

## SPLIT REGULAR HOM-LEIBNIZ COLOR 3-ALGEBRAS

BY

IVAN KAYGORODOV (Santo André) and YURY POPOV (Campinas)

**Abstract.** We introduce and describe the class of split regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebras as the natural extension of the class of split Lie algebras and superalgebras.

More precisely, we show that any such split regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra  $T$  is of the form  $T = \mathcal{U} + \sum_j I_j$  with  $\mathcal{U}$  a subspace of the 0-root space  $T_0$ , and  $I_j$  an ideal of  $T$  such that for  $j \neq k$ ,

$$[T, I_j, I_k] + [I_j, T, I_k] + [I_j, I_k, T] = 0.$$

Moreover, if  $T$  is of maximal length, we characterize the simplicity of  $T$  in terms of a connectivity property in its set of non-zero roots.

**1. Introduction.**  $n$ -Ary algebras have been applied in mathematical physics, in the study of supersymmetry, Bagger–Lambert theory or Nambu mechanics (see, for example, [AI10, T94]). On the other hand, there is a purely algebraic interest in the study of ternary algebras [CK10, CK14, K141, KP18, P09] and more generally  $n$ -ary Leibniz algebras [CL02, CI07, P03] and general  $n$ -ary algebras [K142, KP16].

In this paper we study the class of Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebras (of which the classes of Hom-Lie color 3-algebras and Hom-Lie triple color systems are subclasses) and Leibniz color 3-algebras with an automorphism.

The study of Hom-structures began in the paper of Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov [HL06]. The notion of Hom-Lie triple systems was introduced in [MS08]. Yau [Y09] gave a general method of constructing Hom-type algebras starting from usual algebras and a twisting self-map. For information on various types of Hom-algebras see [AM09, B17, BK19, MS08, SC15, WZ18, ZL17, GZ16, GW16, MS09, AE11, AS91, LS05, Y08].

In the present paper we study the structure of split regular Hom-Leibniz 3-algebras of arbitrary dimension and over an arbitrary base field  $K$ . Split structures appeared first in the classical theory of (finite-dimensional) Lie algebras but have been extended to more general settings like, for example,

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 17A60, 17A32, 17A40.

*Key words and phrases*: Filippov algebra, Lie triple system, Leibniz 3-algebra, Hom-algebra, color algebra, split algebra, root space, simple component, structure theory.

Received 20 July 2018; revised 12 September 2018.

Published online 24 May 2019.

Leibniz algebras [CS12], Poisson algebras, Leibniz superalgebras [C131], regular Hom-Lie algebras [AC15], regular Hom-Lie superalgebras [AB18], regular Hom-Lie color algebras [C172], regular Hom-Poisson algebras [AC16], regular Hom-Leibniz algebras [CC18], regular BiHom-Lie algebras [CS16], regular BiHom-Lie superalgebras [ZC18]. As for the study of split ternary structures, see [C09, CF09] for Lie triple systems and twisted inner derivation triple systems, [CF11] for Lie 3-algebras, [CS17] for Leibniz 3-algebras and [CC15, C171] for Leibniz triple systems.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the main definitions and results related to 3-algebras. For  $T$  a Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra or a Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism we construct its multiplication algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$ , which is a Lie color algebra with an automorphism, and its standard envelope, in terms of which we give the definition of a split Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra and of a split Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism, and show how these concepts are related.

In Section 3 we develop root connection techniques in this framework and apply it to get certain decomposition theorems for the algebras above (for root connection techniques see more in [BK18, BS19, CK18] and references therein). We prove that an arbitrary split regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra  $T$  can be decomposed as  $T = \mathcal{U} + \sum_j I_j$  where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a subspace of the 0-root space  $T_0$  and the  $I_j$  are ideals of  $T$  satisfying

$$[T, I_j, I_k] + [I_j, T, I_k] + [I_j, I_k, T] = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq k.$$

Finally, in Section 4 we see how the above decomposition of  $T$  can be used to obtain a similar decomposition of  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

REMARK. Note that this paper is the first attempt to extend the theory of split algebras to  $n$ -ary Hom-algebras ( $n > 2$ ). Until now, only split Hom-algebras and split 3-algebras have been studied.

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1. Color algebras.** In this subsection we recall the basic notions related to color algebras and maps on them.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field and  $\mathbb{G}$  be an abelian group. A map  $\epsilon : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$  is called a *bicharacter* on  $\mathbb{G}$  if for all  $f, g, h \in \mathbb{G}$ :

- (1)  $\epsilon(f, g + h) = \epsilon(f, g)\epsilon(f, h)$ ;
- (2)  $\epsilon(g + h, f) = \epsilon(g, f)\epsilon(h, f)$ ;
- (3)  $\epsilon(g, h)\epsilon(h, g) = 1$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. A  $\mathbb{G}$ -graded color  $n$ -ary algebra  $T$  is a vector space  $T = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathbb{G}} T_g$  with an  $n$ -linear map  $[\cdot, \dots, \cdot] : T \times \dots \times T \rightarrow T$  satisfying

$$[T_{\theta_1}, \dots, T_{\theta_n}] \subseteq T_{\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_n}, \quad \theta_i \in \mathbb{G}.$$

Let  $T = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathbb{G}} T_g$  be a color algebra. An element  $x$  is called *homogeneous of degree*  $t \in \mathbb{G}$  if  $x \in T_t$ . We then write  $\text{hg}(x) = t$ . The  $\mathbb{G}$ -grading on  $T$  induces a  $\mathbb{G}$ -grading on the space of linear maps on  $T$ : a linear map  $D$  on  $T$  is homogeneous of degree  $t$ , written  $\text{hg}(D) = t$ , if  $D(T_g) \subseteq T_{g+t}$  for all  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ ; we then write. If  $D$  is homogeneous of degree  $t = 0$  then  $D$  is said to be *even*. From now on, unless otherwise stated, we assume that all elements and maps are homogeneous.

Let  $\epsilon$  be a bicharacter on  $\mathbb{G}$ . For homogeneous elements  $a$  and  $b$  we set  $\epsilon(a, b) := \epsilon(\text{hg}(a), \text{hg}(b))$ .

**2.2. Color Hom-algebras.** In this subsection we consider Hom-algebraic structures that are twisted versions of the original algebraic structures, and linear maps on them.

DEFINITION 2.3. A *Hom-algebra* is an algebra  $A$  with a fixed linear map  $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ . A homomorphism of two Hom-algebras  $(A, \phi)$  and  $(B, \psi)$  is an algebra homomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\varphi\phi = \psi\varphi$ .

REMARK 2.4. The most general definition says that an  $n$ -ary Hom-algebra is an  $n$ -ary algebra  $A$  equipped with a certain family  $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$  of linear maps. However, it is hard to work in such a general context. Therefore, in this paper we will only consider the case where the maps  $\phi_i$  are all equal to one map  $\phi$  which is also a homomorphism of the underlying algebra structure of  $A$ . Such algebras are called *multiplicative  $n$ -ary Hom-algebras*. From now on we will work only with multiplicative Hom-algebras (the exact formulations will be given later). Also from now on we will assume that  $\phi$  is an automorphism of  $A$ . In this case  $A$  is called a regular Hom-algebra.

The definitions of color and Hom-algebras can be unified into one:

DEFINITION 2.5. A *color Hom-algebra*  $A$  is a color algebra  $(A, \epsilon)$  with a fixed even linear map  $\phi$ . A homomorphism of two color Hom-algebras  $(A, \mathbb{G}, \epsilon_A, \phi)$  and  $(B, \mathbb{G}, \epsilon_B, \psi)$  is an even map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  that is an algebra homomorphism and  $\varphi\phi = \psi\varphi$ .

**2.3. Color Hom- $\Omega$  algebras.** In this subsection we give definitions and study the basic properties of Hom-Leibniz color (binary and ternary) algebras.

First we briefly discuss color Hom-algebras defined by identities. Given a variety  $\Omega$  of ( $n$ -ary) algebras defined by a family  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  of identities we may obtain the identities  $\{(f_i)_{\text{co}}^{\text{Hom}}\}_{i \in I}$  of the corresponding variety of color Hom- $\Omega$  algebras by twisting the defining identities by homomorphisms, usually called the twisting maps. The details can be found in [BK19, CM15].

As an example, we give the definitions of Hom-Leibniz color (3-)algebras, and their important subclasses. As usual, let  $\mathbb{G}$  be an abelian group and  $\epsilon$  be a bicharacter on  $\mathbb{G}$ . From now on we only work with regular algebras.

DEFINITION 2.6. A *regular Hom-Leibniz color algebra*  $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi)$  is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -graded vector space  $L$  with even multiplication  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  and an even automorphism  $\phi$  satisfying

$$[\phi(x), [y, z]] = [[x, y], \phi(z)] + \epsilon(x, y)[\phi(y), [x, z]].$$

If additionally the identity

$$[x, y] = -\epsilon(x, y)[y, x]$$

holds in  $L$  (that is, multiplication in  $T$  is color-anticommutative), then  $L$  is called a *regular Hom-Lie color algebra*.

DEFINITION 2.7. A *regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra*  $(T, [\cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi)$  is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -graded vector space  $T$  with a bicharacter  $\epsilon$ , an even trilinear map  $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$  and an even automorphism  $\phi$  satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2), [y_1, y_2, y_3]] \\ &= [[x_1, x_2, y_1], \phi(y_2), \phi(y_3)] + \epsilon(x_1 + x_2, y_1)[\phi(y_1), [x_1, x_2, y_2], \phi(y_3)] \\ & \quad + \epsilon(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2)[\phi(y_1), \phi(y_2), [x_1, x_2, y_3]]. \end{aligned}$$

If additionally the identities

$$[x_1, x_2, x_3] = -\epsilon(x_1, x_2)[x_2, x_1, x_3], \quad [x_1, x_2, x_3] = -\epsilon(x_2, x_3)[x_1, x_3, x_2]$$

hold in  $T$ , then  $T$  is called a *regular Hom-Lie color 3-algebra*, and if the identities

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1, x_2, x_3] = -\epsilon(x_1, x_2)[x_2, x_1, x_3], \\ & \epsilon(x_3, x_1)[x_1, x_2, x_3] + \epsilon(x_1, x_2)[x_2, x_3, x_1] + \epsilon(x_2, x_3)[x_3, x_1, x_2] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

hold in  $T$ , then  $T$  is called a *regular Hom-Lie triple color system*.

REMARK 2.8. In particular, if  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ , we get the definition of a *Hom-Leibniz (3-)superalgebra*, and if  $\mathbb{G}$  is the trivial group  $\{1\}$  then we get a *Hom-Leibniz (3-)algebra*. If  $\phi$  is the identity automorphism on  $T$  then we get the definition of a Leibniz color 3-algebra, as the defining identity turns into

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & [x_1, x_2, [y_1, y_2, y_3]] = [[x_1, x_2, y_1], y_2, y_3] \\ & \quad + \epsilon(x_1 + x_2, y_1)[y_1, [x_1, x_2, y_2], y_3] + \epsilon(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2)[y_1, y_2, [x_1, x_2, y_3]]. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that (2.2) is known as the *Leibniz identity*.

As an example, let  $T$  be any  $\mathbb{G}$ -graded space. We denote by  $\text{End}(T)$  the set of all linear maps on  $T$ . One easily checks that  $\text{End}(T)$  with the grading  $\text{End}(T) = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathbb{G}} \text{End}_g(T)$  endowed with the color bracket

$$(2.3) \quad [D_1, D_2] = D_1 D_2 - \epsilon(D_1, D_2) D_2 D_1$$

is a color Lie algebra.

DEFINITION 2.9. Let  $T$  be a Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra. An *ideal* of  $T$  is a  $\phi$ -invariant subspace  $I$  such that

$$[I, T, T] + [T, I, T] + [T, T, I] \subseteq I.$$

The *annihilator* of  $T$  is the set

$$(T) = \{x \in T : [x, T, T] + [T, x, T] + [T, T, x] = 0\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $(T)$  is an ideal of  $T$ .

