

The descriptive complexity of the set of arc-connected compact subsets of the plane

by

Gabriel Debs and Jean Saint Raymond

Abstract. We compute the exact descriptive class of the set of all compact arc-connected subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 , which turns out to be strictly higher than the classical Σ_1^1 and Π_1^1 classes of analytic and coanalytic sets, but strictly lower than the class Π_2^1 which is the exact descriptive class of the set of all compact arc-connected subsets of \mathbb{R}^3 .

If X is any Polish space then it follows readily from the definitions that the set $\mathcal{KC}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ of all compact arc-connected subsets of X is a Π_2^1 subset of the space $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of all compact subsets of X , endowed with the Vietoris topology. Moreover, Ajtai and Becker showed independently (see [4, Theorem 37.11]) that the set $\mathcal{KC}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is actually Π_2^1 -complete.

The goal of the present work is to compute the exact descriptive complexity of the set $\mathcal{KC}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. More generally, given any space X we consider the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ of all arc-connected closed subsets of X , viewed as a subset of the space $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of all closed subsets of X , endowed with the Effros Borel structure.

By a *planar Polish space* we mean a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 on which the induced topology is Polish, that is, a \mathbf{G}_δ subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . Our first main result is the following:

THEOREM A. *For any planar Polish space X the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ is an $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\Pi_1^1)$ set.*

Here $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\Pi_1^1)$ denotes the class of all complements of sets obtained from Π_1^1 sets by the Suslin operation \mathcal{A} . Let us recall that it is already known from Ajtai and Becker work that the set $\mathcal{KC}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is not Π_1^1 (see [4]).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54H05; Secondary 03E15.

Key words and phrases: Borel set, arc-connected, continuum, plane topology, Suslin operation.

Received 14 November 2024.

Published online 8 June 2026.

The proof of Theorem A relies on recent results from [3] and makes use of Effective Descriptive Set Theory. Also as a by-product of this proof we obtain the following property which is specific to the plane topology, since the analog in \mathbb{R}^3 is no more true.

THEOREM B. *For any planar arc-connected Polish space X there exists a Borel mapping which to any pair (x, y) of distinct points in X assigns an arc $J \subset X$ with endpoints x and y .*

In fact, the proof of Theorem A relies on a parametrized version of Theorem B in which the space X is replaced by a variable closed subset of X . The precise statement of this latter result (Theorem 5.6) necessitates a number of preliminaries, and we refer the reader to Section 5 for more details.

The second main result is that if $X = \mathbb{R}^2$, or the unit square \mathbb{I}^2 , then the complexity bound given by Theorem A is best possible. More precisely, we prove:

THEOREM C. *The set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{I}^2)$ is $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ -complete.*

It is worth noting that the class $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ appeared already in previous complexity computations in the hyperspace $\mathcal{F}(X)$. We mention the following two results proved in [2, Theorems 6.3 and 6.4], where $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ denotes the set of all locally connected closed subsets of X :

- (a) *For any Polish space X the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ is an $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ set.*
- (b) *There exists a Polish space $X \subset \mathbb{I}^3$ for which the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ is $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ -complete.*

Note however that unlike for $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$, if X is a compact space the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ is Borel [2, Proposition 6.1].

1. Some descriptive classes. Throughout this work by a “space” we shall always mean a subset of some Polish space, though we shall introduce in some situations an additional (possibly nonseparable) metric or topology on the initial given space. However, all descriptive notions we shall consider will always refer to the initial Polish structure.

For classical descriptive classes we follow logician notation. In particular, $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^1, \mathbf{\Pi}_1^1, \mathbf{\Delta}_1^1$ will denote respectively the classes of analytic, coanalytic, Borel, subsets of Polish spaces, and as usual we denote by “lightface” symbols $\Sigma_1^1, \Pi_1^1, \Delta_1^1$ the corresponding “effective” versions. But we shall also consider some less popular classes. For this we recall next some basic descriptive notions in the framework of an arbitrary class $\mathbf{\Gamma}$.

Given any subspace X of some Polish space \tilde{X} , we denote by $\mathbf{\Gamma}(X)$ the set of all subsets of X which are the trace on X of a set in $\mathbf{\Gamma}(\tilde{X})$. Since all classes we shall consider are closed under Borel isomorphism, $\mathbf{\Gamma}(X)$ does not depend on the particular choice of the ambient space \tilde{X} . Note that in general $\mathbf{\Gamma}(X)$

is a proper subset of $\mathcal{P}(X) \cap \mathbf{\Gamma}(\tilde{X})$. We also recall that a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ -measurable if the inverse image of any open subset of Y is in $\mathbf{\Gamma}(X)$. If $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is closed under countable unions and intersections then the inverse image of any Borel subset of Y by f is in $\mathbf{\Gamma}$.

For any class $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ we consider the class $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ obtained from $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ by the Suslin operation \mathcal{A} and we denote by $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ its dual class, that is, the class of all complements of sets in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$. Since countable unions and countable intersections are particular instances of the operation \mathcal{A} , it follows from the idempotence of \mathcal{A} that the classes $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ and $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ are closed under countable unions and intersections. In particular, for any class $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, the notions of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ -measurability and $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ -measurability are the same. Also since $\Sigma_1^1 = \mathcal{A}(\Delta_1^1)$ and $\Pi_1^1 = \check{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_1^1)$, we have the following property:

PROPOSITION 1.1. *The inverse image of any Σ_1^1 (respectively Π_1^1) set by an $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ -measurable mapping is in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ (respectively $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$).*

In particular, the right composition $f \circ g$ of an $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ -measurable mapping f with a Borel mapping g , is $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ -measurable. And if $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is closed under Borel isomorphisms then the left composition $h \circ f$ of f with a Borel mapping h is $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ -measurable too.

Of particular interest for our study is the notion of bianalyticity that we recall.

DEFINITION 1.2. Given spaces X, Y ,

- a subset A of X is said to be *bianalytic* in X if A is in $\Sigma_1^1(X) \cap \Pi_1^1(X)$;
- a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *bianalytic* if f is Σ_1^1 (equivalently Π_1^1)-measurable.

Note that by the separation theorem for analytic sets, if X is analytic then bianalytic sets and mappings are Borel; and the notion of bianalyticity is interesting mainly in the setting of coanalytic spaces. We also mention the following two properties that we will use:

PROPOSITION 1.3. *If Y is a Polish space, or a standard Borel space, then any bianalytic mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ on a space X admits an extension $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ to a bianalytic mapping with coanalytic domain. If moreover X is analytic then \tilde{X} and \tilde{f} can be taken Borel.*

DEFINITION 1.4. For all $n \geq 1$ we shall use $\exists^{\leq n}$ as an abbreviation for: "There exists at least one and at most n ". Also if $\pi : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is the canonical projection and $A \subset X \times Y$, we set, for all n ,

$$\pi^{(n)}(A) = \{x \in X : \exists^{\leq n} y : (x, y) \in A\}.$$

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let X, Y be Polish spaces. If $A \subset X \times Y$ is Borel, then for all $n \geq 1$, the set $\pi^{(n)}(A)$ is Π_1^1 and the mapping $x \mapsto A(x)$ from $\pi^{(n)}(A)$ to $\mathcal{K}(Y)$ is bianalytic.*

See [8] for the case $n = 1$, from which the general case can be derived easily.

DEFINITION 1.6. We denote by Σ the smallest class containing both Σ_1^1 and Π_1^1 , and closed under countable unions and intersections; so $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{A}(\Pi_1^1) \cap \check{\mathcal{A}}(\Pi_1^1)$.

We recall the following classical result:

THEOREM 1.7 (Yankov–von Neumann). *For any Σ_1^1 set $A \subset X \times Y$ in a product space, if P is the projection of A on X then there exists a Σ -measurable mapping $f : P \rightarrow Y$ with graph contained in A .*

2. The arc-connectedness relation. In this section we briefly present the main notions and known results, which we will use freely in the sequel, concerning the arc-connectedness relation in the plane. For more details we refer the reader to [3].

DEFINITION 2.1. By an *arc* we mean as usual a compact space homeomorphic to the unit interval $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$. For an arc I we denote by $e(I)$ the set of its endpoints and set $\overset{\circ}{I} = I \setminus e(I)$. The set $\mathcal{J}(X)$ of all arcs in some space X , viewed as a subset of the space $\mathcal{K}(X)$, is Borel (in fact, $\Pi_3^0 = \mathbf{F}_{\sigma\delta}$) and the mapping $e : \mathcal{J}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ is Borel (see [1]).

For practical reasons, we shall consider a singleton $\{a\}$ as a *degenerate arc* with a as a unique endpoint. All notions and notations for arcs extend trivially to degenerate arcs. A set will be said to be a *possibly degenerate arc*, for short a *p.d. arc*, if it is either an arc or a singleton. We denote by $\hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ the set of all p.d. arcs.

If I is an arc, for any $\{a, b\} \subset I$ we denote by $I^{\{a,b\}}$ the subarc of I with endpoints $\{a, b\}$. The mapping which to any arc I and any pair $\{a, b\} \subset I$ assigns the arc $I^{\{a,b\}}$ is Borel, since its graph $\{(I, J) \in \mathcal{J}(X)^2 : J \subset I \text{ and } e(J) = \{a, b\}\}$ is Borel.

DEFINITION 2.2. A *simple triod* in a space X is a compact subset $T = J_0 \cup J_1 \cup J_2$ of X which is the union of three arcs J_i such that for all $i \neq j$, $J_i \cap J_j = \{c\}$ is a singleton. The arcs J_i , which are uniquely determined up to a permutation, are called the *branches* of T , and c is called the *center* of T .

In this work we shall never consider the more general notion of *trioid* introduced initially by Moore [6], and in the sequel by a “trioid” we shall always mean a “simple triod”.

Since the set $\mathcal{J}(X)$ of all arcs is a Borel subset of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and the \cup and \cap operations on $\mathcal{K}(X)$ are Borel, it follows from the almost uniqueness of the decomposition of a simple triod that if X is a Polish space then the set

$\mathcal{T}(X)$ of all simple triods in X is a Borel subset of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and the mapping $\mathbf{c} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ which assigns to any simple triod T its center is Borel.

DEFINITION 2.3. We denote by E_X the arc-connectedness equivalence relation on X . If X is a Polish space then E_X is analytic as the projection on X^2 of the Borel set

$$B = \{((x, y), J) \in X^2 \times \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X) : e(J) = \{x, y\}\}.$$

Any arc-component C in a space X either is

- a singleton, or
- admits a one-to-one continuous parametrization $\varphi : I \rightarrow C$ where I is a (closed, open, half-open) interval in \mathbb{R} or the unit circle, and we shall then say that C is a *curve*, or else
- contains a *triod*, and we shall then say that C is a *triodic component*.

We denote by Θ^X the union of all triodic components of X , to which we will refer as the *triodic part*; and the space X is said to be *atriodic* if $\Theta^X = \emptyset$. If the space X is Polish then the equivalence relation E_X is analytic, hence each triodic component, as well as the triodic part, is analytic. Note that in \mathbb{R}^3 there exist compact subsets with non-Borel arc-components (see [5]).

2.4. *Canonical arc-metrics and arc-topologies.* Given any metric space (X, d) we can consider the mapping $\delta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$\delta(x, y) = \inf \{\text{diam}(H) : H \text{ arc-connected with } \{x, y\} \subset H \subset X\}$$

where $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. So if $x \neq y$ are in the same arc-component then

$$d(x, y) \leq \delta(x, y) = \inf \{\text{diam}(J) : J \in \mathcal{J}(X) \text{ with } e(J) = \{x, y\}\} < \infty$$

and if not then $\delta(x, y) = \infty$. Moreover, setting by convention $\alpha + \infty = \infty$ for any $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$ we have, for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$\delta(x, z) \leq \delta(x, y) + \delta(y, z)$$

Hence strictly speaking δ is not a distance on X , but it induces a distance on any arc-component of X . We shall refer to δ as the *canonical arc-pseudo-metric defined by (X, d)* , and to its restriction to any subset A of some arc-component of X as the *canonical arc-metric on A defined by d* .

The set of all δ -open balls with finite radius constitutes a basis of a metrizable topology τ on X , finer than the initial topology t defined by d . Note that

$$x = \lim_n x_n \text{ in } (X, \tau) \iff \begin{cases} \exists (J_n)_n \text{ in } \mathcal{J}(X, t) : \forall n, e(J_n) = \{x, x_n\} \\ \text{and } \{x\} = \lim_n J_n \text{ in } \mathcal{K}(X, t), \end{cases}$$

so the topology τ can in fact be defined directly from the topology t , and we shall say that τ is the *canonical arc-topology* defined by t .

We emphasize that even if the initial topology t is separable, the topology τ is not in general. For example, if we fix in the unit circle in \mathbb{R}^2 a

copy C of the Cantor space then the union of all rays joining the center to an element of C is an arc-connected compact space X . But if δ denotes the canonical arc-metric on X defined by the euclidean metric then for any $a \neq b$ in C , $\delta(a, b) \geq 1$.

The canonical pseudo-metric δ was introduced in [3] for a Polish planar space X . But as the reader can easily check, the following properties extracted from [3], which we state without proof, do not rely on this additional assumption.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let δ be the canonical arc-pseudo-metric defined by the metric space (X, d) , and let t and τ be respectively the d -topology and δ -topology on X .*

- (1) *If (X, d) is complete then (X, δ) is complete.*
- (2) *$\mathcal{J}(X, t) = \mathcal{J}(X, \tau)$.*
- (3) *For any arc $J \subset X$, $d\text{-diam}(J) = \delta\text{-diam}(J)$.*
- (4) *For any arc $J \subset X$, $(J, t) = (J, \tau)$.*
- (5) *All open δ -balls with finite radius are arc-connected.*

Note that by property (2) the arc-connected subsets of (X, d) and (X, δ) are the same, so the reference to arcs and arc-connectedness in the following properties is non-ambiguous.

The plane arc-connectedness relation. All specific properties of the arc-connectedness relation in the plane are due to the following fundamental property of the plane topology.

THEOREM 2.6 (Moore). *Any family of pairwise disjoint triods in the plane is countable.*

In particular, any planar set admits at most countably many triodic components.

DEFINITION 2.7. If τ is the canonical arc-topology of some space (X, t) , the *triodic kernel* of X , denoted by Σ^X , is the τ -closure of the set of all centers of triods in (X, t) .

