

Compactness in the space of p -continuous vector-valued functions

by

FERNANDO MUÑOZ and CÁNDIDO PIÑEIRO (Huelva)

Abstract. Let X be a Banach space and let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space. We prove a characterization of compact sets in the spaces $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ of p -continuous functions on Ω , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, with $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, X) = \mathcal{C}_\infty(\Omega, X)$. We also establish a necessary condition and a sufficient condition for a set in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ to be p -compact.

1. Introduction. Let X be a Banach space. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In [35], the notion of p -compact set is introduced. A set $K \subset X$ is *relatively p -compact* if there exists $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ ($(x_n) \in c_0(X)$ if $p = \infty$) such that $K \subset p\text{-co}(x_n) := \{\sum_n a_n x_n : \|(a_n)\|_{p'} \leq 1\}$ (here p' denotes the conjugate index of p). Notice that, according to the Grothendieck compactness principle, relatively ∞ -compact sets are precisely relatively compact sets. Let Y be a Banach space. A bounded linear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ is called *p -compact* if T maps bounded sets to relatively p -compact sets. The set of such operators is denoted by $\mathcal{K}_p(Y, X)$. It is known [35, Theorem 4.2] that \mathcal{K}_p , endowed with an appropriate norm, is a Banach operator ideal. A suitable formula for the Banach operator ideal norm in $\mathcal{K}_p(Y, X)$ was given by Delgado, Serrano, and the second author [12] (see [1, Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.7]) as follows: for every $T \in \mathcal{K}_p(Y, X)$,

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{K}_p} = \inf \|(x_n)\|_p,$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ (or $(x_n) \in c_0(X)$ when $p = \infty$) such that $T(B_Y) \subset p\text{-co}(x_n)$. In the last decade, p -compact sets and p -compact operators have been studied quite intensively (see, e.g., [1, 2, 4–6, 9, 11–13, 18, 22–28, 31, 32, 36]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46B50; Secondary 46B20, 46B25, 46E15.
Key words and phrases: Banach spaces, continuous and p -continuous vector-valued functions, compactness and p -compactness.

Received 3 February 2018; revised 11 November 2018.

Published online 28 June 2019.

In recent years, several authors studied different types of functions (such as linear operators, polynomials, holomorphic and continuous functions) between Banach spaces in relation with the class of p -compact sets. For instance, in 2011, Aron and Rueda [6] showed that continuous homogeneous polynomials map p -compact sets to p -compact sets. The same result for holomorphic functions was proved by Aron, Çalişkan, García, and Maestre [4] and Lassalle and Turco [23].

Denote by Ω a compact Hausdorff space. The space of continuous functions from Ω into X is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$, and by $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ when $X = \mathbb{K}$. In [24], Oja and the present authors considered the Banach space of p -continuous X -valued functions $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ formed by all $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$ such that $f(\Omega)$ is p -compact. It follows from properties of p -compactness that $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X) \subset \mathcal{C}_q(\Omega, X)$ if $p \leq q$, and $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\Omega, X) = \mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$. The space $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ becomes a Banach space when endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)} = \inf \|(x_n)\|_p$, where the infimum is taken over all sequences $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ (or $(x_n) \in c_0(X)$ when $p = \infty$) such that $f(\Omega) \subset \{\sum_n \alpha_n x_n : (\alpha_n) \in B_{\ell_p}\}$, and $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\Omega, X) = \mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$ as Banach spaces (see [24, Proposition 3.6]). One of the main results of [24] is that $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X) = \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes}_{d_p} X$ as Banach spaces, where d_p denotes the right Chevet–Saphar tensor norm (see [34] or, e.g., [33]). More precisely, every $f \in \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ can be represented as

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(\omega) x_n, \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

where $(\varphi_n) \in \ell_{p'}^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ and $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ (or $(x_n) \in c_0(X)$ when $p = \infty$), where the series converges in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ (see [24, Theorem 3.8]).

This paper aims to study compactness and p -compactness in the Banach space $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$. In Section 2, we prove a characterization of compactness in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ (Theorem 2.2).

Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the study of p -compactness in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$. In Section 3, we prove a sufficient condition (Proposition 3.2) and a necessary condition (Proposition 3.3) for a subset of $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ to be p -compact. In Section 4, the notion of *collectively p -compact* subset of $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ is introduced (see Remark 4.2 for background). Such sets appear in the study of p -compact sets in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$. We prove a characterization of collectively p -compact subsets of $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ (Proposition 4.3).

Our notation is standard. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and denote by p' the conjugate index of p (i.e., $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ with the convention $1/\infty = 0$). We consider Banach spaces over the same, either real or complex, field \mathbb{K} . The closed unit ball of X is denoted by B_X . The Banach space of all *absolutely p -summable sequences* in X is denoted by $\ell_p(X)$ and its norm by $\|\cdot\|_p$. By $\ell_p^w(X)$ we mean the Banach space of *weakly p -summable sequences* in X

with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p^w$ (see, e.g., [15, pp. 32–33]). Denote by $\ell_p^u(X)$ the Banach space of all *unconditionally p -summable sequences* in X , which is the closed subspace of $\ell_p^w(X)$ formed by the sequences $(x_n) \in \ell_p^w(X)$ satisfying $(x_n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots)$ in $\ell_p^w(X)$ (see [17] or, e.g., [10, 8.2, 8.3]). We denote by \mathcal{L} the operator ideal of bounded linear operators.