So, our main objects will be regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebras. Any such algebra is given by the following data:  $T = (T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi)$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -bicharacter on  $T$  and  $\phi$  is an automorphism such that (2.1) holds.

We also consider the category of Leibniz color 3-algebras with automorphisms. Any such algebra is given as  $T = (T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi)$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -bicharacter on  $T$  such that (2.2) holds and  $\phi$  is an automorphism of  $T$ . The morphisms in this category are the maps respecting the algebra structure and grading, and commuting with automorphisms.

Note that the latter category is not a subcategory of the former: the defining relations are different! However, these categories are isomorphic. Indeed, given a Leibniz color 3-algebra  $T = (T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi)$  with an automorphism, consider an algebra  $T^\phi = (T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]^\phi, \phi, \epsilon)$ , where

$$[x, y, z]^\phi = \phi([x, y, z]).$$

One can check that  $T^\phi$  is a regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra. Moreover, this construction is universal in the following sense: if  $T = (T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \phi, \epsilon)$  is a regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra, then one easily checks that  $T^{\phi^{-1}} = (T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]^{\phi^{-1}}, \epsilon)$ , where

$$[x, y, z]^{\phi^{-1}} = \phi^{-1}([x, y, z]),$$

is a Leibniz color 3-algebra with the automorphism  $\phi$ , and this construction is clearly inverse to the one above. Hence, the category of regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebras is isomorphic to the category of Leibniz color 3-algebras with an automorphism. Occasionally, we will refer to the passage  $T \rightarrow T^{\phi^{-1}}$  as *dehomification*.

The ideal  $J$  generated by the set

$$\{[x, y, z] + \epsilon(x, y)[y, x, z], [x, y, z] + \epsilon(y, z)[x, z, y] : x, y, z \in T\}$$

plays an important role in the study of Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebras, since  $T$  is a Hom-Lie color 3-algebra if and only if  $J = \{0\}$ .

Following the ideas of Abdykassymova and Dzhumadil'daev [AD01] for Leibniz algebras, and of Cao and Chen [CC15, C171] for Leibniz triple systems, we introduce the following notion.

DEFINITION 2.10. We say that  $(T, \phi)$  is a *simple Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra* if its triple product is non-zero and its only  $\phi$ -invariant ideals are  $\{0\}$ ,  $J$  and  $T$ .

Note that this definition is consistent with the notion of a simple Hom-Lie 3-algebra since  $J = \{0\}$  in that case.

**2.4. Operator algebras.** In this subsection, inspired by the ideas of [V17], we associate with a Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra  $T$  a certain Lie color algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$  of “derivations” of  $T$ , and analogously for a Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism. This construction will be important in the following discussion.

Let  $T = (T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi)$  be a Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra. Consider the space  $\mathfrak{L} = \text{span}\{(x, y) : x, y \in T\}$ , where  $(x, y)(z) := [x, y, z]$ , and let  $\ell \in \mathfrak{L}$ . Then the identity (2.1) implies that

$$(2.4) \quad (\ell \circ \phi^{-1})[y_1, y_2, y_3] = [(\phi^{-1} \circ \ell)y_1, y_2, y_3] + \epsilon(\ell, y_1)[y_1, (\phi^{-1} \circ \ell)y_2, y_3] + \epsilon(\ell, y_1 + y_2)[y_1, y_2, (\phi^{-1} \circ \ell)y_3].$$

Consider the algebra  $\text{End}(T)$  with multiplication

$$[D_1, D_2]_{\phi^{-1}} = D_1\phi^{-1}D_2 - \epsilon(D_1, D_2)D_2\phi^{-1}D_1.$$

This algebra is called the  $\phi^{-1}$ -homotope of  $\text{End}(T)$ , denoted by  $\text{End}(T)_{\phi^{-1}}$  and is in fact isomorphic to  $\text{End}(T)$  via the mapping  $\varphi$  sending  $\ell \in \text{End}(T)$  to  $\phi^{-1}\ell$ . In particular,  $\text{End}(T)_{\phi^{-1}}$  is a Lie color algebra.

Relation (2.4) implies that  $\mathfrak{L}$  is a subalgebra of  $\text{End}(T)_{\phi^{-1}}$ . In particular, multiplication in  $\mathfrak{L}$  is given by

$$(2.5) \quad [(a, b), (c, d)] = ([a, b, c]^{\phi^{-1}}, d) + \epsilon(a + b, c)(c, [a, b, d]^{\phi^{-1}}).$$

Note that conjugation by  $\phi$  remains an automorphism in  $\text{End}(T)_{\phi^{-1}}$ :

$$[\phi D_1 \phi^{-1}, \phi D_2 \phi^{-1}]_{\phi^{-1}} = \phi [D_1, D_2]_{\phi^{-1}} \phi^{-1}.$$

Since  $\phi$  is an automorphism of  $T$ , one can see that  $\mathfrak{L}$  is invariant under this automorphism:

$$\phi(x, y)\phi^{-1} = (\phi(x), \phi(y)).$$

The algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$  also has a  $\mathbb{G}$ -grading induced by the  $\mathbb{G}$ -grading of  $T$ . Hence,  $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\phi^{-1}}, \epsilon, \phi \cdot \phi^{-1})$  is a Lie color algebra with an automorphism. This algebra is called the *multiplication algebra* of  $T$ .

Note that since every element of  $\mathfrak{L}$  is of the form  $\sum(x_i, y_i)$ , we have

$$(2.6) \quad [(\ell, (a, b))] = (\phi^{-1}\ell a, b) + \epsilon(\ell, a)(a, \phi^{-1}\ell b)$$

for any  $a, b \in T$  and  $\ell \in \mathfrak{L}$ .

Now we consider an analogous construction for a Leibniz color 3-algebra  $T = (T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi)$  with an automorphism. The difference here is that the construction almost does not depend on the automorphism  $\phi$ , so we may

suppose that we are doing it for a Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra with a trivial automorphism  $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \text{id})$ , thus it is a particular case of the construction above. The only part of the new construction in which  $\phi$  participates explicitly is the construction of the automorphism on  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

Recall that a linear mapping  $D$  on  $T$  is called a *derivation* if

$$D[a, b, c] = [D(a), b, c] + \epsilon(D, a)[a, D(b), c] + \epsilon(D, a + b)[a, b, D(c)]$$

for all  $a, b, c \in T$ . The identity (2.2) then says that the left multiplication operators  $(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in T$ , are derivations.

Moreover, in this case the homotopy above is trivial and the bracket in  $\mathfrak{L}$  coincides with the bracket (2.3):

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} [(a, b), (c, d)] &= (a, b) \circ (c, d) - \epsilon(a + b, c + d)(c, d) \circ (a, b) \\ &= ([a, b, c], d) + \epsilon(a + b, c)(c, [a, b, d]). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously to the construction above,  $\mathfrak{L}$  has a  $\mathbb{G}$ -grading induced by  $\mathbb{G}$ -grading of  $T$  and conjugation by  $\phi$  induces an automorphism in  $\mathfrak{L}$ . Thus, in this case  $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi \cdot \phi^{-1})$  is a Lie color algebra with an automorphism. Yet again we call it the *multiplication algebra* of  $T$ .

In this case the identity (2.6) takes the following simplified form:

$$(2.8) \quad [\ell, (a, b)] = (\ell a, b) + \epsilon(\ell, a)(a, \ell b)$$

for any  $a, b \in T$  and  $\ell \in \mathfrak{L}$ .

**2.5. The standard embedding.** This subsection is inspired by [V17]. Here, for  $T$  a regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra or a Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism we extend the algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$  constructed above by the space isomorphic to  $T$  and obtain a larger color (but not necessarily color Lie) 2-graded algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  containing  $T$  in a certain sense. This algebra is called the standard envelope of  $T$  and plays a crucial role in our discussion. Finally, we prove that the standard envelopes of the algebra  $T$  and of its dehomification  $T^{\phi^{-1}}$  are isomorphic, explicitly constructing an isomorphism between them.

Recall that an algebra  $A$  is said to be *2-graded* if there exist linear subspaces  $A^0$  and  $A^1$  of  $A$ , called the *even part* and the *odd part* respectively, such that  $A = A^0 \oplus A^1$  and  $A^\alpha A^\beta \subset A^{\alpha+\beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

DEFINITION 2.11. The *standard embedding* of a regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra  $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi)$  is a color 2-graded algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \epsilon, \Phi)$  with an automorphism, where  $\mathcal{A}^0 := \mathfrak{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^1 := T$ , the product is given by

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} ((x, y), z) \cdot ((u, v), w) \\ = \left( ([x, y, u]^{\phi^{-1}}, v) + \epsilon(x + y, u)(u, [x, y, v]^{\phi^{-1}}) + (z, w), \right. \\ \left. [x, y, w]^{\phi^{-1}} - \epsilon(z, u + v)[u, v, z]^{\phi^{-1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the automorphism  $\Phi$  is

$$(2.10) \quad \Phi : \begin{cases} x \mapsto \phi(x), \\ (x, y) \mapsto \phi(x, y)\phi^{-1} = (\phi(x), \phi(y)), \end{cases} \quad \text{for } x, y \in T,$$

and the  $\mathbb{G}$ -grading is induced by the  $\mathbb{G}$ -gradings of  $T$  and  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

It can be easily verified that  $\Phi$  is indeed an automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The name ‘‘embedding’’ is justified as follows. Introduce the structure of a Leibniz color 3-algebra in  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $[x, y, z] = [[x, y], z]$ . Consider then the regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra  $\mathcal{A}^\Phi$ . One can easily see that the subspace  $T \subset \mathcal{A}^\Phi$  with the induced multiplication, automorphism and  $\mathbb{G}$ -grading is exactly the algebra  $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi)$  (for details, see [V17]).

DEFINITION 2.12. Let now  $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi)$  be a Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism. Then the standard embedding of  $T$  is a color 2-graded algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \epsilon, \Phi)$  with an automorphism, where  $\mathcal{A}^0 := \mathfrak{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^1 := T$ , the product is given by

$$(2.11) \quad ((x, y), z) \cdot ((u, v), w) := (([x, y, u], v) + \epsilon(x + y, u)(u, [x, y, v]) + (z, w), [x, y, w] - \epsilon(z, u + v)[u, v, z]).$$

and the automorphism  $\Phi$  and the  $\mathbb{G}$ -grading are as in the previous definition.

REMARK 2.13. Although in both cases  $\mathcal{A}'$  is a Lie color algebra,  $\mathcal{A}$  is not, in general, a (2-graded) Lie color algebra. However, analogously to [V17] one can prove that if  $T$  is a Hom-Lie triple color system, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Lie color algebra.