The following theorem is a synthetic summary of the main results of [3].

THEOREM 2.8. *Let (X, t) be a planar Polish space, and let τ be the corresponding arc-topology.*

- (a) *Σ^X is τ -separable, hence (Σ^X, τ) is a Polish space, and Σ^X is a Borel subset of (X, t) .*
- (b) *The set $B = \{(x, J) \in X \times \mathcal{J}(X) : J \subset X, e(J) = \{x, y\} \text{ and } J \cap \Sigma^X = \{y\}\}$ is Borel and for all $x \in X \setminus \Sigma^X$, $\text{card}(B(x)) \leq 2$.*
- (c) *The equivalence relation E_X is Borel.*

REMARK 2.9. Note that the projection on X of the set B in property (b) is the set $\Sigma' = \Theta^X \setminus \Sigma^X$. Since B is a Borel set with finite sections, it admits a Borel uniformization. Hence there exist Borel mappings $\Psi : \Sigma' \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(X)$ and $\psi : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma^X$ such that for all $x \in \Sigma'$, $e(\Psi(x)) = \{x, \psi(x)\}$ and $\Psi(x) \cap \Sigma^X = \{\psi(x)\}$.

3. The partial operation \vee on oriented arcs

DEFINITION 3.1. An *oriented arc* is a triple $\vec{I} = (I, a, b)$ where I (the *domain* of \vec{I}) is an arc and the two-element set $e(I) = \{a, b\}$ is ordered by the pair (a, b) . We shall then say that I is an *arc joining a to b* and set

$$\text{dom}(\vec{I}) = I, \quad e(\vec{I}) = (a, b), \quad a = e_0(\vec{I}) \text{ and } b = e_1(\vec{I}),$$

so $e(I)$ is a two-element subset of X , while $e(\vec{I}) \in X^2$. We will denote by \mathfrak{f} the flip operation which assigns to any oriented arc $\vec{I} = (I, a, b)$ the arc $\mathfrak{f}(\vec{I}) = (I, b, a)$.

Given any oriented arc $\vec{I} = (I, a, b)$, the relation on I defined by

$$x \leq_{\vec{I}} y \iff I^{\{a,x\}} \subset I^{\{a,y\}} \iff I^{\{y,b\}} \subset I^{\{x,b\}}$$

is a total ordering and we denote by $<_{\vec{I}}$ the corresponding strict ordering, to which we refer as *the* total order on I defined by \vec{I} . In the sequel the notation \vec{I} will always suppose implicitly that $\text{dom}(\vec{I}) = I$. Also for simplicity, when there is no ambiguity on the orientation of I we shall set $e_0(I) = e_0(\vec{I})$, $e_1(I) = e_1(\vec{I})$, and denote by $<_I$ the total order on I defined by \vec{I} .

The set $\vec{\mathcal{J}}(X) = \{(I, a, b) \in \mathcal{J}(X) \times X^2 : e(I) = \{a, b\}\}$ of all oriented arcs is clearly Borel. Hence so is $\{(\vec{I}, x, y) \in \vec{\mathcal{J}}(X) \times X^2 : x <_{\vec{I}} y\}$, and the flip operation \mathfrak{f} is Borel.

DEFINITION 3.2. Given two oriented arcs $\vec{I}_0 = (I_0, a_0, b_0)$ and $\vec{I}_1 = (I_1, a_1, b_1)$ such that $I_0 \cap I_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $a_0 \neq b_1$ we define the oriented arc

$$\vec{I}_0 \vee \vec{I}_1 = (I, a_0, b_1) \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} I = I_0^{\{a_0, c\}} \cup I_1^{\{c, b_1\}}, \\ c = \min_{<_{\vec{I}_0}}(I_0 \cap I_1). \end{cases}$$

It follows readily from the previous definition that if $\vec{I}_0 \vee \vec{I}_1$ is defined then

$$\text{dom}(\vec{I}_0 \vee \vec{I}_1) \subset I_0 \cup I_1 \quad \text{and} \quad e(\vec{I}_0 \vee \vec{I}_1) = (a_0, b_1).$$

DEFINITION 3.3.

- (a) For any finite sequence $(\vec{I}_m)_{m \leq n}$ of oriented arcs, we define inductively the oriented arcs

$$\vec{J}_0 = \bigvee_0 \vec{I}_0 = \vec{I}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{J}_n = \bigvee_{m \leq n} \vec{I}_m = \vec{J}_{n-1} \vee \vec{I}_n.$$




Fig. 1

- (b) If $(\vec{I}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is an infinite sequence in $\vec{\mathcal{J}}(X)$, we shall say that $\vec{J} = \bigvee_{n \in \omega} \vec{I}_n$ is defined if for all n , $\vec{J}_n = \bigvee_{m \leq n} \vec{I}_m$ is defined, and $\vec{J} = \lim \vec{J}_n$.

REMARKS 3.4. (a) We emphasize that \vee is a *partial operation*, so $\vec{J}_n = \bigvee_{m \leq n} \vec{I}_m$ is defined only if all the terms in its definition are defined, that is, if for all $0 < m \leq n$

$$J_{m-1} \cap I_m \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad e_1(\vec{J}_m) \neq e_0(\vec{J}_0),$$

and moreover

$$J_n \subset \bigcup_{m \leq n} I_m \quad \text{and} \quad e(\vec{J}_n) = (e_0(\vec{I}_0), e_1(\vec{I}_n)).$$

(b) If for all $0 < m \leq n$, $e_0(\vec{I}_m) = e_1(\vec{I}_{m-1}) \neq e_0(\vec{J}_0)$ then $e_1(\vec{I}_{m-1}) \neq e_0(\vec{J}_m) = e_0(\vec{J}_0)$ and $e_1(\vec{J}_{m-1}) = e_0(\vec{I}_m) \in J_{m-1} \cap I_m$, hence $\vec{J}_n = \bigvee_{m \leq n} \vec{I}_m$ is defined.

LEMMA 3.5. *The set of all finite or infinite sequences $(\vec{I}_n)_{n < N}$ in $\vec{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ such that $\vec{J}_N = \bigvee_{n < N} \vec{I}_n$ is defined is Borel, and the mapping which assigns \vec{J}_N to $(\vec{I}_n)_{n < N}$ is Borel.*

Proof. It follows from the definition that the set \mathcal{D}_2 of all pairs $(\vec{I}_0, \vec{I}_1) \in (\vec{\mathcal{J}}(X))^2$ such that $\vec{I}_0 \vee \vec{I}_1$ is defined, is Borel. Since the \cap and \cup operations in $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and the mapping $(I, a, b) \mapsto I^{\{a, b\}}$ are Borel, the mapping $(\vec{I}_0, \vec{I}_1) \mapsto \vec{I}_0 \vee \vec{I}_1$ is Borel on \mathcal{D}_2 . This proves the lemma for $N = 2$, and the general finite case follows by a straightforward induction; and the infinite case follows from the definition. ■

DEFINITION 3.6. Let $\vec{J} = (J, a, b)$ be an oriented arc and let $<$ be the total order on J defined by \vec{J} . A *subdivision* of \vec{J} is a finite sequence $(\vec{J}^k)_{0 \leq k \leq \ell}$

in $\vec{\mathcal{J}}(J)$ such that

$$a = e_0(J^0) < e_1(J^0) = e_0(J^1) < \dots < e_1(J^{k-1}) = e_0(J^k) < \dots \\ < e_1(J^{\ell-1}) = e_0(J^\ell) < e_1(J^\ell) = b.$$

THEOREM 3.7. *Let X be a space and $(\vec{I}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence in $\vec{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ such that*

- (a) *for all n , $\vec{J}_n = \bigvee_{m \leq n} \vec{I}_m$ is defined,*
- (b) *the sequence $(I_n)_{n \in \omega}$ converges in $\mathcal{K}(X)$ to a singleton $\{b\}$ with $b \neq a = e_0(I_0)$.*

Then $\vec{J} = \bigvee_{n \in \omega} \vec{I}_n$ is defined and $e(\vec{J}) = (a, b)$.

Proof. For all n , we set $\vec{I}_n = (I_n, a_n, b_n)$ and $\vec{J}_n = (J_n, a, b_n)$, so $b_n \neq a$. For all $s, t \in \omega^{<\omega}$, we denote by $s \wedge t$ the largest initial segment of $s \cap t$, and if $s \neq \emptyset$ we set $s^* = s_{|s|-1}$. We also write $s \preceq t$ if $s \prec t$ or $s = t$.

Set $s_{-1} = \hat{s}_{-1} = \emptyset$. Then starting from $s_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and $\hat{s}_0 = \langle J_0 \rangle = \langle I_0 \rangle$, we construct inductively two sequences $(s_n)_{n \in \omega}$ and $(\hat{s}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in $\omega^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{J}(X)$ respectively such that for all n , $|s_n| = |s_{n-1}|$ and $\hat{s}_n = (J_n^j)_{j < |s_n|}$ is a subdivision of \vec{J}_n , and for all $m < n$,

- (1) s_n is an increasing sequence of integers $\leq n$ with $s_n(0) = 0$ if $n \geq 0$,
- (2) for all $j < |s_n|$, $J_n^j \subset I_{s_n(j)}$,
- (3) $s_n \preceq s_{n-1}$ or $s_n = s_{n-1} \frown \langle n \rangle$ with $s_{n-1} \preceq s_{n-1}$,
- (4) if $|s_m \wedge s_n| = k + 1$ then for all $j < k$, $J_n^j = J_m^j$, and $J_n^k \subset J_m^k$.

Suppose that $(J_n^k)_{k < |s_n|}$ is already defined satisfying conditions (1) to (4). Then by definition $J_{n+1} = J_n^{\{a,c\}} \cup I_{n+1}^{\{c,b_{n+1}\}}$ with $c \in J_n \cap I_{n+1}$. Let

$$k = \min \{-1 \leq j < |s_n| : c \leq J_n^j\}$$

with the convention $e_1(J_n^{-1}) = e_0(J_n^0) = a$, so $k = -1$ if $c = a$. We then distinguish two cases:

- (i) If $c = b_{n+1}$ then

$$J_{n+1} = J_n^{\{a,b_{n+1}\}} = J_n^{\{a,a_n^k\}} \cup J_n^{\{a_n^k,b_{n+1}\}} = \left(\bigcup_{j < k} J_n^j \right) \cup J_n^{\{a_n^k,b_{n+1}\}}$$

and we set

$$s_{n+1} = s_n \upharpoonright_{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{n+1}^j = \begin{cases} J_n^j & \text{if } j < k, \\ J_n^{\{a_n^k,b_{n+1}\}} & \text{if } j = k. \end{cases}$$

- (ii) If $c \neq b_{n+1}$ then

$$J_{n+1} = J_n^{\{a,c\}} \cup I_{n+1}^{\{c,b_{n+1}\}} = \left(\bigcup_{j < k} J_n^j \right) \cup J_n^{\{a_n^k,c\}} \cup I_{n+1}^{\{c,b_{n+1}\}}$$

and we set

$$s_{n+1} = s_{n|k+1} \widehat{\langle n+1 \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{n+1}^j = \begin{cases} J_n^j & \text{if } j < k, \\ J_n^{\{a_n^k, c\}} & \text{if } j = k, \\ I_{n+1}^{\{c, b_{n+1}\}} & \text{if } j = k+1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $c = a$ then $k = -1$, so $s_{n|k+1} = \emptyset$ and $s_{n+1} = \langle n+1 \rangle$ is of length 1, and $J_{n+1}^0 = I_{n+1}^{\{a, b_{n+1}\}}$ is the unique element of \hat{s}_{n+1} . This finishes the definition of s_{n+1} and \hat{s}_{n+1} , which clearly satisfy conditions (1)–(3); and we now prove condition (4).

So suppose that $m < n+1$ and $u = s_m \wedge s_{n+1}$. Then by (3) we have $u \preceq s_n$, hence $u \preceq s_m \wedge s_n$. If $m < n$ then (4) follows from the induction hypothesis; and if $m = n$ then $u \preceq s_n$ and (4) follows from the definition of s_{n+1} .

This ends up the construction of the sequences $(s_n)_{n \in \omega}$ and $(\hat{s}_n)_{n \in \omega}$.

LEMMA 3.8. *For all n ,*

- (5) *if $\ell < m < n$ and $s_\ell \preceq s_n$ then $s_\ell \preceq s_m$;*
- (6) *if $\ell < n$ and $s_\ell \prec t \prec s_n$ then there exists m such that $\ell < m < n$ and $t = s_m$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . For $n = -1$ the statements are trivial. So suppose they are true for n .

Suppose that $\ell < m < n+1$ and $s_\ell \preceq s_{n+1}$. Since $\ell < n+1$ we have necessarily $s_\ell \preceq s_{n+1}^* \preceq s_n$. If $m < n$ then by the induction hypothesis $s_\ell \preceq s_m$; and if $m = n$ then $s_\ell \preceq s_n = s_m$. This proves (5) for $n+1$.

Suppose that $\ell < n+1$ and $s_\ell \prec t \prec s_{n+1}$. Since $t \neq s_{n+1}$ we have $t \preceq s_{n+1}^* \preceq s_n$. Then either $t = s_n$ and we are done; or else $t \prec s_n$ and then by the induction hypothesis there exists m such that $\ell < m < n$ and $t = s_m$. This proves (6) for $n+1$. ■

LEMMA 3.9. *One of the following two alternatives holds:*

- (I) *There exists $m \geq -1$ such that the set $N_m = \{n > m : s_n = s_m \text{ or } s_n^* = s_m\}$ is infinite.*
- (II) *There exists an increasing sequence $(n_i)_{i \geq -1}$ such that for all i and all $n \geq n_i$, $s_{n_i} \preceq s_n$.*

Proof. Note that by condition (6) the set $S = \{s_n : n \geq -1\}$ is a tree, and consider the set $S' = \{s_m \in S : \forall n > m, s_n \succeq s_m\}$, which is nonempty since $\emptyset = s_{-1} \in S'$. It follows from condition (5) that if $\ell < m$ and s_ℓ, s_m are in S' then $s_\ell \preceq s_m$, hence S' is a \preceq -chain.

If S' is finite, let s be the \preceq -maximum of S' and $m = \min\{\ell : s_\ell = s\}$. Then for all $n > m$, $s_m \preceq s_n$, hence either $s_n = s_m$, or $s_n^* = s_m$, or $|s_n| > |s_m| + 1$, and then by condition (6) (applied to $t = s_{n| |s_m| + 1}$) there

exists n' such that $m < n' < n$ and $t = s_{n'}$, hence $s_{n'}^* = s_m$; and it follows that alternative (I) holds.