2. Compact sets in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$. In this section, we prove a characterization of compactness in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ (Theorem 2.2). Firstly, we shall present a lemma that will be used in the proof.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let X be a Banach space. Let $p \geq 1$. Assume that $K \subset \ell_p(X)$ is a compact set. Then there exists $\eta = (\eta_n) \in B_{c_0}$, $\eta \neq 0$, such that $(x_n/\eta_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ for all $(x_n) \in K$.*

Proof. By the characterization of compact sets in $\ell_p(X)$ (see, e.g., [16] for $p = 2$ or [20, Theorem 4] and [14, p. 6, Exercise 6] for $p \geq 1$), we can choose $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{m=n_1}^{\infty} \|x_m\|^p < 1/1^{3/p}$ for all $(x_m) \in K$. Now, choose $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_2 > n_1$, such that $\sum_{m=n_2}^{\infty} \|x_m\|^p < 1/2^{3/p}$ for all $(x_m) \in K$. Inductively, we can choose an increasing sequence (n_k) in \mathbb{N} such that $\sum_{m=n_k}^{\infty} \|x_m\|^p < 1/k^{3/p}$ for all $(x_m) \in K$. We choose $\eta_m = 1$ if $1 \leq m < n_1$, and $\eta_m = (1/k)^{1/p}$ if $n_{k-1} \leq m < n_k$. It is clear that $(\eta_m) \in B_{c_0}$. Then, for all $(x_m) \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{x_m}{\eta_m} \right\|^p &= \sum_{m=1}^{n_1-1} \left\| \frac{x_m}{\eta_m} \right\|^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \left\| \frac{x_m}{\eta_m} \right\|^p \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{n_1-1} \left\| \frac{x_m}{\eta_m} \right\|^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \sum_{m=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \|x_m\|^p \leq \sum_{m=1}^{n_1-1} \left\| \frac{x_m}{\eta_m} \right\|^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{k^3} < \infty. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Let us now present a characterization of compactness in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$. In the proof we shall use Proposition 3.2, which will be proved in the next section.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let X be a Banach space and let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $p \geq 1$. Then $H \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ is relatively compact if and only if there exist compact sets $K_1 \subset \ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ and $K_2 \subset \ell_p(X)$ such that*

$$H \subset \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in K_1, (x_n) \in K_2 \right\}.$$

Proof. For the “if” part, note that by Proposition 3.2 below, the set $\{\sum_n \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in K_1, (x_n) \in K_2\}$ is compact.

For the “only if” part, let (f_n) be a null sequence in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ such that $H \subset \overline{\text{co}}(f_n)$ (we denote by $\overline{\text{co}}(A)$ the closed convex hull of A). In [24, Theorem 3.8], it is proved that $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X) = \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes}_{d_p} X$. By [33, Proposition 6.10],

if $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes}_{d_p} X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exist sequences $(\varphi_m) \in \ell_{p'}^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ and $(x_m) \in \ell_p(X)$ such that the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi_m \otimes x_m$ converges to f and $d_p(f) \leq \|(\varphi_m)\|_{p'}^w \| (x_m) \|_p \leq d_p(f) + \varepsilon$. Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a representation $f_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi_m^n x_m^n$ satisfying

$$\|(\varphi_m^n)_m\|_{p'}^w \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|(x_m^n)_m\|_p < d_p(f_n) + 1/n.$$

Then $\delta_n := \|(x_m^n)_m\|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; we may assume that $\delta_n \neq 0$ for all n . Consider $\phi_m^n := \delta_n^{1/2} \varphi_m^n$ and $z_m^n := \delta_n^{-1/2} x_m^n$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\phi_m^n)_m\|_{p'}^w = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(z_m^n)_m\|_p = 0.$$

Take $K_1 := \overline{\text{aco}}(\hat{\phi}_n)$ and $K_2 := \overline{\text{aco}}(\hat{z}_n)$, where $\hat{\phi}_n := (\phi_m^n)_m$ and $\hat{z}_n := (z_m^n)_m$ (we denote by $\overline{\text{aco}}(A)$ the closed absolutely convex hull of A). Obviously,

$$f_n \in \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in K_1, (x_n) \in K_2 \right\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\text{co}(f_n) \subset \text{co} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in K_1, (x_n) \in K_2 \right\}.$$

The set $\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in K_1, (x_n) \in K_2\}$ is balanced, hence so is $\text{co}\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in K_1, (x_n) \in K_2\}$. Since every balanced set A satisfies $\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)A \subset A + A$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{co} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in K_1, (x_n) \in K_2 \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n y_n : (\psi_n) \in K_1, (y_n) \in K_2 \right\} + \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n z_n : (\phi_n) \in K_1, (z_n) \in K_2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, define $\hat{K}_1 := \{(\psi_1, \phi_1, \psi_2, \phi_2, \dots) : (\psi_n), (\phi_n) \in K_1\}$ and $\hat{K}_2 := \{(y_1, z_1, y_2, z_2, \dots) : (y_n), (z_n) \in K_2\}$. As \hat{K}_1 and \hat{K}_2 are the images of continuous functions on compact sets, they are also compact. Then it is straightforward to check that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n y_n : (\psi_n) \in K_1, (y_n) \in K_2 \right\} + \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n z_n : (\phi_n) \in K_1, (z_n) \in K_2 \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in \hat{K}_1, (x_n) \in \hat{K}_2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved that

$$H \subset \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in \hat{K}_1, (x_n) \in \hat{K}_2 \right\},$$

where \hat{K}_1 is a compact set in $\ell_p^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ and \hat{K}_2 is a compact set in $\ell_p(X)$.