We will consider the relation between the standard embeddings of a Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra  $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \phi, \epsilon)$  and its dehomification  $T^{\phi^{-1}}$  defined above. Note first that by the definition of  $T^{\phi^{-1}}$  we have

$$(2.12) \quad {}_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}(x, y) = \phi_T^{-1}(x, y),$$

where  $x, y \in T$  and  ${}_T(x, y)$ ,  ${}_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}(x, y)$  are the left multiplication operators in the corresponding algebras. Thus, we have

$$\mathfrak{L}(T^{\phi^{-1}}) = \phi^{-1}\mathfrak{L}(T),$$

and this allows us to define a linear map (recall the isomorphism between  $\text{End}(T)$  and its homotope  $\text{End}(T)_{\phi^{-1}}$  of the previous subsection)  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})$  by

$$\varphi : \begin{cases} x \mapsto x, \\ {}_T(x, y) \mapsto {}_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}(x, y) = \phi_T^{-1}(x, y), \end{cases} \quad \text{for } x, y \in T.$$

PROPOSITION 2.14. *The map  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism of color 2-graded algebras with an automorphism.*



*Proof.* By construction,  $\varphi$  is bijective and respects the  $\mathbb{G}$ -grading and the 2-grading. So we only need to verify that  $\varphi$  respects multiplication and commutes with automorphisms, which is done by straightforward checking. Let  $x, y, z, w \in T$ . Using the definitions of multiplication in  $\mathcal{A}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(T(x, y)) \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})} \varphi(T(z, w)) &= {}_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}(x, y) \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})} {}_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}(z, w) \\ &= {}_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}([x, y, z]_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}, w) + \epsilon(x + y, z) {}_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}(z, [x, y, w])_{T^{\phi^{-1}}} \\ &= \phi^{-1}(T([x, y, z]_T^{\phi^{-1}}, w) + \epsilon(x + y, z) T(z, [x, y, w]_T^{\phi^{-1}})) \\ &= \varphi({}_{T(x, y)} \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T)} {}_{T(z, w)}), \\ \varphi(z) \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})} \varphi(w) &= z \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})} w = {}_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}(z, w) = \varphi({}_{T(z, w)}) = \varphi(z \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T)} w), \\ \varphi(T(x, y)) \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})} \varphi(w) &= {}_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}(x, y) \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})} w = [x, y, w]_{T^{\phi^{-1}}} \\ &= [x, y, w]_T^{\phi^{-1}} = \varphi({}_{T(x, y)} \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T)} w). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously one can check that  $\varphi$  preserves products of the type  $z \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T)} {}_{T(x, y)}$ .

Now we check that  $\varphi$  commutes with automorphisms. Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  be automorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})$  respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\Phi(x)) &= \phi(x) = \Phi'(\varphi(x)), \\ \varphi(\Phi({}_{T(x, y)})) &= \varphi({}_{T(\phi(x), \phi(y))}) = {}_{T^{\phi^{-1}}(\phi(x), \phi(y))} \\ &= \Phi'({}_{T^{\phi^{-1}}(x, y)}) = \Phi'(\varphi({}_{T(x, y)})). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**2.6. Split structures.** In this subsection we introduce the class of split algebras in the framework of regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebras and Leibniz color 3-algebras with an automorphism. As in the previous papers on the subject (see [C09, CF11] and other papers on ternary split algebras), this is done by using the standard embedding algebra.

As in the previous section, let  $T$  be a regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra (or a Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism) and  $\mathcal{A}$  its standard embedding. Observe that the product in  $\mathcal{A}$  gives us a natural action:

$$\mathcal{A}^0 \times \mathcal{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1, \quad (x, y) \mapsto xy.$$

As we have seen earlier,  $\mathcal{A}^0$  is a Lie color algebra. Moreover, the identity (2.1) shows that this action endows  $\mathcal{A}^\infty$  with the structure of a color Lie module over  $\mathcal{A}'$ .

**DEFINITION 2.15.** Let  $T = (T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \epsilon, \phi)$  be a Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra (or a Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism) and let  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathfrak{L} \oplus T, \cdot, \epsilon, \Phi)$  be its standard embedding. Let  $H$  be a maximal abelian subalgebra (briefly MASA) of  $\mathfrak{L}_0$ , the zeroth  $\mathbb{G}$ -component of  $\mathfrak{L}$ . The *root space* of  $T$  with respect to  $H$  associated to a linear functional  $\alpha \in H^*$  is the

subspace

$$T_\alpha := \{v \in T : h \cdot v = \alpha(h)v \text{ for any } h \in H\}.$$

The elements  $\alpha \in H^*$  such that  $T_\alpha \neq 0$  are called *roots* of  $T$  (with respect to  $H$ ), and we write  $\Lambda^T := \{\alpha \in H^* \setminus \{0\} : T_\alpha \neq 0\}$ . Analogously, we denote by  $\Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$  the set of all non-zero  $\alpha \in H^*$  such that  $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha \neq 0$ , where

$$\mathfrak{L}_\alpha := \{e \in \mathfrak{L} : [h, e] = \alpha(h)e \text{ for any } h \in H\}$$

are root subspaces of  $\mathfrak{L}$  with respect to  $T$ .

REMARK 2.16. Despite the fact that we work in the context of Hom-algebras, our definition of the root spaces  $T_\alpha$  does not contain the homomorphism  $\phi$  explicitly (cf. [C172, CC18]). This is because we define the root spaces via multiplication in  $\mathcal{A}(T)$ , which is *not* a Hom-algebra (but an algebra with an automorphism). In fact, the definition of the product in the standard envelope  $\mathcal{A}$  already contains the operator  $\phi^{-1}$ , which “cancels out” with the operator  $\phi$  which should be present in the “usual” definition of a split Hom-algebra (i.e.  $(x, y) \cdot w = [x, y, w]^{\phi^{-1}} = \phi^{-1}([x, y, w])$ ). The material of the next subsection should clarify and justify this idea.

It is an easy exercise to prove that the root spaces  $T_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda^T$ , and  $L_\beta$ ,  $\beta \in \Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$ , are graded (see, for example, [C172]).

The following result collects some basic properties of the subspaces  $T_\alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha$ . The proof is based on the Jacobi identity (which holds in  $\mathfrak{L}$ ) and on the identity (2.1). We omit the details since the argument is similar to the proof of [CF11, Lemma 2.1].

LEMMA 2.17. *Let  $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \phi, \epsilon)$  be a Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism,  $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{L} \oplus T$  its standard embedding and  $H$  a MASA of  $\mathfrak{L}_0$ . For any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$  and  $\delta, \epsilon \in \Lambda^\mathfrak{L} \cup \{0\}$ , the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *If  $(T_\alpha, T_\beta) \neq 0$  then  $\alpha + \beta \in \Lambda^\mathfrak{L} \cup \{0\}$ , and  $(T_\alpha, T_\beta) \subset \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha+\beta}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\mathfrak{L}_\delta T_\alpha \neq 0$  then  $\delta + \alpha \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_\delta T_\alpha \subset T_{\delta+\alpha}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $T_\alpha \mathfrak{L}_\delta \neq 0$  then  $\alpha + \delta \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$  and  $T_\alpha \mathfrak{L}_\delta \subset T_{\alpha+\delta}$ .*
- (iv) *If  $[\mathfrak{L}_\delta, \mathfrak{L}_\epsilon] \neq 0$  then  $\delta + \epsilon \in \Lambda^\mathfrak{L} \cup \{0\}$  and  $[\mathfrak{L}_\delta, \mathfrak{L}_\epsilon] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{\delta+\epsilon}$ .*
- (v) *If  $[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma] \neq 0$  then  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$  and  $[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma] \subset T_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}$ .*
- (vi)  *$\phi(T_\alpha) \subseteq T_{\alpha\phi^{-1}}$ ,  $\phi^{-1}(T_\alpha) \subseteq T_{\alpha\phi}$  and  $\alpha\Phi^k \in \Lambda^T$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*
- (vii)  *$\Phi(\mathfrak{L}_\delta) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_{\delta\Phi^{-1}}$ ,  $\Phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}_\delta) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_{\delta\Phi}$  and  $\delta\Phi^k \in \Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

REMARK 2.18. Note that the verbatim analogue of the above lemma can be proved for  $T$  a regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra, except that in (v) we have  $[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma]^{\phi^{-1}} \subset T_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}$ .

Now we present the main definition of the paper.

DEFINITION 2.19. Let  $T$  be a Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra or a Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism, and  $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{L} \oplus T$  its standard embed-

ding. Then  $T$  is said to be a *split algebra* if there exists a MASA  $H$  of  $\mathfrak{L}_0$  such that

$$(2.13) \quad T = T_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda^T} T_\alpha$$

in the sense of Definition 2.15. The set  $\Lambda^T$  is called the *root system* of  $T$ . We refer to (2.13) as the *root space decomposition* of  $T$ .

REMARK 2.20. In contrast to the previous papers considering split ternary algebras, in the color case we cannot guarantee that  $[T_0, T_0, T_0] = 0$ , since  $(T_0, T_0) \not\subseteq H$ .

**2.7. Reduction to the color case.** In this subsection we show that for a split regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra  $T$ , the algebra  $T^{\phi^{-1}}$  is also split, and vice versa. Therefore, we may consider only the case of Leibniz color 3-algebras with an automorphism.

Let  $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \phi, \epsilon)$  be a regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra, let  $H$  be a MASA of  $\mathfrak{L}$ , and  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $v \in T_\alpha$ . Consider the Leibniz color 3-algebra  $T^{\phi^{-1}}$ . Recall that the algebras  $\mathcal{A}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})$  are isomorphic (via the map  $\varphi$ ) as 2-graded color algebras with an automorphism. Hence, the subalgebra  $\varphi(H) = \phi^{-1}H$  is a MASA of  $\mathfrak{L}(T^{\phi^{-1}})$ . Now,  $h = \varphi(h') \in \varphi(H)$  and since  $\varphi$  is an algebra isomorphism, we get

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} h \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})} v &= \varphi(h') \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})} \varphi(v) = \varphi(h' \cdot_{\mathcal{A}(T)} v) = \varphi(\alpha(h')v) \\ &= \alpha(h')v = \alpha(\varphi^{-1}(h))v = \alpha(\phi h)v. \end{aligned}$$

This clearly implies that

$$(2.15) \quad T_\alpha = (T^{\phi^{-1}})_{\alpha \circ \varphi^{-1}},$$

and if  $T$  is a split Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra (2.13), then

$$T^{\phi^{-1}} = T_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda^T} T_{\alpha \circ \varphi^{-1}}.$$

Therefore,  $T^{\phi^{-1}}$  is a split Leibniz color 3-algebra with the root system  $\Lambda^{T^{\phi^{-1}}} = \Lambda^T \circ \varphi^{-1}$ . Clearly, the converse also holds.

Hence, we need only prove our results in the case of split Leibniz color 3-algebras. Having obtained our main results for  $T^{\phi^{-1}}$ , we can go back to the Hom case by reversing the process above and obtain the analogous results. This will be done explicitly in the subsequent sections.

### 3. Split Leibniz color 3-algebras

**3.1. Spaces associated to roots.** In this subsection for any roots  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^T$  we introduce certain subspaces  $T_{0,\alpha}$  and  $T(\alpha, \beta)$  which are going to be important later.

Let  $\alpha \in \Lambda^T$ . We define the space  $T_{0,\alpha}$  inductively:  $T_{0,\alpha}^{(0)} = [T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_0]$ , and  $T_{0,\alpha}^{(k)} = [T_{0,\alpha}^{(k-1)}, T_0, T_0]$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Finally, we set

$$(3.1) \quad T_{0,\alpha} = \sum_{k \geq 0} T_{0,\alpha}^{(k)}.$$

The spaces  $T_{0,\alpha}^{(k)}$  satisfy some relations:

LEMMA 3.1.

- (1)  $[T_0, T_0, T_{0,\alpha}^{(k)}] \subseteq T_{0,\alpha}^{(k)}$ ,
- (2)  $[T_0, T_{0,\alpha}^{(k)}, T_0] \subseteq T_{0,\alpha}^{(k)} + T_{0,\alpha}^{(k+1)}$ .

The proof is a simple induction applying (2.2).

Now, let  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^T$ . Consider the following subspace:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha, \beta) = & ([T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_\beta])_{\circlearrowleft \alpha \leftrightarrow -\alpha, \beta \leftrightarrow -\beta, \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta} \\ & + ([T_{0,\alpha}, T_\beta, T_0] + [T_{0,\alpha}, T_{0,\beta}, T_0])_{\circlearrowleft S^3, \alpha \leftrightarrow -\alpha, \beta \leftrightarrow -\beta, \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where the subscripts mean that we take the sum of all spaces obtained by changing the order in the triple product or interchanging  $\alpha$  and  $-\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $-\beta$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Hence, by construction, this space is symmetric with respect to the symmetries above, i.e.,

$$T(\alpha, \beta) = T(-\alpha, \beta) = T(\alpha, -\beta) = T(\beta, \alpha) = \dots$$

**3.2. Connections of roots.** Our main tool is the so-called connections of roots. In this section we give the notion of connectivity of roots for Leibniz color 3-algebras with an automorphism. In what follows,  $T$  denotes a split Leibniz color 3-algebra with root space decomposition  $T = T_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda^T} T_\alpha$ .

