

THE JORDAN ALGEBRAS OF RIEMANN, WEYL
AND CURVATURE COMPATIBLE TENSORS

BY

CARLO ALBERTO MANTICA and LUCA GUIDO MOLINARI (Milano)

Abstract. Given the Riemann, or the Weyl, or a generalized curvature tensor K , a symmetric tensor b_{ij} is called *compatible* with the curvature tensor if $b_i^m K_{jklm} + b_j^m K_{kilm} + b_k^m K_{ijlm} = 0$. In addition to establishing some known and some new properties of such tensors, we prove that they form a special Jordan algebra, i.e. the symmetrized product of K -compatible tensors is K -compatible.

1. Introduction. Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold, and K_{jklm} a generalized curvature tensor (the Riemann tensor, the Weyl tensor, or any tensor with the algebraic properties of the Riemann tensor). In [14] we introduced this concept: a symmetric tensor b_{ij} is *K -compatible* if

$$(1) \quad b_i^m K_{jklm} + b_j^m K_{kilm} + b_k^m K_{ijlm} = 0.$$

We call (K, b) a *compatible pair*. The motivation was the following theorem [14]: if b_{ij} is K -compatible with eigenvectors X, Y, Z and eigenvalues x, y, z with $z \neq x, y$, then

$$(2) \quad K_{ijlm} X^i Y^j Z^m = 0.$$

It extends a result by Derdziński and Shen [6] who proved the same for the Riemann tensor, under the hypothesis that b_{ij} is a Codazzi tensor, $\nabla_i b_{jk} = \nabla_j b_{ik}$. Despite the increased generality, the replacement of the Codazzi condition with the algebraic condition (1), allowed a much simpler proof of the new theorem.

Equation (1) with Riemann's tensor originally appeared in a paper by Roter on conformally symmetric spaces [20, Lemma 1]. Riemann and Weyl compatible tensors were studied in [15, 17, 7].

Examples of Riemann compatible tensors are the Codazzi tensors [14], the Ricci tensors of Robertson–Walker space-times or perfect-fluid generalized Robertson–Walker space-times [18], the second fundamental form and

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53B20; Secondary 17C90.

Key words and phrases: generalized curvature tensor, Codazzi tensor, Jordan algebra.

Received 10 October 2019; revised 11 October 2020.

Published online 12 April 2021.

the Ricci tensor of a hypersurface embedded in a (pseudo)Riemannian manifold [17], the Ricci tensors of ‘weakly Z-symmetric’ manifolds ($\nabla_i Z_{jk} = A_i Z_{jk} + B_j Z_{ik} + D_k Z_{ij}$ with $Z_{ij} = R_{ij} + \varphi g_{ij}$, $A_k - B_k$ a closed 1-form) [16] that include ‘weakly Ricci-symmetric’ ones ($\varphi = 0$) [24] and others (see [3, 2]), or ‘pseudosymmetric manifolds’ [9] ($[\nabla_i, \nabla_j] R_{klmp} = L Q_{klmpij}$, where $L \neq -1/3$ is a scalar function and Q is the Tachibana tensor built with the Riemann and Ricci tensors).

A Riemann compatible tensor is also Weyl compatible, but not conversely. The Ricci tensors of Gödel [10, Th. 2] or pseudo-Z symmetric space times [19] are Weyl compatible.

In Sections 2 and 3 we review Riemann and Weyl compatible tensors, with some new results and examples, and their relation to known identities due to Lovelock. Then, in Sections 4, 5 and 6, we investigate the algebraic properties of generalized curvature tensors and K -compatible tensors. The main result is that the latter form a *special Jordan algebra*, i.e. the set of K -compatible tensors is closed under the symmetrized product.

2. Riemann compatible tensors. A symmetric tensor is *Riemann compatible* if

$$(3) \quad b_i^m R_{jklm} + b_j^m R_{kilm} + b_k^m R_{ijlm} = 0.$$

This relation may be written as $b_{(i}^m R_{jk)lm} = 0$, where (ijk) denotes the sum over cyclic permutations of the indices. Contraction with the metric tensor g^{jl} gives $R_{km} b_i^m - b_k^m R_{mi} = 0$, so that b commutes with the Ricci tensor. Contraction with b^{jl} gives $b_i^m R_{jklm} b^{jl} + b_k^m R_{ijlm} b^{jl} = 0$, and hence b commutes with the symmetric tensor $\hat{R}_{jm} = R_{jklm} b^{kl}$.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Codazzi tensors are Riemann compatible.

