

Existence of solutions to some fractional equations involving the Bessel operator in \mathbb{R}^N

NGUYEN VAN THIN (Jinan City and Thai Nguyen City)

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to study the existence of solutions to concave-convex nonlinear equations involving the Bessel operator in \mathbb{R}^N :

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 dx\right)(I - \Delta)^\alpha u + \lambda V(x)u &= \gamma f(x, u) + \mu \xi(x)|u|^{p-2}u, \\ M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^2 dx\right)((I - \Delta)^\alpha u + \lambda V(x)u) \\ &= \gamma f(x, u) + \mu \xi(x)|u|^{p-2}u, \end{aligned}$$

where λ, γ, μ are positive parameters, $\xi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ belongs to $L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p < 2$, $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous function, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous function, $0 < \alpha < 1$ with $2\alpha < N$, and f is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ which does not satisfy the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition. By using the Mountain Pass Theorem and the variational method, we obtain the existence of solutions to the above equations. Furthermore, if M is degenerate ($M(0) = 0$) and f satisfies the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition, we investigate the existence of solutions of that equation without the concave-convex nonlinearity in case its right side contains the critical exponent $2_\alpha^* = 2N/(N - 2\alpha)$. The difficulty lies in the lack of compactness and the degeneracy of M .

1. Introduction. In 2017, S. Secchi [20] studied the existence of solutions to a class of nonlinear fractional equation of the form

$$(1.1) \quad (I - \Delta)^\alpha u + \lambda V(x)u = f(x, u) + \mu \xi(x)|u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions, $\xi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ belongs to $L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\lambda, \mu > 0$ and $1 < p < 2$. The nonlinear term $\xi(x)|u|^{p-2}u$ has a concave shape. Before, P. Felmer and I. Vergara [13] gave some results on regularity, exponential decay and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 35J60, 35R11, 35S05.

Key words and phrases: fractional Laplace, Bessel operator, Mountain Pass Theorem.

Received 13 October 2018; revised 19 December 2018 and 17 June 2019.

Published online 19 July 2019.

symmetrix properties for solutions to the equation

$$(I - \Delta)^\alpha u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Equations involving the nonlocal operator $(I - \Delta)^\alpha$ arise in the study of standing waves $\Psi = \Psi(t, x)$ for the Schrödinger–Klein–Gordon equation of the form

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = (I - \Delta)^\alpha \Psi - f(x, \Psi), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

which describes the behavior of bosons. The operator $(I - \Delta)^\alpha$ is related to the pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger operator $(m^2 - \Delta)^{1/2} - m > 0$ and much attention has been paid to equations involving it. We refer to [6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 5] and the references therein for more details and physical motivation for the operator $(I - \Delta)^\alpha$. Note that the hardest issue in dealing with this operator is the lack of scaling properties: there is no standard group action under which $(I - \Delta)^\alpha$ behaves like a local differential operator.

In order to state the results of S. Secchi [20], we recall some notations and definitions. For $\alpha > 0$, we introduce the *Bessel function space*

$$L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{f : f = G_\alpha * g \text{ for some } g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

where the *Bessel convolution kernel* is defined by

$$G_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\alpha/2} \Gamma(\alpha/2)} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{t}|x|^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{t}{4\pi}\right) t^{(\alpha-N)/2-1} dt.$$

The norm of this Bessel space is $\|f\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ if $f = G_\alpha * g$. The operator $(I - \Delta)^\alpha u$ is defined by its Fourier transform:

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{F}((I - \Delta)^\alpha u)(\zeta) = (1 + |\zeta|^2)^\alpha \mathcal{F}u(\zeta),$$

where $\mathcal{F}u(\zeta) = (2\pi)^{-N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\zeta \cdot x} u(x) dx$. From Fubini's Theorem, (1.2) is equivalent to

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (I - \Delta)^\alpha u(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}((I - \Delta)^\alpha u))(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 + |\zeta|^2)^\alpha \mathcal{F}u(\zeta))(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{i\zeta \cdot x} ((1 + |\zeta|^2)^\alpha \mathcal{F}u(\zeta)) d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{i\zeta \cdot x} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^\alpha \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\zeta \cdot y} u(y) dy \right) d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} e^{i\zeta \cdot (x-y)} (1 + |\zeta|^2)^\alpha u(y) dy d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

We know that the inverse operator of $(I - \Delta)^\alpha u$ satisfies $(I - \Delta)^{-\alpha} u = G_\alpha * u$, and $(I - \Delta)^{-\alpha} u$ is usually called the *Bessel operator* of order α . In transform variables this operator reads

$$G_\alpha = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \circ ((1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-\alpha/2} \circ \mathcal{F})$$

so that

$$\|f\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

We refer the reader to [1, 21] and references therein for more information. In [11], a pointwise formula for $(I - \Delta)^\alpha$ is given:

$$(I - \Delta)^\alpha u(x) = c_{N,\alpha} \text{PV} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{(N+2\alpha)/2}} K_{(N+2\alpha)/2}(|x - y|) dy + u(x)$$

for all $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Here $c_{N,\alpha}$ is a positive constant depending only on N and α , PV denotes the principal value of the singular integral, and $K_{(N+2\alpha)/2}$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind with order $(N + 2\alpha)/2$ (see [11, Remark 7.3] for more details).

Consider the weighted Sobolev space

$$H = \left\{ u \in L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u(x)|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_H^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u(x)|^2 dx = \|u\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \|u\|_{2,V}^2,$$

where $\|u\|_{2,V}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u(x)|^2 dx$.

For each $\lambda > 0$, we also define the space

$$H_\lambda = \left\{ u \in L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u(x)|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

with norm

$$\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2 = \|u\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \lambda \|u\|_{2,V}^2.$$

Note that H_λ and H coincide as sets, but their norms are different, and $H_1 = H$. We can show that H_λ is a Hilbert space (see Lemma 2.4) with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} v dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u(x) v(x) dx.$$

DEFINITION 1.1. We say that $u \in H_\lambda$ is a *weak solution* to (1.1) if

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} v dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u(x) v(x) dx \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^{p-2} u v dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in H_\lambda$, or equivalently

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 + |\zeta|^2)^{\alpha/2} \mathcal{F}u(\zeta))(x) \mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 + |\zeta|^2)^{\alpha/2} \mathcal{F}v(\zeta))(x) dx \\ + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u(x)v(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u(x))v(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|u|^{p-2}uv dx.$$

We recall the following assumptions on f and V from [20]:

(f₁) $|f(x, u)| \leq c(1 + |u|^{q-1})$ for some $q \in (2, 2_\alpha^*)$, where

$$2_\alpha^* = 2N/(N - 2\alpha);$$

(f₂) $f(x, u) = o(|u|)$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;

(f₃) there exists a constant $\nu > 2$ such that $0 < \nu F(x, u) \leq uf(x, u)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u \neq 0$, where $F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds$;

(V₁) $\text{ess inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) > 0$;

(V₂) $\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(y, 1)} dx/V(x) = 0$, where $B(y, 1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y - x| < 1\}$.

A function V satisfying conditions (V₁) and (V₂) is called a *coercive electric potential*. In [2], it is shown that the usual coercivity condition

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$$

immediately implies (V₂). Further, V is a coercive electric potential if and only if

(V₂)' $\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \text{meas}\{x \in B(y, 1) : V(x) \leq c\} = 0$ for all $c > 0$.

With the above notations and definitions, S. Secchi proved

THEOREM 1.2 ([20]). *Assume that (f₁)–(f₃), (V₁) and (V₂) hold. Then for every $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\mu_0 > 0$ such that for every $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$ there exists a nontrivial solution of (1.1).*

2. Some preliminary results. We summarize the embedding properties of Bessel spaces. For the proofs, we refer to [12, Theorem 3.1], [21, Chapter V, Section 3], [17] and [22, Section 4].

THEOREM 2.1.

- (1) $L^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = W^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
- (2) If $\alpha \geq 0$ and $2 \leq q \leq 2_\alpha^*$, then $L^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$; if $2 \leq q < 2_\alpha^*$, then the embedding is locally compact.
- (3) Assume that $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and $\alpha > N/2$. If $\alpha - N/2 > 1$ and $0 < \delta \leq \alpha - N/2 - 1$, then $L^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded into $C^{1, \delta}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $\alpha - N/2 < 1$ and $0 < \delta \leq \alpha - N/2$, then $L^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded into $C^{0, \delta}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

If V satisfies (V_1) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u(x)|^2 dx = \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus H_λ embeds continuously into $L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore, we get

LEMMA 2.2. H_λ embeds continuously into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $q \in [2, 2_\alpha^*]$, and there exists a best constant

$$(2.1) \quad S_{q,\lambda} = \sup_{u \in H_\lambda, u \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{\|u\|_{H_\lambda}}.$$

REMARK 2.3. Although the Bessel space $L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is topologically indistinguishable from the Sobolev fractional space $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we will not confuse them, since our equations involve the Bessel norm.

LEMMA 2.4. H_λ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} v dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u(x) v(x) dx.$$

Proof. We know that the Bessel space $L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} f_1 \cdot (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} f_2 dx,$$

where $f_1 = G_\alpha * g_1 \in L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $f_2 = G_\alpha * g_2 \in L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $g_1, g_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For any $f \in L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have $\|f\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ if $f = G_\alpha * g$, and also $\|f\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$.