DEFINITION 3.2. The root system  $\Lambda^T[\Lambda^\mathfrak{L}]$  is called *symmetric* if  $\Lambda^T = -\Lambda^T$  [ $\Lambda^\mathfrak{L} = -\Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$ ], where for  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{Y} \subset H^*$  the set  $-\mathcal{Y}$  is just  $\{-\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{Y}\}$ .

From now on, we will suppose that both  $\Lambda^T, \Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$  are symmetric.

For each  $\alpha \in \Lambda^T$  introduce a new symbol  $\theta_\alpha$ , and let  $\Theta = \{\theta_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda^T\}$ . Define a new operation

$$\dot{+} : (\Lambda^T \cup \Lambda^\mathfrak{L} \cup \Theta_\Omega) \times (\Lambda^T \cup \{0\}) \rightarrow H^* \cup \Theta_\Omega$$

as follows:

- For  $\alpha \in \Lambda^T \cup \Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\beta \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$  with  $\beta \neq -\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \dot{+} \beta \in H^*$  is the usual sum of linear functionals.
- For  $\alpha \in \Lambda^T$ ,

$$\alpha \dot{+} (-\alpha) = \theta_\alpha.$$

- For  $\theta_\alpha \in \Theta$  and  $\beta \in \Lambda^T$ ,

$$\theta_\alpha \dot{+} \beta = \begin{cases} \beta & \text{if } \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} T(\alpha \Phi^k, \beta \Phi^k) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- For  $\theta_\alpha \in \Theta$  and  $0 : H \rightarrow K$ , we define  $\theta_\alpha \dot{+} 0 = 0$ .

REMARK 3.3. Note that  $\alpha \dot{+} \beta$  coincides with  $\alpha + \beta$  for  $\alpha \in \Lambda^T \cup \Lambda^\mathcal{L}$  and  $\beta \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$ , except for the case  $\beta = -\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \dot{+} -\alpha = \theta_\alpha$ .

The following two lemmas collect basic properties of the operation  $\dot{+}$  which we will use later (see also [CF11, Lemmas 3.1–3.3]).

LEMMA 3.4. *For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^T$  such that  $\theta_\alpha \dot{+} \beta = \beta$  we have:*

- (i)  $\theta_\beta \dot{+} \alpha = \alpha$ .
- (ii)  $\theta_{-\alpha} \dot{+} \beta = \beta$ .
- (iii)  $\theta_{-\alpha} \dot{+} (-\beta) = -\beta$ .
- (iv)  $\theta_{\alpha \Phi^k} \dot{+} \beta \Phi^k = \beta \Phi^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Just use the symmetry of  $T(\alpha, \beta)$  with respect to  $\alpha \leftrightarrow -\alpha, \beta \leftrightarrow -\beta, \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$  and the definition of  $\dot{+}$ . ■

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $\alpha, \delta \in \Lambda^T$  and  $\beta, \gamma \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$ , and let  $\alpha \dot{+} \beta \in \Lambda^\mathcal{L} \cup \Theta$  with  $(\alpha \dot{+} \beta) \dot{+} \gamma = \delta$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\alpha \Phi^k \dot{+} \beta \Phi^k \in \Lambda^\mathcal{L} \cup \Theta$  and  $(\alpha \Phi^k \dot{+} \beta \Phi^k) \dot{+} \gamma \Phi^k = \delta \Phi^k$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (ii)  $(-\alpha) \dot{+} (-\beta) \in \Lambda^\mathcal{L} \cup \Theta$  and  $((-\alpha) \dot{+} (-\beta)) \dot{+} (-\gamma) = -\delta$ .
- (iii)  $\delta \dot{+} (-\gamma) \in \Lambda^\mathcal{L} \cup \Theta$  and  $(\delta \dot{+} (-\gamma)) \dot{+} (-\beta) = \alpha$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose first that  $\alpha \dot{+} \beta \in \Lambda^\mathcal{L}$ . Then by Remark 3.3, we have  $\alpha \dot{+} \beta = \alpha + \beta$  and  $(\alpha \dot{+} \beta) \dot{+} \gamma = \alpha + \beta + \gamma = \delta$ . Multiplying these equalities by  $\Phi^k$ , we get  $\alpha \Phi^k \dot{+} \beta \Phi^k = \alpha \Phi^k + \beta \Phi^k = (\alpha + \beta) \Phi^k \in \Lambda^\mathcal{L}$  and  $(\alpha \Phi^k \dot{+} \beta \Phi^k) \dot{+} \gamma \Phi^k = \alpha \Phi^k + \beta \Phi^k + \gamma \Phi^k = \delta \Phi^k$ .

Now suppose that  $\alpha + \beta = \theta_\alpha$  and  $\theta_\alpha + \gamma = \delta$ . Then  $\alpha + \beta = 0$ ,  $\gamma = \delta$  and  $\alpha \Phi^k \dot{+} \beta \Phi^k = \theta_{\alpha \Phi^k}$ . Since  $\theta_\alpha \dot{+} \gamma = \gamma$ , Lemma 3.4(iv) implies that  $\theta_{\alpha \Phi^k} \dot{+} \gamma \Phi^k = \gamma \Phi^k = \delta \Phi^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(ii) is proved analogously: just use Lemma 3.4(iii).

(iii) Suppose first that  $\alpha \dot{+} \beta \in \Lambda^\mathcal{L}$ . Then again  $\alpha \dot{+} \beta = \alpha + \beta$  and  $(\alpha \dot{+} \beta) \dot{+} \gamma = \alpha + \beta + \gamma = \delta$ . Therefore,  $\delta \dot{+} (-\gamma) = \delta - \gamma = \alpha + \beta \in \Lambda^\mathcal{L}$ ,  $(\delta \dot{+} (-\gamma)) \dot{+} (-\beta) = \delta - \gamma - \beta = \alpha$ .

Now suppose that  $\alpha \dot{+} \beta \in \Theta$ . Then  $\alpha + \beta = 0$ ,  $\gamma = \delta$  and  $\theta_\alpha \dot{+} \gamma = \gamma$ . Hence,  $\delta \dot{+} (-\gamma) = \theta_\delta \in \Theta$  and  $(\delta \dot{+} (-\gamma)) \dot{+} (-\beta) = \theta_\gamma \dot{+} \alpha = \alpha$  by Lemma 3.4(i). ■

We are now ready to introduce the key tool in our study.

DEFINITION 3.6. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be non-zero roots in  $\Lambda^T$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is *connected* to  $\beta$  (written  $\alpha \sim \beta$ ) if there exists an ordered set  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n+1}\} \subset \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$  satisfying the following conditions:

1.  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ .
2. Consecutive odd-element  $\dot{+}$ -sums belong to  $\pm\Lambda^T$ :

$$\{\alpha_1, (\alpha_1 \dot{+} \alpha_2) \dot{+} \alpha_3, (((\alpha_1 \dot{+} \alpha_2) \dot{+} \alpha_3) \dot{+} \alpha_4) \dot{+} \alpha_5, \dots, \\ ((\dots((\alpha_1 \dot{+} \alpha_2) \dot{+} \alpha_3) \dot{+} \dots) \dot{+} \alpha_{2n}) \dot{+} \alpha_{2n+1}\} \subset \pm\Lambda^T.$$

3. Consecutive even-element  $\dot{+}$ -sums belong to  $\pm\Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}}$  or  $\Theta$ :

$$\{\alpha_1 \dot{+} \alpha_2, ((\alpha_1 \dot{+} \alpha_2) \dot{+} \alpha_3) \dot{+} \alpha_4, \dots, \\ ((\dots((\alpha_1 \dot{+} \alpha_2) \dot{+} \alpha_3) \dot{+} \dots) \dot{+} \alpha_{2n-1}) \dot{+} \alpha_{2n}\} \subset \pm\Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}} \cup \Theta.$$

4.  $((\dots((\alpha_1 \dot{+} \alpha_2) \dot{+} \alpha_3) \dot{+} \dots) \dot{+} \alpha_{2n}) \dot{+} \alpha_{2n+1} = \pm\beta\Phi^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The family  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n+1}\}$  is then called a *connection* from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ .

By definition, for any  $\alpha \in \Lambda^T$  the set  $\{\alpha\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha$  to any root  $\pm\alpha\Phi^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, the relation  $\sim$  is reflexive.

Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n+1}\} \subset \pm\Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$  be a connection from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  such that

$$((\dots((\alpha_1 \dot{+} \alpha_2) \dot{+} \alpha_3) \dot{+} \dots) \dot{+} \alpha_{2n}) \dot{+} \alpha_{2n+1} = \varepsilon\beta\Phi^k,$$

where  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $n = 0$ , then  $\alpha = \pm\beta\Phi^{-k}$ . So  $\{\beta\}$  is a connection from  $\beta$  to  $\alpha$ . If  $n \geq 1$ , then Lemma 3.5(i, ii) implies that

$$((\dots((\varepsilon\alpha_1\Phi^{-k} \dot{+} \varepsilon\alpha_2\Phi^{-k}) \dot{+} \varepsilon\alpha_3\Phi^{-k}) \dot{+} \dots) \dot{+} \varepsilon\alpha_{2n}\Phi^{-k}) \dot{+} \varepsilon\alpha_{2n+1}\Phi^{-k} = \beta,$$

and Lemma 3.5(iii) implies that

$$\{\beta, -\varepsilon\alpha_{2n+1}\Phi^{-k}, -\varepsilon\alpha_{2n}\Phi^{-k}, \dots, -\varepsilon\alpha_3\Phi^{-k}, -\varepsilon\alpha_2\Phi^{-k}\}$$

is a connection from  $\beta$  to  $\alpha$ . That is,  $\sim$  is symmetric.

Now, let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n+1}\}$  and  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{2m+1}\}$  be connections from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  and from  $\beta$  to  $\gamma$ , respectively, such that

$$(\dots(\alpha_1 \dot{+} \alpha_2) \dot{+} \dots) \dot{+} \alpha_{2n+1} = \varepsilon_1\beta\Phi^k, \\ (\dots(\beta_1 \dot{+} \beta_2) \dot{+} \dots) \dot{+} \beta_{2m+1} = \varepsilon_2\gamma\Phi^l,$$

where  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$  and  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Again, Lemma 3.5(i, ii) implies that

$$(\dots(\varepsilon_1\beta_1\Phi^k \dot{+} \varepsilon_1\beta_2\Phi^k) \dot{+} \dots) \dot{+} \varepsilon_1\beta_{2m+1}\Phi^k = \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\gamma\Phi^{k+l}.$$

Thus, if  $m = 0$ , then  $\beta = \varepsilon_2\gamma\Phi^l$  and  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n+1}\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha$  to  $\gamma$ , and if  $m \geq 1$ , then  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n+1}, \varepsilon_1\beta_2\Phi^k, \dots, \varepsilon_1\beta_{2m+1}\Phi^k\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha$  to  $\gamma$ . Hence, the relation is transitive, and thus we have proved the following useful fact.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Suppose that the root systems  $\Lambda^T, \Lambda^{\mathcal{L}}$  are symmetric. Then the relation  $\sim$  on  $\Lambda^T$  is an equivalence relation.*

**3.3. Decompositions.** Let  $T$  be a split Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism and  $T = T_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda^T} T_\alpha$  the corresponding root space decomposition. By Proposition 3.7 the connectivity relation is an equivalence relation in  $\Lambda^T$ , so we can consider the quotient set

$$\Lambda^T / \sim = \{[\alpha] : \alpha \in \Lambda^T\},$$

where  $[\alpha]$  is the set of non-zero roots of  $T$  which are connected to  $\alpha$ . By the definition of  $\sim$ , it is clear that if  $\beta \in [\alpha]$  then  $\pm\beta\Phi^k \in [\alpha]$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We list some important cases in which two roots are connected:

LEMMA 3.8. *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^T$ .*

- (i) *If  $\alpha + \beta \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{L}} \cup \{0\}$ , then  $\alpha \sim \beta$ .*
- (ii) *If  $T(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha \sim \beta$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\{\alpha, \beta, 0\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ .

(ii)  $\{\alpha, -\alpha, \beta\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ . ■

Our goal in this section is to associate to each  $[\alpha]$  an ideal  $T_{[\alpha]}$  of  $T$ . Given  $\alpha \in \Lambda^T$ , we start by defining a set  $T_{0, [\alpha]} \subset T_0$  as follows:

$$(3.2) \quad T_{0, [\alpha]} = \text{span}_K \{ [T_\beta, T_\gamma, T_\delta] : \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [\alpha] \cup \{0\}, \beta + \gamma + \delta = 0 \text{ and } \delta \neq 0 \} + \sum_{\beta \in [\alpha]} T_{0, \beta}.$$

Next, we set

$$(3.3) \quad V_{[\alpha]} := \bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} T_\beta,$$

$$(3.4) \quad T_{[\alpha]} := T_{0, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}.$$

REMARK 3.9. The space  $T_{0, [\alpha]}$  collapses in special cases. For example, if the  $\mathbb{G}$ -grading is trivial, then for any  $\alpha \in \Lambda^T$  we have  $[T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_0] \subseteq HT_0 = 0$ . If  $T$  is a Hom-Lie color 3-algebra, then  $T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)} = [T_{0, \alpha}^{(k-1)}, T_0, T_0] = [T_0, T_0, T_{0, \alpha}^{(k-1)}] \subseteq T_{0, \alpha}^{(k-1)}$ , and for any  $\beta \in [\alpha]$  we have  $[T_\beta, T_{-\beta}, T_0] = [0, T_\beta, T_{-\beta}]$ . Hence, in this case

$$T_{0, [\alpha]} = \text{span}_K \{ [T_\beta, T_\gamma, T_\delta] : \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [\alpha] \cup \{0\}, \beta + \gamma + \delta = 0 \text{ and } \delta \neq 0 \}.$$

In fact, the same result holds for  $T$  a regular Hom-Lie triple system (for more details, see Lemma 3.11).

Our aim is to show that  $T_{[\alpha]}$  is an ideal of  $T$  for any  $[\alpha]$ . We begin by considering products involving the spaces  $T_\beta$ ,  $\beta \in [\alpha]$ .

LEMMA 3.10. Fix  $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda^T$  and let  $\alpha \in [\alpha_0]$  and  $\beta, \gamma \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$ .

- (i) If  $[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma] \neq 0$ , then  $\beta, \gamma, \alpha + \beta + \gamma \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and  $[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma] \subseteq T_{[\alpha_0]}$ .
- (ii) If  $[T_\beta, T_\alpha, T_\gamma] \neq 0$ , then  $\beta, \gamma, \beta + \alpha + \gamma \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and  $[T_\beta, T_\alpha, T_\gamma] \subseteq T_{[\alpha_0]}$ .
- (iii) If  $[T_\beta, T_\gamma, T_\alpha] \neq 0$ , then  $\beta, \gamma, \beta + \gamma + \alpha \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and  $[T_\beta, T_\gamma, T_\alpha] \subseteq T_{[\alpha_0]}$ .

*Proof.* This is proved analogously to [CS17, Lemma 4.4], so we only consider (i) (to gain insight into the definition of  $\dagger$  and  $T(\alpha, \beta)$ ) and direct the interested reader to the paper mentioned above for other cases.

By Lemma 2.17(i) we have  $\alpha + \beta \in \Lambda^\mathfrak{L} \cup \{0\}$ , hence  $\beta \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  by Lemma 3.8(i). We consider two cases:

Suppose first  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$ . It remains to check that  $\gamma \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$ . If  $\gamma \neq 0$ , then since  $-\gamma = \alpha + \beta \in \Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$ , one can see that  $\{\alpha, \beta, 0\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha$  to  $\gamma$ .

Now suppose  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \neq 0$ . We have  $[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma] \subseteq T_{0, [\alpha_0]}$  by the definition. If  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha + \beta \in \Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$  and the whole product lies in  $T_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \subseteq V_{[\alpha_0]}$ . If  $\gamma \neq 0$ , then  $\{\alpha, \beta, -\alpha - \beta - \gamma\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha$  to  $\gamma$ . Finally, if  $\alpha + \beta = 0$  then necessarily  $\gamma \neq 0$  and  $[T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_\gamma] \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $T(\alpha, \gamma) \neq 0$  and  $\alpha \sim \gamma$  by Lemma 3.8(ii). ■

In the next two lemmas we consider products involving  $T_{0, [\alpha_0]}$ .

LEMMA 3.11. Fix  $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda^T$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\gamma \in [\alpha_0]$  with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$  and  $\delta, \epsilon \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$ .

- (i) If  $[[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma], T_\delta, T_\epsilon] \neq 0$  then  
 $\delta, \epsilon, \delta + \epsilon \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and  $[[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma], T_\delta, T_\epsilon] \subseteq T_{[\alpha_0]}$ .
- (ii) If  $[T_\delta, [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma], T_\epsilon] \neq 0$  then  
 $\delta, \epsilon, \delta + \epsilon \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and  $[T_\delta, [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma], T_\epsilon] \subseteq T_{[\alpha_0]}$ .
- (iii) If  $[T_\delta, T_\epsilon, [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma]] \neq 0$  then  
 $\delta, \epsilon, \delta + \epsilon \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and  $[T_\delta, T_\epsilon, [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma]] \subseteq T_{[\alpha_0]}$ .

*Proof.* We only prove (i); parts (ii) and (iii) are proved analogously. By (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma], T_\delta, T_\epsilon] \\ & \subseteq [T_\alpha, T_\beta, [T_\gamma, T_\delta, T_\epsilon]] + [T_\gamma, [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\delta], T_\epsilon] + [T_\gamma, T_\delta, [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\epsilon]], \end{aligned}$$

so at least one of the spaces on the right side is non-zero.

Suppose that  $[T_\alpha, T_\beta, [T_\gamma, T_\delta, T_\epsilon]] \neq 0$ . Since  $[T_\gamma, T_\delta, T_\epsilon] \neq 0$  and  $\gamma \neq 0$ , by Lemma 3.10 we have  $\delta, \epsilon \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$ . By Lemma 2.17,  $[T_\alpha, T_\beta, [T_\gamma, T_\delta, T_\epsilon]] \subseteq$



$[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_{\gamma+\delta+\epsilon}]$ . Since at least one of  $\alpha, \beta$  is non-zero, we may apply Lemma 3.10 once more to get  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \epsilon = \delta + \epsilon \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$ .

Moreover, if  $\delta + \epsilon \neq 0$ , then the whole product lies in  $T_{\delta+\epsilon} \subseteq V_{[\alpha_0]}$ , and if  $\delta + \epsilon = 0$ , then it lies in  $[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma] \subseteq T_{0, [\alpha_0]}$ .

Now suppose  $[T_\gamma, [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\delta], T_\epsilon] \neq 0$ . Since  $[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\delta]$  and at least one of  $\alpha, \beta$  is non-zero, the previous lemma implies  $\delta, \alpha + \beta + \delta \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$ . By Lemma 2.17,  $[T_\gamma, [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\delta], T_\epsilon] \subseteq [T_\gamma, T_{\alpha+\beta+\delta}, T_\epsilon]$ . Since  $\gamma \neq 0$ , Lemma 3.10 implies that  $\epsilon \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \epsilon = \delta + \epsilon \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$ .

Moreover, if  $\delta + \epsilon \neq 0$ , then the whole product lies in  $T_{\delta+\epsilon} \subseteq V_{[\alpha_0]}$ . If  $\delta = -\epsilon$ , then it lies in  $[T_\gamma, T_{\alpha+\beta+\delta}, T_{-\delta}] \subseteq T_{0, [\alpha_0]}$  since all roots indexing the spaces in the product are in  $[\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and  $\gamma \neq 0$ .

The case  $[T_\gamma, T_\delta, [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\epsilon]] \neq 0$  is analogous to the previous one. ■

LEMMA 3.12. *Let  $\alpha \in [\alpha_0]$ ,  $\delta, \epsilon \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

- (i) *If  $[T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_\delta, T_\epsilon] \neq 0$  then  $\delta, \epsilon, \delta + \epsilon \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and  $[T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_\delta, T_\epsilon] \subseteq T_{[\alpha_0]}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $[T_\delta, T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_\epsilon] \neq 0$  then  $\delta, \epsilon, \delta + \epsilon \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and  $[T_\delta, T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_\epsilon] \subseteq T_{[\alpha_0]}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $[T_\delta, T_\epsilon, T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}] \neq 0$  then  $\delta, \epsilon, \delta + \epsilon \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and  $[T_\delta, T_\epsilon, T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}] \subseteq T_{[\alpha_0]}$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on  $k$  and begin with  $k = 0$ . Consider for example (i); let  $\alpha \in [\alpha_0]$  and assume that  $[[T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_0], T_\delta, T_\epsilon] \neq 0$ . As in Lemma 3.11, apply (2.2) to this product:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_0], T_\delta, T_\epsilon] \\ & \subseteq [T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, [T_0, T_\delta, T_\epsilon]] + [T_0, [T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_\delta], T_\epsilon] + [T_0, T_\delta, [T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_\epsilon]], \end{aligned}$$

and again consider three cases:

First suppose  $[T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, [T_0, T_\delta, T_\epsilon]] \neq 0$ . By Lemmas 2.17 and 3.10,

$$[T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, [T_0, T_\delta, T_\epsilon]] \subseteq [T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_{\delta+\epsilon}] \subseteq T_{\delta+\epsilon}$$

and  $\delta + \epsilon \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$ . If any of  $\delta, \epsilon$  is zero, then we are done. Suppose  $\delta, \epsilon \neq 0$ . Since  $[T_0, T_\delta, T_\epsilon] \neq 0$ , Lemma 3.10 implies  $\delta \sim \epsilon$ . If  $\delta + \epsilon \neq 0$ , then  $\delta \sim \delta + \epsilon \sim \alpha_0$ . If  $\delta = -\epsilon$ , then

$$0 \neq [T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, [T_0, T_\delta, T_{-\delta}]],$$

which implies  $\alpha \sim \delta$  by Lemma 3.11(iii) and we are done.

Moreover, if  $\delta + \epsilon \neq 0$ , then the whole product lies in  $T_{\delta+\epsilon} \subseteq V_{[\alpha_0]}$ , and if  $\delta + \epsilon = 0$ , then it lies in  $[T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_0] \subseteq T_{0, [\alpha_0]}$ .

Now suppose that  $[T_0, [T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_\delta], T_\epsilon] \neq 0$ . This implies that

$$[T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_\delta] \neq 0$$

and by Lemma 3.10,  $\delta \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$ . By Lemma 2.17,  $[T_0, T_\delta, T_\epsilon] \neq 0$ . If  $\delta \neq 0$ , Lemma 3.10 implies  $\epsilon, \delta + \epsilon \in [\delta] \cup \{0\} = [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  and we are done. If  $\delta = 0$  and  $\epsilon \neq 0$ , then the condition  $[T_0, [T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_0], T_\epsilon] \neq 0$  implies that

$T(\alpha, \epsilon) \neq 0$  and  $\alpha \sim \epsilon$  by Lemma 3.8. If  $\delta = \epsilon = 0$ , then there is nothing to prove.

If at least one of  $\delta, \epsilon$  is non-zero, then the whole product lies in  $[T_0, T_\delta, T_\epsilon] \subseteq T_{\delta+\epsilon} \subseteq V_{[\alpha_0]}$  if  $\delta + \epsilon \neq 0$  or in  $T_{0, [\alpha_0]}$  if  $\delta + \epsilon = 0$ . If  $\delta = \epsilon = 0$  then the product lies in  $[T_0, [T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_0], T_0] \subseteq T_{0, \alpha}^{(0)} + T_{0, \alpha}^{(1)} \subseteq T_{0, [\alpha_0]}$  by Lemma 3.1.

