1\}$. Then for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $\delta(F)$ is a closed subset of $X \times J$, which is homeomorphic to U , hence the mapping $\delta : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(X \times J)$ reduces $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ to $\mathcal{C}(X \times J)$.

To see that δ is Borel, fix a countable basis $(U_i)_{i \in \omega}$ of open sets in X . Since X is Polish, we can also fix a sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \omega}$ of Borel functions $c_k : \mathcal{F}^*(X) \rightarrow X$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}^*(X)$, $c_k(F) \in F$ and the set $\{c_k(F) : k \in \omega\}$ is dense in F (see Section 3). In particular, if $a_j = c_j(X)$ then $\{a_j : j \in \omega\}$ is dense in X . Then for any basic open set $V \times]s, t[$ in $X \times J$ and $F \neq \emptyset$, $\delta(F) \cap (V \times]s, t[) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

$$\exists(n, i, j), a_j \in U_i \subset V, U_i \cap F = \emptyset \text{ and } \begin{cases} \forall k, d(a_j, c_k(F)) \geq s + 2^{-n}, \\ \exists k, d(a_j, c_k(F)) < t, \end{cases}$$

which is a Borel condition on F . ■

Let X be a Polish space and Γ be any projective class. Then by Proposition 7.1 if $\mathcal{C}(X \times \mathbb{R})$ is in Γ then $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is in Γ , and if $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is Γ -hard then $\mathcal{C}(X \times \mathbb{R})$ is Γ -hard. Note however that the converse is false: if $X = \mathbb{R}^\omega \approx X \times \mathbb{R}$ then since any Polish space can be embedded as a closed subset in \mathbb{R}^ω , it follows from Theorem 4.2 that the set $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ -complete, while as we shall see in Proposition 7.5 the set $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$ is Borel.

We recall that if the space X is compact then $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{K}(X)$.

PROPOSITION 7.2. *If X is a compact space then $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ subset of $\mathcal{K}(X)$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4.1 for any $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ we have $F \notin \check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ if and only if

$\exists F_0, F_1 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $F_0 \cup F \neq X$, $F_1 \cup F \neq X$, $F_0 \cup F_1 = X$ and $F \supset F_0 \cap F_1$, and since X is compact, the relation “ $F_0 \cup F_1 = X$ ” is compact and the relation “ $F' \neq F$ ” is open, hence σ -compact, while the relation “ $F \supset F_0 \cap F_1$ ” is \mathbf{G}_δ and it follows that the right hand side defines a Σ_1^1 set, hence $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$. ■

THEOREM 7.3. *There exists a compact (and connected) space $X \subset \mathbb{I}^2$ for which the set $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ -complete.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{Q} be the set of all rational numbers in \mathbb{I} and $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{I} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Fix an enumeration $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of \mathbb{Q} and consider the Kuratowski–Sierpiński function $f : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ (see [7]) defined by

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x - q_n}\right).$$

It is clear that f is continuous on \mathbb{P} but has no continuous extension to \mathbb{I} . More precisely, for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ the set of all cluster values of f at q is a nontrivial interval J_q . Note that the graph $G := \text{Gr}(f) \approx \mathbb{P}$ is not connected. However, as shown in [7] (see also [2]), if $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ is any extension of f with $\tilde{f}(q) \in J_q$ for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ then $\text{Gr}(\tilde{f})$ is connected. In particular the closure of G in \mathbb{I}^2 ,

$$X := \overline{G} = G \cup \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} J_q,$$

is a compact connected space. It follows that if $G \subset H \subset \overline{G}$ and $H(q) \neq \emptyset$ for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, then H is connected.

We also need the following result from [2]. (Observe that the set \overline{F} of [2, Lemma 9.5] is the graph of a function g with the properties stated in Lemma 7.4 below.)