First, we see that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H_\lambda \times H_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as in the statement is an inner product. Let $\{f_n\}$ be any Cauchy sequence in H_λ . We show that there exists $f \in H_\lambda$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in H_λ . For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f_n - f_m\|_{H_\lambda} < \varepsilon$ for all $m, n \geq n_0$. That implies $\|f_n - f_m\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \varepsilon$ and $\|f_n - f_m\|_{2,V} < \varepsilon$ for all $m, n \geq n_0$. Since $L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a Banach space, there exists $f \in L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|f_n - f\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Theorem 2.1, we have $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $q \in [2, 2_\alpha^*]$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad f_{n_k} \rightarrow f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Since $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_V^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\{f_{n_k}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_V^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence there exists $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $V(x)^{1/2} f_{n_k} \rightarrow h$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Up to a subsequence, we may assume that $V(x)^{1/2}f_{n_k} \rightarrow h$ in \mathbb{R}^N . From (2.3), we get $h = V^{1/2}f$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \|f_{n_k} - f\|_{2,V} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n_k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad f \in L_V^2(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

By combining (2.2) with (2.4), we deduce that f_{n_k} converges to f in H_λ . Furthermore, $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and we have $f_n \rightarrow f$ in H_λ and $f \in H_\lambda$. ■

LEMMA 2.5 ([20]). *If V is a coercive electric potential and V satisfies (V_1) , then for all $\lambda > 0$, the space H_λ is continuously embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $2 \leq q \leq 2_\alpha^*$, and compactly embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $2 \leq q < 2_\alpha^*$.*

Now, we state some assumptions on the potential V :

$$(V_1)' \quad V(x) \geq V_0 > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N;$$

$$(V_3)' \quad \text{meas}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) \leq c\} < \infty \text{ for all } c > 0.$$

From Lemmas 2.2 and 2.5, we get

LEMMA 2.6. *If V satisfies $(V_1)'$, then for all $\lambda > 0$, the space H_λ is continuously embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $2 \leq q \leq 2_\alpha^*$. Furthermore, if V satisfies $(V_1)'$ and $(V_2)'$, then H_λ is compactly embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $2 \leq q < 2_\alpha^*$.*

LEMMA 2.7. *If V satisfies $(V_1)'$ and $(V_3)'$, then for all $\lambda > 0$, the space H_λ is compactly embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $2 \leq q < 2_\alpha^*$.*

Proof. If u_n converges weakly to u in H_λ , then $u_n - u_0$ converges weakly to 0 in H_λ . Therefore, we only need to prove that if $u_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in H_λ then $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^q . From $(V_1)'$, H_λ embeds continuously into $L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Theorem 2.1, $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $2 \leq q < 2_s^*$.

First, we claim that

$$(2.5) \quad u_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

To see this, we only have to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} |u_n(x)|^2 dx < \varepsilon$, where $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$. Set

$$A(R, c) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R : V(x) \geq c\},$$

$$B(R, c) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R : V(x) < c\},$$

where $c > 0$. We see that

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{A(R,c)} |u_n(x)|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{V(x)}{c} |u_n(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2}{\lambda c}$$

for each $\lambda > 0$. Choose $\sigma \in (1, 2_\alpha^*/2)$ and $\sigma' > 0$ such that $1/\sigma + 1/\sigma' = 1$,

and apply the Hölder inequality to get

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{B(R,c)} |u_n(x)|^2 dx \leq \left(\int_{B(R,c)} |u_n(x)|^{2\sigma} dx \right)^{1/\sigma} \text{meas}(B(R,c))^{1/\sigma'}$$

$$\leq C_\lambda \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2 \text{meas}(B(R,c))^{1/\sigma'},$$

where $C_\lambda > 0$ is a suitable constant. Here, we use the continuous embedding $H_\lambda \rightarrow L^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}$ is bounded and $(V_3)'$ holds, we may choose R, c large enough such that $\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2/c$ and $\text{meas}(B(R,c))$ are small enough. Therefore, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, from (2.6) and (2.7) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} |u_n(x)|^2 dx = \int_{A(R,c)} |u_n(x)|^2 dx + \int_{B(R,c)} |u_n(x)|^2 dx < \varepsilon,$$

which implies (2.5).

For any $q \in (2, 2_\alpha^*)$, there exists $\sigma_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $1/q = \sigma_1/2 + (1 - \sigma_1)/2_\alpha^*$. By the Hölder inequality,

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^q dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{q\sigma_1} |u_n(x)|^{(1-\sigma_1)q} dx$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\sigma_1 q/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx \right)^{(1-\sigma_1)q/2_\alpha^*}.$$

From (2.1), we have

$$\|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq S_{2,\lambda} \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_n\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq S_{2_\alpha^*,\lambda} \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}.$$

By combining these two inequalities with (2.8), we deduce

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^q dx \leq S_{2,\lambda}^{\sigma_1 q} \|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\sigma_1 q} \cdot S_{2_\alpha^*,\lambda}^{(1-\sigma_1)q} \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^{(1-\sigma_1)q}.$$

Combining this with (2.5), we get $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $q \in [2, 2_\alpha^*)$ since $\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}$ is a bounded sequence in H_λ . ■

The compactness assumption required by the Mountain Pass Theorem is the well known *Palais–Smale condition* (see, for instance, [23, 25] and references therein), which in our framework reads:

PALAIS–SMALE CONDITION. Let $\Phi \in C^1(H_\lambda, \mathbb{R})$. The functional Φ satisfies the *Palais–Smale compactness condition* at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ if any sequence $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in H_λ such that $\Phi(u_j) \rightarrow c$ and

$$\sup_{\|v\|_{H_\lambda}=1} |\langle \Phi'(u_j), v \rangle| \rightarrow 0$$

(called a *(PS) sequence at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$*) has a subsequence strongly convergent in H_λ .

To prove our theorems, we need the Mountain Pass Theorem:

LEMMA 2.8 ([19]). *Let X be a real Banach space and let $\Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy Palais–Smale condition. Suppose that $\Phi(0) = 0$ and*

(I₁) there exist constants $\beta_0, \alpha_0 > 0$ such that $\Phi(u) \geq \alpha_0$ for all $\|u\| = \beta_0$;

(I₂) there is $u_1 \in X$ with $\|u_1\| \geq \beta_0$ such that $\Phi(u_1) \leq 0$.

Then I has a critical value $c \geq \alpha_0$. Moreover, c can be characterized as

$$c = \inf_{\gamma^* \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Phi(\gamma^*(t)),$$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma^* \in C([0, 1], X) : \gamma^*(0) = 0, \gamma^*(1) = u_1\}$.

3. Main results and their proofs. The work of Secchi motivates considering the following fractional equation involving the Bessel operator:

$$(3.1) \quad M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 dx\right)(I - \Delta)^{\alpha} u + \lambda V(x)u \\ = \gamma f(x, u) + \mu \xi(x)|u|^{p-2}u$$

in \mathbb{R}^N , where $1 < p < 2$ and $\lambda, \gamma, \mu > 0$.

Let us first state some assumptions on M and f :

(M₁) $M \in C(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ satisfies $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+} M(t) \geq a > 0$, where $a > 0$ is a constant.

(M₂) There exists $\theta \in [1, N/(N - 2\alpha))$ such that

$$\theta \mathcal{M}(t) = \theta \int_0^t M(\tau) d\tau \geq M(t)t \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+.$$

(f₃)' There exist $\nu \in (2\theta, 2\alpha^*)$ and $r \geq 0$ such that

$$f(x, t)t - \nu F(x, t) \geq -\rho|t|^2 - \varphi_*(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } |t| \geq r,$$

where $\rho \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \varphi_* \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

(f₄) There exists $\beta > 0$ such that $F(x, t) \geq \beta|t|^\nu - P(|t|)$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$, where

$$P(t) = a_n|t|^{\alpha_n} + \dots + a_1|t|^{\alpha_1} + a_0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, $a_n \neq 0$ and $\infty > \alpha_n > \dots > \alpha_1 > 0$, $\nu > \alpha_n \geq 2$, $a_0 \leq 0$, and if $n \geq 2$ then for $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ we have $a_i < 0$ if $\alpha_i \in (0, 2)$.

Conditions (M₁) and (M₂) were introduced by Pucci–Xiang–Zhang [18]. Condition (V₁)' implies (V₁). In [24], we give functions satisfying (f₁), (f₂), (f₃)' and (f₄). For example, $f(x, t) = \sin |t| - |t| \cos |t| + |t|^\nu/\nu$ ($\nu > 2\theta$) satisfies (f₁), (f₂), (f₃)' with $\varphi_* = 0$, and (f₄). In [20], conditions (f₁)–(f₃) are shown to imply

$$(3.2) \quad F(x, t) \geq c_F(|t|^\nu - |t|^2)$$

for some constant $c_F > 0$. Then F satisfies (f_4) with $P(|t|) = |t|^2$. Hence, the class of functions satisfying (f_1) , (f_2) , $(f_3)'$ and (f_4) is larger than for (f_1) – (f_3) .

For (3.1), we use the energy function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 dx \right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u(x)|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbb{I} \in C^1(H_\lambda, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbb{I}'(u), v \rangle &= M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} v dx \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u(x) v(x) dx - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx \\ &\quad - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^{p-2} uv dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in H_\lambda$. A function $u \in H_\lambda$ is called a *weak solution* to (3.1) if $\langle \mathbb{I}'(u), v \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in H_\lambda$. In detail, we can state the definition of weak solution as follows:

DEFINITION 3.1. We say that $u \in H_\lambda$ is a *weak solution* to (3.1) if

$$\begin{aligned} M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} v dx &+ \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u(x) v(x) dx \\ &= \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^{p-2} uv dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $v \in H_\lambda$.

Assume that ν, γ and ρ satisfy the condition

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\gamma \rho}{\nu V_0} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_0} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right),$$

where n_0 is a large enough positive integer.

Our first result is:

THEOREM 3.2. Let (M_1) and (M_2) hold for $a \geq \theta \frac{\nu-2}{\nu-2\theta}$, and suppose that $(V_1)'$, either $(V_2)'$ or $(V_3)'$, (f_1) , (f_2) , $(f_3)'$ and (f_4) hold. Then for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\mu_{\lambda, \gamma} > 0$ such that (3.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions in H_λ with γ satisfying (3.3) and $\mu \in (0, \mu_{\lambda, \gamma})$.

In [20], condition (f_3) is very important, and S. Secchi showed that for every $\tau \in \left(\max\left\{1, \frac{N}{2\alpha}\right\}, \frac{q}{q-2} \right)$ there exists $R > 0$ such that $|u| \geq R$ implies

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{|f(x, u)|^\tau}{|u|^\tau} \leq \mathfrak{F}(x, u), \quad \text{where} \quad \mathfrak{F}(x, u) = \frac{1}{2}f(x, u) - F(x, u).$$

In Theorem 3.2, the class of nonlinear functions is larger than in the result of S. Secchi. Namely, we cannot show that f satisfies (3.4). Therefore, we must propose some new estimate to get a bound on a (PS) sequence. Furthermore, V satisfies the new condition $(V_3)'$. Then we need to show H_λ is compactly embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in Lemma 2.7.