Proof. In the identity $[\nabla_i, \nabla_j] b_{kl} = -R_{ijl}^m b_{km} - R_{ijk}^m b_{ml}$, sum over cyclic permutations of ijk . The first Bianchi identity $R_{(ijk)}^m = 0$ gives

$$[\nabla_i, \nabla_j] b_{kl} + [\nabla_j, \nabla_k] b_{il} + [\nabla_k, \nabla_i] b_{jl} = -(b_i^m R_{jklm} + b_j^m R_{kilm} + b_k^m R_{ijlm}).$$

The left-hand side is zero for Codazzi tensors. ■

EXAMPLE 2.2. If $\nabla_j A_k = p_j A_k$, then $A_i A_j$ is Riemann compatible.

Proof. We have $A_i [\nabla_j, \nabla_k] A_l = A_i (\nabla_j p_k - \nabla_k p_j) A_l = A_l [\nabla_j, \nabla_k] A_i$. Then $A_i R_{jkl}^m A_m = A_l R_{jki}^m A_m$; the sum over cyclic permutations of ijk gives zero on the right-hand side. ■

2.1. Codazzi deviation. In [15] we introduced the natural concept of *Codazzi deviation* of a symmetric tensor:

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{C}_{jkl} = \nabla_j b_{kl} - \nabla_k b_{jl}.$$

It satisfies $\mathcal{C}_{jkl} = -\mathcal{C}_{kjl}$, $\mathcal{C}_{jkl} + \mathcal{C}_{klj} + \mathcal{C}_{ljk} = 0$, and

$$(5) \quad \nabla_i \mathcal{C}_{jkl} + \nabla_j \mathcal{C}_{kil} + \nabla_k \mathcal{C}_{ijl} = -(b_{im} R_{jkl}{}^m + b_{jm} R_{kil}{}^m + b_{km} R_{ijl}{}^m).$$

Once again we see that a Codazzi tensor is Riemann compatible. By (5) the differential condition $\nabla_{(i} \mathcal{C}_{jkl)} = 0$ is equivalent to the algebraic formula (3). A Veblen-type identity holds:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_i \mathcal{C}_{jlk} + \nabla_j \mathcal{C}_{kil} + \nabla_k \mathcal{C}_{lji} + \nabla_l \mathcal{C}_{ikj} \\ = b_{im} R_{jlk}{}^m + b_{jm} R_{kil}{}^m + b_{km} R_{lji}{}^m + b_{lm} R_{ikj}{}^m. \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 2.3. For a concircular vector field X , with $\nabla_i X_j = \rho g_{ij}$, the tensor $X_i X_j$ is Riemann compatible.

Proof. One has $\mathcal{C}_{jkl} = (\nabla_j \rho) g_{kl} - (\nabla_k \rho) g_{jl}$ and $\nabla_i \mathcal{C}_{jkl} = (\nabla_i \nabla_j \rho) g_{kl} - (\nabla_i \nabla_k \rho) g_{jl}$. The left-hand side of (5) thus equals zero. ■

Note: the existence of a concircular time-like vector field is necessary and sufficient for a space-time to be generalized Robertson–Walker [5].

EXAMPLE 2.4 (Lovelock’s identities). 1. The Codazzi deviation of the Ricci tensor is $\mathcal{C}_{jkl} = \nabla_j R_{kl} - \nabla_k R_{jl} = -\nabla^m R_{jklm}$. Property (5) becomes Lovelock’s identity for the Riemann tensor [13, p. 289]:

$$(7) \quad \nabla_i \nabla^m R_{jklm} + \nabla_j \nabla^m R_{kilm} + \nabla_k \nabla^m R_{ijlm} = -R^m{}_{(i} R_{jk)lm}.$$