If S' is infinite then there exists a unique increasing sequence $(n_i)_{i \in \omega}$ in ω such that $S' \setminus \emptyset = \{s_{n_i} : i \in \omega\}$ and alternative (II) clearly holds. ■

For the rest of the proof of Theorem 3.7 we distinguish two cases according to Lemma 3.9. Also for more clarity we shall split alternative (I) into two subalternatives.

CASE I.a: *There exists m such that the set $N = \{n \in \omega : s_n = s_m\}$ is infinite.* Set $|s_m| = k+1$; then $H = \bigcup_{j < k} J_m^j$ is an arc with $e(H) = \{a, b_m^{k-1}\}$ and for all $n \in N$, $J_n = H \cup J_n^k$ with $e(J_n^k) = \{a_n^k, b_n^k\} = \{b_m^{k-1}, b_n^k\}$ and $J_n^k \supset J_{n+1}^k$, hence $J' = \bigcap_{n \geq m} J_n^k$ is an arc with $e(J') = \{b_m^{k-1}, b\}$ and $J = \lim_{n \in N} J_n = H \cup J'$ exists and is an arc with $e(J) = \{a, b\}$.

Let $(n_i)_{i \in \omega}$ be the increasing enumeration of N . If $n_i \leq n < n_{i+1}$ then by definition of N we have $s_{n_i} \preceq s_n$; hence by condition (4) we have $J_{n_i} \subset J_n$, and by condition (2),

$$J_n \setminus J_{n_i} \subset \{I_{s_n(j)} : |s_{n_i}| \leq j < |s_n|\}.$$

If $k_i = |s_{n_i}| - 1$ then $p_i = s_n(k_i) = s_{n_i}(k_i) \nearrow \infty$, and since $\lim I_n$ is a singleton we have $\text{diam}(J_n \setminus J_{n_i}) \leq \text{diam}(\bigcup_{p \geq p_i} I_p) \searrow 0$. Moreover, since $e_0(I_{p_i}) = e_0(I_{s_{n_i}(k_i)}) = e_0(J_{n_i}^{k_i})$ it follows that $I_{p_i} \cap J_{n_i} \neq \emptyset$. Hence if d_H is the Hausdorff distance on $\mathcal{K}(X)$ associated to some compatible distance on X , then $d_H(J_n, J_{n_i}) = \text{diam}(J_n \setminus J_{n_i}) \searrow 0$, which proves that $\lim_n J_n = \lim_i J_{n_i} = J$.

CASE I.b: *There exists m such that the set $N^* = \{n \in \omega : s_n = s_m \frown \langle n \rangle\}$ is infinite.* The argument is essentially the same. Setting $|s_m| = k+1$ and $H = \bigcup_{j < k} J_m^j$ as in Case I.a observe that if $n \in N^*$, then since $s_n = s_m \frown \langle n \rangle$, we have $|s_m| = k+2$ and $J_n = H \cup J_n^k \cup J_n^{k+1}$. By the same arguments as in Case I.a, $J = \lim_{n \in N^*} H \cup J_n^k$ is an arc with $e(J) = \{a, b\}$. But by condition (2) the additional term J_n^{k+1} is a subset of $I_{s_n(k+1)}$ which by hypothesis (b) of the theorem converges to the singleton $\{b\}$; and it follows that $J = \lim_{n \in N^*} J_n$. The rest of the argument is exactly the same as in Case I.a.

CASE II: *S' is infinite.* Set $S' \setminus \{\emptyset\} = \{s_n : n \in M\}$ and for all $n \in M$, $|s_n| = k_n + 1$ and $H_n = \bigcup_{j < k_n} J_n^j \subset J_n$. By condition (4), $(H_n)_{n \in M}$ is an increasing sequence of arcs with $e(H_n) = \{a, c_n\}$ and $c_n = b_n^{k_n-1} = a_n^{k_n} \in I_{s_n(k_n)}$. Hence $\lim_{n \in M} c_n = b$ and $J = \bigcup_{n \in M} H_n = \lim_{n \in M} H_n$ exists and is an arc with $e(J) = \{a, b\}$. Finally, as in Case I.a, if $(m_i)_{i \in \omega}$ is the increasing enumeration of M then it follows from condition (2) that for all $m = m_i \leq n < m_{i+1}$, if $p_m = s_m(|s_m| - 1)$ then $d_H(J_n, H_{m_i}) = \text{diam}(J_n \setminus H_{m_i}) \searrow 0$, which proves that $\lim_n J_n = J$. ■

REMARK 3.10. Let $(I_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be an infinite sequence in $\mathcal{J}(X)$ such that

- (a) for all n , $e(I_n) = \{a_n, a_{n+1}\}$ for some sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in X ;
- (b) $(I_n)_{n \in \omega}$ converges in $\mathcal{K}(X)$ to a singleton $\{b\}$ with $b \neq a_0$.

Then by Remark 3.4(b), for all n , $\bigvee_{m \leq n} \vec{I}_m$ is defined, hence by Theorem 3.7 the oriented arc $\bigvee_{n \in \omega} (I_n, a_n, a_{n+1}) = (J, a_0, b)$ is defined; and we shall write $\bigvee_{n \in \omega} I_n = J$.

Moreover, by Proposition 3.5 the mapping which to any such sequence $(I_n)_{n \in \omega}$ assigns the arc $\bigvee_{n \in \omega} I_n$ is Borel.

4. Arc-lifting. We recall that E_X denotes the arc-connectedness equivalence relation on a given space X .

DEFINITION 4.1. Given any subset S of some space X , an *arc-lifting of S in X* is a mapping $\psi : S^2 \cap E_X \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ such that for all $(x, y) \in S^2 \cap E_X$, $e(\psi(x, y)) = \{x, y\}$. If $S = X$ we shall say that ψ is an *arc-lifting of X* .

If X is Polish then the equivalence relation E_X is analytic, and it follows from Theorem 1.7 that X admits a Σ -measurable arc-lifting. But as we shall see next, the existence of a Borel arc-lifting for a space is a very strong assumption.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *If a Polish space X admits a Borel arc-lifting then the equivalence relation E_X is Borel.*

Proof. Suppose that $\psi : E_X \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ is a Borel arc-lifting. Since E_X and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ are Borel by classical results ψ admits a Borel extension $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ to a Borel domain $E_X \subset \mathcal{D} \subset X^2$ such that for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}$, $e(\tilde{\psi}(x, y)) = \{x, y\}$; hence necessarily $E_X = \mathcal{D}$, and so E_X is Borel. ■

We recall (see Theorem 2.8) that if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ then E_X is Borel, but there are compact spaces in \mathbb{R}^3 with non-Borel arc-components, hence which do not admit a Borel arc-lifting.

DEFINITION 4.3. Given any subset S of some space X , and any $a \in X$, a \star -*arc-lifting of S in X (with summit a)* is a mapping $\varphi : S \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ such that for all $x \in S$, $e(\varphi(x)) = \{a, x\}$.

Note that if S admits a \star -arc-lifting with summit a then a is not necessarily an element of S , but $S \cup \{a\}$ is a subset of some arc-component of X .

PROPOSITION 4.4. *If S admits a Borel \star -arc-lifting in X then S admits a Borel arc-lifting in X .*

Proof. If $\varphi : S \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ is a \star -arc-lifting of S with summit a , then $S \subset E_X(a)$ and for all $(x, y) \in S^2 \subset E_X$, the oriented arc $(\varphi(x), x, a) \vee (\varphi(y), a, y) = (\psi(x, y), x, y)$ is defined, since $a \in \varphi(x) \cap \varphi(y)$, and $\psi(x, y) =$

$\{x, y\}$. Hence ψ is an arc-lifting of S in X and it follows from Lemma 3.5 that if φ is Borel then so is ψ . ■

THEOREM 4.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete separable metric space. Suppose that $D \subset S \subset C$ satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) C is an arc-component of X .
- (2) S is equipped with some Polish topology τ finer than the topology induced by d on S .
- (3) D is a τ -dense subset of S .
- (4) For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a basis $\mathcal{U}(\varepsilon)$ of the topology τ such that $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}(\varepsilon), \forall \{x, y\} \subset D \cap U, \exists J \in \mathcal{J}(X), d\text{-diam}(J) < \varepsilon$ and $e(J) = \{x, y\}$.

Then S admits a Borel arc-lifting in X .

Proof. Since the topology τ is Polish and finer than the d -topology on S , it follows that S is a Borel subset of (X, d) and the Borel structures induced by d and τ on S are the same. In particular, any τ -open set is Borel in (X, d) .

Let S' be the set of all nonisolated points in S . We shall construct a Borel \star -arc-lifting Φ of S' in X ; then since $S \setminus S'$ is countable, Φ admits a Borel extension to a \star -arc-lifting of S ; and for simplicity we shall suppose that S has no isolated points.

We then fix a complete distance δ on S compatible with τ . We may also suppose that all $U \in \mathcal{U}(\varepsilon)$ are of δ -diameter $< \varepsilon$.

Let \mathcal{U} denote the set of all τ -open subsets of S . Then starting from $U_\emptyset = X$ we construct a tree $T \subset \omega^{<\omega}$ and a family $(U_s)_{s \in T}$ in \mathcal{U} such that setting $A_\emptyset = X$ and, for all $s \frown \langle n \rangle \in T$,

$$A_{s \frown \langle n \rangle} = U_{s \frown \langle n \rangle} \setminus \bigcup \{U_{s \frown \langle m \rangle} : m < n \text{ and } s \frown \langle m \rangle \in T\}$$

the following conditions hold:

- (1) $U_s \in \mathcal{U}(2^{-|s|})$ and $\overline{U_{s \frown \langle n \rangle}}^\tau \subset U_s$,
- (2) $U_{s \frown \langle n \rangle} \cap A_s \neq \emptyset$ and $A_s \subset \bigcup \{U_{s \frown \langle m \rangle} ; s \frown \langle m \rangle \in T\}$.

The construction is achieved by induction on T : assuming that the set U_s is constructed such that $A_s \neq \emptyset$, consider the set

$$\mathcal{V}_s = \{V \in \mathcal{U}(2^{-|s|}) : \overline{V}^\tau \subset U_s \text{ and } V \cap A_s \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Since the topology τ is regular, we have $A_s \subset \bigcup \mathcal{V}_s$. We then fix an enumeration $(V_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of the set \mathcal{V}_s and define inductively a (finite or infinite) sequence $(k_n, U_{s \frown \langle n \rangle})_n$ in $\omega \times \mathcal{U}_s$ by $(k_0, U_{s \frown \langle 0 \rangle}) = (0, V_0)$ and, for $n > 0$,

$$k_n = \min \left\{ k > k_{n-1} : V_k \setminus \bigcup_{m < n} U_{s \frown \langle m \rangle} \neq \emptyset \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{s \frown \langle n \rangle} = V_{k_n}.$$

Then $U_{s \setminus \langle n \rangle}$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2) and $A_{s \setminus \langle n \rangle} \neq \emptyset$, which finishes the construction.

Fix then any element $a = a_\emptyset \in D$. Since S has no isolated points, for all $s \in T \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ we can fix an element $a_s \in D \cap U_s \setminus \{a_{s_{\uparrow k}} : k < |s|\}$. So if $s^* = s_{||s|-1}$ then by construction $a_s \neq a_{s^*}$, $\{a_{s^*}, a_s\} \subset U_s$ and $U_s \in \mathcal{U}(2^{-|s|})$; hence by the hypothesis we can fix an arc I_s such that $d\text{-diam}(I_s) < 2^{-|s|}$ and $e(I_s) = \{a_{s^*}, a_s\}$. Then for any infinite branch $\sigma \in [T]$, $a_\sigma = \lim_k a_{\sigma_{\uparrow k}}$ exists in (S, δ) , and if $a_\sigma \neq a$ then (see Remark 3.10) the arc $\bigvee_{k \in \omega} I_{\sigma_{\uparrow k}} = (J_\sigma, a, a_\sigma)$ is defined, and the mapping $\sigma \mapsto J_\sigma$ is Borel.

Conversely, for all $x \in S$, there is a unique $\sigma^x \in [T]$ such that $x \in U_{\sigma^x_{\uparrow k}} \cap A_{\sigma^x_{\uparrow k}}$ for all k , hence $x = a_{\sigma^x}$. It follows from conditions (1) and (2) that the mapping $\varphi : x \mapsto \sigma^x$ from S to $[T]$ is a bijection, and since $\varphi^{-1}(\{\tau \in [T] : s \prec \tau\}) = A_s$ is the difference of two open subsets of X , the mapping φ is Borel. Hence the mapping $\Phi : S \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ defined by $\Phi(x) = J_{\varphi(x)}$ if $x \neq a$ and $\Phi(a) = \{a\}$ is a Borel \star -arc-lifting of S in X with summit a , and by Proposition 4.4, S admits a Borel arc-lifting in X . ■

COROLLARY 4.6. *Any locally connected Polish space admits a Borel arc-lifting.*

Proof. It is well known that any locally connected Polish space is locally arc-connected. Hence X has countably many arc-components $\{C_n : n \in \omega\}$ and each C_n is a clopen subset of X , so a Polish space. Then applying Theorem 4.5 with $D = S = C = C_n$, $d = \delta$ and $\mathcal{U}(\varepsilon)$ the set of all arc-connected open subsets of diameter $< \varepsilon$ we get a Borel arc-lifting Ψ_n of C_n in X ; and then $\Psi = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Psi_n$ is clearly a Borel arc-lifting of X . ■

COROLLARY 4.7. *Let (X, t) be a Polish space, and let τ be the arc-topology defined by (X, t) . Then any τ -separable subset of some arc-component of X admits a Borel arc-lifting in X .*

Proof. Fix a complete distance d on X compatible with t . Then the topology τ can be defined by the pseudo-arc-metric δ defined by (X, d) , and δ is a metric on each arc-component C of X . Since (C, δ) is complete, δ defines a Polish topology on the closure S of any separable subset of C . Then we can apply Theorem 4.5 with $D = S$ and $\mathcal{U}(\varepsilon)$ the set of all δ -open balls of S of radius $< \varepsilon/2$, since by definition of δ , if $\delta(x, y) < \varepsilon$ then there exists an arc $J \subset X$ such that $d\text{-diam}(J) < \varepsilon$ and $e(J) = \{x, y\}$. ■

When X is arc-connected the following theorem is a particular case of more general results we shall discuss in the next section.