In order to prove Theorem 3.2, we need a few lemmas.

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that (M_1) and (M_2) hold for $a \geq \theta \frac{\nu-2}{\nu-2\theta}$, and $(V_1)'$, (f_1) and (f_2) hold. Then for all $\lambda, \gamma > 0$, there exist positive constants $t_0, \alpha_0, \mu_{\lambda, \gamma}$ such that $\mathbb{I}(u) \geq \alpha_0$ for all $u \in H_\lambda$ with $\|u\|_{H_\lambda} = t_0$, and $\mu \in (0, \mu_{\lambda, \gamma})$.*

Proof. From (f_1) and (f_2) , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$F(x, t) \leq \varepsilon|t|^2 + C_\varepsilon|t|^q$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and for some $C_\varepsilon > 0$. By (2.1) and the Hölder inequality,

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|u(x)|^p dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi(x)|^{2/(2-p)} dx \right)^{(2-p)/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{p/2} \\ = \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \\ \leq \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2, \lambda}^p \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^p.$$

Then from (M_1) , (M_2) and (3.5), we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbb{I}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{M} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 dx \right) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^2 dx \right) \\ - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|u|^p dx \\ \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a}{\theta} \|u\|_{L^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \lambda \|u\|_{2, V}^2 \right) - \varepsilon \gamma \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \\ - \gamma C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2, \lambda}^p \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^p.$$

From (2.1), (3.6) and $a \geq \theta \frac{\nu-2}{\nu-2\theta} \geq \theta$, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbb{I}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2 - \varepsilon \gamma S_{2, \lambda}^2 \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2 - \gamma C_\varepsilon S_{q, \lambda}^q \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^q \\ - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2, \lambda}^p \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^p \\ = \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^p \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon \gamma S_{2, \lambda}^2 \right) \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^{2-p} - \gamma C_\varepsilon S_{q, \lambda}^q \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^{q-p} - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2, \lambda}^p \right].$$

We choose $\varepsilon(\gamma) > 0$ small enough such that $1/2 - \varepsilon\gamma S_{2,\lambda}^2 > 0$ for any $\gamma > 0$. Let $h(t) = (1/2 - \varepsilon\gamma S_{2,\lambda}^2)t^{2-p} - \gamma C_\varepsilon S_{q,\lambda}^q t^{q-p}$ for $t \geq 0$. We see that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $h(t_0) > 0$ and the maximum of h is attained at t_0 . Then we can choose $\mu_{\lambda,\gamma}$ small such that

$$(3.8) \quad h(t_0) - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2,\lambda}^p > 0.$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), for $\|u\|_{H_\lambda} = t_0$, we have

$$\mathbb{I}(u) \geq t_0^p (h(t_0) - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2,\lambda}^p) = \alpha_0 > 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 3.4. *Suppose that (M_1) , (M_2) , $(V_1)'$ and (f_4) hold. Then there exists a function $v \in H_\lambda$ with $\|v\|_{H_\lambda} > t_0$ such that $\mathbb{I}(v) < 0$, where $t_0 > 0$ is defined in Lemma 3.3.*

Proof. From (M_2) , we have

$$\mathcal{M}(\zeta) \leq \mathcal{M}(1)\zeta^\theta \quad \text{for all } \zeta \geq 1.$$

For all $u \in H_\lambda$ with $\|u\|_{H_\lambda} = 1$, from (f_4) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}(tu) &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{M}(\|tu\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) + \lambda t^2 \|u\|_{2,V}^2) \\ &\quad - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, tu) dx - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^p dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{M}(1) \|u\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\theta} t^{2\theta} + \lambda \|u\|_{2,V}^2 t^2) - \gamma \beta t^\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^\nu dx \\ &\quad + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(t|u|) dx - \frac{\mu}{p} t^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

for all t large enough. Then for all $t \geq 1$ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}(tu) &\leq \max\{1, \mathcal{M}(1)\} t^{2\theta} - \gamma \beta t^\nu \|u\|_{L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\nu \\ &\quad + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(t|u|) dx - \frac{\mu}{p} t^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we get

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u(x)|^p dx &\leq \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2,\lambda}^p \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^p \\ &= \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2,\lambda}^p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We can write $P = P_1 + Q_1$, where P_1 is a real polynomial with degree in $[2, \nu)$ and Q_1 is a polynomial with degree in $[0, 2)$. Since all coefficients of Q_1 are negative, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_1(|tu|) dx < 0$. By Lemma 2.2, for all $\alpha \in [2, \nu)$,

$$\|u\|_{L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq S_\alpha \|u\|_{H_\lambda} = S_{\alpha,\lambda} < \infty.$$

Then the growth rate of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_1(|tu|) dx$ is smaller than t^ν as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\nu > 2\theta > p$ and $\nu > \deg P$, we have $\mathbb{I}(tu) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Taking $v = \rho_0 u$ with $\rho_0 > t_0 > 0$ large enough, we have $\mathbb{I}(v) < 0$ and $\|v\|_{H_\lambda} > t_0$. ■

LEMMA 3.5. *Suppose that (M_1) and (M_2) hold for $a \geq \theta \frac{\nu-2}{\nu-2\theta}$, and $(V_1)'$, either $(V_2)'$ or $(V_3)'$, (f_1) , (f_2) and $(f_3)'$ hold. Then \mathbb{I} satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ for all λ satisfying (3.3).*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a (PS) sequence at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ in H_λ . This means

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbb{I}(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{H_\lambda}=1} |\langle \mathbb{I}'(u_n), \varphi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We split the proof into two steps. First, we show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in H_λ and has a subsequence strongly convergent in H_λ . From (3.10), for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \left\langle \mathbb{I}'(u_n), \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}} \right\rangle \right| \leq K \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbb{I}(u_n)| \leq C$$

so that

$$(3.11) \quad \mathbb{I}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle \mathbb{I}'(u_n), u_n \rangle \leq C(1 + \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}),$$

where ν is the parameter in $(f_3)'$. Set $A_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u_n(x)| \leq r\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle \mathbb{I}'(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{M}(\|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) + \lambda \|u_n\|_{2,V}^2) \\ &\quad - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_n) dx - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n(x)|^p dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\nu} \left[M(\|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) \|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \lambda \|u_n\|_{2,V}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_n) u_n dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n(x)|^p dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle \mathbb{I}'(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(\|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) - \frac{1}{\nu} M(\|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) \|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \|u\|_{2,V}^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_n) u_n - \nu F(x, u_n)) dx \\ &\quad - \mu \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a \geq \theta \frac{\nu-2}{\nu-2\theta}$, we have $\min\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right)a, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right\} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu}$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{I}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle \mathbb{I}'(u_n), u_n \rangle &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2 - \mu \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n(x)|^p dx \\
 &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \int_{A_n} (f(x, u_n) u_n - \nu F(x, u_n)) dx \\
 &\quad - \frac{\gamma \rho}{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^2 dx - \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_*(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad \mathbb{I}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle \mathbb{I}'(u_n), u_n \rangle &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2 - \mu \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \mathcal{S}_{2,\lambda}^p \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^p \\
 &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \int_{A_n} (f(x, u_n) u_n - \mu F(x, u_n)) dx - \frac{\gamma \rho}{\nu V_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u_n(x)|^2 dx + O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption (3.3), there exists n_0 such that

$$\frac{\gamma \rho}{\nu V_0} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_0} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right).$$

We denote $\kappa = (1 - \frac{1}{n_0})(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu}) - \frac{\gamma \rho}{\nu V_0}$. From (f_1) and (f_2) , we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad |f(x, t)| \leq 2\varepsilon |t| + q C_\varepsilon |t|^{q-1}$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$. Then, on A_n , we have

$$\frac{\gamma}{\nu} |f(x, u_n) u_n - \nu F(x, u_n)| \leq \frac{\gamma}{\nu} (\varepsilon(2 + \nu) + C_\varepsilon(q + \nu)r^{q-2}) |u_n|^2 = C_1 |u_n|^2.$$

Take $M > \max\{C_1/\kappa, V_0\}$, and let $B_1 = \{x \in B_h(y) : V(x) \leq M\}$ if V satisfies $(V_2)'$, and $B_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) \leq M\}$ if V satisfies $(V_3)'$. By $(V_2)'$ and $(V_3)'$, we have $\text{meas } B_1 < \infty$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\text{meas } B_2 < \infty$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u_n|^2 dx + \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \int_{A_n} (f(x, u_n) u_n - \mu F(x, u_n)) dx &\geq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u_n|^2 dx - C_1 \int_{A_n} |u_n|^2 dx \\
 &\geq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u_n|^2 dx - M \kappa \int_{A_n} |u_n|^2 dx \\
 &= \kappa \int_{A_n} (V(x) - M) |u_n|^2 dx \geq \kappa \int_{A_n \cap B_i} (V(x) - M) r^2 dx \\
 &\geq \kappa (V_0 - M) r^2 \text{meas}(A_n \cap B_i) \geq \kappa (V_0 - M) r^2 \text{meas } B_i,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1$ or $i = 2$. On combining (3.11) to (3.14), we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad C(1 + \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}) \geq \frac{1}{n_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2 \\ - \mu \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2,\lambda}^p \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^p + \kappa(V_0 - M)r^2 \text{ meas } B_i.$$

By the hypothesis $1 < p < 2$, from (3.15), we conclude that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in H_λ . Going to a subsequence if necessary, thanks to Lemmas 2.5, 2.7 and [25, Lemma A.1], we have

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } H_\lambda, \quad u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad |u_n| \leq h \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ for some } h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

We denote by B_ϕ by the following linear function on H_λ :

$$B_\phi(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} \phi (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} \varphi \, dx.$$

Clearly, by the Hölder inequality, B_ϕ is a continuous linear mapping on H_λ and

$$|B_\phi(\varphi)| \leq \|\phi\|_{H_\lambda} \|\varphi\|_{H_\lambda} \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H_\lambda.$$

We have

$$(3.16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (M(\|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) - M(\|u\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2)) B_u(u_n - u) = 0$$

since $\{M(\|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) - M(\|u\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2)\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} . From (3.13) and using the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(f(x, u_n) - f(x, u))(u_n - u)| \, dx \\ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [2\varepsilon|u_n| + 2\varepsilon|u| + qC_\varepsilon(|u_n|^{q-1} + |u|^{q-1})]|u_n - u| \, dx \\ \leq 2\varepsilon(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}) \|u_n - u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ + qC_\varepsilon(\|u_n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{q-1} + \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{q-1}) \|u_n - u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

This implies

$$(3.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_n) - f(x, u))(u_n - u) \, dx = 0.$$

From (3.5), we have

$$(3.18) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |\psi(x)|^p \, dx \leq \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \\ \leq S_{2,\lambda}^p \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\psi\|_{H_\lambda}^p$$

for all $\psi \in H_\lambda$.