LEMMA 7.4. *There exists a perfect zero-dimensional compact set Δ with $\{0, 1\} \subset \Delta \subset \mathbb{I}$ such that $Q = \Delta \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is dense in Δ and $f|_{\Delta \cap \mathbb{P}}$ admits a continuous extension $g : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$.*

So let (Q, Δ) be as in Lemma 7.4 and consider the mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ defined by

$$\Phi(K) = \text{Gr}(g|_K).$$

Then Φ is clearly continuous. We now check that Φ reduces the $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ -complete set $\mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}_1(Q, \Delta)$ to $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. So let $K \in \mathcal{K}^*(\Delta)$ and set $U = X \setminus \Phi(K)$.

If $K \in \mathbb{P}_1$ then $K \subset \mathbb{Q}$; so for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $U(q) = J_q \setminus \{g(q)\}$ if $q \in K$, and $U(q) = J_q$ if not. Hence $U(q) \neq \emptyset$ for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, so U is connected, that is, $\Phi(K) \in \check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$.

If $K \notin \mathbb{P}_1$ then K contains some irrational number α and so $\overline{G}(\alpha) = \{f(\alpha)\} = \{g(\alpha)\}$, hence $U(\alpha) = \emptyset$ and we can write $U = (U \cap [0, \alpha[\times \mathbb{J}) \cup (U \cap]\alpha, 1] \times \mathbb{J})$, which proves that U is not connected, that is, $\Phi(K) \notin \check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. ■

We recall (Proposition 4.1) that if the space X is Polish then $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ subset of $\mathcal{F}(X)$.

PROPOSITION 7.5.

- (a) *If X is a Polish space then $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^1$ subset of $\mathcal{F}(X)$.*
- (b) *If moreover X is locally connected then $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is a $\mathbf{\Delta}_1^1$ subset of $\mathcal{F}(X)$, more precisely, a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ subset for any admissible topology on $\mathcal{F}(X)$.*

Proof. (a) Again for $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ we have $F \notin \check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ if and only if $\exists F_0, F_1 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $F_0 \cup F \neq X$, $F_1 \cup F \neq X$, $F_0 \cup F_1 = X$ and $F \supset F_0 \cap F_1$,

and by the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 one can check that the right hand side defines a Σ_2^1 set, hence $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is a Π_2^1 set.

(b) Suppose that X is locally connected. Fix a countable basis $(U_i)_{i \in \omega}$ of open sets in X . Let U be any open subset of X . Since U is also a Polish locally connected space, U is connected if and only if it is pathwise connected. Hence for any $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $F \in \check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ if and only if

$$(\star) \begin{cases} \forall i, j, F \cap U_i \neq \emptyset \text{ or } F \cap U_j \neq \emptyset \text{ or } \exists K \subset X \text{ such that } K \cap F = \emptyset, \\ K \cap U_i \neq \emptyset, K \cap U_j \neq \emptyset, \text{ and } K \text{ is compact and connected.} \end{cases}$$

Note that if $U \subset X$ is open and $K \subset X$ is compact then for any admissible topology on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the sets

$$U^+ = \{F \in \mathcal{F}(X) : F \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \quad K^- = \{F \in \mathcal{F}(X) : F \cap K = \emptyset\}$$

are open subsets of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Hence if $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ denotes the set of all compact subsets of X satisfying the conditions in the second line of (\star) then

$$\check{\mathcal{C}}(X) = \bigcap_{i,j} \left(U_i^+ \cup U_j^+ \cup \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{E}_{i,j}} K^- \right).$$

Hence $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is a Π_2^0 subset of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. ■

THEOREM 7.6. *There exists a Polish space $X \subset \mathbb{I}^2$ for which the set $\check{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is Π_2^1 -complete.*

For the proof we need the following result which can be viewed as a kind of dual version of Lemma 3.4 for $n = 2$. We recall that $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$ denotes the space of all nonempty compact subsets of X .