By Lemma 2.1, let $\eta = (\eta_n) \in B_{c_0}$, $\eta \neq 0$, be such that $(z_n) := (x_n/\eta_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ for all $(x_n) \in \hat{K}_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H &\subset \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n \varphi_n z_n : (\varphi_n) \in \hat{K}_1, (x_n) \in \hat{K}_2 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n z_n : (\tau_n) \in \hat{K}_0, (x_n) \in \hat{K}_2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{K}_0 := \{(\eta_n \varphi_n)_n : (\varphi_n)_n \in \hat{K}_1\}$. Using the continuous linear map $V : \ell_p^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)) \rightarrow \ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ defined by $V((\rho_n)_n) = (\eta_n \rho_n)_n$ for all $(\rho_n)_n \in \ell_p^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$, we obtain $V(\hat{K}_1) = \hat{K}_0$, and thus \hat{K}_0 is compact in $\ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$. ■

3. p -Compactness in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$. In this section, we study p -compactness in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$, providing a sufficient condition (Proposition 3.2) and a necessary condition (Proposition 3.3) for a subset of $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ to be p -compact.

We shall start with a lemma which summarizes some useful results. The proof is very easy and it is omitted. Let $A \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ and $B \subset X$. We denote $A \otimes B := \{\varphi x : \varphi \in A, x \in B\} \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let X, Y , and Z be Banach spaces. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.*

- (a) *For every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, if $K \subset X$ is a p -compact set, then $T(K)$ is p -compact in Y .*
- (b) *Let $A \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ and $B \subset X$. Then $A \otimes B$ is p -compact in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ if and only if A and B are p -compact.*
- (c) *For every bounded bilinear map $S : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$, if $K_1 \subset X$ and $K_2 \subset Y$ are p -compact, then $S(K_1 \times K_2)$ is p -compact in Z .*

The next proposition gives a sufficient condition for a subset of $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ to be p -compact. In other words, it provides a way to build p -compact sets in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let X be a Banach space and let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $p \geq 1$. Assume that $H \subset \{\sum_n \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in K_1, (x_n) \in K_2\} \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ with $K_1 \subset \ell_p^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ and $K_2 \subset \ell_p(X)$. If K_1 and K_2 are p -compact (compact, respectively), then H is p -compact (compact, respectively) in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$.*

Proof. The bilinear map

$$S : \ell_p^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)) \times \ell_p(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X), \quad ((\varphi_n), (x_n)) \mapsto f = \sum_n \varphi_n x_n,$$

is continuous, since

$$d_p(S((\varphi_n), (x_n))) = d_p(f) \leq \|(\varphi_n)\|_p^w \|(x_n)\|_p$$

for all $(\varphi_n) \in \ell_p^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ and $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$. So, by Lemma 3.1(c), $S(K_1 \times K_2)$ is p -compact whenever K_1 and K_2 are p -compact. Since $H \subset S(K_1 \times K_2)$, H is p -compact.

It is well known that if K_1 and K_2 are compact, then $S(K_1 \times K_2)$ is also compact, therefore $H \subset S(K_1 \times K_2)$ is compact. ■

The next theorem gives a necessary condition for a subset of $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ to be p -compact. As Proposition 3.8 will show, this condition is not sufficient in general.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let X be a Banach space and let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $p \geq 1$. If $H \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ is p -compact, then there exist $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ and a compact set $K \subset \ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ such that*

$$H \subset \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n x_n : (\psi_n) \in K \right\}.$$

Proof. First, there exists $(f_n) \in \ell_p(\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X))$ such that $H \subset \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n f_n : (\alpha_n) \in M\}$ with some $M \subset B_{\ell_{p'}}$, compact. Indeed, $H \subset p\text{-co}(f_n) = \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\alpha}_n f_n : (\hat{\alpha}_n) \in B_{\ell_{p'}}\}$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $\delta = (\delta_n) \in B_{c_0}$, $\delta \neq 0$, such that $(g_n) := (f_n/\delta_n) \in \ell_p(\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X))$. Then

$$H \subset \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\alpha}_n \delta_n g_n : (\hat{\alpha}_n) \in B_{\ell_{p'}} \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n g_n : (\beta_n) \in M \right\},$$

where $M := \{(\hat{\alpha}_n \delta_n)_n : (\hat{\alpha}_n)_n \in B_{\ell_{p'}}\}$. Obviously, $M \subset B_{\ell_{p'}}$ is compact since

$$\sum_{n \geq n_0} |\beta_n|^{p'} = \sum_{n \geq n_0} |\hat{\alpha}_n \delta_n|^{p'} \leq \left(\sup_{n \geq n_0} |\delta_n|^{p'} \right) \sum_{n \geq n_0} |\hat{\alpha}_n|^{p'} \leq \sup_{n \geq n_0} |\delta_n|^{p'} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can choose a representation of f_n of the form $f_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_m^n x_m^n$ satisfying

$$\|(\psi_m^n)_m\|_p^w = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|(x_m^n)_m\|_p < d_p(f_n) + \varepsilon/2^n$$

(see the proof of Theorem 2.2). Then, consider the sequence $(x_m^n)_{m,n}$ where the indices (m, n) are considered, for instance, with the square ordering. We

have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|x_m^n\|^p &< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(d_p(f_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} \right)^p \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_p(f_n)^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^p}{2^{pn}} \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(x_m^n)_{m,n} \in \ell_p(X)$. On the other hand, for every $f \in H$, there exists $(\alpha_n^f) \in M$ such that

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^f f_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^f \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_m^n x_m^n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_m^n x_m^n,$$

where $\phi_m^n := \alpha_n^f \psi_m^n$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$H \subset \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_m^n x_m^n : (\phi_m^n) \in K \right\},$$

where $K := \{(\alpha_n^f \psi_m^n) : f \in H\}$. The set K is inside the image of a continuous function on the compact set M , so K is also compact.