Now, we prove

$$(3.19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u|^{p-2} u) (u_n - u) dx = 0.$$

Applying (3.18) for $\psi = u_n - u$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n - u|^p dx \leq \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u_n - u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $u_n \rightarrow u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using the Hölder inequality, (3.18) and noting that $\{\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}\}$ is bounded, we get

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (u_n - u) dx \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n|^{p-1} |u_n - u| dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)^{1/p} |u_n - u| \xi(x)^{(p-1)/p} |u_n|^{p-1} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n - u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n(x)|^p dx \right)^{p/(p-1)} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n - u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} (S_{2,\lambda}^p \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^p)^{p/(p-1)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly,

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^{p-2} u (u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On combining (3.20) with (3.21), we obtain (3.19).

Obviously, $\langle \mathbb{I}'(u_n) - \mathbb{I}'(u), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$ since $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in W and $\mathbb{I}'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, from (3.17) and (3.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle \mathbb{I}'(u_n) - \mathbb{I}'(u), u_n - u \rangle \\ &= M(\|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) (B_{u_n}(u_n - u) - B_u(u_n - u)) \\ &\quad + (M(\|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) - M(\|u\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2)) B_u(u_n - u) \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u_n - u|^2 dx - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_n) - f(x, u)) (u_n - u) dx \\ &\quad - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u|^{p-2} u) (u_n - u) dx \\ &= M(\|u_n\|^p) (B_{u_n}(u_n - u) - B_u(u_n - u)) \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u_n - u|^2 dx + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

for all n large enough. Since $(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ is a linear map, we have

$$(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u_n - (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} (u_n - u).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.22) \quad B_{u_n}(u_n - u) - B_u(u_n - u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u_n (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} (u_n - u) dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} (u_n - u) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ((I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u_n - (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u) (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} (u_n - u) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} (u_n - u)|^2 dx = \|u_n - u\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $M(t) \geq a > 0$ and $V(x)|u_n - u|^2 \geq 0$, we obtain

$$(3.23) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{u_n}(u_n - u) - B_u(u_n - u) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_n - u|^2 dx = 0.$$

Combining (3.22) and (3.23), we get

$$\|u_n - u\|_{H_\lambda}^2 = \|u_n - u\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \lambda \|u_n - u\|_{2,V}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in H_λ . ■

From the above lemmas, we can now prove Theorem 3.2 as follows:

First step. There exists a function $\varphi_0 \in H_\lambda$ such that [20]

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|\varphi_0(x)|^p dx > 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{I}(t\varphi) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{M}(\|t\varphi_0\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|t\varphi_0|^2 dx \right) - \gamma\beta t^\nu \|\varphi_0\|_{L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\nu \\
&\quad + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(t|\varphi_0|) dx - t^p \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|\varphi_0(x)|^p dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{I}(t\varphi) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\zeta \in [0, t_0^2]} M(\zeta) \|t\varphi_0\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|t\varphi_0|^2 dx \\
&\quad - \gamma\beta t^\nu \|\varphi_0\|_{L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\nu + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(t|\varphi_0|) dx - t^p \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|\varphi_0(x)|^p dx < 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, 1)$ small enough, where t_0 is given in Lemma 3.3. Indeed, we can write $P = P_1 + Q_1$, where P_1 is a polynomial with degree in $[2, \nu)$ and Q_1 is a polynomial with degree in $[0, 2)$. Since all coefficients of Q_1 are negative, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_1(|t\varphi_0|) dx < 0$. By Lemma 2.5, for all $\alpha \in [2, \nu)$,

$$\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq S_{\alpha,\lambda} \|\varphi_0\|_{H_\lambda} < \infty.$$

Then the growth rate of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_1(|t\varphi_0|) dx$ is smaller than t^p ($1 < p < 2$) as $t \rightarrow 0$. Since $\deg P_1 \geq 2 > p$, we have $\mathbb{I}(t\varphi_0) < 0$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ small enough.

This implies

$$c_0 = \inf\{\mathbb{I}(u) : u \in \overline{B_{t_0}}\} < 0,$$

where $B_{t_0} = \{u \in H_\lambda : \|u\|_{H_\lambda} < t_0\}$, and $t_0 > 0$ is given by Lemma 3.3. By Lemma 3.3,

$$\inf_{u \in \partial B_{t_0}} \mathbb{I}(u) \geq \alpha_0 > 0.$$

For all n large enough, we have $1/n \in (0, \inf_{u \in \partial B_{t_0}} \mathbb{I}(u) - \inf_{u \in \overline{B_{t_0}}} \mathbb{I}(u))$. Then there exists a sequence $w_n \in \overline{B_{t_0}}$ such that

$$(3.24) \quad \inf_{u \in \overline{B_{t_0}}} \mathbb{I}(u) \leq \mathbb{I}(w_n) \leq \inf_{u \in B_{t_0}} \mathbb{I}(u) + 1/n.$$

By the Ekeland variational principle [10], there exists a sequence $\{v_n\} \subset \overline{B_{t_0}}$ such that

$$(3.25) \quad \inf_{u \in \overline{B_{t_0}}} \mathbb{I}(u) \leq \mathbb{I}(v_n) \leq \mathbb{I}(w_n) \leq \inf_{u \in \overline{B_{t_0}}} \mathbb{I}(u) + \frac{1}{n}, \quad \|w_n - v_n\|_{H_\lambda} \leq 1,$$

and

$$(3.26) \quad \mathbb{I}(v_n) \leq \mathbb{I}(u) + \frac{1}{n} \|u - v_n\|_{H_\lambda} \quad \text{for all } u \in \overline{B_{t_0}},$$

hence

$$\mathbb{I}(v_n) \leq \inf_{u \in \overline{B_{t_0}}} \mathbb{I}(u) + \frac{1}{n} < \inf_{\partial B_{t_0}} \mathbb{I}(u).$$

So $v_n \in B_{t_0}$. We consider the function

$$\varphi_n(u) = \mathbb{I}(u) + \frac{1}{n} \|v_n - u\|_{H_\lambda}.$$

By (3.26), $v_n \in B_{t_0}$ minimizes φ_n on $\overline{B_{t_0}}$. Then for all $\psi \in H_\lambda$ with $\|\psi\|_{H_\lambda} = 1$, taking $t > 0$ such that $v_n + t\psi \in \overline{B_{t_0}}$, we get

$$\frac{\varphi_n(v_n + t\psi) - \varphi_n(v_n)}{t} \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\mathbb{I}(v_n + t\psi) - \mathbb{I}(v_n)}{t} + \frac{1}{n} \geq 0.$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, we get $\langle \mathbb{I}'(v_n), \psi \rangle \geq -1/n$. We replace ψ by $-\psi$ to obtain

$$(3.27) \quad |\langle \mathbb{I}'(v_n), \psi \rangle| \leq 1/n.$$

Passing to the limit in (3.25) and (3.27), we conclude that

$$\mathbb{I}(v_n) \rightarrow \inf_{u \in \overline{B_{t_0}}} \mathbb{I}(u) = c_0$$

and $\mathbb{I}'(v_n) \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists $u_0 \in B_{t_0}$ such that $\mathbb{I}'(u_0) = 0$, $\mathbb{I}(u_0) = c_0 < 0$.

Second step. By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 and the Mountain Pass Theorem, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H_\lambda$ such that

$$\mathbb{I}(u_n) \rightarrow c_1 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{I}'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists $u_1 \in H_\lambda$ such that $\mathbb{I}(u_1) = c_1 > 0$ and $\mathbb{I}'(u_1) = 0$. Then $u_1 \neq 0, u_1 \neq u_0$. ■

Note that if $V(x)$ is bounded from below by a negative constant, then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\bar{V}(x) = V(x) + C \geq V_0 > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. We denote

$$\bar{f}(x, t) = f(x, t) + Cu.$$

Then (3.1) is equivalent to

$$(3.28) \quad M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 dx \right) (I - \Delta)^\alpha u + \lambda \bar{V}(x)u = \gamma \bar{f}(x, u) + \mu \xi(x) |u|^{p-2} u.$$

We assume the conditions on \bar{f} are the same as on f . Hence, (3.28) is studied similarly to (3.1), and we get

THEOREM 3.6. *Suppose that (M_1) and (M_2) hold for $a \geq \theta \frac{\nu-2}{\nu-2\theta}$, $(V_1)'$ and either $(V_2)'$ or $(V_3)'$ hold for \bar{V} , and (f_1) , (f_2) , $(f_3)'$ and (f_4) hold for \bar{f} . Then for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\mu_{\lambda, \gamma} > 0$ such that (3.28) has at least two nontrivial solutions in H_λ with γ satisfying (3.3) and $\mu \in (0, \mu_{\lambda, \gamma})$.*