2. The Codazzi deviation of Schouten’s tensor ⁽¹⁾ is $\mathcal{C}_{jkl} = -\frac{1}{n-3} \nabla^m C_{jklm}$. Property (5) reads $\nabla_{(i} \mathcal{C}_{jkl)} = -(n-3) S^m{}_{(i} R_{jk)lm}$. The term with the metric tensor in S_{ij} does not contribute (due to the Bianchi identity), and one is left with (see [15])

$$(8) \quad \nabla_i \nabla^m C_{jklm} + \nabla_j \nabla^m C_{kilm} + \nabla_k \nabla^m C_{ijlm} = -\frac{n-3}{n-2} R^m{}_{(i} R_{jk)lm}.$$

In particular, for $n > 3$, if $\nabla_m C_{jkl}{}^m = 0$ (conformally symmetric spaces, Roter [20]) then the Ricci tensor is Riemann compatible.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If $u_i u_j$ is Riemann compatible, and $u^k u_k \neq 0$, then u_i is an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor.*

Proof. Since $u_i u_j$ is Riemann compatible, it commutes with the Ricci tensor: $R_{ij} u^j u_k = R_{kj} u^j u_i$. Contraction with u^k gives

$$R_{ij} u^j (u_k u^k) = (R_{kj} u^j u^k) u_i = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

We extrapolate a simple statement from [7, Proposition 5.1]. A direct proof is possible, by writing (3) for the Ricci tensor in warping coordinates:

⁽¹⁾ Schouten’s tensor is $S_{ij} = \frac{1}{n-2} [R_{ij} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)} g_{ij}]$. It satisfies $\nabla_k S^k{}_j = \nabla_j S^k{}_k$, $\nabla^m C_{jklm} = (n-3)(\nabla_k S_{jl} - \nabla_j S_{kl})$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *In a warped-product spacetime*

$$ds^2 = \pm dt^2 + a(t)^2 g_{\mu\nu}^* dx^\mu dx^\nu$$

the Ricci tensor is Riemann compatible if and only if the Ricci tensor of the Riemannian submanifold (M^, g^*) is compatible with the Riemann tensor of the submanifold:*

$$R_{\mu\sigma}^* R_{\nu\rho\lambda}^* \sigma + R_{\nu\sigma}^* R_{\rho\mu\lambda}^* \sigma + R_{\rho\sigma}^* R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^* \sigma = 0.$$

2.2. Geodesic maps. A map $(M, g) \rightarrow (M, \bar{g})$ is *geodesic* if every geodesic line is mapped to a geodesic line. For the identity mapping of M to be geodesic, it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a 1-form such that the Christoffel symbols are related by $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k + \delta_i^k X_j + X_i \delta_j^k$ (Levi-Civita, 1896). The relation between the Riemann tensors then is

$$\bar{R}_{jkl}{}^m = -\partial_j \bar{\Gamma}_{kl}^m + \partial_k \bar{\Gamma}_{jl}^m - \bar{\Gamma}_{kl}^d \bar{\Gamma}_{jd}^m + \bar{\Gamma}_{jl}^d \bar{\Gamma}_{kd}^m = R_{jkl}{}^m - \delta_k^m P_{jl} + \delta_j^m P_{kl},$$

where $P_{kl} = \nabla_k X_l - X_k X_l = P_{lk}$. One has $\bar{R}_{jl} = R_{jl} + (n-1)P_{jl}$.

Geodesic maps preserve the $(3, 1)$ projective curvature tensor [23]: $\bar{P}_{jkl}{}^m = P_{jkl}{}^m$, where $P_{jkl}{}^m = R_{jkl}{}^m + \frac{1}{n-1}(\delta_j^m R_{kl} - \delta_k^m R_{jl})$.

PROPOSITION 2.7 ([15]). *For a geodesic map and a symmetric tensor $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$, the following identity holds:*

$$(9) \quad b_{im} \bar{R}_{jkl}{}^m + b_{jm} \bar{R}_{kil}{}^m + b_{km} \bar{R}_{ijl}{}^m = b_{im} R_{jkl}{}^m + b_{jm} R_{kil}{}^m + b_{km} R_{ijl}{}^m.$$

Therefore, if (R, b) is a compatible pair, also the pair (\bar{R}, b) is compatible.