LEMMA 7.7. *Let $\Delta \approx 2^\omega$. Then for any Σ_2^1 set $A \subset 2^\omega$ there exists a Π_2^0 set $G \subset \Delta \times \Delta$ and a continuous mapping $\Phi : \alpha \mapsto K_\alpha$ from 2^ω to $\mathcal{K}^*(G)$ such that*

$$A = \{\alpha \in 2^\omega : \exists x \in \Delta, G(x) = K_\alpha(x)\}.$$

Proof. We may suppose that $\Delta = 2^\omega$. Fix a homeomorphism $\iota : (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ from $2^\omega \times 2^\omega$ onto 2^ω . Let $Q = \{\varepsilon \in 2^\omega : \exists n, \forall m \geq n, \varepsilon(m) = 0\}$ and $P = 2^\omega \setminus Q \approx \omega^\omega$. We denote by $\mathbf{0}$ the element ε of Q such that $\varepsilon(n) = 0$ for all n .

Let A be any Σ_2^1 subset of 2^ω , and fix a Π_1^1 set $B \subset 2^\omega \times 2^\omega$ such that A is the projection of B on the first factor. Since $2^\omega \setminus \iota(B)$ is Σ_1^1 , it is the projection of some closed subset of $2^\omega \times P$, so we can fix a compact subset H of $2^\omega \times 2^\omega$ such that $2^\omega \setminus \iota(B)$ is the projection of $H \cap (2^\omega \times P)$. We then define

$$G = (2^\omega \times \{\mathbf{0}\}) \cup (H \cap (2^\omega \times P))$$

and for all $\alpha \in 2^\omega$,

$$K_\alpha = \iota(\{\alpha\} \times 2^\omega) \times \{\mathbf{0}\} \subset G.$$

Then clearly G is a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ set, and the mapping $\Phi : \alpha \mapsto K_\alpha$ is an embedding of 2^ω into $\mathcal{K}^*(G)$; and we now verify the conclusion of the lemma.

If $\alpha \in A$ we can find β such that $(\alpha, \beta) \in B$; then setting $x = \iota(\alpha, \beta)$ we have $(x, \mathbf{0}) \in K_\alpha$, and since $x \in \iota(B)$, there is no $\varepsilon \in P$ such that $(x, \varepsilon) \in H$, hence $G(x) = \{\mathbf{0}\} \subset K_\alpha(x)$.

Conversely, if $\alpha \in 2^\omega$ and for some $x \in 2^\omega$, $G(x) \subset K_\alpha(x) = \{\mathbf{0}\} \subset G(x)$, then necessarily $G(x) = K_\alpha(x) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. But by the definition of K_α we can write $x = \iota(\alpha, \beta)$ for some β , and we claim that $(\alpha, \beta) \in B$. For otherwise x would be in $2^\omega \setminus \iota(B)$, and then there would exist some $\varepsilon \in P$ such that $(x, \varepsilon) \in H$, hence $\varepsilon \in G(x) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, which is a contradiction since $\mathbf{0} \notin P$. This proves that $(\alpha, \beta) \in B$, hence $\alpha \in A$. ■

Proof of Theorem 7.6. Fix $\Delta \subset]0, 1[$ with $\Delta \approx 2^\omega$ and $A \subset 2^\omega$ a complete Σ_2^1 set. Let G and Φ be associated to A as in Lemma 7.7 and consider the set

$$X = ((\mathbb{I} \setminus \Delta) \times \mathbb{I}) \cup G,$$

which is clearly a $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ subset of \mathbb{I}^2 . Since $K_\alpha \subset G$ for all α , we may view Φ as a continuous mapping from 2^ω into $\mathcal{F}(X)$ with

$$U_\alpha := X \setminus \Phi(\alpha) = ((\mathbb{I} \setminus \Delta) \times \mathbb{I}) \cup (G \setminus K_\alpha) \quad \text{for all } \alpha,$$

and to finish the proof of Theorem 7.6 we now show that U_α is connected if and only if $\alpha \notin A$.