So far we have proved that if $H \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ is p -compact, then there exist $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ and a compact set $K \subset \ell_{p'}^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ such that

$$H \subset \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n x_n : (\psi_n) \in K \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, let $\eta = (\eta_n) \in B_{c_0}$, $\eta \neq 0$, be such that $(z_n) := (x_n/\eta_n) \in \ell_p(X)$. Then

$$H \subset \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n \psi_n z_n : (\psi_n) \in K \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n z_n : (\tau_n) \in K_0 \right\},$$

where $K_0 := \{(\eta_n \psi_n)_n : (\psi_n)_n \in K\}$. Using the continuous linear map $V : \ell_{p'}^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)) \rightarrow \ell_{p'}^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ defined by $V((\rho_n)) = (\eta_n \rho_n)$ for all $(\rho_n)_n \in \ell_{p'}^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$, we obtain $V(K) = K_0$, and thus K_0 is compact in $\ell_{p'}^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$. ■

Let $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ and consider the linear map $U : \ell_{p'}^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ defined by

$$U((\psi_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n x_n \quad \text{for all } (\psi_n) \in \ell_{p'}^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)).$$

The map U is continuous. Indeed, for all $(\psi_n) \in \ell_{p'}^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$, we have

$$d_p \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n x_n \right) \leq \|(\psi_n)\|_{p'}^w \| (x_n) \|_p,$$

and thus $\|U\| \leq \|(x_n)\|_p$. Therefore, for a p -compact subset $K \subset \ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$, the set

$$U(K) = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in K \right\}$$

is p -compact in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ (by Lemma 3.1(a)). That can be expressed in the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let X be a Banach space and let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ and $K \subset \ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ is a p -compact set, then the set $\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n x_n : (\psi_n) \in K\}$ is p -compact in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$.*

Since $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\Omega, X) = \mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$, Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 yield the following characterization of compactness in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let X be a Banach space and let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space. A set $H \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$ is relatively compact if and only if there exist $(x_n) \in c_0(X)$ and a compact set $K \subset \ell_1^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ such that*

$$H \subset \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n x_n : (\varphi_n) \in K \right\}.$$

We shall show that in general the converse of Proposition 3.3 does not hold. First of all, we recall that, for $1 < p \leq \infty$, the Banach spaces $\ell_{p'}^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \ell_{p'})$ are isometric by the isometry $J : \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \ell_{p'}) \rightarrow \ell_{p'}^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ defined by $Jf = (\langle f(\omega), e_n^* \rangle)_n$, $\omega \in \Omega$, (e_n^*) being the vector unit basis of ℓ_p (see, e.g., [10, p. 92, Proposition 8.2]). Indeed, for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \ell_{p'})$, we have

$$\|Jf\|_{p'}^w = \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f(\omega), e_n^* \rangle|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} = \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \|f(\omega)\|_{p'} = \|f\|_\infty.$$

In the proof, we also use the well-known fact (see, e.g., [33, p. 142]) that the dual space operator ideal (we follow the terminology of [29]) of the Chevet–Saphar tensor norm d_p coincides with $\mathcal{P}_{p'}$, i.e., $(Z \hat{\otimes}_{d_p} X)^* = \mathcal{P}_{p'}(Z, X^*)$ as Banach spaces (here Z is an arbitrary Banach space). (Recall that $\mathcal{P}_q = (\mathcal{P}_q, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_q})$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, denotes the Banach operator ideal of absolutely q -summing operators.) Since $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X) = \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes}_{d_p} X$ as Banach spaces, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)^* = \mathcal{P}_{p'}(\mathcal{C}(\Omega), X^*)$$

as Banach spaces, under the duality

$$\langle \varphi x, T \rangle = \langle x, T\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega), x \in X, T \in \mathcal{P}_{p'}(\mathcal{C}(\Omega), X^*).$$

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let Ω be an infinite compact Hausdorff space. Let $1 < p \leq \infty$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_n) \in \ell_p$, $\alpha \neq 0$, and define $U \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)), \mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ by*

$U((\psi_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \psi_n$ for $(\psi_n) \in \ell_{p'}^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$. Then the adjoint operator U^* is not absolutely p -summing.

Proof. For contradiction, suppose that $U^* \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)^*, \ell_{p'}^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))^*)$. Since $\ell_{p'}^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \ell_{p'})$ are isometric (see above), and

$$\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \ell_{p'})^* = \mathcal{C}_{\infty}(\Omega, \ell_{p'})^* = \mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{C}(\Omega), \ell_p)$$

as Banach spaces (the first identity holds because $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, X) = \mathcal{C}_{\infty}(\Omega, X)$, see the Introduction; for the second, see above), we see that U^* is in $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)^*, \mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{C}(\Omega), \ell_p))$. We claim that $U^* \mu = \mu \otimes \alpha$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)^*$. In fact, for all $\beta = (\beta_n) \in \ell_{p'}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\langle U((\beta_n \psi)), \mu \rangle = \langle (\beta_n \psi), U^* \mu \rangle.$$

On the one hand,

$$\langle U((\beta_n \psi)), \mu \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n \psi, \mu \right\rangle = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n \right) \int_{\Omega} \psi d\mu = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \int_{\Omega} \psi d\mu.$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle (\beta_n \psi), U^* \mu \rangle = \langle (U^* \mu) \psi, (\beta_n) \rangle.$$