3. Weyl compatible tensors. A symmetric tensor is *Weyl compatible* if

$$(10) \quad b_{im} C_{jkl}{}^m + b_{jm} C_{kil}{}^m + b_{km} C_{ijl}{}^m = 0.$$

The following identity holds for any symmetric tensor [15]:

$$(11) \quad b_{im} C_{jkl}{}^m + b_{jm} C_{kil}{}^m + b_{km} C_{ijl}{}^m = b_{im} R_{jkl}{}^m + b_{jm} R_{kil}{}^m \\ + b_{km} R_{ijl}{}^m + \frac{1}{n-2} [g_{kl} (b_{im} R_j{}^m - b_{jm} R_i{}^m) + g_{il} (b_{jm} R_k{}^m - b_{km} R_j{}^m) \\ + g_{jl} (b_{km} R_i{}^m - b_{im} R_k{}^m)].$$

A simple consequence is obtained in dimension $n = 3$, where the Weyl tensor is zero (see [8], in a less simple manner):

PROPOSITION 3.1. *In dimension $n = 3$ the Ricci tensor is Riemann compatible.*

If b_{ij} is Riemann compatible, then it commutes with the Ricci tensor. As a result, (11) shows that b_{ij} is also Weyl compatible. Therefore, Riemann compatibility is a stronger condition than Weyl compatibility. The identity

(11) can be rewritten in terms of the Codazzi deviation:

$$(12) \quad b_{im}C_{jkl}{}^m + b_{jm}C_{kil}{}^m + b_{km}C_{ijl}{}^m = \nabla_i \mathcal{D}_{jkl} + \nabla_j \mathcal{D}_{kil} + \nabla_k \mathcal{D}_{ijl} \\ - \frac{1}{n-2} \nabla^m (\mathcal{C}_{ijm} g_{kl} + \mathcal{C}_{jkm} g_{il} + \mathcal{C}_{kim} g_{jl}),$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{jkl} = \mathcal{C}_{jkl} - \frac{1}{n-2} (\mathcal{C}_{jm}{}^m g_{kl} - \mathcal{C}_{km}{}^m g_{jl})$.

EXAMPLE 3.2. If a vector field is *torqued* [4], i.e. $\nabla_i \tau_j = \rho g_{ij} + \alpha_i \tau_j$ with $\alpha_k \tau^k = 0$, then $\tau_i \tau_j$ is Weyl compatible.

Proof. One evaluates $\mathcal{C}_{jkl} = -\rho(\tau_j g_{kl} - \tau_k g_{jl})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{jkl} = -\frac{1}{n-2} \mathcal{C}_{jkl}$. It turns out that the right-hand side of (12) is zero. ■

Note: the existence of a torqued time-like vector is necessary and sufficient for a space-time to be twisted [4].

PROPOSITION 3.3 (see [11, Remark 4.2]). *In a space-time of dimension $n = 4$, if $u_i u_j$ is a Weyl compatible and time-like unit ($u^k u_k = -1$) then the Weyl tensor is completely determined by the electric tensor $E_{kl} = C_{jklm} u^j u^m$:*

$$(13) \quad C_{abcd} = 2(u_a u_d E_{bc} - u_a u_c E_{bd} + u_b u_c E_{ad} - u_b u_d E_{ac}) \\ + g_{ad} E_{bc} - g_{ac} E_{bd} + g_{bc} E_{ad} - g_{bd} E_{ac}$$

Proof. In $n = 4$ the following Lovelock identity holds [13, Ex. 4.9, p. 128]:

$$0 = g_{ar} C_{bcst} + g_{br} C_{cast} + g_{cr} C_{abst} + g_{at} C_{bcrs} + g_{bt} C_{cars} + g_{ct} C_{abrs} \\ + g_{as} C_{bctr} + g_{bs} C_{catr} + g_{cs} C_{abtr}$$

Contraction with $u^a u^r$ gives

$$0 = -C_{bcst} + u_b u^r C_{crst} + u_c u^r C_{rbst} + u_t u^r C_{bcrs} + g_{bt} u^a u^r C_{cars} \\ + g_{ct} u^a u^r C_{abrs} + u_s u^r C_{bctr} + g_{bs} u^a u^r C_{catr} + g_{cs} u^a u^r C_{abtr} \\ = -C_{bcst} + u^r (u_b C_{stcr} + u_c C_{rbst} + u_t C_{cbsr} + u_s C_{bctr}) \\ + g_{bt} E_{cs} - g_{ct} E_{bs} - g_{bs} E_{ct} + g_{cs} E_{bt}.$$