THEOREM 4.8. *Any planar Polish space X admits a Borel arc-lifting.*

Proof. Let d be a compatible complete distance on X . We denote by Y and Z respectively the atriodic part and the triodic part of X . Since X is a

plane subset, Z has at most countably many arc-components $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and since the equivalence relation E_X is Borel (Theorem 2.8(c)), all the Z_n 's as well as Y are Borel subsets of X . Note that

$$E_X = E_Y \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{Z_n} = E_Y \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n^2$$

and we shall define Borel arc-liftings in X separately for Y and for each Z_n .

For Y this is straightforward: Since the set

$$B = \{(x, y, J) \in Y^2 \times \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X) : J \subset X \text{ and } e(J) = \{x, y\}\}$$

is Borel and for all $(x, y) \in Y^2 \cap E_X$ the section $B(x, y) = \{J_{(x,y)}\}$ is a singleton, the mapping $(x, y) \mapsto J_{(x,y)}$ is a Borel arc-lifting of Y in X .

We now fix n , set $C = Z_n$ and $S = \Sigma^X \cap Z_n$, and fix $a \in S$. To construct an arc-lifting of C in X , it is enough to construct a \star -arc-lifting $\Phi : C \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(X)$ of C in X , and again we shall define Φ separately on S and $C \setminus S$.

By Theorem 2.8(a) the set S is τ -separable; then by Corollary 4.7 we get an arc-lifting $\Psi_S : S^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(X)$ of S in X . In particular, $\Phi_S = \Psi_S(a, \cdot) : S \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(X)$ is a \star -arc-lifting with summit a of S in X , and we now construct a \star -arc-lifting $\Phi_{S'}$ with summit a of $S' = C \setminus S$ in X .

By Remark 2.9 we can fix two Borel mappings $\Psi : S' \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(X)$ and $\psi : S' \rightarrow S$ such that for all $x \in S'$, if $J = \Psi(x)$ then $e(J) = \{x, \psi(x)\}$ and $J \cap S = \{\psi(x)\}$. Then for all $x \in S'$, $x \neq a$, and since $e(\Phi_S(\psi(x))) = \{a, \psi(x)\}$ we have $\psi(x) \in \Psi(x) \cap \Phi_S(\psi(x))$, hence the oriented arc $(\Psi(x), x, \psi(x)) \vee (\Phi_S(\psi(x)), \psi(x), a)$ is defined, and is of the form $(\Phi_{S'}(x), x, a)$. Then by Lemma 3.5 the mapping $\Phi_{S'} : S' \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(X)$ thus defined is Borel and by construction $\Phi_{S'}$ is a \star -arc-lifting with summit a of S' in X . ■

5. Uniform arc-liftings

DEFINITION 5.1. Given a space X and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$, let $E_{\mathcal{G}} = \{(F, x, y) \in \mathcal{G} \times X^2 : (x, y) \in E_F\}$. A *uniform arc-lifting* of \mathcal{G} is a mapping $\Psi : E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ such that for any $F \in \mathcal{G}$, the partial mapping $\Psi(F, \cdot) : E_F \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ is an arc-lifting of F (in F).

As we shall see, the complexity of the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ is intimately related to the complexity of a potential uniform arc-lifting of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$. Note that if X is a Polish space and \mathcal{G} is an analytic subset of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ then the set $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ is analytic, hence so is

$$\mathcal{B} = \{((F, x, y), J) \in E_{\mathcal{G}} \times \mathcal{J}(X) : J \subset F \text{ and } e(J) = \{x, y\}\}.$$

It then follows from Theorem 1.7 that \mathcal{G} admits a Σ -measurable uniform arc-lifting. If moreover $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ then by Theorem 4.8 any $F \in \mathcal{G}$ admits a Borel arc-lifting, and it is natural to ask whether in this context, \mathcal{G} admits a Borel uniform arc-lifting. We shall see that if the set \mathcal{G} is rich enough

then even the existence of a bianalytic uniform arc-lifting is a very strong requirement.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *For any Polish space X and all $n \geq 1$, the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{[n]}(X) = \{F \in \mathcal{F}(X) : \forall x, y \in F, \exists^{\leq n} J \in \mathcal{J}(X) : J \subset F \text{ and } e(J) = \{x, y\}\}$ admits a bianalytic uniform arc-lifting.*

Proof. Note that the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(F, x, y, J) \in \mathcal{F}(X) \times X^2 \times \mathcal{J}(X) : J \subset F \text{ and } e(J) = \{x, y\}\}$$

is Borel, hence by Proposition 1.5 the set

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(F, x, y) \in \mathcal{F}(X) \times X^2 : \exists^{\leq n} J \in \mathcal{J}(X) : (F, x, y, J) \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ and $\mathcal{B} \cap (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{J}(X))$ is the graph of a bianalytic mapping Φ on \mathcal{C} which to any $(F, x, y) \in \mathcal{C}$ assigns a nonempty finite set $\{J_1, \dots, J_n\}$ in $\mathcal{J}(X)$, with graph contained in \mathcal{B} . Then if we fix any Borel total ordering $<$ on $\mathcal{J}(X)$, the mapping $\Psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(X)$ defined by $\Psi(F, x, y) = \min \Phi(F, x, y)$ is a bianalytic uniform arc-lifting for $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{[n]}(X)$. ■

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Suppose that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ is in $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1(\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X))$, that is, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ where \mathcal{H} is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$. If \mathcal{G} admits a bianalytic uniform arc-lifting then \mathcal{G} is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$.*

Proof. Let $\Psi : E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ be a bianalytic uniform arc-lifting. Since the condition “ $J \subset F$ and $e(J) = \{x, y\}$ ” is Borel, by Proposition 1.3 the map Ψ admits an extension $\tilde{\Psi}$ to a bianalytic mapping with $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H} \times X^2$ and such that if $J = \tilde{\Psi}(F, x, y)$ then $J \subset F$ and $e(J) = \{x, y\}$. Then the set $\mathcal{C}_* = \{F \in \mathcal{H} : \forall x, y \in F, (F, x, y) \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$. If $F \in \mathcal{G}$ then $F \in \mathcal{H}$ and $E_F = F^2$, hence $\{F\} \times F^2 \subset E_{\mathcal{G}} \cap (\mathcal{H} \times X^2) \subset \mathcal{D}$; so $F \in \mathcal{C}_*$. Conversely, if $F \in \mathcal{C}_*$ then $F \in \mathcal{H}$ and the mapping $\tilde{\Psi}$ witnesses that $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$, hence $F \in \mathcal{G}$. It follows that $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{C}_*$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$. ■

COROLLARY 5.4. *For any Polish space X , if $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ admits a bianalytic uniform arc-lifting then $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$.*

COROLLARY 5.5. *For any Polish space X , each of the following subsets of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ and admits a bianalytic uniform arc-lifting:*

- (a) *the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\hat{\Theta}}(X)$ of all atriodic closed arc-connected subsets of X ,*
- (b) *the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^0(X)$ of all closed arc-connected subsets of X that contain no Jordan curve.*

In particular, if X is atriodic or contains no Jordan curve then $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$.

Proof. (a) If $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\hat{\Theta}}(X)$ then any arc-component of F is a curve (see Section 2.3), hence $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\hat{\Theta}}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{[2]}(X)$, so by Proposition 5.2, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\hat{\Theta}}(X)$ admits

a bianalytic uniform arc-lifting. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X) = \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ where \mathcal{H} is the $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ set of all atriodic closed subsets of X , hence by Proposition 5.3, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$.

(b) The argument is similar: if $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^0(X)$ then any arc-component of F is the continuous image of a subinterval of the real line, hence $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^0(X) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{[1]}(X)$ and by Proposition 5.2, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X)$ admits a bianalytic uniform arc-lifting. Also $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^0(X) = \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ where \mathcal{H} is the $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ set of all closed subsets of X not containing any Jordan curve, hence by Proposition 5.3, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$. ■

As mentioned before, it has already been known from the work of Becker that the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is not $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$, hence $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ does not admit a bianalytic uniform arc-lifting. Nevertheless, we shall prove that any Polish subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 admits a mild form of bianalytic uniform arc-lifting.

Let $\mathcal{F}^{\ominus}(X)$ denote the set of all closed subsets F of X with a nonempty triodic part ($\Sigma^F \neq \emptyset$), and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X) = \mathcal{F}(X) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X)$ the set of all arc-connected elements of $\mathcal{F}^{\ominus}(X)$.

THEOREM 5.6. *For any Polish space X , there exist an auxiliary Polish space \mathbf{A} and a bianalytic mapping $\Psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ with $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbf{A} \times \mathcal{F}(X) \times X^2$ such that*

- (a) *for all $(\alpha, F, x, y) \in \mathcal{D}$ if $J = \Psi(\alpha, F, x, y)$ then $J \subset F$ and $e(J) = \{x, y\}$;*
- (b) *if X is a planar Polish space then there exists a Σ -measurable mapping $\sigma : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X)$, $\mathcal{D}(\sigma(F), F) = F^2$.*

COROLLARY 5.7. *For any planar Polish space X , the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ admits a Σ -measurable uniform arc-lifting Φ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$, the arc-lifting $\Phi(F, \cdot)$ on F is Borel.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.2 the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X)$ admits a uniform arc-lifting Φ_0 . Also if Ψ and σ are as in Theorem 5.6 then the mapping Φ_1 on $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X)$ defined by $\Phi_1(F, x, y) = \Psi(\sigma(F), F, x, y)$ is a uniform arc-lifting for $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X)$ which, by Proposition 1.1, is Σ -measurable. Hence $\Phi = \Phi_0 \cup \Phi_1$ is a Σ -measurable uniform arc-lifting for $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X) \cup \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X)$. Moreover, if we fix F then the partial mapping $\Phi(F, \cdot) = \Psi(\sigma(F), F, \cdot)$ on F^2 is bianalytic with a Polish domain, hence Borel. ■

COROLLARY 5.8. *If X is a planar Polish space then the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ is in $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$.*

Proof. Again by Corollary 5.5 the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X)$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ and we now prove that the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\ominus}(X)$ is in $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$. For this let Ψ and σ be as in Theorem 5.6. Since \mathcal{D} is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$, the set $\mathbf{D} = \{(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{A} \times \mathcal{F}(X) : \mathcal{D}(\alpha, F) = F^2\}$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$.

By Theorem 5.6(b), if $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\Theta}(X)$ then $(\sigma(F), F) \in \mathbf{D}$. Conversely, if $(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{D}$ then Theorem 5.6(a) ensures that $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$.

Hence $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^{\Theta}(X) = \mathcal{F}^{\Theta}(X) \cap (\sigma, \text{Id})^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$; and since $\mathcal{F}^{\Theta}(X) \in \Sigma_1^1$ and the mapping (σ, Id) is Σ -measurable, the conclusion follows from Proposition 1.1. ■

6. Definition of the mapping Ψ . In this section we fix a Polish space X , define a specific bianalytic mapping $\Psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ and prove a number of preliminary results to ensure part (a) of Theorem 5.6.

The arguments will make use of Effective Descriptive Set Theory, and we will assume that the reader is familiar with this topic as presented in [7]. For this we fix on X a complete distance d and a corresponding ε -recursive presentation in the sense of [7]. Since all the arguments we will develop are uniform in ε , we shall suppose, for simplicity, that ε is recursive.

The recursive presentation of X then induces a natural recursive presentation of the space $\mathcal{K}(X)$ equipped with the corresponding Hausdorff distance, for which the space $\mathcal{J}(X)$ is a Δ_1^1 subset, the canonical embedding of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ into $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is a Borel isomorphism onto some Δ_1^1 subset of $\mathcal{K}(X)$, and all elementary Borel operations and mappings involving $\mathcal{J}(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ are Δ_1^1 . We shall admit that all statements and definitions considered in the previous sections admit “effective versions” by replacing the “boldface classes” $(\mathbf{\Delta}_1^1, \mathbf{\Sigma}_1^1, \mathbf{\Pi}_1^1, \dots)$ by “lightface classes” $(\Delta_1^1, \Sigma_1^1, \Pi_1^1, \dots)$.

A nonnegligible part of the work will consist in defining the domain $\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbf{A} \times \mathcal{F}(X) \times X^2$. In fact, we shall first define a set $\mathbf{D}^* \subset \mathbf{A} \times \mathcal{F}(X) \times X$ and a mapping $\Psi^* : \mathbf{D}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(X)$, and then derive (\mathbf{D}, Ψ) via a canonical procedure very similar to the way one derives an arc-lifting from a \star -arc-lifting. More precisely, \mathbf{D}^* will be the union of two disjoint Π_1^1 sets \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{S}' , and we shall define Ψ^* separately on \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{S}' .

DEFINITION 6.1. For all $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ let d_F denote the distance on F induced by d , and δ^F the arc-pseudo-metric defined on F by the metric structure (F, d_F) . Our goal is to code pairs (S, F) where $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and S is a δ^F -separable closed subset of F contained in some arc-component of F (so that δ^F induces a genuine distance on S). Since the distance induced by δ^F on each arc-component is complete, such a space S is entirely determined by the distance induced by δ^F on any countable dense subset of S .

DEFINITION 6.2. Set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(X)$, $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(X)$ and $\mathbf{A} = X^{\omega} \times \mathcal{J}^{\omega}$. Let $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(X)$ be the set of all $(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{A} \times \mathcal{F}$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = ((a_n)_{n \in \omega}, (I_p)_{p \in \omega})$ such that, for all $m, n \in \omega$,

- (1) $a_n \in F$ and $I_n \subset F$,
- (2) $\delta^F(a_m, a_n) = \inf \{\text{diam}(I_p) : e(I_p) = \{a_m, a_n\}\}$ if $a_m \neq a_n$.

DEFINITION 6.3. One should think of an element $(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C}$ as a code for the δ^F -closure of the set $D_\alpha = \{a_n : n \in \omega\}$, and we set $\delta_\alpha^F = \delta^F \circ (\alpha_0 \times \alpha_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\omega^2}$, so $\delta_\alpha^F(m, n) = \delta^F(a_m, a_n)$, which provides a natural coding of the distance induced by δ^F on D_α . We then define

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(X) = \{(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{C} \times X : x \in S_{(\alpha, F)}\}.$$

Recall that since $(S_{(\alpha, F)}, \delta^F)$ is a Polish space, $S_{(\alpha, F)}$ is a Borel subset of (F, δ_F) .