LEMMA 7.8. *If $\alpha \in A$ then U_α is not connected.*

Proof. If $\alpha \in A$ then by definition there exists some $x^* \in \Delta$ such that $G(x^*) = K_\alpha(x^*)$, so $U_\alpha \cap (\{x^*\} \times \mathbb{I}) = \emptyset$. Hence the sets $U_0 = U \cap ([0, x^*[\times \mathbb{I})$ and $U_1 = U \cap (]x^*, 1] \times \mathbb{I})$ form a clopen partition of U with $(0, 0) \in U_0$ and $(1, 0) \in U_1$, which proves that U is not connected. ■

LEMMA 7.9. *If $\alpha \notin A$ then U_α is connected.*

Proof. The argument is quite similar to the one of Theorem 4.2.

Suppose for a contradiction that (V_0, V_1) is a clopen partition of U_α with $(0, 0) \in V_0$. Let \mathcal{J} denote the set of all connected components of $\mathbb{I} \setminus \Delta$, and for $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ let $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon = \{J \in \mathcal{J} : J \times \mathbb{I} \subset V_\varepsilon\}$. Note that for all $J \in \mathcal{J}$ we have $J \times \mathbb{I} \subset U_\alpha \subset V_0 \cup V_1$, hence by connectedness either $J \in \mathcal{J}_0$ or $J \in \mathcal{J}_1$.

We claim that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_0$. For otherwise, for any interval $J \subset \mathbb{I}$ set $x_J := \min(J)$, and consider $x_* = \inf\{x_J : J \in \mathcal{J}_1\}$. Then clearly $x^* \in \Delta$ and since $\alpha \notin A$ we can find $y_* \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $(x_*, y_*) \in G \setminus K_\alpha$. Note that since $0 \notin \Delta$, we have $x_* > 0$ and for any $J \in \mathcal{J}$ if $x^J < x_*$ then $J \in \mathcal{J}_0$, hence $J \times \mathbb{I} \subset V_0$, and since V_0 is a closed subset of U_α , it follows that $(x_*, y_*) \in V_0$. Also from the definition of x_* we can find a sequence (J_n) in \mathcal{J}_1 such that $x_* = \lim_n x_{J_n}$. Then $J_n \times \mathbb{I} \subset V_1$, and again since V_1 is a closed subset of U_α , we have $(x_*, y_*) \in V_1$. Hence $(x_*, y_*) \in V_0 \cap V_1$, a contradiction. ■

This finishes the proof of Theorem 7.6. ■

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the referee for pointing out the result of Ajtaj and Becker mentioned above and for a very careful reading of the paper.

References

- [1] J. Burgess, *Classical hierarchies from a modern standpoint. I. C-sets*, Fund. Math. 115 (1983), 81–95.
- [2] G. Debs and J. Saint Raymond, *The descriptive complexity of the set of all closed zero-dimensional subsets of a Polish space*, Topology Appl. 248 (2018), 43–66.
- [3] G. Debs and J. Saint Raymond, *On $\exists\Gamma$ -complete sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., online, 2019.
- [4] A. Kechris, *On the concept of Π_1^1 -completeness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 1811–1814.
- [5] A. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Grad. Texts in Math. 156, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [6] K. Kuratowski, *Topology, Vol. I*, Academic Press, New York, and Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 1966.
- [7] K. Kuratowski et W. Sierpiński, *Les fonctions de classe 1 et les ensembles connexes punctiformes*, Fund. Math. 3 (1922), 303–313.
- [8] Y. N. Moschovakis, *Descriptive Set Theory*, 2nd ed., Math. Surveys Monogr. 155, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

Gabriel Debs
 Sorbonne Université
 Université Paris Diderot, CNRS
 Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu – Paris Rive Gauche, IMJ-PRG
 4 place Jussieu
 F-75252 Paris, France
 and

Université Le Havre Normandie
 Institut Universitaire de Technologie
 Rue Boris Vian, BP 4006
 76610 Le Havre, France
 E-mail: gabriel.debs@imj-prg.fr

Jean Saint Raymond
 Sorbonne Université
 Université Paris Diderot, CNRS
 Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu – Paris Rive Gauche, IMJ-PRG
 4 place Jussieu
 F-75252 Paris, France
 E-mail: jean.saint-raymond@imj-prg.fr