This gives the Weyl tensor in terms of its single and double contractions with u^i . If $u_i u_j$ is Weyl compatible, the single contraction is $C_{jklr} u^r = u_k E_{jl} - u_j E_{kl}$, and the result follows. For an extension to $n > 4$ see [11]. ■

3.1. Conformal maps. The identity map $(M, g) \rightarrow (M, \hat{g})$ is *conformal* if $\hat{g}_{kl} = e^{2\sigma} g_{kl}$ for some function σ . The Christoffel symbols transform according to $\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^m = \Gamma_{ij}^m + \delta^m_i X_j + X_i \delta^m_j - g_{ij} X^m$, where $X_i = \nabla_i \sigma$. A conformal map leaves the (3, 1) Weyl tensor unchanged: $\hat{C}_{jkl}{}^m = C_{jkl}{}^m$. Therefore, Weyl compatibility is a property invariant under conformal maps.

4. K -compatible tensors. Riemann and Weyl compatibility may be generalized to K -compatibility, where K is a generalized curvature tensor (GCT), i.e. a tensor with the algebraic properties of the Riemann tensor

under permutations of indices [12]:

$$(14) \quad K_{jklm} = -K_{kjlm} = -K_{jkml},$$

$$(15) \quad K_{jklm} + K_{kljm} + K_{ljkm} = 0,$$

$$(16) \quad K_{jklm} = K_{lmjk}.$$

In analogy with the Riemann tensor, one shows that (14) and (15) imply the symmetry (16), and the identity $K_{j(klm)} = 0$. The tensor $K_{jl} = K_{jml}{}^m$ is symmetric.

A symmetric tensor b_{ij} is K -compatible if

$$(17) \quad b_i{}^m K_{jklm} + b_j{}^m K_{kilm} + b_k{}^m K_{ijlm} = 0,$$

and (K, b) is then called a *compatible pair*. This can be written as $b^m({}_i K_{jk})_{lm} = 0$.

The metric tensor is K -compatible, by the Bianchi property (15). The tensors b_{ij} and K_{ij} commute: $b_i{}^m K_{mk} - K_{im} b^m{}_k = 0$ (contract (17) with g^{jl} and use symmetry).

Examples of K -compatible tensors were obtained by Shaikh et al. (see for example [22, 21]) starting from specific metrics. Bourguignon [1] proved that if b_{ij} is a Codazzi tensor then $\mathring{R}_{jklm} = R_{jkr{s}b^r{}_l b^s{}_m$ is a GCT. We prove a more general statement:

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If a_{ij} and b_{ij} are K -compatible, then $\mathring{K}_{jklm} = K_{jkr{s}(a^r{}_l b^s{}_m + b^r{}_l a^s{}_m)}$ is a GCT.*

Proof. The properties (14) and (16) are obvious; the Bianchi property (15) completes the proof: $\mathring{K}_{(jkl)m} = a^r({}_l K_{jk})_{rs} b^s{}_m + b^r({}_l K_{jk})_{rs} a^s{}_m = 0$ because each term is zero, both a and b being K -compatible. ■

4.1. Properties of K -compatible tensors. A linear combination of K -compatible tensors obviously is K -compatible. Now we prove:

THEOREM 4.2. *If a and b are K -compatible, then $\frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$ is K -compatible.*