Next, we study the equation

$$(3.29) \quad M(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2) ((I - \Delta)^\alpha u + \lambda V(x)u) = \gamma f(x, u) + \mu \xi(x) |u|^{p-2} u,$$

where γ, λ, μ are real positive parameters. For this, we use the energy function

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2) - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^p dx.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'(u), v \rangle &= M(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} v dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u(x) v(x) dx \right) \\ &\quad - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^{p-2} u v dx \quad \text{for all } v \in H_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 3.7. We say that $u \in H_\lambda$ is a *weak solution* to (3.29) if

$$\begin{aligned} M(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2) & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} v \, dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u(x) v(x) \, dx \right) \\ & = \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u(x)) v(x) \, dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^{p-2} u v \, dx \quad \text{for any } v \in H_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that ν , γ and ρ satisfy the condition

$$(3.30) \quad \frac{\lambda \rho}{\nu V_0} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_0}\right) \left(\frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right) a,$$

where n_0 is a large enough positive integer. We obtain

THEOREM 3.8. *Suppose that (M_1) , (M_2) , $(V_1)'$, either $(V_2)'$ or $(V_3)'$, (f_1) , (f_2) , $(f_3)'$ and (f_4) hold. Then for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\mu_{\lambda, \gamma} > 0$ such that (3.29) has at least two nontrivial solutions in H_λ with γ satisfying (3.30) and $\mu \in (0, \mu_{\lambda, \gamma})$.*

Proof. We need some lemmas:

LEMMA 3.9. *Suppose that (M_1) , (M_2) , $(V_1)'$, (f_1) and (f_2) hold. Then for all $\lambda, \gamma > 0$, there exist positive constants $t_0, \alpha_0, \mu_{\lambda, \gamma}$ such that $J(u) \geq \alpha_0$ for all $u \in W$ with $\|u\|_W = t_0$ and $\mu \in (0, \mu_{\lambda, \gamma})$.*

Proof. From (f_1) and (f_2) , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$F(x, t) \leq \varepsilon |t|^2 + C_\varepsilon |t|^q$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and for some $C_\varepsilon > 0$. Then from (M_1) and (M_2) , using the Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.31) \quad J(u) & = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2) - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) \, dx - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^{p-2} u \, dx \\ & \geq \frac{a}{2\theta} \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2 - \varepsilon \gamma \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \gamma C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q \\ & \quad - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2, \lambda}^p \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^p. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1) and (3.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.32) \quad J(u) & \geq \frac{a}{2\theta} \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2 - \varepsilon \gamma S_{2, \lambda}^2 \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2 - \gamma C_\varepsilon S_{q, \lambda}^q \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^q \\ & \quad - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2, \lambda}^p \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^p \\ & = \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^p \left[\left(\frac{a}{2\theta} - \varepsilon \gamma S_{2, \lambda}^2 \right) \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^{2-p} - \gamma C_\varepsilon S_{q, \lambda}^q \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^{q-p} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2, \lambda}^p \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The number $\varepsilon(\gamma) > 0$ is chosen small enough such that $\frac{a}{2\theta} - \varepsilon\gamma S_{2,\lambda}^2 > 0$ for any $\gamma > 0$. Let $h(t) = (\frac{a}{2\theta} - \varepsilon\gamma S_{2,\lambda}^2)t^{2-p} - \gamma C_\varepsilon S_{q,\lambda}^q t^{q-p}$ for $t \geq 0$. We see that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $h(t_0) > 0$ and the maximum of h is attained at $t_0 > 0$. Then we can choose $\mu_{\lambda,\gamma}$ small such that

$$(3.33) \quad h(t_0) - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2,\lambda}^p > 0.$$

Combining (3.32) and (3.33), for $\|u\|_{H_\lambda} = t_0$ we have

$$J(u) \geq t_0^p \left(h(t_0) - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2,\lambda}^p \right) = \alpha_0 > 0. \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 3.10. *Suppose that (M_1) , (M_2) , $(V_1)'$ and (f_4) hold. Then there exists a function $v \in H_\lambda$ with $\|v\|_{H_\lambda} > t_0$ such that $J(v) < 0$, where $t_0 > 0$ is defined in Lemma 3.9.*

Proof. From (M_2) , we have $\mathcal{M}(\zeta) \leq \mathcal{M}(1)\zeta^\theta$ for all $\zeta \geq 1$. For all $u \in H_\lambda$ with $\|u\|_{H_\lambda} = 1$, from (f_4) , arguing as for Lemma 3.4, for all $t \geq 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J(tu) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(t^2 \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2) - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, tu) dx - \frac{\mu}{p} t^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^p dx \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{M}(1)}{2} \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^{2\theta} t^{2\theta} - \gamma \beta t^\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^\nu dx \\ &\quad + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(t|u|) dx - \frac{\mu}{p} t^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^p dx \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{M}(1)}{2} t^{2\theta} - \gamma \beta t^\nu \|u\|_{L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\nu + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(t|u|) dx - \frac{\mu}{p} t^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u|^p dx \\ &\rightarrow -\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, since $\nu > 2\theta > p$ and $\nu > \deg P$. Taking $v = \rho_0 u$ with $\rho_0 > t_0 > 0$ large enough, we have $J(v) < 0$ and $\|v\|_{H_\lambda} > t_0$. \blacksquare

LEMMA 3.11. *Assume that (M_1) , (M_2) , $(V_1)'$, either $(V_2)'$ or $(V_3)'$, (f_1) , (f_2) and $(f_3)'$ hold. Then J satisfies the Palais–Smale condition at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ for all λ satisfying (3.30).*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a (PS) sequence at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ in H_λ . This means

$$(3.34) \quad J(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{H_\lambda}=1} |\langle J'(u_n), \varphi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We split the proof into two steps. First, we show that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in H_λ and that it has a subsequence strongly convergent in H_λ . From (3.34), for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|\langle J'(u_n), u_n / \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda} \rangle| \leq K \quad \text{and} \quad |J(u_n)| \leq C$$

so that

$$(3.35) \quad J(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle \leq C(1 + \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}),$$

where ν is the parameter in $(f_3)'$. Set $A_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u_n(x)| \leq r\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2) - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_n) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n(x)|^p dx - \frac{1}{\nu} M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\nu} \left[\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_n) u_n dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n(x)|^p dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2) - \frac{1}{\nu} M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \|u_n\|_W^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_n) u_n - \nu F(x, u_n)) dx - \mu \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) a \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2 \\ &\quad - \mu \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n(x)|^p dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \int_{A_n} (f(x, u_n) u_n - \nu F(x, u_n)) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma \rho}{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^2 dx - \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_*(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.18),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x) |u_n(x)|^p dx \leq S_{2,\lambda}^p \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^p.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.36) \quad J(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) a \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2 - \mu \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) S_{2,\lambda}^p \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^p \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \int_{A_n} (f(x, u_n) u_n - \nu F(x, u_n)) dx - \frac{\gamma \rho}{\nu V_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u_n(x)|^2 dx + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption (3.30), there exists n_0 such that

$$\frac{\gamma\rho}{\nu V_0} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_0}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right) a.$$

We denote $\kappa = (1 - \frac{1}{n_0})(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{\nu})a - \frac{\gamma\rho}{\nu V_0}$. Then, on A_n , we have

$$\frac{\gamma}{\nu} |f(x, u_n)u_n - \mu F(x, u_n)| \leq \frac{\gamma}{\nu} (\varepsilon(2 + \nu) + C_\varepsilon(q + \nu)r^{q-2}) |u_n|^2 = C_1 |u_n|^2.$$

Take $M > \max\{C_1/\kappa, V_0\}$, and let $B_1 = \{x \in B_h(y) : V(x) \leq M\}$ if V satisfies $(V_2)'$, and $B_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) \leq M\}$ if V satisfies $(V_3)'$. By $(V_2)'$ and $(V_3)'$, we have $\text{meas } B_1 < \infty$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\text{meas } B_2 < \infty$, respectively. From (3.14), we have

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u_n|^2 dx + \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \int_{A_n} (f(x, u_n)u_n - \nu F(x, u_n)) dx \\ \geq \kappa(V_0 - M)r^2 \text{meas}(A_n \cap B_i) \geq \kappa(V_0 - M)r^2 \text{meas } B_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1$ or $i = 2$. Combining (3.35)–(3.37), we have

$$(3.38) \quad \begin{aligned} C(1 + \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}) &\geq \frac{1}{n_0} \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right) a \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2 \\ &\quad - \mu \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right) S_{2,\lambda}^p \|\xi\|_{L^{2/(2-p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^p + \kappa(V_0 - M)r^p \text{meas } B_i. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis $1 < p < 2$, from (3.38), we conclude that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in H_λ . Going to a subsequence if necessary, thanks to Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7 and [25, Lemma A.1] we have

$$(3.39) \quad \begin{aligned} u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } H_\lambda, \quad u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad |u_n| \leq h \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ for some } h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by B_ϕ the following linear function on H_λ :

$$B_\phi(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} \phi (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} \varphi dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \phi(x) \varphi(x) dx.$$

Clearly, by the Hölder and Cauchy–Schwarz inequalities, B_ϕ is continuous on H_λ and

$$\begin{aligned} |B_\phi(\varphi)| &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\varphi\|_{L^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \sqrt{\lambda} \|\phi\|_{2,V} \sqrt{\lambda} \|\varphi\|_{2,V} \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{H_\lambda} \|\varphi\|_{H_\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in H_\lambda$. Obviously, $\langle J'(u_n) - J'(u), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$ since $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly

in H_λ and $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, from (3.17) to (3.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 o(1) &= \langle J'(u_n) - J'(u), u_n - u \rangle \\
 &= M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2)(B_{u_n}(u_n - u) - B_u(u_n - u)) \\
 &\quad + (M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2) - M(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2))B_u(u_n - u) \\
 &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_n) - f(x, u))(u_n - u) dx \\
 &\quad - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)(|u_n|^{p-2}u_n - |u|^{p-2}u)(u_n - u) dx \\
 &= M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2)(B_{u_n}(u_n - u) - B_u(u_n - u)) + o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

From $M(t) \geq a > 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B_{u_n}(u_n - u) - B_u(u_n - u)) = 0.$$

Note that

$$B_{u_n}(u_n - u) - B_u(u_n - u) = \|u_n - u\|_{H_\lambda}^2.$$

Hence $\|u_n - u\|_{H_\lambda} \rightarrow 0$, so $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in H_λ . ■

Theorem 3.8 is proved similarly to Theorem 3.2 by using Lemmas 3.9–3.11 and the Mountain Pass Theorem. ■

Finally, we study the following degenerate equation involving the critical exponent:

$$(3.40) \quad M(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2)((I - \Delta)^\alpha u + \lambda V(x)u) = \gamma f(x, u) + |u|^{2_\alpha^* - 2}u$$

in \mathbb{R}^N , where λ and γ are real positive parameters.