This yields $(U^* \mu) \psi = (\int_{\Omega} \psi d\mu) \alpha$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$. Finally, as $\|U^* \mu\| = \|\mu\| \|\alpha\|_p$, the identity map in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)^*$ is absolutely p -summing: Indeed, since $U^* \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)^*, \mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{C}(\Omega), \ell_p))$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(U^* \mu_n)\|_{\mathcal{P}_1}^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\mu_n \otimes \alpha\|_{\mathcal{P}_1}^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\mu_n\|^p \|\alpha\|^p = \|\alpha\|^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\mu_n\|^p < \infty$$

for all $(\mu_n) \in \ell_p^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)^*)$, where the last series converges because U^* is absolutely p -summing. Thus, every sequence $(\mu_n) \in \ell_p^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)^*)$ is in $\ell_p(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)^*)$, and this contradicts the Dvoretzky–Rogers theorem (see, e.g., [10, p. 143, Ex. 11.9]) because Ω is infinite. ■

REMARK 3.7. The chain of identities

$$\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \ell_{p'})^* = \mathcal{C}_{\infty}(\Omega, \ell_{p'})^* = \mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{C}(\Omega), \ell_p)$$

can also be justified using the following argument:

$$\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \ell_{p'})^* = (\mathcal{C}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} \ell_{p'})^* = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{C}(\Omega), \ell_p) = \mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{C}(\Omega), \ell_p),$$

where the first identity holds by Grothendieck’s classical result [19] (see, e.g., [33, pp. 49–50] or [10, p. 48, 4.4(2)]), the second can be found, for instance, in [10, p. 119, Proposition 10.1] (here \mathcal{I} denotes the operator ideal of integral operators), and the last identity can be found, for instance, in [10, p. 132, Corollary 11.3.3(2)].

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let Ω be an infinite compact Hausdorff space. Let $1 < p \leq \infty$. For every Banach space X and every $x = (x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$, $x \neq 0$,*

there exists a compact set $K \subset \ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ such that the set $\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n x_n : (\psi_n) \in K\}$ is not p -compact in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$.

Proof. For contradiction, assume that there exist a Banach space X and a sequence $x = (x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$, $x \neq 0$, such that $\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n x_n : (\psi_n) \in K\}$ is p -compact in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ whenever $K \subset \ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ is compact. Choose $x^* \in X^*$ such that $\alpha_n := \langle x_n, x^* \rangle \neq 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that the operator $U \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)), \mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ defined by $U((\psi_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \psi_n$ for $(\psi_n) \in \ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ maps compact sets into p -compact sets. To prove it, take a compact set $K \subset \ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} U(K) &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \psi_n : (\psi_n) \in K \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, x^* \rangle \psi_n : (\psi_n) \in K \right\} \\ &= \{x^* f : f \in H\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $H := \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n x_n : (\psi_n) \in K\}$. By hypothesis, H is p -compact, so $\{x^* f : f \in H\} = U(K)$ is also p -compact.

Therefore, U maps compact sets into p -compact sets, and this implies that $U \in \mathcal{P}_p^{\text{dual}}(\ell_p^u(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)), \mathcal{C}(\Omega))$ (see [12, Theorem 3.14] or [31, Theorems 12 and 13]). Thus U^* is absolutely p -summing, contradicting Proposition 3.6. ■

4. Collectively p -continuous sets of functions. Given $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$, we shall study the following subsets of $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$:

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n x_n : (\psi_n) \in \ell_{p'}^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)), \|(\psi_n)\|_{p'}^w \leq 1 \right\}.$$

These sets have the property that $f(\Omega) \subset p\text{-co}(x_n)$ for all f in such a set. To be more precise consider the following:

DEFINITION 4.1. Let X be a Banach space and let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. A subset $H \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ is *collectively p -compact* if $\bigcup_{f \in H} f(\Omega)$ is p -compact in X . That is, $H \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ is collectively p -compact if and only if there exists a sequence $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ such that $\bigcup_{f \in H} f(\Omega) \subset p\text{-co}(x_n)$.

REMARK 4.2. In [3], Anselone and Palmer study the notion of collectively compact subset of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. A subset $H \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is *collectively compact* if $\bigcup_{T \in H} T(B_X)$ is relatively compact in Y . Very recently, Çalışkan and Keten [7] extended this notion. Let $p \geq 1$. A subset $H \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is *collectively p -compact* if $\bigcup_{T \in H} T(B_X)$ is relatively p -compact in Y (see [7, Definition 3.10]). In [24, Section 3], Oja and the present authors proved that every function $f \in \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ can be identified with an operator $U_f \in \mathcal{K}_p(\ell_1(\Omega), X)$ (see [24, Proposition 3.6]). Thus, Definition 4.1 can be seen as a reformulation of [7, Definition 3.10]. In [7, Proposition 3.11], it is proved that every

relatively p -compact subset $H \subset \mathcal{K}_p(X, Y)$ is collectively p -compact. We can reformulate this result: every relatively p -compact subset $H \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ is collectively p -compact.