Proof. Let $c_{ij} = a_i{}^k b_{kj} + b_i{}^k a_{kj}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c^m({}_i K_{jk})_{rm} &= a_i{}^s b_s{}^m K_{jkrm} + a_j{}^s b_s{}^m K_{kirm} + a_k{}^s b_s{}^m K_{ijrm} + a \leftrightarrow b \\ &= -a_i{}^s (b_j{}^m K_{ksrm} + b_k{}^m K_{sjrm}) - a_j{}^s (b_k{}^m K_{isrm} + b_i{}^m K_{skrm}) \\ &\quad - a_k{}^s (b_i{}^m K_{jsrm} + b_j{}^m K_{sirm}) + a \leftrightarrow b \\ &= -(a_i{}^s b_j{}^m - a_j{}^s b_i{}^m) K_{ksrm} - (a_j{}^s b_k{}^m - a_k{}^s b_j{}^m) K_{isrm} \\ &\quad - (a_k{}^s b_i{}^m - a_i{}^s b_k{}^m) K_{jsrm} + a \leftrightarrow b \\ &= -(a_i{}^s b_j{}^m - a_j{}^s b_i{}^m) (K_{ksrm} - K_{kmrs}) \\ &\quad - (a_j{}^s b_k{}^m - a_k{}^s b_j{}^m) (K_{isrm} - K_{imrs}) \\ &\quad - (a_k{}^s b_i{}^m - a_i{}^s b_k{}^m) (K_{jsrm} - K_{jmrs}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (a_i^s b_j^m - a_j^s b_i^m) K_{krsm} + (a_j^s b_k^m - a_k^s b_j^m) K_{irsm} \\
 &\quad + (a_k^s b_i^m - a_i^s b_k^m) K_{jrsm} \\
 &= (a_i^s b_j^m + b_i^s a_j^m) K_{krsm} + (a_j^s b_k^m + b_j^s a_k^m) K_{irsm} \\
 &\quad + (a_k^s b_i^m + b_k^s a_i^m) K_{jrsm} \\
 &= \overset{\circ}{K}_{krij} + \overset{\circ}{K}_{irjk} + \overset{\circ}{K}_{jrki} = \overset{\circ}{K}_{(kri)j} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

because $\overset{\circ}{K}$ is a GCT by Proposition 4.1. ■

Therefore, the linear space of K -compatible tensors is a special Jordan algebra.

In particular, the powers of b are K -compatible (powers with exponents $n, n + 1, \dots$ are linear combinations of lower powers by the Cayley–Hamilton theorem). In particular (by the exchange of indices) the tensor $(b^2)_j^s (b^2)_k^r K_{rslm}$ is a GCT. This enables us to come up with the simple proof of the theorem in [14], so short that we reproduce it here:

THEOREM 4.3 (Extended Derdziński–Shen theorem). *Let b_{ij} be K -compatible, and let X^i, Y^i, Z^i be eigenvectors of b_i^m with eigenvalues x, y, z . If $x \neq z$ and $y \neq z$ then*

$$(18) \quad K_{ijkl} X^i Y^j Z^k = 0.$$

Proof. Consider the identities

$$g^m ({}_i K_{jk})_{lm} = 0, \quad b^m ({}_i K_{jk})_{lm} = 0, \quad (b^2)^m ({}_i K_{jk})_{lm} = 0$$

and contract them with $X^i Y^j Z^k$. The three algebraic relations are put in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x & y & z \\ x^2 & y^2 & z^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_{jkli} X^i Y^j Z^k \\ K_{kilj} X^i Y^j Z^k \\ K_{ijlk} X^i Y^j Z^k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The determinant of the matrix is $(x - y)(x - z)(z - y)$. If the eigenvalues are all different then $K_{ijkl} X^i Y^j Z^k = 0$ (with contraction of any three indices). If $x = y \neq z$, the reduced system of equations still implies $K_{ijkl} X^i Y^j Z^k = 0$. ■

PROPOSITION 4.4. *If b is K -compatible and invertible, then b^{-1} is K -compatible:*

$$(19) \quad (b^{-1})^j ({}_s K_{rl})_{kj} = 0.$$

Proof. Multiply (17) by $(b^{-1})^i{}_r (b^{-1})^j{}_s$ to obtain the identity $(b^{-1})^j{}_s K_{ijkl} + (b^{-1})^i{}_r K_{kils} + (b^{-1})^i{}_r (b^{-1})^j{}_s b^m{}_k K_{ijlm} = 0$. Rewrite it as

$$(b^{-1})^j ({}_s K_{rl})_{kj} - (b^{-1})^j{}_l K_{srkj} + (b^{-1})^i{}_r (b^{-1})^j{}_s b^m{}_k K_{ijlm} = 0.$$

The last two terms cancel, as shown by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b^{-1})^j{}_l K_{srkj} &= (b^{-1})^i{}_r (b^{-1})^j{}_s b^m{}_k K_{ijlm} \iff K_{srkb} b^r{}_a = b^i{}_b (b^{-1})^j{}_s b^m{}_k K_{ajlm} \\
 &\iff b^s{}_c K_{srkb} b^r{}_a = b^l{}_b b^m{}_k K_{aclm} \\
 &\iff \overset{\circ}{K}_{kbc a} = \overset{\circ}{K}_{acbk},
 \end{aligned}$$

which is true as $\overset{\circ}{K}$ is a GCT. ■

We prove a Veblen-type identity:

PROPOSITION 4.5. *If b_{ij} is K -compatible, then*

$$(20) \quad b_i{}^m K_{jklm} - b_j{}^m K_{ilk m} + b_k{}^m K_{iljm} - b_l{}^m K_{jkim} = 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= b_i{}^m K_{jklm} + b_j{}^m K_{kilm} + b_k{}^m K_{ijlm} \\
 &= b_i{}^m K_{jklm} - b_j{}^m (K_{ilk m} + K_{lkim}) + b_k{}^m K_{ijlm} \\
 &= b_i{}^m K_{jklm} - b_j{}^m K_{ilk m} + b_l{}^m K_{kjim} + b_k{}^m K_{jlim} + b_k{}^m K_{ijlm} \\
 &= b_i{}^m K_{jklm} - b_j{}^m K_{ilk m} + b_l{}^m K_{kjim} - b_k{}^m K_{lijm}. \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

4.2. More on generalized curvature tensors. A linear combination of GCTs is a GCT. Given two compatible pairs (K, a) and (K, b) , a new GCT tensor is obtained in Proposition 4.1. In particular, if $a_{ij} = g_{ij}$ (the metric tensor), the following K' is a GCT:

$$(21) \quad K'_{jklm} = K_{jkrs} (\delta^r{}_l b^s{}_m + b^r{}_l \delta^s{}_m) = K_{jkl s} b^s{}_m - K_{jkm s} b^s{}_l.$$

PROPOSITION 4.6. *If b is K -compatible, then it is K' -compatible.*

Proof. The tensor $K'_{jklm} = K_{jklr} b^r{}_m - K_{jkmr} b^r{}_l$ is a GCT. Let us evaluate

$$b^m{}_i K'_{jklm} = b^m{}_i K_{jklr} b^r{}_m - b^m{}_i K_{jkmr} b^r{}_l = (b^2)^r{}_i K_{jklr} - \overset{\circ}{K}_{jkim}.$$

Both tensors yield zero if the cyclic sum (ijk) is taken. ■

PROPOSITION 4.7. *(K, b) is a compatible pair for every symmetric tensor b if and only if*

$$(22) \quad K_{ijlm} = \frac{K}{n(n-1)} (g_{il} g_{jm} - g_{im} g_{jl})$$

where K is a scalar.

Proof. The symmetry of the tensor is made explicit by writing $b_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} b^{rs} (g_{ir} g_{js} + g_{is} g_{jr})$. The compatibility relation must hold for any b^{rs} , so

$$0 = g_{ir} K_{jkl s} + g_{jr} K_{kils} + g_{kr} K_{ijls} + g_{is} K_{jklr} + g_{js} K_{kilm} + g_{ks} K_{ijlr}.$$

Contraction with g^{ks} gives $(n-1) K_{ijlr} = g_{jr} K_{il} - g_{ir} K_{jl}$; contraction with g^{il} gives $K_{jr} = \frac{1}{n} g_{jr} K^i{}_i$ and (22) follows. The converse, namely, that (22) implies (17), is shown by direct check. ■

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension $n > 2$ is an *Einstein manifold* if $R_{ij} = \frac{1}{n}Rg_{ij}$ where R is the scalar curvature. Since $\nabla_i R^i_j = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_j R$, the scalar curvature is constant. A manifold is a *constant curvature manifold* if the Riemann tensor has the form (22). Such manifolds are Einstein manifolds.