LEMMA 6.4. *The set \mathbf{C} of codes is Π_1^1 .*

Proof. Condition (1) is clearly Δ_1^1 . If $\sigma(\alpha)$ denotes the right-hand side of the equation in (2), then the mapping $\alpha \mapsto \sigma(\alpha)$ is Δ_1^1 , and it follows from the definition of δ^F that $\delta_\alpha^F \leq \sigma(\alpha)$, hence condition (2) is equivalent to $\forall m, n \in \omega, \forall J \in \mathcal{J}$, if $(J \subset F$ and $e(J) = \{a_m, a_n\})$ then $\sigma(\alpha) \leq \text{diam}(J)$, which is clearly Π_1^1 . ■

It follows from the definition of δ^F that the sets

$$\begin{aligned} U_{<} &= \{(F, x, y, r) \in \mathcal{F} \times X^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+ : \delta^F(x, y) < r\}, \\ U_{\leq} &= \{(F, x, y, r) \in \mathcal{F} \times X^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+ : \delta^F(x, y) \leq r\} \end{aligned}$$

are Σ_1^1 .

Hence the set $\mathbf{S} = \bigcap_{r>0} \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\mathbf{C} \times X \times \{\alpha_0(n)\}) \cap (\mathbf{A} \times U_{<r})$ is the intersection of a Π_1^1 set and a Σ_1^1 set, and the same for

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}^{(2)} &= \{(\alpha, F, x, y) \in \mathbf{C} \times X^2 : (\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{S} \text{ and } (\alpha, F, y) \in \mathbf{S}\}, \\ \mathbf{S}_{<}^{(2)} &= \{(\alpha, F, x, y, r) \in \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}_+ : \delta^F(x, y) < r\}, \\ \mathbf{S}_{\leq}^{(2)} &= \{(\alpha, F, x, y, r) \in \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}_+ : \delta^F(x, y) \leq r\}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6.5. *The sets $\mathbf{S}_{<}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\leq}^{(2)}$ are Δ_1^1 in $\mathbf{S}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. Since $U_{<}$ is Σ_1^1 , the set $\mathbf{S}_{<}^{(2)} = (\mathbf{S}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}_+) \cap (\mathbf{A} \times U)$ is clearly Σ_1^1 in $\mathbf{S}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Moreover for $(\alpha, F, x, y, r) \in \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, we have

$$(F, \alpha, x, y, r) \notin \mathbf{S}_{<}^{(2)} \iff \forall r' < r, \delta^F(x, y) > r'$$

and

$$\delta^F(x, y) > r$$

$$\iff \exists \varepsilon > 0, \exists m, n \in \omega, \begin{cases} \text{(a)} & \delta_\alpha^F(m, n) > r + 2\varepsilon, \\ \text{(b)} & \delta^F(x, \alpha(m)) < \varepsilon \text{ and } \delta^F(y, \alpha(n)) < \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

The implication from left to right in the last equivalence follows from the density of D_α in $S_{(\alpha, F)}$, and from right to left from the triangle inequality. Then since the mapping $(\alpha, F) \mapsto \delta_\alpha^F$ is Δ_1^1 on \mathbf{C} , condition (a) is Δ_1^1 , and

by the definition of δ^F condition (b) is Σ_1^1 , which proves that $\mathbf{S}_{<}^{(2)}$ is Π_1^1 in $\mathbf{S}^{(2)}$. Hence $\mathbf{S}_{<}^{(2)}$ is Δ_1^1 in $\mathbf{S}^{(2)}$, and similarly for $\mathbf{S}_{\leq}^{(2)}$. ■

LEMMA 6.6. *There exist Δ_1^1 mappings $\varphi : \mathbf{S}(X) \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ and $\Phi : \mathbf{S}(X) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ such that for all $(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{S}(X)$ with $\alpha_0 = (a_n)_{n \in \omega}$,*

- (1) *if $\varphi(\alpha, F, x) = (n_k)_{k \in \omega}$ then $x = \delta^F\text{-lim}_k a_{n_k}$,*
- (2) *if $J = \Phi(\alpha, F, x)$ then $J \subset F$ and $e(J) = \{a_0, x\}$.*

Proof. The argument is partly a reminiscence of the proof of Theorem 4.5. We fix a Δ_1^1 bijection $\rho : n \mapsto (\ell_n, r_n)$ from ω onto $\omega \times \mathbb{Q}^+$. Let $\tau : n \mapsto \ell_n$ denote the first coordinate of ρ and set, for $(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$a_n = \alpha_0(n) \quad \text{and} \quad c_n = \alpha_0(\ell_n) = \alpha_0(\tau(n)).$$

We then define a tree $T = T(\alpha, F) \subset \omega^{<\omega}$ on ω as follows:

- (i) $T \cap \omega^1 = \{\langle m \rangle : m \in \omega\}$,
- (ii) if $t = s^\frown \langle m \rangle \in T$ then

$$t^\frown \langle n \rangle \in T \iff$$

$$r_n < \min \{r_m - \delta^F(c_n, c_m), r_m/2, \delta^F(c_n, c_{t(j)}) \text{ for all } j < |t|\}.$$

For all n let $B_n = B_n(\alpha, F)$ and $\tilde{B}_n = \tilde{B}_n(\alpha, F)$ denote respectively the open δ^F -ball and the closed δ^F -ball in $S_{(\alpha, F)}$, of center c_n and radius r_n . Then it follows from condition (ii) and the triangle inequality that if $u = t^\frown \langle n \rangle = s^\frown \langle m \rangle^\frown \langle n \rangle \in T$ then $\tilde{B}_n \subset B_m$, $r_n < r_m/2$, and for all $j < |t|$, $c_n \neq c_{t(j)}$, since $r_n < \delta^F(c_n, c_{t(j)})$.

Hence if $s = \langle n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle \in T$ with $k \geq 1$ then $B_{n_0} \supset \tilde{B}_{n_1} \supset B_{n_1} \supset \dots \supset \tilde{B}_{n_k} \supset B_{n_k}$ and $r_{n_k} < r_{n_0} 2^{-k}$. Then setting $S = S_{(\alpha, F)}$, for any $x \in S \cap B_{n_k}$ we can find $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that

$$r < \min \{r_{n_k} - \delta^F(x, \alpha_0(n_k)), r_{n_k}/2, \delta^F(x, \alpha_0(t(j))) \text{ for all } j < |t|\}.$$

Also by the density of D_α in S we can find some ℓ such that the same inequality holds on replacing x by a_p ; and if $(\ell, r) = (\ell_n, r_n)$ then $s^\frown \langle n \rangle \in T$. Hence any $s \in T$ has a (strict) extension in T and $B_{n_k} \subset \bigcup \{B_n : s^\frown \langle n \rangle \in T\}$. Since by condition (i), $S = \bigcup \{B_n : \langle n \rangle \in T\}$, it follows by induction that $S = \bigcup_{\sigma \in [T]} \bigcap_{j \in \omega} B_{\sigma(j)}$ with $\bigcap_{j \in \omega} B_{\sigma(j)} = \{b_\sigma\}$ for all $\sigma \in [T]$.

So for any $x \in S$ there exists at least one infinite branch $\sigma \in [T]$ such that $x \in \bigcap_j B_{\sigma(j)}$, hence $x = b_\sigma = \delta\text{-lim}_k c_{\sigma(k)} = \delta\text{-lim}_k a_{\ell_{\sigma(k)}}$. If $\sigma_{(\alpha, F)}^x$ is the lexicographical minimum of the set $\{\sigma \in [T] : x = b_\sigma\}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{(\alpha, F)}^x(k) = n &\iff x \in \bigcap_{j < k} B_{\sigma^x(j)} \setminus \bigcup \{B_m : m < n \text{ and } \sigma_{|k}^x \frown \langle m \rangle \in T\} \\ &\iff \forall j < k, x \in B_{\sigma^x(j)}, \text{ and } (\forall m < n, \sigma_{|k}^x \frown \langle m \rangle \notin T \text{ or } x \notin B_m). \end{aligned}$$

Since the mapping $(\alpha, F) \mapsto \delta^F(c_n, c_m)$ is Δ_1^1 on \mathbf{C} , the mapping $(\alpha, F) \mapsto T(\alpha, F)$ is Δ_1^1 too and the set $\{(\alpha, F, s) \in \mathbf{C} : s \in T(\alpha, F)\}$ is a Δ_1^1 subset of \mathbf{C} . Moreover, by Lemma 6.5, for all n , the set $\{(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{S} : x \in B_n\} = \{(\alpha, F, x) : (\alpha, F, a_n, x) \in \mathbf{S}_{r_n}^{(2)}\}$ is a Δ_1^1 subset of \mathbf{S} . Hence the mapping $\varphi : (\alpha, F, x) \mapsto \tau \circ \sigma_{(\alpha, F)}^x$ is Δ_1^1 on \mathbf{S} and satisfies part (a).

By condition (2) of Definition 6.2 we can define a mapping $\mu : \mathbf{C} \times \omega^2 \rightarrow \omega$ by

$$\mu(\alpha, F, m, n) = \min \{p : e(I_p) = \{a_m, a_n\} \text{ and } \text{diam}(I_p) < 2\delta^F(a_m, a_n)\},$$

which is Δ_1^1 on $\mathbf{C} \times \omega^2$. If $(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{S}$ with $\varphi(\alpha, F, x) = (\tau \circ \sigma_{(\alpha, F)}^x) = (\ell_{k_n})_n \in \omega^\omega$ and $p_n = \mu(\alpha, F, \ell_{k_n}, \ell_{k_{n+1}})$ we set $\psi(\alpha, F, x) = (p_n)_n$; then the mapping $\psi : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ thus defined is Δ_1^1 on \mathbf{S} . Moreover, by the definition of μ , for all n , $e(I_{p_n}) = \{c_{k_n}, c_{k_{n+1}}\}$, $\text{diam}(I_{p_n}) < 2\delta^F(c_{k_n}, c_{k_{n+1}})$ and by part (a), $x = \delta^F\text{-}\lim_n c_{k_n}$, hence $x = d\text{-}\lim_n c_{k_n}$.

If $x = a_0$ set $\Phi(\alpha, F, a_0) = \{a_0\}$, and if $x \neq a_0$ it follows from Remark 3.4(b) that the sequence $(I_{p_n})_n$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.7, hence by Lemma 3.5 the mapping $\Phi : (\alpha, F, x) \mapsto \bigvee_{n \in \omega} I_{p_n}$ is Δ_1^1 on \mathbf{S} and satisfies part (b). ■

LEMMA 6.7. *For all $(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{S}$, there exist*

- (a) $\sigma \in \Delta_1^1(\alpha, F, x)$ such that $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$, $\sigma \uparrow \infty$ and $x = \delta^F\text{-}\lim \alpha_0 \circ \sigma$,
- (b) $J \in \Delta_1^1(\alpha, F, x)$ such that $J \in \mathcal{J}(F)$ and $e(J) = \{a_0, x\}$.

Proof. If X, Y are r.p. Polish spaces, $D \subset X$ and $f : D \rightarrow Y$ is Δ_1^1 then for all $x \in D$, $f(x)$ is $\Delta_1^1(x)$ (see [7, 3G.5]), and Lemma 6.7 follows from Lemma 6.6. ■

LEMMA 6.8. *The set \mathbf{S} is Δ_1^1 in $\mathbf{C} \times X$. In particular, \mathbf{S} and $\mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{S}$ are Π_1^1 sets, and for all $(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C}$, the set $S_{(\alpha, F)}$ is $\Delta_1^1(\alpha, F)$.*

Proof. Observe that for a sequence $(x_n)_n$ in F , $x = \delta^F\text{-}\lim_n x_n \Leftrightarrow (x_n)_n$ is δ^F -Cauchy and $x = \lim_n x_n$. Hence by Lemma 6.7(a) we have

$$(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{S} \iff$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C} \\ \exists \sigma \in \Delta_1^1(\alpha, F, x), \sigma \in \omega^\omega, \sigma \uparrow \infty, \alpha_0 \circ \sigma \text{ is } \delta^F\text{-Cauchy and } x = \lim \alpha_0 \circ \sigma. \end{array} \right.$$

The condition “ $x = \lim \alpha_0 \circ \sigma$ ” on (α, x, σ) is clearly Δ_1^1 ; and for $(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C}$ the condition “ $\alpha_0 \circ \sigma$ is δ^F -Cauchy” on (α, F, σ) is Δ_1^1 too. The conclusion then follows from the Δ -Uniformization Criterion [7, 4.D 4]. ■

DEFINITION 6.9. Let $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{A} \times \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{C} \times X$ be as in 6.3. For all $(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C}$ let

$$S'_{(\alpha, F)} = \{x \in F \setminus S_{(\alpha, F)} : \exists \leq^2 J \in \mathcal{J}(F), x \in e(J), \text{ and} \\ J \cap S_{(\alpha, F)} = e(J) \cap S_{(\alpha, F)} \neq \emptyset\}$$

(for the notation $\exists \leq^2$ see 1.4). Observe that that if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $S_{(\alpha, F)} = C \cap \Sigma^F$ where C is an arc-component in F and Σ^F is the triodic kernel of F then by Theorem 2.8(b), $S'_{(\alpha, F)} = C \setminus S_{(\alpha, F)}$. Then, like for \mathbf{S} , we define

$$\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S}'(X) = \{(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{C} \times X : x \in S'_{(\alpha, F)}\}.$$

LEMMA 6.10. *The set \mathbf{S}' is Π_1^1 and there exists a Δ_1^1 mapping $\Phi' : \mathbf{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(X)$ such that if $J = \Phi'(\alpha, F, x)$ then $J \subset F$, $e(J) = \{x, y\}$ and $J \cap S_{(\alpha, F)} = \{y\}$; we set $y = \varphi'((\alpha, F, x))$.*

Proof. For all $(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C}$ let

$$R_{(\alpha, F)} = \{(x, J) \in X \times \mathcal{J} : x \notin S_{(\alpha, F)}, J \subset F, x \in e(J), \\ S_{(\alpha, F)} \cap J = S_{(\alpha, F)} \cap e(J) = \emptyset\}, \\ Q_{(\alpha, F)} = \{(x, J, z) \in X \times \mathcal{J} \times X : J \subset F, x \in e(J), \\ S_{(\alpha, F)} \cap e(J) \neq \emptyset, z \in S_{(\alpha, F)} \cap \overset{\circ}{J}\},$$

and

$$\mathbf{R} = \{(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{C} \times X : x \in R_{(\alpha, F)}\}, \\ \mathbf{Q} = \{(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{C} \times X : x \in Q_{(\alpha, F)}\}.$$

If e_0, e_1 are Δ_1^1 mappings on \mathcal{J} such that $e(J) = \{e_0(J), e_1(J)\}$ for all $J \in \mathcal{J}$, then

$$(\alpha, F, x, J, z) \in \mathbb{Q} \iff \begin{cases} (\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C} \\ J \subset F, \exists i \in \{0, 1\}, e_i(J) = x, e_{1-i}(J) \in S_{(\alpha, F)}, z \in S_{(\alpha, F)} \cap \overset{\circ}{J}, \end{cases}$$

hence \mathbb{Q} is Δ_1^1 on $\mathbf{C} \times X \times \mathcal{J} \times X$.