The next proposition gives a characterization of collectively p -compact sets of $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let X be a Banach space and let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $p \geq 1$. Assume that $H \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ and $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\bigcup_{f \in H} f(\Omega) \subset p\text{-co}(x_n)$.
- (ii) $H \subset \overline{\{\sum_n \psi_n x_n : (\psi_n) \in \ell_{p'}^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)), \|(\psi_n)\|_{p'}^w \leq 1\}}^{\|\cdot\|^\infty}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Set $A := \{\sum_{n=1}^\infty \psi_n x_n : (\psi_n) \in \ell_{p'}^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)), \|(\psi_n)\|_{p'}^w \leq 1\}$. For contradiction, suppose that there exists $f \in H$ such that $f \notin \overline{A}^{\|\cdot\|^\infty}$. Since $\overline{A}^{\|\cdot\|^\infty}$ is absolutely convex and closed in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)$, we can strictly separate f and $\overline{A}^{\|\cdot\|^\infty}$. That is, there exist a constant $\alpha > 0$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, X)^*$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad |\langle f, \Phi \rangle| > \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle g, \Phi \rangle| < \alpha \quad \text{for all } g \in \overline{A}^{\|\cdot\|^\infty}$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, using a standard argument, we can choose a covering of Ω by open sets in Ω , $(V_i)_{i=1}^m$, such that $\|f(\omega') - f(\omega'')\| < \varepsilon$ for all $\omega', \omega'' \in V_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq m$. Now, we choose a partition of unity $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^m$ subordinate to the covering $(V_i)_{i=1}^m$. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, take $\omega_i \in V_i$ and put $g := \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i f(\omega_i)$. It is clear that $\|f - g\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. We are going to show that $g \in A$. As $f(\Omega) \subset p\text{-co}(x_n)$, for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ there exists $(\alpha_n^i) \in B_{\ell_p}$, such that $f(\omega_i) = \sum_n \alpha_n^i x_n$. Then

$$g(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(\omega) f(\omega_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(\omega) \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n^i x_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(\omega) \alpha_n^i \right) x_n.$$

Let $\phi_n := \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_n^i \psi_i$. It suffices to prove $\|(\phi_n)\|_{p'}^w \leq 1$. For every $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\phi_n(\omega)|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_n^i \psi_i(\omega) \right|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\alpha_n^i \psi_i(\omega)|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(\omega) \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\alpha_n^i|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} = \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(\omega) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and this yields $g \in A$. Finally, using (4.1), we have

$$|\langle f, \Phi \rangle| \leq |\langle f - g, \Phi \rangle| + |\langle g, \Phi \rangle| \leq \|f - g\|_\infty \|\Phi\| + \alpha \leq \varepsilon \|\Phi\| + \alpha.$$

As $|\langle f, \Phi \rangle| \leq \varepsilon \|\Phi\| + \alpha$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we see that $|\langle f, \Phi \rangle| \leq \alpha$, and this contradicts (4.1).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $f \in H$. Then $f \in \overline{A}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty}$, so there exists $(f_n) \in A$ such that $\|f_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varphi_k^n x_k$ with $\|(\varphi_k^n)_k\|_{p'} \leq 1$. Therefore, $f_n(\Omega) \subset p\text{-co}(x_n)$ and, for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $f(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\omega) \in p\text{-co}(x_n)$, because $p\text{-co}(x_n)$ is closed. Thus $f(\Omega) \subset p\text{-co}(x_n)$. This yields $\bigcup_{f \in H} f(\Omega) \subset p\text{-co}(x_n)$. ■

The next proposition shows how one can build collectively p -compact sets in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let X be a Banach space and let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $p \geq 1$. Assume that $A \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ and $B \subset X$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \otimes B$ is collectively p -compact in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$.
- (ii) A is bounded in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ and B is p -compact in X .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If $A \otimes B$ is collectively p -compact, then there exists $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ such that $\bigcup_{\varphi \in A, x \in B} \{\varphi(\omega)x : \omega \in \Omega\} \subset p\text{-co}(x_n)$.

On the one hand, for fixed $x_0 \in X$, we have

$$\bigcup_{\varphi \in A} \{\varphi(\omega)x_0 : \omega \in \Omega\} \subset \bigcup_{\varphi \in A, x \in B} \{\varphi(\omega)x : \omega \in \Omega\} \subset p\text{-co}(x_n),$$

and since $p\text{-co}(x_n)$ is compact (in particular), this implies that $\bigcup_{\varphi \in A} \{\varphi(\omega)x_0 : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is bounded, or equivalently $\{\varphi(\omega) : \omega \in \Omega, \varphi \in A\}$ is bounded.

On the other hand, for fixed $\varphi_0 \in A$ such that there exists $\omega_0 \in \Omega$ with $\varphi_0(\omega_0) \neq 0$, we have

$$\bigcup_{x \in B} \{\varphi_0(\omega_0)x\} \subset \bigcup_{\varphi \in A, x \in B} \{\varphi(\omega)x : \omega \in \Omega\} \subset p\text{-co}(x_n),$$

and since $p\text{-co}(x_n)$ is p -compact, so is $\bigcup_{x \in B} \{\varphi_0(\omega_0)x\}$, or equivalently B is p -compact.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Since B is p -compact in X , there exists $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ such that $B \subset p\text{-co}(x_n)$. Then there exists $(\alpha_n) \in B_{\ell_{p'}}$, such that $x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n x_n$ for all $x \in B$. Since $A \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ is bounded, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $A \subset \lambda B_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega)}$. Therefore, for all $\varphi \in A$ and all $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\varphi(\omega)x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n \varphi(\omega)x_n,$$

where $(\alpha_n \varphi(\omega))_n \in \lambda B_{\ell_{p'}}$. Thus, for all $\varphi \in A$ and all $x \in B$, $\varphi(\omega)x \in p\text{-co}(\lambda x_n)$, and thus $A \otimes B$ is collectively p -compact. ■