The last case, where  $[T_0, T_\delta, [T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}, T_\epsilon]] \neq 0$ , is analogous to the previous one.

Now suppose that the conclusion holds for all  $i \leq k$  and consider it for  $k+1$ . Consider, for example, case (iii): let  $[T_\delta, T_\epsilon, T_{0, \alpha}^{(k+1)}] \neq 0$ . Writing  $T_{0, \alpha}^{(k+1)}$  as  $[T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_0, T_0]$  and applying (2.2) to the product, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [T_\delta, T_\epsilon, T_{0, \alpha}^{(k+1)}] \\ & \subseteq [T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_0, [T_\delta, T_\epsilon, T_0]] + [[T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_0, T_\delta], T_\epsilon, T_0] + [T_\delta, [T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_0, T_\epsilon], T_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one of the spaces on the right hand side is non-zero. We can again analyze the relevant three cases separately. This analysis is very similar to the one in the base case of induction.

Suppose, for example, that  $[T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_0, [T_\delta, T_\epsilon, T_0]] \neq 0$ . By applying Lemmas 2.17 and 3.10 we obtain

$$[T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_0, [T_\delta, T_\epsilon, T_0]] \subseteq [T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_0, T_{\delta+\epsilon}] \subseteq T_{\delta+\epsilon}$$

and by induction we have  $\delta + \epsilon \subseteq [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$ . If any of  $\delta, \epsilon$  is zero, then there is nothing more to prove. Suppose  $\delta, \epsilon \neq 0$ . Since  $[T_\delta, T_\epsilon, T_0] \neq 0$ , Lemma 3.10 implies  $\delta \sim \epsilon$ . If  $\delta + \epsilon \neq 0$ , then  $\delta \sim \delta + \epsilon \sim \alpha_0$  and we are done. If  $\delta = -\epsilon$ , then

$$0 \neq [T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_0, [T_\delta, T_{-\delta}, T_0]] = [T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_0, T_{0, \delta}^{(1)}],$$

which implies that  $T(\alpha, \delta) \neq 0$  and  $\alpha \sim \delta$  by Lemma 3.8.

Moreover, if  $\delta + \epsilon \neq 0$ , then the whole product lies in  $T_{\delta+\epsilon} \subseteq V_{[\alpha_0]}$ , and if  $\delta + \epsilon = 0$ , then it lies in  $[T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_0, T_0] = T_{0, \alpha}^{(k+1)} \subseteq T_{0, [\alpha_0]}$ .

Other non-zero products can be analyzed similarly. ■

Let us denote

$$T_{0, \Lambda^T} := \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0 \\ \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\} \\ \gamma \in \Lambda^T}} [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma] + \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda^T} T_{0, \alpha}.$$

Now we can prove the main results of this section:

**THEOREM 3.13.** *Let  $(T, \phi)$  be a Leibniz color 3-algebra  $T$  with an automorphism and with root space decomposition  $T = T_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda^T} T_\alpha$ .*

- (i) *For any  $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda^T$ , the space  $T_{[\alpha_0]}$  is an ideal of  $T$ .*
- (ii) *If  $T$  is simple, then any two roots in  $\Lambda^T$  are connected.*

*Proof.* (i) The spaces  $T_{[\alpha]}$  are  $\mathbb{G}$ -homogeneous by construction. Recall that by definition of connectivity, any root  $\beta \in \Lambda^T$  is connected to all  $\beta\Phi^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore, Lemma 2.17 implies that, given  $\alpha \in [\alpha_0]$ , we have

$$(3.5) \quad \phi^{\pm 1}(T_\alpha) = T_{\alpha\Phi^{\mp 1}} \subseteq V_{[\alpha_0]},$$

thus  $\phi^{\pm 1}(V_{[\alpha_0]}) = V_{[\alpha_0]}$ . Moreover, (3.5) and the definition of  $T_{0, [\alpha_0]}$  easily imply that  $\phi(T_{0, [\alpha_0]}) = T_{0, [\alpha_0]}$ . Hence,  $\phi(T_{[\alpha_0]}) = T_{[\alpha_0]}$ . The rest of the proof of (i) uses Lemmas 3.10–3.12 directly. Part (ii) is obvious. ■

**THEOREM 3.14.** *If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a vector space complement of  $T_{0, \Lambda^T}$ , then  $T = \mathcal{U} \oplus \sum_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda^T / \sim} T_{[\alpha]}$ . Moreover,  $[T, T_{[\alpha]}, T_{[\beta]}] + [T_{[\alpha]}, T, T_{[\beta]}] + [T_{[\alpha]}, T_{[\beta]}, T] = 0$  whenever  $[\alpha] \neq [\beta]$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement is obvious, so we only prove the second. Let  $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in \Lambda^T$  be such that  $\alpha_0 \approx \beta_0$  and consider  $[T, T_{[\alpha_0]}, T_{[\beta_0]}]$ . Lemmas 3.10–3.12 imply

$$[T, V_{[\alpha_0]}, V_{[\beta_0]}] + [T, T_{0, [\alpha_0]}, V_{[\beta_0]}] + [T, V_{[\alpha_0]}, T_{0, [\beta_0]}] = 0,$$

so we only need to prove that  $[T, T_{0, [\alpha]}, T_{0, [\beta]}] = 0$ . Moreover, Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12 imply  $[T_\gamma, T_{0, [\alpha]}, T_{0, [\beta]}] = 0$  for any  $\gamma \in \Lambda^T$ , so it suffices to prove that  $[T_0, T_{0, [\alpha]}, T_{0, [\beta]}] = 0$ .

Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}$  with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$  and  $\delta, \epsilon, \chi \in [\beta_0] \cup \{0\}$  with  $\delta + \epsilon + \chi = 0$ . Suppose  $\gamma \neq 0$  (hence at least one of  $\alpha, \beta$  is  $\neq 0$ ) By (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [T_0, [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma], T_{0, [\beta_0]}] \subseteq \\ & [T_\alpha, T_\beta, [T_0, T_\gamma, T_{0, [\beta_0]}]] + [[T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_0], T_\gamma, T_{0, [\beta_0]}] + [T_0, T_\gamma, [T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_{0, [\beta_0]}]] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12. Analogously, we can show that if  $\chi \neq 0$ , then

$$[T_0, T_{0, [\alpha]}, [T_\delta, T_\epsilon, T_\chi]] = 0.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that  $[T_0, T_{0, \alpha}^{(k)}, T_{0, \beta}^{(l)}] = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in [\alpha_0], \beta \in [\beta_0]$  and  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . But if any such product is non-zero, then  $T(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$  and  $\alpha \sim \beta$  by Lemma 3.8, a contradiction.

The proof for the remaining products is analogous. ■

As an obvious corollary, we get the following result:

**COROLLARY 3.15.** *If  $T_0 = T_{0, \Lambda^T}$  and  $(T) = 0$ , then  $T = \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda^T / \sim} T_{[\alpha]}$ .*

**3.4. The Hom case.** Now let us go back to the original setting. That is, let  $(T, \phi)$  be a split regular Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra. The results of the previous section imply that  $T^{\phi^{-1}}$  is a split Leibniz color 3-algebra with the root system  $\Lambda^{T^{\phi^{-1}}} = \Lambda^T \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , where  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(T^{\phi^{-1}})$  is an isomorphism of the canonical envelopes which restricts to an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{L}(T)$  and  $\mathfrak{L}(T^{\phi^{-1}})$ . The main results of the last subsection assert that

there exists an equivalence relation  $\sim_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}$  on  $\Lambda^{T^{\phi^{-1}}}$  such that  $T^{\phi^{-1}}$  is the direct sum of a subspace  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq T_0$  and the sum of  $\phi$ -invariant ideals  $T_{[\alpha]}^{\phi^{-1}}$  indexed by equivalence classes of  $\sim_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}$  such that

$$[T^{\phi^{-1}}, T_{[\alpha]}^{\phi^{-1}}, T_{[\beta]}^{\phi^{-1}}]_{T^{\phi^{-1}}} + [T_{[\alpha]}^{\phi^{-1}}, T^{\phi^{-1}}, T_{[\beta]}^{\phi^{-1}}]_{T^{\phi^{-1}}} + [T_{[\alpha]}^{\phi^{-1}}, T_{[\beta]}^{\phi^{-1}}, T^{\phi^{-1}}]_{T^{\phi^{-1}}} = 0$$

whenever  $[\alpha] \neq [\beta]$ .

Now we obtain an analogous decomposition for  $T$ . First, the equation relating multiplication in  $T$  and  $T^{\phi^{-1}}$  implies immediately that the ideals  $T_{[\alpha]}^{\phi^{-1}}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda^{T^{\phi^{-1}}}$ , are  $\phi$ -invariant ideals in  $T$ , and

$$[T, T_{[\alpha]}^{\phi^{-1}}, T_{[\beta]}^{\phi^{-1}}]_T + [T_{[\alpha]}^{\phi^{-1}}, T, T_{[\beta]}^{\phi^{-1}}]_T + [T_{[\alpha]}^{\phi^{-1}}, T_{[\beta]}^{\phi^{-1}}, T]_T = 0.$$

Having in mind (2.15), let us introduce an equivalence relation  $\sim_T$  in  $\Lambda^T$  by declaring that  $\alpha \sim_T \beta$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^T$  if and only if  $\alpha \circ \varphi^{-1} \sim_{T^{\phi^{-1}}} \beta \varphi^{-1}$ .

Then, for  $\alpha \in \Lambda^{T^{\phi^{-1}}}$  we have  $[\alpha \circ \varphi]_{\sim} = [\alpha]_{\sim_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}} \circ \varphi$  and

$$V_{[\alpha]} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]_{\sim_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}}} T_{\beta}^{\phi^{-1}} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]_{\sim_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}}} T_{\beta \circ \varphi} = \bigoplus_{\beta' \in [\alpha \circ \varphi]_{\sim}} T_{\beta'}.$$

Analogously one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{0, [\alpha]} \\ &= \text{span}_K \{ [T_{\beta}^{\phi^{-1}}, T_{\gamma}^{\phi^{-1}}, T_{\delta}^{\phi^{-1}}]^{\phi^{-1}} : \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [\alpha]_{\sim_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}} \cup \{0\}, \beta + \gamma + \delta = 0, \delta \neq 0 \} \\ & \quad + \sum_{\beta \in [\alpha]_{\sim_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}}} T_{0, \beta}^{\phi^{-1}} \\ &= \text{span}_K \{ [T_{\beta'}, T_{\gamma'}, T_{\delta'}] : \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in [\alpha \circ \varphi]_{\sim_T} \cup \{0\}, \beta' + \gamma' + \delta' = 0, \delta' \neq 0 \} \\ & \quad + \sum_{\beta' \in [\alpha \circ \varphi]_{\sim_T}} T_{0, \beta'}, \end{aligned}$$

where the spaces  $T_{0, \beta'}$  are constructed as in (3.1). Therefore, for any  $\alpha \in \Lambda^T$  we may define the ideal  $T_{[\alpha]_{\sim_T}}$  by the same equations (3.2)–(3.4). Moreover,  $T_{[\alpha]_{\sim_T}} = T_{[\alpha \circ \varphi^{-1}]_{\sim_{T^{\phi^{-1}}}}}$ .

We summarize our discussion in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.16.** *Let  $(T, \phi)$  be a split Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra with multiplication algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$ . Suppose that the root systems  $\Lambda^T$  and  $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{L}}$  are symmetric. Then there exists an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\Lambda^T$  and a subspace  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq T_0$  such that  $T = \mathcal{U} \oplus \sum_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda^T / \sim} T_{[\alpha]}$ . Moreover,*

$$[T, T_{[\alpha]}, T_{[\beta]}] + [T_{[\alpha]}, T, T_{[\beta]}] + [T_{[\alpha]}, T_{[\beta]}, T] = 0$$

whenever  $[\alpha] \neq [\beta]$ .