Moreover, for all $(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C}$, since $S_{(\alpha, F)}$ is τ -closed, Theorem 2.5(4) implies that for all arcs I , $S_{(\alpha, F)} \cap I$ is compact, hence for all $(x, J) \in (F \setminus S_{(\alpha, F)}) \times \mathcal{J}(F)$ the section of $Q(\alpha, F)$ at (x, J) is $S_{(\alpha, F)} \cap \overset{\circ}{J}$, which is σ -compact and $\Delta_1^1(\alpha, F, x, J)$; hence by [7, 4.F 16], $Q(\alpha, F, x, J) = S_{(\alpha, F)} \cap \overset{\circ}{J}$ contains a $\Delta_1^1(\alpha, F, x, J)$ point. So if π_0 denotes the canonical projection from

$\mathbf{C} \times X \times \mathcal{J} \times X$ onto $\mathbf{C} \times X \times \mathcal{J}$ then

$$(\alpha, F, x, J) \in \pi_0(\mathbf{Q}) \iff \begin{cases} (\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C}, \\ J \subset F, \exists i \in \{0, 1\}, e_i(J) = x, e_{1-i}(J) \in S_{(\alpha, F)}, \\ \exists z \in \Delta_1^1(\alpha, F, x, J), z \in S_{(\alpha, F)} \cap \dot{J}, \end{cases}$$

and by the Δ -Uniformization Criterion, $\pi_0(\mathbf{Q})$ is Δ_1^1 on $\mathbf{C} \times X \times \mathcal{J}$, hence so is $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{C} \times X \times \mathcal{J}) \setminus \pi_0(\mathbf{Q})$. Fix then a Δ_1^1 subset \mathbf{B} of $\mathbf{A} \times X \times \mathcal{J}$ such that $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{B} \cap (\mathbf{C} \times X \times \mathcal{J})$ and observe that if π denotes the canonical projection from $\mathbf{C} \times X \times \mathcal{J}$ onto $\mathbf{C} \times X$ then (in the notation of 1.4)

$$\mathbf{S}' = \pi^{(2)}(\mathbf{R}) = (\mathbf{C} \times X) \cap \pi^{(2)}(\mathbf{B}).$$

Hence by the effective version of Proposition 1.5, \mathbf{S}' is Π_1^1 and the mapping $\tilde{\Phi}' : (\alpha, F, x) \mapsto \{J', J''\}$ on \mathbf{S}' such that $\mathbf{R}(\alpha, F, x) = \{J', J''\}$ is Δ_1^1 . Then if we fix any Δ_1^1 -total order $<$ on \mathcal{J} , the unique mapping $\Phi' : \mathbf{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(X)$ such that for all $(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{S}'$, $\Phi'(\alpha, F, x) = \min \tilde{\Phi}'(\alpha, F, x)$, is Δ_1^1 too, and satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. ■

For all $\alpha \in \mathbf{A}$ set $\alpha^* = \alpha_0(0)$. Let Φ, Φ', φ' be as in Lemmas 6.6 and 6.10, and set $\mathbf{D}^* = \mathbf{S} \cup \mathbf{S}'$. Then for all $(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{D}^*$,

- if $(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{S}$ we define $\Psi^*(\alpha, F, x) = \Phi(\alpha, F, x, \alpha^*)$,
- if $(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{S}'$, we proceed as at the end of the proof of Theorem 4.8 (replacing (Ψ, ψ) by (Φ', φ')), and defining $\Psi^*(\alpha, F, x) = J$ such that

$$(J, x, \alpha^*) = (\Phi'(x), x, \varphi'(x)) \vee (\Psi_S^*(\varphi'(x)), \psi(x), \alpha^*).$$

Since \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{S}' are Δ_1^1 subsets of \mathbf{D}^* , the mapping $\Psi^* : \mathbf{D}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ thus defined is clearly Δ_1^1 and for all $(\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{D}^*$, if $\Psi^*(\alpha, F, x) = J$ then $J \subset F$ and $e(J) = \{x, \alpha^*\}$. Then let

$$\mathbf{D} = \{(\alpha, F, x, y) : (\alpha, F, x) \in \mathbf{D}^* \text{ and } (\alpha, F, y) \in \mathbf{D}^*\}.$$

Finally, as in the proof of Proposition 4.4, for all $(\alpha, F, x, y) \in \mathbf{D}$ we define $\Psi(\alpha, F, x, y) = J$ by

$$(J, x, y) = (\Psi^*(x), x, \alpha^*) \vee (\Psi^*(y), \alpha^*, y),$$

which clearly satisfies part (a) of Theorem 5.6.

7. Proof of Theorem 5.6. Throughout this section, X will be a *planar* Polish space, $\mathbf{A} = X^\omega \times \mathcal{J}^\omega$, $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{A} \times \mathcal{F}$, $\mathbf{D}^* = \mathbf{S} \cup \mathbf{S}' \subset \mathbf{C} \times X$, $\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbf{C} \times X^2$, and $\Psi : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ are as defined in the previous section, in particular Ψ satisfies part (a) of Theorem 5.6. A pair $(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C}$ is said to be a *code* for the set $S_{(\alpha, F)} = \mathbf{S}(\alpha, F)$.

LEMMA 7.1. *If $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(X)$ and $(\alpha, F) \in \mathbf{C}$ is a code for Σ^F then $\mathbf{D}(\alpha, F) = F^2$.*

Proof. By the definition of \mathbf{S}' , if $\mathbf{S}(\alpha, F) = \Sigma^F$ then it follows from Theorem 2.8(b) that $\mathbf{S}'(\alpha, F) = F \setminus \Sigma^F$, hence $\mathbf{D}^*(\alpha, F) = F$ and so $\mathbf{D}(\alpha, F) = F^2$. ■

We recall that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^\ominus(X)$ denotes the set of all arc-connected sets $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ with a nonempty triodic part ($\Sigma^F \neq \emptyset$). Then Theorem 5.6 is a consequence of the following.

THEOREM 7.2. *If X is planar Polish space then there exists a Σ -measurable mapping $\sigma : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}^\ominus(X)$, $\sigma(F)$ is a code for Σ^F .*

We first prove some general lemmas which we will need for the proof of Theorem 7.2.

LEMMA 7.3. *Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be Polish spaces and $\hat{\mathcal{Y}} := \mathcal{Y} \cup \{*\}$, where $* \notin \mathcal{Y}$. Let D be a countable set and $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^D$ be Σ -measurable. Assume that for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the set $\{d \in D : f_d(x) \neq *\}$ is infinite. Then there exists a Σ -measurable function $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^\omega$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$,*

$$\{f_d(x) : d \in D \text{ and } f_d(x) \neq *\} = \{\tilde{f}_n(x) : n \in \omega\}.$$

Proof. Enumerate D as $(d_k)_{k \in \omega}$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ denote by D_x the countable infinite set $\{d \in D : f_d(x) \neq *\} = \{d \in D : f_d(x) \in \mathcal{Y}\}$. Then take for $\tilde{f}_n(x)$ the n th term of the infinite sequence (with partial domain) $(f_{d_k}(x))_{k \in D_x}$. This ensures that

$$\mathcal{Y} \supset \{f_d(x) : d \in D \text{ and } f_d(x) \neq *\} = \{f_d(x) : d \in D_x\} = \{\tilde{f}_n(x) : n \in \omega\}.$$

To prove that \tilde{f} is Σ -measurable we have to show that for all $n \in \omega$ and all open sets $V \subset \mathcal{Y}$ the set $\tilde{f}_n^{-1}(V)$ belongs to Σ . For all $u \in \omega$ the set $L_u = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : f_{d_u}(x) \neq *\}$ belongs to Σ and so does $L_u^V = \{x \in L_u : f_{d_u}(x) \in V\}$. Then the set $\{x : \tilde{f}_n(x) \in V\}$ is equal to

$$\bigcup_{u_1 < \dots < u_n = k} \left(L_k^V \cap \bigcap_{i \leq n} L_{u_i} \cap \bigcap_{i \in k \setminus \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}} (\mathcal{X} \setminus L_{u_i}) \right),$$

which belongs to Σ . ■

LEMMA 7.4. *Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be Polish spaces, $* \notin \mathcal{Y}$ and Z be an analytic subset of $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$. Then there exists a Σ -measurable function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{Y} \cup \{*\}$ such that $(x, f(x)) \in Z$ if x belongs to the projection $\pi(Z)$ of Z on \mathcal{X} and $f(x) = * \Leftrightarrow x \notin \pi(Z)$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1.7 that there is a Σ -measurable function $f : \pi(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $f(x) \in \{y \in \mathcal{Y} : (x, y) \in Z\}$ whenever x belongs to the analytic set $\pi(Z)$. Then extending f by $*$ on the coanalytic set $\mathcal{X} \setminus \pi(Z)$ yields a Σ -measurable function $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Y}}$. ■

The proof of Theorem 7.2 strongly relies on the following notion.

DEFINITION 7.5. A *triod trap* is a quadruple $p = (W, I_0, I_1, I_2)$ where W is the bounded connected component of some Jordan curve ∂W and I_0, I_1, I_2 are three pairwise disjoint subarcs of ∂W ; W will be called the *domain* of p , and we set $W = \text{dom}(p)$ and $\text{diam}(p) = \text{diam}(W)$.

A triod T is said to be *compatible* with the trap (W, I_0, I_1, I_2) if $T = J_0 \cup J_1 \cup J_2 \subset \overline{W}$ and for all k , $J_k \cap \partial W = e(J_k) \setminus \{\mathbf{c}(T)\} \subset I_k$.

In fact, the heart of Moore’s proof of Theorem 2.6 [6, Lemma 1] can be restated as follows:

LEMMA 7.6 (Moore). *Two triods compatible with the same trap have nonempty intersection.*

To derive Theorem 2.6 from Lemma 7.6 observe that there exists a countable set \mathbb{P} of triod traps in the plane such that any triod in the plane is compatible with some $p \in \mathbb{P}$. For example, one can take for \mathbb{P} the countable set of all *rational circular triod traps*, i.e. the set of all traps of the form $p = (W, I_0, I_1, I_2)$ where W is an open disc with rational radius and rational coordinates center, and, for each $i \in 3$, I_i is a subarc of ∂W with rational endpoints.




Fig. 2

Observe that if $T = J_0 \cup J_1 \cup J_2$ is compatible with the trap $p = (W, I_0, I_1, I_2)$, W' is the bounded connected component of some Jordan curve $\partial W'$, $\overline{W'} \subset W$ and $\mathbf{c}(T) \in W'$, then each J_k meets $\partial W'$. It follows that there is a unique triod $\tilde{T} = \tilde{J}_0 \cup \tilde{J}_1 \cup \tilde{J}_2$ contained in T with $\tilde{J}_k \subset J_k$, hence $\mathbf{c}(\tilde{T}) = \mathbf{c}(T)$, and such that $\tilde{J}_k \cap \partial W'$ is a singleton; we then write $\tilde{T} = T|_{W'}$. For fixed W and W' the set of such pairs (T, \tilde{T}) is Borel, and the mapping $T \mapsto \tilde{T}$ is Borel. If moreover (I'_0, I'_1, I'_2) are pairwise disjoint subarcs of $\partial W'$ and \tilde{T} is compatible with $p' = (W', I'_0, I'_1, I'_2)$ we shall say that T is *weakly compatible* with the trap p' . It follows that for every trap p' the set of triods T which are weakly compatible with p' is Borel.

Proof of Theorem 7.2. Let \mathbb{P} denote the set of all rational circular triod traps introduced in 7.5. For each triod trap p the set $\mathcal{T}_p(X)$ of all triods in X compatible with p is Borel, and the set $\mathcal{M}_p(X)$ of closed subsets of X which *match* p , i.e. which contain a triod compatible with p , is analytic. For all $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$ we denote by $\mathbb{P}_{p,\varepsilon}$ the set of all triod traps $q \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $\overline{\text{dom}(q)} \subset \text{dom}(p)$ and $\text{diam}(q) < \varepsilon$. ■

LEMMA 7.7. *For all $p \in \mathbb{P}$ there exists a Borel function γ_p which assigns to each pair $(T, T') \in \mathcal{T}_p(X)^2$ of triods compatible with p an arc joining c_T to $c_{T'}$ inside $T \cup T'$.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.6, $T \cap T' \neq \emptyset$. It is well known that there exists a Borel choice function ρ assigning to each nonempty closed subset F of a Polish space \mathcal{X} an element of F . So the function $(T, T') \mapsto \rho(T \cap T')$ is Borel. Moreover, there is a unique arc \vec{J} contained in T and joining c_T to $\rho(T \cap T')$, and similarly a unique arc \vec{J}' contained in T' and joining $c_{T'}$ to $\rho(T \cap T')$. The graphs of these two mappings are Borel; so the functions $(T, T') \mapsto \vec{J}$ and $(T, T') \mapsto \vec{J}'$ are Borel, and so is the function $(T, T') \mapsto \vec{J} \vee \mathfrak{f}(\vec{J}')$. And this last arc joins c_T to $c_{T'}$ inside $T \cup T'$. ■

LEMMA 7.8. *For each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ there exists a Σ -measurable function φ_p defined on the set \mathcal{F}^* of all closed nonempty subsets of X such that $\varphi_p(F) = (T, a)$ where $T \in \mathcal{T}_p(X)$, $T \subset F$, and $a = c_T$ if F matches p and $\varphi_p(F) = *$ if not.*

Proof. The set

$$\tilde{E}_p = \{(F, T, a) \in \mathcal{F}^* \times \mathcal{T}_p \times X : T \subset F \text{ and } a = c_T \text{ and } F \text{ matches } T\}$$

is Borel. Its projection \mathcal{M}_p on \mathcal{F}^* is analytic and by Theorem 1.7 there exists a Σ -measurable selection of \tilde{E}_p on \mathcal{M}_p . Extending this selection by $*$ on $\mathcal{F}^* \setminus \mathcal{M}_p$ we define a Σ -measurable function φ_p . For $F \in \mathcal{M}_p$ we will set $\varphi_p^1(F) = T$ and $\varphi_p^2(F) = a$ if $\varphi_p(F) = (T, a)$. ■

LEMMA 7.9. *Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and the Σ -measurable function φ_p be as in Lemma 7.8. Then there exists a Σ -measurable function $\lambda_{p,\varepsilon} : \mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{p,\varepsilon}$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}^*$, if $\varphi_p(F) \neq *$, then the triod $\varphi_p^1(F)$ is weakly compatible with the trap $\lambda_{p,\varepsilon}(F)$.*

Proof. The set $\{F : \varphi_p(F) \neq *\}$ is analytic, hence belongs to Σ .