The next proposition characterizes when some subsets of $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ are conditionally weakly compact. Recall that a subset A of a Banach space X is *conditionally weakly compact* whenever each sequence in A contains a weak-Cauchy subsequence.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let X be a Banach space and let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $p \geq 1$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The set $H = \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n x_n : (\psi_n) \in \ell_{p'}^w(\mathcal{C}(\Omega)), \|(\psi_n)\|_{p'}^w \leq 1\}$ is conditionally weakly compact in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ for all $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$.*
- (ii) *$B_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega)}$ is conditionally weakly compact.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$ be a non-null sequence and suppose that H as in (i) is conditionally weakly compact. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $x_{n_0} \neq 0$ and consider the set $B_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega)}x_{n_0} = \{\psi x_{n_0} : \psi \in B_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega)}\} \subset H$. As H is conditionally weakly compact, so is $B_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega)}x_{n_0}$. Since $B_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega)}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $B_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega)}x_{n_0}$, it follows that $B_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega)}$ is conditionally weakly compact.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). We have to prove that every sequence in H has a weak-Cauchy subsequence. So, take a sequence (f_n) in H . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $f_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_m^n x_m$ with $\|(\psi_m^n)_m\|_{p'}^w \leq 1$. The sequence $(\psi_1^n)_n$ is bounded in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$, and therefore there exists a weak-Cauchy subsequence $(\psi_1^{k_1(n)})_n$. Now, we consider the bounded sequence $(\psi_2^{k_1(n)})_n$. Again it has a weak-Cauchy subsequence $(\psi_2^{k_2(n)})_n$. As $(\psi_1^{k_2(n)})_n$ is a subsequence of $(\psi_1^{k_1(n)})_n$, the two sequences $(\psi_1^{k_2(n)})_n$ and $(\psi_2^{k_2(n)})_n$ are weak-Cauchy. Inductively, we can produce a subsequence $(\psi_{h+1}^{k_{h+1}(n)})_n$ of $(\psi_{h+1}^{k_h(n)})_n$ such that the sequences $(\psi_r^{k_{h+1}(n)})_n$ are weak-Cauchy for all $r \leq h+1$. Consider the subsequence $(f_{k_n(n)})_n$ of $(f_n)_n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $f_{k_n(n)} = \sum_m \psi_m^{k_n(n)} x_m$ and the sequences $(\psi_m^{k_n(n)})_n$ are weak-Cauchy for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For simplicity, we denote $f_{k_n(n)}$ by g_n and assume that $g_n = \sum_m \psi_m^n x_m$, where $(\psi_m^n)_n$ is weak-Cauchy for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. To prove that (g_n) is weak-Cauchy, take $S \in \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)^* = \mathcal{P}_{p'}(\mathcal{C}(\Omega), X^*)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we choose $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\left(\sum_{m>m_0} \|x_m\|^p \right)^{1/p} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4\|S\|_{\mathcal{P}_{p'}}}.$$

Then, for all $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle g_h - g_k, S \rangle| &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} |\langle S(\psi_m^h - \psi_m^k), x_m \rangle| + \sum_{m>m_0} |\langle S(\psi_m^h - \psi_m^k), x_m \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} |\langle \psi_m^h - \psi_m^k, S^*(x_m) \rangle| + \left(\sum_{m>m_0} \|S(\psi_m^h - \psi_m^k)\|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \left(\sum_{m>m_0} \|x_m\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} |\langle \psi_m^h - \psi_m^k, S^*(x_m) \rangle| + \|S\|_{\mathcal{P}_{p'}} \|(\psi_m^h - \psi_m^k)_m\|_{p'}^w \left(\sum_{m>m_0} \|x_m\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} |\langle \psi_m^h - \psi_m^k, S^*(x_m) \rangle| + \varepsilon/2, \end{aligned}$$

since $\|(\psi_m^h - \psi_m^k)_m\|_{p'}^w \leq 2$ (here, we have considered X embedded in X^{**}). Finally, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\langle \psi_m^h - \psi_m^k, \mathcal{S}^*(x_m) \rangle| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2m_0}$$

for all $1 \leq m \leq m_0$ and all $h, k > k_0$. ■

REMARK 4.6. Recall that if $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ does not contain any subspace isomorphic to ℓ_1 (which is equivalent to Ω being dispersed (see [30, Section 2, Main Theorem], or, e.g., [8, Theorem 3.1.1] or [21, p. 114])), then $B_{\mathcal{C}(\Omega)}$ is conditionally weakly compact (by the Rosenthal ℓ_1 Theorem).

The next proposition connects the compactness in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ with the notion of collective p -compactness.

PROPOSITION 4.7. *Let X be a Banach space and let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $p \geq 1$. There exist compact sets in $\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega, X)$ that are not collectively p -compact.*

Proof. Let $A \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ be relatively compact and let $B \subset X$ be relatively compact but not p -compact. Then, by Lemma 3.1(b), $A \otimes B$ is relatively compact. However, by Proposition 4.4, $A \otimes B$ is not collectively p -compact.

Let $A \otimes B$ (see the beginning of Section 3), where $A \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ and $B \subset X$ are relatively compact but B is not p -compact. By Lemma 3.1(b), H is relatively compact. However, by Proposition 4.4, $A \otimes B$ is not collectively p -compact. ■

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to the referee for the valuable comments and helpful suggestions that improved the exposition. The research of both authors was partially supported by the Junta de Andalucía P.A.I. FQM-276.