Now, we state some assumptions on the functions M and f :

- (M₃) $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function, and there exists $\eta \in (1, 2_\alpha^*/2)$ such that $M(t)t \leq \eta \mathcal{M}(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{M}(t) = \int_0^t M(\tau) d\tau$;
- (M₄) for all $\sigma > 0$, there exists $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}(\sigma)$ such that $M(t) \geq \mathfrak{r}$ for all $t \geq \mathfrak{r}$;
- (M₅) $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} M(t)/t^{\eta-1} > 0$.
- (f₆) $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying

$$|f(x, u)| \leq g(x)|u|^{q-1},$$

where $q \in (2\eta, 2_\alpha^*)$, g is a bounded function on \mathbb{R}^N and $0 \leq g \in L^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^* - q)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

- (f₇) there exists $\nu \in (2\eta, 2_\alpha^*)$ such that $0 < \nu F(x, u) \leq uf(x, u)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Obviously, $M(t) = a_1 + b_1 \eta t^{\eta-1}$ satisfies (M₃)–(M₅), where $a_1, b_1 \geq 0$, $a_1 + b_1 > 0$. This function covers the degenerate case $M(0) = 0$ if we take

$a_1 = 0$. The conditions (M_3) – (M_5) , (f_6) and (f_7) have been used by Xiang–Zhang–Zhang [26] in the critical Kirchhoff problem involving the fractional Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^N . The difficult problem is when $M(0) = 0$; then the nonlinear function must satisfy conditions like (f_6) and (f_7) . We see that (f_6) implies (f_1) , and (f_7) implies (f_3) . For the Kirchhoff degenerate problem involving fractional Laplacian, we refer the reader to [4, 13] for further comments. In order to study solutions of (3.40), we consider the energy function

$$\mathcal{I}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2) - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx - \frac{1}{2_\alpha^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_\alpha^*} dx.$$

From (f_6) and since g is a bounded function on \mathbb{R}^N , using compact embedding from H_λ into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in [2, 2_\alpha^*)$ we get $D(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u) dx \in C^1(H_\lambda, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$(3.41) \quad \langle D'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)v dx, \quad \text{and } D' \text{ is weakly continuous in } H_\lambda.$$

Hence $\mathcal{I} \in C^1(H_\lambda, \mathbb{R})$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{I}'(u), v \rangle \\ &= M(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} v dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u(x) v(x) dx \right) \\ & \quad - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_\alpha^* - 2} u v dx \quad \text{for all } v \in H_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 3.12. We say that $u \in H_\lambda$ is a *weak solution* to (3.40) if

$$\begin{aligned} & M(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} v dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u(x) v(x) dx \right) \\ &= \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_\alpha^* - 2} u v dx \quad \text{for any } v \in H_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.13. Assume that conditions (M_3) , (M_4) , (M_5) , (V_1) , (V_2) , (f_6) and (f_7) hold. Then for all $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\gamma_* > 0$ such that for all $\gamma \geq \gamma_*$, equation (3.40) has a nontrivial solution $u_\gamma \in H_\lambda$ satisfying $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\gamma\|_{H_\lambda} = 0$.

Proof. We need some lemmas:

LEMMA 3.14. Suppose that (M_3) , (V_1) and (f_6) hold. Then for all $\lambda, \gamma > 0$, there exist positive constants \mathfrak{t}_0, α_0 such that $\mathcal{I}(u) \geq \alpha_0$ for all $u \in H_\lambda$ with $\|u\|_{H_\lambda} = \mathfrak{t}_0$.

Proof. By (2.1) and using the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.42) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u(x)|^q dx \\
 & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g(x)|^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-q)} dx \right)^{(2_\alpha^*-q)/2_\alpha^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx \right)^{q/2_\alpha^*} \\
 & = \|g\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-q)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q \leq \|g\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-q)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2_\alpha^*,\lambda}^q \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^q.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then from (M_1) , we have $\mathcal{M}(t) \geq \mathcal{M}(1)t^\eta$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. From (2.1) and (3.42), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.43) \quad \mathcal{I}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2) - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx - \frac{1}{2_\alpha^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_\alpha^*} dx \\
 &\geq \frac{\mathcal{M}(1)}{2} \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^{2\eta} - \gamma \|g\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-q)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2_\alpha^*,\lambda}^q \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^q - \frac{S_{2_\alpha^*,\lambda}^{2_\alpha^*}}{2_\alpha^*} \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^{2_\alpha^*} \\
 &= \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^{2\eta} \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}(1)}{2} - \gamma \|g\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-q)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2_\alpha^*,\lambda}^q \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^{q-2\eta} - \frac{S_{2_\alpha^*,\lambda}^{2_\alpha^*}}{2_\alpha^*} \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^{2_\alpha^*-2\eta} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\|u\|_{H_\lambda} \leq 1$. Let

$$h(t) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(1)}{2} - \gamma \|g\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-q)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} S_{2_\alpha^*,\lambda}^q t^{q-2\eta} - \frac{S_{2_\alpha^*,\lambda}^{2_\alpha^*}}{2_\alpha^*} t^{2_\alpha^*-2\eta}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

We see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h(t) = \mathcal{M}(1)/2 > 0$ exists, hence there exists $\mathbf{t}_0 > 0$ small enough such that $h(t) \geq \mathcal{M}(1)/4 > 0$ for all $0 \leq t \leq \mathbf{t}_0$. Taking $\|u\|_{H_\lambda} = \mathbf{t}_0$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}(u) \geq \frac{\mathcal{M}(1)}{4} \mathbf{t}_0^{2\eta} = \alpha_0 > 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 3.15. *Suppose that (M_3) , (V_1) and (f_7) hold. Then there exists $v \in H_\lambda$ with $\|v\|_{H_\lambda} > \mathbf{t}_0$ such that $\mathcal{I}(v) < 0$, where $\mathbf{t}_0 > 0$ is defined in Lemma 3.14.*

Proof. From (M_3) , we have $\mathcal{M}(\zeta) \leq \mathcal{M}(1)\zeta^\eta$ for all $\zeta \geq 1$. For all $u \in H_\lambda$ with $\|u\|_{H_\lambda} = 1$, from (f_7) we have $F \geq 0$, and then for all $t \geq 1$,

$$(3.44) \quad \mathcal{I}(tu) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(t^2 \|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2) - \frac{t^{2_\alpha^*}}{2_\alpha^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_\alpha^*} dx.$$

By the definition of the best constant,

$$S_{2_\alpha^*,\lambda} = \sup_{u \in H_\lambda, u \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{\|u\|_{H_\lambda}}.$$

For any $0 < \varepsilon < S_{\alpha^*, \lambda}^*$, we choose $u \in H_\lambda$ such that $\|u\|_{H_\lambda} = 1$ and $\|u\|_{L^{2^*_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)} > S_{\alpha^*, \lambda}^* - \varepsilon > 0$. Then from (3.44) we get

$$\mathcal{I}(tu) \leq \frac{\mathcal{M}(1)}{2} t^{2\eta} - \frac{(S_{\alpha^*, \lambda}^* - \varepsilon)^{2^*_\alpha}}{2^*_\alpha} t^{2^*_\alpha} \rightarrow -\infty$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, since $2^*_\alpha > 2\eta$. Setting $v = \rho_0 u$ with $\rho_0 > t_0 > 0$ large enough, we have $\mathcal{I}(v) < 0$ and $\|v\|_{H_\lambda} > t_0$. ■

Now, we discuss the compactness property for the function \mathcal{I} , given by a (PS) sequence at a suitable level. We fix $\gamma > 0$ and set

$$(3.45) \quad c_\gamma = \inf_{\gamma^* \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{I}(\gamma^*(t)),$$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma^* \in C([0, 1], X) : \gamma^*(0) = 0, \mathcal{I}(\gamma^*(1)) < 0\}$. Then $c_\gamma > 0$ by Lemma 3.14. Moreover, we have

LEMMA 3.16. *Suppose that M satisfies (M_3) and f satisfies (f_6) and (f_7) . Then $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} c_\gamma = 0$, where c_γ is given in (3.45).*

Proof. For v in Lemma 3.15, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}(tv) = -\infty$. Then by the maximum principle, there exists $t_\gamma > 0$ such that $\mathcal{I}(t_\gamma v) = \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{I}(tv)$, and so $\langle \mathcal{I}'(t_\gamma v), t_\gamma v \rangle = 0$. Therefore,

$$(3.46) \quad M(\|t_\gamma v\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \|t_\gamma v\|_{H_\lambda}^2 = \gamma t_\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, t_\gamma v) v \, dx + t_\gamma^{2^*_\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*_\alpha} \, dx.$$

We show that $\{t_\gamma\}_\gamma$ is bounded. Without loss of generality, we assume that $t_\gamma \geq 1$ for all $\gamma > 0$. By (M_3) , $\mathcal{M}(t) \leq \mathcal{M}(1)t^\eta$ for all $t \geq 1$. Then from (3.46) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \mathcal{M}(1) \|t_\gamma v\|_{H_\lambda}^{2\eta} &\geq \eta \mathcal{M}(\|t_\gamma v\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \geq M(\|t_\gamma v\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \|t_\gamma v\|_{H_\lambda}^2 \\ &= \gamma t_\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, t_\gamma v) v \, dx + t_\gamma^{2^*_\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*_\alpha} \, dx \geq t_\gamma^{2^*_\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*_\alpha} \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

since $uf(x, u) > 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. From

$$0 < (\rho_0(S_{\alpha^*, \lambda}^* - \varepsilon))^{2^*_\alpha} < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*_\alpha} \, dx \leq (\rho_0 S_{\alpha^*, \lambda}^*)^{2^*_\alpha} < \infty$$

and $2^*_\alpha > 2\eta$, $\{t_\gamma\}_\gamma$ must be bounded.