Finally, note that the relation  $\sim_T$  can be introduced in a manner completely analogous to the definition of  $\sim_{T^{\phi-1}}$ , that is, using connections via chains of roots in  $A^T$  (that is, it can be interpreted in terms of the original algebra  $T$ ).

**3.5. The passage of the split structure to  $\mathfrak{L}$ .** Let  $T$  be a split Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra or a split Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism. In this subsection we show that the decomposition provided by Theorems 3.14 and 3.16 induces a similar decomposition for the multiplication algebra  $\mathfrak{L}(T)$ , and that these two decompositions are related in a certain way. Moreover, we study how this decomposition is related to the usual decomposition of Lie color algebras obtained by usual root connectivity techniques.

Let  $(T, \phi)$  be a split Leibniz color 3-algebra with an automorphism, and  $(\mathfrak{L}, \Phi)$  its multiplication algebra (the case of a split Hom-Leibniz color 3-algebra is completely analogous, so we will not consider it here). Again, suppose that both roots systems  $A^T$  and  $A^{\mathfrak{L}}$  are symmetric and hence the conditions required in Theorem 3.14 are satisfied.

For any equivalence class  $[\alpha] \subseteq A^T$  consider the space  $(T_{[\alpha]}) = (T_{[\alpha]}, T) + (T, T_{[\alpha]}) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$ . Let  $A_{[\alpha]}^{\mathfrak{L}}$  be the set of roots  $\gamma \in A^{\mathfrak{L}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma} \cap (T_{[\alpha]}) \neq 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.17.** *Let  $T, \mathfrak{L}, A^T, A^{\mathfrak{L}}$  be as above. Then:*

- (1)  $A^{\mathfrak{L}}$  is the disjoint union of the sets  $A_{[\alpha]}^{\mathfrak{L}}$ ,  $[\alpha] \in A^T/\sim$ , and there exists an equivalence relation  $\approx$  in  $A^{\mathfrak{L}}$  such that  $A^T/\sim = A^{\mathfrak{L}}/\approx$ .
- (2) If  $\gamma \in A_{[\alpha_0]}^{\mathfrak{L}}$ , then  $\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma} \subseteq (T_{[\alpha_0]})$ . In other words, for any  $[\alpha_0] \in A^T$ ,

$$(T_{[\alpha]}) = ((T_{[\alpha]}) \cap \mathfrak{L}_0) \oplus \bigoplus_{\gamma \in A_{[\alpha]}^{\mathfrak{L}}} \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma}.$$

- (3) For any equivalence class  $[\alpha] \in A^T/\sim$  the space  $(T_{[\alpha]})$  is a  $\Phi$ -invariant ideal of  $\mathfrak{L}$ .
- (4)  $[(T_{[\alpha]}), (T_{[\beta]})] = 0$  for  $[\alpha] \neq [\beta]$ .
- (5) There exists a subspace  $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_0$  such that  $\mathfrak{L} = \sum_{[\alpha]} (T_{[\alpha]}) + \mathcal{U}'$ .
- (6)  $(T_{[\alpha]}) \cdot T_{[\beta]} = 0$  for  $[\alpha] \neq [\beta]$ .

*Proof.* (1) We recall that, by Theorem 3.14,

$$T = \mathcal{U} \oplus \sum_{[\alpha] \in A^T/\sim} T_{[\alpha]}$$

with  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq T_0$  and  $[T_{[\alpha]}, T_{[\beta]}, T] = 0$  whenever  $[\alpha] \neq [\beta]$ . Hence, for any  $\alpha \in A^T$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad (T_{[\alpha]}) = (T_{[\alpha]}, T_{[\alpha]}) + (T_{[\alpha]}, \mathcal{U}) + (\mathcal{U}, T_{[\alpha]})$$

and we obtain the following decomposition for  $\mathfrak{L}$ :

$$(3.7) \quad \mathfrak{L} = (T, T) = \sum_{[\alpha]} (T_{[\alpha]}) + (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}).$$

Since the ideals  $T_{[\alpha]}$  are graded with respect to  $\Lambda^T$ , according Lemma 2.17 the spaces  $(T_{[\alpha]}) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$  are graded with respect to  $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{L}}$ :

$$(3.8) \quad (T_{[\alpha]}) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda^{\mathfrak{L}} \cup \{0\}} ((T_{[\alpha]}) \cap \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma}).$$

Hence the decomposition (3.7) and the fact that  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_0$  imply that  $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{L}} = \bigcup_{[\alpha] \subseteq \Lambda^T} \Lambda_{[\alpha]}^{\mathfrak{L}}$ . Moreover, (3.6) yields

$$\Lambda_{[\alpha_0]}^{\mathfrak{L}} = \{\alpha + \beta : \alpha, \beta \in [\alpha_0] \cup \{0\}, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, (T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}) + (T_{\beta}, T_{\alpha}) \neq 0\}$$

Suppose now that  $\gamma \in \Lambda_{[\alpha_0]}^{\mathfrak{L}} \cap \Lambda_{[\beta_0]}^{\mathfrak{L}}$ , that is,  $\gamma = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_2$  where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$  satisfy the conditions above. It is clear that one of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  is non-zero; say  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ . Analogously let  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ . Then one can easily see that  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, -\beta_2\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha_1$  to  $\beta_1$ , thus  $[\alpha_0] = [\beta_0]$ . Therefore, any root  $\gamma \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}}$  belongs to a unique set  $\Lambda_{[\alpha]}^{\mathfrak{L}}$  with  $[\alpha] \subseteq \Lambda^T$  and so  $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{L}} = \bigsqcup_{[\alpha] \subseteq \Lambda^T} \Lambda_{[\alpha]}^{\mathfrak{L}}$ .

This decomposition induces an equivalence relation  $\approx$  in  $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{L}}$  (two elements are equivalent if they lie in the same set  $\Lambda_{[\alpha]}^{\mathfrak{L}}$ ) and hence (1) follows.

(2) The first claim is just a restatement of the assertion that the sets  $\Lambda_{[\alpha]}^{\mathfrak{L}}$ ,  $[\alpha] \in \Lambda^T / \sim$ , have empty intersections, and the second is a consequence of the first and the  $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{L}}$ -homogeneity of  $(T_{[\alpha]})$  (see (3.8)).

(3) The  $\Phi$ -invariance is a consequence of the definition of  $\Phi$  and the  $\phi$ -invariance of  $T_{[\alpha]}$ . The rest is a simple application of (2.7), e.g.

$$[(T_{[\alpha]}, T), (T, T)] = ([T_{[\alpha]}, T, T], T) + (T, [T_{[\alpha]}, T, T]) \subseteq (T_{[\alpha]}).$$

The proof for the remaining products is analogous.

(4) Let  $[\beta] \neq [\alpha]$ . Again, by (2.7),

$$[(T, T_{[\alpha]}), (T, T_{[\beta]})] = ([T, T_{[\alpha]}, T], T_{[\beta]}) + (T, [T, T_{[\alpha]}, T_{[\beta]}]) = 0.$$

Other products are considered analogously.

(5) In the decomposition (3.7) we cannot guarantee that  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}) \cap \sum_{[\alpha]} (T_{[\alpha]}) = 0$ , so just taking another subspace  $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_0$  complementing  $(\sum_{[\alpha]} (T_{[\alpha]})) \cap \mathfrak{L}_0$ , we get

$$\mathfrak{L} = \sum_{[\alpha]} (T_{[\alpha]}) + \mathcal{U}'.$$

(6) Apply Theorem 3.14. ■

REMARK 3.18. Note that the multiplication algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$  (see Subsection 2.4) has a decomposition very similar to the one in Theorems 3.14 and 3.16. The only difference is that there does not seem to be an easy way to express  $(T_{[\alpha]}) \cap \mathfrak{L}_0$  in terms of  $\mathfrak{L}_\gamma, \gamma \in \Lambda_{[\alpha]}^{\mathfrak{L}}$ . Another decomposition (by classical root connection methods) of split Hom-Lie color algebras was obtained in [C172].

REMARK 3.19. Recall that  $\mathfrak{L}$  is a split Hom-Lie color algebra, and that  $T$  is a weight  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module. Weight modules over split Lie algebras were considered in [C132], in which the authors obtained related decompositions of a split Lie algebra  $L$  and its weight module  $M$ .

Note, however, that our decomposition only holds for split Lie color algebras with an automorphism which are the multiplication algebras of Leibniz color 3-algebras with an automorphism. But this class is relatively large, as the following result shows.

PROPOSITION 3.20. *Let  $(\mathfrak{L}, \Phi)$  be a split Lie color algebra with an automorphism such that  $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}^2$ . Then there exists a split Leibniz color 3-algebra  $T$  with an automorphism  $\phi$  such that its multiplication algebra is  $\mathfrak{L}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that any split Leibniz color 3-algebra  $T$  with an automorphism  $\phi$  is a weight Lie color module over its multiplication algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$ , and (2.2) is equivalent to the map  $T^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$  being a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{L}$ -modules. Clearly, by the construction of  $\mathfrak{L}$ , this homomorphism must be surjective. Moreover, the automorphisms  $\phi$  and  $\Phi$  are compatible in the sense that  $\Phi(\ell) \cdot \phi(x) = \phi(\ell \cdot x)$  for all  $\ell \in \mathfrak{L}$  and  $x \in T$ . In fact, one can easily check that the converse also holds: to construct the desired algebra  $T$ , it suffices to give a weight  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module  $T$  with a surjective module homomorphism  $T^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$  and an automorphism  $\phi$  of  $T$  compatible with  $\Phi$ .

So, we take  $T = \mathfrak{L}$  with the regular module action, the automorphism  $\phi = \Phi$  and the map  $: x \otimes y \mapsto [x, y]$ , where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is multiplication in  $\mathfrak{L}$ . This system satisfies the conditions above and is therefore a split Leibniz color 3-algebra  $T$  (in fact, a Lie triple system) with an automorphism, with the multiplication algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$ . ■

Given a split Lie color algebra  $L$  with an automorphism  $\varphi$  and the (symmetric) root system  $\Lambda^L$  one can introduce the notion of root connectivity in  $L$  (see, for example, [CS12] and other papers on binary split algebras):

DEFINITION 3.21. Two roots  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^L$  are defined to be *connected* (written  $\alpha \sim \beta$ ) if there exists a chain  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subseteq \Lambda^L$  such that

- $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ .
- $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \in \Lambda^L$  for any  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .
- $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = \pm \beta \varphi^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

One can see that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\Lambda^L$ , and there exists a subspace  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq L_0$  such that  $L = V \oplus \bigoplus_{[\gamma] \in \Lambda^L / \sim} L_{[\gamma]}$ , where the  $L_{[\gamma]}$  are  $\varphi$ -invariant ideals such that  $[L_{[\gamma]}, L_{[\delta]}] = 0$  for  $[\gamma] \neq [\delta]$ .

Now let us return to the algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$ . There are now two equivalence relations on the root system  $\Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$ : the usual (intrinsic) root connectivity given by the definition above and the relation  $\approx$  obtained by “descending” the decomposition of  $T$  to  $\mathfrak{L}$ . It would be interesting to see how these two equivalence relations are related. For this purpose we introduce the following definition.

**DEFINITION 3.22.** Let  $L$  be a split Lie color algebra  $L$  with an automorphism  $\varphi$  and the root system  $\Lambda^L$ . We say that  $L$  is *root-multiplicative* if for any two roots  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^L$  such that  $\alpha + \beta \in \Lambda^L \cup \{0\}$  we have  $[L_\alpha, L_\beta] \neq 0$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.23.** Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be root-multiplicative and let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$  be two connected roots. Then  $\gamma_1 \approx \gamma_2$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction. Let first  $\gamma_2 = \pm\gamma_1\Phi^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By definition of  $\mathfrak{L}$ , we have

$$\gamma_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i, \quad \text{where } (T_{\alpha_i}, T_{\beta_i}) + (T_{\beta_i}, T_{\alpha_i}) \neq 0, \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are non-zero. Then  $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \mp\beta_2\Phi^k\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha_1$  to  $\alpha_2$ , and  $\gamma_1 \approx \gamma_2$ .