For each $q \in \mathbb{P}_{p,\varepsilon}$ the set $\{T \in \mathcal{T}(X) : T \text{ is weakly compatible with } q\}$ is Borel. Thus the set $\mathcal{Z}_q = \{F : \varphi_p(F) \text{ is weakly compatible with } q\}$ belongs to Σ . And it is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{M}_p = \{F : \varphi_p(F) \neq *\} \subset \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{P}_{p,\varepsilon}} \mathcal{Z}_q$$

since for all $F \in \mathcal{M}_p$ the center of the triod $\varphi_p^1(F)$ belongs to the domain W'

of some trap $q = (W', I'_0, I'_1, I'_2) \in \mathbb{P}_{p,\varepsilon}$. Thus it is possible to find a countable partition $(\mathcal{Z}'_q)_{q \in \mathbb{P}_{p,\varepsilon}}$ of \mathcal{M}_p such that $\mathcal{Z}'_q \in \Sigma$ and $\mathcal{Z}'_q \subset \mathcal{Z}_q$. Setting

$$\lambda_{p,\varepsilon}(F) = q \iff F \in \mathcal{Z}'_q$$

completes the proof. ■

LEMMA 7.10. *For all $(p, q) \in \mathbb{P}^2$, all $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and all $k \in \omega$ there exists a Σ -measurable function $\psi_{p,q,r,k}$ on \mathcal{F}^* such that $\psi_{p,q,r,k}(F)$ is an oriented arc J joining $\varphi_p^2(F)$ to $\varphi_q^2(F)$ inside F with $\text{diam}(J \cup \text{dom}(p') \cup \text{dom}(q')) < r$, and $\max\{\text{diam}(p'), \text{diam}(q')\} < 2^{-k}$ if such a J exists, and $\psi_{p,q,r,k}(F) = *$ if not.*

Proof. As above, the set

$$\hat{E}_{p,q,r} = \{(F, T, T', J) \in \mathcal{F}^* \times \mathcal{T}_p(X) \times \mathcal{T}_q(X) \times \mathcal{J}(X) : J, T, T' \subset F \text{ and} \\ e(J) = (c_T, c_{T'}) \text{ and } \text{diam}(J \cup p \cup q) < r\}$$

is Borel and has a Σ -measurable selection $\chi_{p,q,r}$ on its projection $E_{p,q,r}^* = \pi(\hat{E}_{p,q,r})$ on \mathcal{F}^* that we extend by $*$ on the coanalytic set $\mathcal{F}^* \setminus E_{p,q,r}^*$. If $\chi_{p,q,r}(F) = (T, T', J)$ we denote $\chi_{p,q,r}^1(F) = T$, $\chi_{p,q,r}^2(F) = T'$ and $\chi_{p,q,r}^3(F) = J$. Then for $F \in E_{p,q,r}^*$, we necessarily have $F \in \mathcal{M}_p \cap \mathcal{M}_q$.

Clearly, if $F \in E_{p,q,r}^*$, we also have $F \in E_{p,q,r'}^*$ for all $r' > r$; so the set $\{(p, q, r) : F \in E_{p,q,r}^*\}$ is either empty or infinite.

For $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$, $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and $k \in \omega$, we set, for all $F \in \mathcal{M}_p \cap \mathcal{M}_q$, $p' = \lambda_{p,2^{-k}}(F)$, $W' = \text{dom}(p')$, $q' = \lambda_{q,2^{-k}}(F)$ and $V' = \text{dom}(q')$.

By definition of $\lambda_{p,2^{-k}}(F)$ the triod $T = \varphi_p^1(F)$ is weakly compatible with p' . So $T|_{W'}$ is compatible with p' , and $\mathbf{c}(T) = \mathbf{c}(T|_{W'})$. Similarly the triod $S = \varphi_q(F)$ is weakly compatible with q' . Thus using the functions $\gamma_{p'}$ and $\gamma_{q'}$ from Lemma 7.7, we can consider the oriented arcs

$$\vec{I}_{p,k,r,F} = \gamma_{p'}(\varphi_{p'}^1(F), \chi_{p',q',r}^1(F)), \\ \vec{J}_{q,k,r,F} = \gamma_{q'}(\varphi_{q'}^1(F), \chi_{p',q',r}^2(F))$$

respectively contained in $W' = \text{dom}(p')$ and $V' = \text{dom}(q')$, and joining respectively $\mathbf{c}(T)$ to $e_0(\chi_{p',q',r}^3(F))$ and $\mathbf{c}(S)$ to $e_1(\chi_{p',q',r}^3(F))$.

Assume moreover that $F \in E_{p,q,r}^*$. Since the functions γ_p are Borel, it is clear that the functions $F \mapsto \vec{I}_{p,k,r,F}$ and $F \mapsto \vec{J}_{q,k,r,F}$ are Σ -measurable, and so is the concatenation

$$\psi_{p,q,r,k}(F) = \vec{I}_{p,k,r,F} \vee \chi_{p',q',r}^3(F) \vee \mathfrak{f}(\vec{J}_{q,k,r,F}),$$

which is an oriented arc joining $\varphi_p^2(F)$ to $\varphi_q^2(F)$. Moreover, $\psi_{p,q,r,k}(F) \subset \chi_{p',q',r}^3(F) \cup W' \cup V'$, hence $\text{diam}(\psi_{p,q,r,k}(F)) < r$. ■

Applying Lemma 7.4 to the families $(\varphi_p^2)_{p \in \mathbb{P}}$ and $(\psi_{p,q,r}^3)_{(p,q,r) \in \mathcal{T}(X)^2 \times \mathbb{Q}^+}$ we get Σ -measurable functions $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow X^\omega$ and $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(X)^\omega$ such

that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{\varphi}_n(F) : n \in \omega\} &= \{\varphi_p^2(F) : F \in E_p, p \in \mathbb{P}\}, \\ \{\tilde{\psi}_n(F) : n \in \omega\} &= \{\psi_{p,q,r,k}^3(F) : p, q \in \mathbb{P}, r \in \mathbb{Q}^*, k \in \omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the sequence $(a_n) = (\tilde{\varphi}_n(F))_n$ is τ -dense in Σ^F since for every trap p matched by F there is some n such that a_n is the center of some triod compatible with p .

LEMMA 7.11. *If $(a_m, a_n) = (\tilde{\varphi}_m(F), \tilde{\varphi}_n(F)) \in E_F$ then*

$$\delta^F(a_m, a_n) = \inf \{ \text{diam}(J) : \exists k \in \omega, J = \tilde{\psi}_k(F) \text{ and } e(J) = (a_m, a_n) \}.$$

Proof. If $(a_m, a_n) \in E_F$ then $\delta^F(a_n, a_m) < \infty$, and for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that $r > \delta^F(a_n, a_m)$ there exists an arc J_0 with endpoints a_n and a_m such that $\delta^F(a_n, a_m) \leq \text{diam}(J_0) < r$, and an integer k such that $\text{diam}(J_0) + 2^{1-k} < r$. There exist p and q in \mathbb{P} such that $a_n = \varphi_p^2(F)$ and $a_m = \varphi_q^2(F)$, and we denote $p' = \lambda_{p,2^{-k}}(F)$ and $q' = \lambda_{q,2^{-k}}(F)$. We then have $\text{diam}(\vec{I}_{p,k,r,F}) < 2^{-k}$ and $\text{diam}(\vec{I}_{q,k,r,F}) < 2^{-k}$, hence

$$\text{diam}(\vec{I}_{p,k,r,F} \vee J_0 \vee \check{f}(\vec{I}_{q,k,r,F})) < 2^{-k} + \text{diam}(J_0) + 2^{-k} = \text{diam}(J_0) + 2^{1-k} < r.$$

It follows that $F \in E_{p',q',r}^*$, and $J_1 = \psi_{p,q,r,F}$ satisfies $e(J_1) = (a_m, a_n)$ and $\text{diam}(J_1) < r$. Then there exists $k \in \omega$ such that $J_1 = \tilde{\psi}_k(F)$, and we are done. ■

To finish the proof of Theorem 7.2 define $\sigma : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by $\sigma(F) = (\tilde{\varphi}(F), \tilde{\psi}(F))$ and observe that if F is arc-connected then any two elements $\tilde{\varphi}_m(F), \tilde{\varphi}_n(F)$ of $\tilde{\varphi}(F)$ are E_F -equivalent and apply Lemma 7.11. □

8. The exact complexity of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. By Corollary 5.8 the set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of arc-connected compact subsets of the plane \mathbb{R}^2 is an $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ subset of $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and we now prove that this upper bound complexity is optimal.

THEOREM 8.1. *The set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ -complete.*

Proof. We start by some preliminary constructions.

The Cantor space \mathbb{B} . We first define inductively, for all $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$, reals a_s and b_s in $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ as follows:

Set $a_\emptyset = 0$, $b_\emptyset = 1$, and fix two increasing sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \omega}$, $(b_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_\emptyset &< a_0 < b_0 < a_1 < b_1 < \dots < a_n < b_n < a_{n+1} < \dots < b_\emptyset, \\ b_\emptyset &= \sup_n a_n = \sup_n b_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then for all $s \neq \emptyset$ if $h_s : \xi \mapsto (1 - \xi)a_s + \xi b_s$ is the affine function such that $h_s(\mathbb{I}) = [a_s, b_s]$ define $a_{s \smallfrown \langle n \rangle} = h_s(a_n)$ and $b_{s \smallfrown \langle n \rangle} = h_s(b_n)$.

Then $\rho = \sup_{n \in \omega} (b_n - a_n) < 1$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{S}$, $b_s - a_s \leq \rho^{|s|}$. Hence for all $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$ the real $b_\sigma = \inf_{s \prec \sigma} b_s = \sup_{s \prec \sigma} a_s$ is well defined, and we set

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \{b_s : s \in \omega^{<\omega}\}, \quad \mathbf{B}_1 = \{b_\sigma : \sigma \in \omega^\omega\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 \cup \mathbf{B}_1.$$

The set \mathbf{B} is clearly a perfect compact subset of \mathbb{I} with empty interior.

The next construction is essentially due to Becker (see [4, 33.17 and 37.11]). Let $\mathcal{T} \subset 2^{\omega^{<\omega}}$ denote the set of all trees on ω .

LEMMA 8.2. *There is a continuous function $B : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which assigns to any $T \in \mathcal{T}$ a connected compact subset $B(T)$ of the unit square \mathbb{I}^2 such that*

- (a) $(0, 1) \in B(T)$ and $(\mathbb{I} \times \{0\}) \cup (\{1\} \times \mathbb{I}) \subset B(T)$,
- (b) $B(T)$ has at most two arc-components,
- (c) T is ill-founded $\Leftrightarrow B(T)$ is arc-connected \Leftrightarrow there is an arc in $B(T)$ connecting $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$.

Proof. For any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we denote by $[u, v]$ the line segment joining u to v . For all $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ define $\hat{a}_s, \hat{b}_s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ by $\hat{a}_s = (a_s, 2^{-|s|})$ and $\hat{b}_s = (b_s, 2^{-|s|})$, and consider the family $(R_s)_{s \in \omega^{<\omega}}$ of compact subsets of \mathbb{I}^2 defined as follows:

$$R_\emptyset = (\mathbb{I} \times \{0\}) \cup (\{1\} \times \mathbb{I}) \cup [\hat{a}_\emptyset, \hat{a}_0] \cup \bigcup_{n \in \omega} ([\hat{a}_n, \hat{b}_n] \cup [\hat{b}_n, \hat{a}_{n+1}])$$

(see Fig. 3); and for all $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$, R_s is the image of R_\emptyset under the affine mapping sending \hat{a}_\emptyset to \hat{a}_s and $\{b_\emptyset\} \times \mathbb{I}$ to $\{b_s\} \times [0, 2^{-|s|}]$. Finally, for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ let $B(T) = \bigcup_{s \in T} R_s$.

It is clear that each R_s is a connected, but not arc-connected, compact set. Since R_s and $R_{s \frown \langle n \rangle}$ have $\hat{a}_{s \frown \langle n \rangle}$ and $(b_s, 0)$ in common, any point in $B(T)$ is connected by an arc either to \hat{a}_\emptyset or to $\hat{b} = (1, 0)$. Hence $B(T)$ has at most two arc-components.

The set $B(T)$ is compact: indeed, if (z_i) is a sequence in $B(T)$ which converges to $z \in \mathbb{I}^2$, then there exists a sequence $s^{(i)} \in T$ such that $z_i \in R_{s^{(i)}}$ and we can extract a subsequence such that either

- $s^{(i)}$ is a constant s , and then $z \in R_s$ since R_s is closed, or
- there exists $s \in T$ and a sequence (n_i) converging to ∞ such that $s \frown \langle n_i \rangle \preceq s^{(i)}$, and then $z \in \{b_s\} \times [0, 2^{-|s|}] \subset R_s \subset B(T)$, or else
- there exists $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\sigma|_i \preceq s^{(i)}$, and $z_i \rightarrow (b_\sigma, 0) \in R_\emptyset \subset B(T)$.