Fix any sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_n$ with $\gamma_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; then there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{\gamma_n\}_n$, and $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $t_{\gamma_n} \rightarrow t_0$. Hence, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.47) \quad M(\|t_{\gamma_n} v\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \|t_{\gamma_n} v\|_{H_\lambda}^2 \leq C \quad \text{for all } n.$$

We claim $t_0 = 0$. Indeed, if $t_0 > 0$, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\gamma_n t_{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, t_{\gamma_n} v) v \, dx + t_{\gamma_n}^{2^*_\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*_\alpha} \, dx \rightarrow \infty$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts (3.47), and we get $t_\gamma \rightarrow 0$ as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\overline{\gamma^*} = tv$. Then $\overline{\gamma^*} \in \Gamma$, and we have

$$0 < c_\gamma \leq \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{I}(\overline{\gamma^*}(t)) = \mathcal{I}(t_\gamma v) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(\|t_\gamma v\|_{H_\lambda}^2).$$

Since \mathcal{M} is a continuous function and $\|t_\gamma v\|_{H_\lambda} \rightarrow 0$ as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, we have $c_\gamma \rightarrow 0$ as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. ■

LEMMA 3.17. *Assume that f satisfies (f_6) and (f_7) and M satisfies (M_3) – (M_5) . Then there exists $\gamma_* > 0$ such that \mathcal{I} satisfies the (PS) condition on H_λ at level c_γ for all $\gamma \geq \gamma_*$ and all $\lambda > 0$.*

Proof. For any sequence $\{u_n\}_n \subset H_\lambda$ such that $\mathcal{I}(u_n) \rightarrow c_\gamma$ and $\mathcal{I}'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in H_λ^* , where H_λ^* is the dual space of H_λ , there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.48) \quad |\langle \mathcal{I}'(u_n), u_n \rangle| \leq C \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{I}(u_n)| \leq C \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Now, we consider two cases:

CASE 1: $\inf_n \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda} = d > 0$. We first show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. Denote by $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}(d)$ the number corresponding to $\sigma = d^2$ in (M_4) , so that

$$(3.49) \quad M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \geq \mathfrak{r} \quad \text{for all } n.$$

By (M_3) , (M_4) and (f_7) , and since $2\eta < \nu < 2_\alpha^*$, we have

$$(3.50) \quad \begin{aligned} C + C \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda} &\geq \mathcal{I}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle \mathcal{I}'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2) - \frac{1}{\nu} M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_n) u_n - \nu F(x, u_n)) dx + \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{2_\alpha^*} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2\eta} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \mathfrak{r} \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence. As H_λ is Hilbert, it is reflexive, so by [3, Theorem 4.9] there exist $u_\gamma \in H_\lambda$ and $\alpha_\gamma \geq 0$ such that up to a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_n\}_n$, we have

$$(3.51) \quad \begin{aligned} u_n &\rightarrow u_\gamma \quad \text{weakly in } H_\lambda \cap L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_\gamma \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda} &\rightarrow \alpha_\gamma, \quad \|u_n - u_\gamma\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow \delta_\gamma, \\ |u_n|^{2_\alpha^* - 2} u_n &\rightarrow |u_\gamma|^{2_\alpha^* - 2} u_\gamma \quad \text{weakly in } L^{(2_\alpha^*)'}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{aligned}$$

where $(2_\alpha^*)' = 2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^* - 1)$. Since $\inf_n \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda} = d > 0$, we have $\alpha_\gamma > 0$, and $M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \rightarrow M(\alpha_\gamma^2) > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, thanks to (M_4) and continuity of M .

We claim that $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_\gamma = 0$. Suppose that, on the contrary, there exists a sequence $\gamma_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\alpha_{\gamma_k} \rightarrow \alpha > 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. From (3.50), we get

$$c_{\gamma_k} \geq \left(\frac{1}{2\eta} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) M(\alpha_{\gamma_k}^2) \alpha_{\gamma_k}^2.$$

Taking the limit of both sides and using Lemma 3.16, we get

$$0 \geq \left(\frac{1}{2\eta} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) M(\alpha^2) \alpha^2 > 0.$$

This is impossible. Thus

$$(3.52) \quad \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_\gamma = 0.$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u_\gamma$ weakly in H_λ , we get

$$(3.53) \quad \|u_\gamma\|_{H_\lambda} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda} = \alpha_\gamma.$$

Note that H_λ embeds continuously into $L^{2^*_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then from (3.52) and (3.53), we deduce

$$(3.54) \quad \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\gamma\|_{L^{2^*_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\gamma\|_{H_\lambda} = 0.$$

Fix $\phi \in H_\lambda$, and denote by B_ϕ the following linear function on H_λ :

$$B_\phi(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} \phi (I - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} \varphi \, dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \phi(x) \varphi(x) \, dx.$$

By the Hölder inequality, B_ϕ is continuous on H_λ and

$$(3.55) \quad |B_\phi(\varphi)| \leq \|\phi\|_{H_\lambda} \|\varphi\|_{H_\lambda} \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H_\lambda.$$

Since u_n converges weakly to u_γ in H_λ , we have

$$(3.56) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{u_\gamma}(u_n - u_\gamma) = 0.$$

From (3.55) and since $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in H_λ , the sequence B_{u_n} is bounded in H_λ^* . As H_λ is reflexive, so is H_λ^* . Thus, up to taking a subsequence, we can assume that there exists $\mathbb{B} \in H_\lambda^*$ such that $B_{u_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ weakly in H_λ^* . As $H_\lambda^{**} = H_\lambda$, where H_λ^{**} is the dual space of H_λ^* , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{B}_{u_n}(\varphi) = \mathbb{B}(\varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H_\lambda.$$

From (3.41), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_n) \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_\gamma) \varphi \, dx$ for all $\varphi \in H_\lambda$. Since $\mathcal{I}'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in H_λ^* , we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{I}'(u_n), \varphi \rangle = 0$ for all $\varphi \in H_\lambda$. Combining this with (3.51), we obtain

$$(3.57) \quad M(\alpha_\gamma^2) \mathbb{B}(\varphi) = \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_\gamma) \varphi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\gamma|^{2^*_\alpha - 2} u_\gamma \varphi \, dx$$

for all $\varphi \in H_\lambda$. Taking $\varphi = u_\gamma$ in (3.57), we get

$$(3.58) \quad M(\alpha_\gamma^2)\mathbb{B}(u_\gamma) = \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_\gamma)u_\gamma dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\gamma|^{2_\alpha^*} dx.$$

By (f_γ) and (3.58), we have $\mathbb{B}(u_\gamma) \geq 0$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is a (PS) sequence, we deduce from (3.51) that

$$(3.59) \quad \begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle \mathcal{I}'(u_n) - \mathcal{I}'(u_\gamma), u_n - u_\gamma \rangle \\ &= M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2)B_{u_n}(u_n - u_\gamma) - M(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2)B_{u_\gamma}(u_n - u_\gamma) \\ &\quad - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(x, u_n) - f(x, u_\gamma)](u_n - u_\gamma) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{2_\alpha^* - 2}u_n - |u_\gamma|^{2_\alpha^* - 2}u_\gamma)(u_n - u_\gamma) dx \\ &= M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2)(B_{u_n}(u_n - u_\gamma) - B_{u_\gamma}(u_n - u_\gamma)) \\ &\quad + (M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2) - M(\|u\|_{H_\lambda}^2))B_{u_\gamma}(u_n - u_\gamma) \\ &\quad - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(x, u_n) - f(x, u_\gamma)](u_n - u_\gamma) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{2_\alpha^* - 2}u_n - |u_\gamma|^{2_\alpha^* - 2}u_\gamma)(u_n - u_\gamma) dx \\ &= M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2)(B_{u_n}(u_n - u_\gamma) - B_{u_\gamma}(u_n - u_\gamma)) \\ &\quad - \|u_n - u_\gamma\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2_\alpha^*} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

for n large enough. Here, we use the following properties:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2_\alpha^* - 2}u_n u_\gamma dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\gamma|^{2_\alpha^*} dx$ since $|u_n|^{2_\alpha^* - 2}u_n \rightarrow |u_\gamma|^{2_\alpha^* - 2}u_\gamma$ weakly in $L^{(2_\alpha^*)'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\gamma|^{2_\alpha^* - 2}u_\gamma u_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\gamma|^{2_\alpha^*} dx$ since $u_n \rightarrow u_\gamma$ weakly in $L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $|u_\gamma|^{2_\alpha^* - 2}u_\gamma \in L^{(2_\alpha^*)'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$;
- (iii) by the Brezis–Lieb Lemma,

$$\|u_n\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2_\alpha^*} = \|u_n - u_\gamma\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2_\alpha^*} + \|u_\gamma\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2_\alpha^*} + o(1)$$

when n large enough;

- (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(x, u_n) - f(x, u_\gamma)](u_n - u_\gamma) dx = 0$.

Now, we prove (iv). By the Hölder inequality,

$$(3.60) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_n)(u_n - u_\gamma) dx \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u_n|^{q-1}|u_n - u_\gamma| dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u_n|^q dx \right)^{(q-1)/q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u_n - u_\gamma|^q dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Again by the Hölder inequality,

$$(3.61) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u_n|^q dx \leq S_{2_\alpha^*, \lambda}^q \|g\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-q)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^q < \infty.$$

Now, we prove

$$(3.62) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u_n - u_\gamma|^q dx = 0.$$

Since $g \in L^{\frac{2_\alpha^*}{2_\alpha^*-q}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$(3.63) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} |g(x)|^{\frac{2_\alpha^*}{2_\alpha^*-q}} dx < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } R \geq R_0,$$

where B_R is an open ball in \mathbb{R}^N . By compact embedding on the bounded domain B_R , $\{u_n\}_n$ has a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_n\}$, which converges to u_γ in $L^q(B_R)$. Up to a subsequence, we may assume that $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in B_R . Note that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For any measurable $U \subset B_R$, by the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U g(x)|u_n - u_\gamma|^q dx &\leq \|g\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-q)}(U)} \|u_n - u_\gamma\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q \\ &\leq \kappa_* \|g\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-q)}(U)}, \end{aligned}$$

where κ_* is a suitable constant. Therefore, $\{g(x)|u_n - u_\gamma|^q\}_n$ is equi-integrable in B_R , thanks to $g \in L^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-q)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the Vitali convergence theorem,

$$(3.64) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{B_R} g(x)|u_n - u_\gamma|^q dx \right| = 0.$$

and

$$(3.65) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u_n - u_\gamma|^q dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{B_R} g(x)|u_n - u_\gamma|^q dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} g(x)|u_n - u_\gamma|^q dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{B_R} g(x)|u_n - u_\gamma|^q dx \right| \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} |g|^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-q)} dx \right)^{(2_\alpha^*-q)/2_\alpha^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} |u_n - u_\gamma|^{2_\alpha^*} dx \right)^{q/2_\alpha^*}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.63) to (3.65), we obtain (3.62).