Now, let  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = \pm\gamma_3\Phi^k$ , where  $\gamma_3 = \alpha_3 + \beta_3$  with  $\alpha_3, \beta_3 \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$ . By root-multiplicativity of  $\mathfrak{L}$ , we have  $[\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1}, \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_2}] \neq 0$ , so

$$[(T_{\alpha_1}, T_{\beta_1}), (T_{\alpha_2}, T_{\beta_2})] \neq 0$$

for some  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$  such that  $\alpha_i + \beta_i = \gamma_i$ . By (2.7), we get

$$0 \neq [(T_{\alpha_1}, T_{\beta_1}), (T_{\alpha_2}, T_{\beta_2})] \subseteq ([T_{\alpha_1}, T_{\beta_1}, T_{\alpha_2}], T_{\beta_2}) + ([T_{\alpha_1}, T_{\beta_1}, T_{\beta_2}], T_{\alpha_2}),$$

thus  $[T_{\alpha_1}, T_{\beta_1}, T_{\alpha_2}] + [T_{\alpha_1}, T_{\beta_1}, T_{\beta_2}] \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality we can suppose that  $[T_{\alpha_1}, T_{\beta_1}, T_{\alpha_2}] \neq 0$ , which implies that  $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 \in \Lambda^T \cup \{0\}$ . Moreover, we can assume that one of  $\alpha_1, \beta_1$  is non-zero, say  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 \in \Lambda^T$ . It is easy to see that the set

$$\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \mp\beta_3\Phi^k\}$$

is a connection from  $\alpha_1$  to  $\alpha_3$ , and  $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, -(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2)\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha_1$  to  $\alpha_2$ .

Now, if  $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ , then  $\alpha_1 + \beta_2 = -\alpha_2 \in \Lambda^T \cap \Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, 0\}$  is a connection from  $\alpha_1$  to  $\alpha_2$ . Moreover,  $\beta_2 = \pm(\alpha_3 + \beta_3)\Phi^k = \pm\gamma_3\Phi^k \in \Lambda^T \cap \Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\{\beta_2, 0, \mp\beta_3\Phi^k\}$  is a connection from  $\beta_2$  to  $\alpha_3$ . Therefore, all non-zero roots  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  are connected and  $\gamma_1 \approx \gamma_2 \approx \gamma_3$ . ■

**REMARK 3.24.** It would be interesting to express the relation  $\approx$  in terms of strings of roots in  $\Lambda^T, \Lambda^\mathfrak{L}$ , as in Definition 3.21. Unfortunately, up to now the authors have not been able to do it.



**Acknowledgements.** The authors are grateful to the referee for valuable remarks and to Prof. Dr. Antonio Jesús Calderón Martín (University of Cádiz, Spain) for many useful comments and discussions about the paper.

The work was supported by FAPESP 16/16445-0, 17/15437-6, RFBR 18-31-00001 and the President's Programme Support for Young Russian Scientists (MK-1378.2017.1).

#### REFERENCES

- [AD01] S. Abdykassymova and A. Dzhumaldil'daev, *Leibniz algebras in characteristic  $p$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 332 (2001), 1047–1052.
- [AS91] N. Aizawa and H. Sato,  *$q$ -deformation of the Virasoro algebra with central extension*, Phys. Lett. B 256 (1991), 185–190.
- [AB18] H. Albuquerque, E. Barreiro, A. J. Calderón and J. M. Sánchez, *On split regular Hom-Lie superalgebras*, J. Geom. Phys. 128 (2018), 1–11.
- [AE11] F. Ammar, Z. Ejbehi and A. Makhlouf, *Cohomology and deformations of Hom-algebras*, J. Lie Theory 21 (2011), 813–836.
- [AC15] M. J. Aragón Perinián and A. J. Calderón Martín, *Split regular Hom-Lie algebras*, J. Lie Theory 25 (2015), 875–888.
- [AC16] M. J. Aragón Perinián and A. J. Calderón Martín, *The structure of split regular Hom-Poisson algebras*, Colloq. Math. 145 (2016), 1–13.
- [AM09] H. Ataguema, A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, *Generalization of  $n$ -ary Nambu algebras and beyond*, J. Math. Phys. 50 (2009), art. 083501, 15 pp.
- [AI10] J. A. Azcarraga and J. M. Izquierdo,  *$n$ -Ary algebras: A review with applications*, J. Phys. A 43 (2010), art. 1293001, 15 pp.
- [B17] A. Baklouti, *Quadratic Hom-Lie triple systems*, J. Geom. Phys. 121 (2017), 166–175.
- [BK18] E. Barreiro, A. Calderón, I. Kaygorodov and J. M. Sánchez,  *$n$ -Ary Generalized Lie-type color algebras admitting a quasi-multiplicative basis*, Algebras Represent. Theory (online, 2018).
- [BS19] E. Barreiro, I. Kaygorodov and J. M. Sánchez,  *$k$ -Modules over linear spaces by  $n$ -linear maps admitting a multiplicative basis*, Algebras Represent. Theory 22 (2019), 615–626.
- [BK19] P. Beites, I. Kaygorodov and Yu. Popov, *Generalized derivations of multiplicative  $n$ -ary Hom- $\Omega$  color algebras*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc. 42 (2019), 315–335.
- [C09] A. J. Calderón, *On simple split Lie triple systems*, Algebras Represent. Theory 12 (2009), 401–415.
- [CF09] A. J. Calderón and M. Forero, *Split twisted inner derivation triple systems*, Comm. Algebra 38 (2010), 28–45.
- [CF11] A. J. Calderón and M. Forero, *Split 3-Lie algebras*, J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011), art. 123503, 16 pp.
- [CK18] A. J. Calderón, I. Kaygorodov and P. Saraiva, *Decompositions of linear spaces induced by  $n$ -linear maps*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 67 (2019), 1250–1268.
- [CS12] A. J. Calderón and J. M. Sánchez, *On split Leibniz algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012), 1651–1663.
- [C131] A. J. Calderón and J. M. Sánchez, *On split Leibniz superalgebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013), 4709–4725.

- [C132] A. J. Calderón and J. M. Sánchez, *Weight modules over split Lie algebras*, Modern Phys. Lett. A 28 (2013), no. 5, art. 1350008, 9 pp.
- [CS16] A. J. Calderón and J. M. Sánchez, *The structure of split regular BiHom-Lie algebras*, J. Geom. Phys. 110 (2016), 296–305.
- [CS17] A. J. Calderón and J. Sánchez-Ortega, *Split 3-Leibniz algebras*, J. Geom. Phys. 116 (2017), 204–215.
- [CK10] N. Cantarini and V. Kac, *Classification of simple linearly compact  $n$ -Lie superalgebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 298 (2010), 833–853.
- [CK11] N. Cantarini and V. Kac, *Classification of linearly compact simple algebraic  $N=6$  3-algebras*, Transform. Groups 16 (2011), 649–671.
- [CK14] N. Cantarini and V. Kac, *Algebraic vs physical  $N = 6$  3-algebras*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), art. 011704, 19 pp.
- [CC15] Y. Cao and L. Chen, *On the structure of split Leibniz triple systems*, Acta Math. Sinica (Engl. Ser.) 31 (2015), 1629–1644.
- [C171] Y. Cao and L. Chen, *On split Leibniz triple systems*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 54 (2017), 1265–1279.
- [C172] Y. Cao and L. Chen, *On split regular Hom-Lie color algebras*, Colloq. Math. 146 (2017), 143–155.
- [CC18] Y. Cao, L. Chen and B. Sun, *On split regular Hom-Leibniz algebras*, J. Algebra Appl. 17 (2018), no. 10, art. 1850185, 18 pp.
- [CL02] J. M. Casas, J. L. Loday and T. Pirashvili, *Leibniz  $n$ -algebras*, Forum Math. 14 (2002), 189–207.
- [CI07] J. M. Casas, M. Insua and M. Ladra, *Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for Leibniz  $n$ -algebras*, J. Symbolic Comput. 42 (2007), 1052–1065.
- [CM15] D. de la Concepción and A. Makhlof, *Variety of Hom-Sabinin algebras and related algebra subclasses*, arXiv:1512.04173 (2015).
- [GW16] S. Guo and S. Wang, *The affineness criterion for quantum Hom-Yetter–Drinfel’d modules*, Colloq. Math. 143 (2016), 169–185.
- [GZ16] S. Guo, X. Zhang and S. Wang, *Braided monoidal categories and Doi-Hopf modules for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras*, Colloq. Math. 143 (2016), 79–103.
- [HL06] J. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, *Deformations of Lie algebras using  $\sigma$ -derivations*, J. Algebra 295 (2006), 314–361.
- [K141] I. Kaygorodov, *On  $(n + 1)$ -ary derivations of simple  $n$ -ary Malcev algebras*, St. Petersburg Math. J. 25 (2014), 575–585.
- [K142] I. Kaygorodov,  *$(n + 1)$ -ary derivations of semisimple Filippov algebras*, Math. Notes 96 (2014), 206–216.
- [KP16] I. Kaygorodov and Yu. Popov, *Generalized derivations of (color)  $n$ -ary algebras*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 64 (2016), 1086–1106.
- [KP18] I. Kaygorodov, A. Pozhidaev and P. Saraiva, *On a ternary generalization of Jordan algebras*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 67 (2019), 1074–1102.
- [LS05] D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, *Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities*, J. Algebra 288 (2005), 321–344.
- [MS08] A. Makhlof and S. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebras structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2 (2008), 51–64.
- [MS09] A. Makhlof and S. Silvestrov, *Hom-Lie admissible Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras*, in: Generalized Lie Theory in Mathematics, Physics and Beyond, Springer, Berlin, 2009, 189–206.
- [P03] A. Pozhidaev, *Solvability of finite-dimensional  $n$ -ary commutative Leibniz algebras of characteristic 0*, Comm. Algebra 31 (2003), 197–215.

- [P09] A. Pozhidaev, *0-Dialgebras with bar-unity, Rota–Baxter and 3-Leibniz algebras*, in: Groups, Rings and Group Rings, Contemp. Math. 499, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, 245–256.
- [S99] N. Stumme, *The structure of locally finite split Lie algebras*, J. Algebra 220 (1999), 664–693.
- [SC15] B. Sun and L. Chen, *Rota–Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu–Lie algebras*, J. Geom. Phys. 98 (2015), 400–413.
- [T94] L. Takhtajan, *On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics*, Comm. Math. Phys. 160 (1994), 295–316.
- [V17] R. Vandermolen, *Universal imbedding of a Hom-Lie triple system*, arXiv:1709.08714 (2017).
- [Y08] D. Yau, *Enveloping algebras of Hom-Lie algebras*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2 (2008), 95–108.
- [Y09] D. Yau, *Hom-algebras and homology*, J. Lie Theory 19 (2009), 409–421.
- [WZ18] W. Wang, X. Zhang and X. Zhao, *Drinfeld twists for monoidal Hom-bialgebras*, Colloq. Math. 156 (2019), 199–228.
- [ZC18] J. Zhang, L. Chen and C. Zhang, *On split regular BiHom-Lie superalgebras*, J. Geom. Phys. 128 (2018), 38–47.
- [ZL17] T. Zhang and J. Li, *Representations and cohomologies of Hom-Lie–Yamaguti algebras with applications*, Colloq. Math. 148 (2017), 131–155.

Ivan Kaygorodov  
Universidade Federal do ABC, CMCC  
Av. dos Estados, 5001 - Bangú  
Santo André - SP, 09210-580, Brazil  
E-mail: kaygorodov.ivan@gmail.com

Yury Popov  
Universidade Estadual de Campinas, IMECC  
Cidade Universitária  
Campinas - SP, 13083-859, Brazil  
E-mail: yuri.ppv@gmail.com