It is not difficult to see that $T \mapsto B(T)$ is continuous from \mathcal{T} to $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, if σ is a branch of T , then for all $s \prec \sigma$ there exists an arc $J_n \subset R_s \subset B(T)$ with endpoints $\hat{a}_{\sigma|_n}$ and $\hat{a}_{\sigma|_{n+1}}$. And the concatenation of the J_n 's yields an arc connecting \hat{a}_\emptyset to $(b_\sigma, 0)$. Thus in this case $B(T)$ is arc-connected.




Fig. 3

Conversely, if $B(T)$ is arc-connected let $\gamma : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow B(T)$ be a continuous mapping with $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t))$, $\gamma(0) = \hat{a}_0$ and $\gamma(1) \in \mathbb{I} \times \{0\}$, and consider $\theta = \inf \{t : \gamma_2(t) = 0\} > 0$ and $\theta_k = \sup \{t < \theta : \gamma_2(t) \geq 2^{-k}\}$. Then for $\theta_k < t < \theta$, $\gamma_2(t) < 2^{-k}$ and there exists some $s^{(k)} \in \omega^k$ such that $\gamma(t) \in \bigcup \{R_s; s \in T \text{ and } s \succeq s^{(k)}\}$; so $s^{(k)} \in T$ and $s^{(k)} \prec s^{(k+1)}$. Hence there exists $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$ such that $s^{(k)} \prec \sigma$ for all k . Thus σ is a branch of T , and T is ill-founded. ■

A connected compact set with uncountably many arc-components. Let \mathbf{B} the Cantor set constructed above with the elements a_s , b_s and b_σ for $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ and $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$, and fix a decreasing sequence $(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $\alpha_0 = 1$ and $\lim_n \alpha_n = \theta = 2/3$.

For all $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ with $|s| = k$, let P_s be the union of the following four segments of the unit square (see Fig. 4):

- the vertical segment I_s with endpoints $\hat{a}_s = (a_s, \alpha_k)$ and $\hat{c}_s = (a_s, \alpha_k - \theta)$ (of length θ),
- the segment with endpoints \hat{c}_s and $\hat{a}_{s \smallfrown \langle 0 \rangle}$,
- the horizontal segment H_s with endpoints $\hat{a}_{s \smallfrown \langle 0 \rangle}$ and $\hat{b}_s = (b_s, \alpha_{k+1})$,
- the vertical segment J_s with endpoints \hat{b}_s and $(b_s, 0)$, which has length α_{k+1} .

It is clear that each P_s is arc-connected and has the point $\hat{a}_{s \smallfrown \langle n \rangle}$ in common with $P_{s \smallfrown \langle n \rangle}$, hence $P_\infty := \bigcup_{s \in \omega^{<\omega}} P_s$ is arc-connected, and the compact set $P = \overline{P_\infty}$ is connected.




Fig. 4

LEMMA 8.3. *The set P is the union of P_∞ and the vertical segments $J_\sigma = \{b_\sigma\} \times [0, \theta]$. Moreover, there is no arc in P connecting \hat{a}_0 to any J_σ .*

Proof. Let (z_i) be a sequence of points of P_∞ converging to $z = (x, y) \in P$. There exists a $s^{(i)} \in \omega^{<\omega}$ such that $z_i \in P_{s^{(i)}}$, and again one can extract a subsequence such that either

- $s^{(i)}$ is a constant s and then $z \in P_s \subset P_\infty$ since P_s is closed, or
- there exist $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ and (n_i) in ω converging to ∞ such that $s \frown \langle n_i \rangle \preceq s^{(i)}$, and then $z \in J_s \subset P_s \subset P_\infty$, or else
- there exists $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\sigma|_i \preceq s^{(i)}$, and then $y \leq \theta$ and $x = b_\sigma$, hence $z \in J_\sigma$; conversely, if $z = (b_\sigma, y) \in J_\sigma$, the points $(a_s, y + \alpha_k - \theta)$ for $s = \sigma|_k$ belong to P_∞ and converge to z ; hence $J_\sigma \subset P$.

If there were an arc J connecting \hat{a}_0 and J_σ , then J should go through points in H_s for every $s \prec \sigma$ and J should contain I_s for every $s \prec \sigma$, which is impossible. So each J_σ for $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$ is an arc-component of P . ■

Construction of a compact connected subset of \mathbb{I}^2 . We recall that for any $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ with length $k = |s| > 0$ we denote by s^* the sequence of length $k - 1$ such that $s^* \prec s$.

We now modify the above constructed compact set P by adding shortcuts between H_s and H_{s^*} : choose for every $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ of length $k \geq 1$ some small square Q_s inside the open rectangle $]a_{s \frown \langle 0 \rangle}, b_s[\times]\alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_k[$ and consider the positive homothety h_s transforming the unit square \mathbb{I}^2 into Q_s . For a given tree $T_s \in \mathcal{T}$ the shortcut S_s will be the union of $h_s(B(T_s))$, where $B(T_s)$ is

the compact set defined in Lemma 8.2, with two vertical segments connecting respectively $h_s(0, 1)$ to H_{s^*} and $h_s(1, 0)$ to H_s (see Fig. 5).




Fig. 5

Then for any family $\tilde{T} = (T_s)_{s \in \omega^{<\omega}} \in \mathcal{F}(\omega^{<\omega})$, let

$$\Psi(\tilde{T}) = P \cup \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{s \in \omega^k} S_s.$$

LEMMA 8.4. $\Psi(\tilde{T})$ is compact and connected. Moreover, the function Ψ is continuous from the compact space $\mathcal{F}(\omega^{<\omega})$ to $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. Since every point of $B(T_s)$ is connected to $(0, 1)$ or to $(1, 0)$ (both if T is ill-founded), every point of S_s is connected to P_∞ . So $\Psi(\tilde{T})$ is the union of the compact set P and the arc-connected set $P_\infty \cup \bigcup_s S_s$, hence is connected.

To see that $\Psi(\tilde{T})$ is compact, it is enough to prove that if a sequence (z_i) in $\bigcup_s S_s$ converges to $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{I}^2$, then $z \in \Psi(\tilde{T})$. Then there exists a sequence $(s^{(i)})$ in $\omega^{<\omega}$ such that $z_i \in S_{s^{(i)}}$. Again, if s_i is constant equal to s , then S_s is closed and $z \in S_s \subset \Psi(\tilde{T})$. If there exist $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ and (n_i) tending to ∞ such that $s \frown \langle n_i \rangle \preceq s^{(i)}$, then $x = b_s$ and $z \in J_s \subset P \subset \Psi(\tilde{T})$. And finally, if there exists $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\sigma|_i \preceq s^{(i)}$, then $x = b_\sigma$ and $y = \theta$, hence $z \in J_\sigma \subset \Psi(\tilde{T})$.

Observe that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are only finitely many $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ such that $d(S_s, P) > \varepsilon$. It follows that if we fix an enumeration $(s^{(n)})_n$ of $\omega^{<\omega}$,

then Ψ is the uniform limit of a sequence of continuous functions ψ_n from $\mathcal{T}^{\omega^{<\omega}}$ to $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ associating to any \tilde{T} the set $\psi_n(\tilde{T}) = P \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^n S_{s(j)}$; hence Ψ is continuous. ■

It follows from what precedes that $\Psi(\tilde{T}) \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \in \omega^\omega} J_\sigma$ is arc-connected and that for each $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$, either J_σ is an arc-component of $\Psi(\tilde{T})$, or J_σ is connected to P_∞ by an arc in $\Psi(\tilde{T})$.

LEMMA 8.5. *For any $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$, J_σ is connected to P_∞ by an arc in $\Psi(\tilde{T})$ if and only if the set $\{s \prec \sigma : T_s \text{ is well-founded}\}$ is finite.*

Proof. Suppose first that there exists $u \prec \sigma$ such that T_s is ill-founded for each s with $u \prec s \prec \sigma$. Let $s^{(k)} \in \omega^{<\omega}$ be the beginning of σ with length $|u| + k$. Then since $S_{s^{(k)}}$ is arc-connected, there exists for each $k \geq 1$ a continuous function $\gamma_k : [1 - 2^{1-k}, 1 - 2^{-k}] \rightarrow H_{s^{(k-1)}} \cup H_{s^{(k)}} \cup S_{s^{(k)}}$ such that $\gamma_k(1 - 2^{1-k}) = \hat{a}_{s^{(k-1)} \smallfrown \langle 0 \rangle}$ and $\gamma_k(1 - 2^{-k}) = \hat{a}_{s^{(k)} \smallfrown \langle 0 \rangle}$. It follows that there exists a continuous function $\gamma : [0, 1[\rightarrow P_\infty \cup \bigcup_s S_s$ which extends all γ_k with $\gamma(0) \in P_\infty$ and $\gamma(\xi) \rightarrow (b_\sigma, \theta) \in J_\sigma$ when $\xi \rightarrow 1$. This shows that J_σ is connected to P_∞ by an arc in $\Psi(\tilde{T})$.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a continuous path $\gamma : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \Psi(\tilde{T})$ connecting \hat{a}_\emptyset to J_σ . Then $\xi^* = \inf \{\xi : \gamma(\xi) \in J_\sigma\} > 0$. It is easily seen that for any $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$, $\Psi(\tilde{T}) \setminus H_s$ is not connected and that H_s separates \hat{a}_s from J_σ whenever $s \prec \sigma$. So $\xi_k = \sup \{\xi \leq \xi^* : \gamma(\xi) \in H_{\sigma|_k}\}$ is well defined for all $k \geq 0$, and $\xi_k < \xi_{k+1} < \xi^*$. Then if $\xi^{**} = \sup \xi_k \leq \xi^*$ we necessarily have $\gamma(\xi^{**}) \in \limsup_k H_{\sigma|_k} = \{(b_\sigma, \theta)\} \subset J_\sigma$, hence $\xi^{**} = \xi^*$. Moreover, by continuity of γ at ξ^* there exists k_0 such that for $\xi_{k_0} < \xi < \xi^*$ we have $d(\gamma(\xi), \gamma(\xi^*)) < 1 - \theta$. It follows that no point $\hat{c}_{\sigma|_k}$ for $k > k_0$ can belong to $\gamma([\xi_{k_0}, \xi^*])$. Thus γ has to go through all shortcuts $S_{\sigma|_k}$ for $k > k_0$. This implies that the corresponding trees $T_{\sigma|_k}$ are ill-founded for all $k > k_0$ and the set $\{s \prec \sigma : T_s \text{ is well-founded}\}$ is finite. ■

LEMMA 8.6. *The compact set $\Psi(\tilde{T})$ is arc-connected if and only if for all $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$ there are only finitely many T_s with $s \prec \sigma$ which are well-founded.*

Proof. The compact set $\Psi(\tilde{T})$ is arc-connected if and only if each J_σ for $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$ is connected to \hat{a}_\emptyset by an arc; and by the previous lemma this happens if and only if only finitely many T_s with $s \prec \sigma$ are well-founded. ■

To finish the proof of Theorem 8.1, let $Z \subset 2^\omega$ be any $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$ set. We want to construct a continuous function $\Phi : 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\Phi(\zeta)$ is arc-connected if and only if $\zeta \in Z$. By definition of $\check{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1)$, there is a Suslin scheme $(\Gamma_s)_{s \in \omega^{<\omega}}$ of $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ sets, which can be assumed to be regular, such that

$$2^\omega \setminus Z = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \omega^\omega} \bigcap_{s \prec \sigma} \Gamma_s$$

Since the set WF of well-founded trees is $\mathbf{\Pi}_1^1$ -complete in \mathcal{T} , we can find for

each $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ a continuous function $T_s : \zeta \mapsto T_s(\zeta)$ such that $T_s(\zeta) \in WF \Leftrightarrow \zeta \in \Gamma_s$. Then the function $\tilde{T} : 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{(\omega^{<\omega})}$ defined by $\tilde{T}(\zeta) = (T_s(\zeta))_{s \in \omega^{<\omega}}$ is continuous too and so is $\Phi : \zeta \mapsto \Psi(\tilde{T}(\zeta))$.

If $\zeta \in Z$, then for all $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$, $\zeta \notin \bigcap_{s \prec \sigma} \Gamma_s$, thus there exists $s_0 \prec \sigma$ such that $\zeta \notin \Gamma_{s_0}$, and since the Suslin scheme is regular, we also find that for $s_0 \preceq s \prec \sigma$, $\zeta \notin \Gamma_s$ and the tree $T_s(\zeta)$ is ill-founded; it follows that J_σ is connected to \hat{a}_\emptyset by an arc. Since this happens for all J_σ , the compact set $\Phi(\zeta)$ is arc-connected.

Conversely, if $\zeta \notin Z$ there is a $\sigma \in \omega^\omega$ such that for all $s \prec \sigma$ we have $\zeta \in \Gamma_s$ and $T_s(\zeta) \in WF$; it follows that J_σ is connected to \hat{a}_\emptyset by no such arc, and $\Phi(\zeta)$ is not arc-connected.

Thus $Z = \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ and the proof is complete. ■

Note added in proof. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for very careful reading of the paper. We also mention that since the submission of this work we have been able to eliminate the Effective arguments from the proof of the main result, providing a proof in terms of purely Classical Descriptive Set Theory (see arXiv:2601.13135).

References

- [1] H. Becker and R. Pol, *Note on path-components in complete spaces*, Topology Appl. 114 (2001), 107–114.
- [2] G. Debs and J. Saint Raymond, *The descriptive complexity of connectedness in Polish spaces*, Fund. Math. 249 (2020), 261–286.
- [3] G. Debs and J. Saint Raymond, *On the arc-wise connection relation in the plane*. Topology Appl. 353 (2024), art. 208975, 6 pp.
- [4] A. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Grad. Texts in Math. 156, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [5] K. Kunen and M. Starbird, *Arc components in metric continua*, Topology Appl. 14 (1982), 167–170.
- [6] R. L. Moore, *Concerning triods in the plane and the junction points of plane continua*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 14 (1928), 85–88.
- [7] Y. N. Moschovakis, *Descriptive Set Theory*, Stud. Logic Found. Math. 100, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979; 2nd ed.: Math. Surveys Monogr. 155, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [8] J. Saint Raymond, *Complete pairs of coanalytic sets*. Fund. Math 194 (2007), 267–281.

Gabriel Debs, Jean Saint Raymond

Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Diderot

CNRS, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu – Paris Rive Gauche, IMJ-PRG

F-75005 Paris, France

E-mail: gabriel.debs@imj-prg.fr

jean.saint-raymond@imj-prg.fr