Collecting (3.60)–(3.62) and the fact that $\{\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}\}$ is a bounded sequence, we deduce

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_n)(u_n - u_\gamma) dx = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_\gamma)(u_n - u_\gamma) dx = 0.$$

Thus we obtain (iv).

From (3.59), we see that

$$(3.66) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2)(B_{u_n}(u_n - u_\gamma) - B_{u_\gamma}(u_n - u_\gamma)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u_\gamma\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2_\alpha^*}.$$

Apply the Brezis–Lieb Lemma and (3.51), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_\gamma + o(1) &= \mathcal{I}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle \mathcal{I}'(u_n), u_n - u_\gamma \rangle \geq \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{2_\alpha^*} \right) \|u_n - u_\gamma\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2_\alpha^*} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{2_\alpha^*} \right) (\delta_\gamma^{2_\alpha^*} + \|u_\gamma\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2_\alpha^*}) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which together with Lemma 3.16 and (3.54) implies that

$$(3.67) \quad \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \delta_\gamma = 0.$$

We have

$$(3.68) \quad B_{u_n}(u_n - u_\gamma) - B_{u_\gamma}(u_n - u_\gamma) = \|u_n - u_\gamma\|_{H_\lambda}^2 \geq S_{2_\alpha^*}^{-2} \|u_n - u_\gamma\|_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2.$$

On combining (3.51) with (3.66) and (3.68), we obtain

$$(3.69) \quad \delta_\gamma^{2_\alpha^*} \geq S_{2_\alpha^*}^{-2} M(\alpha_\gamma^2) \delta_\gamma^2.$$

We claim that there exists $\gamma_* > 0$ such that $\delta_\gamma = 0$ for all $\gamma \geq \gamma_*$. Indeed, otherwise there exists a sequence $\{\gamma_k\}_k$ with $\gamma_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\delta_{\gamma_k} > 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From (3.66), noting that $B_{u_\gamma}(u_n - u_\gamma) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$B_{u_n}(u_n) = \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda}^2 \rightarrow \alpha_\gamma^2$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $B_{u_n}(u_\gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(u_\gamma) \geq 0$, we have

$$(3.70) \quad M(\alpha_{\gamma_k}^2)(\alpha_{\gamma_k}^2 - \mathbb{B}(u_{\gamma_k})) = \delta_{\gamma_k}^{2_\alpha^*}.$$

Collecting (3.69) and (3.70), we get

$$(3.71) \quad (\delta_{\gamma_k}^{2_\alpha^*})^{(2_\alpha^* - 2)/2_\alpha^*} = (M(\alpha_{\gamma_k}^2)(\alpha_{\gamma_k}^2 - \mathbb{B}(u_{\gamma_k})))^{(2_\alpha^* - 2)/2_\alpha^*} \geq S_{2_\alpha^*}^{-2} M(\alpha_{\gamma_k}^2).$$

This implies

$$\alpha_{\gamma_k}^{2(2_\alpha^* - 2)/2_\alpha^*} \geq (\alpha_{\gamma_k}^2 - \mathbb{B}(u_{\gamma_k}))^{(2_\alpha^* - 2)/2_\alpha^*} \geq S_{2_\alpha^*}^{-2} M(\alpha_{\gamma_k}^2)^{2/2_\alpha^*}.$$

Thus,

$$(3.72) \quad \alpha_{\gamma_k}^{2_\alpha^* - 2\eta} \geq (S_{2_\alpha^*}^{-2})^{2_\alpha^*/2} \frac{M(\alpha_{\gamma_k}^2)}{\alpha_{\gamma_k}^{2(\eta-1)}}.$$

From (3.54), (3.72) and (M_5) , we get a contradiction.

Thus there exists $\gamma_* > 0$ such that $\delta_\gamma = 0$ for all $\gamma \geq \gamma_*$. This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u_\gamma\|_{L^{2^*_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2^*_\alpha} = 0$$

for all $\gamma \geq \gamma_*$. We have

$$(3.73) \quad B_{u_n}(u_n - u_\gamma) - B_{u_\gamma}(u_n - u_\gamma) = \|u_n - u_\gamma\|_{H_\lambda}^2.$$

Combining (3.73) with (3.66), (3.59) and (M_4) , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u_\gamma\|_{H_\lambda} = 0,$$

which implies $u_n \rightarrow u_\gamma$ strongly in H_λ for all $\gamma \geq \gamma_*$.

CASE 2: $\inf_n \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda} = 0$. Either 0 is an accumulation point of the sequence $\{u_n\}_n$ and so there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}_n$ strongly converging to 0, or 0 is an isolated point of the sequence $\{u_n\}_n$ and so there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_n\}_n$, such that $\inf_n \|u_n\|_{H_\lambda} > 0$. In the former case we are done, while in the latter we can proceed as in Case 1. ■

By Lemmas 3.14–3.17 and the Mountain Pass Theorem, there exist $\gamma_* > 0$ such that problem (3.40) has a solution $u_\gamma \in H_\lambda$ for all $\gamma \geq \gamma_*$ and all $\lambda > 0$. Now we show that $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\gamma\|_{H_\lambda} = 0$. Indeed, from $\mathcal{I}(u_\gamma) = c_\gamma$ and $\mathcal{I}'(u_\gamma) = 0$ in H_λ^* , we have

$$(3.74) \quad \begin{aligned} c_\gamma &= \mathcal{I}(u_\gamma) - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle \mathcal{I}'(u_\gamma), u_\gamma \rangle \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2\eta} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) M(\|u_\gamma\|_{H_\lambda}^2) \|u_\gamma\|_{H_\lambda}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\gamma\|_{H_\lambda} = a_0 > 0$, letting $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (3.74) yields

$$0 \geq \left(\frac{1}{2\eta} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) M(a_0) a_0 > 0,$$

a contradiction, so $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\gamma\|_{H_\lambda} = 0$. ■

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to express his thanks to the referee and editorial board for reading the manuscript very carefully and making many valuable suggestions and comments towards improvement and concerning future work. The author thanks Prof. William Cherry for revising the language errors.

This research was sponsored by China/Shandong University International Postdoctoral Exchange Program.

References

- [1] D. R. Adams and L. I. Hedberg, *Function Spaces and Potential Theory*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 314, Springer, Berlin, 1996.

- [2] T. Bartsch and Z. Q. Wang, *Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N* , Comm. Partial Differential Equations 20 (1995), 1725–1741.
- [3] H. Brézis, *Functional Analysis. Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [4] M. Caponi and P. Pucci, *Existence theorems for entire solutions of stationary Kirchhoff fractional p -Laplacian equations*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl 195 (2016), 2099–2129.
- [5] M. Chipot and J. F. Rodrigues, *On a class of nonlocal nonlinear elliptic problems*, RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér. 26 (1992), 447–467.
- [6] S. Cingolani and S. Secchi, *Ground states for the pseudo-relativistic Hartree equation with external potential*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 145 (2015), 73–90.
- [7] S. Cingolani and S. Secchi, *Semiclassical analysis for pseudorelativistic Hartree equations*, J. Differential Equations 258 (2015), 4156–4179.
- [8] V. Z. Coti and M. Nolasco, *Existence of ground states for nonlinear, pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equations*, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 22 (2011), 51–72.
- [9] V. Z. Coti and M. Nolasco, *Ground states for pseudo-relativistic Hartree equations of critical type*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. 29 (2013), 1421–1436.
- [10] I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 47 (1974), 324–353.
- [11] M. M. Fall and V. Felli, *Unique continuation properties for relativistic Schrödinger operators with a singular potential*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems 35 (2015), 5827–5867.
- [12] P. Felmer, A. Quaas and J. Tan, *Positive solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 142 (2012), 1237–1262.
- [13] P. Felmer and I. Vergara, *Scalar field equation with non-local diffusion*, Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 22 (2015), 1411–1428.
- [14] A. Fiscella and E. Valdinoci, *A critical Kirchhoff type problem involving a nonlocal operator*, Nonlinear Anal. 94 (2014), 156–170.
- [15] N. Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals*, Phys. Lett. A 268 (2000), 298–305.
- [16] N. Laskin, *Fractional Schrödinger equation*, Phys. Rev. E. 66 (2002), 056108, 7 pp.
- [17] E. D. Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. 136 (2012), 521–573.
- [18] P. Pucci, M. Xiang and B. Zhang, *Multiple solutions for nonhomogeneous Schrödinger–Kirchhoff type equations involving the fractional p -Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^N* , Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 54 (2015), 2785–2806.
- [19] P. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 65, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [20] S. Secchi, *Concave-convex nonlinearities for some nonlinear fractional equations involving the Bessel operator*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equations 62 (2017), 654–669.
- [21] E. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Math. Ser. 30, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [22] R. S. Strichartz, *Analysis of the Laplacian on the complete Riemannian manifold*, J. Funct. Anal. 52 (1983), 48–79.
- [23] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods. Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 34, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [24] N. V. Thin and P. T. Thuy, *On existence solution for Schrödinger–Kirchhoff type equations involving the fractional p -Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^N* , Complex Var. Elliptic Equations 64 (2019), 461–481.

- [25] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 24, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.
- [26] M. Xiang, B. Zhang and M. Zhang, *A critical Kirchhoff type problem involving the fractional Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^N* , Complex Var. Elliptic Equations 63 (2018), 652–670.

Nguyen Van Thin
Shandong University
Department of Mathematics
Jinan City, Shandong, P.R. China
and
Thai Nguyen University of Education
Department of Mathematics
Thai Nguyen City, Thai Nguyen, Viet Nam
E-mail: thinmath@gmail.com