References

- [1] K. Ain, R. Lillemets and E. Oja, *Compact operators which are defined by ℓ_p -spaces*, Quaest. Math. 35 (2012), 145–159.
- [2] K. Ain and E. Oja, *A description of relatively (p, r) -compact sets*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math. 16 (2012), 227–232.
- [3] P. M. Anselone and T. W. Palmer, *Collectively compact sets of linear operators*, Pacific J. Math. 25 (1968), 417–423.
- [4] R. Aron, E. Çalişkan, D. García and M. Maestre, *Behavior of holomorphic mappings on p -compact sets in a Banach space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 368 (2016), 4855–4871.
- [5] R. Aron, M. Maestre and P. Rueda, *p -Compact holomorphic mappings*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat Ser. A Mat. 104 (2010), 353–364.
- [6] R. Aron and P. Rueda, *p -Compact homogeneous polynomials from an ideal point of view*, in: Function Spaces in Modern Analysis, K. Jarosz (ed.), Contemp. Math. 547, Amer. Math. Soc., 2011, 61–71.

- [7] E. Çalişkan and A. Keten, *Uniform factorization for p -compact sets of p -compact linear operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 437 (2016), 1058–1069.
- [8] P. Cembranos and J. Mendoza, *Banach Spaces of Vector-Valued Functions*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [9] Y. S. Choi and J. M. Kim, *The dual space of $(\mathcal{L}(X, Y), \tau_p)$ and the p -approximation property*, J. Funct. Anal. 259 (2010), 2437–2454.
- [10] A. Defant and K. Floret, *Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [11] J. M. Delgado, E. Oja, C. Piñeiro and E. Serrano, *The p -approximation property in terms of density of finite rank operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 354 (2009), 159–164.
- [12] J. M. Delgado, C. Piñeiro and E. Serrano, *Operators whose adjoints are quasi p -nuclear*, Studia Math. 197 (2010), 291–304.
- [13] J. M. Delgado, C. Piñeiro and E. Serrano, *Density of finite rank operators in the Banach space of p -compact operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 370 (2010), 498–505.
- [14] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Grad. Texts in Math. 92, Springer, New York, 1984.
- [15] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 43, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [16] M. Fréchet, *Essai de géométrie analytique à une infinité de coordonnées*, Nouvelles Annales de Mathématiques 8 (1908), 97–116, 289–317.
- [17] J. Fourie and J. Swart, *Banach ideals of p -compact operators*, Manuscripta Math. 26 (1979), 349–362.
- [18] D. Galicer, S. Lassalle and P. Turco, *The ideal of p -compact operators: a tensor product approach*, Studia Math. 211 (2012), 269–286.
- [19] A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1955).
- [20] H. Hanche-Olsen and H. Holden, *The Kolmogorov–Riesz compactness theorem*, Expo. Math. 28 (2010), 385–394.
- [21] H. E. Lacey, *The Isometric Theory of Classical Banach Spaces*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 208, Springer, Berlin, 1974.
- [22] S. Lassalle and P. Turco, *On p -compact mappings and the p -approximation property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 389 (2012), 1204–1221.
- [23] S. Lassalle and P. Turco, *Polynomials and holomorphic functions on \mathcal{A} -compact sets in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 463 (2018), 1092–1108.
- [24] F. Muñoz, E. Oja and C. Piñeiro, *On α -nuclear operators with applications to vector-valued function spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 269 (2015), 2871–2889.
- [25] F. Muñoz, E. Oja and C. Piñeiro, *Operator-valued operators that are associated to vector-valued operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 454 (2017), 41–58.
- [26] F. Muñoz, E. Oja and C. Piñeiro, *Absolutely (r, q) -summing operators on vector-valued function spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 89 (2017), 69–88.
- [27] F. Muñoz, E. Oja and C. Piñeiro, *The Bartle–Dunford–Schwartz and the Dinculeanu–Singer theorems revisited*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 460 (2018), 682–702.
- [28] E. Oja, *A remark on the approximation of p -compact operators by finite-rank operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 387 (2012), 949–952.
- [29] E. Oja and V. Randala, *Into isomorphisms in tensor products of Banach spaces*, Quaest. Math. 32 (2009), 269–279.
- [30] A. Pelczyński and Z. Semadeni, *Spaces of continuous functions (III) (Spaces $C(\Omega)$ for Ω without perfect subsets)*, Studia Math. 18 (1959), 211–222.
- [31] A. Pietsch, *The ideal of p -compact operators and its maximal hull*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (2014), 519–530.

- [32] C. Piñeiro and J. M. Delgado, *p-Convergent sequences and Banach spaces in which p-compact sets are q-compact*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), 957–967.
- [33] R. Ryan, *Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces*, Springer, London, 2002.
- [34] P. Saphar, *Produits tensoriels d'espaces de Banach et classes d'applications linéaires*, Studia Math. 38 (1970), 71–100.
- [35] D. P. Sinha and A. K. Karn, *Compact operators whose adjoints factor through subspaces of l_p* , Studia Math. 150 (2002), 17–33.
- [36] D. P. Sinha and A. K. Karn, *Compact operators which factor through subspaces of l_p* , Math. Nachr. 281 (2008), 412–423.

Fernando Muñoz, Cándido Piñeiro
Departamento de Ciencias Experimentales
Facultad de Ciencias Experimentales
Universidad de Huelva
Campus Universitario de El Carmen
21071 Huelva, Spain
E-mail: fmjimenez@dmate.uhu.es
candido@uhu.es