COROLLARY 4.8. *A manifold is a constant curvature manifold if and only if $b_i^m R_{jklm} + b_j^m R_{kilm} + b_k^m R_{ijlm} = 0$ for all symmetric tensors.*

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Bourguignon, *Les variétés de dimension 4 à signature non nulle dont la courbure est harmonique sont d'Einstein*, Invent. Math. 63 (1981), 263–286.
- [2] M. C. Chaki, *On pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds*, Bulg. J. Phys. 15 (1988), 525–531.
- [3] M. C. Chaki and S. Koley, *On generalized pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds*, Period. Math. Hungar. 28 (1994), 123–129.
- [4] B.-Y. Chen, *Rectifying submanifolds of Riemannian manifolds and torqued vector fields*, Kragujevac J. Math. 41 (2017), 93–103.
- [5] B.-Y. Chen, *A simple characterization of generalized Robertson–Walker spacetimes*, Gen. Relativity Gravitation 46 (2014), art. 1833, 5 pp.
- [6] A. Derdziński and C. L. Shen, *Codazzi tensor fields, curvature and Pontryagin forms*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 47 (1983), 15–26.
- [7] R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, J. Jełowicki, M. Petrović-Torgašev and G. Zafindratafa, *On Riemann and Weyl compatible tensors*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 94 (108) (2013), 111–124.
- [8] R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Plaue, K. Sawicz and M. Scherfner, *On hypersurfaces in space forms satisfying particular curvature conditions of Tachibana type*, Kragujevac J. Math. 35 (2011), 223–247.
- [9] R. Deszcz and W. Grycak, *On some classes of warped product manifolds*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 15 (1987), 311–322.
- [10] R. Deszcz, M. Hotłoś, J. Jełowicki, H. Kundu and A. A. Shaikh, *Curvature properties of Gödel metric*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Modern Phys. 11 (2014), no. 3, art. 1450025, 20 pp.
- [11] S. Hervick, M. Ortaggio and L. Wylleman, *Minimal tensors and purely electric and magnetic spacetimes of arbitrary dimensions*, Class. Quantum Gravity 30 (2013), art. 165014, 50 pp.
- [12] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol. 1, Interscience, New York, 1963.
- [13] D. Lovelock and H. Rund, *Tensors, Differential Forms and Variational Principles*, reprint, Dover Publ., 1988.
- [14] C. A. Mantica and L. G. Molinari, *Extended Derdziński–Shen theorem for curvature tensors*, Colloq. Math. 128 (2012), 1–6.
- [15] C. A. Mantica and L. G. Molinari, *Riemann compatible tensors*, Colloq. Math. 128 (2012), 197–210.
- [16] C. A. Mantica and L. G. Molinari, *Weakly Z-symmetric manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar. 135 (2012), 80–96.

- [17] C. A. Mantica and L. G. Molinari, *Weyl compatible tensors*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Modern Phys. 11 (2014), no. 8, art. 1450070, 15 pp.
- [18] C. A. Mantica, L. G. Molinari and U. C. De, *A condition for a perfect-fluid space-time to be a generalized Robertson–Walker space-time*, J. Math. Phys. 57 (2016), no. 2, art. 022508, 6 pp.
- [19] C. A. Mantica and Y. J. Suh, *Pseudo-Z symmetric space-times*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), no. 4, art. 042502, 12 pp.
- [20] W. Roter, *On conformally symmetric 2-Ricci recurrent spaces*, Colloq. Math. 26 (1972), 115–122.
- [21] A. A. Shaikh, M. Ali and Z. Ahsan, *Curvature properties of Robinson–Trautman metric*, J. Geom. 109 (2018), no. 2, art. 38, 20 pp.
- [22] A. A. Shaikh, H. Kundu, M. Ali and Z. Ahsan, *Curvature properties of a special type of pure radiation metrics*, J. Geom. Phys. 136 (2019), 195–206.
- [23] N. S. Sinyukov, *Geodesic Mappings of Riemannian Spaces*, Nauka, Moscow, 1979 (in Russian).
- [24] L. Tamássy and T. Q. Binh, *On weak symmetries of Einstein and Sasakian manifolds*, Tensor (N.S.) 53 (1993), 140–148.

Carlo Alberto Mantica
I.I.S. Lagrange
Via L. Modignani 65
20161 Milano, Italy
and
I.N.F.N. sezione di Milano
Via Celoria 16
20133 Milano, Italy
E-mail: carlo.mantica@mi.infn.it

Luca Guido Molinari (corresponding author)
Physics Department Aldo Pontremoli
Università degli Studi di Milano
Via Festa del Perdono 7
20122 Milano, Italy
and
I.N.F.N. sezione di Milano
Via Celoria 16
20133 Milano, Italy
E-mail: luca.molinari@unimi.it